

Brussels, 18 November 2021

WK 14062/2021 INIT

**LIMITE** 

**TELECOM** 

## **WORKING PAPER**

This is a paper intended for a specific community of recipients. Handling and further distribution are under the sole responsibility of community members.

#### **CONTRIBUTION**

From:	General Secretariat of the Council
To:	Working Party on Telecommunications and Information Society
Subject:	Artificial Intelligence Act - Consolidated table of comments from HU, IE, FR(Additional)- (Articles 1-29, Annexes I-IV) (doc. 8115/21)

#### DOCUMENT PARTIALLY ACCESSIBLE TO THE PUBLIC (19.01.2022)

Delegations will find in annex the consolidated table of comments from : HU, IE, FR(Additional) on Artificial Intelligence Act (Articles 1-29, Annexes I-IV).

LIMITE EN

Commission proposal (doc. 8115/21 – COM(2021) 206 final)

Artificial Intelligence Act (Articles 1-29, Annexes I-IV)

Comments from: HU, IE, FR (Additional)

Commission proposal	Drafting Suggestions
	Comments
2021/0106 (COD)	
Proposal for a	
REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN	
PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL	
LAYING DOWN HARMONISED RULES ON	
ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE (ARTIFICIAL	
INTELLIGENCE ACT) AND AMENDING	
CERTAIN UNION LEGISLATIVE ACTS	
TITLE I	
GENERAL PROVISIONS	
Article 1	
Subject matter	

Deadline for comments: 26 October 2021

This Regulation lays down:	
(a) harmonised rules for the placing on the	
market, the putting into service and the use of	
artificial intelligence systems ('AI systems') in the	
Union;	
(a) prohibitions of certain artificial intelligence	
practices;	
(b) specific requirements for high-risk AI	
systems and obligations for operators of such	
systems;	
(c) harmonised transparency rules for AI systems	
intended to interact with natural persons, emotion	
recognition systems and biometric categorisation	

Comments from: HU, IE, FR (Additional)

Updated: 18/11/2021 10:57

systems, and AI systems used to generate or	
manipulate image, audio or video content;	
(d) rules on market monitoring and surveillance.	
(d) rules on market monitoring and surveillance.	
Article 2	
Scope	
1. This Regulation applies to:	
(a) providers placing on the market or putting	
into service AI systems in the Union, irrespective of	
whether those providers are established within the	
Union or in a third country;	
(b) users of AI systems located within the Union;	

Comments from: HU, IE, FR (Additional)

Updated: 18/11/2021 10:57

(c) providers and users of AI systems that are
located in a third country, where the output produced
by the system is used in the Union;
2. For high-risk AI systems that are safety
components of products or systems, or which are
themselves products or systems, falling within the
scope of the following acts, only Article 84 of this
Regulation shall apply:
(a) Regulation (EC) 300/2008;
(b) Regulation (EU) No 167/2013;
(c) Regulation (EU) No 168/2013;
(d) Directive 2014/90/EU;

Comments from: HU, IE, FR (Additional)

Updated: 18/11/2021 10:57

(e) Directive (EU) 2016/797;	
(f) Regulation (EU) 2018/858;	
() -2	
(g) Regulation (EU) 2018/1139;	
(h) Regulation (EU) 2019/2144.	
3. This Regulation shall not apply to AI systems	
developed or used exclusively for military purposes.	
4. This Regulation shall not apply to public	
authorities in a third country nor to international organisations falling within the scope of this	
Regulation pursuant to paragraph 1, where those	

authorities or organisations use AI systems in the	
framework of international agreements for law	
enforcement and judicial cooperation with the Union	
or with one or more Member States.	
5. This Regulation shall not affect the	
application of the provisions on the liability of	
intermediary service providers set out in Chapter II,	
Section IV of Directive 2000/31/EC of the European	
Parliament and of the Council <sup>1</sup> [as to be replaced by	
the corresponding provisions of the Digital Services	
Act].	
Article 3	
Definitions	

Directive 2000/31/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 8 June 2000 on certain legal aspects of information society services, in particular electronic commerce, in the Internal Market ('Directive on electronic commerce') (OJ L 178, 17.7.2000, p. 1).

Commission proposal (doc. 8115/21 – COM(2021) 206 final)

Artificial Intelligence Act (Articles 1-29, Annexes I-IV)

Comments from: HU, IE, FR (Additional)

Updated: 18/11/2021 10:57

For the purpose of this Regulation, the following	
definitions apply:	
(1) 'artificial intelligence system' (AI system) means software that is developed with one or more	DELETED
of the techniques and approaches listed in Annex I	
and can, for a given set of human-defined objectives,	
generate outputs such as content, predictions,	
recommendations, or decisions influencing the	
environments they interact with;	
	IE:
	(Comments):
	We are concerned that the broad definition of AI used in the proposal
	could capture ordinary automated processes that do not actually

	involve AI as this may impose a disproportionate regulatory burden and hamper innovation. We would welcome a carefully thought approach to the definition which considers aspects of task-oriented knowledge and trained models as fundamental to AI as well as regard AI as a specific capability of a generic system.
(1) 'provider' means a natural or legal person,	
public authority, agency or other body that develops	
an AI system or that has an AI system developed	
with a view to placing it on the market or putting it	
into service under its own name or trademark,	
whether for payment or free of charge;	
(3) 'small-scale provider' means a provider that	
is a micro or small enterprise within the meaning of	
Commission Recommendation 2003/361/EC <sup>2</sup> ;	

Commission Recommendation of 6 May 2003 concerning the definition of micro, small and medium-sized enterprises (OJ L 124, 20.5.2003, p. 36).

# Artificial Intelligence Act (Articles 1-29, Annexes I-IV)

Comments from: HU, IE, FR (Additional)

-

Comments from: HU, IE, FR (Additional)

Updated: 18/11/2021 10:57

(7) 'distributor' means any natural or legal	
person in the supply chain, other than the provider or	
the importer, that makes an AI system available on	
the Union market without affecting its properties;	
(8) 'operator' means the provider, the user, the	
authorised representative, the importer and the	
distributor;	
(9) 'placing on the market' means the first	
making available of an AI system on the Union	
market;	
(10) 'making available on the market' means any	
supply of an AI system for distribution or use on the	
Union market in the course of a commercial activity,	
whether in return for payment or free of charge;	

Comments from: HU, IE, FR (Additional)

Updated: 18/11/2021 10:57

(11) 'putting into service' means the supply of an	
AI system for first use directly to the user or for own	
use on the Union market for its intended purpose;	
(12) 'intended purpose' means the use for which	
an AI system is intended by the provider, including	
the specific context and conditions of use, as	
specified in the information supplied by the provider	
in the instructions for use, promotional or sales	
materials and statements, as well as in the technical	
documentation;	
(13) 'reasonably foreseeable misuse' means the	
use of an AI system in a way that is not in	
accordance with its intended purpose, but which may	
result from reasonably foreseeable human behaviour	
or interaction with other systems;	

(14) 'safety component of a product or system'	
means a component of a product or of a system	
which fulfils a safety function for that product or	
system or the failure or malfunctioning of which	
endangers the health and safety of persons or	
property;	
(15) 'instructions for use' means the information	
provided by the provider to inform the user of in	
particular an AI system's intended purpose and	
proper use, inclusive of the specific geographical,	
behavioural or functional setting within which the	
high-risk AI system is intended to be used;	
(16) 'recall of an AI system' means any measure	
aimed at achieving the return to the provider of an AI	
system made available to users;	

Comments from: HU, IE, FR (Additional)

Updated: 18/11/2021 10:57

(17) 'withdrawal of an AI system' means any	
measure aimed at preventing the distribution, display	
and offer of an AI system;	
(18) 'performance of an AI system' means the	
ability of an AI system to achieve its intended	
purpose;	
(19) 'notifying authority' means the national	
authority responsible for setting up and carrying out	
the necessary procedures for the assessment,	
designation and notification of conformity	
assessment bodies and for their monitoring;	
(20) 'conformity assessment' means the process	
of verifying whether the requirements set out in Title	
III, Chapter 2 of this Regulation relating to an AI	
system have been fulfilled;	

Commission proposal (doc. 8115/21 – COM(2021) 206 final)

Artificial Intelligence Act (Articles 1-29, Annexes I-IV)

Comments from: HU, IE, FR (Additional)

Deadline for comments: 26 October 2021

(24) 'CE marking of conformity' (CE marking)	
means a marking by which a provider indicates that	
an AI system is in conformity with the requirements	
set out in Title III, Chapter 2 of this Regulation and	
other applicable Union legislation harmonising the	
conditions for the marketing of products ('Union	
harmonisation legislation') providing for its affixing;	
(25) 'post-market monitoring' means all activities	
carried out by providers of AI systems to proactively	
collect and review experience gained from the use of	
AI systems they place on the market or put into	
service for the purpose of identifying any need to	
immediately apply any necessary corrective or	
preventive actions;	
,	
(26) Smoothed grows illenge on the mitry and the	
(26) 'market surveillance authority' means the	
national authority carrying out the activities and	

taking the measures pursuant to Regulation (EU)	
2019/1020;	
(27) 'harmonised standard' means a European	
standard as defined in Article 2(1)(c) of Regulation	
(EU) No 1025/2012;	
(28) 'common specifications' means a document,	
other than a standard, containing technical solutions	
providing a means to, comply with certain	
requirements and obligations established under this	
Regulation;	
(29) 'training data' means data used for training	
an AI system through fitting its learnable parameters,	
including the weights of a neural network;	
(30) 'validation data' means data used for	

Comments from: HU, IE, FR (Additional)

