



2022/0196(COD)

6.2.2023

*****I**

DRAFT REPORT

on the proposal for a regulation of the European Parliament and of the Council
on the sustainable use of plant protection products and amending Regulation
(EU) 2021/2115
(COM(2022)0305 – C9-0207/2022 – 2022/0196(COD))

Committee on the Environment, Public Health and Food Safety

Rapporteur: Sarah Wiener

Rapporteur for the opinion of the associated committee pursuant to Rule 57 of
the Rules of Procedure
Clara Aguilera, Committee on Agriculture and Rural Development

Symbols for procedures

- * Consultation procedure
- *** Consent procedure
- ***I Ordinary legislative procedure (first reading)
- ***II Ordinary legislative procedure (second reading)
- ***III Ordinary legislative procedure (third reading)

(The type of procedure depends on the legal basis proposed by the draft act.)

Amendments to a draft act

Amendments by Parliament set out in two columns

Deletions are indicated in ***bold italics*** in the left-hand column. Replacements are indicated in ***bold italics*** in both columns. New text is indicated in ***bold italics*** in the right-hand column.

The first and second lines of the header of each amendment identify the relevant part of the draft act under consideration. If an amendment pertains to an existing act that the draft act is seeking to amend, the amendment heading includes a third line identifying the existing act and a fourth line identifying the provision in that act that Parliament wishes to amend.

Amendments by Parliament in the form of a consolidated text

New text is highlighted in ***bold italics***. Deletions are indicated using either the ***■*** symbol or strikeout. Replacements are indicated by highlighting the new text in ***bold italics*** and by deleting or striking out the text that has been replaced.

By way of exception, purely technical changes made by the drafting departments in preparing the final text are not highlighted.

CONTENTS

	Page
DRAFT EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT LEGISLATIVE RESOLUTION.....	5
EXPLANATORY STATEMENT.....	157

DRAFT EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT LEGISLATIVE RESOLUTION

on the proposal for a regulation of the European Parliament and of the Council on the sustainable use of plant protection products and amending Regulation (EU) 2021/2115 (COM(2022)0305 – C9-0207/2022 – 2022/0196(COD))

(Ordinary legislative procedure: first reading)

The European Parliament,

- having regard to the Commission proposal to Parliament and the Council (COM(2022)0305),
 - having regard to Article 294(2) and Article 192(1) of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union, pursuant to which the Commission submitted the proposal to Parliament (C9-0207/2022),
 - having regard to Article 294(3) of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union,
 - having regard to the reasoned opinion submitted, within the framework of Protocol No 2 on the application of the principles of subsidiarity and proportionality, by the Swedish Parliament, asserting that the draft legislative act does not comply with the principle of subsidiarity,
 - having regard to Rules 59 of its Rules of Procedure,
 - having regard to the opinion of the Committee on Agriculture and Rural Development and of the Committee on Development,
 - having regard to the report of the Committee on the Environment, Public Health and Food Safety (A9-0000/2023),
1. Adopts its position at first reading hereinafter set out;
 2. Calls on the Commission to refer the matter to Parliament again if it replaces, substantially amends or intends to substantially amend its proposal;
 3. Instructs its President to forward its position to the Council, the Commission and the national parliaments.

Amendment 1

Proposal for a regulation Title 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Proposal for a
REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN
PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL
on the **sustainable** use of **plant protection
products** and amending Regulation (EU)
2021/2115
(Text with EEA relevance)

Amendment

Proposal for a
REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN
PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL
on the use of **pesticides** and amending
Regulation (EU) 2021/2115
(Text with EEA relevance)

Or. en

Justification

The title of Directive 2009/128/EC refers to “pesticides” and this should not be changed. The term "plant protection products" is trivializing the nature of those products, as it implies that they are made to protect plants, whereas herbicides are designed to eliminate certain plants. Secondly, the notion that chemical pesticides can be used sustainably is highly disputed, especially as alternatives exist.

Amendment 2

Proposal for a regulation Recital 1

Text proposed by the Commission

(1) The Treaty requires a high level of protection of human health and of the environment to be ensured in the definition and the implementation of all Union policies and activities and provides that Union policy on the environment is to aim at a high level of protection.

Amendment

(1) The Treaty requires a high level of protection of human health and of the environment to be ensured in the definition and the implementation of all Union policies and activities and provides that Union policy on the environment is to aim at a high level of protection. **The precautionary principle is set out in Article 191 of the Treaty.**

Or. en

Amendment 3

Proposal for a regulation Recital 3

Text proposed by the Commission

(3) The European Parliament resolution of 12 February 2019 on the implementation of Directive 2009/128/EC on the sustainable use of pesticides⁴¹ noted that the Union must act without delay to transition to a more sustainable use of pesticides and called on the Commission to propose an ambitious Union-wide binding target for the reduction of pesticide use. The European Parliament re-affirmed its call for binding reduction targets in its resolution of 20 October 2021 on a Farm to Fork Strategy for a fair, healthy and environmentally-friendly food system⁴².

⁴¹ P8_TA(2019)0082, 12 February 2019.

⁴² P9_TA(2021)0425, 20 October 2021.

Amendment

(3) The European Parliament resolution of 12 February 2019 on the implementation of Directive 2009/128/EC on the sustainable use of pesticides⁴¹ noted that the Union must act without delay to transition to a more sustainable use of pesticides and called on the Commission to propose an ambitious Union-wide binding target for the reduction of pesticide use. ***In its resolution on the EU pollinators initiative of December 2019, the European Parliament reiterated that EU-wide mandatory reduction targets should be included in the revision of Directive 2009/128/EC and furthermore stressed that a pesticide reduction strategy, with clear targets, milestones and timelines, should be set out in each Member State's national action plan. In June 2021, the European Parliament resolution on the EU biodiversity strategy for 2030 pointed out that increased use of and dependency on pesticides comes at a high cost to farmers and noted that in avoiding biodiversity loss and pest resistance, a hierarchy of action need to be followed, in line with the principles of integrated pest management, according to which chemical pesticides must only be used as a last resort. The European Parliament then*** re-affirmed its call for binding reduction targets in its resolution of 20 October 2021 on a Farm to Fork Strategy for a fair, healthy and environmentally-friendly food system⁴².

⁴¹ P8_TA(2019)0082, 12 February 2019.

⁴² P9_TA(2021)0425, 20 October 2021.

Or. en

Justification

On numerous occasions, the European Parliament has passed resolutions that broadly support the ambitions of this proposal. These should be taken into due account.

Amendment 4

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 4

Text proposed by the Commission

(4) In 2018, a study⁴³ by the European Parliament Research Service (EPRS) found progress in many Member States but a limited overall achievement of the objectives of Directive 2009/128/EC. A 2020 special report⁴⁴ from the European Court of Auditors (ECA) on the sustainable use of plant protection products found that there is limited progress in measuring and reducing the risks of plant protection product use and identified weaknesses in the current Union framework. As noted in its information report in relation to evaluation of Directive 2009/128/EC⁴⁵, the European Economic and Social Committee also considers it essential to reassess the requirements, targets, conditions and timetables set under national action plans.

⁴³ European Parliamentary Research Service, Directive 2009/128/EC on the sustainable use of pesticides –European Implementation Assessment, October 2018.

⁴⁴ Sustainable use of plant protection products: limited progress in measuring and reducing risks, Special Report European Court of Auditors, ISBN:978-92-847-4206-6, Publications Office of the European Union, Luxembourg, 2020.

⁴⁵ European Economic and Social Committee, Evaluation on Directive on the

Amendment

(4) In 2018, a study⁴³ by the European Parliament Research Service (EPRS) found progress in many Member States but a limited overall achievement of the objectives of Directive 2009/128/EC. A 2020 special report⁴⁴ from the European Court of Auditors (ECA) on the sustainable use of plant protection products found that there is limited progress in measuring and reducing the risks of plant protection product use and identified weaknesses in the current Union framework, ***especially with regard to the harmonised risk indicators***. As noted in its information report in relation to evaluation of Directive 2009/128/EC⁴⁵, the European Economic and Social Committee also considers it essential to reassess the requirements, targets, conditions and timetables set under national action plans.

⁴³ European Parliamentary Research Service, Directive 2009/128/EC on the sustainable use of pesticides –European Implementation Assessment, October 2018.

⁴⁴ Sustainable use of plant protection products: limited progress in measuring and reducing risks, Special Report European Court of Auditors, ISBN:978-92-847-4206-6, Publications Office of the European Union, Luxembourg, 2020.

⁴⁵ European Economic and Social Committee, Evaluation on Directive on the

Amendment 5

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 5

Text proposed by the Commission

(5) In order to ensure full attainment of the objectives of the Union legal framework on sustainable use of plant protection products, it needs to be adapted by laying down clearer and directly applicable rules for operators. In addition, a number of rules should be clarified, including the rules on the application of integrated pest management, restrictions of use of plant protection products and the inspections of equipment used to apply plant protection products. It is therefore appropriate to repeal Directive 2009/128/EC and replace it with a regulation.

Amendment

(5) In order to ensure full attainment of the objectives of the Union legal framework on sustainable use of plant protection products, it needs to be adapted by laying down clearer and directly applicable rules for operators. In addition, a number of rules should be **strengthened and** clarified, including the rules on the application of integrated pest management, restrictions of use of plant protection products and the inspections of equipment used to apply plant protection products. It is therefore appropriate to repeal Directive 2009/128/EC and replace it with a regulation.

Amendment 6

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 7

Text proposed by the Commission

(7) The Commission Communication entitled ‘the European Green Deal’⁴⁷ set out a roadmap of key measures, including legislative, to significantly reduce the use and risk of chemical pesticides. In the Farm to Fork Strategy⁴⁸, EU Biodiversity Strategy for 2030⁴⁹ and the Zero Pollution Action Plan⁵⁰, the Commission committed

Amendment

(7) The Commission Communication entitled ‘the European Green Deal’⁴⁷ set out a roadmap of key measures, including legislative, to significantly reduce the use and risk of chemical pesticides. In the Farm to Fork Strategy⁴⁸, EU Biodiversity Strategy for 2030⁴⁹ and the Zero Pollution Action Plan⁵⁰, the Commission committed

to take action to reduce by 50% the overall use and risk from chemical pesticides by 2030 and reduce by 50% the use of more hazardous pesticides (plant protection products containing one or more active substances approved as candidates for substitution in accordance with Article 24 of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council⁵¹ and listed in Part E of the Annex to Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) No 540/2011⁵², or containing one or more active substances listed in the Annex to Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) 2015/408⁵³) by 2030. The **sustainable** use of plant protection products is also complementary to the promotion of organic farming and achieving the Farm to Fork Strategy target of at least 25% of the Union's agricultural land under organic farming by 2030. It supports the objectives of the EU strategic framework on health and safety at work⁵⁴ and thereby contributes to the implementation of principle 10 of the European Pillar of Social Rights on a healthy, safe and well-adapted work environment.

⁴⁷ Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the European Council, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions The European Green Deal COM/2019/640 final.

⁴⁸ Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions A Farm to Fork Strategy for a fair, healthy and environmentally-friendly food system, COM/2020/381 final.

⁴⁹ Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions, EU Biodiversity Strategy for 2030 Bringing

to take action to reduce by 50% the overall use and risk from chemical pesticides by 2030 and reduce by 50% the use of more hazardous pesticides (plant protection products containing one or more active substances approved as candidates for substitution in accordance with Article 24 of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council⁵¹ and listed in Part E of the Annex to Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) No 540/2011⁵², or containing one or more active substances listed in the Annex to Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) 2015/408⁵³) by 2030. The **reduction in the** use of plant protection products is also complementary to the promotion of organic farming and achieving the Farm to Fork Strategy target of at least 25% of the Union's agricultural land under organic farming by 2030. It supports the objectives of the EU strategic framework on health and safety at work⁵⁴ and thereby contributes to the implementation of principle 10 of the European Pillar of Social Rights on a healthy, safe and well-adapted work environment.

⁴⁷ Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the European Council, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions The European Green Deal COM/2019/640 final.

⁴⁸ Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions A Farm to Fork Strategy for a fair, healthy and environmentally-friendly food system, COM/2020/381 final.

⁴⁹ Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions, EU Biodiversity Strategy for 2030 Bringing

nature back into our lives, COM/2020/380 final.

⁵⁰ Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions, Pathway to a Healthy Planet for All EU Action Plan: 'Towards Zero Pollution for Air, Water and Soil', COM(2021) 400 final.

⁵¹ Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 21 October 2009 concerning the placing of plant protection products on the market and repealing Council Directives 79/117/EEC and 91/414/EEC (OJ L 309, 24.11.2009, p. 1).

⁵² Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) No 540/2011 of 25 May 2011 implementing Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council as regards the list of approved active substances (OJ L 153, 11.6.2011, p. 1).

⁵³ Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) 2015/408 of 11 March 2015 on implementing Article 80(7) of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council concerning the placing of plant protection products on the market and establishing a list of candidates for substitution (OJ L 67, 12.3.2015, p. 18).

⁵⁴ Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions, EU strategic framework on health and safety at work 2021-2027 Occupational safety and health in a changing world of work, COM/2021/323 final.

nature back into our lives, COM/2020/380 final.

⁵⁰ Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions, Pathway to a Healthy Planet for All EU Action Plan: 'Towards Zero Pollution for Air, Water and Soil', COM(2021)400 final.

⁵¹ Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 21 October 2009 concerning the placing of plant protection products on the market and repealing Council Directives 79/117/EEC and 91/414/EEC (OJ L 309, 24.11.2009, p. 1).

⁵² Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) No 540/2011 of 25 May 2011 implementing Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council as regards the list of approved active substances (OJ L 153, 11.6.2011, p. 1).

⁵³ Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) 2015/408 of 11 March 2015 on implementing Article 80(7) of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council concerning the placing of plant protection products on the market and establishing a list of candidates for substitution (OJ L 67, 12.3.2015, p. 18).

⁵⁴ Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions, EU strategic framework on health and safety at work 2021-2027 Occupational safety and health in a changing world of work, COM/2021/323 final.

Or. en

Justification

This wording more accurately reflects the ambitions of the Farm to Fork Strategy, which this proposal is embedded in, in terms of achieving a sustainable food system and shifting to more sustainable agricultural practices.

Amendment 7

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 8

Text proposed by the Commission

(8) Two European citizens' initiatives address the use of pesticides and call for ambitious reduction targets. The initiative 'Ban glyphosate and protect people and the environment from toxic pesticides' submitted to the Commission on 6 October 2017 called on the Commission, under its third aim, 'to set EU-wide mandatory reduction targets for pesticide use, with a view to achieving a pesticide-free future'. In its reply adopted on 12 December 2017, the Commission stated that it would re-evaluate the need for EU-wide mandatory targets for pesticides. More recently, the initiative 'Save bees and farmers! Towards a bee-friendly agriculture for a healthy environment' calls on the Commission 'to propose legal acts to phase out synthetic pesticides in EU agriculture by 80% by 2030, starting with the most hazardous, and to become free of synthetic by 2035.' The initiative **has collected over 1 million statements of support by 30 September 2021 which are currently being verified by Member States authorities.**

Amendment

(8) Two European citizens' initiatives address the use of pesticides and call for ambitious reduction targets. The initiative 'Ban glyphosate and protect people and the environment from toxic pesticides' submitted to the Commission on 6 October 2017 called on the Commission, under its third aim, 'to set EU-wide mandatory reduction targets for pesticide use, with a view to achieving a pesticide-free future'. In its reply adopted on 12 December 2017, the Commission stated that it would re-evaluate the need for EU-wide mandatory targets for pesticides. More recently, the initiative 'Save bees and farmers! Towards a bee-friendly agriculture for a healthy environment', **validated on 7th October 2022**, calls on the Commission 'to propose legal acts to phase out synthetic pesticides in EU agriculture by 80% by 2030, starting with the most hazardous, and to become free of synthetic by 2035.' The initiative **was presented during a public Hearing in the European Parliament, where initiators outlined the scientific consensus that biodiversity loss is endangering both the environment and food security and called for urgent action to remedy the situation.**

Or. en

Justification

This is an update to the current situation and adds further details from the European Citizen's

Initiative that are pertinent for the reasoning behind the pesticide reduction targets laid out in this regulation.

Amendment 8

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 9

Text proposed by the Commission

(9) In the final report of the Conference on the Future of Europe, published on 9 May 2022, when it comes to the proposals on agriculture, food production, biodiversity and ecosystems, pollution, citizens ask the Union in particular to significantly reduce the use of chemical pesticides and fertilizers, in line with the existing targets, while still ensuring food security, and support for research to develop more sustainable and natural-based alternatives. Citizens ask for more research and innovations, including in technological solutions for sustainable production, plant resistance, and precision farming, and more communication, advisory systems, and training for and from farmers as well as asking the Union to protect insects, in particular indigenous and pollinating insects.⁵⁵

⁵⁵ Conference on the Future of Europe – Report on the Final Outcome, May 2022, Proposals 1 and 2, pp. 43-44.

Amendment

(9) In the final report of the Conference on the Future of Europe, published on 9 May 2022, when it comes to the proposals on agriculture, food production, biodiversity and ecosystems, pollution, citizens ask the Union in particular to significantly reduce the use of chemical pesticides and fertilizers, in line with the existing targets, while still ensuring food security, and support for research to develop more sustainable and natural-based alternatives. Citizens ask for more research and innovations, including in technological solutions for sustainable production, plant resistance, and precision farming, and more communication, advisory systems, and training for and from farmers as well as asking the Union to protect insects, in particular indigenous and pollinating insects. ***Citizens further recommend applying the polluter pays principle, which should also be embedded in taxation measures.***⁵⁵

⁵⁵ Conference on the Future of Europe – Report on the Final Outcome, May 2022, Proposals 1 and 2, pp. 43-44.

Or. en

Justification

The polluter pays principle is also relevant for the use of pesticides and Citizens' demands in this area should be taken into account.

Amendment 9

Proposal for a regulation Recital 11

Text proposed by the Commission

(11) Biological control agents are a sustainable control alternative to the use of chemical products for the control of harmful organisms. As noted in Council Decision (EU) 2021/1102⁵⁷, biological control agents have a growing importance in sustainable agriculture and forestry and have an instrumental role to play in the success of integrated pest management and organic farming. Access to biological controls facilitates moving away from chemical plant protection products. It is appropriate to encourage farmers to switch to low input agricultural methods including organic farming. It is therefore appropriate to define the concept of biological control as a basis for Member States to set indicative targets to increase the percentage of crops on which biological control agents are used.

⁵⁷ Council Decision (EU) 2021/1102 of 28 June 2021 requesting the Commission to submit a study on the Union's situation and options regarding the introduction, evaluation, production, marketing and use of invertebrate biological control agents within the territory of the Union and a proposal, if appropriate in view of the outcomes of the study (OJ L 238, 6.7.2021, p. 81).

Amendment

(11) Biological control agents are a sustainable control alternative to the use of chemical products for the control of harmful organisms. As noted in Council Decision (EU) 2021/1102⁵⁷, biological control agents have a growing importance in sustainable agriculture and forestry and have an instrumental role to play in the success of integrated pest management and organic farming. Access to biological controls facilitates moving away from chemical plant protection products. It is appropriate to encourage farmers to switch to low input agricultural methods including organic farming. It is therefore appropriate to define the concept of biological control as a basis for Member States to set indicative targets to increase the percentage of crops on which biological control agents are used, ***with a view to replacing chemical plant protection products.***

⁵⁷ Council Decision (EU) 2021/1102 of 28 June 2021 requesting the Commission to submit a study on the Union's situation and options regarding the introduction, evaluation, production, marketing and use of invertebrate biological control agents within the territory of the Union and a proposal, if appropriate in view of the outcomes of the study (OJ L 238, 6.7.2021, p. 81).

Or. en

Justification

Increasing the percentage of 'crops on which biological control agents are used' is not a value in itself, and only makes sense if the use of biological control agents replaces the use of chemical plant protection products.

Amendment 10

Proposal for a regulation Recital 11 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(11a) On 22nd December 2022, the 15th meeting of the Conference of Parties to the UN Convention on Biological Diversity adopted the Kunming-Montreal Global Biodiversity Framework, including Target 7 which explicitly aims to reduce by 2030 "the overall risk from pesticides and highly hazardous chemicals by at least half including through integrated pest management".

Or. en

Justification

This adds an external dimension to the reasoning underlying the pesticide reduction targets laid out in this regulation, as the conclusions of the Kunming-Montreal Global Biodiversity Framework constitute an international obligation agreed upon by the EU.

Amendment 11

Proposal for a regulation Recital 13

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(13) Given the different levels of historical progress and differences in intensity of pesticide use between Member States, it is necessary to allow Member States some flexibility when setting their own binding national targets (“national 2030 reduction targets”). Intensity of use is best measured by **dividing** the total quantity of active substances placed on the market, **and therefore used**, in the **form of plant protection products in a particular Member State by the surface area over which the active substances were applied**.

(13) Given the different levels of historical progress and differences in intensity of pesticide use between Member States, it is necessary to allow Member States some flexibility when setting their own binding national targets (“national 2030 reduction targets”). Intensity of use **and risk** is best measured by **standardising** the total quantity of active substances placed on the market **by the mean, substance specific application rate of its representative uses, weighted by substance specific hazard weightings, and divided by**

Intensity in the use of chemical pesticides, and in particular of the more hazardous pesticides, correlates with greater dependency on chemical pesticides, greater risks to human health and the environment and less sustainable farming practices. It is therefore appropriate to allow Member States to take their lower intensity of use of chemical pesticides than the Union average into account in setting their national 2030 reduction targets. It is also appropriate to require them to take their higher intensity of use of chemical pesticides than the Union average into account in setting their national 2030 reduction targets. In addition, in order to give recognition to past efforts by Member States, they should also be allowed to take into account historical progress prior to the adoption of the Farm to Fork Strategy when setting national 2030 reduction targets. Conversely, where Member States have increased, or made only limited reductions in, their use and risk of chemical plant protection products, they should now make a greater contribution to the achievement of the Union 2030 reduction targets, while also taking account of their intensity of pesticide use. In order to ensure a fair and collective effort towards the achievement of Union-wide targets and an adequate level of ambition, minimum limits should be laid down for national 2030 reduction targets. The EU's outermost regions, as listed in Article 349 of the Treaty, are located in the Atlantic, Caribbean and Indian Ocean. Due to permanent constraints such as their remoteness to the European continent, insularity and high exposure to climate change, it is appropriate to allow Member States to take into account the specific needs of these regions as regards the use of plant protection products and measures tailored to specific climatic conditions and crops. In order to ensure a fair and collective effort towards the achievement of Union-wide targets, where a Member State reaches the level of its 2030 national reduction target

the number of hectares of utilised agricultural area in the *respective* Member State. Intensity in the use of chemical pesticides, and in particular of the more hazardous pesticides, correlates with greater dependency on chemical pesticides, greater risks to human health and the environment and less sustainable farming practices. It is therefore appropriate to allow Member States to take their lower intensity of use **and risk** of chemical pesticides than the Union average into account in setting their national 2030 reduction targets. It is also appropriate to require them to take their higher intensity of use **and risk** of chemical pesticides than the Union average into account in setting their national 2030 reduction targets. In addition, in order to give recognition to past efforts by Member States, they should also be allowed to take into account historical progress prior to the adoption of the Farm to Fork Strategy when setting national 2030 reduction targets. Conversely, where Member States have increased, or made only limited reductions in, their use and risk of chemical plant protection products, they should now make a greater contribution to the achievement of the Union 2030 reduction targets, while also taking account of their intensity **and risk** of pesticide use. In order to ensure a fair and collective effort towards the achievement of Union-wide targets and an adequate level of ambition, minimum limits should be laid down for national 2030 reduction targets. The EU's outermost regions, as listed in Article 349 of the Treaty, are located in the Atlantic, Caribbean and Indian Ocean. Due to permanent constraints such as their remoteness to the European continent, insularity and high exposure to climate change, it is appropriate to allow Member States to take into account the specific needs of these regions as regards the use of plant protection products and measures tailored to specific climatic conditions and crops. **However, this should not lead to a**

before 2030, it should not be required to undertake additional reduction efforts, but it should closely monitor annual fluctuations in the use and risk of chemical plant protection products and in the use of more hazardous plant protection products to ensure progress towards meeting the respective 2030 national reduction target. In the interests of transparency, Member State responses to any Commission recommendations in relation to the level of ambition of national targets and the annual progress made towards them should be publicly accessible.

lowering of the pesticide reduction targets in the outermost regions. In order to ensure a fair and collective effort towards the achievement of Union-wide targets, where a Member State reaches the level of its 2030 national reduction target before 2030, it should not be required to undertake additional reduction efforts, but it should closely monitor annual fluctuations in the use and risk of chemical plant protection products and in the use of more hazardous plant protection products to ensure progress towards meeting the respective 2030 national reduction target. In the interests of transparency, Member State responses to any Commission recommendations in relation to the level of ambition of national targets and the annual progress made towards them should be publicly accessible.

Or. en

Justification

The currently used HRI1 systematically underestimates, by several orders, the risk of highly effective pesticides (typically characterized by low application and sales volumes), compared to natural substances. This systematic error can be corrected by a simple standardization step, which is essential for a proper and reliable risk indication of the SUR targets. See <https://www.umweltbundesamt.de/publikationen/towards-sustainable-plant-protection> and https://www.organicseurope.bio/content/uploads/2022/06/GLOBAL2000_HRI-1_final_28022022.pdf?dd

Amendment 12

Proposal for a regulation Recital 13 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(13a) In its Staff Working Document on the Drivers of Food Security, the European Commission acknowledges that “Soil, water, biodiversity, and air are basic requirements for food production”, concludes that “the current high input intensive agricultural model, based on

chemical pesticides, is likely to pose a food security threat in the medium term due to a loss of biodiversity, the likely increase in pests, decline in soil health and loss of pollinators which are essential to agricultural production”, and further highlights the need to implement pesticide reduction targets to safeguard food security in the EU in the long term.^{1a}

^{1a} Commission staff working document, “Drivers of Food Security”, published 04/01/2023, https://commission.europa.eu/system/files/2023-01/SWD_2023_4_1_EN_document_travail_service_part1_v2.pdf

Or. en

Justification

The Commission Staff Working Document adds important insights on the pesticide reduction targets laid out in this regulation and how it relates to food security as well as on the need to transition to a more sustainable agricultural system.

Amendment 13

Proposal for a regulation Recital 14

Text proposed by the Commission

(14) Member States should draft and publish national action plans. In order for the Member State national action plans to be effective, they should contain quantitative objectives, references to binding national 2030 reduction targets as set out in national law, together with related indicative targets set out in the national action plans, measures, timetables and indicators to reduce risks and impacts of pesticide use on human health and the environment. This will allow for a structured approach to the setting of

Amendment

(14) Member States should draft and publish national action plans. In order for the Member State national action plans to be effective, they should contain quantitative objectives, references to binding national 2030 reduction targets as set out in national law, together with related indicative targets set out in the national action plans, measures, timetables and indicators to reduce risks and impacts of pesticide use on human health and the environment. ***For both national reduction targets, the Member States should set at***

quantitative objectives and targets, with a clear link to the national 2030 reduction targets. In order to monitor compliance with the provisions of this Regulation, Member States should also be required to report annually on targets and precise quantitative data relating to compliance with provisions on use, training, application equipment and integrated pest management.

least one intermediary target for the period until 2030. National action plans should also set out planned measures to establish independent advisory systems, awareness-raising programmes, a system of control measures and penalties, comprehensive environmental monitoring and should demonstrate how national budget plans will ensure appropriate support for farmers in implementing the provisions of this regulation, including integrated pest management. This will allow for a structured approach to the setting of quantitative objectives and targets, with a clear link to the national 2030 reduction targets. In order to monitor compliance with the provisions of this Regulation, Member States should also be required to report annually on targets and precise quantitative data relating to compliance with, ***inter alia***, provisions on use, training, application equipment and integrated pest management.

Or. en

Amendment 14

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 16

Text proposed by the Commission

(16) The implementation of policies and measures in the areas of sustainable use of plant protection products has an impact on the environment, public health and working conditions. Member States should therefore ensure that the public and social partners are given sufficient opportunities to participate in and to be consulted on the preparation of Member State national action plans in accordance, where applicable, with Directive 2001/42/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council⁵⁸.

Amendment

(16) The implementation of policies and measures in the areas of sustainable use of plant protection products has an impact on the environment, public health and working conditions. Member States should therefore ensure that the public and social partners are given sufficient opportunities to participate in and to be ***informed and consulted effectively and in a timely manner*** on the preparation of Member State national action plans in accordance, where applicable, with Directive 2001/42/EC of the European Parliament

and of the Council⁵⁸ .

⁵⁸ Directive 2001/42/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 27 June 2001 on the assessment of the effects of certain plans and programmes on the environment (OJ L 197, 21.7.2001, p. 30).

⁵⁸ Directive 2001/42/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 27 June 2001 on the assessment of the effects of certain plans and programmes on the environment (OJ L 197, 21.7.2001, p. 30).

Or. en

Amendment 15

Proposal for a regulation Recital 17

Text proposed by the Commission

(17) In order to ensure consistency and complementarity with related legislation, Member State national action plans should take into account Directive 2009/147/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council⁵⁹ , Council Directive 92/43/EEC⁶⁰ , Directive 2000/60/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council⁶¹ , Council Directive 91/676/EEC⁶² , Directive 2008/50/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council⁶³ , Directive (EU) 2016/2284 of the European Parliament and of the Council⁶⁴ and Regulation xxx/xxx on nature restoration [reference to adopted act to be inserted] and should be consistent with the Common Agricultural Policy (“CAP”) Strategic Plans drawn-up in accordance with Regulation (EU) 2021/2115 of the European Parliament and of the Council⁶⁵ .

Amendment

(17) In order to ensure consistency and complementarity with related legislation, Member State national action plans should take into account Directive 2009/147/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council⁵⁹ , Council Directive 92/43/EEC⁶⁰ , Directive 2000/60/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council⁶¹ , Council Directive 91/676/EEC⁶² , Directive 2008/50/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council⁶³ , Directive (EU) 2016/2284 of the European Parliament and of the Council⁶⁴ , **Directive 2020/2184 of the European Parliament and of the Council^{64a}** and Regulation xxx/xxx on nature restoration [reference to adopted act to be inserted, 2022/0195(COD)], **Council Directive 89/391/EEC, Council Directive 89/656/EEC, Council Directive 98/24/EC, Directive 2004/37/EC, Directive 2020/2184/EC and Directive 2009/104/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council** and should be consistent with the Common Agricultural Policy (“CAP”) Strategic Plans drawn-up in accordance with Regulation (EU) 2021/2115 of the European Parliament and of the Council⁶⁵ .

⁵⁹ Directive 2009/147/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 30 November 2009 on the conservation of wild birds (OJ L 20, 26.1.2010, p. 7).

⁶⁰ Council Directive 92/43/EEC of 21 May 1992 on the conservation of natural habitats and of wild fauna and flora (OJ L 206, 22.7.1992, p. 7).

⁶¹ Directive 2000/60/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 23 October 2000 establishing a framework for Community action in the field of water policy (OJ L 327, 22.12.2000, p. 1).

⁶² Council Directive 91/676/EEC of 12 December 1991 concerning the protection of waters against pollution caused by nitrates from agricultural sources (OJ L 375, 31.12.1991, p. 1).

⁶³ Directive 2008/50/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 21 May 2008 on ambient air quality and cleaner air for Europe (OJ L 152, 11.6.2008, p. 1).

⁶⁴ Directive (EU) 2016/2284 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 14 December 2016 on the reduction of national emissions of certain atmospheric pollutants, amending Directive 2003/35/EC and repealing Directive 2001/81/EC (OJ L 344, 17.12.2016, p. 1).

⁶⁵ Regulation (EU) 2021/2115 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 2 December 2021 establishing rules on support for strategic plans to be drawn up by Member States under the common agricultural policy (CAP Strategic Plans) and financed by the European Agricultural Guarantee Fund (EAGF) and by the European Agricultural Fund for Rural Development (EAFRD) and repealing Regulations (EU) No 1305/2013 and (EU) No 1307/2013 (OJ L 435, 6.12.2021, p. 1).

⁵⁹ Directive 2009/147/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 30 November 2009 on the conservation of wild birds (OJ L 20, 26.1.2010, p. 7).

⁶⁰ Council Directive 92/43/EEC of 21 May 1992 on the conservation of natural habitats and of wild fauna and flora (OJ L 206, 22.7.1992, p. 7).

⁶¹ Directive 2000/60/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 23 October 2000 establishing a framework for Community action in the field of water policy (OJ L 327, 22.12.2000, p. 1).

⁶² Council Directive 91/676/EEC of 12 December 1991 concerning the protection of waters against pollution caused by nitrates from agricultural sources (OJ L 375, 31.12.1991, p. 1).

⁶³ Directive 2008/50/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 21 May 2008 on ambient air quality and cleaner air for Europe (OJ L 152, 11.6.2008, p. 1).

⁶⁴ Directive (EU) 2016/2284 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 14 December 2016 on the reduction of national emissions of certain atmospheric pollutants, amending Directive 2003/35/EC and repealing Directive 2001/81/EC (OJ L 344, 17.12.2016, p. 1).

^{64a} ***Directive (EU) 2020/2184 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 16 December 2020 on the quality of water intended for human consumption***

⁶⁵ Regulation (EU) 2021/2115 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 2 December 2021 establishing rules on support for strategic plans to be drawn up by Member States under the common agricultural policy (CAP Strategic Plans) and financed by the European Agricultural Guarantee Fund (EAGF) and by the European Agricultural Fund for Rural Development (EAFRD) and repealing Regulations (EU) No 1305/2013 and (EU) No 1307/2013 (OJ L 435, 6.12.2021, p. 1).

Amendment 16**Proposal for a regulation****Recital 19***Text proposed by the Commission*

(19) For the sake of transparency and in order to encourage greater progress, it is necessary to measure the progress made by Member States in relation to the achievement of the national 2030 reduction targets and other national indicative reduction targets. This should be done on an annual basis by means of annual progress and implementation reports. In order to monitor the level of compliance with this Regulation in a streamlined, easily comparable manner, Member States should also include quantitative data in relation to the implementation of this Regulation as regards use, training, application equipment and integrated pest management. In order for the Commission to encourage progress towards achieving national 2030 reduction targets and other national indicative reduction targets, including any measures in support of such achievement, the Commission should analyse such progress and measures every 2 years.

Amendment

(19) For the sake of transparency and in order to encourage greater progress, it is necessary to measure the progress made by Member States in relation to the achievement of the national 2030 reduction targets and other national indicative reduction targets. This should be done on an annual basis by means of annual progress and implementation reports. In order to monitor the level of compliance with this Regulation in a streamlined, easily comparable manner, Member States should also include quantitative data in relation to the implementation of this Regulation as regards use, training, application equipment and integrated pest management. In order for the Commission to encourage progress towards achieving national 2030 reduction targets and other national indicative reduction targets, including any measures in support of such achievement, the Commission should analyse such progress and measures every 2 years **and publish the results**.

Amendment 17**Proposal for a regulation****Recital 20***Text proposed by the Commission*

(20) An approach to pest control that follows integrated pest management in

Amendment

(20) An approach to pest control that follows integrated pest management in

ensuring careful consideration of all available means that discourage the development of populations of harmful organisms, while keeping the use of chemical plant protection products to levels that **are economically and ecologically justified and minimising** risks to human health and the environment is necessary for the protection of human health and the environment. ‘Integrated pest management’ emphasises the growth of a healthy crop with the least possible disruption to agro-ecosystems, **encourages** natural pest control mechanisms and **uses** chemical control only when all other control means are exhausted. To ensure that integrated pest management is implemented consistently on the ground, it is necessary to lay down clear rules in this Regulation. In order to comply with the obligation to follow integrated pest management, a professional user should consider and implement all methods and practices that avoid the use of plant protection products. Chemical plant protection products should only be used when all other control means have been exhausted. In order to ensure and monitor compliance with this requirement, it is important that professional users keep a record of the **reasons why they apply plant protection products or the reasons for any other action** taken in line with integrated pest management and of advice received in support of their implementation of integrated pest management from independent advisors. These records are also required for aerial applications.

ensuring careful consideration of all available means that discourage the development **and proliferation** of populations of harmful organisms, while keeping the use of chemical plant protection products to levels that **minimise** risks to human health and the environment is necessary for the protection of human health and the environment. ‘Integrated pest management’ emphasises the growth of a healthy crop with the least possible disruption to agro-ecosystems, **based on** natural pest control mechanisms and **using** chemical control only when all other **non-chemical preventative and** control means are exhausted. **For the sake of clarity, a hierarchy related to the management and control of plant pests (‘integrated pest management hierarchy’) should be established.** To ensure that integrated pest management is implemented consistently on the ground, it is necessary to lay down clear rules in this Regulation. In order to comply with the obligation to follow integrated pest management, a professional user should consider and implement all methods and practices that avoid the use of plant protection products. Chemical plant protection products should only be used when all other **non-chemical preventative and** control means have been exhausted. In order to ensure and monitor compliance with this requirement, it is important that professional users keep a record of the **actions** taken in line with integrated pest management and of advice received in support of their implementation of integrated pest management from independent advisors **and the reasons why they apply plant protection products.** These records are also required for aerial applications.

Or. en

Amendment 18

Proposal for a regulation Recital 23

Text proposed by the Commission

(23) In order to verify compliance by professional users with integrated pest management, an electronic integrated pest management and plant protection product use register should be maintained with the aim of verifying compliance with the rules on integrated pest management set out in this Regulation and supporting the development of Union policy. Access to the register should **also** be granted to national statistical authorities for the development, production and dissemination of official statistics in accordance with Chapter V of Regulation (EC) No 223/2009 of the European Parliament and of the **Council**⁶⁶. This register should record any preventative measure or intervention and the reasons for **that preventative measure or intervention**. This will provide the competent authorities with the information necessary to verify whether a professional user has carried out a decision-making process, in accordance with integrated pest management, before determining **the specific preventative measure or** intervention. The register should also contain details in relation to advice required annually in support of integrated pest management in order to verify that such strategic longer term planning in relation to integrated pest management is taking place.

⁶⁶ Regulation (EC) No 223/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 11 March 2009 on European statistics and repealing Regulation (EC, Euratom) No 1101/2008 of the European Parliament and

Amendment

(23) In order to verify compliance by professional users with integrated pest management, an electronic integrated pest management and plant protection product use register should be **introduced and** maintained with the aim of verifying compliance with the rules on integrated pest management set out in this Regulation and supporting the development of Union policy. Access to the register should be granted to **national competent authorities in charge of the implementation of relevant legislation and** national statistical authorities for the development, production and dissemination of official statistics in accordance with Chapter V of Regulation (EC) No 223/2009 of the European Parliament and of the **Council**⁶⁶. This register should record any preventative measure or intervention and the reasons for **any application of plant protection products**. This will provide the competent authorities with the information necessary to verify whether a professional user has carried out a decision-making process, in accordance with integrated pest management, before determining **an intervention using chemical plant protection products**. The register should also contain details in relation to advice required annually in support of integrated pest management in order to verify that such strategic longer term planning in relation to integrated pest management is taking place.

⁶⁶ Regulation (EC) No 223/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 11 March 2009 on European statistics and repealing Regulation (EC, Euratom) No 1101/2008 of the European Parliament and

of the Council on the transmission of data subject to statistical confidentiality to the Statistical Office of the European Communities, Council Regulation (EC) No 322/97 on Community Statistics, and Council Decision 89/382/EEC, Euratom establishing a Committee on the Statistical Programmes of the European Communities (OJ L 87, 31.3.2009, p. 164).

of the Council on the transmission of data subject to statistical confidentiality to the Statistical Office of the European Communities, Council Regulation (EC) No 322/97 on Community Statistics, and Council Decision 89/382/EEC, Euratom establishing a Committee on the Statistical Programmes of the European Communities (OJ L 87, 31.3.2009, p. 164).

Or. en

Amendment 19

Proposal for a regulation Recital 24

Text proposed by the Commission

(24) In order to ensure that plant protection products and related application equipment are used in a manner that protects human health and the environment, it is necessary to provide for general requirements on professional users in relation to the training required to use certain plant protection products or application equipment, the use of more hazardous plant protection products and the need to comply with inspection requirements for application equipment in professional use.

Amendment

(24) In order to ensure that plant protection products and related application equipment are used in a manner that protects human health and the environment, it is necessary to provide for general requirements on professional users in relation to the training required to use certain plant protection products or application equipment, the use of more hazardous plant protection products and the need to comply with inspection requirements for application equipment in professional use. ***Concomitantly, the use of chemical plant protection products by non-professional users, who are not trained, should be prohibited, in order to avoid situations where human or environmental safety would be at risk.***

Or. en

Amendment 20

Proposal for a regulation Recital 24 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(24a) It must be ensured that such training is provided equally to all professional users and workers, including to those in non-standard forms of employment. The costs of such training should not be charged to the worker or withheld or deducted from the worker's remuneration. Such training should count as working time and, where possible, should be carried out during working hours in accordance with Directive 2019/1152.

Or. en

Amendment 21

Proposal for a regulation Recital 24 b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(24b) Environmental monitoring is necessary in order to examine any effects on human health and the environment resulting from the use of plant protection products. EU monitoring requirements currently focus only on water. In order to accurately assess whether the targets of this Regulation in terms of reducing the risk of plant protection products are met, Member States shall put in place comprehensive programmes to monitor the occurrence of residues of active substances and their metabolites in the environment, water, animals and humans.

Or. en

Amendment 22

Proposal for a regulation Recital 24 c (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(24c) Soil is an essential, multifunctional and living ecosystem of crucial environmental and socioeconomic importance, especially due to its complex microbiome. It performs many key functions and delivers services vital to human existence, especially in relation to food production, and ecosystem survival. However, the European Commission's implementation plan "Soil Deal for Europe" notes that 83% of agricultural soils in the EU are contaminated with residual pesticides, while agricultural intensification and overuse of pesticides will likely further exacerbate the situation in the future^{1a}. Pesticides' high soil persistence and toxicity to non-target species are specifically referred to as posing a threat to soil health. The importance of soil protection should therefore be duly taken into account when implementing the provisions of this Regulation.

^{1a} EU Mission Soil Deal for Europe: Implementation plan, 29 September 2021, https://research-and-innovation.ec.europa.eu/system/files/2021-09/soil_mission_implementation_plan_final_for_publication.pdf

Or. en

Justification

Healthy soils are the basis of crop production, but can be affected by pesticides. Their key role and the importance of preserving soil health should be duly taken into account for the purposes of this regulation.

Amendment 23

Proposal for a regulation Recital 25

Text proposed by the Commission

(25) Use of plant protection products may have particularly negative impacts in certain areas that are frequently used by the general public or by vulnerable groups, communities in which people live and work and ecologically sensitive areas, such as Natura 2000 sites protected in accordance with Directive 2009/147/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council⁶⁷ and Council Directive 92/43/EEC⁶⁸. If plant protection products are used in areas used by the general public, the possibility of exposure of humans to such plant protection products is high. In order to protect human health and the environment, the use of plant protection products in sensitive areas and within **3** metres of such areas, should therefore be prohibited. Derogations from the prohibition should only be allowed under certain conditions and on a case-by-case basis.

⁶⁷ Directive 2009/147/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 30 November 2009 on the conservation of wild birds (OJ L 20, 26.1.2010, p. 7).

⁶⁸ Council Directive 92/43/EEC of 21 May 1992 on the conservation of natural habitats and of wild fauna and flora (OJ L 206, 22.7.1992, p. 7).

Amendment

(25) Use of plant protection products may have particularly negative impacts in certain areas that are frequently used by the general public or by vulnerable groups, communities in which people live and work and ecologically sensitive areas, such as Natura 2000 sites protected in accordance with Directive 2009/147/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council⁶⁷ and Council Directive 92/43/EEC⁶⁸. If plant protection products are used in areas used by the general public, the possibility of exposure of humans to such plant protection products is high. In order to protect human health and the environment, the use of plant protection products in sensitive areas and within **10** metres of such areas, should therefore be prohibited. ***The bufferzones should be expanded to 50 meters for areas primarily frequented by vulnerable populations and for the use of highly hazardous plant protection products.*** Derogations from the prohibition should only be allowed ***for plant protection products authorised in accordance with Regulation 2018/848 for the continuation of existing agricultural activities or*** under certain ***strict*** conditions and on a case-by-case basis.

⁶⁷ Directive 2009/147/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 30 November 2009 on the conservation of wild birds (OJ L 20, 26.1.2010, p. 7).

⁶⁸ Council Directive 92/43/EEC of 21 May 1992 on the conservation of natural habitats and of wild fauna and flora (OJ L 206, 22.7.1992, p. 7).

Or. en

Justification

The suggested 3m distance from protected areas is not sufficient, it is even too small to prevent pesticides from entering protected areas via spray drift during their application. Science suggests that effective buffer zones need to be several hundred meters wide to prevent pesticide inputs. A recent study investigating pesticide contamination of public playgrounds found nearly half of the playgrounds contaminated by at least one pesticide and a 1/4 by more than one (including EDCs), and shows that a distance of even 100 meters would be necessary to obtain zero pesticide contamination. A follow-up study shows that mitigation measures that are even stricter than the EC's proposed 3m were not enough to prevent exposure of children and the general public to dangerous pesticides.

<https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/34916546/>, <https://enveurope.springeropen.com/articles/10.1186/s12302-019-0206-0>, <https://www.env-health.org/current-pesticide-reduction-measures-fail-to-protect-vulnerable-groups-from-pesticide-pollution-new-study-from-italy-shows/> Exceptions from the general ban to use pesticides in sensitive areas are reasonable, if restricted to pesticides approved for organic farming for certain types of areas.

Amendment 24

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 26

Text proposed by the Commission

(26) The aquatic environment and drinking water supplies are especially sensitive to plant protection products. In order to protect the aquatic environment, the use of plant protection products in and around surface waters areas should **therefore** be prohibited. Member States should have in place appropriate measures to avoid deterioration of surface and groundwater as well as coastal and marine waters and allow achievement of good surface and groundwater status, to protect the aquatic environment and drinking water supplies from the impact of plant protection products. In addition, it is important that professional users are trained in how to minimise or eliminate applications of certain plant protection products classified as “harmful to aquatic life with long lasting effects”, “very toxic to aquatic life with long lasting effects” or “toxic to aquatic life with long lasting effects”. It is also important that

Amendment

(26) The aquatic environment and drinking water supplies are especially sensitive to plant protection products. In order to protect the aquatic environment, the use of plant protection products in and around surface waters areas should be prohibited. Member States should have in place appropriate measures to avoid deterioration of surface and groundwater as well as coastal and marine waters and allow achievement of good surface and groundwater status, to protect the aquatic environment and drinking water supplies from the impact of plant protection products **and their metabolites**. In addition, it is important that professional users are trained in how to minimise or eliminate applications of certain plant protection products classified as “harmful to aquatic life with long lasting effects”, “very toxic to aquatic life with long lasting effects” or “toxic to aquatic life with long lasting effects”. It is also important that

professional users are trained on the importance of giving preference to low risk plant protection products **or non-chemical alternatives**, use of drift reducing technology and risk mitigation measures.

professional users are trained on the importance of giving preference to **non-chemical alternatives or** low risk plant protection products, use of drift reducing technology and risk mitigation measures.

Or. en

Justification

Depending on soil properties, the type of molecule, precipitation and the depth of groundwater layers, pesticides can take years until they reach groundwater bodies. Most of them have degraded into their metabolites by that time. Drinking water suppliers therefore find mostly metabolites in their water resources.

Amendment 25

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 27

Text proposed by the Commission

(27) Precision farming refers to agricultural management systems carefully tailoring crop management to fit localised conditions such as those found within land parcels. The application of existing technology, including the use of Union space data and services (Galileo and Copernicus), has the potential to significantly reduce pesticide usage. ***It is therefore necessary to provide for a legislative framework that incentivises the development of precision farming.*** Application of plant protection products from an aircraft, including application by planes, helicopters and drones, is usually less precise than other means of application and may therefore potentially cause adverse impacts on human health and the environment. Aerial application should therefore be prohibited, ***with limited derogations on a case-by-case basis where it has a less negative impact on human health and the environment than any alternative application method or there is no viable alternative application method.***

Amendment

(27) Precision farming refers to agricultural management systems carefully tailoring crop management to fit localised conditions such as those found within land parcels. The application of existing technology, including the use of Union space data and services (Galileo and Copernicus), has the potential to significantly reduce pesticide usage. Application of plant protection products from an aircraft, including application by planes, helicopters and drones, is usually less precise than other means of application and may therefore potentially cause adverse impacts on human health and the environment. Aerial application should therefore be prohibited.

It is also necessary to record the numbers of aerial applications carried out on the basis of permits granted for aerial application in order to have clear data on how many aerial applications for which permits were granted actually took place.

Or. en

Justification

Aerial spraying is associated with risks of significant adverse impacts on human health and the environment, in particular from spray drift.

Amendment 26

Proposal for a regulation Recital 28

Text proposed by the Commission

(28) It is however likely that certain unmanned aircraft (including drones) **will** allow for the targeted aerial application of plant protection products. Such unmanned aircraft **are likely to help reduce** the use of plant protection products due to targeted application and consequently help reduce the risks to human health and the environment compared to use of land-based application equipment. It is therefore appropriate to set criteria in this Regulation for an exemption of certain unmanned aircraft from the prohibition of aerial application. It is also appropriate to defer the application of this exemption for 3 years given the current state of scientific uncertainty.

Amendment

(28) It is however likely that certain unmanned aircraft (including drones) **could** allow for the targeted aerial application of plant protection products. Such unmanned aircraft **could possibly help reducing** the use of plant protection products due to targeted application and consequently help reduce the risks to human health and the environment compared to use of land-based application equipment. It is therefore appropriate to set criteria in this Regulation for an exemption of certain unmanned aircraft from the prohibition of aerial application, **with the possibility for Member States to allow for limited derogations on a case-by-case basis for applications by unmanned aircraft where it has a less negative impact on human health and the environment than any alternative application method or there is no viable alternative application method. It is also necessary to record the numbers of aerial applications carried out on the basis of permits granted for aerial application in order to have clear data on how many aerial applications for which**

permits were granted actually took place.

It is also appropriate to defer the application of this exemption for 3 years given the current state of scientific uncertainty.

Or. en

Amendment 27

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 30

Text proposed by the Commission

(30) Given the importance of advice on the use of plant protection products as a means to support their use in a manner that protects human health and the environment in accordance with integrated pest management, it is important that advisors are adequately trained.

Amendment

(30) Given the importance of ***independent*** advice on the use of plant protection products as a means to support their use in a manner that protects human health and the environment in accordance with integrated pest management, it is important that advisors are adequately trained.

Or. en

Amendment 28

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 31

Text proposed by the Commission

(31) Sale of a plant protection product is an important element in the distribution chain because it allows distributors to provide the necessary information to support its proper use. Specific advice on safety instructions for human health and the environment should be available to the purchaser or end user at the time of sale in order to allow questions to be answered that will facilitate the correct use of the relevant plant protection product. For non-professional users, general information

Amendment

(31) Sale of a plant protection product is an important element in the distribution chain because it allows distributors to provide the necessary information to support its proper use. Specific advice on safety instructions for human health and the environment should be available, ***including on the package*** to the purchaser or end user at the time of sale in order to allow questions to be answered that will facilitate the correct use of the relevant plant protection product. For non-

should be available at point of sale on safe use, handling and storage of plant protection products and on disposal of the packaging of such products, since those users do not generally have the same practical knowledge as professional users.

professional users, **who should be prohibited from purchasing chemical plant protection products, since they are not adequately trained**, general information should be available at point of sale on safe use, handling and storage of plant protection products and on disposal of the packaging of such products, since those users do not generally have the same practical knowledge as professional users.

Or. en

Amendment 29

Proposal for a regulation Recital 32

Text proposed by the Commission

(32) It is essential that Member States establish and maintain systems of both initial and follow-up training for distributors, advisors **and** professional users of plant protection products and certification systems to record such training, in order to ensure that those operators are fully aware of the potential risks to human health and the environment and of the appropriate measures to reduce those risks as much as possible. The training for advisors should be more extensive than that of distributors and professional users since they need to be able to support the proper implementation of integrated pest management and crop-specific rules. The use or purchase of a plant protection product authorised for professional use must be limited to persons in possession of a training certificate. In addition, in order to ensure safe use of plant protection products for human health and the environment, distributors should be required to provide **both professional and non-professional** purchasers of plant protection products with product specific

Amendment

(32) It is essential that Member States establish and maintain **independent** systems of both initial and follow-up training for distributors, advisors, **workers and other** professional users of plant protection products and **independent** certification systems **and that Member States** record such training, in order to ensure that those operators are fully aware of the potential risks to human health and the environment and of the appropriate measures to reduce those risks as much as possible. The training for **independent** advisors should be more extensive than that of distributors and professional users since they need to be able to support the proper implementation of integrated pest management and crop-specific rules. The use or purchase of a plant protection product authorised for professional use must be limited to persons in possession of a **valid** training certificate. In addition, in order to ensure safe use of plant protection products for human health and the environment, distributors should be required to provide purchasers of plant protection products with product specific

information at point of sale.

information at point of sale.

Or. en

Amendment 30

Proposal for a regulation Recital 34 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(34a) Member States should facilitate the reporting of occupational diseases linked to plant protection products use and exposure by setting maximum exposure levels for professional users, effective traceability, and accessible complaint mechanisms. Member States should ensure recognition of such diseases as well as fair compensation for workers.

Or. en

Amendment 31

Proposal for a regulation Recital 36

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(36) In order to minimise the adverse impacts of plant protection products on human health and the environment, it is necessary to provide for systems for regular technical inspection of application equipment in professional use. ***Given the potentially reduced impact of application equipment in professional use which represents a very low scale of use, it is also appropriate to allow Member States to lay down less stringent inspection requirements and provide for different inspection intervals in relation to such equipment. In addition, due to the***

(36) In order to minimise the adverse impacts of plant protection products on human health and the environment, it is necessary to provide for systems for regular technical inspection of application equipment in professional use. To ensure compliance with the inspection requirements, it is necessary to require that each Member State establish a register of application equipment in professional use and keep that register up to date. As some of the application equipment does not have unique IDs, it is necessary to make provision for the supply of a unique ID to

relatively low cost of purchasing new handheld application equipment and knapsack sprayers compared to the costs of inspection, it is appropriate to provide for the possibility of national derogations from the mandatory inspection of such equipment, subject to the carrying out of a risk assessment covering the risks to human health and the environment posed by such equipment. That assessment should include an estimation of the scale of use of the equipment. To ensure compliance with the inspection requirements, it is necessary to require that each Member State establish a register of application equipment in professional use and keep that register up to date. As some of the application equipment does not have unique IDs, it is necessary to make provision for the supply of a unique ID to such application equipment to ensure that all equipment is physically identified.

such application equipment to ensure that all equipment is physically identified.

Or. en

Justification

For the sake of workers' (and in general professional users') protection, but also with a view to adverse effects to the environment, no exemptions should be allowed.

Amendment 32

Proposal for a regulation **Recital 37**

Text proposed by the Commission

(37) In order to monitor progress achieved in the reduction of risks and adverse impacts to human health and the environment from the use of plant protection products it is necessary to ***continue using*** the system of harmonised risk indicators established under Directive (EU) 2009/128/EC.

Amendment

(37) In order to monitor progress achieved in the reduction of risks and adverse impacts to human health and the environment from the use of plant protection products, it is necessary to ***adjust*** the system of harmonised risk indicators, ***as*** established under Directive (EU) 2009/128/EC, ***since the current system, and especially Harmonised Risk Indicator 1, is not appropriate to describe***

***the risk of pesticide use on human health
and the environment.***

Or. en

Justification

The currently used HRI1 systematically underestimates, by several orders, the risk of highly effective pesticides (typically characterized by low application and sales volumes), compared to natural substances. This systematic error can be corrected by a simple standardization step, which is essential for a proper and reliable risk indication of the SUR targets. See <https://www.umweltbundesamt.de/publikationen/towards-sustainable-plant-protectionand> https://www.organicseurope.bio/content/uploads/2022/06/GLOBAL2000_HRI-1_final_28022022.pdf?dd

Amendment 33

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 38

Text proposed by the Commission

(38) Statistical data on plant protection products collected in accordance with Regulation (EC) No 1185/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council⁷⁴ should be used in calculating these harmonised risk indicators and progress towards achieving binding Union and national targets based on the Farm to Fork Strategy. Given that pesticide use fluctuates between years depending, in particular, on the weather, a three year baseline period is appropriate to take account of such fluctuations. The baseline period for the calculation of harmonised risk indicators 1 and 2 is 2011–2013, as this was the first three year period for which data was received by the Commission under Regulation (EC) No 1185/2009 and coincides with the entry into force of Directive 2009/128/EC. The baseline period for the calculation of progress towards the Union 2030 reduction targets is **2015–2017, as this was** the three most recent years for which data **was** available at ***the time of the announcement***

Amendment

(38) Statistical data on plant protection products, ***including data*** collected in accordance with Regulation (EC) No 1185/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council⁷⁴ ***in combination with the mean application rates of chemical active substances based on their representative uses***, should be used in calculating these harmonised risk indicators and progress towards achieving binding Union and national targets based on the Farm to Fork Strategy. Given that pesticide use fluctuates between years depending, in particular, on the weather, a three year baseline period is appropriate to take account of such fluctuations. The baseline period for the calculation of harmonised risk indicators 1 and 2 is 2011–2013, as this was the first three year period for which data was received by the Commission under Regulation (EC) No 1185/2009 and coincides with the entry into force of Directive 2009/128/EC. The baseline period for the calculation of progress towards the Union 2030 reduction

of the Farm to Fork Strategy. The baseline period for the calculation of a new harmonised risk indicator 2a is 2022–2024, as this will be the first three year period for which data on the areas treated under each authorisation for an emergency situation in plant protection will be available.

targets is **2018–2020, as these are** the three most recent years for which data **is** available at **this time**. The baseline period for the calculation of a new harmonised risk indicator 2a is 2022–2024, as this will be the first three year period for which data on the areas treated under each authorisation for an emergency situation in plant protection will be available.

⁷⁴ Regulation (EC) No 1185/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 25 November 2009 concerning statistics on pesticides (OJ L 324, 10.12.2009, p. 1).

⁷⁴ Regulation (EC) No 1185/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 25 November 2009 concerning statistics on pesticides (OJ L 324, 10.12.2009, p. 1).

Or. en

Justification

The currently used HRI1 systematically underestimates, by several orders, the risk of highly effective pesticides (typically characterized by low application and sales volumes), compared to natural substances. This systematic error can be corrected by a simple standardization step, which is essential for a proper and reliable risk indication of the SUR targets. See <https://www.umweltbundesamt.de/publikationen/towards-sustainable-plant-protectionand> https://www.organicseurope.bio/content/uploads/2022/06/GLOBAL2000_HRI-1_final_28022022.pdf?dd

Amendment 34

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 39

Text proposed by the Commission

(39) For the moment, the only robust statistical data available at Union level relating to the marketing and use of plant protection products are the statistics on the quantities of active substances in plant protection products placed on the market, and the data on the number of authorisations for emergency situations in plant protection granted under Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009. Those statistics are used in the calculation of harmonised risk indicators 1 and 2 under Directive

Amendment

(39) For the moment, the only robust statistical data available at Union level relating to the marketing and use of plant protection products are the statistics on the quantities of active substances in plant protection products placed on the market, and the data on the number of authorisations for emergency situations in plant protection granted under Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009. Those statistics are used in the calculation of harmonised risk indicators 1 and 2 under Directive

2009/128/EC and in calculating progress towards the binding Union 2030 reduction targets and national 2030 reduction targets based on the Farm to Fork Strategy. The new harmonised risk indicator 2a will be calculated using statistics on the number of authorisations for emergency situations in plant protection, the properties of the active substances in plant protection products subject to these authorisations, and the areas treated under these authorisations to better quantify the risks arising from authorisations for emergency situations in plant protection.

2009/128/EC and in calculating progress towards the binding Union 2030 reduction targets and national 2030 reduction targets based on the Farm to Fork Strategy.

However, the harmonised risk indicator 1 established under Directive (EU)

2009/128/EC is no longer considered appropriate to represent the use and risk of pesticides on human health and the environment. Therefore, the Harmonised Risk Indicator 1 and Annex I should be methodically adjusted regarding especially the standardization of sales volumes with the mean application rate per active substance and the omission of a specific weighting factor for non-approved substances in group 4.

The new harmonised risk indicator 2a will be calculated using statistics on the number of authorisations for emergency situations in plant protection, the properties of the active substances in plant protection products subject to these authorisations, and the areas treated under these authorisations to better quantify the risks arising from authorisations for emergency situations in plant protection.

Or. en

Justification

The methodology set out in Annex I is adapted i) to standardize sales volumes with the recommended application rates and ii) the adjustment of the weighting factor for group 4. If those adjustments are not considered, substances with high sales volumes as well as non-authorized substances will drive the trend for the risk indication. The currently used HRI1 systematically underestimates, by several orders, the risk of highly effective pesticides (typically characterized by low application and sales volumes), compared to natural substances. Attributing a high risk factor to active substances that have lost approval is not appropriate, as the most common reason why an active substance ends up in Group 4 is not a negative environmental or health risk assessment, but that an incomplete application was submitted or that the active substance was no longer defended. It also leads to an unreasonably high influence on the overall risk of the HRI1. See <https://www.umweltbundesamt.de/publikationen/towards-sustainable-plant-protectionand> https://www.organicseurope.bio/content/uploads/2022/06/GLOBAL2000_HRI-1_final_28022022.pdf?dd

Amendment 35

Proposal for a regulation Recital 41

Text proposed by the Commission

(41) The EU Biodiversity Strategy for 2030 recognises the need for urgent action to protect biodiversity. There is evidence of a widespread reduction of species, in particular insects and pollinators, in the Union. Biodiversity loss is, amongst other factors, driven by the use of plant protection products, while Member States actions under current Union policy instruments have not yet been able to stop this trend of biodiversity loss. It is therefore essential to ensure that plant protection products **are** used in such a way as to **mitigate** the risk of harmful effects of such products on wildlife, through a number of measures including training, inspection of application equipment in professional use and protection of the aquatic environment and sensitive areas.

Amendment

(41) The EU Biodiversity Strategy for 2030 recognises the need for urgent action to protect biodiversity. There is evidence of a widespread reduction of species, in particular insects and pollinators, in the Union. Biodiversity loss is, amongst other factors, driven by the use of plant protection products, while Member States actions under current Union policy instruments have not yet been able to stop this trend of biodiversity loss. It is therefore essential to ensure that **the use of** plant protection products **is drastically reduced by properly applying integrated pest management practices. If pesticides are used, they should be** used in such a way as to **eliminate** the risk of harmful effects of such products on wildlife, through a number of measures including training, inspection of application equipment in professional use and protection of the aquatic environment and sensitive areas.

Or. en

Amendment 36

Proposal for a regulation Recital 43

Text proposed by the Commission

(43) In order to enforce the obligations set out in this Regulation, Member States should lay down rules on penalties applicable to infringements of this Regulation and ensure that those rules are enforced. The penalties should be effective, proportionate and dissuasive. It is also

Amendment

(43) In order to enforce the obligations set out in this Regulation, Member States should lay down rules on penalties applicable to infringements of this Regulation and ensure that those rules are enforced. The penalties should be effective, proportionate and dissuasive. It is also

important to provide for Member States to recover costs related to carrying out obligations under this Regulation by means of fees or charges in order to ensure that adequate financial resources are available to competent authorities.

important to provide for Member States to recover costs related to carrying out obligations under this Regulation by means of fees or charges, **or by a possible risk-based taxation of plant protection products**, in order to ensure that adequate financial resources are available to competent authorities.