Deadline for comments: 26 October 2021

providing an evaluation of the trained AI system and	
for tuning its non-learnable parameters and its	
learning process, among other things, in order to	
prevent overfitting; whereas the validation dataset	
can be a separate dataset or part of the training	
dataset, either as a fixed or variable split;	
(31) 'testing data' means data used for providing	
an independent evaluation of the trained and	
validated AI system in order to confirm the expected	
performance of that system before its placing on the	
market or putting into service;	
(32) 'input data' means data provided to or	
directly acquired by an AI system on the basis of	
which the system produces an output;	
(33) 'biometric data' means personal data	
resulting from specific technical processing relating	

Comments from: HU, IE, FR (Additional)

Updated: 18/11/2021 10:57

to the physical, physiological or behavioural	
characteristics of a natural person, which allow or	
confirm the unique identification of that natural	
person, such as facial images or dactyloscopic data;	
(34) 'emotion recognition system' means an AI	
system for the purpose of identifying or inferring	
emotions or intentions of natural persons on the basis	
of their biometric data;	
(35) 'biometric categorisation system' means an	
AI system for the purpose of assigning natural	
persons to specific categories, such as sex, age, hair	
colour, eye colour, tattoos, ethnic origin or sexual or	
political orientation, on the basis of their biometric	
data;	
(36) 'remote biometric identification system'	
means an AI system for the purpose of identifying	

natural persons at a distance through the comparison	
of a person's biometric data with the biometric data	
contained in a reference database, and without prior	
knowledge of the user of the AI system whether the	
person will be present and can be identified;	
(37) 'real-time' remote biometric identification	
system' means a remote biometric identification	
system whereby the capturing of biometric data, the	
comparison and the identification all occur without a	
significant delay. This comprises not only instant	
identification, but also limited short delays in order	
to avoid circumvention.	
(38) "post' remote biometric identification	
system' means a remote biometric identification	
system other than a 'real-time' remote biometric	
identification system;	

Comments from: HU, IE, FR (Additional)

Updated: 18/11/2021 10:57

(39) 'publicly accessible space' means any	
physical place accessible to the public, regardless of	
whether certain conditions for access may apply;	
(40) 'law enforcement authority' means:	IE:
	(Comments):
	The definition of 'law enforcement' under article 3(40) must take account of the fact that law enforcement applications of AI go beyond traditional police and security forces, and into the wider public sector and parts of the private sector.
(a) any public authority competent for the	
prevention, investigation, detection or prosecution of	
criminal offences or the execution of criminal	
penalties, including the safeguarding against and the	
prevention of threats to public security; or	

Commission proposal (doc. 8115/21 – COM(2021) 206 final)

Artificial Intelligence Act (Articles 1-29, Annexes I-IV)

Comments from: HU, IE, FR (Additional)

Updated: 18/11/2021 10:57

(b) any other body or entity entrusted by	
Member State law to exercise public authority and	
public powers for the purposes of the prevention,	
investigation, detection or prosecution of criminal	
offences or the execution of criminal penalties,	
including the safeguarding against and the	
prevention of threats to public security;	
(41) 'law enforcement' means activities carried	
out by law enforcement authorities for the	
prevention, investigation, detection or prosecution of	
criminal offences or the execution of criminal	
penalties, including the safeguarding against and the	
prevention of threats to public security;	
(42) 'national supervisory authority' means the	
authority to which a Member State assigns the	
responsibility for the implementation and application	
of this Regulation, for coordinating the activities	

Comments from: HU, IE, FR (Additional)

Updated: 18/11/2021 10:57

entrusted to that Member State, for acting as the	
single contact point for the Commission, and for	
representing the Member State at the European	
Artificial Intelligence Board;	
(43) 'national competent authority' means the	
national supervisory authority, the notifying	
authority and the market surveillance authority;	
(44) 'serious incident' means any incident that	
directly or indirectly leads, might have led or might	
lead to any of the following:	
(a) the death of a person or serious damage to a	
person's health, to property or the environment,	
(b) a serious and irreversible disruption of the	
management and operation of critical infrastructure.	

Comments from: HU, IE, FR (Additional)

Updated: 18/11/2021 10:57

Article 4	
Amendments to Annex I	
The Commission is empowered to adopt delegated	
acts in accordance with Article 73 to amend the list	
of techniques and approaches listed in Annex I, in	
order to update that list to market and technological	
developments on the basis of characteristics that are	
similar to the techniques and approaches listed	
therein.	
TITLE II	
PROHIBITED ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE	
PRACTICES	

Comments from: HU, IE, FR (Additional)

Updated: 18/11/2021 10:57

Article 5	
1. The following artificial intelligence practices	
shall be prohibited:	
(a) the placing on the market, putting into service	IE:
or use of an AI system that deploys subliminal	(Comments):
techniques beyond a person's consciousness in order	(Comments).
to materially distort a person's behaviour in a	'distorting a person's behaviour should be further qualified to
manner that causes or is likely to cause that person or	distinguish it from prevalent and persuasive web suggestions /
another person physical or psychological harm;	advertising in its current form which does impact consumer behaviour
	in favour of advertiser.
(b) the placing on the market, putting into service	
or use of an AI system that exploits any of the	
vulnerabilities of a specific group of persons due to	
their age, physical or mental disability, in order to	
materially distort the behaviour of a person	

## Deadline for comments: 26 October 2021

pertaining to that group in a manner that causes or is	
likely to cause that person or another person physical	
or psychological harm;	
(c) the placing on the market, putting into service	
or use of AI systems by public authorities or on their	
behalf for the evaluation or classification of the	
trustworthiness of natural persons over a certain	
period of time based on their social behaviour or	
known or predicted personal or personality	
characteristics, with the social score leading to either	
or both of the following:	
(i) detrimental or unfavourable treatment of	
certain natural persons or whole groups thereof in	
social contexts which are unrelated to the contexts in	
which the data was originally generated or collected;	

Comments from: HU, IE, FR (Additional)

Updated: 18/11/2021 10:57

(ii) detrimental or unfavourable treatment of	
certain natural persons or whole groups thereof that	
is unjustified or disproportionate to their social	
behaviour or its gravity;	
(d) the use of 'real-time' remote biometric	
identification systems in publicly accessible spaces	
for the purpose of law enforcement, unless and in as	
far as such use is strictly necessary for one of the	
following objectives:	
(i) the targeted search for specific potential	
victims of crime, including missing children;	
(ii) the prevention of a specific, substantial and	
imminent threat to the life or physical safety of	DELETED
natural persons or of a terrorist attack;	

Commission proposal (doc. 8115/21 – COM(2021) 206 final)

Artificial Intelligence Act (Articles 1-29, Annexes I-IV)

Comments from: HU, IE, FR (Additional)

Updated: 18/11/2021 10:57

	DELETED
(iii) the detection, localisation, identification or	DELETED
prosecution of a perpetrator or suspect of a criminal	
offence referred to in Article 2(2) of Council	
Framework Decision 2002/584/JHA <sup>3</sup> and punishable	
in the Member State concerned by a custodial	
sentence or a detention order for a maximum period	
of at least three years, as determined by the law of	
that Member State.	

Council Framework Decision 2002/584/JHA of 13 June 2002 on the European arrest warrant and the surrender procedures between Member States (OJ L 190, 18.7.2002, p. 1).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Council Framework Decision 2002/584/JHA of 13 June 2002 on the European arrest warrant and the surrender procedures between Member States (OJ L 190, 18.7.2002, p. 1).

Commission proposal (doc. 8115/21 – COM(2021) 206 final) Deadline for comments: 26 October 2021 Artificial Intelligence Act (Articles 1-29, Annexes I-IV) Comments from: HU, IE, FR (Additional) Updated: 18/11/2021 10:57 **DELETED** 

2. The use of 'real-time' remote biometric	
identification systems in publicly accessible spaces	
for the purpose of law enforcement for any of the	
objectives referred to in paragraph 1 point d) shall	
take into account the following elements:	
(a) the nature of the situation giving rise to the	
possible use, in particular the seriousness, probability	
and scale of the harm caused in the absence of the	
use of the system;	
(b) the consequences of the use of the system for	
the rights and freedoms of all persons concerned, in	
particular the seriousness, probability and scale of	
those consequences.	
In addition, the use of 'real-time' remote biometric	

Comments from: HU, IE, FR (Additional)

Updated: 18/11/2021 10:57

identification systems in publicly accessible spaces	
for the purpose of law enforcement for any of the	
objectives referred to in paragraph 1 point d) shall	
comply with necessary and proportionate safeguards	
and conditions in relation to the use, in particular as	
regards the temporal, geographic and personal	
limitations.	
3. As regards paragraphs 1, point (d) and 2,	IE:
each individual use for the purpose of law	
enforcement of a 'real-time' remote biometric	(Comments):
identification system in publicly accessible spaces	
shall be subject to a prior authorisation granted by a	
judicial authority or by an independent	
administrative authority of the Member State in	
which the use is to take place, issued upon a	
reasoned request and in accordance with the detailed	
rules of national law referred to in paragraph 4.	
However, in a duly justified situation of urgency, the	

Commission proposal (doc. 8115/21 – COM(2021) 206 final)

Artificial Intelligence Act (Articles 1-29, Annexes I-IV)

Comments from: HU, IE, FR (Additional)

Updated: 18/11/2021 10:57

use of the system may be commenced without an	
authorisation and the authorisation may be requested	
only during or after the use.	
The competent judicial or administrative authority	
shall only grant the authorisation where it is	
satisfied, based on objective evidence or clear	
indications presented to it, that the use of the 'real-	
time' remote biometric identification system at issue	
is necessary for and proportionate to achieving one	
of the objectives specified in paragraph 1, point (d),	
as identified in the request. In deciding on the	
request, the competent judicial or administrative	
authority shall take into account the elements	
referred to in paragraph 2.	
4. A Member State may decide to provide for	
the possibility to fully or partially authorise the use	
of 'real-time' remote biometric identification	
	1

Commission proposal (doc. 8115/21 – COM(2021) 206 final)

Artificial Intelligence Act (Articles 1-29, Annexes I-IV)

Comments from: HU, IE, FR (Additional)

Updated: 18/11/2021 10:57

systems in publicly accessible spaces for the purpose
of law enforcement within the limits and under the
conditions listed in paragraphs 1, point (d), 2 and 3.
That Member State shall lay down in its national law
the necessary detailed rules for the request, issuance
and exercise of, as well as supervision relating to, the
authorisations referred to in paragraph 3. Those rules
shall also specify in respect of which of the
objectives listed in paragraph 1, point (d), including
which of the criminal offences referred to in point
(iii) thereof, the competent authorities may be
authorised to use those systems for the purpose of
law enforcement.
TITLE III
HIGH-RISK AI SYSTEMS

Comments from: HU, IE, FR (Additional)

Updated: 18/11/2021 10:57

Chapter 1	
CLASSIFICATION OF AI SYSTEMS AS HIGH-	
RISK	
Article 6	
Classification rules for high-risk AI systems	
Irrespective of whether an AI system is	
placed on the market or put into service	
independently from the products referred to in points	
(a) and (b), that AI system shall be considered high-	
risk where both of the following conditions are	
fulfilled:	
(a) the AI system is intended to be used as a	
safety component of a product, or is itself a product,	
covered by the Union harmonisation legislation	

listed in Annex II;	
(b) the product whose safety component is the AI system, or the AI system itself as a product, is required to undergo a third-party conformity assessment with a view to the placing on the market or putting into service of that product pursuant to the Union harmonisation legislation listed in Annex II.	
2. In addition to the high-risk AI systems referred to in paragraph 1, AI systems referred to in Annex III shall also be considered high-risk.	IE:  (Comments):  There is a potential risk of using too broad a system of defining risk according to sector, which may capture products or services within that sector that actually contain no or low risks .
	The regulation should, therefore, provide a robust mechanism to help producers and implementors of AI classify their product or service, for risk potential, either by:  a) An exhaustive list of possible AI use cases and their respective risk class.

	b) A flowchart styled decision questionnaire to self-determine risk class.
	This will help ensure innovation and compliance without disproportionate overheads.
Article 7	
Amendments to Annex III	
1. The Commission is empowered to adopt	
delegated acts in accordance with Article 73 to	
update the list in Annex III by adding high-risk AI	
systems where both of the following conditions are	
fulfilled:	
(a) the AI systems are intended to be used in any	
of the areas listed in points 1 to 8 of Annex III;	

Commission proposal (doc. 8115/21 - COM(2021) 206 final)

Artificial Intelligence Act (Articles 1-29, Annexes I-IV)

Comments from: HU, IE, FR (Additional)

Updated: 18/11/2021 10:57

(b) the AI systems pose a risk of harm to the	
health and safety, or a risk of adverse impact on	
fundamental rights, that is, in respect of its severity	
and probability of occurrence, equivalent to or	
greater than the risk of harm or of adverse impact	
posed by the high-risk AI systems already referred to	
in Annex III.	
2. When assessing for the purposes of paragraph	
1 whether an AI system poses a risk of harm to the	
health and safety or a risk of adverse impact on	
fundamental rights that is equivalent to or greater	
than the risk of harm posed by the high-risk AI	
systems already referred to in Annex III, the	
Commission shall take into account the following	
criteria:	
(a) the intended purpose of the AI system;	

Comments from: HU, IE, FR (Additional)

Updated: 18/11/2021 10:57

(b) the extent to which an AI system has been	
used or is likely to be used;	
(c) the extent to which the use of an AI system	
has already caused harm to the health and safety or	
adverse impact on the fundamental rights or has	
given rise to significant concerns in relation to the	
materialisation of such harm or adverse impact, as	
demonstrated by reports or documented allegations	
submitted to national competent authorities;	
(d) the potential extent of such harm or such	
adverse impact, in particular in terms of its intensity	
and its ability to affect a plurality of persons;	
(e) the extent to which potentially harmed or	
adversely impacted persons are dependent on the	

outcome produced with an AI system, in particular	
because for practical or legal reasons it is not	
reasonably possible to opt-out from that outcome;	
(f) the extent to which potentially harmed or	
adversely impacted persons are in a vulnerable	
position in relation to the user of an AI system, in	
particular due to an imbalance of power, knowledge,	
economic or social circumstances, or age;	
(g) the extent to which the outcome produced	
with an AI system is easily reversible, whereby	
outcomes having an impact on the health or safety of	
persons shall not be considered as easily reversible;	
(h) the extent to which existing Union legislation	
provides for:	

Comments from: HU, IE, FR (Additional)

Updated: 18/11/2021 10:57

(i) effective measures of redress in relation to	
the risks posed by an AI system, with the exclusion	
of claims for damages;	
(ii) effective measures to prevent or substantially	
minimise those risks.	
minimise those risks.	
Chapter 2	
REQUIREMENTS FOR HIGH-RISK AI SYSTEMS	
REQUIREMENTS FOR HIGH-RISK AT STSTEMS	
Article 8	
Compliance with the requirements	
High-risk AI systems shall comply with the	
requirements established in this Chapter.	
requirements established in this Chapter.	

Comments from: HU, IE, FR (Additional)

Updated: 18/11/2021 10:57

2. The intended purpose of the high-risk AI	
system and the risk management system referred to	
in Article 9 shall be taken into account when	
ensuring compliance with those requirements.	
Article 9	
Risk management system	
1. A risk management system shall be	
established, implemented, documented and	
maintained in relation to high-risk AI systems.	
2. The risk management system shall consist of	
a continuous iterative process run throughout the	
entire lifecycle of a high-risk AI system, requiring	
regular systematic updating. It shall comprise the	
following steps:	

(a) identification and analysis of the known and	
foreseeable risks associated with each high-risk AI	
system;	
(b) estimation and evaluation of the risks that	
may emerge when the high-risk AI system is used in	
accordance with its intended purpose and under	
conditions of reasonably foreseeable misuse;	
(c) evaluation of other possibly arising risks	
based on the analysis of data gathered from the post-	
market monitoring system referred to in Article 61;	
(d) adoption of suitable risk management	
measures in accordance with the provisions of the	
following paragraphs.	
3. The risk management measures referred to in	

Comments from: HU, IE, FR (Additional)

Updated: 18/11/2021 10:57

paragraph 2, point (d) shall give due consideration to	
the effects and possible interactions resulting from	
the combined application of the requirements set out	
in this Chapter 2. They shall take into account the	
generally acknowledged state of the art, including as	
reflected in relevant harmonised standards or	
common specifications.	
4. The risk management measures referred to in	
paragraph 2, point (d) shall be such that any residual	
risk associated with each hazard as well as the	
overall residual risk of the high-risk AI systems is	
judged acceptable, provided that the high-risk AI	
system is used in accordance with its intended	
purpose or under conditions of reasonably	
foreseeable misuse. Those residual risks shall be	
communicated to the user.	
In identifying the most appropriate risk management	
· ·	

Comments from: HU, IE, FR (Additional)

Updated: 18/11/2021 10:57

measures, the following shall be ensured:	
(a) elimination or reduction of risks as far as	
possible through adequate design and development;	
(b) where appropriate, implementation of	
adequate mitigation and control measures in relation	
to risks that cannot be eliminated;	
(c) provision of adequate information pursuant to	
Article 13, in particular as regards the risks referred	
to in paragraph 2, point (b) of this Article, and,	
where appropriate, training to users.	
In eliminating or reducing risks related to the use of	
the high-risk AI system, due consideration shall be	
given to the technical knowledge, experience,	
education, training to be expected by the user and the	

environment in which the system is intended to be	
used.	
5. High-risk AI systems shall be tested for the	
purposes of identifying the most appropriate risk	
management measures. Testing shall ensure that	
high-risk AI systems perform consistently for their	
intended purpose and they are in compliance with the	
requirements set out in this Chapter.	
6. Testing procedures shall be suitable to	
achieve the intended purpose of the AI system and	
do not need to go beyond what is necessary to	
achieve that purpose.	
7. The testing of the high-risk AI systems shall	
be performed, as appropriate, at any point in time	
throughout the development process, and, in any	
event, prior to the placing on the market or the	

Comments from: HU, IE, FR (Additional)

Updated: 18/11/2021 10:57

putting into service. Testing shall be made against	
preliminarily defined metrics and probabilistic	
thresholds that are appropriate to the intended	
purpose of the high-risk AI system.	
8. When implementing the risk management	
system described in paragraphs 1 to 7, specific	
consideration shall be given to whether the high-risk	
AI system is likely to be accessed by or have an	
impact on children.	
9. For credit institutions regulated by Directive	
2013/36/EU, the aspects described in paragraphs 1 to	
8 shall be part of the risk management procedures	
established by those institutions pursuant to Article	
74 of that Directive.	
Article 10	
Data and data governance	

1. High-risk AI systems which make use of
techniques involving the training of models with data
shall be developed on the basis of training, validation
and testing data sets that meet the quality criteria
referred to in paragraphs 2 to 5.
2. Training, validation and testing data sets shall
be subject to appropriate data governance and
management practices. Those practices shall concern
in particular,
(a) the relevant design choices;
(b) data collection;
(c) relevant data preparation processing

Comments from: HU, IE, FR (Additional)

Updated: 18/11/2021 10:57

operations, such as annotation, labelling, cleaning,	
enrichment and aggregation;	
(d) the formulation of relevant assumptions,	
notably with respect to the information that the data	
are supposed to measure and represent;	
are supposed to measure and represent,	
(e) a prior assessment of the availability,	
quantity and suitability of the data sets that are	
needed;	
(f) examination in view of possible biases;	
(g) the identification of any possible data gaps or	
shortcomings, and how those gaps and shortcomings	
can be addressed.	