Or. en

Justification

Several Member States have already established pesticide taxes. Rules on pesticide taxation should be harmonised in order to avoid distortion of the internal market, to help reaching the aim of pesticide reduction, and to raise funds for implementing this Regulation as well as offering the possibility for compensating farmers.
https://ec.europa.eu/environment/enveco/taxation/environmental_taxes.htm and https://www.researchgate.net/publication/355048373_Pesticide_tax_in_the_EU_-_Various_levy_concepts_and_their_impact_on_pesticide_reduction

Amendment 37

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 46

Text proposed by the Commission

(46) In order to take into account technical progress and scientific developments, the power to adopt acts in accordance with Article 290 of the Treaty should be delegated to the Commission to amend the provisions on ***obligations of professional users and advisors related to integrated pest management***, inspection of application equipment in professional use, calculation of harmonised risk indicators, the data to be provided in annual progress and implementation reports and the notification form in relation to application equipment as well as Annexes II III, IV, V and VI. Likewise, the power to adopt acts in accordance with Article 290 of the Treaty should be delegated to the Commission to supplement this Regulation by specifying precise criteria in relation to

Amendment

(46) In order to take into account technical progress and scientific developments, the power to adopt acts in accordance with Article 290 of the Treaty should be delegated to the Commission to amend the provisions on, inspection of application equipment in professional use, calculation of harmonised risk indicators, the data to be provided in annual progress and implementation reports and the notification form in relation to application equipment as well as Annexes II III, IV, V and VI. Likewise, the power to adopt acts in accordance with Article 290 of the Treaty should be delegated to the Commission to supplement this Regulation by specifying precise criteria in relation to certain factors regarding unmanned aircraft, once technical progress and

certain factors regarding unmanned aircraft, once technical progress and scientific developments allow for the development of such precise criteria. It is of particular importance that the Commission carries out appropriate consultations during its preparatory work, including at expert level, and that those consultations be conducted in accordance with the principles laid down in the Inter-institutional Agreement of 13 April 2016 on Better Law-Making⁷⁶. In particular, to ensure equal participation in the preparation of delegated acts, the European Parliament and the Council receive all documents at the same time as Member States' experts, and their experts systematically have access to meetings of Commission expert groups dealing with the preparation of delegated acts.

⁷⁶ OJ L 123, 12.5.2016, p. 1.

scientific developments allow for the development of such precise criteria, ***and to adapt the conditions under which exemptions from the prohibition to use chemical plant protection products in sensitive areas can be granted***. It is of particular importance that the Commission carries out appropriate consultations during its preparatory work, including at expert level, and that those consultations be conducted in accordance with the principles laid down in the Inter-institutional Agreement of 13 April 2016 on Better Law-Making⁷⁶. In particular, to ensure equal participation in the preparation of delegated acts, the European Parliament and the Council receive all documents at the same time as Member States' experts, and their experts systematically have access to meetings of Commission expert groups dealing with the preparation of delegated acts.

⁷⁶ OJ L 123, 12.5.2016, p. 1.

Or. en

Amendment 38

Proposal for a regulation Recital 48 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(48a) Sufficient funding is needed for the implementation of integrated pest management. The establishment of a state fund can help to foster the implementation and uptake of integrated pest management and to make related measures more attractive for farmers, e.g. by providing for compensations in case of proven loss of income. The financial resources for this fund could inter alia be provided by a risk-based taxation on plant protection products, by contributions of

retailers, or by penalty payments;

Or. en

Justification

Sufficient funding is crucial, and unconventional ideas are needed to ensure that this Regulation will be implemented. As an example, several Member States have already established pesticide taxes. Rules on pesticide taxation should be harmonised in order to avoid distortion of the internal market, to help reaching the aim of pesticide reduction, and to raise funds for implementing this Regulation as well as offering the possibility for compensating

farmers.https://ec.europa.eu/environment/enveco/taxation/environmental_taxes.htm and https://www.researchgate.net/publication/355048373_Pesticide_tax_in_the_EU_-_Various_levy_concepts_and_their_impact_on_pesticide_reduction

Amendment 39

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 50

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(50) The application of this Regulation should be deferred in order to allow competent authorities and operators to prepare for the requirements introduced by it,

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 40

Proposal for a regulation

Article 1 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

This Regulation lays down rules for the sustainable use of plant protection products by providing for the setting, and achievement by 2030, of reduction targets for the use and risk of chemical **plant protection products**, establishing requirements for use, storage, sale and

This Regulation ***aims at reducing the risks and impacts of pesticide use on human health and the environment and reducing pesticide dependency.*** It lays down rules for the sustainable use of plant protection products by providing for the setting, and achievement by 2030, of reduction targets

disposal of plant protection products and for application equipment, **providing for** training and awareness raising, **and providing for implementation of integrated pest management.**

for the use and risk of chemical **pesticides, by promoting and implementing integrated pest management, based on non-chemical preventive and alternative approaches and techniques, and encouraging the transition to low-input farming systems, and by** establishing requirements for use, storage, sale and disposal of plant protection products and for application equipment, **worker protection and** training, **independent advice** and awareness raising.

Or. en

Justification

This expresses the aims and objectives of this regulation more accurately, focusing on the reduction of risks and the implementation of alternative practices in agriculture with the ultimate aim to achieve a sustainable food system.

Amendment 41

Proposal for a regulation

Article 2 – paragraph 1 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

This Regulation shall apply to products, in the form in which they are supplied to the user, consisting of or containing active substances, safeners **or** synergists, and intended for one of the following uses:

Amendment

This Regulation shall apply to products, in the form in which they are supplied to the user, consisting of or containing active substances, safeners, synergists, **co-formulants or adjuvants** and intended for one of the following uses:

Or. en

Amendment 42

Proposal for a regulation

Article 2 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

These products are referred to as ‘plant

Amendment

These products are referred to as ‘plant

protection products’.

protection products’, ***a term that will be used synonymously with the term ‘pesticides’ for the purpose of this regulation.***

Or. en

Justification

In order to ensure terminological coherence with Regulation 1107/2009, the term “plant protection product” will be applied throughout this regulation. This term will be understood in the broad meaning of “pesticides”, a term that is also more often used in common parlance.

Amendment 43

Proposal for a regulation

Article 2 – paragraph 2 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

The provisions of this Regulation shall not prevent Member States from applying the precautionary principle in restricting or prohibiting the use of pesticides in specific circumstances or areas.

Or. en

Justification

Provision taken from Directive 2009/128/EC.

Amendment 44

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(1) ‘chemical plant protection product’ means a plant protection product containing a chemical active substance excluding plant products using natural means of biological origin or substances identical to them, such as micro-organisms,

(1) ‘chemical plant protection product’ means a plant protection product containing a chemical active substance excluding plant ***protection*** products using natural means of biological origin or substances identical to them, such as

semiochemicals, extracts from plant products as defined in Article 3(6) of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009, or invertebrate macro-organisms;

micro-organisms, semiochemicals, extracts from plant products as defined in Article 3(6) of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009, or invertebrate macro-organisms;

Or. en

Justification

Correction of a drafting error.

Amendment 45

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 7

Text proposed by the Commission

(7) ‘professional user’ means any person who uses a plant protection product in the course of their professional activities;

Amendment

(7) ‘professional user’ means any person who uses a plant protection product in the course of their professional activities, ***including farmers and farm workers***;

Or. en

Justification

This addition further clarifies the term “professional user” and is important to avoid misinterpretations.

Amendment 46

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 10

Text proposed by the Commission

(10) ‘application equipment’ means any equipment the use of which for the application of a plant protection product is reasonably foreseeable at the time of manufacture and accessories that are essential for the effective operation of such equipment, ***with the exception of equipment designed for the sowing or***

Amendment

(10) ‘application equipment’ means any equipment the use of which for the application of a plant protection product is reasonably foreseeable at the time of manufacture and accessories that are essential for the effective operation of such equipment;

planting of propagating material treated with plant protection products;

Or. en

Amendment 47

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 15

Text proposed by the Commission

(15) ‘integrated pest management’ ***means careful consideration of all available means that discourage the development of populations of harmful organisms, while keeping the use of chemical plant protection products to levels that are economically and ecologically justified and*** minimise risks to human health and the environment;

Amendment

(15) ‘integrated pest management’ ***means a holistic system that emphasizes the growth of a healthy crop with the least possible disruption to agro-ecosystems; it is based on non-chemical preventative measures and encourages natural pest control mechanisms, in order to*** minimise risks to human health and the environment;

Or. en

Justification

The changes to the proposed definition clarify the concept of integrated pest management and include a reference to the hierarchy of measures that are central to it as well as aspects of the definition in Directive 2009/128/EC. Furthermore, they better reflect the holistic nature of integrated pest management and that it is primarily based non-chemical preventative measures, with chemical interventions as a last resort.

Amendment 48

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 16 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

(c) human settlements (community in which people live and work), defined as the most up to date CORINE (Coordination of information on the Environment) system maintained by the EEA Land Cover Level 1 classification (Artificial Surfaces)

Amendment

(c) human settlements (community in which people live and work, ***including kitchen and private gardens***), defined as the most up to date CORINE (Coordination of information on the Environment) system maintained by the EEA Land Cover Level

(excluding Level 2 – 1.2: Industrial, commercial and transport units and Level 2 – 1.3: Mine, dump and construction sites)⁸⁰ ;

⁸⁰ See CORINE Land Cover nomenclature conversion to Land Cover Classification system (<https://land.copernicus.eu/user-corner/technical-library/corine-land-cover-nomenclature-guidelines/html>) and CORINE Land Cover (CLC) inventory (CORINE Land Cover — Copernicus Land Monitoring Service).

1 classification (Artificial Surfaces)⁸⁰.

⁸⁰ See CORINE Land Cover nomenclature conversion to Land Cover Classification system (<https://land.copernicus.eu/user-corner/technical-library/corine-land-cover-nomenclature-guidelines/html>) and CORINE Land Cover (CLC) inventory (CORINE Land Cover — Copernicus Land Monitoring Service).

Or. en

Amendment 49

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 16 – point f – point i

Text proposed by the Commission

(i) any protected area under Directive 2000/60/EC, including possible safeguard zones as well as modifications of those areas following the risk assessment results for drinking water abstraction points under Directive (EU) 2020/2184 of the European Parliament and of the Council⁸¹ ;

⁸¹ Directive (EU) 2020/2184 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 16 December 2020 on the quality of water intended for human consumption (OJ L 435, 23.12.2020, p. 1).

Amendment

(i) any protected area under **Annex IV of** Directive 2000/60/EC, **excluding those designated pursuant Annex IV 1 part (iv) and** including possible safeguard zones as well as modifications of those areas following the risk assessment results for drinking water abstraction points under Directive (EU) 2020/2184 of the European Parliament and of the Council⁸¹ ;

⁸¹ Directive (EU) 2020/2184 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 16 December 2020 on the quality of water intended for human consumption (OJ L 435, 23.12.2020, p. 1).

Or. en

Justification

This exclusion concerns the nitrate-sensitive areas, which are not relevant for the purposes of this regulation.

Amendment 50

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 16 – point f – point ii

Text proposed by the Commission

(ii) sites of Community importance in the list referred to in Article 4(2) of Directive 92/43/EEC and the special areas of conservation designated in accordance with Article 4(4) of that Directive, and special protection areas classified pursuant to Article 4 of Directive 2009/147/EC, and any other national, regional, or local protected area reported by the Member States to the Nationally designated protected areas inventory (CDDA);

Amendment

(ii) sites of Community importance in the list referred to in Article 4(2) of Directive 92/43/EEC and the special areas of conservation designated in accordance with Article 4(4) of that Directive, and special protection areas classified pursuant to Article 4 of Directive 2009/147/EC, and any other national, regional, or local protected area reported by the Member States to the Nationally designated protected areas inventory (CDDA), **where the conservation objectives relate to nature, biodiversity, or habitat protection;**

Or. en

Justification

This addition aims to clarify that only nationally protected areas should be included as sensitive areas for the purposes of this regulation, where the conservation objectives are clearly relevant to biodiversity, nature or habitat protection (e.g. National Parks), and that areas, which are designated as protected areas for unrelated reasons, such as beauty of landscape or the preservation of historical monuments, should be excluded from this definition.

Amendment 51

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 22

Text proposed by the Commission

(22) ‘non-chemical methods’ means **alternatives** to chemical plant protection products;

Amendment

(22) ‘non-chemical methods’ means **alternative methods** to chemical plant protection products, **based on agronomic techniques such as wide crop rotation and intercropping, and physical, mechanical or biological pest control methods;**

Amendment 52

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 22 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(22a) ‘preventative measure’ means removing conditions that attract pests and diseases and that facilitate their proliferation, such as by providing food, water, and shelter, and the absence of natural predators; preventive measures rely particularly on agronomic measures.

Or. en

Justification

Additional definition to clarify the concept of “preventative measures” and delineate it clearly from “intervention” for improved coherence of the text in relevant articles.

Amendment 53

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 22 b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(22b) ‘intervention’ means any measure that is taken after the pest or disease or its symptoms are detected.

Or. en

Justification

Additional definition to clarify the concept of “intervention” and delineate it clearly from “preventative measure” for improved coherence of the text in relevant articles.

Amendment 54

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 23 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(23a) ‘organic farming’ means farming practices in accordance with Regulation (EC) No 2018/848.

Or. en

Amendment 55

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 23 b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(23b) ‘occupational disease’ means, for the purpose of this Regulation, a health condition or disorder that is developed following the use of or exposure to plant protection products in the work environment.

Or. en

Justification

Necessary addition, since occupational diseases also need to be treated as part of the public health objectives of this regulation.

Amendment 56

Proposal for a regulation

Article 4 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

1. Each Member State shall contribute, through the adoption and achievement of national targets in accordance with Article 5 to achieving by 2030 a 50 % Union-wide reduction of **both**

1. Each Member State shall contribute, through the adoption and achievement of national targets in accordance with Article 5 to achieving by 2030 **at least** a 50 % Union-wide reduction

the use and risk of chemical plant protection products ('Union 2030 reduction target 1') and the use of more hazardous plant protection products ('Union 2030 reduction target 2'), compared to the average of the years **2015, 2016 and 2017** (collectively referred to as 'the Union 2030 reduction targets').

of the use and risk of chemical plant protection products ('Union 2030 reduction target 1') and **a 80% reduction of** the use of more hazardous plant protection products ('Union 2030 reduction target 2'), compared to the average of the years **2018, 2019 and 2020** (collectively referred to as 'the Union 2030 reduction targets').

Or. en

Justification

Reg 1107/2009/EC, Article 50 obliges Member States not to re-authorise substances that are approved as candidates for substitution (more hazardous plant protection products), when alternatives exist. Those pesticides should have already been phased out since 2015, from when Member States were required to substitute them. It is therefore appropriate to adjust the Union 2030 reduction target 2. In order to describe the situation directly before the SUR came into force, the reference period should be shifted to 2018-2020. It is important that the reference time period is as representative as possible.

Amendment 57

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

By ... [OP: please insert the date – 6 months after the **date of application** of this Regulation] each Member State shall adopt national targets in its national legislation to achieve by 2030 a reduction set in accordance with this Article, from the average of the years **2015, 2016 and 2017**, of the following:

Amendment

By ... [OP: please insert the date – 6 months after the **entry into force** of this Regulation] each Member State shall adopt national targets in its national legislation to achieve by 2030 a reduction set in accordance with this Article, from the average of the years **2018, 2019 and 2020**, of the following:

Or. en

Justification

Referring to the date of entry into force is more accurate. The proposed timetable is too slow and could lead to the final setting of targets in the course of 2027 only. The reference time period must be as representative as possible (over a period of at least three years) and set directly before this regulation comes into force. For this purpose, the years 2018 to 2020 are suggested as baseline time period. It is known that extremes and increasing fluctuations in temperature and precipitation due to climate change have a significant impact on diseases

and pests (e.g. extreme drought of 2018 and 2019). These changes affect pesticide use. It is thus necessary to choose a reference baseline that is averaged over at least three years and is close to the date of entry of the SUR.

Amendment 58

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 2 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

For both national reduction targets, the Member States shall set at least one intermediary target for the period until 2030. The intermediary targets shall be set in a way that at least 50% of the 2030 reduction targets are reached by 2026.'

Or. en

Justification

Intermediary targets are a useful tool to measure progress on the path to the 2030 reduction targets.

Amendment 59

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

2. The progress of each Member State towards achieving the national 2030 reduction targets shall be calculated annually by the Commission in accordance with the methodology set out in Annex I.

2. The progress of each Member State towards achieving the national 2030 reduction ***targets as well as the intermediary*** targets shall be calculated annually by the Commission in accordance with the methodology set out in Annex I.

Or. en

Amendment 60

Proposal for a regulation Article 5 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

3. Each Member State shall reach the targets referred to in paragraph 1 by 2030. A Member State that reaches the level of one of its 2030 national reduction targets before 2030 shall not be required to undertake additional reduction efforts. It shall monitor annual fluctuations in order to maintain the progress achieved in relation to that 2030 national reduction target.

Amendment

3. Each Member State shall reach the targets referred to in paragraph 1 by 2030 **and the intermediary targets referred to in paragraph 1**. A Member State that reaches the level of one of its 2030 national reduction targets before 2030 shall not be required to undertake additional reduction efforts. It shall monitor annual fluctuations in order to maintain the progress achieved in relation to that 2030 national reduction target.

Or. en

Amendment 61

Proposal for a regulation Article 5 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

4. Subject to paragraphs 5 to 8, the national 2030 reduction targets shall be set at such level so as to achieve a reduction between the average of the years **2015, 2016 and 2017** and the year 2030 in the relevant Member State that at least equals 50%.

Amendment

4. Subject to paragraphs 5 to 8, the national 2030 reduction targets shall be set at such level so as to achieve a reduction between the average of the years **2018, 2019 and 2020** and the year 2030 in the relevant Member State that at least equals 50%.

Or. en

Amendment 62

Proposal for a regulation Article 5 – paragraph 5 – subparagraph 2 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) 35% where a Member State's weighted intensity of use and risk of chemical plant protection products during the average of the years **2015, 2016 and 2017** is less than 70% of the Union average;

Amendment

(a) 35% where a Member State's weighted intensity of use and risk of chemical plant protection products during the average of the years **2018, 2019 and 2020** is less than 70% of the Union average;

Or. en

Amendment 63

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 5 – subparagraph 2 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) 50% where a Member State's weighted intensity of use and risk of chemical plant protection products during the average of the years **2015, 2016 and 2017** is between 70% and 140% of the Union average;

Amendment

(b) 50% where a Member State's weighted intensity of use and risk of chemical plant protection products during the average of the years **2018, 2019 and 2020** is between 70% and 140% of the Union average;

Or. en

Amendment 64

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 5 – subparagraph 2 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

(c) 65% where a Member State's weighted intensity of use and risk of chemical plant protection products during the average of the years **2015, 2016 and 2017** is more than 140% of the Union average.

Amendment

(c) 65% where a Member State's weighted intensity of use and risk of chemical plant protection products during the average of the years **2018, 2019 and 2020** is more than 140% of the Union average.

Or. en

Amendment 65

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 5 – subparagraph 3 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) where a Member State has achieved a greater reduction in the use and risk of chemical plant protection products than the Union average between the average of the years **2011, 2012 and 2013** and the average of the years **2015, 2016 and 2017**, a figure that is established by subtracting from 50% the difference between the reduction achieved and the Union average reduction;

Amendment

(a) where a Member State has achieved a greater reduction in the use and risk of chemical plant protection products than the Union average between the average of the years **2014, 2015 and 2016** and the average of the years **2018, 2019 and 2020**, a figure that is established by subtracting from 50% the difference between the reduction achieved and the Union average reduction;

Or. en

Justification

The reference baseline should be adjusted to 2014-2016 in accordance with the most recent data available (2018-2020).

Amendment 66

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 5 – subparagraph 3 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) where a Member State has increased the use and risk of chemical plant protection products, or has made a smaller reduction than the Union average between the average of the years **2011, 2012 and 2013** and the average of the years **2015, 2016 and 2017**, a figure that is established by adding to 50% the difference between the reduction or, as applicable, increase achieved and the Union average reduction, **but without surpassing 70%.**

Amendment

(b) where a Member State has increased the use and risk of chemical plant protection products, or has made a smaller reduction than the Union average between the average of the years **2014, 2015 and 2016** and the average of the years **2018, 2019 and 2020**, a figure that is established by adding to 50% the difference between the reduction or, as applicable, increase achieved and the Union average reduction.

Or. en

Amendment 67

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 5 – subparagraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

For the purposes of this paragraph ‘weighted intensity of use and risk of chemical plant protection products’ means a value corresponding to the kilograms of chemical active substances in plant protection products sold per year in a Member State, weighted according to their hazard weightings as set out in row (iii) of the Table of Annex I, divided by the number of hectares of utilised agricultural area in that Member State.

Amendment

For the purposes of this paragraph ‘weighted intensity of use and risk of chemical plant protection products’ means a value corresponding to the kilograms of chemical active substances in plant protection products sold per year in a Member State, ***standardized by the mean substance specific application rate of its representative uses, as evaluated in the approval procedure under Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009***, weighted according to their hazard weightings as set out in row (iii) of the Table of Annex I, ***and*** divided by the number of hectares of utilised agricultural area in that Member State.

Or. en

Justification

Including the substance specific application rate is important to overcome the shortcomings of the current HRI-1, which distorts the real risks by placing too much emphasis on the volume of plant protection products sold, to the detriment of accurately taking into account toxicity and risk. Including the mean substance specific application rate is an important step to achieving an accurate reflection of risk in the HRI.

Amendment 68

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 6 – subparagraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

A Member State may reduce its national target for the use of the more hazardous plant protection products referred to in paragraph 4 to a percentage that is a mid-point between the figure related to intensity as laid down in the second subparagraph of this paragraph and the figure related to use

Amendment

A Member State may reduce its national target for the use of the more hazardous plant protection products referred to in paragraph 4 to a percentage that is a mid-point between the figure related to intensity as laid down in the second subparagraph of this paragraph and the figure related to use

as laid down in the third subparagraph of this paragraph. Where that percentage is higher than **50%**, the Member State shall increase its national target to that percentage.

as laid down in the third subparagraph of this paragraph. Where that percentage is higher than **80%**, the Member State shall increase its national target to that percentage.

Or. en

Amendment 69

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 6 – subparagraph 2 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) **35%** where a Member State's intensity of use of the more hazardous plant protection products during the average of the years **2015, 2016 and 2017** is less than 70% of the Union average;

Amendment

(a) **70%** where a Member State's intensity of use of the more hazardous plant protection products during the average of the years **2018, 2019 and 2020** is less than 70% of the Union average;

Or. en

Justification

This and the following two amendments are necessary adjustment to take into account the reduction target of 80% for more hazardous plant protection products. (This and the two following AMs should be voted en bloc.)

Amendment 70

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 6 – subparagraph 2 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) **50%** where a Member State's intensity of use of the more hazardous plant protection products during the average of the years **2015, 2016 and 2017** is between 70% and 140% of the Union average;

Amendment

(b) **80%** where a Member State's intensity of use of the more hazardous plant protection products during the average of the years **2018, 2019 and 2020** is between 70% and 140% of the Union average;

Or. en

Amendment 71

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 6 – subparagraph 2 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

(c) **65%** where a Member State's intensity of use of the more hazardous plant protection products during the average of the years **2015, 2016 and 2017** is more than 140% of the Union average.

Amendment

(c) **90%** where a Member State's intensity of use of the more hazardous plant protection products during the average of the years **2018, 2019 and 2020** is more than 140% of the Union average.

Or. en

Amendment 72

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 6 – subparagraph 3 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) where a Member State has achieved a greater reduction in the use of the more hazardous plant protection products than the Union average between the average of the years **2011, 2012 and 2013** and the average of the years **2015, 2016 and 2017**, a figure that is established by subtracting from 50% the difference between the reduction achieved and the Union average reduction;

Amendment

(a) where a Member State has achieved a greater reduction in the use of the more hazardous plant protection products than the Union average between the average of the years **2014, 2015, 2016** and the average of the years **2018, 2019 and 2020**, a figure that is established by subtracting from 50% the difference between the reduction achieved and the Union average reduction;

Or. en

Justification

In order to describe the most current situation, more recent time periods should be used for describing the reduction efforts.

Amendment 73

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 6 – subparagraph 3 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) where a Member State has increased the use of the more hazardous plant protection products, or has made a smaller reduction than the Union average between the average of the years **2011, 2012 and 2013** and the average of the years **2015, 2016 and 2017**, a figure that is established by adding to 50% the difference between the reduction or, as applicable, increase achieved and the Union average reduction, **but without surpassing 70%**.

Amendment

(b) where a Member State has increased the use of the more hazardous plant protection products, or has made a smaller reduction than the Union average between the average of the years **2014, 2015 and 2016** and the average of the years **2018, 2019 and 2020**, a figure that is established by adding to 50% the difference between the reduction or, as applicable, increase achieved and the Union average reduction.

Or. en

Amendment 74

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 6 – subparagraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

For the purposes of this paragraph ‘intensity of use of the more hazardous plant protection products’ means a value corresponding to the kilograms of chemical active substances in the more hazardous plant protection products sold per year in the Member State concerned divided by the number of hectares of utilised agricultural area in that Member State.

Amendment

For the purposes of this paragraph ‘intensity of use of the more hazardous plant protection products’ means a value corresponding to the kilograms of chemical active substances in the more hazardous plant protection products sold per year in the Member State concerned **standardized by the mean, substance specific application rate of its representative uses, as evaluated in the approval procedure under Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009, and** divided by the number of hectares of utilised agricultural area in that Member State.

Or. en

Justification

Including the substance specific application rate is important to overcome the shortcomings of the current HRI-1, which distorts the real risks by placing too much emphasis on the volume of plant protection products sold, to the detriment of accurately taking into account

toxicity and risk. Including the mean substance specific application rate is an important step to achieving an accurate reflection of risk in the HRI.

Amendment 75

Proposal for a regulation Article 5 – paragraph 8

Text proposed by the Commission

8. In no case may the application of paragraph 5, paragraph 6 and paragraph 7 result in either of the 2030 national reduction targets being lower than 35%.

Amendment

8. In no case may the application of paragraph 5, paragraph 6 and paragraph 7 result in either of the 2030 national reduction targets being lower than 35% **for chemical plant protection products and 70% for the more hazardous plant protection products.**

Or. en

Amendment 76

Proposal for a regulation Article 5 – paragraph 9

Text proposed by the Commission

9. ***By ... [OP: please insert the date – 7 months after the date of application of this Regulation],*** each Member State shall communicate ***its national 2030 reduction targets*** to the Commission.

Amendment

9. “By ... [OJ: please insert the date – 6 months after ***entry into force*** of this Regulation], each Member State shall ***make its targets public and*** communicate ***them*** to the Commission.

Or. en

Amendment 77

Proposal for a regulation Article 5 – paragraph 10

Text proposed by the Commission

10. If a Member State fails to adopt a national 2030 reduction target by ... [OJ:

Amendment

10. If a Member State fails to adopt a national 2030 reduction target by ... [OJ:

please insert the date –6 months after **the date of application** of this Regulation], that target shall be deemed to be either 50%; or, where the percentage would be above 50% in accordance with paragraph 5 or paragraph 6, that higher percentage.

please insert the date –6 months after **entry into force** of this Regulation], that target shall be deemed to be either 50%; or, where the percentage would be above 50% in accordance with paragraph 5 or paragraph 6, that higher percentage.

Or. en

Amendment 78

Proposal for a regulation Article 6 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. The Commission shall review the national 2030 reduction targets communicated to it in accordance with Article 5(9) and the information explaining any lowering of targets made in accordance with Article 5(5) or Article 5(6).

Amendment

1. The Commission shall review **and assess** the national 2030 reduction **targets as well as the intermediary** targets communicated to it in accordance with Article 5(9) and the information explaining any lowering of targets made in accordance with Article 5(5) or Article 5(6) **to verify whether their average equals at least 50%, for Union 2030 reduction target 1, and 80%, for Union 2030 reduction target 2, so as to achieve the corresponding Union 2030 reduction target.**

Or. en

Justification

To be read together with the following paragraphs - restructuring of the article in order to streamline and accelerate the procedure. (The amendments relating to this article shall be put to the vote jointly.)

Amendment 79

Proposal for a regulation Article 6 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. **Where the Commission concludes,**

Amendment

2. **If the average of** the national 2030

*on the basis of the information made available to it, that the national 2030 reduction targets **communicated by a Member State need to be set at a more ambitious level, it** shall, by ... [OP: please insert the date – **1 year** after the date of **application** of this Regulation], recommend that Member **State to** increase the level of **its** national 2030 reduction targets. The Commission shall make **that** recommendation public.*

reduction targets *of all Member States is lower than 50% (for Union 2030 reduction target 1) or 80% (Union 2030 reduction target 2), the Commission* shall, by... [OP: please insert the date – **12 months** after the date of **entry into force** of this Regulation], recommend that **one or more** Member **States** increase the level of **their** national **2030 reduction targets in order to achieve the Union** 2030 reduction targets. The Commission shall make **any such** recommendation public, **including on the website referred to in Article 7.**

Or. en

Justification

Simplification of the review process of Member States' national reduction targets, to avoid duplicate work by the Commission (and Member States).

Amendment 80

Proposal for a regulation Article 6 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

3. ***Where** a Member State **adjusts its national 2030 reduction targets as recommended by the Commission, it** shall **amend the national targets set in its national legislation in accordance with Article 5 and include the adjusted targets in its national action plan together with the Commission's recommendation.***

Amendment

3. ***Within 3 months of receiving the recommendation referred to in paragraph 2, a Member State shall take one of the following actions:***

Or. en

Amendment 81

Proposal for a regulation Article 6 – paragraph 3 – point a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(a) adjust its national 2030 reduction targets as recommended by the Commission, amend the national targets set in its national legislation in accordance with Article 5 and include the adjusted targets in its national action plan together with the Commission recommendation;

Or. en

Amendment 82

Proposal for a regulation

Article 6 – paragraph 3 – point b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) provide justifications for not adjusting its national 2030 reduction targets as recommended by the Commission, and include the justifications for such decision in its national action plan together with the Commission recommendation.

Or. en

Amendment 83

Proposal for a regulation

Article 6 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

4. **Where a** Member States **decides not to adjust its national 2030 reduction targets, as recommended by the Commission, it shall include the justifications for such decision in its national action plan together with the text of the** recommendation.

4. Member States **which have received a Commission recommendation referred to in paragraph 2 shall communicate the adjusted targets, or their justification for not adjusting them, as applicable, to the Commission not later than 3 months after having recieved the**

Commission's recommendation.

Or. en

Amendment 84

Proposal for a regulation Article 6 – paragraph 5

Text proposed by the Commission

5. Member States which have received a Commission recommendation referred to in paragraph 2 shall communicate the adjusted targets, or their justification for not adjusting them, as applicable, to the Commission by... *[OP: please insert the date – 18 months after the date of application of this Regulation]*.

Amendment

5. *Where a Member State decides not to adjust its national targets as recommended by the Commission, the Commission shall examine the justifications given, make its assessment public not later than 4 months after the respective Member State has communicated its decision not to adjust its national targets, and may recommend further specifications or follow-up action.*

Or. en

Amendment 85

Proposal for a regulation Article 6 – paragraph 6

Text proposed by the Commission

6. *Having assessed the level of national 2030 reduction targets of all Member States set in accordance with Article 5, the Commission shall verify whether their average at least equals 50% so as to achieve the corresponding Union 2030 reduction target.*

Amendment

6. *If, after this review process, the Commission concludes that the achieving of the 2030 Union reduction targets is still at risk, the Commission shall exercise its powers at Union level to ensure that the targets in the respective Member States are set in a way as to meet the requirements of this Regulation.*

Or. en

Justification

This amendment is taken over from a previous version of the EC proposal and shall ensure

that the targets are set in an appropriate way.

Amendment 86

Proposal for a regulation

Article 6 – paragraph 7

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

7. If the average of national 2030 reduction targets of all Member States is lower than 50%, the Commission shall recommend that one or more Member States increase the level of their national 2030 reduction targets in order to achieve the Union 2030 reduction targets. The Commission shall make any such recommendation public. **deleted**

Or. en

Amendment 87

Proposal for a regulation

Article 6 – paragraph 8

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

8. Within one month of receiving the recommendation referred to in paragraph 7, a Member State shall take one of the following actions: **deleted**

(a) adjust its national 2030 reduction targets as recommended by the Commission, amend the national targets set in its national legislation in accordance with Article 5 and include the adjusted targets in its national action plan together with the Commission recommendation;

(b) provide justifications for not adjusting its national 2030 reduction targets as recommended by the Commission, and include the justifications for such decision in its

national action plan together with the Commission recommendation.

Or. en

Justification

Inserted above.

Amendment 88

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 7 – paragraph 3**

Text proposed by the Commission

3. By 31 August of each calendar year, the Commission shall publish information for each Member State on trends in progress towards achieving the national 2030 reduction targets. These trends shall be calculated as the difference between the average of the years **2015-2017** and the year ending 20 months prior to the publication. The trends shall be calculated in accordance with the methodology set out in Annex I, on the website referred to in paragraph 1.

Amendment

3. By 31 August of each calendar year, the Commission shall publish information for each Member State on trends in progress towards achieving the national 2030 reduction targets. These trends shall be calculated as the difference between the average of the years **2018-2020** and the year ending 20 months prior to the publication. The trends shall be calculated in accordance with the methodology set out in Annex I, on **and published** the website referred to in paragraph 1.

Or. en

Amendment 89

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 8 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – introductory part**

Text proposed by the Commission

By ... [OP: please insert the date – 18 months after ***the date of application*** of this Regulation] each Member State shall draft and publish on a website a national action plan containing the following information:

Amendment

By ... [OP: please insert the date – 18 months after ***entry into force*** of this Regulation] each Member State shall draft and publish on a website a national action plan ***aiming at the reduction of use and risks of pesticides, including by***

implementing integrated pest management, and containing the following information:

Or. en

Justification

Referring to the date of entry into force is more accurate and will help accelerating the procedure.

Amendment 90

Proposal for a regulation

Article 8 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

(c) details of planned progress in relation to the elements relevant for the implementation of this Regulation listed in Part 2 of Annex II;

Amendment

(c) ***a timetable with intermediate targets and*** details of planned progress in relation to the elements relevant for the implementation of this Regulation listed in Part 2 of Annex II;

Or. en

Amendment 91

Proposal for a regulation

Article 8 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point f

Text proposed by the Commission

(f) information on the estimated annual amounts of plant protection products illegally used or seized via antifraud operations during the preceding 3 years and ***any*** planned ***related*** measures;

Amendment

(f) information on the estimated annual amounts of plant protection products illegally used or seized via antifraud operations during the preceding 3 years and planned measures ***on how to address the problem;***

Or. en

Amendment 92

Proposal for a regulation

Article 8 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point g

Text proposed by the Commission

(g) national measures for encouraging the use of non-chemical methods by professional users through financial incentives, in accordance with Union legislation on State aid;

Amendment

(g) national measures for encouraging the use of **low- input farming systems and** non-chemical methods by professional users through financial incentives, in accordance with Union legislation on State aid;

Or. en

Amendment 93

Proposal for a regulation

Article 8 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point g a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(ga) national measures planned by the Member State to discourage the application of chemical plant protection products at farm level, including through risk-based taxation;

Or. en

Justification

Several Member States have already established pesticide taxes. Rules on pesticide taxation should be harmonised in order to avoid distortion of the internal market, to help reaching the aim of pesticide reduction, and to raise funds for implementing this Regulation as well as offering the possibility for compensating farmers.
https://ec.europa.eu/environment/enveco/taxation/environmental_taxes.htm
and https://www.researchgate.net/publication/355048373_Pesticide_tax_in_the_EU_-_Various_levy_concepts_and_their_impact_on_pesticide_reduction

Amendment 94

Proposal for a regulation

Article 8 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point h

Text proposed by the Commission

(h) planned and adopted measures to support, **or** ensure through binding requirements laid down in national law, innovation and the development and use of non-chemical pest control methods;

Amendment

(h) planned and adopted measures to support **and** ensure through binding requirements laid down in national law, innovation and the development and use of non-chemical pest control methods;

Or. en

Amendment 95

Proposal for a regulation

Article 8 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point i

Text proposed by the Commission

(i) **other** planned and adopted measures to support, **or** ensure through binding requirements laid down in national law, the **sustainable use of plant protection products in line with** integrated pest management **principles**, including those contained in crop-specific rules as set out in Article 15(1).

Amendment

(i) planned and adopted measures to support **and** ensure through binding requirements laid down in national law, the **uptake of the principles of** integrated pest management **in accordance with Article 13 at farm level**, including those contained in crop-specific rules as set out in Article 15(1).

Or. en

Amendment 96

Proposal for a regulation

Article 8 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point i a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(ia) on overview of which integrated pest management principles and measures have been defined and requested for each relevant crop at national level since the entry into force of Directive 2009/128, how such principles and measures have been linked to the measures in the framework of the Common Agricultural Policy, and an overview of the constraints

currently preventing the implementation of integrated pest management as well as a list of potential solutions to address those constraints;

Or. en

Justification

Detailed rules on integrated pest management are laid down in Directive 2009/128/EC, and should have been followed since its entry into force. Preparing the proposed overview help learning from past experiences.

Amendment 97

Proposal for a regulation

Article 8 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point i b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(ib) planned measures and the definition of intermediary milestones for the establishment of an independent advisory system, as referred to in Article 26;

Or. en

Amendment 98

Proposal for a regulation

Article 8 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point i c (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(ic) planned measures and milestones regarding the awareness-raising programmes referred to in Article 27, related to the efficacy of integrated pest management and benefits to professional users as well as on the risks for human health and the environment associated with the use of plant protection products;

Or. en

Amendment 99

Proposal for a regulation

Article 8 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point i d (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(id) planned measures and milestones regarding the establishment of a conclusive system of control measures and penalties applicable to infringements as referred to in Article 38, and mechanisms for examining its effectiveness and for adapting it if necessary;

Or. en

Amendment 100

Proposal for a regulation

Article 8 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point i e (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(ie) planned measures and milestones with regard to the protection of farmers and farm workers from the risks of pesticides;

Or. en

Amendment 101

Proposal for a regulation

Article 8 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point i f (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(if) planned measures and milestones for the introduction of a comprehensive environmental monitoring of pesticide residues in water resources, groundwater, soil, air, dust, precipitation, biota and

humans, as referred to in Article 33a;

Or. en

Amendment 102

Proposal for a regulation

Article 8 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point i g (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(ig) detailed data demonstrating that the annual budget planning provides for sufficient financial resources, e.g. in the form of a state fund, for implementing integrated pest management, such as support for farmers, training and remuneration to advisers, the development of teaching contents duly adapted to the requirements of integrated pest management in particular and investments in research and development, inter alia, and concepts of how the funds will be spent;

Or. en

Justification

A sufficient financial budget is crucial for the implementation of the SUR. The obligatory reduction of both use and risk of pesticides and thus a systemic transformation of agricultural crop production will be associated with considerable costs and administrative burden for MS. These may not sufficiently be covered within the current CAP in terms of the overall budget, and therefore alternative solutions are needed.

Amendment 103

Proposal for a regulation

Article 8 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point i h (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(ih) National measures and arrangements for carrying out regular appropriate health surveillance of farmers

and farm workers who use chemical plant protection products, assessing whether exposure to pesticides is within the legal limits,

Or. en

Amendment 104

Proposal for a regulation

Article 8 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

The updated versions of national action plans published until and including 2030 shall contain the information listed in the first subparagraph, **points (a) to (i)**.

Amendment

The updated versions of national action plans published until and including 2030 shall contain the information listed in the first subparagraph.

Or. en

Amendment 105

Proposal for a regulation

Article 8 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 5

Text proposed by the Commission

The updated versions of national action plans published after 2030 shall contain the information listed in the first subparagraph, points (c) to **(i)**.

Amendment

The updated versions of national action plans published after 2030 shall contain the information listed in the first subparagraph, points (c) to **(ih)**.

Or. en

Justification

This should be adapted depending on the list of points, and should apply until the last point of the list.)

Amendment 106

Proposal for a regulation Article 8 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. Each Member State shall carry out a public consultation process prior to the adoption or modification of its national action plan in accordance with the requirements of Directive 2001/42/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council⁸⁵.

⁸⁵ Directive 2001/42/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 27 June 2001 on the assessment of the effects of certain plans and programmes on the environment (OJ L 197, 21.7.2001, p. 30).

Amendment

2. Each Member State shall carry out a public consultation process prior to the adoption or modification of its national action plan ***and ensure that relevant stakeholders and authorities and the general public have the opportunity to participate*** in accordance ***with Directive 2003/35/EC or, if relevant,*** with the requirements of Directive 2001/42/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council⁸⁵. ***The contributions to the public consultation shall be made public in their entirety.***

⁸⁵ Directive 2001/42/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 27 June 2001 on the assessment of the effects of certain plans and programmes on the environment (OJ L 197, 21.7.2001, p. 30).

Or. en

Amendment 107

Proposal for a regulation Article 8 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

3. National action plans shall contain a summary of the public consultation process carried out before their adoption and list authorities responsible for their implementation.

Amendment

3. National action plans shall contain a summary ***of how the outcome*** of the public consultation process carried out before their adoption ***has been taken into due account*** and list authorities responsible for their implementation.

Or. en

Amendment 108

Proposal for a regulation Article 8 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

4. National action plans shall be consistent with the plans of Member States drawn-up in accordance with Directives 91/676/EEC, 92/43/EEC, 2000/60/EC, 2008/50/EC, 2009/147/EC and (EU) 2016/2284 and Regulation xxx/xxx on nature restoration [reference to adopted act to be inserted], be consistent with the CAP Strategic Plans drawn-up in accordance with Regulation (EU) 2021/2115 and shall contain explanations how the national action plan is consistent with those plans.

Amendment

4. National action plans shall be consistent with the plans of Member States drawn-up in accordance with Directives 91/676/EEC, 92/43/EEC, 2000/60/EC, 2008/50/EC, 2009/147/EC and (EU) 2016/2284 and Regulation xxx/xxx on nature restoration [reference to adopted act to be inserted], **Council Directive 89/391/EEC, Council Directive 89/656/EEC, Council Directive 98/24/EC, Directive 2004/37/EC, Directive 2009/104/EC and Directive 2020/2184/EC**, be consistent with the CAP Strategic Plans drawn-up in accordance with Regulation (EU) 2021/2115 and shall contain explanations how the national action plan is consistent with those plans.

Or. en

Justification

Adds Dir 89/391/EEC on the introduction of measures to encourage improvements in the safety and health of workers at work, Dir 89/656/EEC on the minimum health and safety requirements for the use by workers of personal protective equipment at the workplace, Dir 98/24/EC on the protection of the health and safety of workers from the risks related to chemical agents at work, Dir 2004/37/EC on the protection of workers from the risks related to exposure to carcinogens or mutagens at work, Dir 2009/104/EC concerning the minimum safety and health requirements for the use of work equipment by workers at work and Dir 2020/2184/EC on the quality of water intended for human consumption.

Amendment 109

Proposal for a regulation Article 9 – paragraph 1 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) a list of at least the **5** active

Amendment

(a) a list of at least the **10** active

substances that most strongly influence the trend in the **reduction in the** use and risk of chemical plant protection products, and **of** the use of the more hazardous plant protection products, as determined by applying the methodology set out in Annex I, during the 3 years preceding the adoption of the national action plan;

substances that most strongly influence the trend in the use and risk of chemical plant protection products, and **a list of at least 10 active substances that most strongly influence the trend in** the use of the more hazardous plant protection products, as determined by applying the methodology set out in Annex I, during the 3 years preceding the adoption of the national action plan;

Or. en

Justification

Listing 5 substances in total cannot describe the real situation appropriately.

Amendment 110

Proposal for a regulation

Article 9 – paragraph 1 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) a list of the crops on which each of the active substances referred to in point (a) are most widely used and the number of hectares of each crop treated;

Amendment

(b) a list of the crops on which each of the active substances referred to in point (a) are most widely used and the number of hectares of each crop treated; **the crops chosen must be representative in terms of pesticide use and risk and economic importance;**

Or. en

Amendment 111

Proposal for a regulation

Article 9 – paragraph 1 – point d

Text proposed by the Commission

(d) for each of the pests referred to in point (c), a list of non-chemical methods **used or likely to be available by 2030.**

Amendment

(d) for each of the pests referred to in point (c), a list of **available** non-chemical **low-risk** methods **and the most useful combinations of these.**

Amendment 112

Proposal for a regulation

Article 9 – paragraph 3 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

3. In relation to at least the 5 crops that most strongly influenced the trend in the use and risk of chemical plant protection products, and the trend in the use of the more hazardous plant protection products, as determined by applying the methodology set out in Annex I, during the 3 years preceding the adoption of the national action plan, the national action plan shall indicate all of the following:

Amendment

3. In relation to at least the 5 crops that ***are representative and*** most strongly influenced the trend in the use and risk of chemical plant protection products, and ***to at least 5 crops that are representative and most strongly influenced*** the trend in the use of the more hazardous plant protection products, as determined by applying the methodology set out in Annex I, during the 3 years preceding the adoption of the national action plan, the national action plan shall indicate all of the following:

Or. en

Amendment 113

Proposal for a regulation

Article 9 – paragraph 3 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) the percentage of all plant protection products used on those crops which were biological controls during the 3 calendar years preceding the adoption of the national action plan, together with the national indicative targets for increasing that percentage by 2030 and a list of the potential obstacles to achieving that increased percentage;

Amendment

(a) the percentage of all plant protection products used on those crops which were biological controls during the 3 calendar years preceding the adoption of the national action plan, together with the national indicative targets for increasing that percentage ***with a view to replacing chemical plant protection products*** by 2030 and a list of the potential obstacles to achieving that increased percentage;

Or. en

Justification

Increasing the percentage of 'crops on which biological control agents are used' is not a value in itself, and only makes sense if the use of biological control agents replaces the use of chemical plant protection products.

Amendment 114

Proposal for a regulation Article 9 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

4. National action plans shall also include national indicative targets for increasing the percentage of overall sales of plant protection products which are not chemical plant protection products from a baseline period of the 3 calendar years preceding the adoption of the national action plan.

Amendment

4. National action plans shall also include national indicative targets for increasing the percentage of overall sales of plant protection products which are not chemical plant protection products **with a view to replacing chemical plant protection products** from a baseline period of the 3 calendar years preceding the adoption of the national action plan.

Or. en

Justification

Increasing the overall sale of non-chemical plant protection products is not a value in itself, and only makes sense if they replace chemical plant protection products.

Amendment 115

Proposal for a regulation Article 10 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. By 31 August every year, **but not sooner than** [OP: please insert the date – 30 months after the date of **application** of this Regulation], each Member State shall submit to the Commission an annual progress and implementation report containing the information listed in Annex II.

Amendment

1. By 31 August every year, **starting from** [OP: please insert the date – 30 months after the date of **entry into force** of this Regulation], each Member State shall submit to the Commission an annual progress and implementation report **reporting on any changes with regard to the information listed in Article 8 (1) and** containing the information listed in Annex

II.

Or. en

Justification

Adaptation to the changes in Article 8 (1). Referring to the date of entry into force is more accurate and will help accelerating the procedure.

Amendment 116

Proposal for a regulation

Article 10 – paragraph 2 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) all trends in progress towards achieving the national 2030 reduction targets as set out in Part 1 of Annex II, calculated in accordance with the methodology set out in Annex I as the difference between the average of the years **2015-2017** and the year ending **20** months prior to the publication;

Amendment

(a) all trends in progress towards achieving the national 2030 reduction targets as set out in Part 1 of Annex II, calculated in accordance with the methodology set out in Annex I as the difference between the average of the years **2018-2020** and the year ending **8** months prior to the publication;

Or. en

Amendment 117

Proposal for a regulation

Article 10 – paragraph 2 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) all trends in progress towards achieving national indicative targets set out in Article 9(2), point (a), Article 9(3), point (a), and Article 9(4)), calculated annually as the difference between the extent of use in the 3 calendar years preceding the adoption of the national action plan in accordance with Article 9(1) and the calendar year ending **20** months prior to the publication of the relevant annual progress and implementation report;

Amendment

(b) all trends in progress towards achieving national indicative targets set out in Article 9(2), point (a), Article 9(3), point (a), and Article 9(4)), calculated annually as the difference between the extent of use in the 3 calendar years preceding the adoption of the national action plan in accordance with Article 9(1) and the calendar year ending **8** months prior to the publication of the relevant annual progress and implementation report;

Amendment 118

Proposal for a regulation

Article 10 – paragraph 2 – point b a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(ba) all trends with regard to the uptake of measures set out in Article 9(2) (b);

Or. en

Amendment 119

Proposal for a regulation

Article 10 – paragraph 4 – subparagraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Within 2 months of receipt of the Commission's request, the Member State concerned shall respond to the request and shall publish its response on the website referred to in paragraph 3.

Within 2 months of receipt of the Commission's request, the Member State concerned shall respond to the request **by including the requested details** and shall publish its response on the website referred to in paragraph 3.

Or. en

Amendment 120

Proposal for a regulation

Article 11 – paragraph 1 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

1. By ... [OP: please insert the date – 2 years after the **date of application** of this Regulation], and every 2 years thereafter until 2030, the Commission shall publish on a website an analysis of:

1. By ... [OP: please insert the date – 2 years after the **entry into force** of this Regulation], and every 2 years thereafter until 2030, the Commission shall publish on a website an analysis of:

Amendment 121

Proposal for a regulation

Article 11 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. As from ... [OP: please insert the date – 4 years after the **date of application** of this Regulation], the Commission shall include in the analysis referred to in paragraph 1 an analysis of the information to be provided by Member States in accordance with Article 10(2), **points (a), (b) and (c)**.

Amendment

2. As from ... [OP: please insert the date – 4 years after the **entry into force** of this Regulation], the Commission shall include in the analysis referred to in paragraph 1 an analysis of the information to be provided by Member States in accordance with Article 10(2).

Amendment 122

Proposal for a regulation

Article 11 – paragraph 4 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

4. A Member State that has received a recommendation from the Commission to take additional measures in accordance with paragraph 3 shall **provide one of the following pieces of information in its subsequent annual progress and implementation report:**

(a) a description of measures taken as a response to the recommendation;

(b) the reasons for not following the Commission's recommendation.

Amendment

4. A Member State that has received a recommendation from the Commission to take additional measures in accordance with paragraph 3 shall **ensure that the recommended measures are taken within 9 months.**

Amendment 123

Proposal for a regulation

Article 11 – paragraph 5 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

5. A Member State that has received a recommendation from the Commission in accordance with paragraph 3, point (b), to increase the level of ambition of a national indicative target set out in Article 9(2), point (a), Article 9(3), point (a), or Article 9(4) shall **take one** of the **following actions**:

(a) change the level of the relevant target as set out in the recommendation by amending its national action plan within 6 months after receiving the recommendation;

(b) provide reasons for not following the Commission's recommendation in its subsequent annual progress and implementation report.

Amendment

5. A Member State that has received a recommendation from the Commission in accordance with paragraph 3, point (b), to increase the level of ambition of a national indicative target set out in Article 9(2), point (a), Article 9(3), point (a), or Article 9(4) shall **change the level of the relevant target as set out in the recommendation by amending its national action plan within 6 months after receiving the recommendation;**

Or. en

Amendment 124

Proposal for a regulation

Article 11 – paragraph 7

Text proposed by the Commission

7. By ... [OP: please insert the date – 5 years from the **date of application** of this Regulation], the Commission shall submit a report on annual progress and implementation reports to the European Parliament and the Council.

Amendment

7. By ... [OP: please insert the date – 5 years from the **entry into force** of this Regulation], the Commission shall submit a report on annual progress and implementation reports to the European Parliament and the Council.

Or. en

Amendment 125

Proposal for a regulation

Article 12 – paragraph -1 (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

-1. The following hierarchy shall apply as a priority order in legislation and policy related to the management of plant pests:

- (a) Agronomic practices;**
- (b) Monitoring, forecasting, warning systems;**
- (c) Mechanical, physical, natural or biological control;**
- (e) Chemical control with low-risk plant protection products;**
- (f) Chemical control with plant protection products others than the candidates for substitution**
- (g) Chemical control with candidates for substitution**

Or. en

Justification

Establishing a hierarchy of integrated pest management is useful in order to have a reference when it comes to implementing this Regulation in the Member States. Art 4 of Directive 2008/98/EC establishing a waste hierarchy served as a model.

Amendment 126

Proposal for a regulation

Article 12 – paragraph 1 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

1. Professional users shall apply integrated pest management as follows:

1. The application of integrated pest management is obligatory for all professional users, who shall apply integrated pest management as follows:

Amendment 127

Proposal for a regulation Article 12 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. Advisors shall provide advice that is consistent with the applicable crop-specific rules **and with integrated pest management.**

Amendment

2. Advisors shall provide advice that is consistent with **integrated pest management as defined in this legislation and** the applicable crop-specific rules. **Advisors must be independent, namely have no commercial or private interests in the plant protection products industry.**

Or. en

Amendment 128

Proposal for a regulation Article 13 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Professional users shall first apply measures that do not require the use of chemical plant protection products for the **prevention or** suppression of harmful organisms **before resorting to application of** chemical plant protection products.

Amendment

1. **Professional users shall always apply non-chemical preventative measures, such as appropriate agronomic practices. When interventions are needed,** professional users shall first apply measures that do not require the use of chemical plant protection products for the suppression of harmful organisms, **following the integrated pest management hierarchy.** Chemical plant protection products **can only be applied, if no other non-chemical preventative measure or combination of such measures has proven successful.**

Or. en

Amendment 129

Proposal for a regulation

Article 13 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

A professional user's records referred to in Article 14(1) shall demonstrate that he or she has **considered** all of the **following** options:

Amendment

A professional user's records referred to in Article 14(1) shall demonstrate that he or she has **applied** all of the **applicable** options **from the list below, before resorting to chemical pesticides:**

Or. en

Amendment 130

Proposal for a regulation

Article 13 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1 – indent 1

Text proposed by the Commission

— crop rotation,

Amendment

— **a wide** crop rotation **of at least four years, including the cultivation of legumes or crops that actively suppress diseases, pests or weeds,**

Or. en

Amendment 131

Proposal for a regulation

Article 13 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1 – indent 1 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

- **enhanced crop diversity, including the mixing of different varieties, intercropping or polyculture,**

Or. en

Amendment 132

Proposal for a regulation

Article 13 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1 – indent 2

Text proposed by the Commission

— use of modern cultivation techniques, including stale seedbed technique, sowing dates **and** densities, under-sowing, intercropping, conservation tillage, pruning and direct sowing,

Amendment

— use of modern cultivation techniques, including stale seedbed technique, **appropriate** sowing dates, densities **and spacing**, under-sowing, intercropping, **non-chemical** conservation tillage, pruning and direct sowing,

Or. en

Amendment 133

Proposal for a regulation

Article 13 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1 – indent 3

Text proposed by the Commission

— use of resistant or tolerant cultivars and high quality or certified seed and planting material,

Amendment

— use of **well-adapted and robust varieties**, resistant or tolerant cultivars and high quality or certified seed and planting material,

Or. en

Amendment 134

Proposal for a regulation

Article 13 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1 – indent 3 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

- **regenerative soil management, including the use of green manure, fertilising with organic material or mulching,**

Or. en

Amendment 135

Proposal for a regulation

Article 13 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1 – indent 4

Text proposed by the Commission

— ***use of balanced*** fertilisation, liming ***and*** irrigation or drainage practices,

Amendment

— ***avoid excessive*** fertilisation ***and*** liming, ***and limit*** irrigation or drainage practices,

Or. en

Amendment 136

Proposal for a regulation

Article 13 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1 – indent 6

Text proposed by the Commission

— ***protection and enhancement of*** important beneficial organisms, including ***beneficial plant protection measures or the utilisation*** of ecological infrastructures inside and outside production sites,

Amendment

— ***measures to establish and maintain biodiversity which protect and enhance*** important beneficial organisms, including ***the provision of habitats and*** of ecological infrastructures inside and outside production sites, ***such as flower strips or hedge and tree rows,***

Or. en

Amendment 137

Proposal for a regulation

Article 13 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Evidence of using non-chemical preventative measures and alternatives to chemical plant protection products shall be demonstrated in the professional user's records referred to in Article 14(1).

Or. en

Amendment 138

Proposal for a regulation

Article 13 – paragraph 3 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

3. Professional users shall monitor harmful organisms by appropriate methods and tools. Such methods and tools shall include **at least one of** the following:

Amendment

3. Professional users shall monitor harmful organisms by appropriate methods and tools. Such methods and tools shall include the following:

Or. en

Amendment 139

Proposal for a regulation

Article 13 – paragraph 3 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) observations in the field;

Amendment

(a) observations **and monitoring** in the field;

Or. en

Amendment 140

Proposal for a regulation

Article 13 – paragraph 3 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

(c) the use of advice from professionally qualified advisors.

Amendment

(c) the use of advice from professionally qualified, **independent** advisors, **as referred to in Article 26**.

Or. en

Amendment 141

Proposal for a regulation

Article 13 – paragraph 4 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

4. Professional users shall **use biological controls, physical and other non-chemical** methods. Professional users may only use chemical methods if **they are necessary to achieve** acceptable levels of harmful organism control after all other non-chemical methods as set out in paragraphs 1, 2 and 3 have been exhausted and where any of the following conditions has been satisfied:

Amendment

4. Professional users shall **apply the integrated pest management hierarchy, thus first implement appropriate agronomic practices and use monitoring, forecasting or warning systems, then, if needed, resort to mechanical, physical or biological control** methods. Professional users may only use chemical methods if **the aim of achieving** acceptable levels of harmful organism control **was not reached** after all other non-chemical methods as set out in paragraphs 1, 2 and 3 have been exhausted and where any of the following conditions has been satisfied:

Or. en

Amendment 142

Proposal for a regulation

Article 13 – paragraph 4 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) the results of monitoring of harmful organisms show, based on recorded observation, that chemical plant protection measures need to be applied in a timely manner because of the presence of a sufficiently high number of harmful organisms.

Amendment

(a) the results of monitoring of harmful organisms show, based on recorded observation, that chemical plant protection measures need to be applied in a timely manner because of the presence of a sufficiently high number of harmful organisms **that would cause serious economic damage to the crop.**

Or. en

Amendment 143

Proposal for a regulation

Article 13 – paragraph 4 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) where justified by a decision-support system, or by an advisor who meets the conditions laid down in Article 23, the professional user decides, by way of a recorded decision, to use chemical plant protection products methods for preventative reasons.

Amendment

(b) where justified by a decision-support system, or by an advisor who meets the conditions laid down in Article 23, the professional user decides, by way of a recorded decision, to use chemical plant protection products methods for preventative reasons. ***In this case, he or she shall submit an application to the competent authority, explaining how non-chemical preventative measures have been applied and justifying why the use of the chemical plant protection product is necessary on a specified site in light of reducing the overall risk of applied chemical plant protection products. The competent authority shall decide on the application within two weeks of its submission.***

Or. en

Justification

The preventative use of the chemical plant protections, such as seed coatings or soil treatments, has often become the norm, despite Directive 2009/128/EC being applicable for many years. Establishing an application procedure for their use could ensure that they are only used in exceptional cases, after proving its necessity (e.g. the incidence of a certain pathogen in the soil) and in order to reduce the overall use and risk of chemical plant protection products to be applied on the specific site.

Amendment 144

Proposal for a regulation

Article 13 – paragraph 6 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

6. Professional users shall keep the use of chemical plant protection products and other forms of intervention to levels

Amendment

6. Professional users shall keep the use of chemical plant protection products and other forms of intervention to levels

that do not exceed the levels that are absolutely necessary to **control the harmful organisms** and that do not increase the risk for development of resistance in populations of harmful organisms. Where possible, professional users shall use the following measures:

that do not exceed the levels that are absolutely necessary to **avoid significant crop loss** and that do not increase the risk for development of resistance in populations of harmful organisms. Where possible, **and if all other integrated pest management means have proven unsuccessful**, professional users shall use the following measures **when applying plant protection products**:

Or. en

Amendment 145

Proposal for a regulation Article 13 – paragraph 9

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

9. ***The Commission is empowered to adopt delegated acts in accordance with Article 40 amending this Article in order to take into account technical progress and scientific developments.***

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 146

Proposal for a regulation Article 14 – paragraph 1 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(a) any preventative measure or intervention and the reason for that ***preventative measure or*** intervention, including the identification and assessment of pest level, where no crop-specific rules have been adopted for the relevant crop and area by the Member State in which the professional user operates;

(a) any preventative measure ***or combination of preventative measures and the explanation for their choice***, or intervention and the reason for that intervention, including the identification and assessment of pest level, where no crop-specific rules have been adopted for the relevant crop and area by the Member State in which the professional user operates;

Justification

Non-chemical preventative measures are often most effective when combined. There is no need for justifying non-chemical preventative measures. Recording an explanation why they were chosen is nevertheless useful to help learning and understanding their effectiveness.

Amendment 147**Proposal for a regulation****Article 14 – paragraph 1 – point b***Text proposed by the Commission*

(b) any preventative measure or intervention and the reason for that **preventative measure or** intervention, including the identification and assessment of pest level, performed with a reference to measurable criteria set out in the applicable crop-specific rules where crop-specific rules have been adopted for the relevant crop and area by the Member State in which the professional user operates.

Amendment

(b) any preventative measure **or combination of preventative measures and the explanation for their choice,** or intervention and the reason for that intervention, including the identification and assessment of pest level, performed with a reference to measurable criteria set out in the applicable crop-specific rules where crop-specific rules have been adopted for the relevant crop and area by the Member State in which the professional user operates.

Justification

Non-chemical preventative measures are often most effective when combined. There is no need for justifying non-chemical preventative measures. Recording an explanation why they were chosen is nevertheless useful to help learning and understanding their effectiveness.

Amendment 148**Proposal for a regulation****Article 14 – paragraph 2***Text proposed by the Commission*

2. A professional user shall enter an electronic record in the electronic integrated pest management and plant

Amendment

2. A professional user shall enter an electronic record in the electronic integrated pest management and plant

protection product use register, referred to in Article 16 **of** the name of its advisor and the dates and the content of the advice received from it in accordance with Article 26(3). The professional user shall make those records available to the competent authority referred to in Article 15(2) **upon request**.

protection product use register, referred to in Article 16, **including** the name of its advisor and the dates and the content of the advice received from it in accordance with Article 26(3). The professional user shall make those records available to the competent authority referred to in Article 15(2).

Or. en

Amendment 149

Proposal for a regulation Article 14 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

3. A professional user shall enter an electronic record of each application of a plant protection product **under** Article 67 of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 in the electronic integrated pest management and plant protection product use register referred to in Article 16. A professional user shall also enter an electronic record specifying whether the application was done by aerial or land-based equipment. In the case of aerial application, a professional user shall specify the type of **equipment** used.

Amendment

3. A professional user shall enter an electronic record of each application of a plant protection product **in accordance with** Article 67 of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 **and Article 16 of this Regulation** in the electronic integrated pest management and plant protection product use register referred to in Article 16, **accompanied by the reasons for the use of that plant protection product**. A professional user shall also enter an electronic record specifying whether the application was done by aerial or land-based equipment. In the case of aerial application, a professional user shall specify the type of **unmanned aircraft** used.

(The last change refers to the proposed changes in Art 20 and 21.)

Or. en

Amendment 150

Proposal for a regulation Article 14 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

4. In order to ensure a uniform structure of the entries to be made by professional users in the electronic integrated pest management and plant protection product use register in accordance with paragraphs 1, 2 and 3, the Commission may, by means of implementing acts, adopt a standard template for such entries. Any such template shall include fields for inputting records that need to be kept in accordance with Article 67 of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 and shall require the use of a recognisable ID. Those implementing acts shall be adopted in accordance with the examination procedure referred to in Article 41(2).

Amendment

4. In order to ensure a uniform structure of the entries to be made by professional users in the electronic integrated pest management and plant protection product use register in accordance with paragraphs 1, 2 and 3, the Commission may, by means of implementing acts, adopt a standard template for such entries. Any such template shall include fields for inputting records that need to be kept in accordance with Article 67 of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 ***as well as the reasons for using a chemical plant protection product*** and shall require the use of a recognisable ID. Those implementing acts shall be adopted in accordance with the examination procedure referred to in Article 41(2).

Or. en

Amendment 151

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 14 – paragraph 4 a (new)**

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

4a. Retail companies shall report yearly on the progress in reducing plant protection products and on the implementation of integrated pest management by their suppliers to the competent authority referred to in Article 15(2) and make those reports public, including on a website.

Or. en

Justification

Involving retailers has a great potential for achieving the aim of reducing pesticides.

Amendment 152

Proposal for a regulation Article 15 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. **Member States shall adopt agronomic requirements** based on integrated pest management **controls** that must be adhered to when growing or storing a particular crop and are designed to ensure that chemical crop protection is only used after all other non-chemical methods have been exhausted and when a threshold for intervention is reached ('crop-specific rules'). The crop-specific rules shall implement the principles of integrated pest management, set out in Article 13, for the relevant crop and be set out in a binding legal act.

Amendment

1. Based on **the** integrated pest management **hierarchy**, **Member States shall adopt rules on agronomic requirements** that must be adhered to when growing or storing a particular crop and **that** are designed to ensure that chemical crop protection is only used after all other non-chemical methods have been exhausted and when a threshold for intervention is reached ('crop-specific rules'). The crop-specific rules shall implement the principles of integrated pest management, set out in Article 13, for the relevant crop and be set out in a binding legal act.

Or. en

Amendment 153

Proposal for a regulation Article 15 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

3. By ... [OP: please insert the date = the first day in the month following 24 months after the date of entry into force of this Regulation] each Member State shall have in place effective and enforceable crop-specific rules, for crops covering an area that accounts for at least 90 % of its utilised agricultural area (excluding kitchen gardens). Member States shall determine the geographic scope of those rules taking account of relevant agronomic conditions, including, the type of soil and crops and the prevailing climatic conditions.