3. Training, validation and testing data sets shall	IE:
be relevant, representative, free of errors and	(Comments):
complete. They shall have the appropriate statistical	(Comments):
properties, including, where applicable, as regards	Data sets used for AI are provided to developers by commissioning
the persons or groups of persons on which the high-	entity which itself may source it from various channels. Requirement in clause 44 for data set to be "free of error and complete" is often
risk AI system is intended to be used. These	difficult to attain and mitigating steps should be suggested in regulation
characteristics of the data sets may be met at the	to facilitate cases where real world data, e.g., unstructured natural language data, is to be applied as is.
level of individual data sets or a combination thereof.	is inguage acta, is to be applied as is.
4. Training, validation and testing data sets shall	
take into account, to the extent required by the	
intended purpose, the characteristics or elements that	
are particular to the specific geographical,	
behavioural or functional setting within which the	
high-risk AI system is intended to be used.	
5. To the extent that it is strictly necessary for	
the purposes of ensuring bias monitoring, detection	

Commission proposal (doc. 8115/21 – COM(2021) 206 final)

Artificial Intelligence Act (Articles 1-29, Annexes I-IV)

Comments from: HU, IE, FR (Additional)

Updated: 18/11/2021 10:57

and correction in relation to the high-risk AI
systems, the providers of such systems may process
special categories of personal data_referred to in
Article 9(1) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679, Article 10
of Directive (EU) 2016/680 and Article 10(1) of
Regulation (EU) 2018/1725, subject to appropriate
safeguards for the fundamental rights and freedoms
of natural persons, including technical limitations on
the re-use and use of state-of-the-art security and
privacy-preserving measures, such as
pseudonymisation, or encryption where
anonymisation may significantly affect the purpose
pursued.
6. Appropriate data governance and
management practices shall apply for the
development of high-risk AI systems other than
those which make use of techniques involving the
training of models in order to ensure that those high-

risk AI systems comply with paragraph 2.	
	FR:
	(Drafting):
	(New) 7. In order to comply with the requirements laid out in this
	article, the minimization principle shall be interpreted with
	consideration for the full life cycle of the system. FR:
	(Comments):
	The minimization principle laid out in GDPR and its interpretation by
	EDPB shall take into account the necessity to retain some training
	evaluation and testing data, during the whole life cycle of the system.
Article 11	
Technical documentation	
1. The technical documentation of a high-risk	
AI system shall be drawn up before that system is	
placed on the market or put into service and shall be	
kept up-to date.	

Commission proposal (doc. 8115/21 – COM(2021) 206 final)

Artificial Intelligence Act (Articles 1-29, Annexes I-IV)

Comments from: HU, IE, FR (Additional)

Updated: 18/11/2021 10:57

The technical documentation shall be drawn up in
such a way to demonstrate that the high-risk AI
system complies with the requirements set out in this
Chapter and provide national competent authorities
and notified bodies with all the necessary
information to assess the compliance of the AI
system with those requirements. It shall contain, at a
minimum, the elements set out in Annex IV.
2. Where a high-risk AI system related to a
product, to which the legal acts listed in Annex II,
section A apply, is placed on the market or put into
service one single technical documentation shall be
drawn up containing all the information set out in
Annex IV as well as the information required under
those legal acts.

Comments from: HU, IE, FR (Additional)

Updated: 18/11/2021 10:57

3. The Commission is empowered to adopt	
delegated acts in accordance with Article 73 to	
amend Annex IV where necessary to ensure that, in	
the light of technical progress, the technical	
documentation provides all the necessary	
information to assess the compliance of the system	
with the requirements set out in this Chapter.	
Article 12	
Record-keeping	
1. High-risk AI systems shall be designed and	
developed with capabilities enabling the automatic	
recording of events ('logs') while the high-risk AI	
systems is operating. Those logging capabilities shall	
conform to recognised standards or common	
specifications.	

Commission proposal (doc. 8115/21 – COM(2021) 206 final)

Artificial Intelligence Act (Articles 1-29, Annexes I-IV)

Comments from: HU, IE, FR (Additional)

Updated: 18/11/2021 10:57

2. The logging capabilities shall ensure a level	DELETED
of traceability of the AI system's functioning	
throughout its lifecycle that is appropriate to the	
intended purpose of the system.	
	DELETED
3. In particular, logging capabilities shall enable	
the monitoring of the operation of the high-risk AI	
system with respect to the occurrence of situations	
that may result in the AI system presenting a risk	
within the meaning of Article 65(1) or lead to a	

substantial modification, and facilitate the post-	
market monitoring referred to in Article 61.	
4. For high-risk AI systems referred to in	
paragraph 1, point (a) of Annex III, the logging	
capabilities shall provide, at a minimum:	
The state of the s	
(a) recording of the period of each use of the	
system (start date and time and end date and time of	
each use);	
(b) the reference database against which input	
data has been checked by the system;	
(c) the input data for which the search has led to	DELETED
a match;	DELETED

	DELETED
(d) the identification of the natural persons	
involved in the verification of the results, as referred	
to in Article 14 (5).	
Article 13	
Transparency and provision of information to users	
1. High-risk AI systems shall be designed and	
developed in such a way to ensure that their	
operation is sufficiently transparent to enable users	
to interpret the system's output and use it	

appropriately. An appropriate type and degree of	
transparency shall be ensured, with a view to	
achieving compliance with the relevant obligations	
of the user and of the provider set out in Chapter 3 of	
this Title.	
2. High-risk AI systems shall be accompanied	
by instructions for use in an appropriate digital	
format or otherwise that include concise, complete,	
correct and clear information that is relevant,	
accessible and comprehensible to users.	
3. The information referred to in paragraph 2	
shall specify:	
(a) the identity and the contact details of the	
provider and, where applicable, of its authorised	
representative;	

(b) the characteristics, capabilities and	
limitations of performance of the high-risk AI	
system, including:	
(i) its intended purpose;	
(ii) the level of accuracy, robustness and	DELETED
cybersecurity referred to in Article 15 against which	
the high-risk AI system has been tested and validated	
and which can be expected, and any known and	
foreseeable circumstances that may have an impact	
on that expected level of accuracy, robustness and	
cybersecurity;	

	DELETED
(iii) any known or foreseeable circumstance,	
related to the use of the high-risk AI system in	
accordance with its intended purpose or under	
conditions of reasonably foreseeable misuse, which	
may lead to risks to the health and safety or	
fundamental rights;	
(iv) its performance as regards the persons or	
groups of persons on which the system is intended to	
be used;	
(v) when appropriate, specifications for the input	
data, or any other relevant information in terms of	
the training, validation and testing data sets used,	
taking into account the intended purpose of the AI	

Comments from: HU, IE, FR (Additional)

Updated: 18/11/2021 10:57

system.	
(c) the changes to the high-risk AI system and its	
performance which have been pre-determined by the	
provider at the moment of the initial conformity	
assessment, if any;	
(d) the human oversight measures referred to in	
Article 14, including the technical measures put in	
place to facilitate the interpretation of the outputs of	
AI systems by the users;	
(e) the expected lifetime of the high-risk AI	
system and any necessary maintenance and care	
measures to ensure the proper functioning of that AI	
system, including as regards software updates.	
Article 14	

Human oversight	
High-risk AI systems shall be designed and	
developed in such a way, including with appropriate	
human-machine interface tools, that they can be	
effectively overseen by natural persons during the	
period in which the AI system is in use.	
2. Human oversight shall aim at preventing or	
minimising the risks to health, safety or fundamental	
rights that may emerge when a high-risk AI system	
is used in accordance with its intended purpose or	
under conditions of reasonably foreseeable misuse,	
in particular when such risks persist notwithstanding	
the application of other requirements set out in this	
Chapter.	
3. Human oversight shall be ensured through	
either one or all of the following measures:	

Comments from: HU, IE, FR (Additional)

Deadline for comments: 26 October 2021

(a) identified and built, when technically	
feasible, into the high-risk AI system by the provider	
before it is placed on the market or put into service;	
(b) identified by the provider before placing the	
high-risk AI system on the market or putting it into	
service and that are appropriate to be implemented	
by the user.	
4. The measures referred to in paragraph 3 shall	
enable the individuals to whom human oversight is	
assigned to do the following, as appropriate to the	
circumstances:	
(a) fully understand the capacities and	IE:
limitations of the high-risk AI system and be able to	(Comments):
duly monitor its operation, so that signs of	(Commons).

# Comments from: HU, IE, FR (Additional)

# Updated: 18/11/2021 10:57

anomalies, dysfunctions and unexpected performance can be detected and addressed as soon as possible;	"fully understand the capacities" may not be feasible in geographically wider and nascent markets and therefore a flexible approach along with clear demarcation of responsibilities between producers, distributors and deployers may benefit a lot.
(b) remain aware of the possible tendency of automatically relying or over-relying on the output produced by a high-risk AI system ('automation bias'), in particular for high-risk AI systems used to provide information or recommendations for decisions to be taken by natural persons;	
(c) be able to correctly interpret the high-risk AI system's output, taking into account in particular the characteristics of the system and the interpretation tools and methods available;  (d) be able to decide, in any particular situation,	

Comments from: HU, IE, FR (Additional)

Updated: 18/11/2021 10:57

not to use the high-risk AI system or otherwise	
disregard, override or reverse the output of the high-	
risk AI system;	
(e) be able to intervene on the operation of the	
high-risk AI system or interrupt the system through a	
"stop" button or a similar procedure.	
5. For high-risk AI systems referred to in point	
1(a) of Annex III, the measures referred to in	
paragraph 3 shall be such as to ensure that, in	
addition, no action or decision is taken by the user on	
the basis of the identification resulting from the	
system unless this has been verified and confirmed	
by at least two natural persons.	
Article 15	
Accuracy, robustness and cybersecurity	

1. High-risk AI systems shall be designed and	
developed in such a way that they achieve, in the	
light of their intended purpose, an appropriate level	
of accuracy, robustness and cybersecurity, and	
perform consistently in those respects throughout	
their lifecycle.	
2. The levels of accuracy and the relevant	
accuracy metrics of high-risk AI systems shall be	
declared in the accompanying instructions of use.	
3. High-risk AI systems shall be resilient as	
regards errors, faults or inconsistencies that may	
occur within the system or the environment in which	
the system operates, in particular due to their	
interaction with natural persons or other systems.	