Amendment

3. By ... [OP: please insert the date = the first day in the month following 24 months after the date of entry into force of this Regulation] each Member State shall have in place effective and enforceable crop-specific rules, for crops covering an area that accounts for at least 90 % of its utilised agricultural area (excluding kitchen gardens **and permanent grassland**) **and ensuring that all crops with a high pesticide use intensity, such as permanent crops, fruit or vegetables and the most frequently cultivated arable crops are covered**. Member States shall determine the geographic scope of those rules taking

account of relevant agronomic conditions, including, the type of soil and crops and the prevailing climatic conditions.

Or. en

Justification

In most Member States, permanent grassland accounts for a big share of the UAA, while only few chemical plant protection products are applied there. While it can be useful to establish a crop-specific rule for permanent grasslands, it is therefore not conducive to include permanent grasslands in the reference area.

Amendment 154

Proposal for a regulation

Article 15 – paragraph 6 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

6. The crop-specific rules shall convert the requirements of integrated pest management laid down in Article 13 into verifiable criteria by, among others, specifying the following:

Amendment

6. The crop-specific rules shall convert ***the integrated pest management hierarchy laid down in Article 12 and*** the requirements of integrated pest management laid down in Article 13 into verifiable criteria by, among others, specifying the following:

Or. en

Amendment 155

Proposal for a regulation

Article 15 – paragraph 6 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) the non-chemical interventions involving ***cultural***, physical and biological control which are effective against the harmful organisms referred to in point (a) and qualitative criteria or conditions under which these interventions are to be made;

Amendment

(b) the ***non-chemical preventive measures and*** non-chemical interventions involving ***agronomic practices***, physical and biological control ***and combinations thereof***, which are ***most*** effective against the harmful organisms referred to in point (a) and qualitative criteria or conditions under which these interventions are to be

made;

Or. en

Amendment 156

Proposal for a regulation

Article 15 – paragraph 6 – point d a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(da) the specific conditions under which the use of chemical plant protection products prior to the detection of the correspondent pest (such as seed or soil treatment) can be allowed;

Or. en

Amendment 157

Proposal for a regulation

Article 15 – paragraph 6 – point e

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(e) the quantitative criteria or conditions under which chemical plant protection products may be used after all other means of control that do not require the use of chemical plant protection products have been ***exhausted***;

(e) the quantitative criteria or conditions ***“threshold for intervention” as referred to in paragraph 1*** under which chemical plant protection products may be used after all other means of control that do not require the use of chemical plant protection products have been ***implemented***;

Or. en

Amendment 158

Proposal for a regulation

Article 15 – paragraph 6 – point f

Text proposed by the Commission

(f) the **measurable** criteria or conditions under which more hazardous plant protection products may be used after all other means of control that do not require the use of chemical plant protection products have been **exhausted**.

Amendment

(f) the **quantitative** criteria or conditions (***“threshold for intervention” as referred to in paragraph 1***) under which more hazardous plant protection products may be used after all other means of control that do not require the use of chemical plant protection products have been **implemented**.

Or. en

Amendment 159

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 15 – paragraph 11**

Text proposed by the Commission

11. Each Member State shall publish all of its crop-specific rules on a single website.

Amendment

11. Each Member State shall publish all of its crop-specific rules on a single **public** website.

Or. en

Amendment 160

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 16 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1**

Text proposed by the Commission

Each Member State shall designate a competent authority or competent authorities to establish and maintain an electronic integrated pest management and plant protection product use register or registers.

Amendment

Each Member State shall designate a competent authority or competent authorities to establish and maintain an electronic integrated pest management and plant protection product use register or registers. ***The authority or authorities need to be competent with regard to the risks of plant protection products for human health and the environment.***

Or. en

Amendment 161

Proposal for a regulation

Article 16 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 2 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

The electronic integrated pest management and plant protection product use register or registers shall contain all of the following information for a period of at least **3** years from date of entry:

Amendment

The electronic integrated pest management and plant protection product use register or registers shall contain all of the following, **georeferenced** information **to be stored** for a period of at least **20** years from date of entry:

Or. en

Amendment 162

Proposal for a regulation

Article 16 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 2 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) any preventative measure or intervention and the reasons for that **preventative measure or** intervention entered in accordance with Article 14(1);

Amendment

(a) any preventative measure **or group of measures, and the explanation of their choice,** or intervention and the reasons for that intervention entered in accordance with Article 14(1);

Or. en

Justification

Non-chemical preventative measures are often most effective when combined.

Amendment 163

Proposal for a regulation

Article 16 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 2 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

(c) an electronic record of each application of a plant protection product

Amendment

(c) an electronic record of each application of a plant protection product **in**

under Article 67 of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 and a report on any aerial application carried out under Article 20, as required by Article 14(3).

accordance with Article 67 of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 and a report on any aerial application carried out under Article 20, as required by Article 14(3).

Or. en

Amendment 164

Proposal for a regulation

Article 16 – paragraph 1 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

1a. The data gathered under paragraph 1, point c of this article shall use a harmonised format and be up-to-date. The data shall comprise at least the following:

- **area with parcel number and area size,**
- **application date,**
- **active ingredient used per operation with application rate in kg or kg/ha,**
- **reason for application,**
- **crop and its position in the crop rotation,**
- **weather conditions at the time of application.**

Or. en

Amendment 165

Proposal for a regulation

Article 16 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

4. Competent authorities referred to in paragraph 1 shall, once a year submit to the Commission a summary and analysis of the information collected under Article 14 and of any additional data on use of plant protection products gathered in accordance

4. Competent authorities referred to in paragraph 1 shall, once a year submit to the Commission a summary and analysis of the information collected under Article 14 and of any additional data on use of plant protection products gathered in accordance

with Article 67 of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009.

with Article 67 of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009. ***The competent authorities shall publish once per year on a website the summary and analysis of the information on a website to the public.***

Or. en

Amendment 166

Proposal for a regulation Article 16 – paragraph 5

Text proposed by the Commission

5. Competent authorities referred to in paragraph 1 shall share the data gathered under paragraph 1, points (a) and (c), of this Article with the national competent authorities in charge of the implementation of ***Directives*** 2000/60/EC ***and*** (EU) 2020/2184 for cross-linking that data, in anonymised form, with environmental, groundwater and water quality ***monitoring data***, to enhance the identification, measuring and reduction of risks from the use of plant protection products.

Amendment

5. Competent authorities referred to in paragraph 1 shall share the data gathered under paragraph 1, points (a) and (c), of this Article with the national competent authorities in charge of the implementation of ***Directive*** 2000/60/EC, ***Directive*** (EU) 2020/2184, ***Directive 92/43/EEC, Directive 2008/50/EC, Directive 2009/147/EG, Regulation xxx/xxx on nature restoration, Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 and a planned legislative act on Soil Health*** for cross-linking that data, in anonymised, ***spatially explicit (i.e. georeferenced)*** form, with environmental ***monitoring data including data on, groundwater and water quality, surface water bodies, soil and air***, to enhance the identification, measuring and reduction of risks from the use of plant protection products.

Or. en

Justification

The data must be accessible to a broad range of authorities, and also to third parties for research purposes. The amendment also adds authorities in charge of Directive 2008/50/EC on ambient air quality and cleaner air for Europe, Dir 2009/147/EC on the conservation of wild birds, the upcoming Soil Health Law and Reg 1107/2009 on rules for the authorisation, placing on the market, use and control of plant protection products.

Amendment 167

Proposal for a regulation

Article 16 – paragraph 5 – subparagraph 1 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Competent authorities referred to in paragraph 1 shall transmit the local data gathered under paragraph 1, point (c) of this Article to the drinking water operator in charge of the implementation of Directive (EU) 2020/2184 in the region concerned, in anonymised form, to contribute to risk assessment and risk management measures as defined in that Directive.

Or. en

Justification

The data must be accessible to a broad range of authorities, and also to third parties for research purposes. The amendment also adds authorities in charge of Directive 2008/50/EC on ambient air quality and cleaner air for Europe, Dir 2009/147/EC on the conservation of wild birds, the upcoming Soil Health Law and Reg 1107/2009 on rules for the authorisation, placing on the market, use and control of plant protection products.

Amendment 168

Proposal for a regulation

Article 16 – paragraph 5 – subparagraph 1 b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Upon request, competent authorities referred to in paragraph 1 shall ensure access to the data gathered under paragraph 1, points (a) and (c), in anonymised and spatially explicit form, to other third parties for research purposes.

Or. en

Justification

The data must be accessible to a broad range of authorities, and also to third parties for

research purposes. The amendment also adds authorities in charge of Directive 2008/50/EC on ambient air quality and cleaner air for Europe, Dir 2009/147/EC on the conservation of wild birds, the upcoming Soil Health Law and Reg 1107/2009 on rules for the authorisation, placing on the market, use and control of plant protection products.

Amendment 169

Proposal for a regulation Article 16 – paragraph 7

Text proposed by the Commission

7. In order to ensure a uniform structure of the summary and analysis referred to in paragraph 4, **the Commission may, by means of implementing acts, adopt a standard template for such summary and analysis.** Those implementing acts shall be adopted in accordance with the examination procedure referred to in Article 41(2).

Amendment

7. **By ... [OP: please insert the date = the first day in the month following 12 months after the date of entry into force of this Regulation], the Commission shall, by means of implementing acts, adopt a standard template for such summary and analysis,** in order to ensure a uniform structure of the summary and analysis referred to in paragraph 4. Those implementing acts shall be adopted in accordance with the examination procedure referred to in Article 41(2).

Or. en

Amendment 170

Proposal for a regulation Article 17 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. **More hazardous** plant protection products may only be used and purchased by professional users.

Amendment

2. **Chemical** plant protection products may only be used and purchased by professional users.

Or. en

Amendment 171

Proposal for a regulation Article 17 – paragraph 4 – subparagraph 1 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

Within 3 years starting from date of first purchase, and every 3 years thereafter, a professional user shall submit his or her application equipment in professional use for inspection pursuant to Article 31. Where 3 years have passed from the date of first purchase of application equipment in professional use, a professional user may only use it for the application of plant protection products, if that equipment **meets any of the following conditions:**

(a) the equipment has successfully passed inspection and the results have been recorded in the electronic register of application equipment in professional use in accordance with Article 31(6);

(b) a derogation under Article 32(1), or Article 32(3) applies to that equipment.

Amendment

Within 3 years starting from date of first purchase, and every 3 years thereafter, a professional user shall submit his or her application equipment in professional use for inspection pursuant to Article 31. Where 3 years have passed from the date of first purchase of application equipment in professional use, a professional user may only use it for the application of plant protection products, if that equipment **has successfully passed inspection and the results have been recorded in the electronic register of application equipment in professional use in accordance with Article 31(6).**

Or. en

Amendment 172

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 18 – paragraph 1**

Text proposed by the Commission

1. The use of all plant protection products is prohibited in all sensitive areas and within **3** metres of such areas. This **3** metre buffer zone shall not be reduced by using alternative risk-mitigation techniques.

Amendment

1. The use of all plant protection products is prohibited in all sensitive areas and within **10** metres of such areas. This **10** metre buffer zone shall not be reduced by using alternative risk-mitigation techniques. **For sensitive areas used by vulnerable groups this buffer zone shall be 50 meters. A 50 meter buffer zone shall also be respected for the use of the more hazardous pesticides.**

Justification

The suggested 3m distance from protected areas is not sufficient, it is even too small to prevent pesticides from entering protected areas via spray drift during their application. New evidence also suggests that effective buffer zones need to be several hundred meters wide to prevent pesticide inputs. A recent study investigating pesticide contamination of public playgrounds found nearly half of the playgrounds contaminated by at least one pesticide and a quarter by more than one (including EDCs), and shows that a distance of even 100 meters would be necessary to obtain zero pesticide contamination. A follow up study shows that mitigation measures that are even stricter than the EC's proposed 3m, are not enough to prevent exposure of children and the general public to dangerous pesticides.
<https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/34916546/>,<https://enveurope.springeropen.com/articles/10.1186/s12302-019-0206-0>,<https://www.env-health.org/current-pesticide-reduction-measures-fail-to-protect-vulnerable-groups-from-pesticide-pollution-new-study-from-italy-shows/>

Amendment 173

Proposal for a regulation

Article 18 – paragraph 2 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

2a. By way of derogation from paragraph 1, plant protection products containing active substances authorised under Regulation 2018/848 shall be permitted in the areas defined under Article 3(16) points (c), (e) and (f) to allow for the continuation of the specific agricultural activities already taking place in those areas.

Or. en

Justification

Exceptions from the ban of the use of plant protection products in sensitive areas can be justified in certain cases/areas where the conservation objective is related to the maintenance of agricultural activities. the use of plant protection products should then be restricted to the ones permitted in organic farming, in order to allow for a more environmentally compatible use of these areas. Areas directly adjacent to protected areas should certainly only be farmed in an environmentally compatible way.

Amendment 174

Proposal for a regulation

Article 18 – paragraph 2 b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

2b. Member States shall define a clear procedure and methods for solving the problem of pest occurrence in sensitive areas, with available alternative non-chemical solutions, starting with prevention, monitoring, physical and mechanical methods and biocontrol.

Or. en

Amendment 175

Proposal for a regulation

Article 18 – paragraph 3 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

3. By way of derogation from paragraph 1, a competent authority designated by a Member State may permit a professional user to use a plant protection product in a sensitive area for a limited period with a precisely defined start and end date that is the shortest possible but does not exceed 60 days, provided that all of the following conditions are met:

3. By way of derogation from paragraph 1, a competent authority, **experienced in public health and environmental risk assessment**, designated by a Member State may permit a professional user to use a plant protection product in a sensitive area for a limited period with a precisely defined start and end date that is the shortest possible but does not exceed 60 days, **where an intervention is necessary to ensure the safety of operations on CORINE land cover levels 1.2 (industrial, commercial and transport units) and level 1.3 (mine dump and construction sites)^{1a} and where the lack of alternative, non-chemical methods of vegetation control would not allow infrastructure managers to fulfil their legal safety obligations or** provided that all of the following conditions are met:

^{1a} See CORINE Land Cover

***nomenclature conversion to Land Cover Classification system
(<https://land.copernicus.eu/user-corner/technical-library/corine-land-cover-nomenclature-guidelines/html>) and CORINE Land Cover (CLC) inventory (CORINE Land Cover — Copernicus Land Monitoring Service).***

Or. en

Justification

The last part of this amendment is related to the deletion of the exemption in Article 3 (16)(c) and allows for exemptions in those areas in cases of specific safety risks.(Last change to be voted jointly with the deletion of the exemption in Art 3 (16)(c).)

Amendment 176

Proposal for a regulation

Article 18 – paragraph 6 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

(c) risk mitigation measures;

Amendment

(c) ***specific applicable*** risk mitigation measures;

Or. en

Amendment 177

Proposal for a regulation

Article 18 – paragraph 8 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

8. Where a permit for use of a plant protection product in a sensitive area is granted, before the first day of its validity, the competent authority referred to in paragraph 3 shall make publicly available the following information:

Amendment

8. Where a permit for use of a plant protection product in a sensitive area is granted, before the first day of its validity, the competent authority referred to in paragraph 3 shall make publicly available, ***including on a website***, the following information:

Or. en

Amendment 178

Proposal for a regulation

Article 18 – paragraph 8 – point e a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(ea) the quantity or quantities and the number of doses to be applied;

Or. en

Amendment 179

Proposal for a regulation

Article 18 – paragraph 8 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

8a. The competent authority shall keep an electronic record of the applications received and decisions taken, including the information referred to in paragraph 8 for a period of 20 years.

Or. en

Amendment 180

Proposal for a regulation

Article 18 – paragraph 8 b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

8b. By ... [OP: please insert the date 24 months after the date of entry into force of this Regulation], the Commission shall analyse and summarise in a report representative data from several Member States. That report shall also examine whether the number of exemptions, and the conditions under which those exemptions were granted, are compatible with the aims of this Regulation. If

considered necessary for the protection of the environment or public health, the Commission is empowered to adopt delegated acts in accordance with Article 40 amending paragraphs 3, 6 and 8 of this article in order to adapt the conditions under which exemptions from paragraph 1 can be granted.

Or. en

Amendment 181

Proposal for a regulation Article 19 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. The use of all plant protection products is prohibited on all surface waters and within **3** metres of such waters. This **3** metre buffer zone shall not be reduced by using alternative risk-mitigation techniques.

Amendment

1. The use of all plant protection products is prohibited on all surface waters and within **10** metres of such waters. This **10** metre buffer zone shall not be reduced by using alternative risk-mitigation techniques.

Or. en

Amendment 182

Proposal for a regulation Article 19 – paragraph 1 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

1a. The application of chemical plant protection products should be forbidden on very permeable surfaces or other infrastructures close to surface or groundwater or sealed surfaces with a high risk of run-off into water or sewage systems.

Or. en

Amendment 183

Proposal for a regulation

Article 19 – paragraph 1 b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

1b. Member States shall set safeguard zones for surface and groundwater used for the abstraction of drinking water, where the use or storage of plant protection products is prohibited.

Or. en

Amendment 184

Proposal for a regulation

Article 19 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

3. By ... [OP: please insert the date of application of this Regulation], Member States shall have in place appropriate measures to avoid deterioration of surface and groundwater status as well as coastal and marine waters and allow achievement of good surface and groundwater status, to protect the aquatic environment and drinking water supplies from the impact of plant protection products to achieve, at least, the objectives set out in Directives 2000/60/EC, 2006/118/EC, 2008/105/EC, 2008/56/EC and (EU) 2020/2184.

3. By ... [OP: please insert the date of application of this Regulation], Member States shall have in place appropriate measures to avoid deterioration of surface and groundwater status as well as coastal and marine waters and allow achievement of good surface and groundwater status, to protect the aquatic environment and drinking water supplies from the impact of plant protection products **and their metabolites** to achieve, at least, the objectives set out in Directives 2000/60/EC, 2006/118/EC, 2008/105/EC, 2008/56/EC and (EU) 2020/2184.

Or. en

Justification

For groundwater and drinking water supply, it is rarely the pesticides (active substances, parent substances) but usually their numerous degradation products (metabolites) that are critical. Often, metabolites are persistent and mobile, sometimes toxic. Persistence and mobility lead to seepage and accumulation in groundwater.

Amendment 185

Proposal for a regulation Article 19 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article 19a

Extended Producer Responsibility

- 1. Member States shall take measures to ensure that producers who place any plant protection product on the market have extended producer responsibility.***
- 2. Member States shall ensure that extended producer responsibility schemes are established for all plant protection products including their metabolites, in accordance with Articles 8 and 8a of Directive 2008/98/EC.***
- 3. Member States shall ensure that the producers of plant protection products cover the costs pursuant to the extended producer responsibility provisions in Directive 2008/98/EC and, insofar as not already included, cover the following costs:***
 - (a) the investment and operational costs incurred by drinking water suppliers to ensure compliance with the parametric values for pesticides and their metabolites defined in Annex 1, Part B of Directive 2020/2184;***
 - (b) the costs for gathering and verifying data on products placed on the market.***
- 4. The costs to be covered referred to in paragraph 3 shall not exceed the costs that are necessary to provide the services referred to therein in a cost-efficient way and shall be established in a transparent way between the actors concerned.***
- 5. Member States shall ensure that each producer's contribution, as referred to in paragraph 3, is determined based on***

the environmental and health risk of the plant protection products that are placed on the market;

6. Member States shall define in a clear way the roles and responsibilities of all relevant actors involved.

7. Each Member State shall allow the producers established in another Member State and placing products on its market to appoint a legal or natural person established on its territory as an authorised representative for the purposes of fulfilling the obligations of a producer related to extended producer responsibility schemes on its territory.

Or. en

Justification

This Article is based on Articles 8 and 8a of Directive 2008/98/EC on waste.

Amendment 186

Proposal for a regulation

Article 20 – paragraph 2 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

2. By way of derogation from paragraph 1, a competent authority designated by a Member State may permit aerial application by a professional user in any of the following situations:

Amendment

2. By way of derogation from paragraph 1, a competent authority, ***experienced in public health and environmental risk assessment***, designated by a Member State may permit aerial application by ***unmanned aircraft*** by a professional user in any of the following situations:

Or. en

Justification

Aerial spraying is associated with risks of significant adverse impacts on human health and the environment, in particular from spray drift. The use of unmanned aircraft could possibly offer advantages in specific cases and under certain conditions. (If possible, the amendments relating to Article 20 could be jointly put to the vote.)

Amendment 187

Proposal for a regulation

Article 20 – paragraph 2 – point b – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) the aerial application has a less negative impact on human health and the environment than any alternative application method either because the aerial application equipment can be deployed on the relevant terrain in a faster timescale than land-based equipment and avoids a situation where the number of plant pests increases due to the longer time period required for land-based deployment or because it minimizes soil erosion when adverse weather conditions make the land unsuitable for land vehicles, **and all of** the following conditions are met:

Amendment

(b) the aerial application has a less negative impact on human health and the environment than any alternative application method either because the aerial application equipment can be deployed on the relevant terrain in a faster timescale than land-based equipment and avoids a situation where the number of plant pests increases due to the longer time period required for land-based deployment or because it minimizes soil erosion when adverse weather conditions make the land unsuitable for land vehicles. **Such aerial application requires** the following conditions to be met:

Or. en

Amendment 188

Proposal for a regulation

Article 20 – paragraph 2 – point b – point i

Text proposed by the Commission

(i) the application equipment installed on the aircraft is registered in the electronic register of application equipment in professional use referred to in Article 33(1);

Amendment

(i) the application equipment installed on the **unmanned** aircraft is registered in the electronic register of application equipment in professional use referred to in Article 33(1);

Or. en

Amendment 189

Proposal for a regulation

Article 20 – paragraph 2 – point b – point ii

Text proposed by the Commission

(ii) the aircraft is equipped with accessories that constitute the best available technology to accurately apply the plant protection products and to reduce spray drift;

Amendment

(ii) the **unmanned** aircraft is equipped with accessories that constitute the best available technology to accurately apply the plant protection products and to reduce spray drift;

Or. en

Amendment 190

Proposal for a regulation

Article 20 – paragraph 2 – point b – point iii

Text proposed by the Commission

(iii) the plant protection product is authorised for use via aerial application under Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009.

Amendment

(iii) the plant protection product is authorised for use via aerial application under Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 **in the relevant Member State following a specific assessment addressing risks from aerial application.**

Or. en

Amendment 191

Proposal for a regulation

Article 20 – paragraph 2 – point b – point iii a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(iiia) the professional user or operator carrying out the aerial application has followed a special training on the use of unmanned aircraft for aerial applications in accordance with Article 25 and holds the necessary certificate.

Amendment 192

Proposal for a regulation

Article 20 – paragraph 2 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

2a. The competent authority shall specify conditions or particular requirements by which aerial application using unmanned aircraft may be carried out, including the following aspects:

(a) the technical specifications of the unmanned aircraft, including in relation to spray drift, number and size of rotors, payload, boom width and overall weight, operating height and speed;

(b) the weather conditions, including wind speed;

(c) the area to be sprayed, including its topography;

(d) the availability of plant protection products authorized for use as ultra-low volume formulations in the relevant Member State;

(e) potential use of unmanned aircraft in conjunction with real time kinematic precision farming in certain cases;

(f) the level of training required for pilots operating an unmanned aircraft;

(g) potential concurrent use of multiple unmanned aircraft in the same area.

The competent authority shall record the requests and approvals in the electronic register referred to in Article 16 and shall make available on a public website the relevant information contained therein such as the area to be sprayed, the provisional day and time of the spraying and the type of pesticide.

Justification

Text comes from Art 21

Amendment 193

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 20 – paragraph 3**

Text proposed by the Commission

3. An application by a professional user for a permit for aerial application shall include the information necessary to demonstrate that the conditions set out in paragraph 2 are met.

Amendment

3. An application by a professional user for a permit for aerial application shall ***include information about the provisional time of spraying and the amounts and type of the plant protection products applied and*** include the information necessary to demonstrate that the conditions set out in paragraph 2 are met.

Or. en

Amendment 194

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 20 – paragraph 4 – introductory part**

Text proposed by the Commission

4. Where a permit for aerial application is granted, before the first possible date of aerial application, the competent authority referred to in paragraph 2 shall make public the following information:

Amendment

4. Where a permit for aerial application is granted, before the first possible date of aerial application, the competent authority referred to in paragraph 2 shall make public, ***including on a website***, the following information:

Or. en

Amendment 195

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 20 – paragraph 5**

Text proposed by the Commission

5. A professional user that has been granted a permit for aerial application shall at least 2 days before the date of each specific aerial application display notices to that effect on the perimeter of the area to be treated.

Amendment

5. A professional user that has been granted a permit for aerial application shall at least 2 days before the date of each specific aerial application display notices to that effect on the perimeter of the area to be treated. ***The competent authority may also require further measures for warning residents and bystanders and protecting the environment in the vicinity.***

Or. en

Justification

Provision taken from Dir 2009/128/EC

Amendment 196

Proposal for a regulation

Article 20 – paragraph 5 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

5a. Member States shall set up monitoring programmes to verify and ensure that the conditions referred to in paragraph 2 are met.

Or. en

Amendment 197

Proposal for a regulation

Article 20 – paragraph 5 b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

5b. If considered necessary, and once technical progress and scientific developments allow, the Commission is empowered to adopt delegated acts in accordance with Article 40 supplementing this Regulation to specify precise

requirements for the use of plant protection products in aerial application by unmanned aircraft.

Or. en

Amendment 198

Proposal for a regulation Article 20 – paragraph 5 c (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

5c. Given the current state of scientific uncertainty, the derogation referred to in paragraph 2 shall be deferred until [OP: please insert 36 months after the date of entry into force of this Regulation].

Or. en

Amendment 199

Proposal for a regulation Article 21

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article 21

deleted

Use of plant protection products in aerial application by certain categories of unmanned aircraft

1. Where certain categories of unmanned aircraft fulfil the criteria set out in paragraph 2, a Member State may exempt aerial application by such unmanned aircraft from the prohibition laid down in Article 20(1) prior to any aerial application of plant protection products.

2. An aerial application by an unmanned aircraft may be exempted by the Member State from the prohibition laid down in Article 20(1) where factors

related to the use of the unmanned aircraft demonstrate that the risks from its use are lower than the risks arising from other aerial equipment and land-based application equipment. These factors shall include criteria relating to:

- (a) the technical specifications of the unmanned aircraft, including in relation to spray drift, number and size of rotors, payload, boom width and overall weight, operating height and speed;*
- (b) the weather conditions, including wind speed;*
- (c) the area to be sprayed, including its topography;*
- (d) the availability of plant protection products authorized for use as ultra-low volume formulations in the relevant Member State;*
- (e) potential use of unmanned aircraft in conjunction with real time kinematic precision farming in certain cases;*
- (f) the level of training required for pilots operating an unmanned aircraft;*
- (g) potential concurrent use of multiple unmanned aircraft in the same area.*

3. The Commission is empowered to adopt delegated acts in accordance with Article 40 supplementing this Regulation to specify precise criteria in relation to the factors set out in paragraph 2 once technical progress and scientific developments allow for the development of such precise criteria.

Or. en

Justification

The text has been taken over in Article 20.

Amendment 200

Proposal for a regulation Article 22 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

3. Member States shall take all necessary measures regarding plant protection products authorised for non-professional users to prevent and, where prevention is not possible, to limit dangerous handling operations. Those measures may include measures relating to size limits for packaging or containers. ***Those measures may provide that non-professional users may only use low-risk plant protection products and other plant protection products that are in the form of ready to use formulations and measures for the use of safe closure or a locking device for packaging or containers.***

Amendment

3. Member States shall take all necessary measures regarding plant protection products authorised for non-professional users to prevent and, where prevention is not possible, to limit dangerous handling operations. Those measures may include measures relating to size limits for packaging or containers. Non-professional users may only use low-risk ***non-chemical*** plant protection products.

Or. en

Justification

Non-professional users have not been trained with regard to the application and dangers of chemical plant protection products. In order to avoid risks to their own health, the health of their children and neighbors, as well as the environment, they should only be allowed to use low-risk non-chemical plant protection products.

Amendment 201

Proposal for a regulation Article 23 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Advice on the use of a plant protection product to a professional user may only be given by an advisor for whom a training certificate has been issued for following courses for advisors in accordance with Article 25 or who has a proof of entry in a central electronic register for following such courses in accordance with Article

Amendment

Advice on the use of a plant protection product to a professional user may only be given by an ***independent*** advisor ***as referred to in Article 26 (2)*** for whom a training certificate has been issued for following courses for advisors in accordance with Article 25 or who has a proof of entry in a central electronic

25(5).

register for following such courses in accordance with Article 25(5).

Or. en

Amendment 202

Proposal for a regulation

Article 24 – paragraph 3 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

3a. A distributor shall not sell any chemical plant protection products to non-professional users.

Or. en

Justification

Non-professional users have not been trained with regard to the application and dangers of chemical plant protection products. In order to avoid risks to their own health, the health of their children and neighbors, as well as the environment, they should only be allowed to use low-risk non-chemical plant protection products.

Amendment 203

Proposal for a regulation

Article 24 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

4. A distributor shall provide general information to non-professional users on the risks to human health and the environment of the use of plant protection products, including the information on hazards, exposure, proper storage, handling, application and safe disposal in accordance with Directive 2008/98/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council⁸⁶, and shall recommend alternative low-risk plant protection products and ways in which risks can be mitigated when using plant protection

4. A distributor shall provide general information to non-professional users on the risks to human health and the environment of the use of plant protection products, including the information on hazards, exposure, proper storage, handling, application and safe disposal in accordance with Directive 2008/98/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council⁸⁶, and shall recommend **alternatives to plant protection products**, alternative low-risk plant protection products and ways in which risks can be

products.

mitigated when using plant protection products.

⁸⁶ Directive 2008/98/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 19 November 2008 on waste and repealing certain Directives (OJ L 312 22.11.2008, p. 3).

⁸⁶ Directive 2008/98/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 19 November 2008 on waste and repealing certain Directives (OJ L 312 22.11.2008, p. 3).

Or. en

Amendment 204

Proposal for a regulation

Article 25 – paragraph 1 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

1. A competent authority designated in accordance with paragraph 2 shall appoint one or more bodies to provide the following training:

Amendment

1. A competent authority designated in accordance with paragraph 2 shall appoint one or more bodies to provide the following training, ***including in a digital format***:

Or. en

Amendment 205

Proposal for a regulation

Article 25 – paragraph 1 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) initial and follow up training to professional users and distributors on the subjects listed in Annex III;

Amendment

(a) initial and follow up training to professional users and distributors on the subjects listed in Annex III, ***with particular emphasis on the application of integrated pest management for professional users***;

Or. en

Amendment 206

Proposal for a regulation

Article 25 – paragraph 1 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) practical training for professional users on the use of application equipment in professional use;

Amendment

(b) practical training for professional users on the use of application equipment in professional use, ***with particular emphasis on health and safety aspects and on minimising risks to humans, non-target organisms and the environment;***

Or. en

Amendment 207

Proposal for a regulation

Article 25 – paragraph 1 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

(c) extensive training for advisors on the subjects listed in Annex III with particular emphasis on the application of integrated pest management.

Amendment

(c) extensive ***initial and follow-up*** training for advisors on the subjects listed in Annex III with particular emphasis on the application of integrated pest management.

Or. en

Amendment 208

Proposal for a regulation

Article 25 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Member States shall ensure that in-person and, where appropriate, digital training is easily available and accessible to professional users, distributors and advisors.

Or. en

Amendment 209

Proposal for a regulation Article 25 – paragraph 6

Text proposed by the Commission

6. A training certificate or an entry in a central electronic register shall be valid for 10 years in the case of a distributor or professional user and for 5 years in the case of an advisor.

Amendment

6. ***Member States shall ensure that advisors as referred to in Article 26 (1) follow an initial basic training and attend follow-up trainings as referred to in paragraph 1 (c) at least every five years, and that professional users and distributors follow trainings in accordance with paragraph 1 (a) at least every ten years. The attendance of the trainings shall be documented by training certificates as referred to in paragraph 4.*** A training certificate or an entry in a central electronic register shall be valid for 10 years in the case of a distributor or professional user and for 5 years in the case of an advisor. ***Trainings may at last partially also be delivered in a virtual format, where appropriate and feasible.***

Or. en

Amendment 210

Proposal for a regulation Article 25 – paragraph 7

Text proposed by the Commission

7. Subject to paragraph 6, a training certificate or an entry in a central electronic register shall only be made or renewed if the holder of the certificate or the person whose name has been entered in the central electronic register demonstrates satisfactory completion of an initial and follow up training or extensive training referred to in paragraph 1, point (a) or (c).

Amendment

7. Subject to paragraph 6, a training certificate or an entry in a central electronic register shall only be made or renewed if the holder of the certificate or the person whose name has been entered in the central electronic register demonstrates satisfactory completion of an initial and follow up training or extensive training referred to in paragraph 1, point (a) or (c) ***and after a successful verification of the***

learned knowledge.

Or. en

Amendment 211

Proposal for a regulation Article 26 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

3. Each professional user shall consult an independent advisor at least once a year for the purposes of receiving the strategic advice referred to in paragraph 4.

Amendment

3. Each professional user shall consult an independent advisor at least once a year for the purposes of receiving the strategic advice referred to in paragraph 4.
Professional users operating in protected areas have the right to particularly intensive independent advice throughout the entire cultivation season.

Or. en

Amendment 212

Proposal for a regulation Article 26 – paragraph 4 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

4. An advisor referred to in paragraph 3 shall provide strategic advice on ***the following subjects***:

Amendment

4. An advisor referred to in paragraph 3 shall provide ***information and*** strategic advice on:

Or. en

Amendment 213

Proposal for a regulation Article 26 – paragraph 4 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) application of relevant control

Amendment

deleted

techniques to prevent harmful organisms;

Or. en

Amendment 214

Proposal for a regulation

Article 26 – paragraph 4 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) implementation of integrated pest management;

Amendment

(b) ***the*** implementation of integrated pest management ***in accordance with Articles 12 and 13; including the use of non-chemical methods and the application of relevant control techniques to prevent harmful organisms***

Or. en

Amendment 215

Proposal for a regulation

Article 26 – paragraph 4 – point d

Text proposed by the Commission

(d) ***use of non-chemical methods;***

Amendment

deleted

Or. en

(covered by the changed wording of (b))

Amendment 216

Proposal for a regulation

Article 27 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Each Member State shall designate a competent authority to provide information to the public, in particular

Amendment

1. Each Member State shall designate a competent authority, ***experienced in public health and environmental risk***

through awareness-raising programmes, in relation to the risks associated with the use of plant protection products.

assessment, to provide information to the public, **and especially to workers and employers acting as professional users**, in particular through **information and awareness-raising programmes and campaigns**, in relation to the **health and environmental problems and** risks associated with the use of **and exposure to plant protection products and the efficacy and benefits of alternatives to** plant protection products.

Or. en

Amendment 217

Proposal for a regulation Article 27 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. The competent authority referred to in paragraph 1 shall establish a website or websites dedicated to providing information on risks associated with the use of plant protection products. That information may be provided directly or by providing links to relevant websites of other national or international bodies.

Amendment

2. ***In addition to the awareness raising campaigns referred to in paragraph 1***, the competent authority referred to in paragraph 1 shall establish a website or websites dedicated to providing information on risks associated with the use of plant protection products. That information may be provided directly or by providing links to relevant websites of other national or international bodies ***not involved in the marketing, the promotion, the development or sales of plant protection products.***

Or. en

Amendment 218

Proposal for a regulation Article 27 – paragraph 3 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

3. Websites established in accordance

Amendment

Websites established in accordance with

with paragraph 2 shall include information on the following subjects:

paragraph 2 shall include information, **including videos and online trainings**, on the following subjects:

Or. en

Amendment 219

Proposal for a regulation

Article 27 – paragraph 3 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) the **potential** risks to human health and the environment **through acute or chronic effects** relating to the use of plant protection products;

Amendment

(a) the risks to human health and the environment relating to the use of **and exposure to** plant protection products;

Or. en

Amendment 220

Proposal for a regulation

Article 27 – paragraph 3 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) the manner in which the **potential** risks referred to in point (a) can be mitigated;

Amendment

(b) the manner in which the risks referred to in point (a) can be mitigated, **in particular by reducing and eliminating the use of chemical plant protection products**;

Or. en

Amendment 221

Proposal for a regulation

Article 27 – paragraph 3 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

(c) alternatives to chemical plant

Amendment

(c) **effective** alternatives to chemical

protection products;

plant protection products, ***including on agroecological, organic and integrated pest management practices;***

Or. en

Amendment 222

Proposal for a regulation

Article 27 – paragraph 3 – point c a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(ca) the potential risks for occupational health and safety linked to the use of, or exposure to, plant protection products;

Or. en

Amendment 223

Proposal for a regulation

Article 27 – paragraph 3 – point c b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(cb) procedures to report occupational diseases linked to the use of plant protection products;

Or. en

Amendment 224

Proposal for a regulation

Article 27 – paragraph 3 – point d a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(da) the external costs related to the use of pesticides;

Or. en

Amendment 225

Proposal for a regulation

Article 27 – paragraph 3 – point f a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(fa) a link to the website referred to in Article 8;

Or. en

Amendment 226

Proposal for a regulation

Article 27 – paragraph 3 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

3a. Member States shall ensure that the designated authorities have sufficient resources to perform their tasks.

Or. en

Amendment 227

Proposal for a regulation

Article 27 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article 27a

Research

Member States shall foster research and development with regard to alternatives to chemical plant protection products, such as the application of integrated pest management and the development of resilient cropping systems.

Or. en

Amendment 228

Proposal for a regulation Article 28 – title

Text proposed by the Commission

Information on acute and chronic poisoning

Amendment

Information on acute and chronic poisoning, ***occupational and related illnesses***

Or. en

Amendment 229

Proposal for a regulation Article 28 – paragraph 1 – point e a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(ea) whether occupational health and safety obligations have been violated.

Or. en

Amendment 230

Proposal for a regulation Article 28 – paragraph 2 – point b a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(ba) the number of occupational diseases arising from the use of or exposure to plant protection products identified during the preceding calendar year.

Or. en

Amendment 231

Proposal for a regulation Article 28 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article 28a

Occupational diseases resulting from the use and exposure of plant protection products

- 1. In accordance with national legislation and practice, each Member States shall designate a public competent authority responsible to establish a system for the reporting, recognition and fair compensation of diseases resulting from the use of or the exposure to plant protection products.***
- 2. Member States shall ensure that the designated authorities have sufficient staff and resources to perform their tasks properly, including carrying out health surveillance and effective inspections.***
- 3. Member States shall ensure the monitoring, compliance and enforcement of national mandatory occupational exposure limits (OELs) set for the use of or the exposure to active substance(s) in plant protection products, their metabolites and co-formulants.***
- 4. Member States shall ensure that each professional user, including workers, have access to official documentation reporting the type of plant protection product used during his/her work activity, the health and environmental risks related to its use as well as the period of exposure.***
- 5. Employers shall provide to all workers employed in their business activity the official documentation referred to in paragraph 4.***
- 6. Member States shall ensure the enforcement of the obligation referred to in paragraph 5 and shall apply effective,***

dissuasive and proportionate sanctions in case of infringement of such requirement.

7. Member States shall facilitate the reporting of diseases linked to plant protection products use or exposure by setting accessible and effective reporting mechanisms.

8. Member States are required to ensure recognition of such diseases as well as fair compensation.

Or. en

Amendment 232

Proposal for a regulation Article 29 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. By ... [OP please insert the date = first day of the month following 9 months after the date of entry into force of this Regulation], an owner of application equipment in professional use shall enter the fact that he or she is the owner of the application equipment in the electronic register of application equipment in professional use referred to in Article 33, using the form set out in Annex V, ***unless the Member State in which the owner uses the equipment has exempted that equipment from inspection in accordance with Article 32(3).***

Amendment

1. By ... [OP please insert the date = first day of the month following 9 months after the date of entry into force of this Regulation], an owner of application equipment in professional use shall enter the fact that he or she is the owner of the application equipment in the electronic register of application equipment in professional use referred to in Article 33, using the form set out in Annex V.

Or. en

Amendment 233

Proposal for a regulation Article 29 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. If application equipment in professional use is sold, the seller and the buyer shall enter the fact of the sale, within 30 days after the sale, in the electronic register of application equipment in professional use referred to in Article 33, using the form set out in Annex V, ***unless the application equipment in professional use has been exempted from inspection in the relevant Member State(s) in accordance with Article 32(3).*** A similar obligation to enter a transfer of ownership in the electronic register applies in the case of any other changes of ownership of application equipment in professional use ***that has not been exempted from inspection in the relevant Member State(s) in accordance with Article 32(3).***

Amendment

2. If application equipment in professional use is sold, the seller and the buyer shall enter the fact of the sale, within 30 days after the sale, in the electronic register of application equipment in professional use referred to in Article 33, using the form set out in Annex V. A similar obligation to enter a transfer of ownership in the electronic register applies in the case of any other changes of ownership of application equipment in professional use.

Or. en

Amendment 234

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 30 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 2**

Text proposed by the Commission

Where the designated competent authority does not carry out the inspection of application equipment in professional use, it shall designate one or more bodies to carry out such inspections.

Amendment

Where the designated competent authority does not carry out the inspection of application equipment in professional use, it shall designate one or more ***independent*** bodies to carry out such inspections.

Or. en

Amendment 235

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 31 – paragraph 1**

Text proposed by the Commission

1. The competent authority referred to in Article 30 or **a** body designated by it shall inspect application equipment in professional use every three years, starting from the date of first purchase. The competent authority shall ensure that there is sufficient staff, equipment and other resources necessary for the inspection of all application equipment due for inspection, within the three year cycle.

Amendment

1. The competent authority referred to in Article 30 or **an independent** body designated by it shall inspect application equipment in professional use every three years, starting from the date of first purchase. The competent authority shall ensure that there is sufficient staff, equipment and other resources necessary for the inspection of all application equipment due for inspection, within the three year cycle. **Inspections of application equipment shall be easily available and free of charge for the professional user.**

Or. en

Amendment 236

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 31 – paragraph 8**

Text proposed by the Commission

8. A record as referred to in paragraph 6 shall be valid for three years **unless the Member State provides for a different inspection interval pursuant to Article 32.**

Amendment

8. A record as referred to in paragraph 6 shall be valid for three years.

Or. en

Amendment 237

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 31 – paragraph 10**

Text proposed by the Commission

10. The Commission is empowered to adopt delegated acts in accordance with Article 40 amending **this Article and** Annex IV in order to take into account

Amendment

10. The Commission is empowered to adopt delegated acts in accordance with Article 40 amending Annex IV in order to take into account technical progress and

technical progress and scientific developments.

scientific developments.

Or. en

Amendment 238

Proposal for a regulation Article 32

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article 32

deleted

Member State derogations regarding inspection of application equipment in professional use

1. A Member State may, after carrying out the risk assessment referred to in paragraph 2, lay down less stringent inspection requirements and provide for different inspection intervals than those set out in Article 31 to application equipment in professional use which represents a very low scale of use estimated by way of the risk assessment referred to in paragraph 2 and which is listed in the national action plan referred to in Article 8.

This paragraph shall not apply to the following application equipment in professional use:

- (a) spraying equipment mounted on trains or aircraft;***
- (b) horizontal boom sprayers which are larger than 3 m, including sprayers that are mounted on sowing equipment which is larger than 3 m wide;***
- (c) vertical sprayer or orchard blast sprayer.***

2. Before laying down less stringent inspection requirements and different inspection intervals as referred to in paragraph 1, a Member State shall carry out a risk assessment on their potential

impacts on human health and the environment. The competent authority referred to in Article 30 shall maintain a copy of the risk assessment for control by the Commission.

3. A Member State may exempt from inspection referred to in Article 31 handheld application equipment or knapsack sprayers, in professional use, based on a risk assessment on their potential impact on human health and the environment, which shall include an estimation of the scale of use. The competent authority referred to in Article 30 shall maintain a copy of the risk assessment for control by the Commission.

4. Application equipment in professional use that has been exempted from inspection in accordance with paragraph 3 shall not be subject to the requirement to make an entry in the electronic register referred to in Article 29 or the registration requirements referred to in Article 33.

Or. en

Justification

For the sake of workers' (and in general professional users') protection, but also with a view to adverse effects to the environment, no exemptions should be allowed. If adopted, the referring parts elsewhere should be deleted as well (e.g. Annex II, Part 2, point 9).

Amendment 239

Proposal for a regulation Article 33 – paragraph 1 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

(c) other information as set out in paragraph 2 on application equipment in professional use in its Member State **that has not been exempted from inspection under Article 32(3).**

Amendment

(c) other information as set out in paragraph 2 on application equipment in professional use in its Member State.

Amendment 240

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 33 a (new)**

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article 33a

Monitoring of pesticide residues

1. Member States shall put in place routine measures for carrying out specific, representative monitoring programmes of residues of active substances and their metabolites in water resources, groundwater, soil, air/dust/precipitation, biota and humans to assess whether

(a) the goals of this Regulation with regard to the reduction of use and risk of chemical plant protection products are met;

(b) pesticide findings comply with the predicted exposure from environmental and human risk assessments according to Regulation 1107/2009;

(c) a reduction of pesticide exposure can be linked to effect indicators for the environment and human health^{1a};

(d) the prevention of deterioration of the status of all bodies of surface and groundwater according to Directive 2000/60/EC is achieved;

(e) mitigation measures are effective and properly implemented.

2. Existing monitoring programmes and indicators shall be evaluated and adapted if necessary, and new programmes shall be tailored as appropriate to assure that suitable monitoring data is generated. If necessary, Member States shall implement additional measures to ensure that the use

of plant protection products complies with the provisions of Articles 4 and 29 of Commission Regulation 1107/2009.

3. The results of the monitoring programmes shall be submitted to the Commission and published on the website referred to in Article 8. The Commission shall examine the results and consider whether regulatory changes are necessary.

^{1a} Effect indicators shall include existing resources and tools such as farmland birds index, pollinators index, butterfly index, Insignia-bee, EPT index, SPEAR index, LUCAS monitoring of Soil Biodiversity, as well as the risk assessment carried out by EFSA and indicators related to the soil microbiome.

Or. en

Amendment 241

Proposal for a regulation Article 34 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. The methodology for calculating progress towards achieving the two Union 2030 reduction targets and the two national 2030 reduction targets until and including 2030 is laid down in Annex I. This methodology shall be based on statistical data collected in accordance with Regulation (EC) No 1185/2009.

Amendment

1. The methodology for calculating progress towards achieving the two Union 2030 reduction targets and the two national 2030 reduction targets until and including 2030 is laid down in Annex I. This methodology shall be based on statistical data collected in accordance with Regulation (EC) No 1185/2009 **and the mean application rates of chemical active substances based on their representative uses, which have been evaluated under Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009.**

Or. en

Justification

The currently used HRI1 systematically underestimates, by several orders, the risk of highly effective pesticides (typically characterized by low application and sales volumes), compared to natural substances. This systematic error can be corrected by a simple standardization step, which is essential for a proper and reliable risk indication of the SUR targets. See <https://www.umweltbundesamt.de/publikationen/towards-sustainable-plant-protectionand> https://www.organicseurope.bio/content/uploads/2022/06/GLOBAL2000_HRI-1_final_28022022.pdf?dd

Amendment 242

Proposal for a regulation Article 35 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. The methodology for calculating progress in relation to harmonised risk indicators 1, 2 and 2a, at both Union and Member State level, is laid down in Annex VI. This methodology shall be based on statistical data collected in accordance with Regulation (EC) No 1185/2009.

Amendment

1. The methodology for calculating progress in relation to harmonised risk indicators 1, 2 and 2a, at both Union and Member State level, is laid down in Annex VI. This methodology shall be based on statistical data collected in accordance with Regulation (EC) No 1185/2009 **and the mean application rates of chemical active substances based on their representative uses, which have been evaluated under Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009.**

Or. en

Justification

The currently used HRI1 systematically underestimates, by several orders, the risk of highly effective pesticides (typically characterized by low application and sales volumes), compared to natural substances. This systematic error can be corrected by a simple standardization step, which is essential for a proper and reliable risk indication of the SUR targets. See <https://www.umweltbundesamt.de/publikationen/towards-sustainable-plant-protectionand> https://www.organicseurope.bio/content/uploads/2022/06/GLOBAL2000_HRI-1_final_28022022.pdf?dd

Amendment 243

Proposal for a regulation Article 35 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

4. The Commission is empowered to adopt delegated acts in accordance with Article 40 amending this Article and Annex VI in order to take into account technical progress, including progress in the availability of statistical data, and scientific and agronomic developments. Such delegated acts may modify the existing harmonised risk indicators or provide for new harmonised risk indicators, which may take into account Member States' progress towards achieving the target of having 25% of their utilised agricultural area devoted to organic farming by 2030 as referred to in Article 8(1), point (d).

Amendment

4. The Commission is empowered to adopt delegated acts in accordance with Article 40 amending this Article and Annex VI in order to take into account technical progress, including progress in the availability of statistical data, ***particularly on the use of plant protection products***, and scientific and agronomic developments. Such delegated acts may modify the existing harmonised risk indicators or provide for new harmonised risk indicators, which may take into account Member States' progress towards achieving the target of having 25% of their utilised agricultural area devoted to organic farming by 2030 as referred to in Article 8(1), point (d).

Or. en

Amendment 244

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 35 – paragraph 5**

Text proposed by the Commission

5. By... [OP please insert the date = first day of the month following 12 months after the date of entry into force of this Regulation], the Commission shall complete an evaluation of harmonised risk indicators 1, 2 and 2a. This evaluation shall be based on scientific research from the Joint Research Centre and extensive consultation of stakeholders, including Member States, scientific experts and civil society organisations. The evaluation shall include the methodologies to be used in formulating new and modifying existing harmonised risk indicators in accordance with paragraph 4.

Amendment

5. By... [OP please insert the date = first day of the month following 12 months after the date of entry into force of this Regulation], the Commission shall complete an evaluation of harmonised risk indicators 1, 2 and 2a. This evaluation shall be based on scientific research from the Joint Research Centre and extensive consultation of stakeholders, including Member States, scientific experts and civil society organisations. The evaluation shall, ***inter alia, explore options to further differentiate the active substances falling in group 2 based on their risk profile and to include selected non-chemical substances in the categories of active substances as referred to in Annex IV and***

include the methodologies to be used in formulating new and modifying existing harmonised risk indicators in accordance with paragraph 4.

Or. en

Justification

Currently, 75% of all approved pesticides are assigned to HRI group 2 and are thus treated equally with regard to their HRI risk. A further differentiation of pesticides falling under this category would be advisable. Furthermore, not all non-chemical pesticides are harmless. When reworking the indicator, those with a high risk should also be taken into account.

Amendment 245

Proposal for a regulation Article 35 – paragraph 6

Text proposed by the Commission

6. Taking into account the results of the evaluation provided for in paragraph 5 and no later than 18 months after the publication of the statistics on the use of plant protection products in agriculture for the first reference period as referred to in Article 9 of Regulation xxx/xxx [reference to adopted act to be inserted], the Commission shall, ***if it considers it appropriate***, establish new harmonised risk indicators or modify the existing ones on the basis of statistical data related to the use of plant protection products in accordance with paragraph 4 of this Article.

Amendment

6. Taking into account the results of the evaluation provided for in paragraph 5 and no later than 18 months after the publication of the statistics on the use of plant protection products in agriculture for the first reference period as referred to in Article 9 of Regulation xxx/xxx [reference to adopted act to be inserted], the Commission shall establish new harmonised risk indicators or modify the existing ones on the basis of statistical data related to the use of plant protection products in accordance with paragraph 4 of this Article.

Or. en

Amendment 246

Proposal for a regulation Article 36 – paragraph 2 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) identify **five** active substances influencing the result most significantly;

Amendment

(a) identify **ten** active substances influencing the result most significantly;

Or. en

Amendment 247

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 38 – title**

Text proposed by the Commission

Penalties

Amendment

Controls, enforcement and penalties

Or. en

Amendment 248

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 38 – paragraph 1**

Text proposed by the Commission

Member States shall lay down the rules on penalties applicable to infringements of this Regulation and shall take the measures necessary to ensure that they are implemented. The penalties provided for shall be effective, proportionate and dissuasive. Member States shall without delay notify the Commission of those rules and of those measures and shall notify it, without delay, of any subsequent amendment affecting them.

Amendment

Member States shall ensure an appropriate level of controls. For that purpose, specific resources must be allocated to build up an independent system of controls. By ... [OJ: please insert the date – 6 months after the date of entry into force of this Regulation]
Member States shall lay down the rules on penalties applicable to infringements of this Regulation and shall take the measures necessary to ensure that they are implemented. The penalties provided for shall be effective, proportionate and dissuasive. Member States shall without delay notify the Commission of those rules and of those measures and shall notify it, without delay, of any subsequent amendment affecting them.

Amendment 249

Proposal for a regulation Article 39 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Member States may recover the costs related to carrying out their obligations under this Regulation by means of fees or charges.

Amendment

Member States may recover the costs related to carrying out their obligations under this Regulation by means of fees or charges. ***Member States shall ensure that sufficient resources are provided for the implementation of this Regulation, e.g. by establishing a state fund that covers the costs incurred by investments, measures and obligations under this Regulation. This fund could be fed, inter alia, by a risk based levy or tax on plant protection products.***

Justification

A sufficient financial budget is crucial for the implementation of the SUR. The obligatory reduction of both use and risk of pesticides and thus a systemic transformation of agricultural crop production will be associated with considerable costs and administrative burden for MS. These may not sufficiently be covered within the current CAP in terms of the overall budget, and therefore alternative solutions are needed.

Amendment 250

Proposal for a regulation Article 39 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article 39a

Pesticide tax

By ... [OJ: please insert the date – 6 months after the date of entry into force of this Regulation] the Commission shall present a report analysing different

options of introducing risk-based pesticide taxes or levies in all Member States or at Union level, and accompany it with a legislative proposal by ... [OJ: please insert the date – 18 months after the date of entry into force of this Regulation].

Or. en

Justification

Several Member States have already established pesticide taxes. Rules on pesticide taxation should be harmonised in order to avoid distortion of the internal market, to help reaching the aim of pesticide reduction, and to raise funds for implementing this Regulation as well as offering the possibility for compensating farmers.
https://ec.europa.eu/environment/enveco/taxation/environmental_taxes.htm
and https://www.researchgate.net/publication/355048373_Pesticide_tax_in_the_EU_-_Various_levy_concepts_and_their_impact_on_pesticide_reduction

Amendment 251

Proposal for a regulation Article 40 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

3. The delegation of power referred to in Articles 10(6), **13(9)**, **21(3)**, 25(10), 29(5), 31(10) and 35(4) may be revoked at any time by the European Parliament or by the Council. A decision to revoke shall put an end to the delegation of the power specified in that decision. It shall take effect the day following the publication of the decision in the Official Journal of the European Union or at a later date specified therein. It shall not affect the validity of any delegated acts already in force.

Amendment

3. The delegation of power referred to in Articles 10(6), **18(8b)**, **20(5b)**, 25(10), 29(5), 31(10) and 35(4) may be revoked at any time by the European Parliament or by the Council. A decision to revoke shall put an end to the delegation of the power specified in that decision. It shall take effect the day following the publication of the decision in the Official Journal of the European Union or at a later date specified therein. It shall not affect the validity of any delegated acts already in force.

Or. en

Amendment 252

Proposal for a regulation Article 45 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

However, Article 21 shall apply from [OP: please insert the date = 3 years after the date of entry into force of this Regulation].

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 253

Proposal for a regulation

Annex I – paragraph 1 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

This Regulation is the instrument used to achieve the pesticide reduction targets contained in the Farm to Fork Strategy by requiring each Member State to contribute to achieving by 2030 a 50 % Union-wide reduction of **both** the use and risk of chemical plant protection products ('Union 2030 reduction target 1') and the use of more hazardous plant protection products ('Union 2030 reduction target 2'). This Regulation also regulates the contribution of each Member State to these Union targets. Each Member State contribution, set in the form of a national target, to Union 2030 reduction target 1 is referred to as a 'national 2030 reduction target 1', while a Member State contribution to Union 2030 reduction target 2 is referred to as a 'national 2030 reduction target 2'. The methodology for calculating progress towards achieving these targets is set out below:

This Regulation is the instrument used to achieve the pesticide reduction targets contained in the Farm to Fork Strategy by requiring each Member State to contribute to achieving by 2030 **at least** a 50 % Union-wide reduction of the use and risk of chemical plant protection products ('Union 2030 reduction target 1') and **an 80% reduction of** the use of more hazardous plant protection products ('Union 2030 reduction target 2'). This Regulation also regulates the contribution of each Member State to these Union targets. Each Member State contribution, set in the form of a national target, to Union 2030 reduction target 1 is referred to as a 'national 2030 reduction target 1', while a Member State contribution to Union 2030 reduction target 2 is referred to as a 'national 2030 reduction target 2'. The methodology for calculating progress towards achieving these targets is set out below:

Or. en

Amendment 254

Proposal for a regulation Annex I – Section 1 – point 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. The methodology shall be based on statistics on the quantities of chemical active substances placed on the market in plant protection products under Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009, provided to the Commission (Eurostat) under Annex I to Regulation (EC) No 1185/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council⁹¹.

⁹¹ Regulation (EC) No 1185/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 25 November 2009 concerning statistics on pesticides (OJ L 324, 10.12.2009, p. 1).

Amendment

1. The methodology shall be based on statistics on the quantities of chemical active substances placed on the market in plant protection products under Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009, provided to the Commission (Eurostat) under Annex I to Regulation (EC) No 1185/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council⁹¹ ***in combination with the mean application rates of chemical active substances based on their representative uses, which have been evaluated under Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009^{91a}.***

⁹¹ Regulation (EC) No 1185/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 25 November 2009 concerning statistics on pesticides (OJ L 324, 10.12.2009, p. 1).

^{91a} as referred to in the application, and published by the European Commission as Final Review Reports for the active substances in the EU Pesticide Database (https://food.ec.europa.eu/plants/pesticide/s/eu-pesticides-database_en).

Or. en

Justification

The methodology set out in Annex I is adapted i) to standardize sales volumes with the recommended application rates and ii) the adjustment of the weighting factor for group 4. If those adjustments are not considered, substances with high sales volumes as well as non-authorized substances will drive the trend for the risk indication. The currently used HRI1 systematically underestimates, by several orders, the risk of highly effective pesticides (typically characterized by low application and sales volumes), compared to natural substances. See <https://www.umweltbundesamt.de/publikationen/towards-sustainable-plant-protection> and https://www.organicseurope.bio/content/uploads/2022/06/GLOBAL2000_HRI-1_final_28022022.pdf?dd.

Amendment 255

Proposal for a regulation

Annex I – Section 1 – point 3 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Progress towards achieving reduction target 1 shall be calculated by **multiplying** the annual quantities of active substances in plant protection products placed on the market for each group in the Table in this Annex by the relevant hazard weighting set out in row (iii), followed by the aggregation of the results of these calculations.

Amendment

Progress towards achieving reduction target 1 shall be calculated by **dividing** the annual quantities of active substances in plant protection products placed on the market **by the mean application rate per hectare of its representative uses^{1a} and multiplying the results** for each group in the Table in this Annex by the relevant hazard weighting set out in row (iii), followed by the aggregation of the results of these calculations.

^{1a} **as referred to in the application, and published by the European Commission as Final Review Reports for the active substances in the EU Pesticide Database (https://food.ec.europa.eu/plants/pesticides/eu-pesticides-database_en).**

Or. en

Justification

The currently used HRI1 systematically underestimates, by several orders, the risk of highly effective pesticides (typically characterized by low application and sales volumes), compared to natural substances. This systematic error can be corrected by a simple standardization step, which is essential for a proper and reliable risk indication of the SUR targets. See <https://www.umweltbundesamt.de/publikationen/towards-sustainable-plant-protectionand> https://www.organicseurope.bio/content/uploads/2022/06/GLOBAL2000_HRI-1_final_28022022.pdf?dd

Amendment 256

Proposal for a regulation

Annex I – Section 1 – Table 1 – row 3

Text proposed by the Commission

(ii)	Hazard Weightings applicable to quantities of chemical active substances placed on the market in products authorised under Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009

Amendment

(ii)	Hazard Weightings applicable to quantities of chemical active substances placed on the market in products authorised under Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 <i>standardized by the mean application rate per hectare of its representative uses evaluated in the approval procedure under Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009.</i>

Or. en

Amendment 257

Proposal for a regulation

Annex I – Section 1 – Table 1 – row 4

Text proposed by the Commission

(iii)	1	8	16	64

Amendment

(iii)	1	8	16	*
* The weighting factor associated with the last group assignment before approval				

Or. en

Justification

Attributing a high risk factor to active substances that have lost approval is not appropriate, as the most common reason why an active substance ends up in Group 4 is not a negative environmental or health risk assessment, but that an incomplete application was submitted or that the active substance was no longer defended. It also leads to an unreasonably high influence on the overall risk of the HRI1. See <https://www.europarl.europa.eu/cmsdata/160000/COMPILATION.pdf> (p 26), and https://www.foodwatch.org/fileadmin/-DE/Themen/Pestizide/Dokumente/Pestizid_Paper_HRI_2022_DIGITAL_FIN.pdf (p 4)

Amendment 258

Proposal for a regulation

Annex I – Section 2 – point 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. The methodology shall be based on statistics on the quantities of active substances placed on the market in plant

Amendment

1. The methodology shall be based on statistics on the quantities of active substances placed on the market in plant

protection products under Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009, provided to the Commission under Annex I to Regulation (EC) No 1185/2009.

protection products under Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009, provided to the Commission under Annex I to Regulation (EC) No 1185/2009 ***in combination with the mean application rates of chemical active substances based on their representative uses, which have been evaluated under Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009^{1a}***

^{1a} as referred to in the application and published by the European Commission as Final Review Reports for the active substances in the EU Pesticide Database (https://food.ec.europa.eu/plants/pesticides/eu-pesticides-database_en).

Or. en

Justification

The currently used HRI1 systematically underestimates, by several orders, the risk of highly effective pesticides (typically characterized by low application and sales volumes), compared to natural substances. This systematic error can be corrected by a simple standardization step, which is essential for a proper and reliable risk indication of the SUR targets. See <https://www.umweltbundesamt.de/publikationen/towards-sustainable-plant-protectionand> https://www.organicseurope.bio/content/uploads/2022/06/GLOBAL2000_HRI-1_final_28022022.pdf?dd

Amendment 259

Proposal for a regulation Annex I – Section 2 – point 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. Progress towards achieving target 2 shall be calculated by ***adding together*** the annual quantities of ***chemical*** active substances contained in more hazardous plant protection products placed on the market each year.

Amendment

2. Progress towards achieving target 2 shall be calculated by ***dividing*** the annual quantities of active substances contained in more hazardous plant protection products placed on the market each year ***by the mean application rate per hectare of its representative uses, followed by the aggregation of the results of these calculations.***

Amendment 260**Proposal for a regulation
Annex I – Section 3 – point 2***Text proposed by the Commission*

2. The trend at national level shall be calculated using national statistics on the quantities of chemical active substances as defined in point 3 of Article 3 of this Regulation placed on the market in plant protection products under Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009, provided to the Commission under Annex I (Statistics on the placing on the market of pesticides) to Regulation (EC) No 1185/2009.

Amendment

2. The trend at national level shall be calculated using national statistics on the quantities of chemical active substances as defined in point 3 of Article 3 of this Regulation placed on the market in plant protection products under Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009, provided to the Commission under Annex I (Statistics on the placing on the market of pesticides) to Regulation (EC) No 1185/2009 ***in combination with the mean application rates of chemical active substances based on their representative uses, which have been evaluated under Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009.***

Amendment 261**Proposal for a regulation
Annex I – Section 3 – point 3***Text proposed by the Commission*

3. The trend at Union level shall be calculated using Union statistics on the quantities of chemical active substances as defined in point 3 of Article 3 of this Regulation placed on the market in plant protection products under Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009, provided to the Commission under Annex I (Statistics on the placing on the market of pesticides) to Regulation (EC) No 1185/2009.

Amendment

3. The trend at Union level shall be calculated using Union statistics on the quantities of chemical active substances as defined in point 3 of Article 3 of this Regulation placed on the market in plant protection products under Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009, provided to the Commission under Annex I (Statistics on the placing on the market of pesticides) to Regulation (EC) No 1185/2009 ***in combination with the mean application***

rates of chemical active substances based on their representative uses, which have been evaluated under Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009.