Deadline for comments: 26 October 2021

# Comments from: HU, IE, FR (Additional)

The robustness of high-risk AI systems may be	
achieved through technical redundancy solutions,	
which may include backup or fail-safe plans.	
High-risk AI systems that continue to learn after	
being placed on the market or put into service shall	
be developed in such a way to ensure that possibly	
biased outputs due to outputs used as an input for	
future operations ('feedback loops') are duly	
addressed with appropriate mitigation measures.	
4. High-risk AI systems shall be resilient as	
regards attempts by unauthorised third parties to alter	
their use or performance by exploiting the system	
vulnerabilities.	
The technical solutions aimed at ensuring the	
cybersecurity of high-risk AI systems shall be	
appropriate to the relevant circumstances and the	

Comments from: HU, IE, FR (Additional)

Updated: 18/11/2021 10:57

IE:  (Comments):  We are concerned about a number of references to the providers of AI "shall immediately inform the national competent authorities of the Member States in which it made the system available" in a number of instances and "upon request of a national competent authority, demonstrate the conformity of the high-risk AI system".
( \ r

	competent authorities from all 27 Member States which is in contradiction to the spirit of the country of origin principle which requires that a provider should only have to comply with the regulation in the MS in which it is established. This may be harmonising EU regulation which all providers wherever established have to comply with but to have to engage with all 27 MS's competent authorities is a potentially extremely burdensome regulatory burden. It is suggested that under the Governance scheme related to the Act consideration should be given to reflect the essence of the Country of Origin principle whereby the providers should only need to provide information to a competent authority in the MS of establishment which disseminates information to its counterparts in the other MS. Failing to do this in our estimation is likely to hamper innovation.
Article 16	
Obligations of providers of high-risk AI systems	
Providers of high-risk AI systems shall:	
(a) ensure that their high-risk AI systems are	
compliant with the requirements set out in Chapter 2	

Comments from: HU, IE, FR (Additional)

Updated: 18/11/2021 10:57

of this Title;	
(b) have a quality management system in place	
which complies with Article 17;	
(c) draw-up the technical documentation of the	
high-risk AI system;	
(d) when under their control, keep the logs	
automatically generated by their high-risk AI	
systems;	
(e) ensure that the high-risk AI system undergoes	FR:
the relevant conformity assessment procedure, prior	(Drofting):
to its placing on the market or putting into service;	(Drafting):
	ensure that the high-risk AI system undergoes the relevant
	conformity assessment procedure, prior to its placing on the market
	or putting into service, <b>DELETED</b>

Comments from: HU, IE, FR (Additional)

Deadline for comments: 26 October 2021

	DELETED
(f) comply with the registration obligations	
referred to in Article 51;	
(g) take the necessary corrective actions, if the	
high-risk AI system is not in conformity with the	
requirements set out in Chapter 2 of this Title;	
(h) inform the national competent authorities of	
the Member States in which they made the AI	
system available or put it into service and, where	
applicable, the notified body of the non-compliance	
and of any corrective actions taken;	

(i) to affix the CE marking to their high-risk AI	
systems to indicate the conformity with this	
Regulation in accordance with Article 49;	
,	
(j) upon request of a national competent	
authority, demonstrate the conformity of the high-	
risk AI system with the requirements set out in	
Chapter 2 of this Title.	
Article 17	
Quality management system	
1. Providers of high-risk AI systems shall put a	
quality management system in place that ensures	
compliance with this Regulation. That system shall	
be documented in a systematic and orderly manner in	
the form of written policies, procedures and	

Comments from: HU, IE, FR (Additional)

instructions, and shall include at least the following	
aspects:	
(a) a strategy for regulatory compliance,	
including compliance with conformity assessment	
procedures and procedures for the management of	
modifications to the high-risk AI system;	
(b) techniques, procedures and systematic	
actions to be used for the design, design control and	
design verification of the high-risk AI system;	
(c) techniques, procedures and systematic	
actions to be used for the development, quality	
control and quality assurance of the high-risk AI	
system;	
(d) examination, test and validation procedures	

Comments from: HU, IE, FR (Additional)

Updated: 18/11/2021 10:57

to be carried out before, during and after the	
development of the high-risk AI system, and the	
frequency with which they have to be carried out;	
(e) technical specifications, including standards,	
to be applied and, where the relevant harmonised	
standards are not applied in full, the means to be	
used to ensure that the high-risk AI system complies	
with the requirements set out in Chapter 2 of this	
Title;	
(f) systems and procedures for data	
management, including data collection, data analysis,	
data labelling, data storage, data filtration, data	
mining, data aggregation, data retention and any	
other operation regarding the data that is performed	
before and for the purposes of the placing on the	
market or putting into service of high-risk AI	
systems;	

the risk management system referred to in

Comments from: HU, IE, FR (Additional)

(g)

(h)

Article 9;

Updated: 18/11/2021 10:57	
	ii

procedures related to the reporting of serious (i) incidents and of malfunctioning in accordance with Article 62;

the setting-up, implementation and

accordance with Article 61;

maintenance of a post-market monitoring system, in

the handling of communication with national (i) competent authorities, competent authorities, including sectoral ones, providing or supporting the access to data, notified bodies, other operators, customers or other interested parties;

Comments from: HU, IE, FR (Additional)

Updated: 18/11/2021 10:57

(k) systems and procedures for record keeping of	
all relevant documentation and information;	
(l) resource management, including security of	
supply related measures;	
supply related mediates,	
(m) an accountability framework setting out the	
responsibilities of the management and other staff	
with regard to all aspects listed in this paragraph.	
2. The implementation of aspects referred to in	
paragraph 1 shall be proportionate to the size of the	
provider's organisation.	
3. For providers that are credit institutions	
regulated by Directive 2013/36/ EU, the obligation to	
put a quality management system in place shall be	
deemed to be fulfilled by complying with the rules	

on internal governance arrangements, processes and	
mechanisms pursuant to Article 74 of that Directive.	
In that context, any harmonised standards referred to	
in Article 40 of this Regulation shall be taken into	
account.	
Article 18	
Obligation to draw up technical documentation	
Providers of high-risk AI systems shall draw	
up the technical documentation referred to in Article	
11 in accordance with Annex IV.	
2. Providers that are credit institutions regulated	
by Directive 2013/36/EU shall maintain the technical	
documentation as part of the documentation	
concerning internal governance, arrangements,	
processes and mechanisms pursuant to Article 74 of	
that Directive.	

Article 19 Conformity assessment  1. Providers of high-risk AI systems shall ensure that their systems undergo the relevant conformity assessment procedure in accordance with Article 43, prior to their placing on the market or putting into service. Where the compliance of the AI systems with the requirements set out in Chapter 2 of this Title has been demonstrated following that conformity assessment, the providers shall draw up an EU declaration of conformity in accordance with Article 48 and affix the CE marking of conformity in accordance with Article 49.	FR: (Drafting): Providers of high-risk AI systems shall ensure that their systems undergo the relevant conformity assessment procedure in accordance with Article 43, prior to their placing on the market or putting into service, except in the case mentioned in article 16(e). Where the compliance of the AI systems with the requirements set out in Chapter 2 of this Title has been demonstrated following that conformity assessment, the providers shall draw up an EU declaration of conformity in accordance with Article 48 and affix the CE marking of conformity in accordance
2. For high-risk AI systems referred to in point 5(b) of Annex III that are placed on the market or put	

Comments from: HU, IE, FR (Additional)

Updated: 18/11/2021 10:57

into service by providers that are credit institutions	
regulated by Directive 2013/36/EU, the conformity	
assessment shall be carried out as part of the	
procedure referred to in Articles 97 to101 of that	
Directive.	
Article 20	
Automatically generated logs	
1. Providers of high-risk AI systems shall keep	DEL EGED
the logs automatically generated by their high-risk	DELETED
AI systems, to the extent such logs are under their	
control by virtue of a contractual arrangement with	
the user or otherwise by law. The logs shall be kept	
for a period that is appropriate in the light of the	
intended purpose of high-risk AI system and	
applicable legal obligations under Union or national	
law.	

Updated: 18/11/2021 10:57

	DELETED
2. Providers that are credit institutions regulated	
by Directive 2013/36/EU shall maintain the logs	
automatically generated by their high-risk AI	
systems as part of the documentation under Articles	
74 of that Directive.	
Article 21	
Corrective actions	

Artificial Intelligence Act (Articles 1-29, Annexes I-IV)

Comments from: HU, IE, FR (Additional)

Updated: 18/11/2021 10:57

Providers of high-risk AI systems which consider or	
have reason to consider that a high-risk AI system	
which they have placed on the market or put into	
service is not in conformity with this Regulation	
shall immediately take the necessary corrective	
actions to bring that system into conformity, to	
withdraw it or to recall it, as appropriate. They shall	
inform the distributors of the high-risk AI system in	
question and, where applicable, the authorised	
representative and importers accordingly.	
Article 22	
Duty of information	
Where the high-risk AI system presents a risk within	
the meaning of Article 65(1) and that risk is known	
to the provider of the system, that provider shall	
immediately inform the national competent	

Comments from: HU, IE, FR (Additional)

Undated.	18/11/2021	10.57
Opumen.	10/11/2021	10.37

authorities of the Member States in which it made	
the system available and, where applicable, the	
notified body that issued a certificate for the high-	
risk AI system, in particular of the non-compliance	
and of any corrective actions taken.	
Article 23	
Cooperation with competent authorities	
Providers of high-risk AI systems shall, upon request	
by a national competent authority, provide that	
authority with all the information and documentation	
necessary to demonstrate the conformity of the high-	
risk AI system with the requirements set out in	
Chapter 2 of this Title, in an official Union language	
determined by the Member State concerned. Upon a	
reasoned request from a national competent	
authority, providers shall also give that authority	
access to the logs automatically generated by the	

Artificial Intelligence Act (Articles 1-29, Annexes I-IV)

Comments from: HU, IE, FR (Additional)

Updated: 18/11/2021 10:57

high-risk AI system, to the extent such logs are under	
their control by virtue of a contractual arrangement	
with the user or otherwise by law.	
Article 24	
Obligations of product manufacturers	
Where a high-risk AI system related to products to	
which the legal acts listed in Annex II, section A,	
apply, is placed on the market or put into service	
together with the product manufactured in	
accordance with those legal acts and under the name	
of the product manufacturer, the manufacturer of the	
product shall take the responsibility of the	
compliance of the AI system with this Regulation	
and, as far as the AI system is concerned, have the	
same obligations imposed by the present Regulation	
on the provider.	

Comments from: HU, IE, FR (Additional)

Updated: 18/11/2021 10:57

Article 25	
Authorised representatives	
1. Prior to making their systems available on the	
Union market, where an importer cannot be	
identified, providers established outside the Union	
shall, by written mandate, appoint an authorised	
representative which is established in the Union.	
2. The authorised representative shall perform	
the tasks specified in the mandate received from the	
provider. The mandate shall empower the authorised	
representative to carry out the following tasks:	
(a) keep a copy of the EU declaration of	
conformity and the technical documentation at the	
disposal of the national competent authorities and	
national authorities referred to in Article 63(7);	

Artificial Intelligence Act (Articles 1-29, Annexes I-IV)

Comments from: HU, IE, FR (Additional)

Updated: 18/11/2021 10:57

(b) provide a national competent authority, upon a reasoned request, with all the information and documentation necessary to demonstrate the conformity of a high-risk AI system with the requirements set out in Chapter 2 of this Title, including access to the logs automatically generated by the high-risk AI system to the extent such logs are	IE:  (Drafting):  provide a national competent authority, upon a reasoned request, with all the information and documentation necessary to demonstrate the conformity of a high-risk AI system with the requirements set out in Chapter 2 of this Title, in a language which can be easily understood by
under the control of the provider by virtue of a contractual arrangement with the user or otherwise by law;	that national competent authority
(c) cooperate with competent national authorities, upon a reasoned request, on any action the latter takes in relation to the high-risk AI system.	
Article 26 Obligations of importers	

Comments from: HU, IE, FR (Additional)

Updated: 18/11/2021 10:57

	·
1. Before placing a high-risk AI system on the	
market, importers of such system shall ensure that:	
(a) the appropriate conformity assessment	
procedure has been carried out by the provider of	
that AI system	
(b) the provider has drawn up the technical	
documentation in accordance with Annex IV;	
(c) the system bears the required conformity	
marking and is accompanied by the required	
documentation and instructions of use.	
2. Where an importer considers or has reason to	
consider that a high-risk AI system is not in	
conformity with this Regulation, it shall not place	

Artificial Intelligence Act (Articles 1-29, Annexes I-IV)

Comments from: HU, IE, FR (Additional)

Updated: 18/11/2021 10:57

that system on the market until that AI system has	
been brought into conformity. Where the high-risk	
AI system presents a risk within the meaning of	
Article 65(1), the importer shall inform the provider	
of the AI system and the market surveillance	
authorities to that effect.	
3. Importers shall indicate their name, registered	
trade name or registered trade mark, and the address	
at which they can be contacted on the high-risk AI	
system or, where that is not possible, on its	
packaging or its accompanying documentation, as	
applicable.	
4. Importers shall ensure that, while a high-risk	
AI system is under their responsibility, where	
applicable, storage or transport conditions do not	
jeopardise its compliance with the requirements set	
out in Chapter 2 of this Title.	

Artificial Intelligence Act (Articles 1-29, Annexes I-IV)

Comments from: HU, IE, FR (Additional)

Updated: 18/11/2021 10:57

5. Importers shall provide national competent
authorities, upon a reasoned request, with all
necessary information and documentation to
demonstrate the conformity of a high-risk AI system
with the requirements set out in Chapter 2 of this
Title in a language which can be easily understood
by that national competent authority, including
access to the logs automatically generated by the
high-risk AI system to the extent such logs are under
the control of the provider by virtue of a contractual
arrangement with the user or otherwise by law. They
shall also cooperate with those authorities on any
action national competent authority takes in relation
to that system.
Article 27
Obligations of distributors

Artificial Intelligence Act (Articles 1-29, Annexes I-IV)

Comments from: HU, IE, FR (Additional)

Updated: 18/11/2021 10:57

1. Before making a high-risk AI system
available on the market, distributors shall verify that
the high-risk AI system bears the required CE
conformity marking, that it is accompanied by the
required documentation and instruction of use, and
that the provider and the importer of the system, as
applicable, have complied with the obligations set
out in this Regulation.
2. Where a distributor considers or has reason to
consider that a high-risk AI system is not in
conformity with the requirements set out in Chapter
2 of this Title, it shall not make the high-risk AI
system available on the market until that system has
been brought into conformity with those
requirements. Furthermore, where the system
presents a risk within the meaning of Article 65(1),
the distributor shall inform the provider or the
importer of the system, as applicable, to that effect.

Artificial Intelligence Act (Articles 1-29, Annexes I-IV)

Comments from: HU, IE, FR (Additional)

Updated: 18/11/2021 10:57

3.	Distributors shall ensure that, while a high-
risk	AI system is under their responsibility, where
appl	icable, storage or transport conditions do not
jeop	ardise the compliance of the system with the
requ	irements set out in Chapter 2 of this Title.
4.	A distributor that considers or has reason to
cons	ider that a high-risk AI system which it has
mad	e available on the market is not in conformity
with	the requirements set out in Chapter 2 of this
Title	shall take the corrective actions necessary to
bring	g that system into conformity with those
requ	irements, to withdraw it or recall it or shall
ensu	re that the provider, the importer or any relevant
oper	ator, as appropriate, takes those corrective
actio	ons. Where the high-risk AI system presents a
risk	within the meaning of Article 65(1), the
distr	ibutor shall immediately inform the national

Updated: 18/11/2021 10:57

competent authorities of the Member States in which	
it has made the product available to that effect,	
giving details, in particular, of the non-compliance	
and of any corrective actions taken.	
5. Upon a reasoned request from a national	
competent authority, distributors of high-risk AI	
systems shall provide that authority with all the	
information and documentation necessary to	
demonstrate the conformity of a high-risk system	
with the requirements set out in Chapter 2 of this	
Title. Distributors shall also cooperate with that	
national competent authority on any action taken by	
that authority.	
Article 28	
Obligations of distributors, importers, users or any	
other third-party	

# Comments from: HU, IE, FR (Additional)

Updated: 18/11/2021 10:57

Updated: 18/11/2021 10:57

initially placed the high-risk AI system on the market	
or put it into service shall no longer be considered a	
provider for the purposes of this Regulation.	
Article 29	
Obligations of users of high-risk AI systems	
Users of high-risk AI systems shall use such	
systems in accordance with the instructions of use	
accompanying the systems, pursuant to paragraphs 2	
and 5.	
2. The obligations in paragraph 1 are without	
prejudice to other user obligations under Union or	
national law and to the user's discretion in	
organising its own resources and activities for the	
purpose of implementing the human oversight	
measures indicated by the provider.	

Artificial Intelligence Act (Articles 1-29, Annexes I-IV)

Comments from: HU, IE, FR (Additional)

Deadline for comments: 26 October 2021

Updated: 18/11/2021 10:57

3. Without prejudice to paragraph 1, to the
extent the user exercises control over the input data,
that user shall ensure that input data is relevant in
view of the intended purpose of the high-risk AI
system.
4. Users shall monitor the operation of the high-
risk AI system on the basis of the instructions of use.
When they have reasons to consider that the use in
accordance with the instructions of use may result in
the AI system presenting a risk within the meaning
of Article 65(1) they shall inform the provider or
distributor and suspend the use of the system. They
shall also inform the provider or distributor when
they have identified any serious incident or any
malfunctioning within the meaning of Article 62 and
interrupt the use of the AI system. In case the user is
not able to reach the provider, Article 62 shall apply

Artificial Intelligence Act (Articles 1-29, Annexes I-IV)

Comments from: HU, IE, FR (Additional)

Updated: 18/11/2021 10:57

mutatis mutandis.	
For users that are credit institutions regulated by	
Directive 2013/36/EU, the monitoring obligation set	
out in the first subparagraph shall be deemed to be	
fulfilled by complying with the rules on internal	
governance arrangements, processes and	
mechanisms pursuant to Article 74 of that Directive.	
5. Users of high-risk AI systems shall keep the	DEL EMED
logs automatically generated by that high-risk AI	DELETED
system, to the extent such logs are under their	
control. The logs shall be kept for a period that is	
appropriate in the light of the intended purpose of the	
high-risk AI system and applicable legal obligations	
under Union or national law.	