Or. en

Amendment 262

Proposal for a regulation Annex VI – Section 2 – point 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. This indicator shall be based on statistics on the quantities of active substances placed on the market in plant protection products under Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009, provided to the Commission (Eurostat) under Annex I of Regulation (EC) No 1185/2009. Those data are categorised into 4 groups.

Amendment

1. This indicator shall be based on statistics on the quantities of active substances placed on the market in plant protection products under Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 ***in combination with the mean application rates of chemical active substances based on their representative uses^{1a} which have been evaluated under Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009***, provided to the Commission (Eurostat) under Annex I of Regulation (EC) No 1185/2009. Those data are categorised into 4 groups.

^{1a} as referred to in the application, and published by the European Commission as Final Review Reports for the active substances in the EU Pesticide Database (https://food.ec.europa.eu/plants/pesticides/eu-pesticides-database_en).

Or. en

Justification

The currently used HRI1 systematically underestimates, by several orders, the risk of highly effective pesticides (typically characterized by low application and sales volumes), compared to natural substances. This systematic error can be corrected by a simple standardization step, which is essential for a proper and reliable risk indication of the SUR targets. See <https://www.umweltbundesamt.de/publikationen/towards-sustainable-plant-protectionand> https://www.organicseurope.bio/content/uploads/2022/06/GLOBAL2000_HRI-1_final_28022022.pdf?dd

Amendment 263

Proposal for a regulation Annex VI – Section 2 – point 3

Text proposed by the Commission

Harmonised risk indicator 1 shall be calculated by **multiplying** the annual quantities of active substances in plant protection products placed on the market for each group in Table 1 by the relevant hazard weighting set out in row (iii), followed by the aggregation of the results of these calculations.

Amendment

Harmonised risk indicator 1 shall be calculated by **dividing** the annual quantities of active substances in plant protection products placed on the market **by the mean application rate per hectare of its representative uses^{1a} and multiplying the results** for each group in Table 1 by the relevant hazard weighting set out in row (iii), followed by the aggregation of the results of these calculations.

^{1a} **as referred to in the application, and published by the European Commission as Final Review Reports for the active substances in the EU Pesticide Database (https://food.ec.europa.eu/plants/pesticides/eu-pesticides-database_en).**

Or. en

Amendment 264

Proposal for a regulation Annex VI – Section 2 – Table 1 – row 3

Text proposed by the Commission

(ii)	Hazard weightings applicable to quantities of active substances placed on the market in products authorised under Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009

Amendment

(ii)	Hazard weightings applicable to quantities of active substances placed on the market in products authorised under Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 standardized by the mean application rate per hectare of its representative uses evaluated in the approval procedure under Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009.

Or. en

Amendment 265

Proposal for a regulation

Annex VI – Section 2 – Table 1 – row 4

Text proposed by the Commission

(iii)	1	8	16	64

Amendment

(iii)	1	8	16	*
* The weighting factor associated with the last group assignment before approval				

Or. en

Justification

Attributing a high risk factor to active substances that have lost approval is not appropriate, as the most common reason why an active substance ends up in Group 4 is not a negative environmental or health risk assessment, but that an incomplete application was submitted or that the active substance was no longer defended. It also leads to an unreasonably high influence on the overall risk of the HRI1. See

<https://www.europarl.europa.eu/cmsdata/160000/COMPILATION.pdf> (p 26), and

<https://www.foodwatch.org/fileadmin/->

DE/Themen/Pestizide/Dokumente/Pestizid_Paper_HRI_2022_DIGITAL_FIN.pdf (p 4)

Amendment 266

Proposal for a regulation

Annex VI – Section 3 – Table 2 – row 3

Text proposed by the Commission

(ii)	Hazard weightings applicable to quantities of active substances placed on the market in products authorised under Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009

Amendment

(ii)	Hazard weightings applicable to quantities of active substances placed on the market in products authorised under Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 standardized by the mean application rate per hectare of its representative uses evaluated in the approval procedure under Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009.

Or. en

Amendment 267

Proposal for a regulation

Annex VI – Section 3 – Table 2 – row 4

Text proposed by the Commission

(iii)	1	8	16	64

Amendment

(iii)	1	8	16	*
* The weighting factor associated with the last group assignment before approval				

Or. en

Justification

Attributing a high risk factor to active substances that have lost approval is not appropriate, as the most common reason why an active substance ends up in Group 4 is not a negative environmental or health risk assessment, but that an incomplete application was submitted or that the active substance was no longer defended. It also leads to an unreasonably high influence on the overall risk of the HRI1. See

<https://www.europarl.europa.eu/cmsdata/160000/COMPILATION.pdf> (p 26), and

<https://www.foodwatch.org/fileadmin/->

DE/Themen/Pestizide/Dokumente/Pestizid_Paper_HRI_2022_DIGITAL_FIN.pdf (p 4)

Amendment 268

Proposal for a regulation

Annex VI – Section 4 – Table 3 – row 3

Text proposed by the Commission

(ii)	Hazard weightings applicable to quantities of active substances placed on the market in products authorised under Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009

Amendment

(ii)	Hazard weightings applicable to quantities of active substances placed on the market in products authorised under Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 standardized by the mean application rate per hectare of its representative uses evaluated in the approval procedure under Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009.

Or. en

Amendment 269

Proposal for a regulation

Annex VI – Section 4 – Table 3 – row 4

Text proposed by the Commission

(iii)	1	8	16	64

Amendment

(iii)	1	8	16	*
* The weighting factor associated with the last group assignment before approval				

Or. en

Justification

Attributing a high risk factor to active substances that have lost approval is not appropriate, as the most common reason why an active substance ends up in Group 4 is not a negative environmental or health risk assessment, but that an incomplete application was submitted or that the active substance was no longer defended. It also leads to an unreasonably high influence on the overall risk of the HRI1. See

<https://www.europarl.europa.eu/cmsdata/160000/COMPILATION.pdf> (p 26), and

<https://www.foodwatch.org/fileadmin/->

DE/Themen/Pestizide/Dokumente/Pestizid_Paper_HRI_2022_DIGITAL_FIN.pdf (p 4)

EXPLANATORY STATEMENT

Background

The [Farm to Fork](#) and [Biodiversity](#) strategies, adopted by the European Commission in 2020 as part of its EU Green Deal package, set EU wide targets to reduce by 50% the use and the risk of chemical pesticides as well as the use of the more hazardous pesticides by 2030. The proposal at hand seeks to enshrine this objective in law. In order to do so, it transforms the existing [Directive 2009/128/EC](#) into a Regulation. This should improve the harmonisation of the implementation across the EU (e.g. with regards to provisions on integrated pest management), and also address serious deficiencies identified with regards to the incomplete implementation, application and enforcement of the Directive, which have been documented in the Commission's reports from [2017](#) and [2020](#) as well as by the [European Court of Auditors](#).

The proposal at hand was first published in June 2022 and the European Parliament appointed its Rapporteur the following month. The ENVI Committee was assigned the lead committee, with AGRI assigned shared and exclusive competences under Rule 57 of the rules of procedure. Following a number of debates in Council on the proposal, the Commission presented a [non-paper](#) specifically addressing the issue of sensitive areas in November 2022. Moreover, in December 2022, the Council adopted a [Decision](#) requesting the Commission to present some additional data to complement the [Impact Assessment](#) on several aspects.

Rapporteur's remarks

Science clearly shows that a drastic reduction in pesticide use and risk is necessary in order to avoid ecosystem collapse and severe damages to pollinator populations. The rapporteur is convinced that this is the only way forward in order to ensure food security, to reduce dependence of farmers from expensive inputs and facilitate resilient food systems, to allow for healthier food and to protect our ecosystems.

The Rapporteur thus welcomes the Commission's commitment to stick to the farm-to-fork strategy's objective to reduce the use and risk of chemical and more hazardous pesticides, and to increase the application and enforcement of integrated pest management (IPM), despite heavy lobbying to the contrary. It is undisputed that the implementation of Directive 2009/128/EC (SUD) has serious deficiencies. A regulation is appropriate to both ensure that the level of ambition of the farm-to-fork strategy is met and to remedy the problems identified with the implementation of the SUD by providing directly applicable, clear and uniform rules. The guiding principle of the rapporteur when drafting the report was the need to protect the environment and public health, and at the same time support farmers in the transition towards a more sustainable way of agriculture. The amendments of the draft report intend to improve the Commission proposal in the following fields:

With regard to the targets, the rapporteur proposes to focus on the more hazardous pesticides, with a **reduction target of 80%** until 2030. Those pesticides pose a serious threat to health (they are e.g. neurotoxic, carcinogenic, toxic for reproduction, or have endocrine disrupting properties) and should have been phased out since 2015. Article 50 of Regulation 1107/2009/EC concerning the placing of plant protection products on the market obliges Member States not to re-authorise substances that are approved as candidates for substitution

(called ‘more hazardous plant protection products’ in this Regulation), when alternatives exist.

The rapporteur furthermore proposes to shift the **reference period** to 2018-2020, in order to describe the situation directly before the SUR came into force. The reference time period must be as representative as possible, as extremes and increasing fluctuations in temperature and precipitation due to climate change have a significant impact on diseases and pests and thus also affect pesticide use. The rapporteur furthermore proposes to streamline the timetable and process of target setting, in order to allow for a timely setting of Member State’s targets. The timing proposed by the Commission is too slow and could lead to the final setting of targets in the course of 2027 only.

In addition, the rapporteur is convinced that the **Harmonised risk indicator 1 (HRI1)**, which is intended to monitor the success of SUR reduction targets, needs to be adapted to better reflect the real risk associated with pesticide use. It is commonly acknowledged that employing the actual pesticide use data would be the best way to appropriately measure use and risk of chemical pesticides. However, as such data will only become available by 2028, in accordance with the Statistics on Agricultural Inputs and Outputs Regulation, approximations will need to be used in the meantime. The currently used HRI1 systematically underestimates, by several orders, the risk of highly effective pesticides (typically characterized by low application and sales volumes), compared to natural substances. In order to better approximate real use and risk, sales volumes need to be standardized with the recommended application rates. Furthermore, the weighting factor for pesticides in category 4 needs to be adjusted so that active substances which lose market authorisation will keep the weighting factor associated with the group they were last assigned to before loss of approval. The attribution of a very high risk factor to non-approved active substances is not appropriate, as the most common reason why an active substance ends up in Group 4 is not a negative environmental or health risk assessment, but that an incomplete application was submitted or that the active substance was no longer defended by its manufacturer. It also leads to an unreasonably high impact on the overall risk of the HRI1.

As regards **sensitive areas**, the rapporteur proposes to exclude nitrate-sensitive areas from the definition, as they are not relevant for the purposes of this Regulation. The rapporteur moreover proposes to restrict the inclusion of areas reported by the Member States to the Nationally designated protected areas inventory (CDDA) to those areas where the conservation objectives relate to nature, biodiversity, and/or habitat protection. This ensures that areas which are protected for unrelated reasons, such as beauty of landscape or the preservation of historical monuments, are excluded from the definition. Furthermore, pesticides approved for organic farming shall be allowed in certain types of areas to allow for the continuation of the specific agricultural activities already taking place there. Further derogations from the general ban can be granted under certain conditions.

With regard to the **buffer zones**, the suggested 3m distance from protected areas is not sufficient; it is even too small to prevent pesticides from entering protected areas via spray drift during their application. Science suggests that effective buffer zones need to be several hundred meters wide to prevent pesticide inputs. Recent research investigating pesticide contamination of public playgrounds found nearly half of the playgrounds contaminated by at least one pesticide and a quarter by more than one (including endocrine disrupting chemicals). The Rapporteur therefore proposes a general width of buffer zones of 10 meters, and buffer

zones of 50 meters for sensitive areas used by vulnerable groups and for the use of the more hazardous pesticides.

One of the most important aims of the Regulation is to facilitate the implementation of **integrated pest management** (IPM). IPM should have become the standard since 2011, when Member States were required to transpose the requirements of Directive 2009/128/EC, but it has become obvious that this has not happened in a satisfactory manner. The rapporteur proposes to establish a hierarchy of IPM (in analogy to Art 4 of Directive 2008/98/EC establishing a waste hierarchy) as to provide for a reference when it comes to implementing this Regulation in the Member States. The requirements with regard to IPM are strengthened, in order to ensure that chemical pesticides are only used as a very last resort. At the same time, the requirements for training of professional users and advisors and for the establishment of an independent advisory system are reinforced.

A **sufficient financial budget** is crucial for the implementation of the SUR. The obligatory reduction of both use and risk of pesticides and thus a systemic transformation of agricultural crop production will be associated with considerable costs and administrative burden for Member States, such as support for farmers, training and pay for advisers, the development of new teaching contents and investments in research and development, inter alia. These costs may not sufficiently be covered by CAP spending, as proposed by the Commission; therefore alternative solutions are needed. The rapporteur proposes to establish a state fund, which could, inter alia, be fed by taxation on pesticides. A **risk-based pesticide tax** would serve the purpose of this Regulation in two ways: it would automatically lead to a reduction in pesticide use, as e.g. the example of Denmark shows, and would secondly provide funds for the implementation of the Regulation and offer the possibility for compensating farmers. Several Member States have already established pesticide taxes. In order to avoid distortion of the internal market, the rapporteur proposes to ask the Commission to present a report analysing different options of introducing risk-based pesticide taxes or levies in all EU Member States or at EU level and to follow up with a legislative proposal.

In order to allow for appropriate surveillance of the measures taken in accordance with this Regulation, the rapporteur proposes to establish routine measures for carrying out specific, representative **monitoring programmes** of residues of active substances and their metabolites in water resources, groundwater, soil, air/dust/precipitation, biota and humans. As pesticides have harmful effects not only on the environment, but also on those who apply them, the rapporteur calls for additional monitoring for farmers and workers and to systematically record occupational diseases linked to pesticide use.

The rapporteur furthermore adds several requirements with regard to the **protection of workers**/professional users of pesticides and proposes not to permit the use of chemical pesticides by non-professional users. Non-professional users have not been trained with regard to the application and dangers of chemical plant protection products and unacceptable risks to their own health, the health of their children and neighbours as well as the environment, should in any case be avoided.



2022/0196(COD)

4.4.2023

AMENDMENTS

270 - 452

Draft report
Sarah Wiener
(PE742.368v01-00)

proposal for a regulation of the European Parliament and of the Council on the sustainable use of plant protection products and amending Regulation (EU) 2021/2115

Proposal for a regulation
(COM(2022)0305 – C9-0207/2022 – 2022/0196(COD))

AM_Com_LegReport

Amendment 270
Silvia Sardone

Proposal for a regulation

—

Proposal for a rejection

— ***Il Parlamento europeo respinge la proposta della Commissione.***

Or. it

Amendment 271
Sylvia Limmer

Proposal for a regulation

—

Proposal for a rejection

— ***Das Europäische Parlament lehnt den Vorschlag der Kommission ab.***

Or. de

Amendment 272
Ivan David

Proposal for a regulation

—

Proposal for a rejection

— ***The European Parliament rejects the Commission Proposal.***

Or. en

Amendment 273
Jessica Polfjärd

Proposal for a regulation

—

Proposal for a rejection

— ***The European Parliament rejects the Commission proposal.***

Or. en

Amendment 274

Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Lena Düpont, Jarosław Kalinowski, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Peter Jahr, Jens Gieseke, Angelika Niebler, Andreas Schwab, Ralf Seekatz, Markus Pieper, Juan Ignacio Zoido Álvarez, David McAllister, Christine Schneider, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Michaela Šojdrová, Stefan Berger, Daniel Buda, Sabine Verheyen, Franc Bogovič, Monika Hohlmeier, Dan-Ștefan Motreanu, Petri Sarvamaa, Michael Gahler, Daniel Caspary, Herbert Dorfmann, Anne Sander, Francisco José Millán Mon, Gabriel Mato, Christian Doleschal, Ljudmila Novak, Dolors Montserrat, Pilar del Castillo Vera, Isabel Benjumea Benjumea, Esteban González Pons

Proposal for a regulation

—

Proposal for a rejection

— ***The European Parliament rejects the Commission proposal.***

Or. en

Amendment 275

Robert Roos, Rob Rooken

Proposal for a regulation

—

Draft legislative resolution

Amendment

— ***The European Parliament rejects the Commission proposal.***

Or. en

Amendment 276

Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Peter Lundgren, Hermann Tertsch, Margarita de la Pisa Carrión, Mazaly Aguilar, Evžen Tošenovský, Sergio Berlato, Jorge Buxadé Villalba, Eugen Jurzyca, Pietro Fiocchi, Charlie Weimers, Johan Nissinen

Proposal for a regulation

—

Draft legislative resolution

Amendment

— ***The European Parliament rejects
the Commission proposal***

*(The proposal is inconsistent with the
principles of subsidiarity and
proportionality.)*

Or. en

Amendment 277

Jan Huitema, Emma Wiesner, Erik Poulsen, Asger Christensen, Billy Kelleher

Proposal for a regulation

Title 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Proposal for a

REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN
PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL

on the sustainable use of plant protection
products and amending **Regulation** (EU)
2021/2115

(Text with EEA relevance)

Proposal for a

REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN
PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL

on the sustainable use of plant protection
products and **ensuring sustainable crop
protection, and** amending **Regulations**
(EU) 2021/2115 **and (EC) 1107/2009**

(Text with EEA relevance)

Or. en

Justification

The overall objective of this regulation should not only be to use plant protection products in a sustainable manner, but also to ensure that crop producers have sufficient tools available to properly protect crops against pests and diseases. A reduction in the sales of chemical plant protection products is only beneficial if crop failures can continue to be prevented. Therefore, our amendments broaden the scope of this regulation by: (1) setting new obligations to improve the authorization procedure for sustainable alternatives for plant protection products, (2) setting targets for increasing the sales of low risk and biological control plant protection products, and (3) allowing member states to introduce new indicators that aim to reduce the overall negative environmental impact of plant protection measures instead of only focusing on a reduction in the sales of chemical products.

Amendment 278

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation

Title 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Proposal for a

REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN
PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL

on the **sustainable use of plant protection
products** and amending Regulation (EU)

Proposal for a

REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN
PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL

on the **phasing-out of pesticides** and
amending Regulation (EU) 2021/2115

2021/2115

(Text with EEA relevance)

(Text with EEA relevance)

Or. en

Amendment 279

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjård

Proposal for a regulation

Title 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Proposal for a

REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN
PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL

on the sustainable use of plant protection
products and amending Regulation (EU)
2021/2115

Proposal for a

DIRECTIVE OF THE EUROPEAN
PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL

on the sustainable use of plant protection
products and amending Regulation (EU)
2021/2115

***The word "Regulation" should be
replaced throughout the whole text with
the word "directive".***

Or. en

Justification

This amendment applies throughout the text. Adopting it will necessitate corresponding changes throughout.

Amendment 280

Mathilde Androuët

Proposal for a regulation

Citation 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

vu le traité sur le fonctionnement de
l'Union européenne, et notamment son
article 192, paragraphe 1,

vu le traité sur le fonctionnement de
l'Union européenne, et notamment son
article **39, paragraphe 1, et son article**

192, paragraphe 1,

Or. fr

Amendment 281

Sylvia Limmer

Proposal for a regulation

Citation 1

Text proposed by the Commission

gestützt auf den Vertrag über die Arbeitsweise der Europäischen Union, insbesondere auf Artikel 192 Absatz 1,

Amendment

gestützt auf den Vertrag über die Arbeitsweise der Europäischen Union, insbesondere auf Artikel **39 Absatz 1 sowie Artikel** 192 Absatz 1,

Or. de

Amendment 282

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 1

Text proposed by the Commission

(1) The Treaty requires a high level of protection of human health and of the environment to be ensured in the definition and the implementation of all Union policies and activities and provides that Union policy on the environment is to aim at a high level of protection.

Amendment

(1) The Treaty requires a high level of protection of human health and of the environment to be ensured in the definition and the implementation of all Union policies and activities and provides that Union policy on the environment is to aim at a high level of protection. ***The Treaty stipulates that Union policy on the environment shall be based on the precautionary principle and on the principles that preventive action should be taken, that environmental damage should as a priority be rectified at source and that the polluter should pay.***

Or. en

Amendment 283
Stanislav Polčák

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 1

Text proposed by the Commission

(1) Smlouva vyžaduje, aby byla při definování a provádění všech politik a činností Unie zajištěna vysoká úroveň ochrany lidského zdraví a životního prostředí, **a** stanoví, že politika Unie v oblasti životního prostředí má usilovat o vysokou úroveň ochrany.

Amendment

(1) Smlouva vyžaduje, aby byla při definování a provádění všech politik a činností Unie zajištěna vysoká úroveň ochrany lidského zdraví a **zahrnutý požadavky na ochranu** životního prostředí, **zejména s ohledem na podporu udržitelného rozvoje. Dále** stanoví, že politika Unie v oblasti životního prostředí má usilovat o vysokou úroveň ochrany **a je založena na zásadách předběžné opatrnosti a prevence, odvracení ohrožení životního prostředí především u zdroje a na zásadě „znečišťovatel platí“.**

Or. cs

Amendment 284

Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Peter Lundgren, Hermann Tertsch, Margarita de la Pisa Carrión, Mazaly Aguilar, Evžen Tošenovský, Sergio Berlato, Jorge Buxadé Villalba, Eugen Jurzyca, Charlie Weimers, Alexander Bernhuber, Johan Nissinen

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 1

Text proposed by the Commission

(1) The Treaty requires a high level of protection of human health and of the environment to be ensured in the definition and the implementation of all Union policies and activities and provides that Union policy on the environment is to aim at a high level of protection.

Amendment

(1) The Treaty requires a high level of protection of human health and of the environment to be ensured in the definition and the implementation of all Union policies and activities and provides that Union policy on the environment is to aim at a high level of protection. **The precautionary principle is set out in Article 191 of the Treaty, acknowledging that is already taken into account in of the authorisation procedure.**

Or. en

Justification

The precautionary principle is already considered in the authorization procedure and thus applied when reviewing any plant protection product.

Amendment 285

Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 1

Text proposed by the Commission

(1) The Treaty requires a high level of protection of human health and of the environment to be ensured in the definition and the implementation of all Union policies and activities and provides that Union policy on the environment is to aim at a high level of protection.

Amendment

(1) The Treaty requires a high level of protection of human health and of the environment to be ensured in the definition and the implementation of all Union policies and activities and provides that Union policy on the environment is to aim at a high level of protection. ***This objective is underpinned by the precautionary principle as set forth in the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union (TFEU).***

Or. en

Amendment 286

Sylvia Limmer

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 1 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(1 a) Der Vertrag über die Arbeitsweise der Europäischen Union definiert als Ziele der gemeinsamen Agrarpolitik a) die Produktivität der Landwirtschaft durch Förderung des technischen Fortschritts, Rationalisierung der landwirtschaftlichen Erzeugung und den bestmöglichen Einsatz der Produktionsfaktoren, insbesondere der Arbeitskräfte, zu steigern; b) auf diese Weise der

landwirtschaftlichen Bevölkerung, insbesondere durch Erhöhung des Pro-Kopf-Einkommens der in der Landwirtschaft tätigen Personen, eine angemessene Lebenshaltung zu gewährleisten; c) die Märkte zu stabilisieren; d) die Versorgung sicherzustellen; e) für die Belieferung der Verbraucher zu angemessenen Preisen Sorge zu tragen.

Or. de

Amendment 287
Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 1 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(1 a) The Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union requires to strengthen the protection of fundamental rights and in particular the fundamental right to working conditions that respect workers' health, safety and dignity and that a high level of environmental protection and the improvement of the quality of the environment must be integrated into the policies of the Union.

Or. en

Amendment 288
Mathilde Androuët

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 1 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(1 bis) L'article 39 du TFUE fixe les objectifs de la PAC, notamment ceux d'accroître la productivité de l'agriculture,

d'assurer un niveau de vie équitable aux agriculteurs, de stabiliser les marchés, de garantir la sécurité des approvisionnements et d'assurer des prix raisonnables dans les livraisons aux consommateurs.

Or. fr

Justification

La proposition de règlement ne doit pas contrevenir à l'article 39 du TFUE qui stipule que les objectifs de la PAC doivent notamment procurer un niveau de vie décent pour les agriculteurs dont le rôle est essentiel pour assurer la souveraineté alimentaire des Etats membres et éviter l'envolée des prix des produits agricole pour les consommateurs.

Amendment 289

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjärd

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 1 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(1 a) Article 39 of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union sets out the clear objective that supplies should be secured and that food should be available to consumers at reasonable prices.

Or. en

Amendment 290

Mathilde Androuët

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 1 b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(1 ter) Les impacts de la situation géopolitique mondiale sur la chaîne de

production agricole européenne doivent être pris en compte dans le présent règlement pour adapter ses dispositions afin de garantir la souveraineté alimentaire des Etats membres et d'assurer des prix raisonnables pour l'alimentation des consommateurs.

Or. fr

Justification

La proposition de règlement doit notamment prendre en compte l'impact de la guerre en Ukraine sur la production agricole européenne et ne pas imposer des contraintes irréalistes aux agriculteurs dans un contexte de pénurie alimentaire mondiale.

Amendment 291

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 2

Text proposed by the Commission

(2) Directive 2009/128/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council³⁷ established a framework to achieve a sustainable use of **pesticides** by reducing the risks and impacts of the use of **pesticides** on human health and the environment. The evaluation³⁸ of that Directive found that it has not achieved its overall objectives and that the Member States did not implement it in a satisfactory manner. This conclusion was confirmed in reports from the Commission to the European Parliament and Council in 2017³⁹ and 2020⁴⁰.

³⁷ Directive 2009/128/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 21

Amendment

(2) Directive 2009/128/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council³⁷ established a framework to achieve a sustainable use of **plant protection products** by reducing the risks and impacts of the use of **plant protection products** on human health and the environment. The evaluation³⁸ of that Directive found that it has not achieved its overall objectives and that the Member States did not implement it in a satisfactory manner. This conclusion was confirmed in reports from the Commission to the European Parliament and Council in 2017³⁹ and 2020⁴⁰.

(This amendment applies throughout the text. Adopting it will necessitate corresponding changes throughout.)

³⁷ Directive 2009/128/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 21

October 2009 establishing a framework for Community action to achieve the sustainable use of pesticides (OJ L 309, 24.11.2009, p. 71).

³⁸ [Reference to be inserted.]

³⁹ Report from the Commission to the European Parliament and the Council on Member State National Action Plans and on progress in the implementation of Directive 2009/128/EC on the sustainable use of pesticides COM(2017)587 final.

⁴⁰ Report from the Commission to the European Parliament and the Council on the experience gained by Member States on the implementation of national targets established in their National Action Plans and on progress in the implementation of Directive 2009/128/EC on the sustainable use of pesticides COM(2020) 204 final.

October 2009 establishing a framework for Community action to achieve the sustainable use of pesticides (OJ L 309, 24.11.2009, p. 71).

³⁸ [Reference to be inserted.]

³⁹ Report from the Commission to the European Parliament and the Council on Member State National Action Plans and on progress in the implementation of Directive 2009/128/EC on the sustainable use of pesticides COM(2017)587 final.

⁴⁰ Report from the Commission to the European Parliament and the Council on the experience gained by Member States on the implementation of national targets established in their National Action Plans and on progress in the implementation of Directive 2009/128/EC on the sustainable use of pesticides COM(2020) 204 final.

Or. en

Amendment 292

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 2

Text proposed by the Commission

(2) Directive 2009/128/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council³⁷ established a framework to achieve a sustainable use of pesticides by reducing the risks and impacts of the use of pesticides on human health and the environment. ***The evaluation³⁸ of that Directive found that it has not achieved its overall objectives and that the Member States did not implement it in a satisfactory manner. This conclusion was confirmed in reports from the Commission to the European Parliament***

Amendment

(2) Directive 2009/128/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council³⁷ established a framework to achieve a sustainable use of pesticides by reducing the risks and impacts of the use of pesticides on human health and the environment.

and Council in 2017³⁹ and 2020⁴⁰.

³⁷ Directive 2009/128/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 21 October 2009 establishing a framework for Community action to achieve the sustainable use of pesticides (OJ L 309, 24.11.2009, p. 71).

³⁸ [Reference to be inserted.]

³⁹ Report from the Commission to the European Parliament and the Council on Member State National Action Plans and on progress in the implementation of Directive 2009/128/EC on the sustainable use of pesticides COM(2017)587 final.

⁴⁰ Report from the Commission to the European Parliament and the Council on the experience gained by Member States on the implementation of national targets established in their National Action Plans and on progress in the implementation of Directive 2009/128/EC on the sustainable use of pesticides COM(2020) 204 final.

³⁷ Directive 2009/128/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 21 October 2009 establishing a framework for Community action to achieve the sustainable use of pesticides (OJ L 309, 24.11.2009, p. 71).

³⁸ [Reference to be inserted.]

³⁹ Report from the Commission to the European Parliament and the Council on Member State National Action Plans and on progress in the implementation of Directive 2009/128/EC on the sustainable use of pesticides COM(2017)587 final.

⁴⁰ Report from the Commission to the European Parliament and the Council on the experience gained by Member States on the implementation of national targets established in their National Action Plans and on progress in the implementation of Directive 2009/128/EC on the sustainable use of pesticides COM(2020) 204 final.

Or. en

Amendment 293

Mathilde Androuët

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 2

Text proposed by the Commission

(2) La directive 2009/128/CE du Parlement européen et du Conseil³⁷ a instauré un cadre pour parvenir à une utilisation **des pesticides** compatible avec le développement durable en réduisant les risques et les effets de **l'utilisation des pesticides** sur la santé humaine et l'environnement. L'évaluation³⁸ de cette directive a révélé qu'elle n'a pas atteint ses objectifs généraux et que les États membres ne l'ont pas mise en œuvre de

Amendment

(2) La directive 2009/128/CE du Parlement européen et du Conseil³⁷ a instauré un cadre pour parvenir à une utilisation **produits phytopharmaceutiques** compatible avec le développement durable en réduisant les risques et les effets de **leur utilisation** sur la santé humaine et l'environnement. L'évaluation³⁸ de cette directive a révélé qu'elle n'a pas atteint ses objectifs généraux et que les États membres ne l'ont pas mise en œuvre de

manière satisfaisante. Cette conclusion a été confirmée dans les rapports de la Commission au Parlement européen et au Conseil en 2017³⁹ et en 2020⁴⁰.

³⁷ Directive 2009/128/CE du Parlement européen et du Conseil du 21 octobre 2009 instaurant un cadre d'action communautaire pour parvenir à une utilisation des pesticides compatible avec le développement durable (JO L 309 du 24.11.2009, p. 71).

³⁸ [Référence à insérer.]

³⁹ Rapport de la Commission au Parlement européen et au Conseil sur les plans d'action nationaux des États membres et sur les progrès accomplis dans la mise en œuvre de la directive 2009/128/CE sur une utilisation des pesticides compatible avec le développement durable, COM(2017) 587 final.

⁴⁰ Rapport de la Commission au Parlement européen et au Conseil sur l'expérience acquise par les États membres dans la mise en œuvre des objectifs nationaux fixés dans leurs plans d'action nationaux et sur les progrès réalisés dans la mise en œuvre de la directive 2009/128/CE sur une utilisation des pesticides compatible avec le développement durable, COM(2020) 204 final.

manière satisfaisante. Cette conclusion a été confirmée dans les rapports de la Commission au Parlement européen et au Conseil en 2017³⁹ et en 2020⁴⁰.

³⁷ Directive 2009/128/CE du Parlement européen et du Conseil du 21 octobre 2009 instaurant un cadre d'action communautaire pour parvenir à une utilisation des pesticides compatible avec le développement durable (JO L 309 du 24.11.2009, p. 71).

³⁸ [Référence à insérer.]

³⁹ Rapport de la Commission au Parlement européen et au Conseil sur les plans d'action nationaux des États membres et sur les progrès accomplis dans la mise en œuvre de la directive 2009/128/CE sur une utilisation des pesticides compatible avec le développement durable, COM(2017) 587 final.

⁴⁰ Rapport de la Commission au Parlement européen et au Conseil sur l'expérience acquise par les États membres dans la mise en œuvre des objectifs nationaux fixés dans leurs plans d'action nationaux et sur les progrès réalisés dans la mise en œuvre de la directive 2009/128/CE sur une utilisation des pesticides compatible avec le développement durable, COM(2020) 204 final.

Or. fr

Justification

Le terme de pesticides n'est pas conforme à l'intitulé du règlement car il inclut également les biocides qui sont définis dans le règlement (UE) n° 528/2012 pour lequel une évaluation est prévue.

Amendment 294

Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 3

Text proposed by the Commission

(3) The European Parliament resolution of 12 February 2019 on the implementation of Directive 2009/128/EC on the sustainable use of pesticides⁴¹ noted that the Union must act without delay to transition to a more sustainable use of pesticides and called on the Commission to propose an ambitious Union-wide binding target for the reduction of pesticide use. The European Parliament re-affirmed its call for binding reduction targets in its resolution of 20 October 2021 on a Farm to Fork Strategy for a fair, healthy and environmentally-friendly food system⁴².

⁴¹ P8_TA(2019)0082, 12 February 2019.

⁴² P9_TA(2021)0425, 20 October 2021.

Amendment

(3) The European Parliament resolution of 12 February 2019 on the implementation of Directive 2009/128/EC on the sustainable use of pesticides⁴¹ noted that the Union must act without delay to transition to a more sustainable use of pesticides and called on the Commission to propose an ambitious Union-wide binding target for the reduction of pesticide use. ***In its resolution of 18 December 2019 on the EU Pollinators Initiative^{1b}, the European Parliament urged again that EU-wide mandatory reduction targets should be included in the upcoming revision of Directive 2009/128/EC. In its resolution of 9 June 2021 on EU Biodiversity Strategy for 2030: Bringing nature back into our lives^{1a}, the European Parliament welcomed the Commission's targets of reducing the use of more hazardous and chemical pesticides by 50 % and stressed that these objectives should all be set in legislation and revised for the post-2030 period with a view to continuing the reduction and making long-term commitments.*** The European Parliament re-affirmed its call for binding reduction targets in its resolution of 20 October 2021 on a Farm to Fork Strategy for a fair, healthy and environmentally-friendly food system⁴².

^{1a} ***P9_TA(2021)0277, 9 June 2021***

^{1b} ***P9_TA(2019)0104, 18 December 2019***

⁴¹ P8_TA(2019)0082, 12 February 2019.

⁴² P9_TA(2021)0425, 20 October 2021.

Or. en

Amendment 295
Sylvia Limmer

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 3

Text proposed by the Commission

(3) Das Europäische Parlament stellte in seiner EntschlieÙung vom 12. Februar 2019 zur Umsetzung der Richtlinie 2009/128/EG über die nachhaltige Verwendung von Pestiziden⁴¹ fest, dass die EU unverzüglich Maßnahmen für den Übergang zu einer nachhaltigeren Verwendung von Pestiziden ergreifen muss, und forderte die Kommission darin auf, ein ambitioniertes unionsweites verbindliches Ziel für die Verringerung der Verwendung von Pestiziden vorzuschlagen. In der EntschlieÙung des Europäischen Parlaments vom 20. Oktober 2021 zu einer Strategie „Vom Hof auf den Tisch“ – für ein faires, gesundes und umweltfreundliches Lebensmittelsystem⁴² wurde die Forderung nach verbindlichen Reduktionszielen bekräftigt.

⁴¹ P8_TA(2019)0082 vom 12. Februar 2019.

⁴² P9_TA(2021)0425 vom 20. Oktober 2021.

Amendment

(3) Das Europäische Parlament stellte in seiner EntschlieÙung vom 12. Februar 2019 zur Umsetzung der Richtlinie 2009/128/EG über die nachhaltige Verwendung von Pestiziden⁴¹ fest, dass die EU unverzüglich Maßnahmen für den Übergang zu einer nachhaltigeren Verwendung von Pestiziden ergreifen muss, und forderte die Kommission darin auf, ein ambitioniertes unionsweites verbindliches Ziel für die Verringerung der Verwendung von Pestiziden vorzuschlagen. In der EntschlieÙung des Europäischen Parlaments vom 20. Oktober 2021 zu einer Strategie „Vom Hof auf den Tisch“ – für ein faires, gesundes und umweltfreundliches Lebensmittelsystem⁴² wurde die Forderung nach verbindlichen Reduktionszielen bekräftigt. ***Das Europäische Parlament betonte in seiner EntschlieÙung vom 16. Februar 2023 zur Mitteilung der Kommission über die Gewährleistung der Verfügbarkeit und Erschwinglichkeit von Düngemitteln die Notwendigkeit einer Folgenabschätzung, in der die Versorgung der EU-Landwirtschaft mit Nährstoffen aus mineralischen, organisch-mineralischen und organischen Düngemitteln umfassend analysiert wird, wobei der Schwerpunkt auf der Gewährleistung des langfristigen Ziels der Selbstversorgung mit Nährstoffen liegt, da die globale Ernährungssicherheit und die Lebensmittelpreise durch die aktuelle geopolitische Lage bedroht sind.***

⁴¹ P8_TA(2019)0082 vom 12. Februar 2019.

⁴² P9_TA(2021)0425 vom 20. Oktober 2021.

Amendment 296**Franc Bogovič, Marlene Mortler, Ljudmila Novak, Alexander Bernhuber****Proposal for a regulation****Recital 3***Text proposed by the Commission*

(3) The European Parliament resolution of 12 February 2019 on the implementation of Directive 2009/128/EC on the sustainable use of pesticides⁴¹ noted that the Union must act ***without delay*** to transition to a more sustainable use of pesticides and called on the Commission to propose an ambitious Union-wide binding target for the reduction of pesticide use. The European Parliament re-affirmed its call for binding reduction targets in its resolution of 20 October 2021 on a Farm to Fork Strategy for a fair, healthy and environmentally-friendly food system⁴².

⁴¹ P8_TA(2019)0082, 12 February 2019.

⁴² P9_TA(2021)0425, 20 October 2021.

Amendment

(3) The European Parliament resolution of 12 February 2019 on the implementation of Directive 2009/128/EC on the sustainable use of pesticides⁴¹ noted that the Union must act to transition to a more sustainable use of pesticides and called on the Commission to propose an ambitious Union-wide binding target for the reduction of pesticide use. The European Parliament re-affirmed its call for binding reduction targets in its resolution of 20 October 2021 on a Farm to Fork Strategy for a fair, healthy and environmentally-friendly food system⁴². ***However, the European Parliament in its resolution of 20 October 2021 on a Farm to Fork Strategy for a fair, healthy and environmentally-friendly food system avoided setting a numerical Union-wide binding target for the reduction of pesticide use. The European Parliament also called on the Commission to clarify how it will deal with individual Member States' contributions to Union-wide binding target, ensure a level playing field, as well as how it will clarify the baselines for these reduction targets, taking into account the different starting points, efforts undertaken and characteristics of each Member State.***

⁴¹ P8_TA(2019)0082, 12 February 2019.

⁴² P9_TA(2021)0425, 20 October 2021.

Amendment 297

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 3

Text proposed by the Commission

(3) The European Parliament resolution of 12 February 2019 on the implementation of Directive 2009/128/EC on the sustainable use of pesticides⁴¹ noted that the Union must act without delay to transition to a more sustainable use of pesticides and called on the Commission to propose an ambitious Union-wide binding target for the reduction of pesticide use. The European Parliament re-affirmed its call for binding reduction targets in its resolution of 20 October 2021 on a Farm to Fork Strategy for a fair, healthy and environmentally-friendly food system⁴².

⁴¹ P8_TA(2019)0082, 12 February 2019.

⁴² P9_TA(2021)0425, 20 October 2021.

Amendment

(3) The European Parliament resolution of 12 February 2019 on the implementation of Directive 2009/128/EC on the sustainable use of pesticides⁴¹ noted that the Union must act without delay to transition to a more sustainable use of pesticides and called on the Commission to propose an ambitious Union-wide binding target for the reduction of pesticide use. The European Parliament re-affirmed its call for binding reduction targets in its resolution of 20 October 2021 on a Farm to Fork Strategy for a fair, healthy and environmentally-friendly food system⁴². ***The European Parliament emphasised the importance of pursuing these targets through holistic, preventive and circular approaches such as organic and agroecological practices, and stressed the key role of integrated pest management (IPM) in reducing pesticide dependency.***

⁴¹ P8_TA(2019)0082, 12 February 2019.

⁴² P9_TA(2021)0425, 20 October 2021.

Or. en

Amendment 298

Jan Huitema, Emma Wiesner, Ulrike Müller, Erik Poulsen, Andreas Glück, Asger Christensen, Billy Kelleher

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 3

Text proposed by the Commission

(3) The European Parliament resolution of 12 February 2019 on the implementation of Directive 2009/128/EC on the sustainable use of pesticides⁴¹ noted that the Union must act without delay to transition to a more sustainable use of pesticides and called on the Commission to propose an ambitious Union-wide binding target for the reduction of pesticide use. The European Parliament re-affirmed its call for binding reduction targets in its resolution of 20 October 2021 on a Farm to Fork Strategy for a fair, healthy and environmentally-friendly food system⁴².

⁴¹ P8_TA(2019)0082, 12 February 2019.

⁴² P9_TA(2021)0425, 20 October 2021.

Amendment

(3) The European Parliament resolution of 12 February 2019 on the implementation of Directive 2009/128/EC on the sustainable use of pesticides⁴¹ noted that the Union must act without delay to transition to a more sustainable use of pesticides and called on the Commission to propose an ambitious Union-wide binding target for the reduction of pesticide use. The European Parliament re-affirmed its call for binding reduction targets in its resolution of 20 October 2021 on a Farm to Fork Strategy for a fair, healthy and environmentally-friendly food system⁴², ***while stressing that their achievability depends on the availability of safer, effective and efficient alternatives;***

⁴¹ P8_TA(2019)0082, 12 February 2019.

⁴² P9_TA(2021)0425, 20 October 2021.

Or. en

Amendment 299

Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław Kalinowski, Joanna Kopcińska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 3

Text proposed by the Commission

(3) The European Parliament resolution of 12 February 2019 on the implementation of Directive 2009/128/EC on the sustainable use of pesticides⁴¹ noted that the Union must act without delay to transition to a more sustainable use of pesticides and called on the Commission to propose an ambitious Union-wide binding target for the reduction of pesticide use. The European Parliament re-affirmed ***its call for binding reduction targets*** in its

Amendment

(3) The European Parliament resolution of 12 February 2019 on the implementation of Directive 2009/128/EC on the sustainable use of pesticides⁴¹ noted that the Union must act without delay to transition to a more sustainable use of pesticides and called on the Commission to propose an ambitious Union-wide binding target for the reduction of pesticide use. The European Parliament re-affirmed, in its resolution of 20 October 2021 on a

resolution of 20 October 2021 on a Farm to Fork Strategy for a fair, healthy and environmentally-friendly food system⁴².

⁴¹ P8_TA(2019)0082, 12 February 2019.

⁴² P9_TA(2021)0425, 20 October 2021.

Farm to Fork Strategy for a fair, healthy and environmentally-friendly food system⁴², **its call for reduction targets binding at EU level.**

⁴¹ P8_TA(2019)0082, 12 February 2019.

⁴² P9_TA(2021)0425, 20 October 2021.

Or. en

Justification

F2F strategy provide for the EU targets, not national binding targets. It has to be stressed.

Amendment 300

Edina Tóth

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 3

Text proposed by the Commission

(3) The European Parliament resolution of 12 February 2019 on the implementation of Directive 2009/128/EC on the sustainable use of pesticides⁴¹ noted that the Union must act without delay to transition to a more sustainable use of pesticides and called on the Commission to propose an ambitious Union-wide binding target for the reduction of pesticide use. The European Parliament re-affirmed its call for binding reduction targets in its resolution of 20 October 2021 on a Farm to Fork Strategy for a fair, healthy and environmentally-friendly food system⁴².

⁴¹ P8_TA(2019)0082, 12 February 2019.

⁴² P9_TA(2021)0425, 20 October 2021.

Amendment

(3) The European Parliament resolution of 12 February 2019 on the implementation of Directive 2009/128/EC on the sustainable use of pesticides⁴¹ noted that the Union must act without delay to transition to a more sustainable use of pesticides and called on the Commission to propose an ambitious Union-wide binding target for the reduction of pesticide use. The European Parliament re-affirmed its call for binding **EU** reduction targets in its resolution of 20 October 2021 on a Farm to Fork Strategy for a fair, healthy and environmentally-friendly food system⁴².

⁴¹ P8_TA(2019)0082, 12 February 2019.

⁴² P9_TA(2021)0425, 20 October 2021.

Or. en

Amendment 301

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 3

Text proposed by the Commission

(3) The European Parliament resolution of 12 February 2019 on the implementation of Directive 2009/128/EC on the sustainable use of pesticides⁴¹ noted that the Union must act ***without delay*** to transition to a more sustainable use of pesticides and called on the Commission to propose an ambitious Union-wide binding target for the reduction of pesticide use. The European Parliament re-affirmed its call for binding reduction targets in its resolution of 20 October 2021 on a Farm to Fork Strategy for a fair, healthy and environmentally-friendly food system⁴².

⁴¹ P8_TA(2019)0082, 12 February 2019.

⁴² P9_TA(2021)0425, 20 October 2021.

Amendment

(3) The European Parliament resolution of 12 February 2019 on the implementation of Directive 2009/128/EC on the sustainable use of pesticides⁴¹ noted that the Union must act to transition to a more sustainable use of pesticides and called on the Commission to propose an ambitious Union-wide binding target for the reduction of pesticide use. The European Parliament re-affirmed its call for binding reduction targets in its resolution of 20 October 2021 on a Farm to Fork Strategy for a fair, healthy and environmentally-friendly food system⁴².

⁴¹ P8_TA(2019)0082, 12 February 2019.

⁴² P9_TA(2021)0425, 20 October 2021.

Or. en

Amendment 302

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjård

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 3 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(3 a) The European Parliament resolution of 21 March 2022 on the need for an urgent EU action plan to ensure food security inside and outside the Union in light of Russian invasion in Ukraine noted that the Union must act to

implement measures and use available tools to strengthen their food supply chains.

Or. en

Amendment 303
Sylvia Limmer

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 4

Text proposed by the Commission

(4) Eine Studie⁴³ des Wissenschaftlichen Dienstes des Europäischen Parlaments aus dem Jahr 2018 ergab Fortschritte in vielen Mitgliedstaaten, aber nur wenig Fortschritte insgesamt bei der Erreichung der Ziele der Richtlinie 2009/128/EG. Der Europäische Rechnungshofs (EuRH) kam 2020 in einem Sonderbericht⁴⁴ zur nachhaltigen Verwendung von Pflanzenschutzmitteln zu dem Ergebnis, dass bei der Messung und Verringerung der Risiken der Verwendung von Pflanzenschutzmitteln nur begrenzte Fortschritte erzielt wurden, und ermittelte Schwachstellen im derzeitigen Unionsrahmen. Der Europäische Wirtschafts- und Sozialausschuss hält es laut seinem Informationsbericht zur Bewertung der Richtlinie 2009/128/EG⁴⁵ ebenfalls für unabdingbar, die Anforderungen, Ziele, Bedingungen und Fristen, die im Rahmen der nationalen Aktionspläne festgelegt wurden, neu zu bewerten.

Amendment

(4) Eine Studie⁴³ des Wissenschaftlichen Dienstes des Europäischen Parlaments aus dem Jahr 2018 ergab Fortschritte in vielen Mitgliedstaaten, aber nur wenig Fortschritte insgesamt bei der Erreichung der Ziele der Richtlinie 2009/128/EG. Der Europäische Rechnungshofs (EuRH) kam 2020 in einem Sonderbericht⁴⁴ zur nachhaltigen Verwendung von Pflanzenschutzmitteln zu dem Ergebnis, dass bei der Messung und Verringerung der Risiken der Verwendung von Pflanzenschutzmitteln nur begrenzte Fortschritte erzielt wurden, und ermittelte Schwachstellen im derzeitigen Unionsrahmen. Der Europäische Wirtschafts- und Sozialausschuss hält es laut seinem Informationsbericht zur Bewertung der Richtlinie 2009/128/EG⁴⁵ ebenfalls für unabdingbar, die Anforderungen, Ziele, Bedingungen und Fristen, die im Rahmen der nationalen Aktionspläne festgelegt wurden, neu zu bewerten ***und dass die Lehren aus den aufeinander folgenden Misserfolgen der französischen Ecophyto- und Ecophyto-II-Pläne gezogen werden sollten, die zu einem erheblichen Anstieg des Pestizideinsatzes führten, obwohl das Ziel darin bestand, diesen drastisch zu reduzieren, um homothetische und ähnliche Ergebnisse auf EU-Ebene zu***

vermeiden.

⁴³ Wissenschaftlicher Dienst des Europäischen Parlaments: „Directive 2009/128/EC on the sustainable use of pesticides –European Implementation Assessment“ (Richtlinie 2009/128/EG zur nachhaltigen Verwendung von Pestiziden. Bewertung der europäischen Umsetzung), Oktober 2018.

⁴⁴ Nachhaltige Verwendung von Pflanzenschutzmitteln: begrenzter Fortschritt bei der Messung und Verringerung von Risiken, Sonderbericht des Europäischen Rechnungshofs, ISBN: 978-92-847-4206-6, Amt für Veröffentlichungen der Europäischen Union, Luxemburg, 2020.

⁴⁵ Europäischer Wirtschafts- und Sozialausschuss, Bewertung der Richtlinie über die nachhaltige Verwendung von Pestiziden (Informationsbericht), angenommen am 27. April 2021.

⁴³ Wissenschaftlicher Dienst des Europäischen Parlaments: „Directive 2009/128/EC on the sustainable use of pesticides –European Implementation Assessment“ (Richtlinie 2009/128/EG zur nachhaltigen Verwendung von Pestiziden. Bewertung der europäischen Umsetzung), Oktober 2018.

⁴⁴ Nachhaltige Verwendung von Pflanzenschutzmitteln: begrenzter Fortschritt bei der Messung und Verringerung von Risiken, Sonderbericht des Europäischen Rechnungshofs, ISBN: 978-92-847-4206-6, Amt für Veröffentlichungen der Europäischen Union, Luxemburg, 2020.

⁴⁵ Europäischer Wirtschafts- und Sozialausschuss, Bewertung der Richtlinie über die nachhaltige Verwendung von Pestiziden (Informationsbericht), angenommen am 27. April 2021.

Or. de

Amendment 304

Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 4

Text proposed by the Commission

(4) In 2018, a study⁴³ by the European Parliament Research Service (EPRS) found progress in many Member States but a limited overall achievement of the objectives of Directive 2009/128/EC. A 2020 special report⁴⁴ from the European Court of Auditors (ECA) on the sustainable use of plant protection products found that there is limited progress in measuring and reducing the risks of plant protection product use and identified weaknesses in

Amendment

(4) In 2018, a study⁴³ by the European Parliament Research Service (EPRS) found progress in many Member States but a limited overall achievement of the objectives of Directive 2009/128/EC, ***especially regarding the proper application of the integrated pest management principles***. A 2020 special report⁴⁴ from the European Court of Auditors (ECA) on the sustainable use of plant protection products found that there

the current Union framework. As noted in its information report in relation to evaluation of Directive 2009/128/EC⁴⁵, the European Economic and Social Committee also considers it essential to reassess the requirements, targets, conditions and timetables set under national action plans.

is limited progress in measuring and reducing the risks of plant protection product use and identified weaknesses in the current Union framework, ***including limited Member States compliance with integrated pest management principles***. As noted in its information report in relation to evaluation of Directive 2009/128/EC⁴⁵, the European Economic and Social Committee also considers it essential to reassess the requirements, targets, conditions and timetables set under national action plans.

⁴³ European Parliamentary Research Service, Directive 2009/128/EC on the sustainable use of pesticides –European Implementation Assessment, October 2018.

⁴⁴ Sustainable use of plant protection products: limited progress in measuring and reducing risks, Special Report European Court of Auditors, ISBN:978-92-847-4206-6, Publications Office of the European Union, Luxembourg, 2020.

⁴⁵ European Economic and Social Committee, Evaluation on Directive on the Sustainable Use of Pesticides (Information report), adopted on 27 April 2021.

⁴³ European Parliamentary Research Service, Directive 2009/128/EC on the sustainable use of pesticides –European Implementation Assessment, October 2018.

⁴⁴ Sustainable use of plant protection products: limited progress in measuring and reducing risks, Special Report European Court of Auditors, ISBN:978-92-847-4206-6, Publications Office of the European Union, Luxembourg, 2020.

⁴⁵ European Economic and Social Committee, Evaluation on Directive on the Sustainable Use of Pesticides (Information report), adopted on 27 April 2021.

Or. en

Amendment 305

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjärd

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 5

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(5) In order to ensure full attainment of the objectives of the Union legal framework on sustainable use of plant

deleted

protection products, it needs to be adapted by laying down clearer and directly applicable rules for operators. In addition, a number of rules should be clarified, including the rules on the application of integrated pest management, restrictions of use of plant protection products and the inspections of equipment used to apply plant protection products. It is therefore appropriate to repeal Directive 2009/128/EC and replace it with a regulation.

Or. en

Amendment 306

Martin Hojsík, Michal Wiezik, María Soraya Rodríguez Ramos, Róza Thun und Hohenstein, Frédérique Ries

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 5

Text proposed by the Commission

(5) In order to ensure full attainment of the objectives of the Union legal framework on sustainable use of plant protection products, it needs to be adapted by laying down clearer and directly applicable rules for operators. In addition, a number of rules should be clarified, including the rules on the application of integrated pest management, restrictions of use of plant protection products and the inspections of equipment used to apply plant protection products. It is therefore appropriate to repeal Directive 2009/128/EC and replace it with a regulation.

Amendment

(5) In order to ensure full attainment of the objectives of the Union legal framework on sustainable use of plant protection products, it needs to be adapted by laying down clearer and directly applicable rules for operators. In addition, a number of rules should be clarified, including the rules on the application of integrated pest management, restrictions of use of plant protection products and the inspections of equipment used to apply plant protection products. It is therefore appropriate to repeal Directive 2009/128/EC and replace it with a regulation. ***As the implementation of the principles of integrated pest management is obligatory since 2014 on the basis of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 and of Directive 2009/128/EC, Member States should describe in their National Action Plan how they ensure that the principles of integrated pest management hierarchy are observed by the professional users,***

including farmers and farm workers.

Or. en

Amendment 307

Sylvia Limmer

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 5

Text proposed by the Commission

(5) ***Damit die Ziele des EU-Rechtsrahmens für die*** nachhaltige Verwendung von Pflanzenschutzmitteln ***vollständig verwirklicht werden können, bedarf es einer Ergänzung dieses Rahmens um klare und direkt*** anwendbare Vorschriften für ***Anwender***. Außerdem sollten einige Vorschriften klarer gestaltet werden, z. B. die Vorschriften zur Anwendung des integrierten Pflanzenschutzes, zu den Einschränkungen für die Verwendung von Pflanzenschutzmitteln und zu den Inspektionen der Geräte, die zur Anwendung von Pflanzenschutzmitteln verwendet werden. Es ist daher zweckmäßig, die Richtlinie 2009/128/EG aufzuheben und durch eine Verordnung zu ersetzen.

Amendment

(5) ***Um eine*** nachhaltige Verwendung von Pflanzenschutzmitteln ***mit dem Ziel der Lebensmittelsicherheit zu erreichen, muss der Rechtsrahmen der Union angepasst werden, indem klarere und unmittelbar und leicht*** anwendbare Vorschriften für ***die Unternehmer festgelegt werden, ohne dass eine unangemessene oder unverhältnismäßige Belastung entsteht und ohne dass die Lebensmittelsicherheit gefährdet wird***. Außerdem sollten einige Vorschriften klarer gestaltet werden, z. B. die Vorschriften zur Anwendung des integrierten Pflanzenschutzes, zu den Einschränkungen für die Verwendung von Pflanzenschutzmitteln und zu den Inspektionen der Geräte, die zur Anwendung von Pflanzenschutzmitteln verwendet werden. Es ist daher zweckmäßig, die Richtlinie 2009/128/EG aufzuheben und durch eine Verordnung zu ersetzen.

Or. de

Amendment 308

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 5

Text proposed by the Commission

(5) In order to ensure full attainment of the objectives of the Union legal framework on sustainable use of plant protection products, it needs to be adapted by laying down clearer and directly applicable rules for operators. In addition, a number of rules should be clarified, including the rules on the application of integrated pest management, restrictions of use of **plant protection products** and the inspections of equipment used to apply **plant protection products**. It is therefore appropriate to repeal Directive 2009/128/EC and replace it with a regulation.

Amendment

(5) In order to ensure full attainment of the objectives of the Union legal framework on sustainable use of plant protection products, it needs to be adapted by laying down **additional**, clearer and directly applicable rules for operators, **wholesalers and retailers**. In addition, a number of rules should be **reinforced and further** clarified, including the rules on the **protection of rural residents and workers, on the** application of **agro-ecological strategies and** integrated pest management, restrictions of use of **pesticides** and the inspections of equipment used to apply **pesticides**. It is therefore appropriate to repeal Directive 2009/128/EC and replace it with a regulation.

Or. en

Amendment 309
Esther de Lange

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 5

Text proposed by the Commission

(5) In order to ensure full attainment of the objectives of the Union legal framework on sustainable use of plant protection products, it needs to be adapted by laying down clearer and directly applicable rules for operators. In addition, a number of rules should be clarified, including the rules on the application of integrated pest management, restrictions of use of plant protection products and the inspections of equipment used to apply plant protection products. It is therefore appropriate to repeal Directive 2009/128/EC and replace it with a regulation.

Amendment

(5) In order to ensure full attainment of the objectives of the Union legal framework on sustainable use of plant protection products, it needs to be adapted by laying down clearer and directly applicable rules for operators. In addition, a number of rules should be clarified, including the rules on the application of integrated pest management, restrictions of use of plant protection products and the inspections of equipment used to apply plant protection products. It is therefore appropriate to repeal Directive 2009/128/EC and replace it with a regulation, **while safeguarding the production of sufficient safe and**

Amendment 310

Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 5

Text proposed by the Commission

(5) In order to ensure full attainment of the objectives of the Union legal framework on sustainable use of plant protection products, it needs to be adapted by laying down clearer and directly applicable rules for operators. In addition, a number of rules should be clarified, including the rules on the application of integrated pest management, restrictions of use of plant protection products and the inspections of equipment used to apply plant protection products. It is therefore appropriate to repeal Directive 2009/128/EC and replace it with a regulation.

Amendment

(5) In order to ensure full attainment of the objectives of the Union legal framework on sustainable use of plant protection products, it needs to be adapted by laying down clearer and directly applicable rules for operators. In addition, a number of rules should be clarified **and strengthened**, including the rules on the application of integrated pest management **that should be reinforced with practical and measurable criteria**, restrictions of use of plant protection products and the inspections of equipment used to apply plant protection products. It is therefore appropriate to repeal Directive 2009/128/EC and replace it with a regulation.

Amendment 311

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjård

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 5 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(5 a) In the communication from the Commission to the European Council of 22 March 2023, it was expressed that the

Commission had too little data and could not make a clear impact assessment of the present proposal on food security in individual member states and impacts on individual crops.

Or. en

Amendment 312
Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 6

Text proposed by the Commission

(6) The rules concerning biocidal products are laid down in Regulation (EU) No 528/2012 of the European Parliament and of the Council⁴⁶, and an evaluation of that Regulation is planned. It is therefore not appropriate to introduce new rules on the use of biocidal products in this Regulation.

⁴⁶ Regulation (EU) No 528/2012 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 22 May 2012 concerning the making available on the market and use of biocidal products (OJ L 167, 27.6.2012, p. 1).

Amendment

(6) The rules concerning biocidal products are laid down in Regulation (EU) No 528/2012 of the European Parliament and of the Council⁴⁶, and an evaluation of that Regulation is planned. It is therefore not appropriate to introduce new rules on the use of biocidal products in this Regulation ***at this point in time. However, Directive 2009/128/EC envisaged extending its scope to include biocidal products. Consequently, a thorough assessment, whether the rules on the sustainable use of biocidal products as laid down in Regulation (EU) 528/2012 are fit for purpose or warrant further actions at the European level, is necessary.***

⁴⁶ Regulation (EU) No 528/2012 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 22 May 2012 concerning the making available on the market and use of biocidal products (OJ L 167, 27.6.2012, p. 1).

Or. en

Amendment 313
Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 6

Text proposed by the Commission

(6) The rules concerning biocidal products are laid down in Regulation (EU) No 528/2012 of the European Parliament and of the Council⁴⁶, and an evaluation of that Regulation is planned. It is therefore **not** appropriate to introduce new rules on the use of biocidal products in this Regulation.

⁴⁶ Regulation (EU) No 528/2012 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 22 May 2012 concerning the making available on the market and use of biocidal products (OJ L 167, 27.6.2012, p. 1).

Amendment

(6) The rules concerning biocidal products are laid down in Regulation (EU) No 528/2012 of the European Parliament and of the Council⁴⁶, and an evaluation of that Regulation is planned **and shall be concluded as soon as possible**. It is therefore appropriate to introduce new rules on the use of biocidal products **to bring them in line with the rules laid down** in this Regulation **in a forthcoming legislative proposal**.

⁴⁶ Regulation (EU) No 528/2012 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 22 May 2012 concerning the making available on the market and use of biocidal products (OJ L 167, 27.6.2012, p. 1).

Or. en

Amendment 314

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjärd

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 6

Text proposed by the Commission

(6) The rules concerning biocidal products are laid down in Regulation (EU) No 528/2012 of the European Parliament and of the Council⁴⁶, and an evaluation of that Regulation is planned. It is **therefore not appropriate to introduce new rules on the use of biocidal products in this** Regulation.

Amendment

(6) The rules concerning biocidal products are laid down in Regulation (EU) No 528/2012 of the European Parliament and of the Council⁴⁶, and an evaluation of that Regulation is planned. **In order to achieve the goals, it is necessary that the regulation mentioned should be revised, especially with regard to the approval period and test mechanism.**

⁴⁶ Regulation (EU) No 528/2012 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 22 May 2012 concerning the making available on the market and use of biocidal products (OJ L 167, 27.6.2012, p. 1).

⁴⁶ Regulation (EU) No 528/2012 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 22 May 2012 concerning the making available on the market and use of biocidal products (OJ L 167, 27.6.2012, p. 1).

Or. en

Amendment 315
Sirpa Pietikäinen

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 6 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(6 a) Given the requirements of the Treaty to ensure a high level of protection of human health and of the environment, the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union and its objectives of a high level of environmental protection and the fundamental right to working conditions that respect workers' health, safety and dignity, the primary objectives of the Farm to Fork strategy among which the reduction of the negative impacts on public health and the EU strategic framework of health and safety at work 2021-2027, a reduction of pesticide use is needed to protect the health of citizens, including farmers, bystanders and inhabitants of agricultural areas. Scientific studies underline the adverse impacts of pesticide exposure on human health. Farmers, workers, operators, bystanders and inhabitants of agricultural areas are particularly exposed to pesticides. Pesticides have been shown to drift, leading to contamination and exposure at farm distances from the location of application. Links have been shown between exposure to pesticides and elevated rates of chronic diseases as well as different forms of cancers,

neurodegenerative disorders, diabetes and ALS. Many pesticides have endocrine disrupting characteristics. There is also evidence for the association of exposure to pesticides with respiratory problems, cardiovascular disease, autoimmune diseases, chronic kidney disease, aging and chronic fatigue syndrome. The exposure to certain pesticide groups, for example organophosphate pesticides, is associated with increased risk for cognitive and behavioral deficits and neurodevelopmental disorders in children. Studies have pointed at the associations between the exposure to pesticides and altered menstrual cycles, negative impacts on the reproductive systems and fertility disorders. Links have also been shown between premature or spontaneous abortions, and associations between maternal exposure and neurodevelopmental alterations in newborns. Exposure to pesticides has also been linked to intergenerational impacts, highlighting the need to act to reduce exposure now for the health of future generations. The body of evidence underlines that the full health impact of pesticides may be highly underestimated, which requires a precautionary and preventative approach. Pesticides also have cumulative and synergistic impacts given the effects of long-term exposure, mixtures and co-formulants.

Or. en

Amendment 316
Sarah Wiener

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 6 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(6 a) A reduction in the use and risk of chemical pesticides is in line with the

objective of the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union to achieve a high level of environmental protection and the fundamental right to working conditions that respect workers' health, safety and dignity, and the EU strategic framework of health and safety at work 2021-2027, because it would protect the health of citizens, including farmers, bystanders and inhabitants of agricultural areas;

Or. en

Amendment 317
Sylvia Limmer

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 6 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(6 a) Da es keinen Unterschied zwischen "chemischen" und "biologischen" Pestiziden gibt, wird diese Unterscheidung hier und für alle relevanten Textpassagen in der Verordnung aufgehoben.