Artificial Intelligence Act (Articles 1-29, Annexes I-IV)

Comments from: HU, IE, FR (Additional)

Deadline for comments: 26 October 2021

Updated: 18/11/2021 10:57

	DELETED
Users that are credit institutions regulated by	
Directive 2013/36/EU shall maintain the logs as part	
of the documentation concerning internal governance	
arrangements, processes and mechanisms pursuant to	
Article 74 of that Directive.	
6. Users of high-risk AI systems shall use the	
information provided under Article 13 to comply	
with their obligation to carry out a data protection	
impact assessment under Article 35 of Regulation	
(EU) 2016/679 or Article 27 of Directive (EU)	

Artificial Intelligence Act (Articles 1-29, Annexes I-IV)

Comments from: HU, IE, FR (Additional)

Updated: 18/11/2021 10:57

2016/680, where applicable.	
ANNEX I	
ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE TECHNIQUES	
AND APPROACHES	
referred to in Article 3, point 1	
(a) Machine learning approaches, including	
supervised, unsupervised and reinforcement learning,	
using a wide variety of methods including deep	
learning;	
(b) Logic- and knowledge-based approaches,	DELETED
including knowledge representation, inductive	
(logic) programming, knowledge bases, inference	
and deductive engines, (symbolic) reasoning and	
expert systems;	

Comments from: HU, IE, FR (Additional)

Updated: 18/11/2021 10:57

	DELETED —
(c) Statistical approaches, Bayesian estimation,	DELEGED.
search and optimization methods.	DELETED
search and optimization methods.	

Artificial Intelligence Act (Articles 1-29, Annexes I-IV)

Comments from: HU, IE, FR (Additional)

Updated: 18/11/2021 10:57

ANNEX II	
LIST OF UNION HARMONISATION	
<u>LEGISLATION</u>	
Section A – List of Union harmonisation	
legislation based on the New Legislative	
<u>Framework</u>	
1. Directive 2006/42/EC of the European	
Parliament and of the Council of 17 May 2006 on	
machinery, and amending Directive 95/16/EC (OJ L	
157, 9.6.2006, p. 24) [as repealed by the Machinery	
Regulation];	
2. Directive 2009/48/EC of the European	
Parliament and of the Council of 18 June 2009 on the	
safety of toys (OJ L 170, 30.6.2009, p. 1);	

Artificial Intelligence Act (Articles 1-29, Annexes I-IV)

Comments from: HU, IE, FR (Additional)

Updated: 18/11/2021 10:57

3. Directive 2013/53/EU of the European	
Parliament and of the Council of 20 November 2013	
on recreational craft and personal watercraft and	
repealing Directive 94/25/EC (OJ L 354, 28.12.2013,	
p. 90);	
4. Directive 2014/33/EU of the European	
Parliament and of the Council of 26 February 2014	
on the harmonisation of the laws of the Member	
States relating to lifts and safety components for lifts	
(OJ L 96, 29.3.2014, p. 251);	
5. Directive 2014/34/EU of the European	
Parliament and of the Council of 26 February 2014	
on the harmonisation of the laws of the Member	
States relating to equipment and protective systems	
intended for use in potentially explosive atmospheres	
(OJ L 96, 29.3.2014, p. 309);	

Artificial Intelligence Act (Articles 1-29, Annexes I-IV)

Comments from: HU, IE, FR (Additional)

Updated: 18/11/2021 10:57

6. Directive 2014/53/EU of the European	
Parliament and of the Council of 16 April 2014 on	
the harmonisation of the laws of the Member States	
relating to the making available on the market of	
radio equipment and repealing Directive 1999/5/EC	
(OJ L 153, 22.5.2014, p. 62);	
7. Directive 2014/68/EU of the European	
Parliament and of the Council of 15 May 2014 on the	
harmonisation of the laws of the Member States	
relating to the making available on the market of	
pressure equipment (OJ L 189, 27.6.2014, p. 164);	
8. Regulation (EU) 2016/424 of the European	
Parliament and of the Council of 9 March 2016 on	
cableway installations and repealing Directive	
2000/9/EC (OJ L 81, 31.3.2016, p. 1);	

Artificial Intelligence Act (Articles 1-29, Annexes I-IV)

Comments from: HU, IE, FR (Additional)

Updated: 18/11/2021 10:57

9. Regulation (EU) 2016/425 of the European	
Parliament and of the Council of 9 March 2016 on	
personal protective equipment and repealing Council	
Directive 89/686/EEC (OJ L 81, 31.3.2016, p. 51);	
10. Regulation (EU) 2016/426 of the European	
Parliament and of the Council of 9 March 2016 on	
appliances burning gaseous fuels and repealing	
Directive 2009/142/EC (OJ L 81, 31.3.2016, p. 99);	
11. Regulation (EU) 2017/745 of the European	
Parliament and of the Council of 5 April 2017 on	
medical devices, amending Directive 2001/83/EC,	
Regulation (EC) No 178/2002 and Regulation (EC)	
No 1223/2009 and repealing Council Directives	
90/385/EEC and 93/42/EEC (OJ L 117, 5.5.2017, p.	
1;	

Artificial Intelligence Act (Articles 1-29, Annexes I-IV)

Comments from: HU, IE, FR (Additional)

Updated: 18/11/2021 10:57

12. Regulation (EU) 2017/746 of the European	
Parliament and of the Council of 5 April 2017 on in	
vitro diagnostic medical devices and repealing	
Directive 98/79/EC and Commission Decision	
2010/227/EU (OJ L 117, 5.5.2017, p. 176).	
Section B. List of other Union harmonisation	
legislation	
1. Regulation (EC) No 300/2008 of the	
European Parliament and of the Council of 11 March	
2008 on common rules in the field of civil aviation	
security and repealing Regulation (EC) No	
2320/2002 (OJ L 97, 9.4.2008, p. 72).	
2. Regulation (EU) No 168/2013 of the	
European Parliament and of the Council of 15	
January 2013 on the approval and market	
surveillance of two- or three-wheel vehicles and	

Artificial Intelligence Act (Articles 1-29, Annexes I-IV)

Comments from: HU, IE, FR (Additional)

Updated: 18/11/2021 10:57

quadricycles (OJ L 60, 2.3.2013, p. 52);	
3. Regulation (EU) No 167/2013 of the	
European Parliament and of the Council of 5	
February 2013 on the approval and market	
surveillance of agricultural and forestry vehicles (OJ	
L 60, 2.3.2013, p. 1);	
4. Directive 2014/90/EU of the European	
Parliament and of the Council of 23 July 2014 on	
marine equipment and repealing Council Directive	
96/98/EC (OJ L 257, 28.8.2014, p. 146);	
5. Directive (EU) 2016/797 of the European	
Parliament and of the Council of 11 May 2016 on the	
interoperability of the rail system within the	
European Union (OJ L 138, 26.5.2016, p. 44).	
	<u> </u>

Commission proposal (doc. 8115/21 – COM(2021) 206 final)

Artificial Intelligence Act (Articles 1-29, Annexes I-IV)

Comments from: HU, IE, FR (Additional)

Deadline for comments: 26 October 2021

Updated: 18/11/2021 10:57

6.	Regulation (EU) 2018/858 of the European
Parl	liament and of the Council of 30 May 2018 on the
app	roval and market surveillance of motor vehicles
and	their trailers, and of systems, components and
sepa	arate technical units intended for such vehicles,
ame	ending Regulations (EC) No 715/2007 and (EC)
No	595/2009 and repealing Directive 2007/46/EC
(OJ	L 151, 14.6.2018, p. 1); 3. Regulation (EU)
201	9/2144 of the European Parliament and of the
Cou	uncil of 27 November 2019 on type-approval
requ	uirements for motor vehicles and their trailers,
and	systems, components and separate technical
unit	ts intended for such vehicles, as regards their
gen	eral safety and the protection of vehicle
occi	upants and vulnerable road users, amending
Reg	gulation (EU) 2018/858 of the European
Parl	liament and of the Council and repealing
Reg	gulations (EC) No 78/2009, (EC) No 79/2009 and
(EC	C) No 661/2009 of the European Parliament and
of tl	he Council and Commission Regulations (EC)

Artificial Intelligence Act (Articles 1-29, Annexes I-IV)

Comments from: HU, IE, FR (Additional)

Updated: 18/11/2021 10:57

No 631/2009, (EU) No 406/2010, (EU) No
672/2010, (EU) No 1003/2010, (EU) No 1005/2010,
(EU) No 1008/2010, (EU) No 1009/2010, (EU) No
19/2011, (EU) No 109/2011, (EU) No 458/2011,
(EU) No 65/2012, (EU) No 130/2012, (EU) No
347/2012, (EU) No 351/2012, (EU) No 1230/2012
and (EU) 2015/166 (OJ L 325, 16.12.2019, p. 1);
7. Regulation (EU) 2018/1139 of the European
Parliament and of the Council of 4 July 2018 on
common rules in the field of civil aviation and
establishing a European Union Aviation Safety
Agency, and amending Regulations (EC) No
2111/2005, (EC) No 1008/2008, (EU) No 996/2010,
(EU) No 376/2014 and Directives 2014/30/EU and
2014/53/EU of the European Parliament and of the
Council, and repealing Regulations (EC) No
552/2004 and (EC) No 216/2008 of the European
Parliament and of the Council and Council

Comments from: HU, IE, FR (Additional)

Updated: 18/11/2021 10:57

Regulation (EEC) No 3922/91 (OJ L 212, 22.8.2018,	
p. 1), in so far as the design, production and placing	
on the market of aircrafts referred to in points (a) and	
(b) of Article 2(1) thereof, where it concerns	
unmanned aircraft and their engines, propellers, parts	
and equipment to control them remotely, are	
concerned.	
ANNEX III	
HIGH-RISK AI SYSTEMS REFERRED TO IN	
ARTICLE 6(2)	
High-risk AI systems pursuant to Article 6(2) are the	
AI systems listed in any of the following areas:	
Biometric identification and categorisation of	
natural persons:	

Comments from: HU, IE, FR (Additional)

Updated: 18/11/2021 10:57

	1
(a) AI systems intended to be used for the 'real-	
time' and 'post' remote biometric identification of	
natural persons;	
2. Management and operation of critical	
infrastructure:	
(a) AI systems intended to be used as safety	
components in the management and operation of	
road traffic and the supply of water, gas, heating and	
electricity.	
3. Education and vocational training:	
(a) AI systems intended to be used for the	
purpose of determining access or assigning natural	
persons to educational and vocational training	