Or. de

Amendment 318
Sarah Wiener

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 6 b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(6 b) The body of scientific evidence underlines that the full impact on human health of pesticides may be highly underestimated, thus requiring a precautionary and preventative approach. While scientific studies have underlined

the adverse impacts of pesticide exposure on human health and inter alia demonstrated links between exposure to pesticides and elevated rates of chronic diseases, different forms of cancers, neurodegenerative disorders (Parkinson's disease, Alzheimer's disease), diabetes and ALS as well as other effects detrimental to health such as endocrine disruption, pesticides also have cumulative and synergistic impacts given the effects of long-term exposure, mixtures and co-formulants.

Or. en

Amendment 319

Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Peter Lundgren, Hermann Tertsch, Margarita de la Pisa Carrión, Mazaly Aguilar, Evžen Tošenovský, Sergio Berlato, Jorge Buxadé Villalba, Eugen Jurzyca, Pietro Fiocchi, Charlie Weimers, Alexander Bernhuber, Johan Nissinen

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 7

Text proposed by the Commission

(7) The Commission Communication entitled ‘the European Green Deal’⁴⁷ set out a roadmap of key measures, including legislative, to significantly reduce the use and risk of chemical pesticides. In the Farm to Fork Strategy⁴⁸, EU Biodiversity Strategy for 2030⁴⁹ and the Zero Pollution Action Plan⁵⁰, the Commission committed to take action to reduce by 50% the overall use and risk from chemical pesticides by 2030 and reduce by 50% the use of more hazardous pesticides (plant protection products containing one or more active substances approved as candidates for substitution in accordance with Article 24 of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council⁵¹ and listed in Part E of the Annex to Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) No 540/2011⁵², or containing one or

Amendment

(7) The Commission Communication entitled ‘the European Green Deal’⁴⁷ set out a roadmap of key measures, including legislative, to significantly reduce the use and risk of chemical pesticides. In the Farm to Fork Strategy⁴⁸, EU Biodiversity Strategy for 2030⁴⁹ and the Zero Pollution Action Plan⁵⁰, the Commission committed to take action to reduce by 50% the overall use and risk from chemical pesticides by 2030 and reduce by 50% the use of more hazardous pesticides (plant protection products containing one or more active substances approved as candidates for substitution in accordance with Article 24 of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council⁵¹ and listed in Part E of the Annex to Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) No 540/2011⁵², or containing one or

more active substances listed in the Annex to Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) 2015/408⁵³) by 2030. The sustainable use of plant protection products is also complementary to the promotion of organic farming and achieving the Farm to Fork Strategy target of at least 25% of the Union's agricultural land under organic farming by 2030. It supports the objectives of the EU strategic framework on health and safety at work⁵⁴ and thereby contributes to the implementation of principle 10 of the European Pillar of Social Rights on a healthy, safe and well-adapted work environment.

more active substances listed in the Annex to Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) 2015/408⁵³) by 2030. The sustainable use of plant protection products is also complementary to the promotion of organic farming and achieving the Farm to Fork Strategy target of at least 25% of the Union's agricultural land under organic farming by 2030. It supports the objectives of the EU strategic framework on health and safety at work⁵⁴ and thereby contributes to the implementation of principle 10 of the European Pillar of Social Rights on a healthy, safe and well-adapted work environment. ***As plant breeding and seed production contribute to the overall reduction targets, through the marketing of resistant varieties and the supply of healthy seeds to the market, the above mentioned activities are exempt from the overall reduction targets.***

⁴⁷ Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the European Council, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions The European Green Deal COM/2019/640 final.

⁴⁸ Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions A Farm to Fork Strategy for a fair, healthy and environmentally-friendly food system, COM/2020/381 final.

⁴⁹ Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions, EU Biodiversity Strategy for 2030 Bringing nature back into our lives, COM/2020/380 final.

⁵⁰ Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions, Pathway to a Healthy Planet for All EU

⁴⁷ Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the European Council, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions The European Green Deal COM/2019/640 final.

⁴⁸ Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions A Farm to Fork Strategy for a fair, healthy and environmentally-friendly food system, COM/2020/381 final.

⁴⁹ Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions, EU Biodiversity Strategy for 2030 Bringing nature back into our lives, COM/2020/380 final.

⁵⁰ Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions, Pathway to a Healthy Planet for All EU

Action Plan: 'Towards Zero Pollution for Air, Water and Soil', COM(2021) 400 final.

⁵¹ Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 21 October 2009 concerning the placing of plant protection products on the market and repealing Council Directives 79/117/EEC and 91/414/EEC (OJ L 309, 24.11.2009, p. 1).

⁵² Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) No 540/2011 of 25 May 2011 implementing Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council as regards the list of approved active substances (OJ L 153, 11.6.2011, p. 1).

⁵³ Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) 2015/408 of 11 March 2015 on implementing Article 80(7) of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council concerning the placing of plant protection products on the market and establishing a list of candidates for substitution (OJ L 67, 12.3.2015, p. 18).

⁵⁴ Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions, EU strategic framework on health and safety at work 2021-2027 Occupational safety and health in a changing world of work, COM/2021/323 final.

Action Plan: 'Towards Zero Pollution for Air, Water and Soil', COM(2021) 400 final.

⁵¹ Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 21 October 2009 concerning the placing of plant protection products on the market and repealing Council Directives 79/117/EEC and 91/414/EEC (OJ L 309, 24.11.2009, p. 1).

⁵² Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) No 540/2011 of 25 May 2011 implementing Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council as regards the list of approved active substances (OJ L 153, 11.6.2011, p. 1).

⁵³ Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) 2015/408 of 11 March 2015 on implementing Article 80(7) of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council concerning the placing of plant protection products on the market and establishing a list of candidates for substitution (OJ L 67, 12.3.2015, p. 18).

⁵⁴ Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions, EU strategic framework on health and safety at work 2021-2027 Occupational safety and health in a changing world of work, COM/2021/323 final.

Or. en

Amendment 320

Dan-Ștefan Motreanu, Carmen Avram, Marian-Jean Marinescu, Daniel Buda

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 7

(7) The Commission Communication entitled ‘the European Green Deal’⁴⁷ set out a roadmap of key measures, including legislative, to significantly reduce the use and risk of chemical pesticides. In the Farm to Fork Strategy⁴⁸, EU Biodiversity Strategy for 2030⁴⁹ and the Zero Pollution Action Plan⁵⁰, the Commission committed to take action to reduce by 50% the overall use and risk from chemical pesticides **by 2030** and reduce by 50% the use of more hazardous pesticides (plant protection products containing one or more active substances approved as candidates for substitution in accordance with Article 24 of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council⁵¹ and listed in Part E of the Annex to Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) No 540/2011⁵², or containing one or more active substances listed in the Annex to Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) 2015/408⁵³) **by 2030**. The sustainable use of plant protection products is also complementary to the promotion of organic farming and achieving the Farm to Fork Strategy target of at least 25% of the Union’s agricultural land under organic farming by 2030. It supports the objectives of the EU strategic framework on health and safety at work⁵⁴ and thereby contributes to the implementation of principle 10 of the European Pillar of Social Rights on a healthy, safe and well-adapted work environment.

(7) The Commission Communication entitled ‘the European Green Deal’⁴⁷ set out a roadmap of key measures, including legislative, to significantly reduce the use and risk of chemical pesticides. In the Farm to Fork Strategy⁴⁸, EU Biodiversity Strategy for 2030⁴⁹ and the Zero Pollution Action Plan⁵⁰, the Commission committed to take action to reduce by 50% the overall use and risk from chemical pesticides and reduce by 50% the use of more hazardous pesticides (plant protection products containing one or more active substances approved as candidates for substitution in accordance with Article 24 of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council⁵¹ and listed in Part E of the Annex to Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) No 540/2011⁵², or containing one or more active substances listed in the Annex to Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) 2015/408⁵³). The sustainable use of plant protection products is also complementary to the promotion of organic farming and achieving the Farm to Fork Strategy target of at least 25% of the Union’s agricultural land under organic farming by 2030. It supports the objectives of the EU strategic framework on health and safety at work⁵⁴ and thereby contributes to the implementation of principle 10 of the European Pillar of Social Rights on a healthy, safe and well-adapted work environment. ***As plant breeding and seed production contribute to the overall reduction targets, through the marketing of resistant varieties and the supply of healthy seeds to the market, the above mentioned activities are exempt from the overall reduction targets.***

⁴⁷ Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the European Council, the Council, the European

⁴⁷ Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the European Council, the Council, the European

Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions The European Green Deal COM/2019/640 final.

⁴⁸ Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions A Farm to Fork Strategy for a fair, healthy and environmentally-friendly food system, COM/2020/381 final.

⁴⁹ Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions, EU Biodiversity Strategy for 2030 Bringing nature back into our lives, COM/2020/380 final.

⁵⁰ Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions, Pathway to a Healthy Planet for All EU Action Plan: 'Towards Zero Pollution for Air, Water and Soil', COM(2021) 400 final.

⁵¹ Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 21 October 2009 concerning the placing of plant protection products on the market and repealing Council Directives 79/117/EEC and 91/414/EEC (OJ L 309, 24.11.2009, p. 1).

⁵² Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) No 540/2011 of 25 May 2011 implementing Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council as regards the list of approved active substances (OJ L 153, 11.6.2011, p. 1).

⁵³ Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) 2015/408 of 11 March 2015 on implementing Article 80(7) of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council concerning the placing of plant protection products on the market and establishing a list of

Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions The European Green Deal COM/2019/640 final.

⁴⁸ Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions A Farm to Fork Strategy for a fair, healthy and environmentally-friendly food system, COM/2020/381 final.

⁴⁹ Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions, EU Biodiversity Strategy for 2030 Bringing nature back into our lives, COM/2020/380 final.

⁵⁰ Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions, Pathway to a Healthy Planet for All EU Action Plan: 'Towards Zero Pollution for Air, Water and Soil', COM(2021) 400 final.

⁵¹ Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 21 October 2009 concerning the placing of plant protection products on the market and repealing Council Directives 79/117/EEC and 91/414/EEC (OJ L 309, 24.11.2009, p. 1).

⁵² Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) No 540/2011 of 25 May 2011 implementing Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council as regards the list of approved active substances (OJ L 153, 11.6.2011, p. 1).

⁵³ Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) 2015/408 of 11 March 2015 on implementing Article 80(7) of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council concerning the placing of plant protection products on the market and establishing a list of

candidates for substitution (OJ L 67, 12.3.2015, p. 18).

⁵⁴ Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions, EU strategic framework on health and safety at work 2021-2027 Occupational safety and health in a changing world of work, COM/2021/323 final.

candidates for substitution (OJ L 67, 12.3.2015, p. 18).

⁵⁴ Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions, EU strategic framework on health and safety at work 2021-2027 Occupational safety and health in a changing world of work, COM/2021/323 final.

Or. en

Amendment 321

Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław Kalinowski, Joanna Kopcińska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 7

Text proposed by the Commission

(7) The Commission Communication entitled ‘the European Green Deal’⁴⁷ set out a roadmap of key measures, including legislative, to significantly reduce the use and risk of chemical pesticides. In the Farm to Fork Strategy⁴⁸, EU Biodiversity Strategy for 2030⁴⁹ and the Zero Pollution Action Plan⁵⁰, the Commission committed to take action to reduce by 50% the overall use and risk from chemical pesticides by 2030 and reduce by 50% the use of more hazardous pesticides (plant protection products containing one or more active substances approved as candidates for substitution in accordance with Article 24 of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council⁵¹ and listed in Part E of the Annex to Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) No 540/2011⁵², or containing one or more active substances listed in the Annex to Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) 2015/408⁵³) by 2030. ***The sustainable use of plant protection***

Amendment

(7) The Commission Communication entitled ‘the European Green Deal’⁴⁷ set out a roadmap of key measures, including legislative, to significantly reduce the use and risk of chemical pesticides. In the Farm to Fork Strategy⁴⁸, EU Biodiversity Strategy for 2030⁴⁹ and the Zero Pollution Action Plan⁵⁰, the Commission committed to take action to reduce by 50% the overall use and risk from chemical pesticides by 2030 and reduce by 50% the use of more hazardous pesticides (plant protection products containing one or more active substances approved as candidates for substitution in accordance with Article 24 of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council⁵¹ and listed in Part E of the Annex to Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) No 540/2011⁵², or containing one or more active substances listed in the Annex to Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) 2015/408⁵³) by 2030. It supports the objectives of the EU strategic framework

products is also complementary to the promotion of organic farming and achieving the Farm to Fork Strategy target of at least 25% of the Union's agricultural land under organic farming by 2030. It supports the objectives of the EU strategic framework on health and safety at work⁵⁴ and thereby contributes to the implementation of principle 10 of the European Pillar of Social Rights on a healthy, safe and well-adapted work environment.

⁴⁷ Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the European Council, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions The European Green Deal COM/2019/640 final.

⁴⁸ Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions A Farm to Fork Strategy for a fair, healthy and environmentally-friendly food system, COM/2020/381 final.

⁴⁹ Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions, EU Biodiversity Strategy for 2030 Bringing nature back into our lives, COM/2020/380 final.

⁵⁰ Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions, Pathway to a Healthy Planet for All EU Action Plan: 'Towards Zero Pollution for Air, Water and Soil', COM(2021) 400 final.

⁵¹ Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 21 October 2009 concerning the placing of plant protection products on the market and repealing Council Directives 79/117/EEC

on health and safety at work⁵⁴ and thereby contributes to the implementation of principle 10 of the European Pillar of Social Rights on a healthy, safe and well-adapted work environment.

⁴⁷ Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the European Council, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions The European Green Deal COM/2019/640 final.

⁴⁸ Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions A Farm to Fork Strategy for a fair, healthy and environmentally-friendly food system, COM/2020/381 final.

⁴⁹ Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions, EU Biodiversity Strategy for 2030 Bringing nature back into our lives, COM/2020/380 final.

⁵⁰ Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions, Pathway to a Healthy Planet for All EU Action Plan: 'Towards Zero Pollution for Air, Water and Soil', COM(2021) 400 final.

⁵¹ Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 21 October 2009 concerning the placing of plant protection products on the market and repealing Council Directives 79/117/EEC

and 91/414/EEC (OJ L 309, 24.11.2009, p. 1).

⁵² Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) No 540/2011 of 25 May 2011 implementing Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council as regards the list of approved active substances (OJ L 153, 11.6.2011, p. 1).

⁵³ Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) 2015/408 of 11 March 2015 on implementing Article 80(7) of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council concerning the placing of plant protection products on the market and establishing a list of candidates for substitution (OJ L 67, 12.3.2015, p. 18).

⁵⁴ Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions, EU strategic framework on health and safety at work 2021-2027 Occupational safety and health in a changing world of work, COM/2021/323 final.

and 91/414/EEC (OJ L 309, 24.11.2009, p. 1).

⁵² Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) No 540/2011 of 25 May 2011 implementing Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council as regards the list of approved active substances (OJ L 153, 11.6.2011, p. 1).

⁵³ Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) 2015/408 of 11 March 2015 on implementing Article 80(7) of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council concerning the placing of plant protection products on the market and establishing a list of candidates for substitution (OJ L 67, 12.3.2015, p. 18).

⁵⁴ Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions, EU strategic framework on health and safety at work 2021-2027 Occupational safety and health in a changing world of work, COM/2021/323 final.

Or. en

Justification

The targets for agricultural land under organic farming are beyond the scope of this Regulation.

Amendment 322

Edina Tóth

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 7

Text proposed by the Commission

(7) The Commission Communication entitled ‘the European Green Deal’⁴⁷ set out a roadmap of key measures, including legislative, to significantly reduce the use

Amendment

(7) The Commission Communication entitled ‘the European Green Deal’⁴⁷ set out a roadmap of key measures, including legislative, to significantly reduce the use

and risk of chemical pesticides. In the Farm to Fork Strategy⁴⁸, EU Biodiversity Strategy for 2030⁴⁹ and the Zero Pollution Action Plan⁵⁰, the Commission committed to take action to reduce by 50% the overall use and risk from chemical pesticides by 2030 and reduce by 50% the use of more hazardous pesticides (plant protection products containing one or more active substances approved as candidates for substitution in accordance with Article 24 of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council⁵¹ and listed in Part E of the Annex to Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) No 540/2011⁵², or containing one or more active substances listed in the Annex to Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) 2015/408⁵³) by 2030. ***The sustainable use of plant protection products is also complementary to the promotion of organic farming and achieving the Farm to Fork Strategy target of at least 25% of the Union's agricultural land under organic farming by 2030.*** It supports the objectives of the EU strategic framework on health and safety at work⁵⁴ and thereby contributes to the implementation of principle 10 of the European Pillar of Social Rights on a healthy, safe and well-adapted work environment.

⁴⁷ Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the European Council, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions The European Green Deal COM/2019/640 final.

⁴⁸ Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions A Farm to Fork Strategy for a fair, healthy and environmentally-friendly food system, COM/2020/381 final.

⁴⁹ Communication from the Commission to

and risk of chemical pesticides. In the Farm to Fork Strategy⁴⁸, EU Biodiversity Strategy for 2030⁴⁹ and the Zero Pollution Action Plan⁵⁰, the Commission committed to take action to reduce by 50% the overall use and risk from chemical pesticides by 2030 and reduce by 50% the use of more hazardous pesticides (plant protection products containing one or more active substances approved as candidates for substitution in accordance with Article 24 of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council⁵¹ and listed in Part E of the Annex to Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) No 540/2011⁵², or containing one or more active substances listed in the Annex to Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) 2015/408⁵³) by 2030. It supports the objectives of the EU strategic framework on health and safety at work⁵⁴ and thereby contributes to the implementation of principle 10 of the European Pillar of Social Rights on a healthy, safe and well-adapted work environment.

⁴⁷ Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the European Council, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions The European Green Deal COM/2019/640 final.

⁴⁸ Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions A Farm to Fork Strategy for a fair, healthy and environmentally-friendly food system, COM/2020/381 final.

⁴⁹ Communication from the Commission to

the European Parliament the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions, EU Biodiversity Strategy for 2030 Bringing nature back into our lives, COM/2020/380 final.

⁵⁰ Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions, Pathway to a Healthy Planet for All EU Action Plan: 'Towards Zero Pollution for Air, Water and Soil', COM(2021) 400 final.

⁵¹ Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 21 October 2009 concerning the placing of plant protection products on the market and repealing Council Directives 79/117/EEC and 91/414/EEC (OJ L 309, 24.11.2009, p. 1).

⁵² Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) No 540/2011 of 25 May 2011 implementing Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council as regards the list of approved active substances (OJ L 153, 11.6.2011, p. 1).

⁵³ Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) 2015/408 of 11 March 2015 on implementing Article 80(7) of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council concerning the placing of plant protection products on the market and establishing a list of candidates for substitution (OJ L 67, 12.3.2015, p. 18).

⁵⁴ Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions, EU strategic framework on health and safety at work 2021-2027 Occupational safety and health in a changing world of work, COM/2021/323 final.

the European Parliament the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions, EU Biodiversity Strategy for 2030 Bringing nature back into our lives, COM/2020/380 final.

⁵⁰ Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions, Pathway to a Healthy Planet for All EU Action Plan: 'Towards Zero Pollution for Air, Water and Soil', COM(2021) 400 final.

⁵¹ Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 21 October 2009 concerning the placing of plant protection products on the market and repealing Council Directives 79/117/EEC and 91/414/EEC (OJ L 309, 24.11.2009, p. 1).

⁵² Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) No 540/2011 of 25 May 2011 implementing Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council as regards the list of approved active substances (OJ L 153, 11.6.2011, p. 1).

⁵³ Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) 2015/408 of 11 March 2015 on implementing Article 80(7) of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council concerning the placing of plant protection products on the market and establishing a list of candidates for substitution (OJ L 67, 12.3.2015, p. 18).

⁵⁴ Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions, EU strategic framework on health and safety at work 2021-2027 Occupational safety and health in a changing world of work, COM/2021/323 final.

Amendment 323**Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Mick Wallace****Proposal for a regulation****Recital 7***Text proposed by the Commission*

(7) The Commission Communication entitled ‘the European Green Deal’⁴⁷ set out a roadmap of key measures, including legislative, to significantly reduce the use and risk of chemical pesticides. In the Farm to Fork Strategy⁴⁸, EU Biodiversity Strategy for 2030⁴⁹ and the Zero Pollution Action Plan⁵⁰, the Commission committed to take action to reduce by 50% the overall use and risk from chemical pesticides by 2030 and reduce by 50% the use of more hazardous pesticides (**plant protection products** containing one or more active substances approved as candidates for substitution in accordance with Article 24 of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council⁵¹ and listed in Part E of the Annex to Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) No 540/2011⁵², or containing one or more active substances listed in the Annex to Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) 2015/408⁵³) by 2030. The **sustainable** use of **plant protection products** is also complementary to the promotion of organic farming and achieving the Farm to Fork Strategy target of at least 25% of the Union’s agricultural land under organic farming by 2030. It supports the objectives of the EU strategic framework on health and safety at work⁵⁴ and thereby contributes to the implementation of principle 10 of the European Pillar of Social Rights on a healthy, safe and well-adapted work environment.

Amendment

(7) The Commission Communication entitled ‘the European Green Deal’⁴⁷ set out a roadmap of key measures, including legislative, to significantly reduce the use and risk of chemical pesticides. In the Farm to Fork Strategy⁴⁸, EU Biodiversity Strategy for 2030⁴⁹ and the Zero Pollution Action Plan⁵⁰, the Commission committed to take action to reduce by 50% the overall use and risk from chemical pesticides by 2030 and reduce by 50% the use of more hazardous pesticides (**pesticides** containing one or more active substances approved as candidates for substitution in accordance with Article 24 of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council⁵¹ and listed in Part E of the Annex to Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) No 540/2011⁵², or containing one or more active substances listed in the Annex to Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) 2015/408⁵³) by 2030. The **swift reduction and phase-out of the** use of **pesticides** is also complementary to the promotion of organic farming and achieving the Farm to Fork Strategy target of at least 25% of the Union’s agricultural land under organic farming by 2030. It supports the objectives of the EU strategic framework on health and safety at work⁵⁴ and thereby contributes to the implementation of principle 10 of the European Pillar of Social Rights on a healthy, safe and well-adapted work environment. **The Commission Communication on the Chemical Strategy for Sustainability**^{1a}

included the commitment to ensure that hazardous chemicals banned in the European Union are not produced for export.

^{1a} Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the European Council, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions Chemicals Strategy for Sustainability, Towards a Toxic-Free Environment COM/2020/667 final

⁴⁷ Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the European Council, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions The European Green Deal COM/2019/640 final.

⁴⁸ Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions A Farm to Fork Strategy for a fair, healthy and environmentally-friendly food system, COM/2020/381 final.

⁴⁹ Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions, EU Biodiversity Strategy for 2030 Bringing nature back into our lives, COM/2020/380 final.

⁵⁰ Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions, Pathway to a Healthy Planet for All EU Action Plan: 'Towards Zero Pollution for Air, Water and Soil', COM(2021) 400 final.

⁵¹ Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 21 October 2009 concerning the placing of plant protection products on the market and repealing Council Directives 79/117/EEC

⁴⁷ Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the European Council, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions The European Green Deal COM/2019/640 final.

⁴⁸ Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions A Farm to Fork Strategy for a fair, healthy and environmentally-friendly food system, COM/2020/381 final.

⁴⁹ Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions, EU Biodiversity Strategy for 2030 Bringing nature back into our lives, COM/2020/380 final.

⁵⁰ Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions, Pathway to a Healthy Planet for All EU Action Plan: 'Towards Zero Pollution for Air, Water and Soil', COM(2021) 400 final.

⁵¹ Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 21 October 2009 concerning the placing of plant protection products on the market and repealing Council Directives 79/117/EEC

and 91/414/EEC (OJ L 309, 24.11.2009, p. 1).

⁵² Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) No 540/2011 of 25 May 2011 implementing Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council as regards the list of approved active substances (OJ L 153, 11.6.2011, p. 1).

⁵³ Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) 2015/408 of 11 March 2015 on implementing Article 80(7) of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council concerning the placing of plant protection products on the market and establishing a list of candidates for substitution (OJ L 67, 12.3.2015, p. 18).

⁵⁴ Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions, EU strategic framework on health and safety at work 2021-2027 Occupational safety and health in a changing world of work, COM/2021/323 final.

and 91/414/EEC (OJ L 309, 24.11.2009, p. 1).

⁵² Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) No 540/2011 of 25 May 2011 implementing Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council as regards the list of approved active substances (OJ L 153, 11.6.2011, p. 1).

⁵³ Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) 2015/408 of 11 March 2015 on implementing Article 80(7) of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council concerning the placing of plant protection products on the market and establishing a list of candidates for substitution (OJ L 67, 12.3.2015, p. 18).

⁵⁴ Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions, EU strategic framework on health and safety at work 2021-2027 Occupational safety and health in a changing world of work, COM/2021/323 final.

Or. en

Amendment 324

Frédérique Ries

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 7

Text proposed by the Commission

(7) The Commission Communication entitled ‘the European Green Deal’⁴⁷ set out a roadmap of key measures, including legislative, to significantly reduce the use and risk of chemical pesticides. In the Farm to Fork Strategy⁴⁸, EU Biodiversity Strategy for 2030⁴⁹ and the Zero Pollution Action Plan⁵⁰, the Commission committed

Amendment

(7) The Commission Communication entitled ‘the European Green Deal’⁴⁷ set out a roadmap of key measures, including legislative, to significantly reduce the use and risk of chemical pesticides. In the Farm to Fork Strategy⁴⁸, EU Biodiversity Strategy for 2030⁴⁹ and the Zero Pollution Action Plan⁵⁰, the Commission committed

to take action to reduce by 50% the overall use and risk from chemical pesticides by 2030 and reduce by 50% the use of more hazardous pesticides (plant protection products containing one or more active substances approved as candidates for substitution in accordance with Article 24 of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council⁵¹ and listed in Part E of the Annex to Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) No 540/2011⁵² , or containing one or more active substances listed in the Annex to Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) 2015/408⁵³) by 2030. The sustainable use of plant protection products is also complementary to the promotion of organic farming and achieving the Farm to Fork Strategy target of at least 25% of the Union's agricultural land under organic farming by 2030. It supports the objectives of the EU strategic framework on health and safety at work⁵⁴ and thereby contributes to the implementation of principle 10 of the European Pillar of Social Rights on a healthy, safe and well-adapted work environment.

⁴⁷ Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the European Council, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions The European Green Deal COM/2019/640 final.

⁴⁸ Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions A Farm to Fork Strategy for a fair, healthy and environmentally-friendly food system, COM/2020/381 final.

⁴⁹ Communication from the Commission to

to take action to reduce by 50% the overall use and risk from chemical pesticides by 2030 and reduce by 50% the use of more hazardous pesticides (plant protection products containing one or more active substances approved as candidates for substitution in accordance with Article 24 of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council⁵¹ and listed in Part E of the Annex to Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) No 540/2011⁵² , or containing one or more active substances listed in the Annex to Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) 2015/408⁵³) by 2030. The sustainable use of plant protection products is also complementary to the promotion of organic farming and achieving the Farm to Fork Strategy target of at least 25% of the Union's agricultural land under organic farming by 2030. It supports the objectives of the EU strategic framework on health and safety at work⁵⁴ and thereby contributes to the implementation of principle 10 of the European Pillar of Social Rights on a healthy, safe and well-adapted work environment. ***The EU's Beating Cancer Plan underlines the importance of reducing exposure to carcinogenic substances, as an approach which can significantly contribute to cancer prevention.***

⁴⁷ Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the European Council, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions The European Green Deal COM/2019/640 final.

⁴⁸ Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions A Farm to Fork Strategy for a fair, healthy and environmentally-friendly food system, COM/2020/381 final.

⁴⁹ Communication from the Commission to

the European Parliament the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions, EU Biodiversity Strategy for 2030 Bringing nature back into our lives, COM/2020/380 final.

⁵⁰ Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions, Pathway to a Healthy Planet for All EU Action Plan: 'Towards Zero Pollution for Air, Water and Soil', COM(2021) 400 final.

⁵¹ Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 21 October 2009 concerning the placing of plant protection products on the market and repealing Council Directives 79/117/EEC and 91/414/EEC (OJ L 309, 24.11.2009, p. 1).

⁵² Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) No 540/2011 of 25 May 2011 implementing Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council as regards the list of approved active substances (OJ L 153, 11.6.2011, p. 1).

⁵³ Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) 2015/408 of 11 March 2015 on implementing Article 80(7) of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council concerning the placing of plant protection products on the market and establishing a list of candidates for substitution (OJ L 67, 12.3.2015, p. 18).

⁵⁴ Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions, EU strategic framework on health and safety at work 2021-2027 Occupational safety and health in a changing world of work, COM/2021/323 final.

the European Parliament the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions, EU Biodiversity Strategy for 2030 Bringing nature back into our lives, COM/2020/380 final.

⁵⁰ Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions, Pathway to a Healthy Planet for All EU Action Plan: 'Towards Zero Pollution for Air, Water and Soil', COM(2021) 400 final.

⁵¹ Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 21 October 2009 concerning the placing of plant protection products on the market and repealing Council Directives 79/117/EEC and 91/414/EEC (OJ L 309, 24.11.2009, p. 1).

⁵² Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) No 540/2011 of 25 May 2011 implementing Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council as regards the list of approved active substances (OJ L 153, 11.6.2011, p. 1).

⁵³ Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) 2015/408 of 11 March 2015 on implementing Article 80(7) of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council concerning the placing of plant protection products on the market and establishing a list of candidates for substitution (OJ L 67, 12.3.2015, p. 18).

⁵⁴ Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions, EU strategic framework on health and safety at work 2021-2027 Occupational safety and health in a changing world of work, COM/2021/323 final.

Justification

Pesticides exposure can affect the immune, respiratory, endocrine, reproductive systems, thereby increasing the risk to develop cancer. Europe's Beating Cancer Plan emphasizes the need to reduce environmental pollution and should therefore be explicitly mentioned here.

Amendment 325
Mathilde Androuët

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 7

Text proposed by the Commission

(7) La communication de la Commission intitulée «Le pacte vert pour l'Europe»⁴⁷ présente une feuille de route exposant des mesures clés, y compris législatives, visant à réduire de manière significative l'utilisation des pesticides chimiques et les risques qui y sont associés. Dans la stratégie «De la ferme à la table»⁴⁸, la stratégie de l'UE en faveur de la biodiversité à l'horizon 2030⁴⁹ et le plan d'action «zéro pollution»⁵⁰, la Commission s'est engagée à prendre des mesures pour réduire de 50 % l'utilisation des pesticides chimiques en général et les risques qui y sont associés d'ici à 2030 et pour réduire de 50 % l'utilisation des pesticides plus dangereux [produits phytopharmaceutiques contenant une ou plusieurs substances actives approuvées comme substances dont la substitution est envisagée conformément à l'article 24 du règlement (CE) n° 1107/2009 du Parlement européen et du Conseil⁵¹ et inscrites dans la partie E de l'annexe du règlement d'exécution (UE) n° 540/2011 de la Commission⁵², ou contenant une ou plusieurs substances actives inscrites dans l'annexe du règlement d'exécution (UE) 2015/408 de la Commission⁵³] d'ici à 2030. Une utilisation des produits phytopharmaceutiques compatible avec le

Amendment

(7) La communication de la Commission intitulée «Le pacte vert pour l'Europe»⁴⁷ présente une feuille de route exposant des mesures clés, y compris législatives, visant à réduire de manière significative l'utilisation des pesticides chimiques et les risques qui y sont associés. Dans la stratégie «De la ferme à la table»⁴⁸, la stratégie de l'UE en faveur de la biodiversité à l'horizon 2030⁴⁹ et le plan d'action «zéro pollution»⁵⁰, la Commission s'est engagée à prendre des mesures pour réduire de 50 % l'utilisation des pesticides chimiques en général et les risques qui y sont associés d'ici à 2030 et pour réduire de 50 % l'utilisation des pesticides plus dangereux [produits phytopharmaceutiques contenant une ou plusieurs substances actives approuvées comme substances dont la substitution est envisagée conformément à l'article 24 du règlement (CE) n° 1107/2009 du Parlement européen et du Conseil⁵¹ et inscrites dans la partie E de l'annexe du règlement d'exécution (UE) n° 540/2011 de la Commission⁵², ou contenant une ou plusieurs substances actives inscrites dans l'annexe du règlement d'exécution (UE) 2015/408 de la Commission⁵³] d'ici à 2030. Une utilisation **respectueuse de l'environnement** des produits

développement durable est également complémentaire de la promotion de l'agriculture biologique et de la réalisation de l'objectif de la stratégie «De la ferme à la table» consistant à affecter au moins 25 % des terres agricoles de l'Union à l'agriculture biologique d'ici à 2030. Elle concourt aux objectifs du cadre stratégique de l'UE en matière de santé et de sécurité au travail⁵⁴ et contribue ainsi à la mise en œuvre du principe 10 du socle européen des droits sociaux relatif à un environnement de travail sain, sûr et adapté.

⁴⁷ Communication de la Commission au Parlement européen, au Conseil européen, au Conseil, au Comité économique et social européen et au Comité des régions intitulée «Le pacte vert pour l'Europe», COM(2019) 640 final.

⁴⁸ Communication de la Commission au Parlement européen, au Conseil, au Comité économique et social européen et au Comité des régions intitulée «Une stratégie “De la ferme à la table” pour un système alimentaire équitable, sain et respectueux de l'environnement», COM(2020) 381 final.

⁴⁹ Communication de la Commission au Parlement européen, au Conseil, au Comité économique et social européen et au Comité des régions intitulée «Stratégie de l'UE en faveur de la biodiversité à l'horizon 2030 – Ramener la nature dans nos vies», COM(2020) 380 final.

⁵⁰ Communication de la Commission au Parlement européen, au Conseil, au Comité économique et social européen et au Comité des régions intitulée «Cap sur une planète en bonne santé pour tous – Plan d'action de l'UE: “Vers une pollution zéro dans l'air, l'eau et les sols”», COM(2021)

phytopharmaceutiques **et de la santé des populations**, compatible avec le développement durable **et la garantie d'un niveau de vie équitable pour les agriculteurs**, est également complémentaire de la promotion de l'agriculture biologique et de la réalisation de l'objectif de la stratégie «De la ferme à la table» consistant à affecter au moins 25 % des terres agricoles de l'Union à l'agriculture biologique d'ici à 2030. Elle concourt aux objectifs du cadre stratégique de l'UE en matière de santé, **de sécurité alimentaire** et de sécurité au travail⁵⁴ et contribue ainsi à la mise en œuvre du principe 10 du socle européen des droits sociaux relatif à un environnement de travail sain, sûr et adapté.

⁴⁷ Communication de la Commission au Parlement européen, au Conseil européen, au Conseil, au Comité économique et social européen et au Comité des régions intitulée «Le pacte vert pour l'Europe», COM(2019) 640 final.

⁴⁸ Communication de la Commission au Parlement européen, au Conseil, au Comité économique et social européen et au Comité des régions intitulée «Une stratégie “De la ferme à la table” pour un système alimentaire équitable, sain et respectueux de l'environnement», COM(2020) 381 final.

⁴⁹ Communication de la Commission au Parlement européen, au Conseil, au Comité économique et social européen et au Comité des régions intitulée «Stratégie de l'UE en faveur de la biodiversité à l'horizon 2030 – Ramener la nature dans nos vies», COM(2020) 380 final.

⁵⁰ Communication de la Commission au Parlement européen, au Conseil, au Comité économique et social européen et au Comité des régions intitulée «Cap sur une planète en bonne santé pour tous – Plan d'action de l'UE: “Vers une pollution zéro dans l'air, l'eau et les sols”», COM(2021)

400 final.

⁵¹ Règlement (CE) n° 1107/2009 du Parlement européen et du Conseil du 21 octobre 2009 concernant la mise sur le marché des produits phytopharmaceutiques et abrogeant les directives 79/117/CEE et 91/414/CEE du Conseil (JO L 309 du 24.11.2009, p. 1).

⁵² Règlement d'exécution (UE) n° 540/2011 de la Commission du 25 mai 2011 portant application du règlement (CE) n° 1107/2009 du Parlement européen et du Conseil, en ce qui concerne la liste des substances actives approuvées (JO L 153 du 11.6.2011, p. 1).

⁵³ Règlement d'exécution (UE) 2015/408 de la Commission du 11 mars 2015 relatif à l'application de l'article 80, paragraphe 7, du règlement (CE) n° 1107/2009 du Parlement européen et du Conseil concernant la mise sur le marché des produits phytopharmaceutiques et l'établissement d'une liste de substances dont on envisage la substitution (JO L 67 du 12.3.2015, p. 18).

⁵⁴ Communication de la Commission au Parlement européen, au Conseil, au Comité économique et social européen et au Comité des régions, Cadre stratégique de l'Union européenne en matière de santé et de sécurité au travail pour la période 2021-2027 – Santé et sécurité au travail dans un monde du travail en mutation, COM(2021) 323 final.

400 final.

⁵¹ Règlement (CE) n° 1107/2009 du Parlement européen et du Conseil du 21 octobre 2009 concernant la mise sur le marché des produits phytopharmaceutiques et abrogeant les directives 79/117/CEE et 91/414/CEE du Conseil (JO L 309 du 24.11.2009, p. 1).

⁵² Règlement d'exécution (UE) n° 540/2011 de la Commission du 25 mai 2011 portant application du règlement (CE) n° 1107/2009 du Parlement européen et du Conseil, en ce qui concerne la liste des substances actives approuvées (JO L 153 du 11.6.2011, p. 1).

⁵³ Règlement d'exécution (UE) 2015/408 de la Commission du 11 mars 2015 relatif à l'application de l'article 80, paragraphe 7, du règlement (CE) n° 1107/2009 du Parlement européen et du Conseil concernant la mise sur le marché des produits phytopharmaceutiques et l'établissement d'une liste de substances dont on envisage la substitution (JO L 67 du 12.3.2015, p. 18).

⁵⁴ Communication de la Commission au Parlement européen, au Conseil, au Comité économique et social européen et au Comité des régions, Cadre stratégique de l'Union européenne en matière de santé et de sécurité au travail pour la période 2021-2027 – Santé et sécurité au travail dans un monde du travail en mutation, COM(2021) 323 final.

Or. fr

Amendment 326

Martin Hojsik, Michal Wiek, María Soraya Rodríguez Ramos, Róza Thun und Hohenstein, Frédérique Ries

Proposal for a regulation Recital 7

(7) The Commission Communication entitled ‘the European Green Deal’⁴⁷ set out a roadmap of key measures, including legislative, to significantly reduce the use and risk of chemical pesticides. In the Farm to Fork Strategy⁴⁸, EU Biodiversity Strategy for 2030⁴⁹ **and the Zero Pollution Action Plan**⁵⁰, the Commission committed to take action to reduce by 50% the overall use and risk from chemical pesticides by 2030 and reduce by 50% the use of more hazardous pesticides (plant protection products containing one or more active substances approved as candidates for substitution in accordance with Article 24 of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council⁵¹ and listed in Part E of the Annex to Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) No 540/2011⁵², or containing one or more active substances listed in the Annex to Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) 2015/408⁵³) by 2030. The sustainable use of plant protection products is also complementary to the promotion of organic farming and achieving the Farm to Fork Strategy target of at least 25% of the Union’s agricultural land under organic farming by 2030. It supports the objectives of the EU strategic framework on health and safety at work⁵⁴ and thereby contributes to the implementation of principle 10 of the European Pillar of Social Rights on a healthy, safe and well-adapted work environment.

⁴⁷ Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the European Council, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions The European Green Deal COM/2019/640 final.

⁴⁸ Communication from the Commission to

(7) The Commission Communication entitled ‘the European Green Deal’⁴⁷ set out a roadmap of key measures, including legislative, to significantly reduce the use and risk of chemical pesticides. In the Farm to Fork Strategy⁴⁸, EU Biodiversity Strategy for 2030⁴⁹, Zero Pollution Action Plan⁵⁰, **the EU Soil Strategy for 2030**^{51a}, **and the EU Pollinators Initiative**^{51b}, the Commission committed to take action to reduce by 50% the overall use and risk from chemical pesticides by 2030 and reduce by 50% the use of more hazardous pesticides (plant protection products containing one or more active substances approved as candidates for substitution in accordance with Article 24 of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council⁵¹ and listed in Part E of the Annex to Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) No 540/2011⁵², or containing one or more active substances listed in the Annex to Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) 2015/408⁵³) by 2030. The sustainable use of plant protection products is also complementary to the promotion of organic farming and achieving the Farm to Fork Strategy target of at least 25% of the Union’s agricultural land under organic farming by 2030. It supports the objectives of the EU strategic framework on health and safety at work⁵⁴ and thereby contributes to the implementation of principle 10 of the European Pillar of Social Rights on a healthy, safe and well-adapted work environment.

⁴⁷ Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the European Council, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions The European Green Deal COM/2019/640 final.

⁴⁸ Communication from the Commission to

the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions A Farm to Fork Strategy for a fair, healthy and environmentally-friendly food system, COM/2020/381 final.

⁴⁹ Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions, EU Biodiversity Strategy for 2030 Bringing nature back into our lives, COM/2020/380 final.

⁵⁰ Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions, Pathway to a Healthy Planet for All EU Action Plan: 'Towards Zero Pollution for Air, Water and Soil', COM(2021) 400 final.

⁵¹ Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 21 October 2009 concerning the placing of plant protection products on the market and repealing Council Directives 79/117/EEC and 91/414/EEC (OJ L 309, 24.11.2009, p. 1).

⁵² Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) No 540/2011 of 25 May 2011 implementing Regulation (EC) No

the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions A Farm to Fork Strategy for a fair, healthy and environmentally-friendly food system, COM/2020/381 final.

⁴⁹ Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions, EU Biodiversity Strategy for 2030 Bringing nature back into our lives, COM/2020/380 final.

⁵⁰ Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions, Pathway to a Healthy Planet for All EU Action Plan: 'Towards Zero Pollution for Air, Water and Soil', COM(2021) 400 final.

⁵¹ Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 21 October 2009 concerning the placing of plant protection products on the market and repealing Council Directives 79/117/EEC and 91/414/EEC (OJ L 309, 24.11.2009, p. 1).

^{51a} Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions on EU Soil Strategy for 2030 — Reaping the benefits of healthy soils for people, food, nature and climate COM(2021) 699 final.

^{51b} Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions: Revision of the EU Pollinators Initiative A new deal for pollinators, COM/2023/35 final

⁵² Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) No 540/2011 of 25 May 2011 implementing Regulation (EC) No

1107/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council as regards the list of approved active substances (OJ L 153, 11.6.2011, p. 1).

⁵³ Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) 2015/408 of 11 March 2015 on implementing Article 80(7) of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council concerning the placing of plant protection products on the market and establishing a list of candidates for substitution (OJ L 67, 12.3.2015, p. 18).

⁵⁴ Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions, EU strategic framework on health and safety at work 2021-2027 Occupational safety and health in a changing world of work, COM/2021/323 final.

1107/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council as regards the list of approved active substances (OJ L 153, 11.6.2011, p. 1).

⁵³ Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) 2015/408 of 11 March 2015 on implementing Article 80(7) of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council concerning the placing of plant protection products on the market and establishing a list of candidates for substitution (OJ L 67, 12.3.2015, p. 18).

⁵⁴ Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions, EU strategic framework on health and safety at work 2021-2027 Occupational safety and health in a changing world of work, COM/2021/323 final.

Or. en

Amendment 327

Sylvia Limmer

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 7

Text proposed by the Commission

(7) In der Mitteilung der Kommission mit dem Titel „Der europäische Grüne Deal“⁴⁷ wird ein Fahrplan für die wichtigsten Maßnahmen, einschließlich legislativer Maßnahmen, zur deutlichen Verringerung der Verwendung und des Risikos chemischer Pestizide dargelegt. In der Strategie „Vom Hof auf den Tisch“⁴⁸, der EU-Biodiversitätsstrategie für 2030⁴⁹ und dem Aktionsplan für Schadstofffreiheit⁵⁰ hat sich die Kommission zu Maßnahmen zur Verringerung der Verwendung und des Risikos von chemischen Pestiziden bis

Amendment

(7) In der Mitteilung der Kommission mit dem Titel „Der europäische Grüne Deal“⁴⁷ wird ein Fahrplan für die wichtigsten Maßnahmen, einschließlich legislativer Maßnahmen, zur deutlichen Verringerung der Verwendung und des Risikos chemischer Pestizide dargelegt. In der Strategie „Vom Hof auf den Tisch“⁴⁸, der EU-Biodiversitätsstrategie für 2030⁴⁹ und dem Aktionsplan für Schadstofffreiheit⁵⁰ hat sich die Kommission **trotz des Fehlens einer gründlichen Folgenabschätzung** zu Maßnahmen zur Verringerung der

2030 um insgesamt 50 % sowie zur Verringerung der Verwendung gefährlicherer Pestizide (Pflanzenschutzmittel mit einem oder mehreren Wirkstoffen, die gemäß Artikel 24 der Verordnung (EG) Nr. 1107/2009 des Europäischen Parlaments und des Rates⁵¹ als Substitutionskandidaten genehmigt und in Teil E des Anhangs der Durchführungsverordnung (EU) Nr. 540/2011 der Kommission⁵² aufgeführt sind oder mit einem oder mehreren Wirkstoffen, die im Anhang der Durchführungsverordnung (EU) 2015/408 der Kommission⁵³ aufgeführt sind) bis 2030 um 50 % verpflichtet. Die nachhaltige Verwendung von Pflanzenschutzmitteln ergänzt außerdem die Förderung des ökologischen/biologischen Landbaus und die Erreichung des Ziels in der Strategie „Vom Hof auf den Tisch“, bis 2030 mindestens 25 % der landwirtschaftlichen Flächen in der EU ökologisch zu bewirtschaften. Sie unterstützt die Ziele des Strategischen Rahmens der EU für Gesundheit und Sicherheit am Arbeitsplatz⁵⁴ und trägt damit zur Umsetzung von Grundsatz 10 der europäischen Säule sozialer Rechte über ein gesundes, sicheres und geeignetes Arbeitsumfeld bei.

⁴⁷ Mitteilung der Kommission an das Europäische Parlament, den Europäischen Rat, den Rat, den Europäischen Wirtschafts- und Sozialausschuss und den Ausschuss der Regionen „Der europäische Grüne Deal“ (COM(2019) 640 final).

⁴⁸ Mitteilung der Kommission an das Europäische Parlament, den Rat, den Europäischen Wirtschafts- und Sozialausschuss und den Ausschuss der Regionen „Vom Hof auf den Tisch“ – eine Strategie für ein faires, gesundes und umweltfreundliches Lebensmittelsystem

Verwendung und des Risikos von chemischen Pestiziden bis 2030 um insgesamt 50 % sowie zur Verringerung der Verwendung gefährlicherer Pestizide (Pflanzenschutzmittel mit einem oder mehreren Wirkstoffen, die gemäß Artikel 24 der Verordnung (EG) Nr. 1107/2009 des Europäischen Parlaments und des Rates⁵¹ als Substitutionskandidaten genehmigt und in Teil E des Anhangs der Durchführungsverordnung (EU) Nr. 540/2011 der Kommission⁵² aufgeführt sind oder mit einem oder mehreren Wirkstoffen, die im Anhang der Durchführungsverordnung (EU) 2015/408 der Kommission⁵³ aufgeführt sind) bis 2030 um 50 % verpflichtet. Die nachhaltige Verwendung von Pflanzenschutzmitteln ergänzt außerdem die Förderung des ökologischen/biologischen Landbaus und die Erreichung des Ziels in der Strategie „Vom Hof auf den Tisch“, bis 2030 mindestens 25 % der landwirtschaftlichen Flächen in der EU ökologisch zu bewirtschaften. Sie unterstützt die Ziele des Strategischen Rahmens der EU für Gesundheit und Sicherheit am Arbeitsplatz⁵⁴ und trägt damit zur Umsetzung von Grundsatz 10 der europäischen Säule sozialer Rechte über ein gesundes, sicheres und geeignetes Arbeitsumfeld bei.

⁴⁷ Mitteilung der Kommission an das Europäische Parlament, den Europäischen Rat, den Rat, den Europäischen Wirtschafts- und Sozialausschuss und den Ausschuss der Regionen „Der europäische Grüne Deal“ (COM(2019) 640 final).

⁴⁸ Mitteilung der Kommission an das Europäische Parlament, den Rat, den Europäischen Wirtschafts- und Sozialausschuss und den Ausschuss der Regionen „Vom Hof auf den Tisch“ – eine Strategie für ein faires, gesundes und umweltfreundliches Lebensmittelsystem

(COM(2020) 381 final).

⁴⁹ Mitteilung der Kommission an das Europäische Parlament, den Rat, den Europäischen Wirtschafts- und Sozialausschuss und den Ausschuss der Regionen „EU-Biodiversitätsstrategie für 2030 – Mehr Raum für die Natur in unserem Leben“ (COM(2020) 380 final).

⁵⁰ Mitteilung der Kommission an das Europäische Parlament, den Rat, den Europäischen Wirtschafts- und Sozialausschuss und den Ausschuss der Regionen „Auf dem Weg zu einem gesunden Planeten für alle – EU-Aktionsplan: ‚Schadstofffreiheit von Luft, Wasser und Boden‘“ (COM(2021) 400 final).

⁵¹ Verordnung (EG) Nr. 1107/2009 des Europäischen Parlaments und des Rates vom 21. Oktober 2009 über das Inverkehrbringen von Pflanzenschutzmitteln und zur Aufhebung der Richtlinien 79/117/EWG und 91/414/EWG des Rates (ABl. L 309 vom 24.11.2009, S. 1).

⁵² Durchführungsverordnung (EU) Nr. 540/2011 der Kommission vom 25. Mai 2011 zur Durchführung der Verordnung (EG) Nr. 1107/2009 des Europäischen Parlaments und des Rates hinsichtlich der Liste zugelassener Wirkstoffe (ABl. L 153 vom 11.6.2011, S. 1).

⁵³ Durchführungsverordnung (EU) 2015/408 der Kommission vom 11. März 2015 zur Durchführung des Artikels 80 Absatz 7 der Verordnung (EG) Nr. 1107/2009 des Europäischen Parlaments und des Rates über das Inverkehrbringen von Pflanzenschutzmitteln und zur Erstellung einer Liste mit Substitutionskandidaten (ABl. L 67 vom 12.3.2015, S. 18).

⁵⁴ Mitteilung der Kommission an das Europäische Parlament, den Rat, den Europäischen Wirtschafts- und Sozialausschuss und den Ausschuss der

(COM(2020) 381 final).

⁴⁹ Mitteilung der Kommission an das Europäische Parlament, den Rat, den Europäischen Wirtschafts- und Sozialausschuss und den Ausschuss der Regionen „EU-Biodiversitätsstrategie für 2030 – Mehr Raum für die Natur in unserem Leben“ (COM(2020) 380 final).

⁵⁰ Mitteilung der Kommission an das Europäische Parlament, den Rat, den Europäischen Wirtschafts- und Sozialausschuss und den Ausschuss der Regionen „Auf dem Weg zu einem gesunden Planeten für alle – EU-Aktionsplan: ‚Schadstofffreiheit von Luft, Wasser und Boden‘“ (COM(2021) 400 final).

⁵¹ Verordnung (EG) Nr. 1107/2009 des Europäischen Parlaments und des Rates vom 21. Oktober 2009 über das Inverkehrbringen von Pflanzenschutzmitteln und zur Aufhebung der Richtlinien 79/117/EWG und 91/414/EWG des Rates (ABl. L 309 vom 24.11.2009, S. 1).

⁵² Durchführungsverordnung (EU) Nr. 540/2011 der Kommission vom 25. Mai 2011 zur Durchführung der Verordnung (EG) Nr. 1107/2009 des Europäischen Parlaments und des Rates hinsichtlich der Liste zugelassener Wirkstoffe (ABl. L 153 vom 11.6.2011, S. 1).

⁵³ Durchführungsverordnung (EU) 2015/408 der Kommission vom 11. März 2015 zur Durchführung des Artikels 80 Absatz 7 der Verordnung (EG) Nr. 1107/2009 des Europäischen Parlaments und des Rates über das Inverkehrbringen von Pflanzenschutzmitteln und zur Erstellung einer Liste mit Substitutionskandidaten (ABl. L 67 vom 12.3.2015, S. 18).

⁵⁴ Mitteilung der Kommission an das Europäische Parlament, den Rat, den Europäischen Wirtschafts- und Sozialausschuss und den Ausschuss der

Regionen, Strategischer Rahmen der EU für Gesundheit und Sicherheit am Arbeitsplatz 2021–2027 – Arbeitsschutz in einer sich wandelnden Arbeitswelt, COM(2021) 323 final.

Regionen, Strategischer Rahmen der EU für Gesundheit und Sicherheit am Arbeitsplatz 2021–2027 – Arbeitsschutz in einer sich wandelnden Arbeitswelt, COM(2021) 323 final.

Or. de

Amendment 328 **Stanislav Polčák**

Proposal for a regulation **Recital 7**

Text proposed by the Commission

(7) Sdělení Komise nazvané „Zelená dohoda pro Evropu“⁴⁷ stanovilo plán klíčových opatření, včetně legislativních, pro výrazné omezení množství použitých chemických pesticidů a rizik s nimi spojených. Ve strategii „od zemědělce ke spotřebiteli“⁴⁸, **strategii** EU v oblasti biologické rozmanitosti do roku 2030⁴⁹ a v akčním plánu pro nulové znečištění⁵⁰ se Komise zavázala přijmout opatření k omezení celkového používání chemických pesticidů a rizika s nimi spojeného do roku 2030 o 50 % a k omezení používání nebezpečnějších pesticidů (přípravků na ochranu rostlin obsahujících jednu či více účinných látek schválených jako látky, které se mají nahradit, v souladu s článkem 24 nařízení Evropského parlamentu a Rady (ES) č. 1107/2009⁵¹ a uvedených v části E přílohy prováděcího nařízení Komise (EU) č. 540/2011⁵², nebo obsahujících jednu či více účinných látek uvedených v příloze prováděcího nařízení Komise (EU) 2015/408⁵³) do roku 2030 o 50 %. Udržitelné používání přípravků na ochranu rostlin zároveň doplňuje podporu ekologického zemědělství a plnění cíle strategie „od zemědělce ke spotřebiteli“ do roku 2030 využívat nejméně 25 % zemědělské půdy v Unii k ekologickému zemědělství. Podporuje cíle strategického

Amendment

(7) Sdělení Komise nazvané „Zelená dohoda pro Evropu“⁴⁷ stanovilo plán klíčových opatření, včetně legislativních, pro výrazné omezení množství použitých chemických pesticidů a rizik s nimi spojených. Ve Strategii „od zemědělce ke spotřebiteli“⁴⁸, **Strategii** EU v oblasti biologické rozmanitosti do roku 2030⁴⁹ a v Akčním plánu pro nulové znečištění⁵⁰ se Komise zavázala přijmout opatření k omezení celkového používání chemických pesticidů a rizika s nimi spojeného do roku 2030 o 50 % a k omezení používání nebezpečnějších pesticidů (přípravků na ochranu rostlin obsahujících jednu či více účinných látek schválených jako látky, které se mají nahradit, v souladu s článkem 24 nařízení Evropského parlamentu a Rady (ES) č. 1107/2009⁵¹ a uvedených v části E přílohy prováděcího nařízení Komise (EU) č. 540/2011⁵², nebo obsahujících jednu či více účinných látek uvedených v příloze prováděcího nařízení Komise (EU) 2015/408⁵³) do roku 2030 o 50 %. Udržitelné používání přípravků na ochranu rostlin zároveň doplňuje podporu ekologického zemědělství a plnění cíle Strategie „od zemědělce ke spotřebiteli“ do roku 2030 využívat nejméně 25 % zemědělské půdy v Unii k ekologickému zemědělství. Podporuje cíle strategického

rámce EU pro bezpečnost a ochranu zdraví při práci⁵⁴, a přispívá tak k provádění zásady č. 10 Evropského pilíře sociálních práv týkající se zdravého, bezpečného a dobře uzpůsobeného pracovního prostředí.

⁴⁷ Sdělení Komise Evropskému parlamentu, Evropské radě, Radě, Evropskému hospodářskému a sociálnímu výboru a Výboru regionů – Zelená dohoda pro Evropu COM(2019) 640 final.

⁴⁸ Sdělení Komise Evropskému parlamentu, Radě, Evropskému hospodářskému a sociálnímu výboru a Výboru regionů, Strategie „od zemědělce ke spotřebiteli“ pro spravedlivé, zdravé a ekologické potravinové systémy, COM(2020) 381 final.

⁴⁹ Sdělení Komise Evropskému parlamentu, Radě, Evropskému hospodářskému a sociálnímu výboru a Výboru regionů, „Strategie EU v oblasti biologické rozmanitosti do roku 2030 – Navrácení přírody do našeho života“, COM/2020/380 final.

⁵⁰ Sdělení Komise Evropskému parlamentu, Radě, Evropskému hospodářskému a sociálnímu výboru a Výboru regionů, Cesta ke zdravé planetě pro všechny – Akční plán EU: „Vstříc nulovému znečištění ovzduší, vod a půdy“, COM(2021) 400 final.

⁵¹ Nařízení Evropského parlamentu a Rady (ES) č. 1107/2009 ze dne 21. října 2009 o uvádění přípravků na ochranu rostlin na trh a o zrušení směrnic Rady 79/117/EHS a 91/414/EHS (Úř. věst. L 309, 24.11.2009, s. 1).

⁵² Prováděcí nařízení Komise (EU) č. 540/2011 ze dne 25. května 2011, kterým se provádí nařízení Evropského parlamentu a Rady (ES) č. 1107/2009, pokud jde o seznam schválených účinných látek (Úř. věst. L 153, 11.6.2011, s. 1).

⁵³ Prováděcí nařízení Komise (EU)

rámce EU pro bezpečnost a ochranu zdraví při práci⁵⁴, a přispívá tak k provádění zásady č. 10 Evropského pilíře sociálních práv týkající se zdravého, bezpečného a dobře uzpůsobeného pracovního prostředí.

⁴⁷ Sdělení Komise Evropskému parlamentu, Evropské radě, Radě, Evropskému hospodářskému a sociálnímu výboru a Výboru regionů – Zelená dohoda pro Evropu COM(2019) 640 final.

⁴⁸ Sdělení Komise Evropskému parlamentu, Radě, Evropskému hospodářskému a sociálnímu výboru a Výboru regionů, Strategie „od zemědělce ke spotřebiteli“ pro spravedlivé, zdravé a ekologické potravinové systémy, COM(2020) 381 final.

⁴⁹ Sdělení Komise Evropskému parlamentu, Radě, Evropskému hospodářskému a sociálnímu výboru a Výboru regionů, „Strategie EU v oblasti biologické rozmanitosti do roku 2030 – Navrácení přírody do našeho života“, COM/2020/380 final.

⁵⁰ Sdělení Komise Evropskému parlamentu, Radě, Evropskému hospodářskému a sociálnímu výboru a Výboru regionů, Cesta ke zdravé planetě pro všechny – Akční plán EU: „Vstříc nulovému znečištění ovzduší, vod a půdy“, COM(2021) 400 final.

⁵¹ Nařízení Evropského parlamentu a Rady (ES) č. 1107/2009 ze dne 21. října 2009 o uvádění přípravků na ochranu rostlin na trh a o zrušení směrnic Rady 79/117/EHS a 91/414/EHS (Úř. věst. L 309, 24.11.2009, s. 1).

⁵² Prováděcí nařízení Komise (EU) č. 540/2011 ze dne 25. května 2011, kterým se provádí nařízení Evropského parlamentu a Rady (ES) č. 1107/2009, pokud jde o seznam schválených účinných látek (Úř. věst. L 153, 11.6.2011, s. 1).

⁵³ Prováděcí nařízení Komise (EU)

2015/408 ze dne 11. března 2015 o provádění čl. 80 odst. 7 nařízení Evropského parlamentu a Rady (ES) č. 1107/2009 o uvádění přípravků na ochranu rostlin na trh a o sestavení seznamu látek, které se mají nahradit (Úř. věst. L 67, 12.3.2015, s. 18).

⁵⁴ Sdělení Komise Evropskému parlamentu, Radě, Evropskému hospodářskému a sociálnímu výboru a Výboru regionů Strategický rámec EU pro bezpečnost a ochranu zdraví při práci na období 2021–2027 Bezpečnost a ochrana zdraví při práci v měnícím se světě práce (COM(2021) 323 final).

2015/408 ze dne 11. března 2015 o provádění čl. 80 odst. 7 nařízení Evropského parlamentu a Rady (ES) č. 1107/2009 o uvádění přípravků na ochranu rostlin na trh a o sestavení seznamu látek, které se mají nahradit (Úř. věst. L 67, 12.3.2015, s. 18).

⁵⁴ Sdělení Komise Evropskému parlamentu, Radě, Evropskému hospodářskému a sociálnímu výboru a Výboru regionů Strategický rámec EU pro bezpečnost a ochranu zdraví při práci na období 2021–2027 Bezpečnost a ochrana zdraví při práci v měnícím se světě práce (COM(2021) 323 final).

Or. cs

Amendment 329 **Mathilde Androuët**

Proposal for a regulation **Recital 7 a (new)**

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(7 bis) En 2020, les Etats membres de l'Union européenne utilisaient en moyenne 3,30 kg de produits phytopharmaceutiques par hectare de terre cultivée (contre 3,13 kg par hectare en 2019). En revanche, l'écart d'utilisation est vingt fois plus élevé entre le pays ayant la plus faible utilisation et le pays ayant la plus forte. En conséquence, il paraît nécessaire de prévoir une étape intermédiaire d'ici à 2030, consistant à prendre comme référence la moyenne d'utilisation des produits phytopharmaceutiques par hectare de terre cultivée dans l'Union européenne de 3,30 kg en 2020, pour permettre aux Etats membres ayant la plus forte utilisation, de parvenir à cette valeur moyenne d'utilisation ; l'objectif de réduction de 50 % de l'utilisation des produits

phytosanitaires deviendra applicable par la suite pour parvenir à une agriculture neutre en produits phytopharmaceutiques en 2050 comme le propose le document de prospective publié en mars 2023 par l'Institut national de recherche pour l'agriculture, l'alimentation et l'environnement (INRAE).

Or. fr

Justification

La trajectoire proposée par la Commission d'un objectif de réduction de 50% en 2030 ne paraît pas techniquement et économiquement réaliste. Pour parvenir à une agriculture raisonnée et neutre en produits phytopharmaceutiques, il est nécessaire de passer par une étape intermédiaire pour permettre aux Etats membres ayant un taux d'utilisation supérieure à la moyenne européenne, d'engager prioritairement les efforts nécessaires de réduction.

Amendment 330

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 7 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(7 a) In the long-term, there is consensus in the public domain and in academia to move away from pesticide-centric food systems and the availability of alternative solutions to widespread chemical plant protection products is expected to widen. A transition must build on the diversity of knowledge on complementary strategies for crop protection as in the integrated pest management principles^{1a}. It is therefore appropriate to enshrine a long-term phase-out goal for the use of chemical pesticides in this Regulation, and to provide for support for sustainable agro-ecological strategies and biocontrol methods to enable this transition.

^{1a} ***Commission Staff Working Document,***

Amendment 331

Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 7 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(7 a) In its Staff Working Document on the Drivers of food security^{1a}, the Commission acknowledges the externalities of plant protection products use and their consequences for the environment and human health, which also have direct impacts on farming activities that may lead to negative impacts on food security in the mid- to long-run.

^{1a}

https://commission.europa.eu/publications/analysis-main-drivers-food-security_en

Amendment 332

Franc Bogovič, Marlene Mortler, Ljudmila Novak, Alexander Bernhuber

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 8

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(8) Two European citizens' initiatives address the use of pesticides and call for ambitious reduction targets. The initiative 'Ban glyphosate and protect people and the environment from toxic pesticides' submitted to the Commission on 6 October 2017 called on the Commission,

deleted

under its third aim, ‘to set EU-wide mandatory reduction targets for pesticide use, with a view to achieving a pesticide-free future’. In its reply adopted on 12 December 2017, the Commission stated that it would re-evaluate the need for EU-wide mandatory targets for pesticides. More recently, the initiative ‘Save bees and farmers! Towards a bee-friendly agriculture for a healthy environment’ calls on the Commission ‘to propose legal acts to phase out synthetic pesticides in EU agriculture by 80% by 2030, starting with the most hazardous, and to become free of synthetic by 2035.’ The initiative has collected over 1 million statements of support by 30 September 2021 which are currently being verified by Member States authorities.

Or. en

Amendment 333

Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław Kalinowski, Joanna Kopcińska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

Proposal for a regulation Recital 8

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(8) *Two European citizens’ initiatives address the use of pesticides and call for ambitious reduction targets. The initiative ‘Ban glyphosate and protect people and the environment from toxic pesticides’ submitted to the Commission on 6 October 2017 called on the Commission, under its third aim, ‘to set EU-wide mandatory reduction targets for pesticide use, with a view to achieving a pesticide-free future’. In its reply adopted on 12 December 2017, the Commission stated that it would re-evaluate the need for EU-wide mandatory targets for pesticides. More recently, the initiative ‘Save bees and farmers! Towards a bee-friendly*

deleted

agriculture for a healthy environment’ calls on the Commission ‘to propose legal acts to phase out synthetic pesticides in EU agriculture by 80% by 2030, starting with the most hazardous, and to become free of synthetic by 2035.’ The initiative has collected over 1 million statements of support by 30 September 2021 which are currently being verified by Member States authorities.

Or. en

Justification

Out of the scope and irrelevant to the subject of the Regulation.

Amendment 334

Edina Tóth

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 8

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(8) *Two European citizens’ initiatives address the use of pesticides and call for ambitious reduction targets. The initiative ‘Ban glyphosate and protect people and the environment from toxic pesticides’ submitted to the Commission on 6 October 2017 called on the Commission, under its third aim, ‘to set EU-wide mandatory reduction targets for pesticide use, with a view to achieving a pesticide-free future’. In its reply adopted on 12 December 2017, the Commission stated that it would re-evaluate the need for EU-wide mandatory targets for pesticides. More recently, the initiative ‘Save bees and farmers! Towards a bee-friendly agriculture for a healthy environment’ calls on the Commission ‘to propose legal acts to phase out synthetic pesticides in EU agriculture by 80% by 2030, starting with the most hazardous, and to become free of synthetic by 2035.’ The initiative* **deleted**

has collected over 1 million statements of support by 30 September 2021 which are currently being verified by Member States authorities.

Or. en

Amendment 335

Dan-Ștefan Motreanu, Carmen Avram, Marian-Jean Marinescu, Daniel Buda

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 8

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(8) *Two European citizens' initiatives address the use of pesticides and call for ambitious reduction targets. The initiative 'Ban glyphosate and protect people and the environment from toxic pesticides' submitted to the Commission on 6 October 2017 called on the Commission, under its third aim, 'to set EU-wide mandatory reduction targets for pesticide use, with a view to achieving a pesticide-free future'. In its reply adopted on 12 December 2017, the Commission stated that it would re-evaluate the need for EU-wide mandatory targets for pesticides. More recently, the initiative 'Save bees and farmers! Towards a bee-friendly agriculture for a healthy environment' calls on the Commission 'to propose legal acts to phase out synthetic pesticides in EU agriculture by 80% by 2030, starting with the most hazardous, and to become free of synthetic by 2035.' The initiative has collected over 1 million statements of support by 30 September 2021 which are currently being verified by Member States authorities.*

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 336

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Jorge Buxadé Villalba, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjärd

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 8

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(8) *Two European citizens' initiatives address the use of pesticides and call for ambitious reduction targets. The initiative 'Ban glyphosate and protect people and the environment from toxic pesticides' submitted to the Commission on 6 October 2017 called on the Commission, under its third aim, 'to set EU-wide mandatory reduction targets for pesticide use, with a view to achieving a pesticide-free future'. In its reply adopted on 12 December 2017, the Commission stated that it would re-evaluate the need for EU-wide mandatory targets for pesticides. More recently, the initiative 'Save bees and farmers! Towards a bee-friendly agriculture for a healthy environment' calls on the Commission 'to propose legal acts to phase out synthetic pesticides in EU agriculture by 80% by 2030, starting with the most hazardous, and to become free of synthetic by 2035.' The initiative has collected over 1 million statements of support by 30 September 2021 which are currently being verified by Member States authorities.* **deleted**

Or. en

Amendment 337

Esther de Lange

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 8

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(8) *Two European citizens' initiatives address the use of pesticides and call for ambitious reduction targets. The initiative 'Ban glyphosate and protect people and the environment from toxic pesticides' submitted to the Commission on 6 October 2017 called on the Commission, under its third aim, 'to set EU-wide mandatory reduction targets for pesticide use, with a view to achieving a pesticide-free future'. In its reply adopted on 12 December 2017, the Commission stated that it would re-evaluate the need for EU-wide mandatory targets for pesticides. More recently, the initiative 'Save bees and farmers! Towards a bee-friendly agriculture for a healthy environment' calls on the Commission 'to propose legal acts to phase out synthetic pesticides in EU agriculture by 80% by 2030, starting with the most hazardous, and to become free of synthetic by 2035.' The initiative has collected over 1 million statements of support by 30 September 2021 which are currently being verified by Member States authorities.* **deleted**

Or. en

Amendment 338

Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Peter Lundgren, Hermann Tertsch, Margarita de la Pisa Carrión, Mazaly Aguilar, Evžen Tošenovský, Sergio Berlato, Jorge Buxadé Villalba, Eugen Jurzyca, Pietro Fiocchi, Charlie Weimers, Alexander Bernhuber, Johan Nissinen

Proposal for a regulation Recital 8

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(8) *Two European citizens' initiatives address the use of pesticides and call for ambitious reduction targets. The initiative 'Ban glyphosate and protect people and* **deleted**

the environment from toxic pesticides’ submitted to the Commission on 6 October 2017 called on the Commission, under its third aim, ‘to set EU-wide mandatory reduction targets for pesticide use, with a view to achieving a pesticide-free future’. In its reply adopted on 12 December 2017, the Commission stated that it would re-evaluate the need for EU-wide mandatory targets for pesticides. More recently, the initiative ‘Save bees and farmers! Towards a bee-friendly agriculture for a healthy environment’ calls on the Commission ‘to propose legal acts to phase out synthetic pesticides in EU agriculture by 80% by 2030, starting with the most hazardous, and to become free of synthetic by 2035.’ The initiative has collected over 1 million statements of support by 30 September 2021 which are currently being verified by Member States authorities.

Or. en

Justification

The provisions considered in the ECI Save Bees and Farmers asked for a reduction of 80% of use of pesticides by 2030, which we feel it is unfeasible and extremely detrimental for EU agriculture. Even if it is mentioned in the Regulation proposal, we welcome that the Commission in principle does not want to apply these objectives as they do not have any scientific or technical basis to support them. For the time being, glyphosate has been assessed by EFSA and ECHA as non-carcinogenic. Questioning EU science-based decision-making process will have, first of all, a negative impact on the credibility of EU authorities and, secondly, would be also detrimental to our economy. Glyphosate, in addition, has a positive effect on climate and land use, avoiding the damage to biodiversity and soil that can be done through certain mechanical alternatives, as it substantially reduces the need to till and so contributes to less greenhouse gas emissions and soil erosion. Furthermore, it should be noted the application of PPPs should be based on scientific research and existing regulations, not on political discussions nor on potential effects not being supported by any scientific research but based on emotional prospects.

Amendment 339

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 8

Text proposed by the Commission

(8) Two European citizens' initiatives address the use of pesticides and call for ambitious reduction targets. The initiative 'Ban glyphosate and protect people and the environment from toxic pesticides' submitted to the Commission on 6 October 2017 called on the Commission, under its third aim, 'to set EU-wide mandatory reduction targets for pesticide use, with a view to achieving a pesticide-free future'. In its reply adopted on 12 December 2017, the Commission stated that it would re-evaluate the need for EU-wide mandatory targets for pesticides. More recently, the initiative 'Save bees and farmers! Towards a bee-friendly agriculture for a healthy environment' calls on the Commission 'to propose legal acts to phase out synthetic pesticides in EU agriculture by 80% by 2030, starting with the most hazardous, and to become free of synthetic by 2035.' The initiative has collected over 1 million statements of support **by 30 September 2021 which are currently being verified by Member States authorities.**

Amendment

(8) Two European citizens' initiatives address the use of pesticides and call for ambitious reduction targets. The initiative 'Ban glyphosate and protect people and the environment from toxic pesticides' submitted to the Commission on 6 October 2017 called on the Commission, under its third aim, 'to set EU-wide mandatory reduction targets for pesticide use, with a view to achieving a pesticide-free future'. In its reply adopted on 12 December 2017, the Commission stated that it would re-evaluate the need for EU-wide mandatory targets for pesticides. More recently, the initiative 'Save bees and farmers! Towards a bee-friendly agriculture for a healthy environment' calls on the Commission 'to propose legal acts to phase out synthetic pesticides in EU agriculture by 80% by 2030, starting with the most hazardous, and to become free of synthetic by 2035.' ***It further calls 'to restore natural ecosystems in agricultural areas so that farming becomes a vector of biodiversity recovery', 'to reform agriculture by prioritising small scale, diverse and sustainable farming, supporting a rapid increase in agro-ecological and organic practice', and for 'enabling independent farmer-based training and research into pesticide- and GMO-free farming'.*** The initiative has collected ***well*** over 1 million statements of support ***and was validated in October 2022. It is appropriate that the aims and goals of this ECI are enshrined in this Regulation and by future amending the Common Agricultural Policy.***

Or. en

Amendment 340
Tudor Ciuhodaru

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 8

Text proposed by the Commission

(8) Două inițiative cetățenești europene abordează utilizarea pesticidelor și solicită obiective ambițioase de reducere a acestora. Inițiativa „Interzicerea glifosatului și protecția oamenilor și a mediului de pesticide toxice”, înaintată Comisiei la 6 octombrie 2017, a solicitat Comisiei, în cadrul celui de al treilea obiectiv, să stabilească „obiective obligatorii de reducere a utilizării pesticidelor în întreaga UE, pentru a se ajunge, în timp, la un viitor fără pesticide”. În răspunsul său adoptat la 12 decembrie 2017, Comisia a declarat că va reevalua necesitatea unor obiective obligatorii la nivelul UE pentru pesticide. Mai recent, inițiativa Salvați albinele și fermierii! Către o agricultură propice albinelor pentru un mediu sănătos, solicită Comisiei să propună eliminarea treptată, cu 80 %, a pesticidelor sintetice din agricultura UE până în 2030, începând cu cele mai periculoase, pentru ca, până în 2035, în agricultură să nu se mai utilizeze pesticide sintetice. Până la 30 septembrie 2021, inițiativa a colectat peste 1 milion de declarații de susținere, care sunt în prezent în curs de verificare de către autoritățile statelor membre.

Amendment

(8) Două inițiative cetățenești europene abordează utilizarea pesticidelor și solicită obiective ambițioase de reducere a acestora **pe termen mediu și lung**. Inițiativa „Interzicerea glifosatului și protecția oamenilor și a mediului de pesticide toxice”, înaintată Comisiei la 6 octombrie 2017, a solicitat Comisiei, în cadrul celui de al treilea obiectiv, să stabilească „obiective obligatorii de reducere a utilizării pesticidelor în întreaga UE, pentru a se ajunge, în timp, la un viitor fără pesticide”. În răspunsul său adoptat la 12 decembrie 2017, Comisia a declarat că va reevalua necesitatea unor obiective obligatorii la nivelul UE pentru pesticide. Mai recent, inițiativa Salvați albinele și fermierii! Către o agricultură propice albinelor pentru un mediu sănătos, solicită Comisiei să propună eliminarea treptată, cu 80 %, a pesticidelor sintetice din agricultura UE până în 2030, începând cu cele mai periculoase, pentru ca, până în 2035, în agricultură să nu se mai utilizeze pesticide sintetice. Până la 30 septembrie 2021, inițiativa a colectat peste 1 milion de declarații de susținere, care sunt în prezent în curs de verificare de către autoritățile statelor membre.

Or. ro

Amendment 341

Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 8

Text proposed by the Commission

(8) Two European citizens' initiatives address the use of pesticides and call for

Amendment

(8) Two European citizens' initiatives address the use of pesticides and call for

ambitious reduction targets. The initiative ‘Ban glyphosate and protect people and the environment from toxic pesticides’ submitted to the Commission on 6 October 2017 called on the Commission, under its third aim, ‘to set EU-wide mandatory reduction targets for pesticide use, with a view to achieving a pesticide-free future’. In its reply adopted on 12 December 2017, the Commission stated that it would re-evaluate the need for EU-wide mandatory targets for pesticides. More recently, the initiative ‘Save bees and farmers! Towards a bee-friendly agriculture for a healthy environment’ calls on the Commission ‘to propose legal acts to phase out synthetic pesticides in EU agriculture by 80% by 2030, starting with the most hazardous, and to become free of synthetic by 2035.’ The initiative has collected over 1 million statements of support by 30 September 2021 ***which are currently being verified by Member States authorities.***

ambitious reduction targets. The initiative ‘Ban glyphosate and protect people and the environment from toxic pesticides’ submitted to the Commission on 6 October 2017 called on the Commission, under its third aim, ‘to set EU-wide mandatory reduction targets for pesticide use, with a view to achieving a pesticide-free future’. In its reply adopted on 12 December 2017, the Commission stated that it would re-evaluate the need for EU-wide mandatory targets for pesticides. More recently, the initiative ‘Save bees and farmers! Towards a bee-friendly agriculture for a healthy environment’ calls on the Commission ‘to propose legal acts to phase out synthetic pesticides in EU agriculture by 80% by 2030, starting with the most hazardous, and to become free of synthetic by 2035.’ The initiative has collected over 1 million statements of support by 30 September 2021 ***and was validated on 7 October 2022.***

Or. en

Amendment 342

Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Peter Lundgren, Hermann Tertsch, Margarita de la Pisa Carrión, Mazaly Aguilar, Evžen Tošenovský, Sergio Berlato, Jorge Buxadé Villalba, Eugen Jurzyca, Pietro Fiocchi, Charlie Weimers, Alexander Bernhuber, Johan Nissinen

Proposal for a regulation Recital 8 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(8 a) In their latest advice about the ECI “Save bees and farmers”, the EESC points out that many legislative acts are being prepared or have already been adopted by the Commission in favour of bees, pollinators, biodiversity, the sustainable use of pesticides, and support for farmers in the agro-ecological transition. It recognises, however, that these measures have not fully achieved

their objectives. It therefore calls on the Commission to take additional measures to achieve its ambitious objectives more effectively in practice. For example, it recommends stronger support for precision agriculture, digital agriculture, biological control, and robotics, as well as agro-ecology. The EESC stresses the need to take into account all three pillars of sustainability (environmental, social and economic), without neglecting the economic situation, which is often overlooked, in an essential context of systemic sustainability and food sovereignty. The EESC also calls on the Commission to carry out impact assessments before taking any decision, in order to assess, in particular, the costs of the initiative for agricultural production and the economy, compared to the financial cost of biodiversity loss for farmers.

Or. en

Amendment 343
Frédérique Ries

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 8 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(8 a) The body of evidence on the health harm and health risk from pesticide exposure, as well as the related health cost keeps growing. Pesticide exposure has been linked to the development of different forms of cancer, to impacts on the endocrine system, to neurodevelopmental impacts for adults and children, and to cognitive and behavioural harm in children, as well as to impacts on human fertility. Pesticides harm can also be intergenerational, increasing the risk for disease much later

in life and in future generations.

Or. en

Amendment 344

Christine Schneider, Ralf Seekatz, Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Sven Simon, Angelika Niebler, Monika Hohlmeier, Christian Doleschal, Franc Bogovič, Marlene Mortler, Daniel Caspary, Rainer Wieland, Sabine Verheyen, Alexander Bernhuber

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 9

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(9) In the final report of the Conference on the Future of Europe, published on 9 May 2022, when it comes to the proposals on agriculture, food production, biodiversity and ecosystems, pollution, citizens ask the Union in particular to significantly reduce the use of chemical pesticides and fertilizers, in line with the existing targets, while still ensuring food security, and support for research to develop more sustainable and natural-based alternatives. Citizens ask for more research and innovations, including in technological solutions for sustainable production, plant resistance, and precision farming, and more communication, advisory systems, and training for and from farmers as well as asking the Union to protect insects, in particular indigenous and pollinating insects.⁵⁵

deleted

⁵⁵ *Conference on the Future of Europe – Report on the Final Outcome, May 2022, Proposals 1 and 2, pp. 43-44.*

Or. en

Amendment 345

Esther de Lange

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 9

Text proposed by the Commission

(9) In the final report of the Conference on the Future of Europe, published on 9 May 2022, when it comes to the proposals on agriculture, food production, biodiversity and ecosystems, pollution, citizens ask the Union in particular to significantly reduce the use of chemical pesticides and fertilizers, in line with the existing targets, while still ensuring food security, and support for research to develop more sustainable and natural-based alternatives. Citizens ask for more research and innovations, including in technological solutions for sustainable production, plant resistance, and precision farming, and more communication, advisory systems, and training for and from farmers as well as asking the Union to protect insects, in particular indigenous and pollinating insects.⁵⁵

⁵⁵ Conference on the Future of Europe – Report on the Final Outcome, May 2022, Proposals 1 and 2, pp. 43-44.

Amendment

(9) In the final report of the Conference on the Future of Europe, published on 9 May 2022, when it comes to the proposals on agriculture, food production, biodiversity and ecosystems, pollution, citizens ask the Union in particular to significantly reduce the use of chemical pesticides and fertilizers, in line with the existing targets, while still ensuring food security, and support for research to develop more sustainable and natural-based alternatives. Citizens ask for more research and innovations, including in technological solutions for sustainable production, plant resistance, and precision farming, and more communication, advisory systems, and training for and from farmers as well as asking the Union to protect insects, in particular indigenous and pollinating insects, ***while safeguarding the production of sufficient safe and sustainable food in Europe, while building on the Commission's analysis and taking a horizontal approach that protects and restores biodiversity without endangering other elements of the green transition, such as the creation of clean energy networks in Europe***.⁵⁵

⁵⁵ Conference on the Future of Europe – Report on the Final Outcome, May 2022, Proposals 1 and 2, pp. 43-44.

Or. en

Amendment 346

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 9

Text proposed by the Commission

(9) In the final report of the Conference on the Future of Europe, published on 9 May 2022, when it comes to the proposals on agriculture, food production, biodiversity and ecosystems, pollution, citizens ask the Union in particular to significantly reduce the use of chemical pesticides and fertilizers, in line with the existing targets, while still ensuring food security, and support for research to develop more sustainable and natural-based alternatives. Citizens ask for more research and innovations, including in technological solutions for sustainable production, plant resistance, and precision farming, and more communication, advisory systems, and training for and from farmers ***as well as asking the Union to protect insects, in particular indigenous and pollinating insects.***⁵⁵

⁵⁵ Conference on the Future of Europe – Report on the Final Outcome, May 2022, Proposals 1 and 2, pp. 43-44.

Amendment

(9) In the final report of the Conference on the Future of Europe, published on 9 May 2022, when it comes to the proposals on agriculture, food production, biodiversity and ecosystems, pollution, citizens ask the Union in particular to significantly reduce the use of chemical pesticides and fertilizers, in line with the existing targets, while still ensuring food security, and support for research to develop more sustainable and natural-based alternatives. Citizens ask for more research and innovations, including in technological solutions for sustainable production, plant resistance, and precision farming, and more communication, advisory systems, and training for and from farmers.⁵⁵

⁵⁵ Conference on the Future of Europe – Report on the Final Outcome, May 2022, Proposals 1 and 2, pp. 43-44.

Or. en

Amendment 347
Tudor Ciuhodaru

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 9

Text proposed by the Commission

(9) În raportul final al Conferinței privind viitorul Europei, publicat la 9 mai 2022, în ceea ce privește propunerile privind agricultura, producția de alimente, biodiversitatea și ecosistemele și poluarea, cetățenii solicită Uniunii, în special, să

Amendment

(9) În raportul final al Conferinței privind viitorul Europei, publicat la 9 mai 2022, în ceea ce privește propunerile privind agricultura, producția de alimente, biodiversitatea și ecosistemele și poluarea, cetățenii solicită Uniunii, în special, să

reducă semnificativ utilizarea pesticidelor și a îngrășămintelor chimice, în conformitate cu obiectivele existente, asigurându-se totodată securitatea alimentară, precum și să sprijine cercetarea în vederea dezvoltării unor alternative mai durabile și bazate pe natură. Cetățenii solicită o activitate de cercetare și inovare mai intensă, inclusiv în ceea ce privește soluțiile tehnologice pentru producția durabilă, rezistența plantelor și agricultura de precizie, mai multe sisteme de comunicare, de consiliere și de formare pentru și din partea fermierilor; de asemenea, cetățenii solicită Uniunii să asigure protecția insectelor, în special a insectelor indigene și polenizatoare.⁵⁵

⁵⁵ Conferința privind viitorul Europei – Raport privind rezultatul final, mai 2022, Propunerile 1 și 2, p. 43-44.

reducă semnificativ utilizarea pesticidelor și a îngrășămintelor chimice, în conformitate cu obiectivele existente, asigurându-se totodată securitatea alimentară, precum și să sprijine cercetarea în vederea dezvoltării **în cel mai scurt timp** a unor alternative mai durabile și bazate pe natură. Cetățenii solicită o activitate de cercetare și inovare mai intensă, inclusiv în ceea ce privește soluțiile tehnologice pentru producția durabilă, rezistența plantelor și agricultura de precizie, mai multe sisteme de comunicare, de consiliere și de formare pentru și din partea fermierilor; de asemenea, cetățenii solicită Uniunii să asigure protecția insectelor, în special a insectelor indigene și polenizatoare.⁵⁵

⁵⁵ Conferința privind viitorul Europei – Raport privind rezultatul final, mai 2022, Propunerile 1 și 2, p. 43-44.

Or. ro

Amendment 348

Sarah Wiener, Martin Häusling, Michèle Rivasi, Jutta Paulus

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 9 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(9 a) The Court of Justice issued a ruling on 19 January 2023 in Pesticide Action Network Europe and Others v État belge (Case C-162/21), stating that Article 53(1) of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 does not permit Member States to use the so-called “emergency derogation” to allow the placing on the market or use of certain plant protection products or seeds treated with those products if their marketing and use has been explicitly prohibited by EU legislation.

Amendment 349
Sylvia Limmer

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 10

Text proposed by the Commission

(10) In seinen Schlussfolgerungen vom 19. Oktober 2020⁵⁶ nahm der Rat der Europäischen Union die von der Kommission in der Strategie „Vom Hof auf den Tisch“ festgelegten Ziele für die Verringerung der Pestizidverwendung zur Kenntnis und wies darauf hin, dass zur Verwirklichung dieser Ziele Anstrengungen der Mitgliedstaaten und sämtlicher Interessenträger sowie eine intensive Zusammenarbeit und Abstimmung erforderlich sind. Außerdem ersuchte der Rat die Kommission sicherzustellen, dass es sich bei diesen Zielvorgaben um Zielvorgaben der EU handelt, zu denen alle Mitgliedstaaten durch Maßnahmen auf nationaler Ebene beitragen müssen. In den Schlussfolgerungen des Rates wird ersucht, die in diesem Zusammenhang bereits erzielten Erfolge und die unterschiedlichen Ausgangspunkte, Umstände und Bedingungen der Mitgliedstaaten zu berücksichtigen.

Amendment

(10) In seinen Schlussfolgerungen vom 19. Oktober 2020⁵⁶ nahm der Rat der Europäischen Union die von der Kommission in der Strategie „Vom Hof auf den Tisch“ festgelegten Ziele für die Verringerung der Pestizidverwendung zur Kenntnis und wies darauf hin, dass zur Verwirklichung dieser Ziele Anstrengungen der Mitgliedstaaten und sämtlicher Interessenträger sowie eine intensive Zusammenarbeit und Abstimmung erforderlich sind. Außerdem ersuchte der Rat die Kommission sicherzustellen, dass es sich bei diesen Zielvorgaben um Zielvorgaben der EU handelt, zu denen alle Mitgliedstaaten durch Maßnahmen auf nationaler Ebene beitragen müssen. In den Schlussfolgerungen des Rates wird ersucht, die in diesem Zusammenhang bereits erzielten Erfolge und die unterschiedlichen Ausgangspunkte, Umstände und Bedingungen der Mitgliedstaaten zu berücksichtigen. ***Darüber hinaus beschloss der Rat in seinem Beschluss vom 9. Dezember 2022, die Kommission aufzufordern, eine Studie zur Ergänzung der Folgenabschätzung des Verordnungsvorschlags vorzulegen, wobei er seine Besorgnis darüber zum Ausdruck brachte, dass in der dem Vorschlag beigefügten Folgenabschätzung die möglichen langfristigen Auswirkungen der vorgeschlagenen Verordnung auf die Ernährungssicherheit in der Union nicht berücksichtigt wurden. Die Tatsache, dass die Folgenabschätzung vor dem Krieg in***

der Ukraine und der Energie-, Düngemittel- und Lebensmittelpreiskrise abgeschlossen wurde, bestätigt diese Bedenken zusätzlich. Der Rat ist daher der Auffassung, dass zusätzliche quantitative Analysen zu einer Reihe von Indikatoren durchgeführt werden müssen, um festzustellen, ob die Bewertung der wirtschaftlichen und sozialen Auswirkungen des Vorschlags angepasst werden muss. Insbesondere ist der Rat der Auffassung, dass eine zusätzliche Analyse durchgeführt werden sollte, die die landwirtschaftliche Erzeugung in der Union, den erwarteten Rückgang der Erträge in der Union infolge der Verringerung und Einschränkung der Verwendung von Pflanzenschutzmitteln und die potenzielle Abhängigkeit von Lebens- und Futtermiteinführen widerspiegelt. Der Rat ist ferner der Auffassung, dass eine eingehendere Analyse der Lage der kleinen und mittleren Unternehmen und der Rentabilität entlang ihrer Lieferkette erforderlich wäre.

⁵⁶ Brüssel, den 19. Oktober 2020, 12099/20.

⁵⁶ Brüssel, den 19. Oktober 2020, 12099/20.

Or. de

Amendment 350

Dan-Ștefan Motreanu, Carmen Avram, Marian-Jean Marinescu, Daniel Buda

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 10

Text proposed by the Commission

(10) In its conclusions of 19 October 2020⁵⁶, the Council of the European Union, when taking note of the Commission's reduction targets for the use of pesticides set out in the Farm to Fork Strategy, pointed out that achieving those

Amendment

(10) In its conclusions of 19 October 2020⁵⁶, the Council of the European Union, when taking note of the Commission's reduction targets for the use of pesticides set out in the Farm to Fork Strategy, pointed out that achieving those

targets will require efforts from Member States and all stakeholders and intensive co-operation, consultation and collaboration. The Council also requested the Commission to ensure that these targets are Union targets to which all Member States must contribute through action at national level. The Council conclusions request such targets to be set taking into account achievements to date, as well as Member States' different starting points, circumstances and conditions.

targets will require efforts from Member States and all stakeholders and intensive co-operation, consultation and collaboration. ***Members States requested that the EC base its legislative proposals on scientifically sound ex-ante impact assessments taking into account the cumulative effect of the legislative proposals, including their effects on EU agriculture competitiveness and farmer profitability.*** The Council also requested the Commission to ensure that these targets are Union targets to which all Member States must contribute through action at national level. The Council conclusions request such targets to be set taking into account achievements to date, as well as Member States' different starting points, circumstances and conditions. ***Finally, the Council also highlighted the importance of ensuring adequate and scientifically-sound integrated pest management measures and the promotion of the use of sustainable alternative plant protection products and methods, especially through use of digital and precision agriculture technology.***

⁵⁶ Brussels, 19 October 2020, 12099/20.

⁵⁶ Brussels, 19 October 2020, 12099/20.

Or. en

Amendment 351

Jessica Polfjärd

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 10

Text proposed by the Commission

(10) In its conclusions of 19 October 2020⁵⁶, the Council of the European Union, when taking note of the Commission's reduction targets for the use of pesticides set out in the Farm to Fork Strategy, pointed out that achieving those

Amendment

(10) In its conclusions of 19 October 2020⁵⁶, the Council of the European Union, when taking note of the Commission's reduction targets for the use of pesticides set out in the Farm to Fork Strategy, pointed out that achieving those

targets will require efforts from Member States and all stakeholders and intensive co-operation, consultation and collaboration. The Council also requested the Commission to ensure that these targets are Union targets to which all Member States must contribute through action at national level. The Council conclusions request such targets to be set taking into account achievements to date, as well as Member States' different starting points, circumstances and conditions.

⁵⁶ Brussels, 19 October 2020, 12099/20.

targets will require efforts from Member States and all stakeholders and intensive co-operation, consultation and collaboration. The ***Member States requested at the same time that the proposal on plant protection products should be based on scientifically based impact assessments and its impact on the competitiveness of European farmers and the European agri-food sector. The Council also emphasised the importance of alternative plant protection products and*** requested the Commission to ensure that these targets are Union targets to which all Member States must contribute through action at national level. The Council conclusions request such targets to be set taking into account achievements to date, as well as Member States' different starting points, circumstances and conditions.

⁵⁶ Brussels, 19 October 2020, 12099/20.

Or. en

Amendment 352

Stanislav Polčák

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 10

Text proposed by the Commission

(10) Rada Evropské unie vzala ve svých závěrech ze dne 19. října 2020⁵⁶ na vědomí cíle v oblasti omezení používání pesticidů stanovené ve strategii „od zemědělce ke spotřebiteli“ a poukázala na to, že dosažení těchto cílů bude vyžadovat úsilí členských států a všech zúčastněných stran a intenzivní spolupráci, konzultace a spolupráci. Rada rovněž požádala Komisi, aby zajistila, že tyto cíle budou cíli Unie, k nimž musí všechny členské státy přispívat prostřednictvím opatření na vnitrostátní

Amendment

(10) Rada Evropské unie vzala ve svých závěrech ze dne 19. října 2020⁵⁶ na vědomí cíle v oblasti omezení používání pesticidů stanovené ve Strategii „od zemědělce ke spotřebiteli“ a poukázala na to, že dosažení těchto cílů bude vyžadovat úsilí členských států a všech zúčastněných stran a intenzivní spolupráci, konzultace a spolupráci. Rada rovněž požádala Komisi, aby zajistila, že tyto cíle budou cíli Unie, k nimž musí všechny členské státy přispívat prostřednictvím opatření na vnitrostátní

úrovni. Závěry Rady požadují, aby byly uvedené cíle stanoveny s přihlédnutím k již dosaženým úspěchům, jakož i k odlišným výchozím pozicím, okolnostem a podmínkám jednotlivých členských států.

⁵⁶ Brusel, 19. října 2020, 12099/20.

úrovni. Závěry Rady požadují, aby byly uvedené cíle stanoveny s přihlédnutím k již dosaženým úspěchům, jakož i k odlišným výchozím pozicím, okolnostem a podmínkám jednotlivých členských států.

⁵⁶ Brusel, 19. října 2020, 12099/20.

Or. cs

Amendment 353

Franco Bogovič, Marlene Mortler, Ljudmila Novak, Alexander Bernhuber

Proposal for a regulation Recital 10 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(10 a) The Council of the European Union on 19 December 2022 adopted a Council decision^{56a} requesting the European Commission to provide a complementary study to its existing impact assessment on the sustainable use of plant protection products. Member states welcomed the objectives of the proposal to reduce by 2030 the use and risks of plant protection products (PPPs) by 50% at EU level, as well as the use of more hazardous pesticides, however since the impact assessment provided by the Commission has been based on data collected and analysed before the outbreak of Russia's war in Ukraine, member states expressed their concerns that the impact assessment does not take into account the long-term impact on food security and the competitiveness of the EU agricultural sector.

^{56a} Council Decision requesting the Commission to submit a study complementing the impact assessment of the proposal for a Regulation of the European Parliament and of the Council

on the sustainable use of plant protection products and amending Regulation (EU) 2021/2115, and to propose follow-up actions, if appropriate in view of the outcomes of the study, 2022/0196(COD)

Or. en

Justification

The Commissions' impact assessment does not provide adequate quantitative analyses regarding the potential impact of the proposal on the EU's agricultural sector and potential increased food dependence, nor does it consider the impact of the proposed ban of plant protection products in sensitive areas, especially given the limited availability of low-risk alternatives to regular chemical pesticides, and without similar requirements for imported foods in the EU market. This amendment therefore reflects on the Council decision from 19 December 2022, which called for complementary data on the issues outlined above, to ensure food security as a central objective of agriculture.

Amendment 354

Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 10 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(10 a) On 19 December 2022 the 15th Conference of Parties to the UN Convention on Biological Diversity adopted the “Kunming-Montreal Global Biodiversity Framework” which set among the global targets for 2030 the objective of “reducing the overall risk from pesticides and highly hazardous chemicals by at least half including through integrated pest management, based on science, taking into account food security and livelihoods”.

Or. en

Amendment 355

Dan-Ștefan Motreanu, Carmen Avram, Marian-Jean Marinescu, Daniel Buda

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 11

Text proposed by the Commission

(11) Biological control **agents are a sustainable control** alternative to the use of chemical products for the control of harmful organisms. As noted in Council Decision (EU) 2021/1102⁵⁷, biological control agents have a growing importance in sustainable agriculture and forestry and have an instrumental role to play in the success of integrated pest management **and** organic farming. Access to biological controls **facilitates** moving away from chemical plant protection products. It is appropriate to encourage farmers to switch to low input agricultural methods including organic farming. It is therefore appropriate to define the concept of biological control as a basis for Member States to set indicative targets to increase the percentage of crops on which biological control agents are used.

⁵⁷ Council Decision (EU) 2021/1102 of 28 June 2021 requesting the Commission to submit a study on the Union's situation and options regarding the introduction, evaluation, production, marketing and use of invertebrate biological control agents within the territory of the Union and a proposal, if appropriate in view of the outcomes of the study (OJ L 238, 6.7.2021, p. 81).

Amendment

(11) Biological control **is one type of** alternative to the use of chemical products, **which can be combined with other solutions such as innovative agricultural equipment, sustainable agronomic practices, etc.** for the control of harmful organisms. As noted in Council Decision (EU) 2021/1102⁵⁷, biological control agents have a growing importance in sustainable agriculture and forestry and have an instrumental role to play in the success of integrated pest management, **high technology farming, conventional farming and especially** organic farming. Access to biological controls **would facilitate** moving away from chemical plant protection products **and applying them as a last resort following the integrated pest management principles, including reduced use through precision farming techniques.** It is appropriate to encourage farmers to switch to low input agricultural methods including organic farming. It is therefore appropriate to define the concept of biological control as a basis for Member States to set indicative targets to increase the percentage of crops on which biological control agents are used.

⁵⁷ Council Decision (EU) 2021/1102 of 28 June 2021 requesting the Commission to submit a study on the Union's situation and options regarding the introduction, evaluation, production, marketing and use of invertebrate biological control agents within the territory of the Union and a proposal, if appropriate in view of the outcomes of the study (OJ L 238, 6.7.2021, p. 81).

Or. en

Justification

For a diverse, competitive and high-quality agricultural productions, all production methods should have the right to exist.

Amendment 356

Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Peter Lundgren, Hermann Tertsch, Margarita de la Pisa Carrión, Mazaly Aguilar, Evžen Tošenovský, Sergio Berlato, Jorge Buxadé Villalba, Eugen Jurzyca, Charlie Weimers, Alexander Bernhuber, Johan Nissinen

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 11

Text proposed by the Commission

(11) Biological control **agents are a sustainable control** alternative to the use of chemical products for the control of harmful organisms. As noted in Council Decision (EU) 2021/1102⁵⁷, biological control agents have a growing importance in sustainable agriculture and forestry and have an instrumental role to play in the success of integrated pest management and organic farming. Access to biological controls **facilitates** moving away from chemical plant protection products. It is appropriate to encourage farmers to switch to low input agricultural methods **including organic farming**. It is therefore appropriate to define the concept of biological control as a basis for Member States to set indicative targets to increase the percentage of crops on which biological control agents are used.

⁵⁷ Council Decision (EU) 2021/1102 of 28 June 2021 requesting the Commission to submit a study on the Union's situation and options regarding the introduction,

Amendment

(11) Biological control **is one type of** alternative to the use of chemical products, **to combine with other solutions like agronomic practices, genetics, innovative agricultural equipment, etc.** for the control of harmful organisms. As noted in Council Decision (EU) 2021/1102⁵⁷, biological control agents have a growing importance in sustainable agriculture and forestry and have an instrumental role to play in the success of integrated pest management and **both organic, high-technological and conventional** farming. Access to biological controls **would facilitate** moving away from chemical plant protection products **and apply them as a last resort following the Integrated Pest Management (IPM) principles, including reduced use through precision farming techniques**. It is appropriate to encourage farmers to switch to low input agricultural methods. It is therefore appropriate to define the concept of biological control as a basis for Member States to set indicative targets to increase the percentage of crops on which biological control agents are used.

⁵⁷ Council Decision (EU) 2021/1102 of 28 June 2021 requesting the Commission to submit a study on the Union's situation and options regarding the introduction,

evaluation, production, marketing and use of invertebrate biological control agents within the territory of the Union and a proposal, if appropriate in view of the outcomes of the study (OJ L 238, 6.7.2021, p. 81).

evaluation, production, marketing and use of invertebrate biological control agents within the territory of the Union and a proposal, if appropriate in view of the outcomes of the study (OJ L 238, 6.7.2021, p. 81).

Or. en

Justification

For a diverse, competitive and high-quality agricultural productions, all production methods should have the right to exist. Plus, through the application of IPM both organic and conventional farmers must prioritise the use of low-risk products and it is in their interests to reduce their inputs. However, the lack of proper tools and the scarce number of effective plant protection products currently in the market make those farmers need to repeat more than the usual the number of applications, which increases the volume used of certain active substances (chemical or not). Considering the approach of “one-size-fits-all” is not the right approach for the Commission. We would propose to reject the proposal from the Parliament as chemicals are and will still be part of the Integrated Pest Management systems although we may ensure that they can really be used as a last resort (e.g., through putting feasible alternatives in the market).

Amendment 357

Eric Andrieu

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 11

Text proposed by the Commission

(11) Les agents de lutte biologique constituent une solution de substitution compatible avec le développement durable à l'utilisation des produits chimiques pour lutter contre les organismes nuisibles. Comme indiqué dans la décision (UE) 2021/1102 du Conseil⁵⁷, les agents de lutte biologique ont une importance croissante dans l'agriculture et la sylviculture durables, et ont un rôle déterminant à jouer dans la réussite de la lutte intégrée contre les ennemis des cultures et de l'agriculture biologique. L'accès aux agents de lutte biologique facilite l'abandon des produits phytopharmaceutiques chimiques. Il convient d'encourager les agriculteurs à se

Amendment

(11) Les agents de lutte biologique constituent une solution de substitution compatible avec le développement durable à l'utilisation des produits chimiques pour lutter contre les organismes nuisibles. Comme indiqué dans la décision (UE) 2021/1102 du Conseil⁵⁷, les agents de lutte biologique ont une importance croissante dans l'agriculture et la sylviculture durables, et ont un rôle déterminant à jouer dans la réussite de la lutte intégrée contre les ennemis des cultures et de l'agriculture biologique. L'accès aux agents de lutte biologique facilite l'abandon des produits phytopharmaceutiques chimiques. Il convient d'encourager les agriculteurs à se

tourner vers des méthodes agricoles à faible niveau d'intrants, notamment l'agriculture biologique. Par conséquent, il y a lieu de définir le concept de protection biologique pour permettre aux États membres de fixer des objectifs indicatifs en vue d'augmenter le pourcentage de cultures sur lesquelles des agents de lutte biologique sont utilisés.

tourner vers des méthodes agricoles à faible niveau d'intrants, notamment l'agriculture biologique. Par conséquent, il y a lieu de définir le concept de protection biologique pour permettre aux États membres de fixer des objectifs indicatifs en vue d'augmenter le pourcentage de cultures sur lesquelles des agents de lutte biologique sont utilisés. ***Il convient également, à l'avenir, d'utiliser tous les moyens pour faciliter la mise sur le marché rapide des produits de lutte biologique au moyen d'un règlement spécifique sur la lutte biologique.***

⁵⁷ Décision (UE) 2021/1102 du Conseil du 28 juin 2021 invitant la Commission à soumettre une étude sur la situation et les options de l'Union en ce qui concerne l'introduction, l'évaluation, la production, la mise sur le marché et l'utilisation d'agents de lutte biologique invertébrés sur le territoire de l'Union, et une proposition, le cas échéant, pour tenir compte des résultats de l'étude (JO L 238 du 6.7.2021, p. 81).

⁵⁷ Décision (UE) 2021/1102 du Conseil du 28 juin 2021 invitant la Commission à soumettre une étude sur la situation et les options de l'Union en ce qui concerne l'introduction, l'évaluation, la production, la mise sur le marché et l'utilisation d'agents de lutte biologique invertébrés sur le territoire de l'Union, et une proposition, le cas échéant, pour tenir compte des résultats de l'étude (JO L 238 du 6.7.2021, p. 81).

Or. fr

Amendment 358

Edina Tóth

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 11

Text proposed by the Commission

(11) Biological control agents are a sustainable control alternative to the use of chemical products for the control of harmful organisms. As noted in Council Decision (EU) 2021/1102⁵⁷, biological control agents have a growing importance in sustainable agriculture and forestry and have an instrumental role to play in the success of integrated pest management and

Amendment

(11) Biological control agents are a sustainable control alternative to the use of chemical products for the control of harmful organisms. As noted in Council Decision (EU) 2021/1102⁵⁷, biological control agents have a growing importance in sustainable agriculture and forestry and have an instrumental role to play in the success of integrated pest management and

organic farming. Access to biological controls facilitates moving away from chemical plant protection products. It is appropriate to encourage farmers to switch to low input agricultural methods including organic farming. ***It is therefore appropriate to define the concept of biological control as a basis for Member States to set indicative targets to increase the percentage of crops on which biological control agents are used.***

⁵⁷ Council Decision (EU) 2021/1102 of 28 June 2021 requesting the Commission to submit a study on the Union's situation and options regarding the introduction, evaluation, production, marketing and use of invertebrate biological control agents within the territory of the Union and a proposal, if appropriate in view of the outcomes of the study (OJ L 238, 6.7.2021, p. 81).

organic farming. Access to biological controls facilitates moving away from chemical plant protection products. It is appropriate to encourage farmers to switch to low input agricultural methods including organic farming.

⁵⁷ Council Decision (EU) 2021/1102 of 28 June 2021 requesting the Commission to submit a study on the Union's situation and options regarding the introduction, evaluation, production, marketing and use of invertebrate biological control agents within the territory of the Union and a proposal, if appropriate in view of the outcomes of the study (OJ L 238, 6.7.2021, p. 81).

Or. en

Amendment 359

Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław Kalinowski, Joanna Kopcińska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

Proposal for a regulation Recital 11

Text proposed by the Commission

(11) Biological control agents are a sustainable control alternative to the use of chemical products for the control of harmful organisms. As noted in Council Decision (EU) 2021/1102⁵⁷, biological control agents have a growing importance in sustainable agriculture and forestry and have an instrumental role to play in the success of integrated pest management and organic farming. Access to biological controls facilitates moving away from chemical plant protection products. It is

Amendment

(11) Biological control agents are a sustainable control alternative to the use of chemical products for the control of harmful organisms. As noted in Council Decision (EU) 2021/1102⁵⁷, biological control agents have a growing importance in sustainable agriculture and forestry and have an instrumental role to play in the success of integrated pest management and organic farming. Access to biological controls facilitates moving away from chemical plant protection products. It is

appropriate to encourage farmers to switch to low input agricultural methods including organic farming. ***It is therefore appropriate to define the concept of biological control as a basis for Member States to set indicative targets to increase the percentage of crops on which biological control agents are used.***

⁵⁷ Council Decision (EU) 2021/1102 of 28 June 2021 requesting the Commission to submit a study on the Union's situation and options regarding the introduction, evaluation, production, marketing and use of invertebrate biological control agents within the territory of the Union and a proposal, if appropriate in view of the outcomes of the study (OJ L 238, 6.7.2021, p. 81).

appropriate to encourage farmers to switch to low input agricultural methods including organic farming.

⁵⁷ Council Decision (EU) 2021/1102 of 28 June 2021 requesting the Commission to submit a study on the Union's situation and options regarding the introduction, evaluation, production, marketing and use of invertebrate biological control agents within the territory of the Union and a proposal, if appropriate in view of the outcomes of the study (OJ L 238, 6.7.2021, p. 81).

Or. en

Justification

The availability of biological control agents is beyond control of the Member States. It would impose excessive burden on the national competent authorities and farmers.

Amendment 360

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjärd

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 11

Text proposed by the Commission

(11) Biological ***control agents*** are a sustainable control alternative to the use of chemical products ***for the control of harmful organisms***. As noted in Council Decision (EU) 2021/1102⁵⁷, biological ***control agents*** have a growing importance in sustainable agriculture and forestry and have an instrumental role to play in the success of integrated pest management and

Amendment

(11) Biological ***plant protection products*** are a ***possible*** sustainable control alternative to the use of chemical ***plant protection*** products. As noted in Council Decision (EU) 2021/1102⁵⁷, biological ***plant protection products*** have a growing importance in sustainable agriculture and forestry and have an instrumental role to play in the success of integrated pest

organic farming. Access to biological **controls** facilitates moving away from chemical plant protection products. It is appropriate to encourage farmers to switch to low input agricultural methods including organic farming. ***It is therefore appropriate to define the concept of biological control as a basis for Member States to set indicative targets to increase the percentage of crops on which biological control agents are used.***

⁵⁷ Council Decision (EU) 2021/1102 of 28 June 2021 requesting the Commission to submit a study on the Union's situation and options regarding the introduction, evaluation, production, marketing and use of invertebrate biological control agents within the territory of the Union and a proposal, if appropriate in view of the outcomes of the study (OJ L 238, 6.7.2021, p. 81).

management and organic farming. Access to biological **plant protection products** facilitates moving away from chemical plant protection products. It is appropriate to encourage farmers to switch to low input agricultural methods including organic farming.

⁵⁷ Council Decision (EU) 2021/1102 of 28 June 2021 requesting the Commission to submit a study on the Union's situation and options regarding the introduction, evaluation, production, marketing and use of invertebrate biological control agents within the territory of the Union and a proposal, if appropriate in view of the outcomes of the study (OJ L 238, 6.7.2021, p. 81).

Or. en

Amendment 361

Robert Roos, Rob Rooker

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 11

Text proposed by the Commission

(11) Biologische bestrijdingsmiddelen zijn een duurzaam bestrijdingsalternatief voor het gebruik van chemische producten voor de bestrijding van schadelijke organismen. Zoals opgemerkt in Besluit (EU) 2021/1102 van de Raad⁵⁷ worden biologische bestrijdingsmiddelen steeds belangrijker in de duurzame land- en bosbouw en moeten zij een belangrijke rol spelen in het succes van geïntegreerde gewasbescherming en biologische landbouw. Toegang tot biologische bestrijdingsmiddelen bevordert de afbouw

Amendment

(11) Biologische bestrijdingsmiddelen zijn een duurzaam bestrijdingsalternatief voor het gebruik van chemische producten voor de bestrijding van schadelijke organismen. Zoals opgemerkt in Besluit (EU) 2021/1102 van de Raad⁵⁷ worden biologische bestrijdingsmiddelen steeds belangrijker in de duurzame land- en bosbouw en moeten zij een belangrijke rol spelen in het succes van geïntegreerde gewasbescherming en biologische landbouw. Toegang tot biologische bestrijdingsmiddelen bevordert de afbouw

van chemische gewasbeschermingsmiddelen. Het is passend om landbouwers te stimuleren om over te stappen op landbouwmethoden met een lage input, waaronder biologische landbouw. Daarom is het passend om het concept van biologische bestrijding te definiëren als een uitgangspunt voor lidstaten voor de vaststelling van indicatieve streefdoelen voor de verhoging van het percentage gewassen waarop biologische bestrijdingsmiddelen worden gebruikt.

⁵⁷ Besluit (EU) 2021/1102 van de Raad van 28 juni 2021 met het verzoek aan de Commissie een studie voor te leggen over de situatie en de opties van de Unie met betrekking tot de invoering, de evaluatie, de productie, het in de handel brengen en het gebruik van ongewervelde biologische bestrijdingsmiddelen op het grondgebied van de Unie, en tevens een voorstel in te dienen indien passend in het licht van de resultaten van de studie (PB L 238 van 6.7.2021, blz. 81).

van chemische gewasbeschermingsmiddelen. Het is passend om landbouwers te stimuleren om over te stappen op landbouwmethoden met een lage input, waaronder biologische landbouw. ***Voorkomen moet echter worden dat de voedsel- en bestaanszekerheid in de EU en mondiaal in gevaar komt.*** Daarom is het passend om het concept van biologische bestrijding te definiëren als een uitgangspunt voor lidstaten voor de vaststelling van indicatieve streefdoelen voor de verhoging van het percentage gewassen waarop biologische bestrijdingsmiddelen worden gebruikt.

⁵⁷ Besluit (EU) 2021/1102 van de Raad van 28 juni 2021 met het verzoek aan de Commissie een studie voor te leggen over de situatie en de opties van de Unie met betrekking tot de invoering, de evaluatie, de productie, het in de handel brengen en het gebruik van ongewervelde biologische bestrijdingsmiddelen op het grondgebied van de Unie, en tevens een voorstel in te dienen indien passend in het licht van de resultaten van de studie (PB L 238 van 6.7.2021, blz. 81).

Or. nl

Amendment 362

Sylvia Limmer

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 11

Text proposed by the Commission

(11) Biologische Bekämpfungsmittel **sind** eine nachhaltige **Alternative zur** chemischen Bekämpfung von Schadorganismen. Laut Beschluss (EU) 2021/1102 des Rates⁵⁷ spielen biologische Bekämpfungsmittel in der nachhaltigen

Amendment

(11) **So genannt** "biologische" Bekämpfungsmittel **könnten** eine nachhaltige **Ergänzung zur so genannt** "chemischen" Bekämpfung von Schadorganismen **sein**. Laut Beschluss (EU) 2021/1102 des Rates⁵⁷ spielen

Land- und Forstwirtschaft, und insbesondere bei der Umsetzung des integrierten Pflanzenschutzes und des ökologischen/biologischen Landbaus, eine immer wichtigere Rolle. Der Zugang zu biologischen Bekämpfungsmitteln ***macht es leichter, von chemischen*** Pflanzenschutzmitteln ***Abstand zu nehmen***. Es ist angemessen, Landwirte zum Wechsel zu ressourcenschonenden landwirtschaftlichen Methoden wie dem ökologischen/biologischen Landbau zu ermutigen. Es ist daher zweckmäßig, das Konzept der biologischen Bekämpfung als Grundlage für die Mitgliedstaaten zur Festlegung von Richtzielen zur Erhöhung des Anteils der Kulturpflanzen, bei denen biologische Bekämpfungsmittel verwendet werden, festzulegen.

⁵⁷ Beschluss (EU) 2021/1102 des Rates vom 28. Juni 2021 mit dem Ersuchen an die Kommission, eine Untersuchung über die Lage der Union und ihre Möglichkeiten im Hinblick auf Einführung, Bewertung, Herstellung, Inverkehrbringen und Einsatz von wirbellosen biologischen Bekämpfungsmitteln im Gebiet der Union sowie, falls dies angesichts der Ergebnisse der Untersuchung angemessen ist, einen Vorschlag vorzulegen (ABl. L 238 vom 6.7.2021, S. 81).

biologische Bekämpfungsmittel in der nachhaltigen Land- und Forstwirtschaft, und insbesondere bei der Umsetzung des integrierten Pflanzenschutzes und des ökologischen/biologischen Landbaus, eine immer wichtigere Rolle. Der Zugang zu biologischen Bekämpfungsmitteln ***könnte es erleichtern, die Abhängigkeit von den sensitivsten*** Pflanzenschutzmitteln ***zu verringern***. Es ist angemessen, Landwirte zum Wechsel zu ressourcenschonenden landwirtschaftlichen Methoden wie dem ökologischen/biologischen Landbau zu ermutigen. Es ist daher zweckmäßig, das Konzept der biologischen Bekämpfung als Grundlage für die Mitgliedstaaten zur Festlegung von ***nicht verbindlichen*** Richtzielen zur Erhöhung des Anteils der Kulturpflanzen, bei denen biologische Bekämpfungsmittel verwendet werden, festzulegen.

⁵⁷ Beschluss (EU) 2021/1102 des Rates vom 28. Juni 2021 mit dem Ersuchen an die Kommission, eine Untersuchung über die Lage der Union und ihre Möglichkeiten im Hinblick auf Einführung, Bewertung, Herstellung, Inverkehrbringen und Einsatz von wirbellosen biologischen Bekämpfungsmitteln im Gebiet der Union sowie, falls dies angesichts der Ergebnisse der Untersuchung angemessen ist, einen Vorschlag vorzulegen (ABl. L 238 vom 6.7.2021, S. 81).

Or. de

Amendment 363

Jan Huitema, Emma Wiesner, Erik Poulsen, Billy Kelleher, Asger Christensen

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 11

Text proposed by the Commission

(11) Biological control agents are a

Amendment

(11) Biological control agents are a

sustainable control alternative to the use of chemical products for the control of harmful organisms. As noted in Council Decision (EU) 2021/1102⁵⁷, biological control agents have a growing importance in sustainable agriculture and forestry and have an instrumental role to play in the success of integrated pest management and organic farming. Access to biological controls facilitates moving away from chemical plant protection products. It is appropriate to encourage farmers to switch to low input agricultural methods including organic farming. It is therefore appropriate to define the concept of biological control **as a basis for Member States to set indicative targets to increase the percentage of crops on which biological control agents are used.**

⁵⁷ Council Decision (EU) 2021/1102 of 28 June 2021 requesting the Commission to submit a study on the Union's situation and options regarding the introduction, evaluation, production, marketing and use of invertebrate biological control agents within the territory of the Union and a proposal, if appropriate in view of the outcomes of the study (OJ L 238, 6.7.2021, p. 81).

sustainable control alternative to the use of chemical products for the control of harmful organisms. As noted in Council Decision (EU) 2021/1102⁵⁷, biological control agents have a growing importance in sustainable agriculture and forestry and have an instrumental role to play in the success of integrated pest management and organic farming. Access to biological controls facilitates moving away from chemical plant protection products. It is appropriate to encourage farmers to switch to low input agricultural methods including organic farming. It is therefore appropriate to define the concept of biological control **and set targets for increasing the sales of low risk and biological control plant protection products.**

⁵⁷ Council Decision (EU) 2021/1102 of 28 June 2021 requesting the Commission to submit a study on the Union's situation and options regarding the introduction, evaluation, production, marketing and use of invertebrate biological control agents within the territory of the Union and a proposal, if appropriate in view of the outcomes of the study (OJ L 238, 6.7.2021, p. 81).

Or. en

Amendment 364

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 11

Text proposed by the Commission

(11) Biological control agents are a sustainable control alternative to the use of chemical products for the control of harmful organisms. As noted in Council Decision (EU) 2021/1102⁵⁷, biological

Amendment

(11) Biological control agents are a sustainable control alternative to the use of chemical products for the control of harmful organisms. As noted in Council Decision (EU) 2021/1102⁵⁷, biological

control agents have a growing importance in sustainable agriculture and forestry and have an instrumental role to play in the success of integrated pest management and organic farming. Access to biological controls facilitates moving away from chemical plant protection products. It is appropriate to encourage farmers to switch to low input agricultural methods including organic farming. It is therefore appropriate to define the concept of biological control as a basis for Member States to set **indicative** targets to increase the percentage of crops on which biological control agents are used.

⁵⁷ Council Decision (EU) 2021/1102 of 28 June 2021 requesting the Commission to submit a study on the Union's situation and options regarding the introduction, evaluation, production, marketing and use of invertebrate biological control agents within the territory of the Union and a proposal, if appropriate in view of the outcomes of the study (OJ L 238, 6.7.2021, p. 81).

control agents have a growing importance in sustainable agriculture and forestry and have an instrumental role to play in the success of integrated pest management and organic farming. Access to biological controls facilitates moving away from chemical plant protection products. It is appropriate to encourage farmers to switch to low input agricultural methods including organic farming. It is therefore appropriate to define the concept of biological control as a basis for Member States to set **binding** targets to increase the percentage of crops on which biological control agents are used.

⁵⁷ Council Decision (EU) 2021/1102 of 28 June 2021 requesting the Commission to submit a study on the Union's situation and options regarding the introduction, evaluation, production, marketing and use of invertebrate biological control agents within the territory of the Union and a proposal, if appropriate in view of the outcomes of the study (OJ L 238, 6.7.2021, p. 81).

Or. en

Amendment 365

Michal Wiezik

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 11 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(11 a) There are innumerable accounts of direct and covert interference by agrochemical companies and concerted efforts to sustain IPM beliefs that are aligned with their business plans ^{1a}. As a result, implementation has often diverged from fundamental IPM principles, so that chemical control remains the basis of most plant health programmes.

Furthermore, IPM research has sometimes paid insufficient attention to the ecological functioning of agroecosystems^{2a}. IPM implementation in accordance with this Regulation should be based on the underlying ecological concepts.

***^{1a} Goulson D (2020) Pesticides, Corporate Irresponsibility, and the Fate of Our Planet. One Earth 2:302–305.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.oneear.2020.03.004>***

^{2a} European Academies' Science Advisory Council, February 2023: EASAC Policy Report no 45- Neonicotinoids and their substitutes in sustainable pest control. ISBN 978-619-92418-1-3 (PDF)

Or. en

Amendment 366

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjård

Proposal for a regulation Recital 12

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(12) The objective of the Farm to Fork Strategy is to make substantial progress in the reduction of the use of chemical plant protection products in an economically viable way. In order to achieve that aim, it is necessary to set quantified targets at Union and Member State levels for the reduction in the use and risk of chemical plant protection products and the use of more hazardous plant protection products to monitor progress. National targets should be established by national law in order to ensure adequate progress and accountability in relation to them. These

deleted

binding national targets should also be achieved by Member States by 2030. The reduction in the use of chemical plant protection products is expected to significantly reduce occupational safety and health risks for professional users.

Or. en

Amendment 367

Jan Huitema, Emma Wiesner, Erik Poulsen, Billy Kelleher, Asger Christensen

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 12

Text proposed by the Commission

(12) The objective of the Farm to Fork Strategy is to make substantial progress in the reduction of the use of chemical plant protection products in an economically viable way. In order to achieve that aim, it is necessary to set quantified targets at Union and Member State levels for the reduction in the use and risk of chemical plant protection products and the use of more hazardous plant protection products to monitor progress. National targets should be established by national law in order to ensure adequate progress and accountability in relation to them. These binding national targets should also be achieved by Member States by 2030. The reduction in the use of chemical plant protection products is expected to significantly reduce occupational safety and health risks for professional users.

Amendment

(12) The objective of the Farm to Fork Strategy is to make substantial progress in the reduction of the use of chemical plant protection products in an economically viable way. In order to achieve that aim, it is necessary to set quantified targets at Union and Member State levels for the reduction in the use and risk of chemical plant protection products and the use of more hazardous plant protection products to monitor progress. National targets should be established by national law in order to ensure adequate progress and accountability in relation to them. These binding national targets should also be achieved by Member States by 2030. The reduction in the use of chemical plant protection products is expected to significantly reduce occupational safety and health risks for professional users. ***In order to ensure that professional users of plant protection products are still able to adequately protect crops against pests and diseases, this regulation should also ensure that more low risk and biological control plant protection products are available. Considering that a reduction in chemical plant protection products is only sustainable if crop failures in agriculture can continue to be prevented, the***

reduction targets for chemical plant protection products should only apply if sufficient alternatives are available and new genomic techniques can be used to grow healthier crops. Therefore, this regulation should set Union and national targets to increase the sales of low risk and biological control plant protection products ('replacement targets').

Or. en

Amendment 368

Edina Tóth

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 12

Text proposed by the Commission

(12) The objective of the Farm to Fork Strategy is to make substantial progress in the reduction of the use of chemical plant protection products in an economically viable way. In order to achieve that aim, it is necessary to set quantified targets at Union *and Member State levels for the reduction in the use and risk of chemical plant protection products and the use of more hazardous plant protection products to monitor progress. National targets should be established by national law in order to ensure adequate progress and accountability in relation to them. These binding national targets should also be achieved by Member States by 2030. The reduction in the use of chemical plant protection products is expected to significantly reduce occupational safety and health risks for professional users.*

Amendment

(12) The objective of the Farm to Fork Strategy is to make substantial progress in the reduction of the use of chemical plant protection products in an economically viable way. In order to achieve that aim, it is necessary to set quantified targets at Union *level.*

Or. en

Amendment 369

Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 12

Text proposed by the Commission

(12) The objective of the Farm to Fork Strategy is to make substantial progress in the reduction of the use of chemical plant protection products in an economically viable way. In order to achieve that aim, it is necessary to set quantified targets at Union **and Member State levels for the reduction in the use and risk of chemical plant protection products and the use of more hazardous plant protection products to monitor progress. National targets should be established by national law in order to ensure adequate progress and accountability in relation to them. These binding national targets should also be achieved by Member States by 2030. The reduction in the use of chemical plant protection products is expected to significantly reduce occupational safety and health risks for professional users.**

Amendment

(12) The objective of the Farm to Fork Strategy is to make substantial progress in the reduction of the use of chemical plant protection products in an economically viable way. In order to achieve that aim, it is necessary to set quantified targets at Union **level.**

Or. en

Amendment 370
Robert Roos, Rob Rooker

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 12

Text proposed by the Commission

(12) De “van boer tot bord”-strategie heeft tot doel om op een economisch haalbare manier aanzienlijke vooruitgang te boeken bij de vermindering van het gebruik van chemische gewasbeschermingsmiddelen. Om dat doel te bereiken, moeten voor de Unie en de lidstaten gekwantificeerde doelen worden vastgesteld voor de vermindering van het

Amendment

(12) De “van boer tot bord”-strategie heeft tot doel om op een economisch haalbare manier aanzienlijke vooruitgang te boeken bij de vermindering van het gebruik van chemische gewasbeschermingsmiddelen. Om dat doel te bereiken, moeten voor de Unie en de lidstaten gekwantificeerde doelen worden vastgesteld voor de vermindering van het

gebruik en risico van chemische gewasbeschermingsmiddelen en het gebruik van gevaarlijkere gewasbeschermingsmiddelen, zodat de vooruitgang kan worden gemonitord. Nationale doelstellingen moeten in het interne recht worden opgenomen om ter zake goede vooruitgang en controleerbaarheid te waarborgen. Deze bindende nationale doelstellingen moeten door de lidstaten ook in 2030 zijn verwezenlijkt. De vermindering van het gebruik van chemische gewasbeschermingsmiddelen zal naar verwachting de risico's voor de veiligheid en gezondheid op het werk voor professionele gebruikers aanzienlijk verkleinen.

gebruik en risico van chemische gewasbeschermingsmiddelen en het gebruik van gevaarlijkere gewasbeschermingsmiddelen, zodat de vooruitgang kan worden gemonitord. ***Daarbij is het van belang om gedetailleerd te kijken naar de specifieke impact van een gewassbestrijdingsmiddel op het milieu, en daarbij, in lijn met de verdragen, een lidstaatspecifieke aanpak te kiezen.*** Nationale doelstellingen moeten in het interne recht worden opgenomen om ter zake goede vooruitgang en controleerbaarheid te waarborgen. Deze bindende nationale doelstellingen moeten, ***waar mogelijk***, door de lidstaten ook in 2030 zijn verwezenlijkt, ***tenzij dit de betaalbaarheid van voedsel onder druk zet.*** De vermindering van het gebruik van chemische gewasbeschermingsmiddelen zal naar verwachting de risico's voor de veiligheid en gezondheid op het werk voor professionele gebruikers aanzienlijk verkleinen.

Or. nl

Amendment 371

Inese Vaidere

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 12

Text proposed by the Commission

(12) The objective of the Farm to Fork Strategy is to make substantial progress in the reduction of the use of chemical plant protection products in an economically viable way. In order to achieve that aim, it is necessary to set quantified targets at Union and Member State levels for the reduction in the use and risk of chemical plant protection products and the use of more hazardous plant protection products to monitor progress. ***National targets should be established by national law in***

Amendment

(12) The objective of the Farm to Fork Strategy is to make substantial progress in the reduction of the use of chemical plant protection products in an economically viable way. In order to achieve that aim, it is necessary to set quantified targets at Union and Member State levels for the reduction in the use and risk of chemical plant protection products and the use of more hazardous plant protection products to monitor progress. These ***Union*** targets should also be achieved by 2030. The

order to ensure adequate progress and accountability in relation to them. These ***binding national*** targets should also be achieved ***by Member States*** by 2030. The reduction in the use of chemical plant protection products is expected to significantly reduce occupational safety and health risks for professional users.

reduction in the use of chemical plant protection products is expected to significantly reduce occupational safety and health risks for professional users.

Or. en

Amendment 372

Peter Liese

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 12

Text proposed by the Commission

(12) The objective of the Farm to Fork Strategy is to make substantial progress in the reduction of the use of chemical plant protection products in an economically viable way. In order to achieve that aim, it is necessary to set quantified targets at Union and Member State levels for the reduction in the use and risk of chemical plant protection products and the use of more hazardous plant protection products to monitor progress. National targets should be established by national law in order to ensure adequate progress and accountability in relation to them. These binding national targets should also be achieved by Member States ***by 2030***. The reduction in the use of chemical plant protection products is expected to significantly reduce occupational safety and health risks for professional users.