Comments from: HU, IE, FR (Additional)

Updated: 18/11/2021 10:57

institutions;	
(b) AI systems intended to be used for the	
purpose of assessing students in educational and	
vocational training institutions and for assessing	
participants in tests commonly required for	
admission to educational institutions.	
4. Employment, workers management and	
access to self-employment:	
(a) AI systems intended to be used for	
recruitment or selection of natural persons, notably	
for advertising vacancies, screening or filtering	
applications, evaluating candidates in the course of	
interviews or tests;	
(b) AI intended to be used for making decisions	

Comments from: HU, IE, FR (Additional)

Updated: 18/11/2021 10:57

on promotion and termination of work-related	
contractual relationships, for task allocation and for	
monitoring and evaluating performance and behavior	
of persons in such relationships.	
5. Access to and enjoyment of essential private	
services and public services and benefits:	
(a) AI systems intended to be used by public	
authorities or on behalf of public authorities to	
evaluate the eligibility of natural persons for public	
assistance benefits and services, as well as to grant,	
reduce, revoke, or reclaim such benefits and	
services;	
(b) AI systems intended to be used to evaluate	
the creditworthiness of natural persons or establish	
their credit score, with the exception of AI systems	
put into service by small scale providers for their	

Comments from: HU, IE, FR (Additional)

Updated: 18/11/2021 10:57

own use;	
(c) AI systems intended to be used to dispatch,	
or to establish priority in the dispatching of	
emergency first response services, including by	
firefighters and medical aid.	
6. Law enforcement:	
(a) AI systems intended to be used by law	
enforcement authorities for making individual risk	
assessments of natural persons in order to assess the	
risk of a natural person for offending or reoffending	
or the risk for potential victims of criminal offences;	
(b) AI systems intended to be used by law	
enforcement authorities as polygraphs and similar	
tools or to detect the emotional state of a natural	

Comments from: HU, IE, FR (Additional)

Updated: 18/11/2021 10:57

person;	
(c) AI systems intended to be used by law enforcement authorities to detect deep fakes as referred to in article 52(3);	DELETED
(d) AI systems intended to be used by law	
enforcement authorities for evaluation of the	
reliability of evidence in the course of investigation	
or prosecution of criminal offences;	
(e) AI systems intended to be used by law	
enforcement authorities for predicting the	
occurrence or reoccurrence of an actual or potential	
criminal offence based on profiling of natural	

Comments from: HU, IE, FR (Additional)

Updated: 18/11/2021 10:57

persons as referred to in Article 3(4) of Directive	
(EU) 2016/680 or assessing personality traits and	
characteristics or past criminal behaviour of natural	
persons or groups;	
(f) AI systems intended to be used by law	
enforcement authorities for profiling of natural	
persons as referred to in Article 3(4) of Directive	
(EU) 2016/680 in the course of detection,	
investigation or prosecution of criminal offences;	
(g) AI systems intended to be used for crime	IE:
analytics regarding natural persons, allowing law	
enforcement authorities to search complex related	(Comments):
and unrelated large data sets available in different	We note that Annex III, paragraph 6, point g unduly extends the
data sources or in different data formats in order to	definition of high-risk AI to 'crime analytics'. This is of major concern as it has a very broad and negative impact on the current and future
identify unknown patterns or discover hidden	investigative approaches in the digital domain (e.g. cybersecurity crime
relationships in the data.	investigations, economic crime investigations, vetting). We note that, together with provisions in Annex III, paragraph 6, point a, c and d, the proposal will have a net effect of acting as disincentive to ongoing

State;

Comments from: HU, IE, FR (Additional)  Updated: 18/11/202	
	initiatives with international working and expert groups on the responsible usage of AI by law enforcement. Also in-house and collaborative innovation efforts in terms of using AI for administrative and analytical tasks within the overall digital domain and particularly in the space of Big Data will be negatively impacted.
7. Migration, asylum and border control	
management:	
(a) AI systems intended to be used by competent	
public authorities as polygraphs and similar tools or	
to detect the emotional state of a natural person;	
(b) AI systems intended to be used by competent	
public authorities to assess a risk, including a	
security risk, a risk of irregular immigration, or a	
health risk, posed by a natural person who intends to	
enter or has entered into the territory of a Member	
-	

Comments from: HU, IE, FR (Additional)

Updated: 18/11/2021 10:57

(c) AI systems intended to be used by competent	
public authorities for the verification of the	
authenticity of travel documents and supporting	
documentation of natural persons and detect non-	
authentic documents by checking their security	
features;	
(d) AI systems intended to assist competent	
public authorities for the examination of applications	
for asylum, visa and residence permits and	
associated complaints with regard to the eligibility of	
the natural persons applying for a status.	
8. Administration of justice and democratic	
processes:	
(a) AI systems intended to assist a judicial	

authority in researching and interpreting facts and	
the law and in applying the law to a concrete set of	
facts.	
ANNEX IV	
TECHNICAL DOCUMENTATION referred to	
in Article 11(1)	
The technical documentation referred to in Article	
11(1) shall contain at least the following information,	
as applicable to the relevant AI system:	
1. A general description of the AI system	
including:	
(a) its intended purpose, the person/s developing	
the system the date and the version of the system;	

Comments from: HU, IE, FR (Additional)

Updated: 18/11/2021 10:57

(b) how the AI system interacts or can be used to interact with hardware or software that is not part of the AI system itself, where applicable;	
(c) the versions of relevant software or firmware and any requirement related to version update;	IE:  (Comments):  Hardware may also be included along with software / firmware as component of AI system deployed.
(d) the description of all forms in which the AI system is placed on the market or put into service;	IE:  (Comments):  It may not be possible to track all forms / modifications of an AI system that are placed in the market however a mandated registry of High-risk systems may be a helpful mitigation.
(e) the description of hardware on which the AI	

Comments from: HU, IE, FR (Additional)

Updated: 18/11/2021 10:57

Comments from: HU, IE, FR (Additional)

Updated: 18/11/2021 10:57

used, integrated or modified by the provider;	
(b) the design specifications of the system,	
namely the general logic of the AI system and of the	
algorithms; the key design choices including the	
rationale and assumptions made, also with regard to	
persons or groups of persons on which the system is	
intended to be used; the main classification choices;	
what the system is designed to optimise for and the	
relevance of the different parameters; the decisions	
about any possible trade-off made regarding the	
technical solutions adopted to comply with the	
requirements set out in Title III, Chapter 2;	
(c) the description of the system architecture	
explaining how software components build on or	
feed into each other and integrate into the overall	
processing; the computational resources used to	
develop, train, test and validate the AI system;	

Commission proposal (doc. 8115/21 – COM(2021) 206 final)

Artificial Intelligence Act (Articles 1-29, Annexes I-IV)

Comments from: HU, IE, FR (Additional)

Updated: 18/11/2021 10:57

(d	) where relevant, the data requirements in
teı	rms of datasheets describing the training
m	ethodologies and techniques and the training data
se	ts used, including information about the
pr	ovenance of those data sets, their scope and main
ch	aracteristics; how the data was obtained and
se	lected; labelling procedures (e.g. for supervised
lea	arning), data cleaning methodologies (e.g. outliers
de	etection);
(e)	) assessment of the human oversight measures
	eeded in accordance with Article 14, including an
	sessment of the technical measures needed to
fa	cilitate the interpretation of the outputs of AI
	stems by the users, in accordance with Articles
_	3(3)(d);

Comments from: HU, IE, FR (Additional)

(f) where applicable, a detailed description of	
pre-determined changes to the AI system and its	
performance, together with all the relevant	
information related to the technical solutions adopted	
to ensure continuous compliance of the AI system	
with the relevant requirements set out in Title III,	
Chapter 2;	
(g) the validation and testing procedures used,	
including information about the validation and	
testing data used and their main characteristics;	
metrics used to measure accuracy, robustness,	
cybersecurity and compliance with other relevant	
requirements set out in Title III, Chapter 2 as well as	
potentially discriminatory impacts; test logs and all	
test reports dated and signed by the responsible	
persons, including with regard to pre-determined	
changes as referred to under point (f).	

Commission proposal (doc. 8115/21 – COM(2021) 206 final)

Artificial Intelligence Act (Articles 1-29, Annexes I-IV)

Comments from: HU, IE, FR (Additional)

Deadline for comments: 26 October 2021

3. Detailed information about the monitoring,
functioning and control of the AI system, in
particular with regard to: its capabilities and
limitations in performance, including the degrees of
accuracy for specific persons or groups of persons on
which the system is intended to be used and the
overall expected level of accuracy in relation to its
intended purpose; the foreseeable unintended
outcomes and sources of risks to health and safety,
fundamental rights and discrimination in view of the
intended purpose of the AI system; the human
oversight measures needed in accordance with
Article 14, including the technical measures put in
place to facilitate the interpretation of the outputs of
AI systems by the users; specifications on input data,
as appropriate;
4. A detailed description of the risk
management system in accordance with Article 9;

5. A description of any change made to the	
system through its lifecycle;	
6. A list of the harmonised standards applied in	
full or in part the references of which have been	
published in the Official Journal of the European	
Union; where no such harmonised standards have	
been applied, a detailed description of the solutions	
adopted to meet the requirements set out in Title III,	
Chapter 2, including a list of other relevant standards	
and technical specifications applied;	
7. A copy of the EU declaration of conformity;	
8. A detailed description of the system in place	
to evaluate the AI system performance in the post-	
market phase in accordance with Article 61,	

Commission proposal (doc. 8115/21 – COM(2021) 206 final)

Artificial Intelligence Act (Articles 1-29, Annexes I-IV)

Deadline for comments: 26 October 2021

Comments from: HU, IE, FR (Additional)

	End
in Article 61(3).	
including the post-market monitoring plan referred to	