Amendment

(12) The objective of the Farm to Fork Strategy is to make substantial progress in the reduction of the use of chemical plant protection products in an economically viable way. In order to achieve that aim, it is necessary to set quantified targets at Union and Member State levels for the reduction in the use and risk of chemical plant protection products and the use of more hazardous plant protection products to monitor progress. National targets should be established by national law in order to ensure adequate progress and accountability in relation to them. These binding national targets should also be achieved by Member States ***10 years after the entry into force of this Regulation***. The reduction in the use of chemical plant protection products is expected to significantly reduce occupational safety and health risks for professional users.

Or. en

Amendment 373

Dan-Ștefan Motreanu, Carmen Avram, Marian-Jean Marinescu, Daniel Buda

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 12

Text proposed by the Commission

(12) The objective of the Farm to Fork Strategy is to make substantial progress in the reduction of the use of chemical plant protection products in an economically viable way. In order to achieve that aim, it is necessary to set quantified targets at Union and Member State levels for the reduction in the use and risk of chemical plant protection products and the use of more hazardous plant protection products to monitor progress. National targets should be established by national law in order to ensure adequate progress and accountability in relation to them. These **binding** national **targets** should also be achieved by Member States by **2030**. The reduction in the use of chemical plant protection products is expected to significantly reduce occupational safety and health risks for professional users.

Amendment

(12) The objective of the Farm to Fork Strategy is to make substantial progress in the reduction of the use of chemical plant protection products in an economically viable way. In order to achieve that aim, it is necessary to set quantified targets at Union and Member State levels for the reduction in the use and risk of chemical plant protection products and the use of more hazardous plant protection products to monitor progress. National **contributions to the** targets should be established by national law in order to ensure adequate progress and accountability in relation to them. These national **contributions** should also be achieved by Member States by **2035**. The reduction in the use of chemical plant protection products is expected to significantly reduce occupational safety and health risks for professional users.

Or. en

Justification

Member States should not be subject to legally binding targets without the EC conducting a comprehensive impact assessment on the effects of these targets for agricultural productivity and food security. Furthermore legally, binding targets should not be set for MS if there are no available viable alternatives at national level for farmers.

Amendment 374

Franc Bogovič, Marlene Mortler, Ljudmila Novak, Alexander Bernhuber

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 12

Text proposed by the Commission

(12) The objective of the Farm to Fork Strategy is to make substantial progress in

Amendment

(12) The objective of the Farm to Fork Strategy is to make substantial progress in

the reduction of the use of chemical plant protection products in an economically viable way. In order to achieve that aim, it is necessary to set quantified targets at Union **and Member State levels** for the reduction in the use and risk of chemical plant protection products and the use of more hazardous plant protection products to monitor progress. **National targets should be established by national law in order to ensure adequate progress and accountability in relation to them.** These **binding** national targets should also be achieved by Member States by 2030. The reduction in the use of chemical plant protection products is expected to significantly reduce occupational safety and health risks for professional users.

the reduction of the use of chemical plant protection products in an economically viable way. In order to achieve that aim, it is necessary to set quantified targets at Union **level** for the reduction in the use and risk of chemical plant protection products and the use of more hazardous plant protection products to monitor progress. **Member States should contribute to approach the EU target, in line with each Member state's contribution to the EU average of use of chemical plant protection products.** These national targets should also be achieved by Member States by 2030. The reduction in the use of chemical plant protection products is expected to significantly reduce occupational safety and health risks for professional users.

Or. en

Justification

Binding targets should not be set for Member States if there are no available viable alternatives at national level for farmers.

Amendment 375 **Esther de Lange**

Proposal for a regulation **Recital 12**

Text proposed by the Commission

(12) The objective of the Farm to Fork Strategy is to make substantial progress in the reduction of the use of chemical plant protection products in an economically viable way. In order to achieve that aim, it is necessary to set quantified targets at Union and Member State levels for the reduction in the use and risk of chemical plant protection products and the use of more hazardous plant protection products to monitor progress. National targets should be established by national law in

Amendment

(12) The objective of the Farm to Fork Strategy is to make substantial progress in the reduction of the use of chemical plant protection products in an economically viable way. In order to achieve that aim, it is necessary to set quantified targets at Union and Member State levels for the reduction in the use and risk of chemical plant protection products and the use of more hazardous plant protection products to monitor progress. National targets should be established by national law in

order to ensure adequate progress and accountability in relation to them. These binding national targets should also be achieved by Member States by **2030**. The reduction in the use of chemical plant protection products is expected to significantly reduce occupational safety and health risks for professional users.

order to ensure adequate progress and accountability in relation to them. These binding national targets should also be achieved by Member States by **2035**. The reduction in the use of chemical plant protection products is expected to significantly reduce occupational safety and health risks for professional users.

Or. en

Amendment 376
Stanislav Polčák

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 12

Text proposed by the Commission

(12) Cílem **strategie** „od zemědělce ke spotřebiteli“ je dosáhnout podstatného pokroku v omezování používání chemických přípravků na ochranu rostlin ekonomicky životaschopným způsobem. K dosažení tohoto cíle je nezbytné stanovit na úrovni Unie a na úrovni členských států kvantifikované cíle pro omezení používání chemických přípravků na ochranu rostlin a snížení rizika s nimi spojeného a omezení používání nebezpečnějších přípravků na ochranu rostlin s cílem sledovat pokrok. Vnitrostátní cíle by měly být stanoveny vnitrostátními právními předpisy, aby byl zajištěn odpovídající pokrok a odpovědnost ve vztahu k těmto cílům. Těchto závazných vnitrostátních cílů by měly členské státy rovněž dosáhnout do roku 2030. Očekává se, že omezením používání chemických přípravků na ochranu rostlin se významně sníží rizika profesionálních uživatelů v oblasti bezpečnosti a ochrany zdraví při práci.

Amendment

(12) Cílem **Strategie** „od zemědělce ke spotřebiteli“ je dosáhnout podstatného pokroku v omezování používání chemických přípravků na ochranu rostlin ekonomicky životaschopným způsobem. K dosažení tohoto cíle je nezbytné stanovit na úrovni Unie a na úrovni členských států kvantifikované cíle pro omezení používání chemických přípravků na ochranu rostlin a snížení rizika s nimi spojeného a omezení používání nebezpečnějších přípravků na ochranu rostlin s cílem sledovat pokrok. Vnitrostátní cíle by měly být stanoveny vnitrostátními právními předpisy, aby byl zajištěn odpovídající pokrok a odpovědnost ve vztahu k těmto cílům. Těchto závazných vnitrostátních cílů by měly členské státy rovněž dosáhnout do roku 2030. Očekává se, že omezením používání chemických přípravků na ochranu rostlin se významně sníží rizika profesionálních uživatelů v oblasti bezpečnosti a ochrany zdraví při práci.

Or. cs

Amendment 377

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 12 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(12 a) Russia's unprovoked and illegal war of aggression against Ukraine has resulted in a severe loss of lives and livelihoods as well as environmental and material damage, while also causing concerns about food security both in Ukraine and globally. The Commission's comprehensive analysis of the drivers of food security^{1a} points at the urgency of transitioning to a sustainable food system capable of ensuring food security in both the short- and long-term. Soil, water, biodiversity, and air are basic requirements for food production. Biophysical and environmental drivers leave a major imprint on the land as EU's major resource for food production, inducing land cover and land-use changes and thus affecting food production systems. This analysis has identified that the current high input intensive agricultural model, based on chemical pesticides, is likely to pose a food security threat in the medium term due to a loss of biodiversity, the likely increase in pests, decline in soil health and loss of pollinators which are essential to agricultural production. Reducing the EU pesticide dependency is a driver of food security and self-sufficiency.

^{1a} ***Commission Staff Working Document, Drivers of food safety, SWD(2023)4 final***

Or. en

Amendment 378

Dan-Ştefan Motreanu, Carmen Avram, Marian-Jean Marinescu, Daniel Buda

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 12 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(12 a) In March 2022, 12 Member States published a “non-paper” raising concerns about a draft legislative act and the sustainable use of pesticides and submitted it to Council. In June 2022, 10 Member States once again submitted a non-paper to the Council repeating the concerns shared in the previous non-paper. Concerning pesticide reduction targets, the Member States highlighted that the 50% reduction targets should apply to the EU as a whole. In December 2022, the Council agreed to trigger Article 241 of the TFEU, requesting the Commission to submit a study complementing the impact assessment of the Commission proposal to the Regulation on the Sustainable Use of Pesticides.

Or. en

Justification

Council requests that the Commission submit, as soon as possible to facilitate the ongoing legislative process, a study complementing the existing impact assessment of the SUR proposal on the following aspects: impacts on food production and yields at EU and MS levels including for specific crops; consequences for food and feed availability in the EU; increase in prices for food and feed including staple foods; impact of increased admin burden on competitiveness of small and medium farms; availability of alternatives and potential increased risk in introduction of harmful organisms; Quantification of impact of ban of PPPs on “Sensitive Areas”; quantification of consequences of SUR proposal on forestry and forestry dependent biodiversity.

Amendment 379

Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Peter Lundgren, Hermann Tertsch, Margarita de la Pisa Carrión, Mazaly Aguilar, Evžen Tošenovský, Sergio Berlato, Jorge Buxadé Villalba, Eugen Jurzyca, Pietro Fiocchi, Charlie Weimers, Alexander Bernhuber, Johan Nissinen

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 12 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(12 a) Since the European Commission presented the Green Deal, including the Farm to Fork Strategy in May 2020, numerous impact assessments have been conducted in order to measure the impact of the Commission proposals on European agriculture and food security in the Union. One of these studies, conducted by Wageningen University and Research, found that the proposed targets could lead to an average production decline of up to 20%^{12a}.

^{12a} <https://www.wur.nl/en/research-results/research-institutes/economic-research/show-wecr/green-deal-probably-leads-to-lower-agricultural-yields.htm>

Or. en

Amendment 380

Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Peter Lundgren, Hermann Tertsch, Margarita de la Pisa Carrión, Mazaly Aguilar, Evžen Tošenovský, Sergio Berlato, Jorge Buxadé Villalba, Eugen Jurzyca, Pietro Fiocchi, Charlie Weimers, Alexander Bernhuber, Johan Nissinen

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 12 b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(12 b) The Parliament notes that although the Commission conducted and published an impact assessment alongside the Proposal for a Regulation on the Sustainable Use of Pesticides in June 2022, the Commission's impact assessment only took into account the possible policy options considered by the Commission during the review phase, and therefore did not include any analysis of

the impact of a complete ban of pesticides on sensitive areas. Furthermore, while the impact assessment acknowledges that pesticide reduction targets would lead to “an overall reduction in yield” and is expected to “induce production price increases,” it does not offer any quantifiable figures to how much yields are expected to decrease, for which crops, or in which regions of Europe.^{12b}

^{12b}

https://food.ec.europa.eu/system/files/2022-06/pesticides_sud_eval_2022_ia_report.pdf

Or. en

Amendment 381

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 13

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(13) [...]

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 382

Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław Kalinowski, Joanna Kopcińska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 13

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(13) [...]

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 383

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 13

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(13) [...]

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 384

Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Peter Lundgren, Hermann Tertsch, Margarita de la Pisa Carrión, Mazaly Aguilar, Evžen Tošenovský, Sergio Berlato, Jorge Buxadé Villalba, Eugen Jurzyca, Charlie Weimers, Alexander Bernhuber, Johan Nissinen

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 13

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(13) Given the different levels of historical progress and differences in intensity of pesticide use between Member States, it is necessary to allow Member States some **flexibility** when setting their own **binding** national **targets** (“national 2030 reduction targets”). Intensity of use **is best measured by dividing the total quantity of active substances placed on the market, and therefore used, in the form of plant protection products in a particular Member State by the surface area over which the active substances were applied. Intensity in the use of chemical pesticides, and in particular of the more hazardous pesticides, correlates with greater dependency on chemical pesticides, greater risks to human health and the environment and less sustainable farming practices.** It is therefore appropriate to allow Member States to **take their lower intensity of use of chemical**

(13) Given the different levels of historical progress and differences in intensity of pesticide use between Member States, it is necessary to allow Member States some **adaptation to farm realities in their National Strategic Plans** when setting their own **guiding** national **reduction ambitions** (“national 2030 reduction targets”). Intensity of use **and risk should be measured through a scientifically justified formula, taking into account the particular conditions of the farms (e.g., technical and mechanical solutions to reduce risk should be taken into account; for closed farming systems, the impact on the environment is much lower and not related to sales, etc) and the Member States (e.g., geography, climate, production methods, IPM measures applied jointly with the possible use of synthetic pesticides when needed) and developing comparable usage indicators**

pesticides *than the Union average into account in setting their national 2030 reduction targets. It is also appropriate to require them to take their higher intensity of use of chemical pesticides than the Union average into account in setting their national 2030 reduction targets.* In addition, in order to give recognition to past efforts by Member States, they should also be allowed to take into account historical progress prior to the adoption of the Farm to Fork Strategy when setting national 2030 reduction targets. Conversely, where Member States have increased, or made only limited reductions in, their use and risk of **chemical** plant protection products, they should now make a greater contribution to the achievement of the Union 2030 reduction targets, while also taking account of their intensity of pesticide use. In order to ensure a fair and collective effort towards the achievement of Union-wide targets and an adequate level of ambition, minimum limits should be laid down for national 2030 reduction targets. The EU's outermost regions, as listed in Article 349 of the Treaty, **are** located in the Atlantic, Caribbean and Indian Ocean. ***Due to permanent constraints such as their remoteness to the European continent, insularity and high exposure to climate change, it is appropriate to allow Member States to take into account the specific needs of these regions as regards the use of plant protection products and measures tailored to specific climatic conditions and crops. In order to ensure a fair and collective effort towards the achievement of Union-wide targets, where a Member State reaches the level of its 2030 national reduction target before 2030, it should not be required to undertake additional reduction efforts, but it should closely monitor annual fluctuations in the use and risk of chemical plant protection products and in the use of more hazardous plant protection products to ensure progress towards meeting the respective 2030 national reduction target.***

that would not rely on adaptation of sales data but on usage per unit of harvested product. Intensity in the use of synthetic and/or hazardous pesticides may depend on the availability of alternatives or low-risk products and tools to be used instead. Availability of suitable alternatives allows farmers to use synthetic pesticides as a last recourse following IPM principles. It is therefore appropriate to allow Member States to ***consider both the availability in the market of synthetic pesticides, low-risk and non-synthetic tools for plant protection when designing*** their national 2030 reduction targets. In addition, in order to give recognition to past efforts by Member States, they should also be allowed to take into account historical progress prior to the adoption of the Farm to Fork Strategy when setting national 2030 reduction targets. Conversely, where Member States have increased, or made only limited reductions in, their use and risk of **synthetic** plant protection products, they should now make a greater contribution to the achievement of the Union 2030 reduction targets, while also taking account of their intensity **and risk** of pesticide use. In order to ensure a fair and collective effort towards the achievement of Union-wide targets and an adequate level of ambition, minimum limits should be laid down for national 2030 reduction targets. ***Member States territories, including the EU's outermost regions, as listed in Article 349 of the Treaty, located in the Atlantic, Caribbean and Indian Ocean, should be allowed to take into account the specific needs of their different regions as regards the use of plant protection products and measures tailored to specific climatic conditions and crops. In some particular regions, tailored-measures should be further developed to cope with problems derived from remoteness, insularity and/or high exposure to climate change. This should allow a case-by-case decision-making process regarding the level of pesticide***

In the interests of transparency, Member State responses to any Commission recommendations in relation to the level of ambition of national targets and the annual progress made towards them should be publicly accessible.

reduction targets in both EU continental and outermost regions. In order to ensure a fair and collective effort towards the achievement of Union-wide targets, where a Member State reaches the level of its 2030 national reduction target before 2030, it should not be required to undertake additional reduction efforts, but it should closely monitor annual fluctuations in the use and risk of **synthetic** plant protection products and in the use of more hazardous plant protection products to ensure progress towards meeting the respective 2030 national reduction target. In the interests of transparency, Member State responses to any Commission recommendations in relation to the level of ambition of national targets and the annual progress made towards them should be publicly accessible.

Or. en

Justification

A justified evidence to argument in favour of using these calculation methods is still missing. Furthermore, the proposed formula for “intensity indexes” to assess the reduction in the use of PPPs which sees the quantity of used active substances divided by the amount of agricultural land in use penalises a large proportion of high quality and high value speciality crops production in the EU.

Amendment 385

Nathalie Colin-Oesterlé

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 13

Text proposed by the Commission

(13) Compte tenu des différents niveaux de progrès historiques et des différences d'intensité d'utilisation des pesticides d'un État membre à l'autre, il est nécessaire d'accorder aux États membres une certaine souplesse lorsqu'ils fixent leurs propres objectifs nationaux contraignants («objectifs de réduction nationaux pour

Amendment

(13) Compte tenu des différents niveaux de progrès historiques et des différences d'intensité d'utilisation des pesticides d'un État membre à l'autre, il est nécessaire d'accorder aux États membres une certaine souplesse lorsqu'ils fixent leurs propres objectifs nationaux contraignants («objectifs de réduction nationaux pour

2030»). L'intensité d'utilisation est mieux mesurée en divisant la quantité totale de substances actives mise sur le marché, et donc utilisée, sous forme de produits phytopharmaceutiques dans un État membre donné par la surface sur laquelle les substances actives ont été appliquées. L'intensité d'utilisation des pesticides chimiques, et en particulier des pesticides les plus dangereux, est liée à une dépendance accrue à l'égard des pesticides chimiques, à des risques accrus pour la santé humaine et l'environnement et à des pratiques agricoles moins durables. Il convient donc d'autoriser les États membres à tenir compte, lors de la fixation de leurs objectifs de réduction nationaux pour 2030, d'une intensité d'utilisation des pesticides chimiques inférieure à la moyenne de l'Union. Il convient également d'exiger d'eux qu'ils tiennent compte, lors de la fixation de leurs objectifs de réduction nationaux pour 2030, d'une intensité d'utilisation des pesticides chimiques supérieure à la moyenne de l'Union. Afin de reconnaître les efforts passés des États membres, ceux-ci devraient en outre être autorisés à prendre en considération les progrès historiques antérieurs à l'adoption de la stratégie «De la ferme à la table» lors de la fixation des objectifs de réduction nationaux pour 2030. À l'inverse, lorsque les États membres ont augmenté ou réduit de manière limitée leur utilisation des produits phytopharmaceutiques chimiques et les risques qui y sont associés, ils devraient désormais contribuer davantage à la réalisation des objectifs de réduction de l'Union pour 2030, tout en tenant compte également de leur intensité d'utilisation des pesticides. Afin de garantir un effort équitable et collectif en vue de la réalisation des objectifs à l'échelle de l'Union et un niveau d'ambition adéquat, il convient de fixer des limites minimales pour les objectifs de réduction nationaux pour 2030. Les régions ultrapériphériques de l'UE, énumérées à l'article 349 du

2030»). L'intensité d'utilisation est mieux mesurée en divisant la quantité totale de substances actives mise sur le marché, et donc utilisée, sous forme de produits phytopharmaceutiques dans un État membre donné par la surface sur laquelle les substances actives ont été appliquées. L'intensité d'utilisation des pesticides chimiques, et en particulier des pesticides les plus dangereux, est liée à une dépendance accrue à l'égard des pesticides chimiques, à des risques accrus pour la santé humaine et l'environnement et à des pratiques agricoles moins durables. Il convient donc d'autoriser les États membres à tenir compte, lors de la fixation de leurs objectifs de réduction nationaux pour 2030, d'une intensité d'utilisation des pesticides chimiques inférieure à la moyenne de l'Union. Il convient également d'exiger d'eux qu'ils tiennent compte, lors de la fixation de leurs objectifs de réduction nationaux pour 2030, d'une intensité d'utilisation des pesticides chimiques supérieure à la moyenne de l'Union. Afin de reconnaître les efforts passés des États membres, ceux-ci devraient en outre être autorisés à prendre en considération les progrès historiques antérieurs à l'adoption de la stratégie «De la ferme à la table» lors de la fixation des objectifs de réduction nationaux pour 2030. À l'inverse, lorsque les États membres ont augmenté ou réduit de manière limitée leur utilisation des produits phytopharmaceutiques chimiques et les risques qui y sont associés, ils devraient désormais contribuer davantage à la réalisation des objectifs de réduction de l'Union pour 2030, tout en tenant compte également de leur intensité d'utilisation des pesticides. Afin de garantir un effort équitable et collectif en vue de la réalisation des objectifs à l'échelle de l'Union et un niveau d'ambition adéquat, il convient de fixer des limites minimales pour les objectifs de réduction nationaux pour 2030. Les régions ultrapériphériques de l'UE, énumérées à l'article 349 du

traité, sont situées dans l'Atlantique, les Caraïbes et l'océan Indien. En raison de contraintes permanentes telles que l'éloignement du continent européen, l'insularité et la forte exposition au changement climatique, il convient d'autoriser les États membres à tenir compte des besoins spécifiques de ces régions en ce qui concerne l'utilisation des produits phytopharmaceutiques et des mesures adaptées aux conditions climatiques et aux cultures spécifiques. Afin de veiller au déploiement d'efforts équitables et collectifs en vue d'atteindre les objectifs à l'échelle de l'Union, lorsqu'un État membre atteint son objectif national de réduction pour 2030 avant l'année 2030, il ne devrait pas lui être demandé de fournir des efforts de réduction supplémentaires, mais cet État devrait suivre attentivement les fluctuations annuelles de l'utilisation des produits phytopharmaceutiques et des risques y associés, et de l'utilisation de produits phytopharmaceutiques plus dangereux, afin de veiller à ce que des progrès soient accomplis vers la réalisation de l'objectif national de réduction pour 2030 pertinent. Dans un souci de transparence, les réponses des États membres à toute recommandation de la Commission concernant le niveau d'ambition des objectifs nationaux et les progrès annuels accomplis vers leur réalisation devraient être accessibles au public.

traité, sont situées dans l'Atlantique, les Caraïbes et l'océan Indien. En raison de contraintes permanentes telles que l'éloignement du continent européen, l'insularité et la forte exposition au changement climatique, **la taille des marchés qui limite les dépôts de demandes d'autorisation de produits phytopharmaceutiques par les fabricants de ces produits**, il convient d'autoriser les États membres à tenir compte des besoins spécifiques de ces régions en ce qui concerne l'utilisation des produits phytopharmaceutiques et des mesures adaptées aux conditions climatiques et aux cultures spécifiques, **y compris via le déploiement de nouvelles variétés issues des nouvelles techniques génomiques. Les États membres mettent en œuvre des plans d'action spécifiques dans les régions ultrapériphériques s'agissant des produits phytopharmaceutiques en tenant compte de la santé des populations et de la protection des producteurs et des consommateurs.** Afin de veiller au déploiement d'efforts équitables et collectifs en vue d'atteindre les objectifs à l'échelle de l'Union, lorsqu'un État membre atteint son objectif national de réduction pour 2030 avant l'année 2030, il ne devrait pas lui être demandé de fournir des efforts de réduction supplémentaires, mais cet État devrait suivre attentivement les fluctuations annuelles de l'utilisation des produits phytopharmaceutiques et des risques y associés, et de l'utilisation de produits phytopharmaceutiques plus dangereux, afin de veiller à ce que des progrès soient accomplis vers la réalisation de l'objectif national de réduction pour 2030 pertinent. Dans un souci de transparence, les réponses des États membres à toute recommandation de la Commission concernant le niveau d'ambition des objectifs nationaux et les progrès annuels accomplis vers leur réalisation devraient être accessibles au public.

Amendment 386**Franč Bogovič, Marlene Mortler, Ljudmila Novak, Alexander Bernhuber****Proposal for a regulation****Recital 13***Text proposed by the Commission*

(13) Given the different levels of historical progress and differences in intensity of pesticide use between Member States, it is necessary to allow Member States **some** flexibility when setting their own **binding** national targets (“national 2030 reduction targets”). Intensity of use is best measured by dividing the total quantity of active substances placed on the market, and therefore used, in the form of plant protection products in a particular Member State by the surface area over which the active substances were applied. Intensity in the use of chemical pesticides, and in particular of the more hazardous pesticides, correlates with greater dependency on chemical pesticides, greater risks to human health and the environment and less sustainable farming practices. It is therefore appropriate to allow Member States to take their lower intensity of use of chemical pesticides than the Union average into account in setting their national 2030 reduction targets. It is also appropriate to require them to take their higher intensity of use of chemical pesticides than the Union average into account in setting their national 2030 reduction targets. In addition, in order to give recognition to past efforts by Member States, they should also be allowed to take into account historical progress prior to the adoption of the Farm to Fork Strategy when setting national 2030 reduction targets. Conversely, where Member States have increased, or made only limited reductions in, their use and risk of chemical plant

Amendment

(13) Given the different levels of historical progress and **substantial** differences in intensity of pesticide use **and availability of active substances** between Member States, it is necessary to allow Member States flexibility when setting their own national targets (“national 2030 reduction targets”). Intensity of use is best measured by dividing the total quantity of active substances placed on the market, and therefore used, in the form of plant protection products in a particular Member State by the surface area over which the active substances were applied. Intensity in the use of chemical pesticides, and in particular of the more hazardous pesticides, correlates with greater dependency on chemical pesticides, greater risks to human health and the environment and less sustainable farming practices. It is therefore appropriate to allow Member States to take their lower intensity of use of chemical pesticides than the Union average into account in setting their national 2030 reduction targets. It is also appropriate to require them to take their higher intensity of use of chemical pesticides than the Union average into account in setting their national 2030 reduction targets. In addition, in order to give recognition to past efforts by Member States, they should also be allowed to take into account historical progress prior to the adoption of the Farm to Fork Strategy when setting national 2030 reduction targets. Conversely, where Member States have increased, or made only limited reductions

protection products, they should now make a greater contribution to the achievement of the Union 2030 reduction targets, while also taking account of their intensity of pesticide use. In order to ensure a fair and collective effort towards the achievement of Union-wide targets and an adequate level of ambition, minimum limits should be laid down for national 2030 reduction targets. The EU's outermost regions, as listed in Article 349 of the Treaty, are located in the Atlantic, Caribbean and Indian Ocean. Due to permanent constraints such as their remoteness to the European continent, insularity and high exposure to climate change, it is appropriate to allow Member States to take into account the specific needs of these regions as regards the use of plant protection products and measures tailored to specific climatic conditions and crops. In order to ensure a fair and collective effort towards the achievement of Union-wide targets, where a Member State reaches the level of its 2030 national reduction target before 2030, it should not be required to undertake additional reduction efforts, but it should closely monitor annual fluctuations in the use and risk of chemical plant protection products and in the use of more hazardous plant protection products to ensure progress towards meeting the respective 2030 national reduction target. In the interests of transparency, Member State responses to any Commission recommendations in relation to the level of ambition of national targets and the annual progress made towards them should be publicly accessible.

in, their use and risk of chemical plant protection products, they should now make a greater contribution to the achievement of the Union 2030 reduction targets, while also taking account of their intensity of pesticide use. In ***addition, Member state's contribution to the EU average of use of chemical plant protection products should be taken into account when setting national 2030 reduction targets. Where Member States contribute more to the EU average of plant protection products use, they should also make a greater contribution to the achievement of the Union 2030 reduction targets.*** In order to ensure a fair and collective effort towards the achievement of Union-wide targets and an adequate level of ambition, minimum limits should be laid down for national 2030 reduction targets. The EU's outermost regions, as listed in Article 349 of the Treaty, are located in the Atlantic, Caribbean and Indian Ocean. Due to permanent constraints such as their remoteness to the European continent, insularity and high exposure to climate change, it is appropriate to allow Member States to take into account the specific needs of these regions as regards the use of plant protection products and measures tailored to specific climatic conditions and crops. In order to ensure a fair and collective effort towards the achievement of Union-wide targets, where a Member State reaches the level of its 2030 national reduction target before 2030, it should not be required to undertake additional reduction efforts, but it should closely monitor annual fluctuations in the use and risk of chemical plant protection products and in the use of more hazardous plant protection products to ensure progress towards meeting the respective 2030 national reduction target. In the interests of transparency, Member State responses to any Commission recommendations in relation to the level of ambition of national targets and the annual progress made towards them should be publicly

accessible.

Or. en

Amendment 387

Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 13

Text proposed by the Commission

(13) Given the different levels of historical progress and differences in intensity of pesticide use between Member States, it is necessary to allow Member States some flexibility when setting their own binding national targets (“national 2030 reduction targets”). Intensity of use is best measured by **dividing** the total quantity of active substances placed on the market, **and therefore used, in the form of plant protection products in a particular Member State by the surface area over which the active substances were applied.** Intensity in the use of chemical pesticides, and in particular of the more hazardous pesticides, correlates with greater dependency on chemical pesticides, greater risks to human health and the environment and less sustainable farming practices. It is therefore appropriate to allow Member States to take their lower intensity of use of chemical pesticides than the Union average into account in setting their national 2030 reduction targets. It is also appropriate to require them to take their higher intensity of use of chemical pesticides than the Union average into account in setting their national 2030 reduction targets. In addition, in order to give recognition to past efforts by Member States, they should also be allowed to take into account historical progress prior to the adoption of the Farm to Fork Strategy when setting national 2030 reduction targets. Conversely, where Member States have

Amendment

(13) Given the different levels of historical progress and differences in intensity of pesticide use between Member States, it is necessary to allow Member States some flexibility when setting their own binding national targets (“national 2030 reduction targets”). Intensity of use **and risk** is best measured by **standardising** the total quantity of active substances placed on the market **by the mean, substance specific application rate of its representative uses, weighed by substance specific hazard weightings, and divided by the number of hectares of utilised agricultural area** in the **respective** Member State. Intensity in the use of chemical pesticides, and in particular of the more hazardous pesticides, correlates with greater dependency on chemical pesticides, greater risks to human health and the environment and less sustainable farming practices. It is therefore appropriate to allow Member States to take their lower intensity of use **and risk** of chemical pesticides than the Union average into account in setting their national 2030 reduction targets. It is also appropriate to require them to take their higher intensity of use **and risk** of chemical pesticides than the Union average into account in setting their national 2030 reduction targets. In addition, in order to give recognition to past efforts by Member States, they should also be allowed to take into account historical progress prior to the adoption of

increased, or made only limited reductions in, their use and risk of chemical plant protection products, they should now make a greater contribution to the achievement of the Union 2030 reduction targets, while also taking account **of** their intensity of **pesticide** use. In order to ensure a fair and collective effort towards the achievement of Union-wide targets and an adequate level of ambition, minimum limits should be laid down for national 2030 reduction targets. The EU's outermost regions, as listed in Article 349 of the Treaty, are located in the Atlantic, Caribbean and Indian Ocean. Due to permanent constraints such as their remoteness to the European continent, insularity and high exposure to climate change, it is appropriate to allow Member States to take into account the specific needs of these regions as regards the use of plant protection products and measures tailored to specific climatic conditions and crops. In order to ensure a fair and collective effort towards the achievement of Union-wide targets, where a Member State reaches the level of its 2030 national reduction target before 2030, it should not be required to undertake additional reduction efforts, but it should closely monitor annual fluctuations in the use and risk of chemical plant protection products and in the use of more hazardous plant protection products to ensure progress towards meeting the respective 2030 national reduction target. In the interests of transparency, Member State responses to any Commission recommendations in relation to the level of ambition of national targets and the annual progress made towards them should be publicly accessible.

the Farm to Fork Strategy when setting national 2030 reduction targets. Conversely, where Member States have increased, or made only limited reductions in, their use and risk of chemical plant protection products, they should now make a greater contribution to the achievement of the Union 2030 reduction targets, while also taking account their intensity of use **and risk of chemical plant protection products** . In order to ensure a fair and collective effort towards the achievement of Union-wide targets and an adequate level of ambition, minimum limits should be laid down for national 2030 reduction targets. The EU's outermost regions, as listed in Article 349 of the Treaty, are located in the Atlantic, Caribbean and Indian Ocean. Due to permanent constraints such as their remoteness to the European continent, insularity and high exposure to climate change, it is appropriate to allow Member States to take into account the specific needs of these regions as regards the use of plant protection products and measures tailored to specific climatic conditions and crops **while ensuring outermost regions still contribute to and benefit from the reduction of their dependency on the use of plant protection products**. In order to ensure a fair and collective effort towards the achievement of Union-wide targets, where a Member State reaches the level of its 2030 national reduction target before 2030, it should not be required to undertake additional reduction efforts, but it should closely monitor annual fluctuations in the use and risk of chemical plant protection products and in the use of more hazardous plant protection products to ensure progress towards meeting the respective 2030 national reduction target. In the interests of transparency, Member State responses to any Commission recommendations in relation to the level of ambition of national targets and the annual progress made towards them should be

publicly accessible.

Or. en

Amendment 388

Jan Huitema, Emma Wiesner, Ulrike Müller, Erik Poulsen, Andreas Glück, Asger Christensen, Billy Kelleher

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 13

Text proposed by the Commission

(13) Given the different levels of historical progress and differences in intensity of pesticide use between Member States, it is necessary to allow Member States some flexibility when setting their own binding national targets (“national 2030 reduction targets”). Intensity of use is best measured by dividing the total quantity of active substances placed on the market, and therefore used, in the form of plant protection products in a particular Member State by the surface area over which the active substances were applied. Intensity in the use of chemical pesticides, and in particular of the more hazardous pesticides, correlates with greater dependency on chemical pesticides, greater risks to human health and the environment and less sustainable farming practices. It is therefore appropriate to allow Member States to take their lower intensity of use of chemical pesticides than the Union average into account in setting their national 2030 reduction targets. It is also appropriate to require them to take their higher intensity of use of chemical pesticides than the Union average into account in setting their national 2030 reduction targets. In addition, in order to give recognition to past efforts by Member States, they should also be allowed to take into account historical progress prior to the adoption of the Farm to Fork Strategy when setting national 2030 reduction targets.

Amendment

(13) Given the different levels of historical progress and differences in intensity of pesticide use between Member States, it is necessary to allow Member States some flexibility when setting their own binding national targets (“national 2030 reduction targets”). Intensity of use is best measured by dividing the total quantity of active substances placed on the market, and therefore used, in the form of plant protection products in a particular Member State by the surface area over which the active substances were applied. Intensity in the use of chemical pesticides, and in particular of the more hazardous pesticides, correlates with greater dependency on chemical pesticides, greater risks to human health and the environment and less sustainable farming practices. It is therefore appropriate to allow Member States to take their lower intensity of use of chemical pesticides than the Union average into account in setting their national 2030 reduction targets. It is also appropriate to require them to take their higher intensity of use of chemical pesticides than the Union average into account in setting their national 2030 reduction targets. In addition, in order to give recognition to past efforts by Member States, they should also be allowed to take into account historical progress prior to the adoption of the Farm to Fork Strategy when setting national 2030 reduction targets.

Conversely, where Member States have increased, or made only limited reductions in, their use and risk of chemical plant protection products, they should now make a greater contribution to the achievement of the Union 2030 reduction targets, while also taking account of their intensity of pesticide use. In order to ensure a fair and collective effort towards the achievement of Union-wide targets and an adequate level of ambition, minimum limits should be laid down for national 2030 reduction targets. The EU's outermost regions, as listed in Article 349 of the Treaty, are located in the Atlantic, Caribbean and Indian Ocean. Due to permanent constraints such as their remoteness to the European continent, insularity and high exposure to climate change, it is appropriate to allow Member States to take into account the specific needs of these regions as regards the use of plant protection products and measures tailored to specific climatic conditions and crops. In order to ensure a fair and collective effort towards the achievement of Union-wide targets, where a Member State reaches the level of its 2030 national reduction target before 2030, it should not be required to undertake additional reduction efforts, but it should closely monitor annual fluctuations in the use and risk of chemical plant protection products and in the use of more hazardous plant protection products to ensure progress towards meeting the respective 2030 national reduction target. In the interests of transparency, Member State responses to any Commission recommendations in relation to the level of ambition of national targets and the annual progress made towards them should be publicly accessible.

Conversely, where Member States have increased, or made only limited reductions in, their use and risk of chemical plant protection products, they should now make a greater contribution to the achievement of the Union 2030 reduction targets, while also taking account of their intensity of pesticide use. In order to ensure a fair and collective effort towards the achievement of Union-wide targets and an adequate level of ambition, minimum limits should be laid down for national 2030 reduction targets. ***Member States should be allowed to justify their inability to meet the national 2030 reduction targets due to a lack of available alternatives to chemical plant protection products or due to taken measures to ensure food security and safety.*** The EU's outermost regions, as listed in Article 349 of the Treaty, are located in the Atlantic, Caribbean and Indian Ocean. Due to permanent constraints such as their remoteness to the European continent, insularity and high exposure to climate change, it is appropriate to allow Member States to take into account the specific needs of these regions as regards the use of plant protection products and measures tailored to specific climatic conditions and crops. In order to ensure a fair and collective effort towards the achievement of Union-wide targets, where a Member State reaches the level of its 2030 national reduction target before 2030, it should not be required to undertake additional reduction efforts, but it should closely monitor annual fluctuations in the use and risk of chemical plant protection products and in the use of more hazardous plant protection products to ensure progress towards meeting the respective 2030 national reduction target. In the interests of transparency, Member State responses to any Commission recommendations in relation to the level of ambition of national targets and the annual progress made towards them should be publicly accessible.

Amendment 389**Dan-Ştefan Motreanu, Carmen Avram, Marian-Jean Marinescu, Daniel Buda****Proposal for a regulation****Recital 13***Text proposed by the Commission*

(13) Given the different levels of historical progress **and** differences in intensity of pesticide use between Member States, it is necessary to allow Member States **some** flexibility when setting their own **binding** national targets (“national **2030** reduction **targets**”). Intensity of use is best measured by dividing the total quantity of active substances placed on the market, and therefore used, in the form of plant protection products in a particular Member State by the surface area over which the active substances were applied. Intensity in the use of chemical pesticides, and in particular of the more hazardous pesticides, correlates with greater dependency on chemical pesticides, greater risks to human health and the environment and less sustainable farming practices. It is therefore appropriate to allow Member States to take their lower intensity of use of chemical pesticides than the Union average into account in setting their national **2030** reduction **targets**. It is also appropriate to require them to take their higher intensity of use of chemical pesticides than the Union average into account in setting their national **2030** reduction **targets**. In addition, in order to give recognition to past efforts by Member States, they should also be allowed to take into account historical progress prior to the adoption of the Farm to Fork Strategy when setting national **2030** reduction **targets**. **Conversely, where Member States have increased, or made only limited reductions in, their use and risk of**

Amendment

(13) Given the different levels of historical progress, **substantial** differences in intensity of pesticide use between Member States, **as well as the need for plant protection products to maintain a sufficient level of production, guaranteeing food security** it is necessary to allow Member States flexibility when setting their own national targets (“national **2035** reduction **contributions**”). Intensity of use is best measured by dividing the total quantity of active substances placed on the market, and therefore used, in the form of plant protection products in a particular Member State by the surface area over which the active substances were applied. Intensity in the use of chemical pesticides, and in particular of the more hazardous pesticides, correlates with greater dependency on chemical pesticides, greater risks to human health and the environment and less sustainable farming practices. It is therefore appropriate to allow Member States to take their lower intensity of use of chemical pesticides than the Union average into account in setting their national **2035** reduction **contributions**. It is also appropriate to require them to take their higher intensity of use of chemical pesticides than the Union average into account in setting their national **2035** reduction **contributions**. **Furthermore, when designing their contributions, Member States should take into account market availability and affordability of low-risk and non-chemical alternative tools for plant**

chemical plant protection products, they should now make a greater contribution to the achievement of the Union 2030 reduction targets, while also taking account of their intensity of pesticide use.

In order to ensure a fair and collective effort towards the achievement of Union-wide targets and an adequate level of ambition, minimum limits should be laid down for national 2030 reduction targets.

The EU's outermost regions, as listed in Article 349 of the Treaty, **are** located in the Atlantic, Caribbean and Indian Ocean. **Due to permanent constraints such as their remoteness to the European continent, insularity and high exposure to climate change, it is appropriate to allow Member States** to take into account the specific needs of **these** regions as regards the use of plant protection products and measures tailored to specific climatic conditions and crops. In order to ensure a fair and collective effort towards the achievement of Union-wide targets, where a Member State reaches the level of its **2030 national reduction** target before **2030**, it should not be required to undertake additional reduction efforts, but it should closely monitor annual fluctuations in the use and risk of chemical plant protection products and in the use of more hazardous plant protection products to ensure progress towards meeting the respective **2030 national** reduction **target**. In the interests of transparency, Member State responses to any Commission recommendations in relation to the level of ambition of national **targets** and the annual progress made towards them should be publicly accessible.

protection since availability of suitable alternatives enables farmers to use chemicals as a last recourse following IPM principles

In addition, in order to give recognition to past efforts by Member States, they should also be allowed to take into account historical progress prior to the adoption of the Farm to Fork Strategy when setting national **2035** reduction

contributions. Member States' **territories, includig** the EU's outermost regions, as listed in Article 349 of the Treaty, located in the Atlantic, Caribbean and Indian Ocean, **should be allowed** to take into account the specific needs of **their**

different regions as regards the use of plant protection products and measures tailored to specific climatic conditions and crops. **In some particular regions, tailor-made measures should be further developed to cope with problems derived from remoteness, insularity and/or high exposure to climate change. This should allow a case-by-case decision-making process regarding the level of pesticide reduction targets in both EU continental and outermost regions.**

In order to ensure a fair and collective effort towards the achievement of Union-wide targets, where a Member State reaches the level of its **2035 declared contribution to the Union** target before **2035**, it should not be required to undertake additional reduction efforts, but it should closely monitor annual fluctuations in the use and risk of chemical plant protection products and in the use of more hazardous plant protection products to ensure progress towards meeting the respective **2035** reduction **contribution**. In the interests of transparency, Member State responses to any Commission recommendations in relation to the level of ambition of national **reduction contributions** and the annual progress made towards them should be publicly accessible.

Or. en

Amendment 390
Mathilde Androuët

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 13

Text proposed by the Commission

(13) Compte tenu des différents niveaux de progrès historiques et des différences d'intensité d'utilisation des pesticides d'un État membre à l'autre, il est nécessaire d'accorder aux États membres **une certaine** souplesse **lorsqu'ils fixent** leurs propres objectifs nationaux **contraignants** («**objectifs** de réduction **nationaux pour 2030**»). L'intensité d'utilisation est mieux mesurée en divisant la quantité totale de substances actives mise sur le marché, et donc utilisée, sous forme de produits phytopharmaceutiques dans un État membre donné par la surface sur laquelle les substances actives ont été appliquées. L'intensité d'utilisation des pesticides chimiques, et en particulier des pesticides les plus dangereux, est liée à une dépendance accrue à l'égard des pesticides chimiques, à des risques accrus pour la santé humaine et l'environnement et à des pratiques agricoles moins durables. Il convient donc d'autoriser les États membres à tenir compte, lors de la fixation de leurs objectifs de réduction nationaux pour 2030, d'une intensité d'utilisation des pesticides chimiques inférieure à la moyenne de l'Union. Il convient également d'exiger d'eux qu'ils tiennent compte, lors de la fixation de leurs objectifs de réduction nationaux pour 2030, d'une intensité d'utilisation des pesticides chimiques supérieure à la moyenne de l'Union. Afin de reconnaître les efforts passés des États membres, ceux-ci devraient en outre être autorisés à prendre en considération les progrès historiques antérieurs à l'adoption de la stratégie «De la ferme à la table» lors de la fixation des

Amendment

(13) Compte tenu des différents niveaux de progrès historiques et des différences d'intensité d'utilisation des pesticides d'un État membre à l'autre, il est nécessaire d'accorder aux États membres **la** souplesse **nécessaire pour déterminer** leurs propres objectifs nationaux de réduction **à l'horizon 2030 au plus tôt, afin de garantir un niveau de production suffisant pour assurer la souveraineté alimentaire des Etats membres, la sûreté alimentaire pour les consommateurs et une juste rémunération pour les agriculteurs**. L'intensité d'utilisation est mieux mesurée en divisant la quantité totale de substances actives mise sur le marché, et donc utilisée, sous forme de produits phytopharmaceutiques dans un État membre donné par la surface sur laquelle les substances actives ont été appliquées. L'intensité d'utilisation des pesticides chimiques, et en particulier des pesticides les plus dangereux, est liée à une dépendance accrue à l'égard des pesticides chimiques, à des risques accrus pour la santé humaine et l'environnement et à des pratiques agricoles moins durables. Il convient donc d'autoriser les États membres à tenir compte, lors de la fixation de leurs objectifs de réduction nationaux pour 2030, d'une intensité d'utilisation des pesticides chimiques inférieure à la moyenne de l'Union. Il convient également d'exiger d'eux qu'ils tiennent compte, lors de la fixation de leurs objectifs de réduction nationaux pour 2030, d'une intensité d'utilisation des pesticides chimiques supérieure à la moyenne de l'Union. Afin de reconnaître les efforts

objectifs de réduction nationaux pour 2030. À l'inverse, lorsque les États membres ont augmenté ou réduit de manière limitée leur utilisation des produits phytopharmaceutiques chimiques et les risques qui y sont associés, ils devraient désormais contribuer davantage à la réalisation des objectifs de réduction de l'Union pour 2030, tout en tenant compte également de leur intensité d'utilisation des pesticides. Afin de garantir un effort équitable et collectif en vue de la réalisation des objectifs à l'échelle de l'Union et un niveau d'ambition adéquat, il convient de fixer des limites minimales pour les objectifs de réduction nationaux pour 2030. Les régions ultrapériphériques de l'UE, énumérées à l'article 349 du traité, sont situées dans l'Atlantique, les Caraïbes et l'océan Indien. En raison de contraintes permanentes telles que l'éloignement du continent européen, l'insularité et la forte exposition au changement climatique, il convient d'autoriser les États membres à tenir compte des besoins spécifiques de ces régions en ce qui concerne l'utilisation des produits phytopharmaceutiques et des mesures adaptées aux conditions climatiques et aux cultures spécifiques. Afin de veiller au déploiement d'efforts équitables et collectifs en vue d'atteindre les objectifs à l'échelle de l'Union, lorsqu'un État membre atteint son objectif national de réduction pour 2030 avant l'année 2030, il ne devrait pas lui être demandé de fournir des efforts de réduction supplémentaires, mais cet État devrait suivre attentivement les fluctuations annuelles de l'utilisation des produits phytopharmaceutiques et des risques y associés, et de l'utilisation de produits phytopharmaceutiques plus dangereux, afin de veiller à ce que des progrès soient accomplis vers la réalisation de l'objectif national de réduction pour 2030 pertinent. Dans un souci de transparence, les réponses des États membres à toute recommandation de la

passés des États membres, ceux-ci devraient en outre être autorisés à prendre en considération les progrès historiques antérieurs à l'adoption de la stratégie «De la ferme à la table» lors de la fixation des objectifs de réduction nationaux pour 2030. À l'inverse, lorsque les États membres ont augmenté ou réduit de manière limitée leur utilisation des produits phytopharmaceutiques chimiques et les risques qui y sont associés, ils devraient désormais contribuer davantage à la réalisation des objectifs de réduction de l'Union pour 2030, tout en tenant compte également de leur intensité d'utilisation des pesticides. Afin de garantir un effort équitable et collectif en vue de la réalisation des objectifs à l'échelle de l'Union et un niveau d'ambition adéquat, il convient de fixer des limites minimales pour les objectifs de réduction nationaux pour 2030. Les régions ultrapériphériques de l'UE, énumérées à l'article 349 du traité, sont situées dans l'Atlantique, les Caraïbes et l'océan Indien. En raison de contraintes permanentes telles que l'éloignement du continent européen, l'insularité et la forte exposition au changement climatique, il convient d'autoriser les États membres à tenir compte des besoins spécifiques de ces régions en ce qui concerne l'utilisation des produits phytopharmaceutiques et des mesures adaptées aux conditions climatiques et aux cultures spécifiques. Afin de veiller au déploiement d'efforts équitables et collectifs en vue d'atteindre les objectifs à l'échelle de l'Union, lorsqu'un État membre atteint son objectif national de réduction pour 2030 avant l'année 2030, il ne devrait pas lui être demandé de fournir des efforts de réduction supplémentaires, mais cet État devrait suivre attentivement les fluctuations annuelles de l'utilisation des produits phytopharmaceutiques et des risques y associés, et de l'utilisation de produits phytopharmaceutiques plus dangereux, afin de veiller à ce que des

Commission concernant le niveau d'ambition des objectifs nationaux et les progrès annuels accomplis vers leur réalisation devraient être accessibles au public.

progrès soient accomplis vers la réalisation de l'objectif national de réduction pour 2030 pertinent. Dans un souci de transparence, les réponses des États membres à toute recommandation de la Commission concernant le niveau d'ambition des objectifs nationaux et les progrès annuels accomplis vers leur réalisation devraient être accessibles au public.

Or. fr

Amendment 391
Max Orville

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 13

Text proposed by the Commission

(13) Compte tenu des différents niveaux de progrès historiques et des différences d'intensité d'utilisation des pesticides d'un État membre à l'autre, il est nécessaire d'accorder aux États membres une certaine souplesse lorsqu'ils fixent leurs propres objectifs nationaux contraignants («objectifs de réduction nationaux pour 2030»). L'intensité d'utilisation est mieux mesurée en divisant la quantité totale de substances actives mise sur le marché, et donc utilisée, sous forme de produits phytopharmaceutiques dans un État membre donné par la surface sur laquelle les substances actives ont été appliquées. L'intensité d'utilisation des pesticides chimiques, et en particulier des pesticides les plus dangereux, est liée à une dépendance accrue à l'égard des pesticides chimiques, à des risques accrus pour la santé humaine et l'environnement et à des pratiques agricoles moins durables. Il convient donc d'autoriser les États membres à tenir compte, lors de la fixation de leurs objectifs de réduction nationaux pour 2030, d'une intensité d'utilisation des

Amendment

(13) Compte tenu des différents niveaux de progrès historiques et des différences d'intensité d'utilisation des pesticides d'un État membre à l'autre, il est nécessaire d'accorder aux États membres une certaine souplesse lorsqu'ils fixent leurs propres objectifs nationaux contraignants («objectifs de réduction nationaux pour 2030»). L'intensité d'utilisation est mieux mesurée en divisant la quantité totale de substances actives mise sur le marché, et donc utilisée, sous forme de produits phytopharmaceutiques dans un État membre donné par la surface sur laquelle les substances actives ont été appliquées. L'intensité d'utilisation des pesticides chimiques, et en particulier des pesticides les plus dangereux, est liée à une dépendance accrue à l'égard des pesticides chimiques, à des risques accrus pour la santé humaine et l'environnement et à des pratiques agricoles moins durables. Il convient donc d'autoriser les États membres à tenir compte, lors de la fixation de leurs objectifs de réduction nationaux pour 2030, d'une intensité d'utilisation des

pesticides chimiques inférieure à la moyenne de l'Union. Il convient également d'exiger d'eux qu'ils tiennent compte, lors de la fixation de leurs objectifs de réduction nationaux pour 2030, d'une intensité d'utilisation des pesticides chimiques supérieure à la moyenne de l'Union. Afin de reconnaître les efforts passés des États membres, ceux-ci devraient en outre être autorisés à prendre en considération les progrès historiques antérieurs à l'adoption de la stratégie «De la ferme à la table» lors de la fixation des objectifs de réduction nationaux pour 2030. À l'inverse, lorsque les États membres ont augmenté ou réduit de manière limitée leur utilisation des produits phytopharmaceutiques chimiques et les risques qui y sont associés, ils devraient désormais contribuer davantage à la réalisation des objectifs de réduction de l'Union pour 2030, tout en tenant compte également de leur intensité d'utilisation des pesticides. Afin de garantir un effort équitable et collectif en vue de la réalisation des objectifs à l'échelle de l'Union et un niveau d'ambition adéquat, il convient de fixer des limites minimales pour les objectifs de réduction nationaux pour 2030. Les régions ultrapériphériques de l'UE, énumérées à l'article 349 du traité, sont situées dans l'Atlantique, les Caraïbes et l'océan Indien. En raison de contraintes permanentes telles que l'éloignement du continent européen, l'insularité et la forte exposition au changement climatique, il convient d'autoriser les États membres à tenir compte des besoins spécifiques de ces régions en ce qui concerne l'utilisation des produits phytopharmaceutiques et des mesures adaptées aux conditions climatiques et aux cultures spécifiques. Afin de veiller au déploiement d'efforts équitables et collectifs en vue d'atteindre les objectifs à l'échelle de l'Union, lorsqu'un État membre atteint son objectif national de réduction pour 2030 avant l'année 2030, il ne devrait pas lui être

pesticides chimiques inférieure à la moyenne de l'Union. Il convient également d'exiger d'eux qu'ils tiennent compte, lors de la fixation de leurs objectifs de réduction nationaux pour 2030, d'une intensité d'utilisation des pesticides chimiques supérieure à la moyenne de l'Union. Afin de reconnaître les efforts passés des États membres, ceux-ci devraient en outre être autorisés à prendre en considération les progrès historiques antérieurs à l'adoption de la stratégie «De la ferme à la table» lors de la fixation des objectifs de réduction nationaux pour 2030. À l'inverse, lorsque les États membres ont augmenté ou réduit de manière limitée leur utilisation des produits phytopharmaceutiques chimiques et les risques qui y sont associés, ils devraient désormais contribuer davantage à la réalisation des objectifs de réduction de l'Union pour 2030, tout en tenant compte également de leur intensité d'utilisation des pesticides. Afin de garantir un effort équitable et collectif en vue de la réalisation des objectifs à l'échelle de l'Union et un niveau d'ambition adéquat, il convient de fixer des limites minimales pour les objectifs de réduction nationaux pour 2030. Les régions ultrapériphériques de l'UE, énumérées à l'article 349 du traité, sont situées dans l'Atlantique, les Caraïbes et l'océan Indien. En raison de contraintes permanentes telles que l'éloignement du continent européen, l'insularité, **la faible superficie** et la forte exposition au changement climatique, il convient d'autoriser les États membres à tenir compte des besoins spécifiques de ces régions en ce qui concerne l'utilisation des produits phytopharmaceutiques et des mesures adaptées aux conditions climatiques et aux cultures spécifiques, **en tenant compte des risques et des effets de l'utilisation des produits phytopharmaceutiques sur la santé humaine et l'environnement**. Afin de veiller au déploiement d'efforts équitables et collectifs en vue d'atteindre les objectifs

demandé de fournir des efforts de réduction supplémentaires, mais cet État devrait suivre attentivement les fluctuations annuelles de l'utilisation des produits phytopharmaceutiques et des risques y associés, et de l'utilisation de produits phytopharmaceutiques plus dangereux, afin de veiller à ce que des progrès soient accomplis vers la réalisation de l'objectif national de réduction pour 2030 pertinent. Dans un souci de transparence, les réponses des États membres à toute recommandation de la Commission concernant le niveau d'ambition des objectifs nationaux et les progrès annuels accomplis vers leur réalisation devraient être accessibles au public.

à l'échelle de l'Union, lorsqu'un État membre atteint son objectif national de réduction pour 2030 avant l'année 2030, il ne devrait pas lui être demandé de fournir des efforts de réduction supplémentaires, mais cet État devrait suivre attentivement les fluctuations annuelles de l'utilisation des produits phytopharmaceutiques et des risques y associés, et de l'utilisation de produits phytopharmaceutiques plus dangereux, afin de veiller à ce que des progrès soient accomplis vers la réalisation de l'objectif national de réduction pour 2030 pertinent. Dans un souci de transparence, les réponses des États membres à toute recommandation de la Commission concernant le niveau d'ambition des objectifs nationaux et les progrès annuels accomplis vers leur réalisation devraient être accessibles au public.

Or. fr

Amendment 392

Robert Roos, Rob Rooken

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 13

Text proposed by the Commission

(13) Aangezien in het verleden niet alle lidstaten dezelfde vooruitgang hebben geboekt en gezien de verschillen in de intensiteit van het pesticidengebruik tussen de lidstaten, moet hun **enige** flexibiliteit worden gegund wanneer zij hun eigen bindende nationale doelstellingen (“nationale reductiedoelstellingen voor 2030”) vaststellen. De intensiteit van het gebruik wordt het best gemeten door de totale hoeveelheid werkzame stoffen die in een bepaalde lidstaat in de vorm van gewasbeschermingsmiddelen op de markt zijn gebracht en dus zijn gebruikt, te delen door de oppervlakte waarop de werkzame

Amendment

(13) Aangezien in het verleden niet alle lidstaten dezelfde vooruitgang hebben geboekt en gezien de verschillen in de intensiteit van het pesticidengebruik tussen de lidstaten, moet hun flexibiliteit worden gegund wanneer zij hun eigen bindende nationale doelstellingen (“nationale reductiedoelstellingen voor 2030”) vaststellen. De intensiteit van het gebruik wordt het best gemeten door de totale hoeveelheid werkzame stoffen die in een bepaalde lidstaat in de vorm van gewasbeschermingsmiddelen op de markt zijn gebracht en dus zijn gebruikt, te delen door de oppervlakte waarop de werkzame

stoffen zijn aangebracht. De intensiteit van het gebruik van chemische pesticiden, en met name van de gevaarlijkere gewasbeschermingsmiddelen, correleert met een grotere afhankelijkheid van chemische pesticiden, grotere risico's voor de menselijke gezondheid en het milieu en minder duurzame landbouwpraktijken. Daarom is het passend toe te staan dat de lidstaten bij het vaststellen van hun nationale reductiedoelstellingen voor 2030 rekening houden met het feit of de intensiteit van hun gebruik van chemische pesticiden lager ligt dan het gemiddelde van de Unie. Ook is het passend te verlangen dat de lidstaten bij het vaststellen van hun nationale reductiedoelstellingen voor 2030 rekening houden met het feit of de intensiteit van hun gebruik van chemische pesticiden hoger ligt dan het gemiddelde van de Unie. Om recht te doen aan de inspanningen die bepaalde lidstaten in het verleden hebben geleverd, moeten zij bovendien de mogelijkheid hebben bij de vaststelling van hun nationale reductiedoelstellingen voor 2030 ook rekening te houden met de vooruitgang die zij in het verleden hebben geboekt, voordat de "van boer tot bord"-strategie werd vastgesteld. **Wanneer echter in bepaalde lidstaten het gebruik en risico van chemische gewasbeschermingsmiddelen is toegenomen of slechts beperkt is afgenomen, moeten die lidstaten nu een grotere bijdrage leveren aan de verwezenlijking van de reductiedoelstellingen van de Unie voor 2030, en moeten zij rekening houden met de intensiteit van hun pesticidengebruik.** Om ervoor te zorgen dat er een billijke en gezamenlijke inspanning wordt geleverd om de doelstellingen voor de Unie als geheel te halen en om de lat voldoende hoog te leggen, moeten voor de nationale reductiedoelstellingen voor 2030 ondergrenzen worden vastgesteld. De ultraperifere gebieden van de EU, zoals genoemd in artikel 349 van het Verdrag,

stoffen zijn aangebracht. **Hierbij moet rekening gehouden kunnen worden met lidstaatspecifieke kenmerken, en de impact die deze werkzame stoffen in die specifieke lidstaat op het milieu heeft.** De intensiteit van het gebruik van chemische pesticiden, en met name van de gevaarlijkere gewasbeschermingsmiddelen, correleert met een grotere afhankelijkheid van chemische pesticiden, grotere risico's voor de menselijke gezondheid en het milieu en minder duurzame landbouwpraktijken. Daarom is het passend toe te staan dat de lidstaten bij het vaststellen van hun nationale reductiedoelstellingen voor 2030 rekening houden met het feit of de intensiteit van hun gebruik van chemische pesticiden lager ligt dan het gemiddelde van de Unie. Ook is het passend te verlangen dat de lidstaten bij het vaststellen van hun nationale reductiedoelstellingen voor 2030 rekening houden met het feit of de intensiteit van hun gebruik van chemische pesticiden hoger ligt dan het gemiddelde van de Unie. Om recht te doen aan de inspanningen die bepaalde lidstaten in het verleden hebben geleverd, moeten zij bovendien de mogelijkheid hebben bij de vaststelling van hun nationale reductiedoelstellingen voor 2030 ook rekening te houden met de vooruitgang die zij in het verleden hebben geboekt, voordat de "van boer tot bord"-strategie werd vastgesteld. Om ervoor te zorgen dat er een billijke en gezamenlijke inspanning wordt geleverd om de doelstellingen voor de Unie als geheel te halen en om de lat voldoende hoog te leggen, moeten voor de nationale reductiedoelstellingen voor 2030 ondergrenzen worden vastgesteld. De ultraperifere gebieden van de EU, zoals genoemd in artikel 349 van het Verdrag, zijn gelegen in de Atlantische Oceaan, het Caribisch gebied en de Indische Oceaan. Vanwege permanente beperkingen, waaronder hun grote afstand tot het vasteland van de EU, hun insulaire karakter en hun grote blootstelling aan

zijn gelegen in de Atlantische Oceaan, het Caribisch gebied en de Indische Oceaan. Vanwege permanente beperkingen, waaronder hun grote afstand tot het vasteland van de EU, hun insulaire karakter en hun grote blootstelling aan klimaatverandering, moet het de lidstaten worden toegestaan om rekening te houden met de specifieke behoeften van deze gebieden wat betreft het gebruik van gewasbeschermingsmiddelen en maatregelen die zijn toegesneden op specifieke klimatologische omstandigheden en gewassen. Om te zorgen voor een eerlijke en collectieve inspanning om de doelstellingen voor de hele Unie te verwezenlijken, mag een lidstaat niet worden verplicht extra reductie-inspanningen te leveren wanneer die lidstaat het niveau van zijn nationale reductiedoelstelling voor 2030 vóór 2030 bereikt, maar moet hij de jaarlijkse schommelingen in het gebruik en de risico's van chemische gewasbeschermingsmiddelen en in het gebruik van gevaarlijkere gewasbeschermingsmiddelen nauwlettend volgen om ervoor te zorgen dat vooruitgang wordt geboekt bij het halen van de respectieve nationale reductiedoelstelling voor 2030. Om redenen van transparantie moeten de reacties van de lidstaten op aanbevelingen van de Commissie in verband met het ambitieniveau van nationale doelstellingen en de jaarlijkse vooruitgang met betrekking tot die doelen openbaar worden gemaakt.

klimaatverandering, moet het de lidstaten worden toegestaan om rekening te houden met de specifieke behoeften van deze gebieden wat betreft het gebruik van gewasbeschermingsmiddelen en maatregelen die zijn toegesneden op specifieke klimatologische omstandigheden en gewassen. Om te zorgen voor een eerlijke en collectieve inspanning om de doelstellingen voor de hele Unie te verwezenlijken, mag een lidstaat niet worden verplicht extra reductie-inspanningen te leveren wanneer die lidstaat het niveau van zijn nationale reductiedoelstelling voor 2030 vóór 2030 bereikt, maar moet hij de jaarlijkse schommelingen in het gebruik en de risico's van chemische gewasbeschermingsmiddelen en in het gebruik van gevaarlijkere gewasbeschermingsmiddelen nauwlettend volgen om ervoor te zorgen dat vooruitgang wordt geboekt bij het halen van de respectieve nationale reductiedoelstelling voor 2030. Om redenen van transparantie moeten de reacties van de lidstaten op aanbevelingen van de Commissie in verband met het ambitieniveau van nationale doelstellingen en de jaarlijkse vooruitgang met betrekking tot die doelen openbaar worden gemaakt.

Or. nl

Amendment 393

Emma Wiesner, Nils Torvalds, Erik Poulsen

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 13

(13) Given the different levels of historical progress and differences in intensity of pesticide use between Member States, it is necessary to allow Member States some flexibility when setting their own binding national targets (“national 2030 reduction targets”). Intensity of use is best measured by dividing the total quantity of active substances placed on the market, and therefore used, in the form of plant protection products in a particular Member State by the surface area over which the active substances were applied. Intensity in the use of chemical pesticides, and in particular of the more hazardous pesticides, correlates with greater dependency on chemical pesticides, greater risks to human health and the environment and less sustainable farming practices. It is therefore appropriate to allow Member States to take their lower intensity of use of chemical pesticides than the Union average into account in setting their national 2030 reduction targets. It is also appropriate to require them to take their higher intensity of use of chemical pesticides than the Union average into account in setting their national 2030 reduction targets. In addition, in order to give recognition to past efforts by Member States, they should also be allowed to take into account historical progress prior to the adoption of the Farm to Fork Strategy when setting national 2030 reduction targets. Conversely, where Member States have increased, or made only limited reductions in, their use and risk of chemical plant protection products, they should now make a greater contribution to the achievement of the Union 2030 reduction targets, while also taking account of their intensity of pesticide use. In order to ensure a fair and collective effort towards the achievement of Union-wide targets and an adequate level of ambition, minimum limits should be laid down for national 2030 reduction

(13) Given the different levels of historical progress and differences in intensity of pesticide use between Member States, it is necessary to allow Member States some flexibility when setting their own binding national targets (“national 2030 reduction targets”), ***especially for the frontrunners that, due to their historical ambitious work, use significant less plant protection products compared to the EU average*** . Intensity of use is best measured by dividing the total quantity of active substances placed on the market, and therefore used, in the form of plant protection products in a particular Member State by the surface area over which the active substances were applied. Intensity in the use of chemical pesticides, and in particular of the more hazardous pesticides, correlates with greater dependency on chemical pesticides, greater risks to human health and the environment and less sustainable farming practices. It is therefore appropriate to allow Member States to take their lower intensity of use of chemical pesticides than the Union average into account in setting their national 2030 reduction targets. It is also appropriate to require them to take their higher intensity of use of chemical pesticides than the Union average into account in setting their national 2030 reduction targets. In addition, in order to give recognition to past efforts by Member States, they should also be allowed to take into account historical progress prior to the adoption of the Farm to Fork Strategy when setting national 2030 reduction targets. Conversely, where Member States have increased, or made only limited reductions in, their use and risk of chemical plant protection products, they should now make a greater contribution to the achievement of the Union 2030 reduction targets, while also taking account of their intensity of pesticide use. In order to ensure a fair and

targets. The EU's outermost regions, as listed in Article 349 of the Treaty, are located in the Atlantic, Caribbean and Indian Ocean. Due to permanent constraints such as their remoteness to the European continent, insularity and high exposure to climate change, it is appropriate to allow Member States to take into account the specific needs of these regions as regards the use of plant protection products and measures tailored to specific climatic conditions and crops. In order to ensure a fair and collective effort towards the achievement of Union-wide targets, where a Member State reaches the level of its 2030 national reduction target before 2030, it should not be required to undertake additional reduction efforts, but it should closely monitor annual fluctuations in the use and risk of chemical plant protection products and in the use of more hazardous plant protection products to ensure progress towards meeting the respective 2030 national reduction target. In the interests of transparency, Member State responses to any Commission recommendations in relation to the level of ambition of national targets and the annual progress made towards them should be publicly accessible.

collective effort towards the achievement of Union-wide targets and an adequate level of ambition, minimum limits should be laid down for national 2030 reduction targets. The EU's outermost regions, as listed in Article 349 of the Treaty, are located in the Atlantic, Caribbean and Indian Ocean. Due to permanent constraints such as their remoteness to the European continent, insularity and high exposure to climate change, it is appropriate to allow Member States to take into account the specific needs of these regions as regards the use of plant protection products and measures tailored to specific climatic conditions and crops. In order to ensure a fair and collective effort towards the achievement of Union-wide targets, where a Member State reaches the level of its 2030 national reduction target before 2030, it should not be required to undertake additional reduction efforts, but it should closely monitor annual fluctuations in the use and risk of chemical plant protection products and in the use of more hazardous plant protection products to ensure progress towards meeting the respective 2030 national reduction target. In the interests of transparency, Member State responses to any Commission recommendations in relation to the level of ambition of national targets and the annual progress made towards them should be publicly accessible.

Or. en

Amendment 394
Christophe Hansen

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 13

Text proposed by the Commission

(13) Given the different levels of historical progress and differences in

Amendment

(13) Given the different levels of historical progress and differences in

intensity of **pesticide** use between Member States, it is necessary to allow Member States some flexibility when setting their own **binding** national targets (“national 2030 reduction targets”). Intensity of use is best measured by dividing the total quantity of active substances placed on the market, and therefore used, in the form of plant protection products in a particular Member State by the surface area over which the active substances were applied. Intensity in the use of chemical pesticides, and in particular of the more hazardous pesticides, correlates with greater dependency on chemical pesticides, greater risks to human health and the environment and less sustainable farming practices. It is therefore appropriate to allow Member States to take their lower intensity of use of chemical pesticides than the Union average into account in setting their national 2030 reduction targets. It is also appropriate to require them to take their higher intensity of use of chemical pesticides than the Union average into account in setting their national 2030 reduction targets. In addition, in order to give recognition to past efforts by Member States, they should also be allowed to take into account historical progress prior to the adoption of the Farm to Fork Strategy when setting national 2030 reduction targets. Conversely, where Member States have increased, or made only limited reductions in, their use and risk of chemical plant protection products, they should now make a greater contribution to the achievement of the Union 2030 reduction targets, while also taking account of their intensity of pesticide use. In order to ensure a fair and collective effort towards the achievement of Union-wide targets and an adequate level of ambition, minimum limits should be laid down for national 2030 reduction targets. The EU’s outermost regions, as listed in Article 349 of the Treaty, are located in the Atlantic, Caribbean and Indian Ocean. Due to permanent constraints such as their remoteness to the

intensity of **plant protection products** use between Member States **as well as the need for plant protection products to maintain a sufficient level of production and guaranteeing food security**, it is necessary to allow Member States some flexibility when setting their own national targets (“national 2030 reduction targets”). Intensity of use is best measured by dividing the total quantity of active substances placed on the market, and therefore used, in the form of plant protection products in a particular Member State by the surface area over which the active substances were applied. Intensity in the use of chemical pesticides, and in particular of the more hazardous pesticides, correlates with greater dependency on chemical pesticides, greater risks to human health and the environment and less sustainable farming practices. It is therefore appropriate to allow Member States to take their lower intensity of use of chemical pesticides than the Union average into account in setting their national 2030 reduction targets. It is also appropriate to require them to take their higher intensity of use of chemical pesticides than the Union average into account in setting their national 2030 reduction targets. In addition, in order to give recognition to past efforts by Member States, they should also be allowed to take into account historical progress prior to the adoption of the Farm to Fork Strategy when setting national 2030 reduction targets. Conversely, where Member States have increased, or made only limited reductions in, their use and risk of chemical plant protection products, they should now make a greater contribution to the achievement of the Union 2030 reduction targets, while also taking account of their intensity of pesticide use. In order to ensure a fair and collective effort towards the achievement of Union-wide targets and an adequate level of ambition, minimum limits should be laid down for national 2030 reduction targets. The EU’s outermost regions, as

European continent, insularity and high exposure to climate change, it is appropriate to allow Member States to take into account the specific needs of these regions as regards the use of plant protection products and measures tailored to specific climatic conditions and crops. In order to ensure a fair and collective effort towards the achievement of Union-wide targets, where a Member State reaches the level of its 2030 national reduction target before 2030, it should not be required to undertake additional reduction efforts, but it should closely monitor annual fluctuations in the use and risk of chemical plant protection products and in the use of more hazardous plant protection products to ensure progress towards meeting the respective 2030 national reduction target. In the interests of transparency, Member State responses to any Commission recommendations in relation to the level of ambition of national targets and the annual progress made towards them should be publicly accessible.

listed in Article 349 of the Treaty, are located in the Atlantic, Caribbean and Indian Ocean. Due to permanent constraints such as their remoteness to the European continent, insularity and high exposure to climate change, it is appropriate to allow Member States to take into account the specific needs of these regions as regards the use of plant protection products and measures tailored to specific climatic conditions and crops. In order to ensure a fair and collective effort towards the achievement of Union-wide targets, where a Member State reaches the level of its 2030 national reduction target before 2030, it should not be required to undertake additional reduction efforts, but it should closely monitor annual fluctuations in the use and risk of chemical plant protection products and in the use of more hazardous plant protection products to ensure progress towards meeting the respective 2030 national reduction target. In the interests of transparency, Member State responses to any Commission recommendations in relation to the level of ambition of national targets and the annual progress made towards them should be publicly accessible.

Or. en

Amendment 395

Jessica Polfjärd

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 13

Text proposed by the Commission

(13) Given the different levels of historical progress and differences in intensity of pesticide use between Member States, it is necessary to allow Member States **some** flexibility when setting their own **binding** national targets (“national 2030 reduction targets”). Intensity of use is

Amendment

(13) Given the different levels of historical progress and **significant** differences in intensity of pesticide use between Member States, it is necessary to allow Member States flexibility when setting their own national targets (“national 2030 reduction targets”). Intensity of use is

best measured by dividing the total quantity of active substances placed on the market, and therefore used, in the form of plant protection products in a particular Member State by the surface area over which the active substances were applied. Intensity in the use of chemical pesticides, and in particular of the more hazardous pesticides, correlates with greater dependency on chemical pesticides, greater risks to human health and the environment and less sustainable farming practices. It is therefore appropriate to allow Member States to take their lower intensity of use of chemical pesticides than the Union average into account in setting their national 2030 reduction targets. It is also appropriate to require them to take their higher intensity of use of chemical pesticides than the Union average into account in setting their national 2030 reduction targets. In addition, in order to give recognition to past efforts by Member States, they should also be allowed to take into account historical progress prior to the adoption of the Farm to Fork Strategy when setting national 2030 reduction targets. Conversely, where Member States have increased, or made only limited reductions in, their use and risk of chemical plant protection products, they should now make a greater contribution to the achievement of the **Union 2030** reduction targets, while also taking account of their intensity of pesticide use. In order to ensure a fair **and collective** effort towards the achievement of Union-wide targets and an adequate level of ambition, **minimum limits** should be **laid down for national 2030 reduction targets**. The EU's outermost regions, as listed in Article 349 of the Treaty, are located in the Atlantic, Caribbean and Indian Ocean. Due to permanent constraints such as their remoteness to the European continent, insularity and high exposure to climate change, it is appropriate to allow Member States to take into account the specific needs of these regions as regards the use of plant

best measured by dividing the total quantity of active substances placed on the market, and therefore used, in the form of plant protection products in a particular Member State by the surface area over which the active substances were applied. Intensity in the use of chemical pesticides, and in particular of the more hazardous pesticides, correlates with greater dependency on chemical pesticides, greater risks to human health and the environment and less sustainable farming practices. It is therefore appropriate to allow Member States to take their lower intensity of use of chemical pesticides than the Union average **and the need for certain plant protection products** into account in setting their national 2030 reduction targets. It is also appropriate to require them to take their higher intensity of use of chemical pesticides than the Union average into account in setting their national 2030 reduction targets. In addition, in order to give recognition to past efforts by Member States, they should also be allowed to take into account historical progress prior to the adoption of the Farm to Fork Strategy when setting national 2030 reduction targets. Conversely, where Member States have increased, or made only limited reductions in, their use and risk of chemical plant protection products, they should now make a greater contribution to the achievement of the **national** reduction targets, while also taking account of their intensity of pesticide use. In order to ensure a fair effort towards the achievement of Union-wide targets and an adequate level of ambition, **a greater focus** should be **placed on those Member States who have a high use of chemical plant protection products and have not undertaken efforts to reduce its use**. The EU's outermost regions, as listed in Article 349 of the Treaty, are located in the Atlantic, Caribbean and Indian Ocean. Due to permanent constraints such as their remoteness to the European continent, insularity and high exposure to climate

protection products and measures tailored to specific climatic conditions and crops. In order to ensure a fair **and collective** effort towards the achievement of Union-wide targets, where a Member State reaches the level of its 2030 national reduction target before 2030, it should not be required to undertake additional reduction efforts, but it should closely monitor annual fluctuations in the use and risk of chemical plant protection products and in the use of more hazardous plant protection products to ensure progress towards meeting the respective 2030 national reduction target. In the interests of transparency, Member State responses to any Commission recommendations in relation to the level of ambition of national targets and the annual progress made towards them should be publicly accessible.

change, it is appropriate to allow Member States to take into account the specific needs of these regions as regards the use of plant protection products and measures tailored to specific climatic conditions and crops. In order to ensure a fair effort towards the achievement of Union-wide targets, where a Member State reaches the level of its 2030 national reduction target before 2030, it should not be required to undertake additional reduction efforts, but it should closely monitor annual fluctuations in the use and risk of chemical plant protection products and in the use of more hazardous plant protection products to ensure progress towards meeting the respective 2030 national reduction target. In the interests of transparency, Member State responses to any Commission recommendations in relation to the level of ambition of national targets and the annual progress made towards them should be publicly accessible.

Or. en

Amendment 396
Peter Liese

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 13

Text proposed by the Commission

(13) Given the different levels of historical progress and differences in intensity of pesticide use between Member States, it is necessary to allow Member States some flexibility when setting their own binding national targets (“national **2030** reduction targets”). Intensity of use is best measured by dividing the total quantity of active substances placed on the market, and therefore used, in the form of plant protection products in a particular Member State by the surface area over which the active substances were applied.

Amendment

(13) Given the different levels of historical progress and differences in intensity of pesticide use between Member States, it is necessary to allow Member States some flexibility when setting their own binding national targets (“national reduction targets”). Intensity of use is best measured by dividing the total quantity of active substances placed on the market, and therefore used, in the form of plant protection products in a particular Member State by the surface area over which the active substances were applied. Intensity in

Intensity in the use of chemical pesticides, and in particular of the more hazardous pesticides, correlates with greater dependency on chemical pesticides, greater risks to human health and the environment and less sustainable farming practices. It is therefore appropriate to allow Member States to take their lower intensity of use of chemical pesticides than the Union average into account in setting their national **2030** reduction targets. It is also appropriate to require them to take their higher intensity of use of chemical pesticides than the Union average into account in setting their national **2030** reduction targets. In addition, in order to give recognition to past efforts by Member States, they should also be allowed to take into account historical progress prior to the adoption of the Farm to Fork Strategy when setting national **2030** reduction targets. Conversely, where Member States have increased, or made only limited reductions in, their use and risk of chemical plant protection products, they should now make a greater contribution to the achievement of the Union **2030** reduction targets, while also taking account of their intensity of pesticide use. In order to ensure a fair and collective effort towards the achievement of Union-wide targets and an adequate level of ambition, minimum limits should be laid down for national **2030** reduction targets. The EU's outermost regions, as listed in Article 349 of the Treaty, are located in the Atlantic, Caribbean and Indian Ocean. Due to permanent constraints such as their remoteness to the European continent, insularity and high exposure to climate change, it is appropriate to allow Member States to take into account the specific needs of these regions as regards the use of plant protection products and measures tailored to specific climatic conditions and crops. In order to ensure a fair and collective effort towards the achievement of Union-wide targets, where a Member State reaches the level of its **2030** national reduction target

the use of chemical pesticides, and in particular of the more hazardous pesticides, correlates with greater dependency on chemical pesticides, greater risks to human health and the environment and less sustainable farming practices. It is therefore appropriate to allow Member States to take their lower intensity of use of chemical pesticides than the Union average into account in setting their national reduction targets. It is also appropriate to require them to take their higher intensity of use of chemical pesticides than the Union average into account in setting their national reduction targets. In addition, in order to give recognition to past efforts by Member States, they should also be allowed to take into account historical progress prior to the adoption of the Farm to Fork Strategy when setting national reduction targets. Conversely, where Member States have increased, or made only limited reductions in, their use and risk of chemical plant protection products, they should now make a greater contribution to the achievement of the Union reduction targets, while also taking account of their intensity of pesticide use. In order to ensure a fair and collective effort towards the achievement of Union-wide targets and an adequate level of ambition, minimum limits should be laid down for national reduction targets. The EU's outermost regions, as listed in Article 349 of the Treaty, are located in the Atlantic, Caribbean and Indian Ocean. Due to permanent constraints such as their remoteness to the European continent, insularity and high exposure to climate change, it is appropriate to allow Member States to take into account the specific needs of these regions as regards the use of plant protection products and measures tailored to specific climatic conditions and crops. In order to ensure a fair and collective effort towards the achievement of Union-wide targets, where a Member State reaches the level of its national reduction target before *the end of the 10*

before **2030**, it should not be required to undertake additional reduction efforts, but it should closely monitor annual fluctuations in the use and risk of chemical plant protection products and in the use of more hazardous plant protection products to ensure progress towards meeting the respective **2030** national reduction target. In the interests of transparency, Member State responses to any Commission recommendations in relation to the level of ambition of national targets and the annual progress made towards them should be publicly accessible.

year period after entry into force of this Regulation, it should not be required to undertake additional reduction efforts, but it should closely monitor annual fluctuations in the use and risk of chemical plant protection products and in the use of more hazardous plant protection products to ensure progress towards meeting the respective national reduction target. In the interests of transparency, Member State responses to any Commission recommendations in relation to the level of ambition of national targets and the annual progress made towards them should be publicly accessible.

Or. en

Amendment 397
Stanislav Polčák

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 13

Text proposed by the Commission

(13) Vzhledem k různým úrovním dříve dosaženého pokroku a rozdílné intenzitě používání pesticidů mezi členskými státy, je nutné umožnit členským státům určitou flexibilitu při stanovování jejich vlastních závazných vnitrostátních cílů („vnitrostátních cílů omezení do roku 2030“). Intenzita používání se nejlépe vypočítá tak, že se celkové množství účinných látek, které byly uvedeny na trh, a tudíž použity, v konkrétním členském státě ve formě přípravků na ochranu rostlin vydělí plochou oblasti, na kterou byly tyto účinné látky aplikovány. Intenzita používání chemických pesticidů, a to zejména nebezpečnějších pesticidů, souvisí s větší závislostí na chemických pesticidech, většími riziky pro zdraví lidí a životní prostředí a méně udržitelnými zemědělskými postupy. Je proto vhodné, aby členské státy měly při stanovování

Amendment

(13) Vzhledem k různým úrovním dříve dosaženého pokroku a rozdílné intenzitě používání pesticidů mezi členskými státy, je nutné umožnit členským státům určitou flexibilitu při stanovování jejich vlastních závazných vnitrostátních cílů („vnitrostátních cílů omezení do roku 2030“). Intenzita používání se nejlépe vypočítá tak, že se celkové množství účinných látek, které byly uvedeny na trh, a tudíž použity, v konkrétním členském státě ve formě přípravků na ochranu rostlin vydělí plochou oblasti, na kterou byly tyto účinné látky aplikovány. Intenzita používání chemických pesticidů, a to zejména nebezpečnějších pesticidů, souvisí s větší závislostí na chemických pesticidech, většími riziky pro zdraví lidí a životní prostředí a méně udržitelnými zemědělskými postupy. Je proto vhodné, aby členské státy měly při stanovování

svých vnitrostátních cílů omezení do roku 2030 možnost zohlednit nižší intenzitu používání chemických pesticidů oproti průměru Unie. Rovněž je vhodné požadovat, aby členské státy při stanovování svých vnitrostátních cílů omezení do roku 2030 zohlednily vyšší intenzitu používání chemických pesticidů oproti průměru Unie. Aby bylo uznáno předchozí úsilí členských států, měly by mít dále při stanovování vnitrostátních cílů omezení do roku 2030 rovněž možnost zohlednit dřívější pokrok, kterého dosáhly před přijetím strategie „od zemědělce ke spotřebiteli“. Naopak, pokud se míra používání chemických přípravků na ochranu rostlin a jejich rizika v členských státech zvýší nebo jen mírně omezí, měly by uvedené členské státy k dosažení unijních cílů omezení do roku 2030 přispívat více a zároveň i zohlednit intenzitu používání pesticidů. Aby se na dosahování cílů na úrovni celé Unii podíleli všichni společně a spravedlivě a s přiměřenou úrovní ambicí, měly by být pro vnitrostátní cíle omezení do roku 2030 stanoveny minimální mezní hodnoty. Nejvzdálenější regiony EU uvedené v článku 349 Smlouvy se nacházejí v Atlantickém oceánu, Karibském moři a Indickém oceánu. Vzhledem k trvalým omezením, jako je jejich odlehlost od evropského kontinentu, ostrovní povaha a vysoká expozice změně klimatu, je vhodné umožnit členským státům, aby zohlednily zvláštní potřeby těchto regionů, pokud jde o používání přípravků na ochranu rostlin a opatření přizpůsobená specifickým klimatickým podmínkám a plodinám. Aby se na dosahování cílů na úrovni celé Unii podíleli všichni společně a spravedlivě, nemělo by se požadovat, aby členský stát, který dosáhne úrovně svého vnitrostátního cíle omezení do roku 2030 před rokem 2030, v oblasti omezování vynakládal další úsilí, ale měl by podrobně sledovat roční výkyvy, pokud jde o používání a riziko chemických přípravků na ochranu rostlin a používání nebezpečnějších přípravků na

svých vnitrostátních cílů omezení do roku 2030 možnost zohlednit nižší intenzitu používání chemických pesticidů oproti průměru Unie. Rovněž je vhodné požadovat, aby členské státy při stanovování svých vnitrostátních cílů omezení do roku 2030 zohlednily vyšší intenzitu používání chemických pesticidů oproti průměru Unie. Aby bylo uznáno předchozí úsilí členských států, měly by mít dále při stanovování vnitrostátních cílů omezení do roku 2030 rovněž možnost zohlednit dřívější pokrok, kterého dosáhly před přijetím Strategie „od zemědělce ke spotřebiteli“. Naopak, pokud se míra používání chemických přípravků na ochranu rostlin a jejich rizika v členských státech zvýší nebo jen mírně omezí, měly by uvedené členské státy k dosažení unijních cílů omezení do roku 2030 přispívat více a zároveň i zohlednit intenzitu používání pesticidů. Aby se na dosahování cílů na úrovni celé Unii podíleli všichni společně a spravedlivě a s přiměřenou úrovní ambicí, měly by být pro vnitrostátní cíle omezení do roku 2030 stanoveny minimální mezní hodnoty. Nejvzdálenější regiony EU uvedené v článku 349 Smlouvy se nacházejí v Atlantickém oceánu, Karibském moři a Indickém oceánu. Vzhledem k trvalým omezením, jako je jejich odlehlost od evropského kontinentu, ostrovní povaha a vysoká expozice změně klimatu, je vhodné umožnit členským státům, aby zohlednily zvláštní potřeby těchto regionů, pokud jde o používání přípravků na ochranu rostlin a opatření přizpůsobená specifickým klimatickým podmínkám a plodinám. Aby se na dosahování cílů na úrovni celé Unii podíleli všichni společně a spravedlivě, nemělo by se požadovat, aby členský stát, který dosáhne úrovně svého vnitrostátního cíle omezení do roku 2030 před rokem 2030, v oblasti omezování vynakládal další úsilí, ale měl by podrobně sledovat roční výkyvy, pokud jde o používání a riziko chemických přípravků na ochranu rostlin a používání nebezpečnějších přípravků na

ochranu rostlin, s cílem **zajistit** pokrok v plnění příslušného vnitrostátního cíle omezení do roku 2030. V zájmu transparentnosti by měly být reakce členských států na jakákoli doporučení Komise týkající se úrovně ambicí vnitrostátních cílů a ročního pokroku dosaženého při jejich plnění veřejně přístupné.

ochranu rostlin, s cílem **zachovat** pokrok **dosažený při** plnění příslušného vnitrostátního cíle omezení do roku 2030. V zájmu transparentnosti by měly být reakce členských států na jakákoli doporučení Komise týkající se úrovně ambicí vnitrostátních cílů a ročního pokroku dosaženého při jejich plnění veřejně přístupné.

Or. cs

Amendment 398 **Esther de Lange**

Proposal for a regulation **Recital 13**

Text proposed by the Commission

(13) Given the different levels of historical progress and differences in intensity of pesticide use between Member States, it is necessary to allow Member States some flexibility when setting their own binding national targets (“national **2030** reduction targets”). Intensity of use is best measured by dividing the total quantity of active substances placed on the market, and therefore used, in the form of plant protection products in a particular Member State by the surface area over which the active substances were applied. Intensity in the use of chemical pesticides, and in particular of the more hazardous pesticides, correlates with greater dependency on chemical pesticides, greater risks to human health and the environment and less sustainable farming practices. It is therefore appropriate to allow Member States to take their lower intensity of use of chemical pesticides than the Union average into account in setting their national **2030** reduction targets. It is also appropriate to require them to take their higher intensity of use of chemical pesticides than the Union average into account in setting their

Amendment

(13) Given the different levels of historical progress and differences in intensity of pesticide use between Member States, it is necessary to allow Member States some flexibility when setting their own binding national targets (“national **2035** reduction targets”). Intensity of use is best measured by dividing the total quantity of active substances placed on the market, and therefore used, in the form of plant protection products in a particular Member State by the surface area over which the active substances were applied. Intensity in the use of chemical pesticides, and in particular of the more hazardous pesticides, correlates with greater dependency on chemical pesticides, greater risks to human health and the environment and less sustainable farming practices. It is therefore appropriate to allow Member States to take their lower intensity of use of chemical pesticides than the Union average into account in setting their national **2035** reduction targets. It is also appropriate to require them to take their higher intensity of use of chemical pesticides than the Union average into account in setting their

national **2030** reduction targets. In addition, in order to give recognition to past efforts by Member States, they should also be allowed to take into account historical progress prior to the adoption of the Farm to Fork Strategy when setting national **2030** reduction targets. Conversely, where Member States have increased, or made only limited reductions in, their use and risk of chemical plant protection products, they should now make a greater contribution to the achievement of the Union **2030** reduction targets, while also taking account of their intensity of pesticide use. In order to ensure a fair and collective effort towards the achievement of Union-wide targets and an adequate level of ambition, minimum limits should be laid down for national **2030** reduction targets. The EU's outermost regions, as listed in Article 349 of the Treaty, are located in the Atlantic, Caribbean and Indian Ocean. Due to permanent constraints such as their remoteness to the European continent, insularity and high exposure to climate change, it is appropriate to allow Member States to take into account the specific needs of these regions as regards the use of plant protection products and measures tailored to specific climatic conditions and crops. In order to ensure a fair and collective effort towards the achievement of Union-wide targets, where a Member State reaches the level of its **2030** national reduction target before **2030**, it should not be required to undertake additional reduction efforts, but it should closely monitor annual fluctuations in the use and risk of chemical plant protection products and in the use of more hazardous plant protection products to ensure progress towards meeting the respective **2030** national reduction target. In the interests of transparency, Member State responses to any Commission recommendations in relation to the level of ambition of national targets and the annual progress made towards them should be

national **2035** reduction targets. In addition, in order to give recognition to past efforts by Member States, they should also be allowed to take into account historical progress prior to the adoption of the Farm to Fork Strategy when setting national **2035** reduction targets. Conversely, where Member States have increased, or made only limited reductions in, their use and risk of chemical plant protection products, they should now make a greater contribution to the achievement of the Union **2035** reduction targets, while also taking account of their intensity of pesticide use. In order to ensure a fair and collective effort towards the achievement of Union-wide targets and an adequate level of ambition, minimum limits should be laid down for national **2035** reduction targets. The EU's outermost regions, as listed in Article 349 of the Treaty, are located in the Atlantic, Caribbean and Indian Ocean. Due to permanent constraints such as their remoteness to the European continent, insularity and high exposure to climate change, it is appropriate to allow Member States to take into account the specific needs of these regions as regards the use of plant protection products and measures tailored to specific climatic conditions and crops. In order to ensure a fair and collective effort towards the achievement of Union-wide targets, where a Member State reaches the level of its **2035** national reduction target before **2035**, it should not be required to undertake additional reduction efforts, but it should closely monitor annual fluctuations in the use and risk of chemical plant protection products and in the use of more hazardous plant protection products to ensure progress towards meeting the respective **2035** national reduction target. In the interests of transparency, Member State responses to any Commission recommendations in relation to the level of ambition of national targets and the annual progress made towards them should be

publicly accessible.

publicly accessible.

Or. en

Amendment 399

Inese Vaidere

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 13

Text proposed by the Commission

(13) Given the different levels of historical progress and differences in intensity of pesticide use between Member States, it is necessary to allow Member States **some** flexibility when setting their own **binding** national targets (“national 2030 reduction targets”). Intensity of use is best measured by dividing the total quantity of active substances placed on the market, and therefore used, in the form of plant protection products in a particular Member State by the surface area over which the active substances were applied. Intensity in the use of chemical pesticides, and in particular of the more hazardous pesticides, correlates with greater dependency on chemical pesticides, greater risks to human health and the environment and less sustainable farming practices. It is therefore appropriate to allow Member States to take their lower intensity of use of chemical pesticides than the Union average into account in setting their national 2030 reduction targets. It is also appropriate to require them to take their higher intensity of use of chemical pesticides than the Union average into account in setting their national 2030 reduction targets. In addition, in order to give recognition to past efforts by Member States, they should also be allowed to take into account historical progress prior to the adoption of the Farm to Fork Strategy when setting national 2030 reduction targets. Conversely, where Member States have

Amendment

(13) Given the different levels of historical progress and differences in intensity of pesticide use between Member States, it is necessary to allow Member States flexibility when setting their own national targets (“national 2030 reduction targets”). Intensity of use is best measured by dividing the total quantity of active substances placed on the market, and therefore used, in the form of plant protection products in a particular Member State by the surface area over which the active substances were applied. Intensity in the use of chemical pesticides, and in particular of the more hazardous pesticides, correlates with greater dependency on chemical pesticides, greater risks to human health and the environment and less sustainable farming practices. It is therefore appropriate to allow Member States to take their lower intensity of use of chemical pesticides than the Union average into account in setting their national 2030 reduction targets. It is also appropriate to require them to take their higher intensity of use of chemical pesticides than the Union average into account in setting their national 2030 reduction targets. In addition, in order to give recognition to past efforts by Member States, they should also be allowed to take into account historical progress prior to the adoption of the Farm to Fork Strategy when setting national 2030 reduction targets. Conversely, where Member States have

increased, or made only limited reductions in, their use and risk of chemical plant protection products, they should now make a greater contribution to the achievement of the Union 2030 reduction targets, while also taking account of their intensity of pesticide use. In order to ensure a fair and collective effort towards the achievement of Union-wide targets and an adequate level of ambition, minimum limits should be laid down for national 2030 reduction targets. The EU's outermost regions, as listed in Article 349 of the Treaty, are located in the Atlantic, Caribbean and Indian Ocean. Due to permanent constraints such as their remoteness to the European continent, insularity and high exposure to climate change, it is appropriate to allow Member States to take into account the specific needs of these regions as regards the use of plant protection products and measures tailored to specific climatic conditions and crops. In order to ensure a fair and collective effort towards the achievement of Union-wide targets, where a Member State reaches the level of its 2030 national reduction target before 2030, it should not be required to undertake additional reduction efforts, but it should closely monitor annual fluctuations in the use and risk of chemical plant protection products and in the use of more hazardous plant protection products to ensure progress towards meeting the respective 2030 national reduction target. In the interests of transparency, Member State responses to any Commission recommendations in relation to the level of ambition of national targets and the annual progress made towards them should be publicly accessible.

increased, or made only limited reductions in, their use and risk of chemical plant protection products, they should now make a greater contribution to the achievement of the Union 2030 reduction targets, while also taking account of their intensity of pesticide use. In order to ensure a fair and collective effort towards the achievement of Union-wide targets and an adequate level of ambition, minimum limits should be laid down for national 2030 reduction targets. The EU's outermost regions, as listed in Article 349 of the Treaty, are located in the Atlantic, Caribbean and Indian Ocean. Due to permanent constraints such as their remoteness to the European continent, insularity and high exposure to climate change, it is appropriate to allow Member States to take into account the specific needs of these regions as regards the use of plant protection products and measures tailored to specific climatic conditions and crops. In order to ensure a fair and collective effort towards the achievement of Union-wide targets, where a Member State reaches the level of its 2030 national reduction target before 2030, it should not be required to undertake additional reduction efforts, but it should closely monitor annual fluctuations in the use and risk of chemical plant protection products and in the use of more hazardous plant protection products to ensure progress towards meeting the respective 2030 national reduction target. In the interests of transparency, Member State responses to any Commission recommendations in relation to the level of ambition of national targets and the annual progress made towards them should be publicly accessible.

Or. en

Amendment 400

Jan Huitema, Emma Wiesner, Erik Poulsen, Billy Kelleher, Asger Christensen

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 13 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(13 a) The overall objective of this regulation should be to reduce the environmental impact of plant protection measures. Reduction in the use of chemical plant protection products is only one of multiple measures that can contribute to achieving this goal. Considering that there is currently not enough data at Union level to properly assess the overall environmental impact of plant protection measures, the harmonised risk indicators based on the sales plant protection products are used. However, some Member States have and will continue to develop indicators for the integral assessment of environmental impact of plant protection measures. Member States should be able to fulfill their obligations for national reduction targets by setting and reaching targets on the basis of these new indicators. Member States should be able to include in their national action plan a methodology, baseline reference year and set target, to be approved by the Commission.

Or. en

Amendment 401
Esther de Lange

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 13 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(13 a) It is furthermore appropriate to take into account the considerable differences in population density, size and scarcity of available space between Member States. In Member States or regions with limited space and high

population density flexibility should be granted in the way the goals of this regulation may be addressed and attained in that Member State or region, in particular when required to reach other Green Deal objectives regarding climate change, the energy transition and water quality, as well core responsibilities towards its citizens, such as disaster protection and the provision of affordable (social) and high quality housing.

Or. en

Amendment 402

Dan-Ștefan Motreanu, Carmen Avram, Marian-Jean Marinescu, Daniel Buda

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 13 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(13 a) In its Staff Working Document on the Drivers of Food Security^{2a}, the European Commission acknowledges that “Soil, water, biodiversity, and air are basic requirements for food production” and confirms that availability and access to food for consumers at reasonable prices are objectives that cannot be taken for granted.

^{2a} *Commission staff working document, “Drivers of Food Security”, published 04/01/2023, https://commission.europa.eu/system/files/2023-01/SWD_2023_4_1_EN_document_travail_service_part1_v2.pdf*

Or. en

Amendment 403

Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Peter Lundgren, Hermann Tertsch, Margarita

de la Pisa Carrión, Mazaly Aguilar, Evžen Tošenovský, Sergio Berlato, Jorge Buxadé Villalba, Eugen Jurzyca, Pietro Fiocchi, Charlie Weimers, Alexander Bernhuber, Johan Nissinen

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 13 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(13 a) In its Staff Working Document on the Drivers of Food Security, the European Commission acknowledges that “Soil, water, biodiversity, and air are basic requirements for food production” and confirms how availability and access to food for consumers at reasonable prices are objectives that cannot be taken for granted.

Or. en

Justification

https://commission.europa.eu/system/files/2023-01/SWD_2023_4_1_EN_document_travail_service_part1_v2.pdf

Amendment 404

Dan-Ștefan Motreanu, Carmen Avram, Marian-Jean Marinescu, Daniel Buda

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 13 b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(13 b) Whereas certain Member States are already under the EU average in terms of use of chemical pesticides and more hazardous pesticides while other Member States are considerably above, reduction efforts should be made by the latter.

Or. en

Amendment 405

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjård

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 14

Text proposed by the Commission

(14) Member States should draft and publish national action plans. ***In order for the Member State national action plans to be effective, they should contain quantitative objectives, references to binding national 2030 reduction targets as set out in national law, together with related indicative targets set out in the national action plans, measures, timetables and indicators to reduce risks and impacts of pesticide use on human health and the environment. This will allow for a structured approach to the setting of quantitative objectives and targets, with a clear link to the national 2030 reduction targets. In order to monitor compliance with the provisions of this Regulation, Member States should also be required to report annually on targets and precise quantitative data relating to compliance with provisions on use, training, application equipment and integrated pest management.***

Amendment

(14) Member States should draft and publish national action plans, ***outlining possible ways to reduce the use of plant protection products in a sustainable manner.*** The national action plans ***shall be submitted*** to the ***European Commission.***

Or. en

Amendment 406

Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 14

Text proposed by the Commission

(14) Member States should draft and publish national action plans. In order for the Member State national action plans to

Amendment

(14) Member States should draft and publish national action plans. In order for the Member State national action plans to

be effective, they should contain quantitative objectives, references to binding national 2030 reduction targets as set out in national law, together with related indicative targets set out in the national action plans, measures, timetables and indicators to reduce risks and impacts of pesticide use on human health and the environment. This will allow for a structured approach to the setting of quantitative objectives and targets, with a clear link to the national 2030 reduction targets. In order to monitor compliance with the provisions of this Regulation, Member States should also be required to report annually on targets and precise quantitative data relating to compliance with provisions on use, training, application equipment and integrated pest management.

be effective, they should contain quantitative objectives, references to binding national 2030 reduction targets as set out in national law, together with related indicative targets set out in the national action plans, measures, timetables and indicators to reduce risks and impacts of pesticide use on human health and the environment. This will allow for a structured approach to the setting of quantitative objectives and targets, with a clear link to the national 2030 reduction targets. In order to monitor compliance with the provisions of this Regulation, Member States should also be required to report annually on targets, ***planned and adopted measures***, and precise quantitative data relating to compliance with provisions on use, training, application equipment and integrated pest management, ***public campaigns and awareness raising programmes, health and environment monitoring, and support given to farmers to implement the provisions of this Regulation. In order for Member States to take into account the specific needs of the EU's outermost regions as regards the use of plant protection products and to ensure that the objectives of this Regulation are met also in these regions, Member States with outermost regions should draft and publish specific territorial action plans for each of these regions including measures tailored to their specific climatic conditions and crops.***

Or. en

Amendment 407

Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław Kalinowski, Joanna Kopcińska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 14

Text proposed by the Commission

(14) ***Member States should draft and publish national action plans. In order for the Member State national action plans to be effective, they should contain quantitative objectives, references to binding national 2030 reduction targets as set out in national law, together with related indicative targets set out in the national action plans, measures, timetables and indicators to reduce risks and impacts of pesticide use on human health and the environment. This will allow for a structured approach to the setting of quantitative objectives and targets, with a clear link to the national 2030 reduction targets.*** In order to monitor compliance with the provisions of this Regulation, Member States should also be required to report annually on targets and precise quantitative data relating to compliance with provisions on use, training, application equipment and integrated pest management.

Amendment

(14) In order to monitor compliance with the provisions of this Regulation, Member States should also be required to report annually on targets and precise quantitative data relating to compliance with provisions on use, training, application equipment and integrated pest management.

Or. en

Amendment 408

Edina Tóth

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 14

Text proposed by the Commission

(14) Member States should draft and publish national action plans. ***In order for the Member State national action plans to be effective, they should contain quantitative objectives, references to binding national 2030 reduction targets as set out in national law, together with related indicative targets set out in the national action plans, measures, timetables and indicators to reduce risks***

Amendment

(14) Member States should draft and publish national action plans. In order to monitor compliance with the provisions of this Regulation, Member States should also be required to report annually on targets and precise quantitative data relating to compliance with provisions on use, training, application equipment and integrated pest management.

and impacts of pesticide use on human health and the environment. This will allow for a structured approach to the setting of quantitative objectives and targets, with a clear link to the national 2030 reduction targets. In order to monitor compliance with the provisions of this Regulation, Member States should also be required to report annually on targets and precise quantitative data relating to compliance with provisions on use, training, application equipment and integrated pest management.

Or. en

Amendment 409
Inese Vaidere

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 14

Text proposed by the Commission

(14) Member States should draft and publish national action plans. ***In order for the Member State national action plans to be effective, they should contain quantitative objectives, references to binding national 2030 reduction targets as set out in national law, together with related indicative targets set out in the national action plans,*** measures, ***timetables and indicators*** to reduce ***risks and impacts of pesticide use on human health and the environment. This will allow for a structured approach to the setting of quantitative objectives and targets, with a clear link to the national 2030 reduction targets.*** In order to monitor compliance with the provisions of this Regulation, Member States should also be required to report annually on targets and precise quantitative data relating to compliance with provisions on use, training, application equipment and

Amendment

(14) Member States should draft and publish national action plans ***that*** contain measures to reduce ***the use of plant protection products.*** In order to monitor compliance with the provisions of this Regulation, Member States should also be required to report annually on targets and precise quantitative data relating to compliance with provisions on use, training, application equipment and integrated pest management.

integrated pest management.

Or. en

Amendment 410

Silvia Sardone

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 14

Text proposed by the Commission

(14) Member States should draft and publish national action plans. In order for the Member State national action plans to be effective, they should contain quantitative objectives, references to binding national 2030 reduction targets as set out in national law, together with related indicative targets set out in the national action plans, measures, timetables and indicators to reduce risks and impacts of pesticide use on human health and the environment. This will allow for a structured approach to the setting of quantitative objectives and targets, with a clear link to the national 2030 reduction targets. In order to monitor compliance with the provisions of this Regulation, Member States should also be required to report annually on targets and precise quantitative data relating to compliance with provisions on use, training, application equipment and integrated pest management.

Amendment

(14) Member States should draft and publish national action plans. In order for the Member State national action plans to be effective, they should contain quantitative objectives, references to binding national 2030 reduction targets as set out in national law, together with related indicative targets set out in the national action plans, measures, timetables and indicators to reduce risks and impacts of pesticide use on human health and the environment. ***Member States should take into account predictable and unpredictable variables such as bad weather, high probability of being affected by pests and diseases, crop diversity, climate change*** This will allow for a structured approach to the setting of quantitative objectives and targets, with a clear link to the national 2030 reduction targets. In order to monitor compliance with the provisions of this Regulation, Member States should also be required to report annually on targets and precise quantitative data relating to compliance with provisions on use, training, application equipment and integrated pest management.

Or. en

Amendment 411

Dan-Ştefan Motreanu, Carmen Avram, Marian-Jean Marinescu, Daniel Buda

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 14

Text proposed by the Commission

(14) Member States should draft and publish national action plans. In order for the Member State national action plans to be effective, **they** should **contain quantitative objectives, references to binding national 2030 reduction targets as set out in national law, together with related indicative targets set out in the national action plans, measures, timetables and indicators to reduce risks and impacts of pesticide use on human health and the environment**. This will allow for a structured approach to the setting of quantitative objectives and targets, with a clear link to the **national 2030 reduction targets**. In order to monitor compliance with the provisions of this Regulation, Member States should also be required to report annually on **targets** and precise quantitative data relating to compliance with provisions on use, training, application equipment and integrated pest management.

Amendment

(14) Member States should draft and publish national action plans. In order for the Member State national action plans to be effective, **Member States** should **describe the actions to be taken in order to contribute to the 2035 Union target**. This will allow for a structured approach to the setting of quantitative objectives and targets, with a clear link to the **Union 2035 reduction target**. In order to monitor compliance with the provisions of this Regulation, Member States should also be required to report annually on **their contribution to the Union target** and precise quantitative data relating to compliance with provisions on use, training, application equipment and integrated pest management.

Or. en

Amendment 412

Franč Bogovič, Marlene Mortler, Ljudmila Novak, Alexander Bernhuber

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 14

Text proposed by the Commission

(14) Member States should draft and publish national action plans. In order for the Member State national action plans to be effective, they should contain quantitative objectives, **references to binding national 2030 reduction targets as set out in national law, together with**

Amendment

(14) Member States should draft and publish national action plans. In order for the Member State national action plans to be effective, they should contain quantitative objectives, measures, timetables and indicators to reduce risks and impacts of pesticide use on human

related indicative targets set out in the national action plans, measures, timetables and indicators to reduce risks and impacts of pesticide use on human health and the environment. This will allow for a structured approach to the setting of quantitative objectives and targets, with a clear link to the national 2030 reduction targets. In order to monitor compliance with the provisions of this Regulation, Member States should also be required to report annually on targets and precise quantitative data relating to compliance with provisions on use, training, application equipment and integrated pest management.

health and the environment. This will allow for a structured approach to the setting of quantitative objectives and targets, with a clear link to the national 2030 reduction targets. In order to monitor compliance with the provisions of this Regulation, Member States should also be required to report annually on targets and precise quantitative data relating to compliance with provisions on use, training, application equipment and integrated pest management.

Or. en

Justification

Binding targets should not be set for Member States if there are no available viable alternatives at national level for farmers.

Amendment 413

Jan Huitema, Emma Wiesner, Ulrike Müller, Erik Poulsen, Andreas Glück, Asger Christensen, Billy Kelleher

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 14

Text proposed by the Commission

(14) Member States should draft and publish national action plans. In order for the Member State national action plans to be effective, they should contain quantitative objectives, references to binding national 2030 reduction targets as set out in national law, together with related indicative targets set out in the national action plans, measures, timetables and indicators to reduce risks and impacts of pesticide use on human health and the environment. This will allow for a structured approach to the setting of quantitative objectives and targets, with a

Amendment

(14) Member States should draft and publish national action plans. In order for the Member State national action plans to be effective, they should contain quantitative objectives, references to binding national 2030 reduction targets as set out in national law, together with related indicative targets set out in the national action plans, measures, timetables and indicators to reduce risks and impacts of pesticide use on human health and the environment ***and to increase the availability of alternative measures for plant protection***. This will allow for a

clear link to the national 2030 reduction targets. In order to monitor compliance with the provisions of this Regulation, Member States should also be required to report annually on targets and precise quantitative data relating to compliance with provisions on use, training, application equipment and integrated pest management.

structured approach to the setting of quantitative objectives and targets, with a clear link to the national 2030 reduction targets. In order to monitor compliance with the provisions of this Regulation, Member States should also be required to report annually on targets and precise quantitative data relating to compliance with provisions on use, training, application equipment and integrated pest management.

Or. en

Amendment 414

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 14

Text proposed by the Commission

(14) Member States should draft and publish national action plans. In order for the Member State national action plans to be effective, they should contain quantitative objectives, references to binding national 2030 reduction targets as set out in national law, together with related **indicative** targets set out in the national action plans, measures, timetables and indicators to reduce risks and impacts of pesticide use on human health and the environment. This will allow for a structured approach to the setting of quantitative objectives and targets, with a clear link to the national 2030 reduction targets. In order to monitor compliance with the provisions of this Regulation, Member States should also be required to report annually on targets and precise quantitative data relating to compliance with provisions on use, training, application equipment and integrated pest management.

Amendment

(14) Member States should draft and publish national action plans. In order for the Member State national action plans to be effective, they should contain quantitative objectives, references to binding national 2030 reduction targets **and the binding national 2035 phase-out target** as set out in national law, together with related targets set out in the national action plans, measures, timetables and indicators to reduce risks and impacts of pesticide use on human **and animal** health and the environment. This will allow for a structured approach to the setting of quantitative objectives and targets, with a clear link to the national 2030 reduction targets **and the 2035 phase-out target**. In order to monitor compliance with the provisions of this Regulation, Member States should also be required to report annually on targets and precise quantitative data relating to compliance with provisions on use, training, application equipment and integrated pest management.

Amendment 415
Tudor Ciuhodaru

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 14

Text proposed by the Commission

(14) Statele membre ar trebui să elaboreze și să publice planuri naționale de acțiune. Pentru ca planurile naționale de acțiune ale statelor membre să fie eficace, acestea ar trebui să conțină obiective cantitative, trimiteri la obiective naționale obligatorii de reducere până în 2030, astfel cum sunt prevăzute în legislația națională, precum și obiective orientative aferente stabilite în planurile naționale de acțiune, măsuri, calendare și indicatori pentru reducerea riscurilor și a impactului utilizării pesticidelor asupra sănătății umane și asupra mediului. Acest lucru va permite o abordare structurată a stabilirii obiectivelor și țințelor cantitative, cu o legătură clară cu obiectivele naționale de reducere până în 2030. Pentru a monitoriza respectarea dispozițiilor prezentului regulament, statele membre ar trebui, de asemenea, să aibă obligația de a raporta anual cu privire la obiective și la datele cantitative precise referitoare la respectarea dispozițiilor privind utilizarea, formarea, echipamentele de aplicare și combaterea integrată a dăunătorilor.

Amendment

(14) Statele membre ar trebui să elaboreze și să publice planuri naționale de acțiune ***pe termen mediu și scurt***. Pentru ca planurile naționale de acțiune ale statelor membre să fie eficace, acestea ar trebui să conțină obiective cantitative, trimiteri la obiective naționale obligatorii de reducere până în 2030, astfel cum sunt prevăzute în legislația națională, precum și obiective orientative aferente stabilite în planurile naționale de acțiune, măsuri, calendare și indicatori ***comuni*** pentru reducerea riscurilor și a impactului utilizării pesticidelor asupra sănătății umane și asupra mediului. Acest lucru va permite o abordare structurată a stabilirii obiectivelor și țințelor cantitative, cu o legătură clară cu obiectivele naționale de reducere până în 2030. Pentru a monitoriza respectarea dispozițiilor prezentului regulament, statele membre ar trebui, de asemenea, să aibă obligația de a raporta anual cu privire la obiective și la datele cantitative precise referitoare la respectarea dispozițiilor privind utilizarea, formarea, echipamentele de aplicare și combaterea integrată a dăunătorilor.

Or. ro

Amendment 416
Esther de Lange

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 14

Text proposed by the Commission

(14) Member States should draft and publish national action plans. In order for the Member State national action plans to be effective, they should contain quantitative objectives, references to binding national **2030** reduction targets as set out in national law, together with related indicative targets set out in the national action plans, measures, timetables and indicators to reduce risks and impacts of pesticide use on human health and the environment. This will allow for a structured approach to the setting of quantitative objectives and targets, with a clear link to the national **2030** reduction targets. In order to monitor compliance with the provisions of this Regulation, Member States should also be required to report annually on targets and precise quantitative data relating to compliance with provisions on use, training, application equipment and integrated pest management.

Amendment

(14) Member States should draft and publish national action plans. In order for the Member State national action plans to be effective, they should contain quantitative objectives, references to binding national **2035** reduction targets as set out in national law, together with related indicative targets set out in the national action plans, measures, timetables and indicators to reduce risks and impacts of pesticide use on human health and the environment. This will allow for a structured approach to the setting of quantitative objectives and targets, with a clear link to the national **2035** reduction targets. In order to monitor compliance with the provisions of this Regulation, Member States should also be required to report annually on targets and precise quantitative data relating to compliance with provisions on use, training, application equipment and integrated pest management.

Or. en

Amendment 417

Peter Liese

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 14

Text proposed by the Commission

(14) Member States should draft and publish national action plans. In order for the Member State national action plans to be effective, they should contain quantitative objectives, references to binding national **2030** reduction targets as set out in national law, together with related indicative targets set out in the national action plans, measures, timetables and indicators to reduce risks and impacts

Amendment

(14) Member States should draft and publish national action plans. In order for the Member State national action plans to be effective, they should contain quantitative objectives, references to binding national reduction targets as set out in national law, together with related indicative targets set out in the national action plans, measures, timetables and indicators to reduce risks and impacts of

of pesticide use on human health and the environment. This will allow for a structured approach to the setting of quantitative objectives and targets, with a clear link to the national **2030** reduction targets. In order to monitor compliance with the provisions of this Regulation, Member States should also be required to report annually on targets and precise quantitative data relating to compliance with provisions on use, training, application equipment and integrated pest management.

pesticide use on human health and the environment. This will allow for a structured approach to the setting of quantitative objectives and targets, with a clear link to the national reduction targets. In order to monitor compliance with the provisions of this Regulation, Member States should also be required to report annually on targets and precise quantitative data relating to compliance with provisions on use, training, application equipment and integrated pest management.

Or. en

Amendment 418
Sylvia Limmer

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 14

Text proposed by the Commission

(14) Die Mitgliedstaaten sollten nationale Aktionspläne erarbeiten und veröffentlichen. Damit die nationalen Aktionspläne der Mitgliedstaaten wirksam sind, sollten sie quantitative Ziele, Verweise auf **verbindliche** nationale Reduktionsziele bis 2030 nach dem nationalen Recht sowie Richtziele in den nationalen Aktionsplänen, Maßnahmen, Zeitpläne und Indikatoren für die Verringerung von Risiken und Auswirkungen der Verwendung von Pestiziden auf die menschliche Gesundheit und die Umwelt umfassen. Dies ermöglicht einen strukturierten Ansatz für die Festlegung quantitativer Zielvorgaben mit einer eindeutigen Verknüpfung mit den nationalen Reduktionszielen bis 2030. Zur Überwachung der Einhaltung der Bestimmungen dieser Verordnung sollten die Mitgliedstaaten verpflichtet werden, jährlich zu den Zielen zu berichten und genaue quantitative Angaben in Bezug auf

Amendment

(14) Die Mitgliedstaaten sollten nationale Aktionspläne erarbeiten und veröffentlichen. Damit die nationalen Aktionspläne der Mitgliedstaaten wirksam sind, sollten sie quantitative Ziele, Verweise auf nationale Reduktionsziele bis 2030 nach dem nationalen Recht sowie Richtziele in den nationalen Aktionsplänen, Maßnahmen, Zeitpläne und Indikatoren für die Verringerung von Risiken und Auswirkungen der Verwendung von Pestiziden auf die menschliche Gesundheit und die Umwelt umfassen. Dies ermöglicht einen strukturierten Ansatz für die Festlegung quantitativer Zielvorgaben mit einer eindeutigen Verknüpfung mit den nationalen Reduktionszielen bis 2030. Zur Überwachung der Einhaltung der Bestimmungen dieser Verordnung sollten die Mitgliedstaaten verpflichtet werden, jährlich zu den Zielen zu berichten und genaue quantitative Angaben in Bezug auf

die Einhaltung der Bestimmungen zu
Verwendung, Schulung,
Anwendungsgeräten und integriertem
Pflanzenschutz zu machen.

die Einhaltung der Bestimmungen zu
Verwendung, Schulung,
Anwendungsgeräten und integriertem
Pflanzenschutz zu machen.

Or. de

Amendment 419

Franc Bogovič, Marlene Mortler, Ljudmila Novak, Alexander Bernhuber

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 14 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(14 a) The current provision in the Article 34 of the the Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council grants an exemption from supplying, in support of the authorisation application, the relevant tests and study reports to applicants who demonstrate that data protection period for a plant protection product has expired. Such provision does not incentivise investments in new technologies that could contribute to reaching the Union 2030 reduction targets. Approval processes for technological innovations in the EU should benefit from the "fast track" procedure in order to provide professional users with the broader range of solutions on the one side and faster reduction of the plant protection product use on the use on the other. Plant ptotection products with the proven reduction of the dose rates of the active substance should be evaluated as a priority.

Or. en

Amendment 420

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 15

Text proposed by the Commission

(15) In order to achieve the Union-wide reduction targets ('Union 2030 reduction targets') as well as national 2030 reduction targets, it is necessary to increase the availability and use of biological control and other non-chemical alternatives. Availability of these alternatives will incentivise the adoption of low pesticide-input pest management practices such as organic farming.

Amendment

(15) In order to achieve the Union-wide reduction targets ('Union 2030 reduction targets') as well as national 2030 reduction targets, it is necessary to increase the availability and use of biological control and other non-chemical alternatives. Availability of these alternatives will incentivise the adoption of low pesticide-input pest management practices such as organic farming. ***In its report on the Farm to Fork Strategy, the European Parliament also stressed the need to establish fast-track evaluation, authorisation and registration processes for non-chemical low-risk pesticides, while ensuring that their assessment is subject to the same level of rigour as for other substances. These more sustainable alternatives to chemical pesticides are now subject to the same authorisation and approval procedures as chemical pesticides, while the data requirements could potentially differ. It is therefore appropriate that the European Commission submits a legislative proposal for a separate and dedicated Regulation on the authorisation of biological controls within one year after entry into force of this Regulation.***

Or. en

Amendment 421
Pernille Weiss

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 15

Text proposed by the Commission

(15) In order to achieve the Union-wide reduction targets ('Union 2030 reduction

Amendment

(15) In order to achieve the Union-wide reduction targets ('Union 2030 reduction

targets’) as well as national 2030 reduction targets, it is necessary to increase the availability and use of biological control and other non-chemical alternatives. Availability of these alternatives will incentivise the adoption of low pesticide-input pest management practices such as organic farming.

targets’) as well as national 2030 reduction targets, it is necessary to increase the availability and use of biological control and other non-chemical alternatives. Availability of these alternatives will **require an accelerated approval procedure, which relies upon i.a. sufficient expertise at EU and Member State level.** **Recognizing that this expertise is not yet sufficiently available, Member States and the Commission should consider providing further funding for training on relevant issues related to the approval procedure of biological control.** **Furthermore, the Commission should in regard to accelerating access to biological control consider making use of Article 30 of Regulation 1107/2009. Availability of these alternatives will** incentivise the adoption of low pesticide-input pest management practices such as organic farming.

Or. en

Amendment 422

Martin Hojsik, Michal Wiezik, María Soraya Rodríguez Ramos, Róza Thun und Hohenstein, Frédérique Ries

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 15

Text proposed by the Commission

(15) In order to achieve the Union-wide reduction targets (‘Union 2030 reduction targets’) as well as national 2030 reduction targets, it is necessary to increase the availability and use of biological control and other non-chemical alternatives. Availability of these alternatives will incentivise the adoption of low pesticide-input pest management practices such as organic farming.

Amendment

(15) In order to achieve the Union-wide reduction targets (‘Union 2030 reduction targets’) as well as national 2030 reduction targets, it is necessary to increase the availability and use of biological control and other non-chemical alternatives. Availability of these alternatives will incentivise the adoption of low pesticide-input pest management practices such as organic farming. **Binding pesticide reduction targets and low pesticide-input pest management practices will, on the other hand, also contribute to reaching**

the Union's climate neutrality objective by 2050, as vast majority of active substances, which may be applied exclusively in conventional agriculture, are energy intense petrochemical products.

Or. en

Justification

Almost 90% of the 256 active substances, which may be applied only in conventional agriculture consist of synthesized organic derivatives of the petroleum chemistry. According to Eurostat, within the industrial sector, in 2020 the biggest energy consumer in the EU was the chemical and petrochemical industry, primarily relying on fossil energy inputs. The pesticide reduction goals of this regulation are thus compliant and reinforcing the goals of Regulation (EU) 2021/1119: European Climate law.

Amendment 423

Jan Huitema, Emma Wiesner, Erik Poulsen, Billy Kelleher, Asger Christensen

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 15

Text proposed by the Commission

(15) In order to achieve the Union-wide reduction targets ('Union 2030 reduction targets') as well as national 2030 reduction targets, it is necessary to increase the availability and use of biological control and other **non-chemical** alternatives. Availability of these alternatives will incentivise the adoption of low pesticide-input pest management practices such as organic farming.

Amendment

(15) In order to achieve the Union-wide reduction targets ('Union 2030 reduction targets') as well as national 2030 reduction targets, it is necessary to increase the availability and use of biological control and other **low risk** alternatives. Availability of these alternatives will incentivise the adoption of low pesticide-input pest management practices such as organic farming. ***In order to ensure that sufficient low risk and biological plant protection products are available to meet the Union reduction and replacement targets, it is appropriate to amend Regulation (EC) 1107/2009 to enable provisional authorisation for these products.***

Or. en

Amendment 424

Dan-Ştefan Motreanu, Carmen Avram, Marian-Jean Marinescu, Daniel Buda

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 15

Text proposed by the Commission

(15) In order to achieve the Union-wide reduction targets ('Union **2030** reduction targets') as well as national **2030** reduction **targets**, it is necessary to increase the availability and use of biological control and other non-chemical alternatives. Availability of these alternatives will incentivise the adoption of low pesticide-input pest management practices such as organic farming.

Amendment

(15) In order to achieve the Union-wide reduction targets ('Union **2035** reduction targets') as well as national **2035** reduction **contributions**, it is necessary to increase the availability, **accessibility and affordability of low-risk alternatives** and use of biological control and other **economically justified** non-chemical alternatives, **including new breeding, technological, and precision techniques**. Availability **and viability** of these alternatives will incentivise the adoption of low pesticide-input pest management practices such as organic farming, **conservation agriculture and low till farming**.

Or. en

Amendment 425

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjård

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 15

Text proposed by the Commission

(15) In order to achieve the Union-wide reduction targets ('Union **2030** reduction targets') **as well as national 2030 reduction targets**, it is necessary to increase the availability and use of **biological control and other non-chemical alternatives**. **Availability of these alternatives will incentivise the adoption of low pesticide-input pest management**

Amendment

(15) In order to achieve the Union-wide reduction targets ('Union **2035** reduction targets') it is necessary to increase the availability and use of **low hazardous plant protection products as well as in precision farming and new types of application**.

practices such as organic farming.

Or. en

Amendment 426

Sylvia Limmer

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 15

Text proposed by the Commission

(15) Um die EU-weiten Reduktionsziele (Reduktionsziele der Union bis 2030) sowie die nationalen Reduktionsziele bis 2030 erreichen zu können, muss die Verfügbarkeit und Verwendung **biologischer** Bekämpfungsmittel **und anderer nichtchemischer Alternativen** gesteigert werden. Die Verfügbarkeit dieser Alternativen schafft einen Anreiz, auf Pflanzenschutzverfahren mit geringer Pestizidverwendung wie **den ökologischen/biologischen Landbau** umzusteigen.

Amendment

(15) Um die EU-weiten Reduktionsziele (Reduktionsziele der Union bis 2030) sowie die nationalen Reduktionsziele bis 2030 erreichen zu können, muss die Verfügbarkeit und Verwendung **alternativer** Bekämpfungsmittel gesteigert werden. Die Verfügbarkeit dieser Alternativen schafft einen Anreiz, auf Pflanzenschutzverfahren mit geringer Pestizidverwendung wie umzusteigen.

Or. de

Amendment 427

Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław Kalinowski, Joanna Kopcińska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 15

Text proposed by the Commission

(15) In order to achieve the Union-wide reduction targets ('Union 2030 reduction targets') **as well as national 2030 reduction targets**, it is necessary to increase the availability and use of biological control and other non-chemical alternatives. Availability of these alternatives will incentivise the adoption of

Amendment

(15) In order to achieve the Union-wide reduction targets ('Union 2030 reduction targets'), it is necessary to increase the availability and use of biological control and other non-chemical alternatives. Availability of these alternatives will incentivise the adoption of low pesticide-

low pesticide-input pest management practices **such as organic farming**.

input pest management practices.

Or. en

Justification

Pest control in organic farming is based on copper and sulphur, which cannot be considered low-input substances.

Amendment 428

Franc Bogovič, Marlene Mortler, Ljudmila Novak, Alexander Bernhuber

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 15

Text proposed by the Commission

(15) In order to achieve the Union-wide reduction targets ('Union 2030 reduction targets') **as well as national 2030 reduction targets**, it is necessary to increase the availability and use of biological control and other non-chemical alternatives. Availability of these alternatives will incentivise the adoption of low pesticide-input pest management practices such as organic farming.

Amendment

(15) In order to achieve the Union-wide reduction targets ('Union 2030 reduction targets'), it is necessary to increase the availability and use of **effective and affordable** biological control and other non-chemical alternatives. Availability of these **effective and affordable** alternatives will incentivise the adoption of low pesticide-input pest management practices such as organic **and agroecological** farming.

Or. en

Justification

The binding national targets would risk leading to disproportionate infringements and sanctions, therefore they should be removed from the proposal. The use of biological control and other non-chemical alternatives will only increase if such biological alternatives are sufficiently effective in pest control and affordable as chemical PPPs.

Amendment 429

Christophe Hansen

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 15

Text proposed by the Commission

(15) In order to achieve the Union-wide reduction targets ('Union 2030 reduction targets') as well as national 2030 reduction targets, it is necessary to increase the availability and use of biological control and other non-chemical alternatives. Availability of these alternatives will incentivise the adoption of low pesticide-input pest management practices such as organic farming.

Amendment

(15) In order to achieve the Union-wide reduction targets ('Union 2030 reduction targets') as well as national 2030 reduction targets, it is necessary to increase the availability and use of biological control and other ***economically-justified*** non-chemical alternatives. Availability of these alternatives will incentivise the adoption of low pesticide-input pest management practices such as organic farming.

Or. en

Amendment 430

Esther de Lange

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 15

Text proposed by the Commission

(15) In order to achieve the Union-wide reduction targets ('Union **2030** reduction targets') as well as national **2030** reduction targets, it is necessary to increase the availability and use of biological control and other non-chemical alternatives. Availability of these alternatives will incentivise the adoption of low pesticide-input pest management practices such as organic farming.

Amendment

(15) In order to achieve the Union-wide reduction targets ('Union **2035** reduction targets') as well as national **2035** reduction targets, it is necessary to increase the availability and use of biological control and other non-chemical alternatives. Availability of these alternatives will incentivise the adoption of low pesticide-input pest management practices such as organic farming.

Or. en

Amendment 431

Peter Liese

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 15

Text proposed by the Commission

(15) In order to achieve the Union-wide reduction targets ('Union **2030** reduction targets') as well as national **2030** reduction targets, it is necessary to increase the availability and use of biological control and other non-chemical alternatives. Availability of these alternatives will incentivise the adoption of low pesticide-input pest management practices such as organic farming.

Amendment

(15) In order to achieve the Union-wide reduction targets ('Union reduction targets') as well as national reduction targets, it is necessary to increase the availability and use of biological control and other non-chemical alternatives. Availability of these alternatives will incentivise the adoption of low pesticide-input pest management practices such as organic farming.

Or. en

Amendment 432
Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 15 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(15 a) Scientific studies underline the adverse impacts of exposure to plant protection products on human health. In particular, farmers, workers, operators, bystanders and inhabitants of agricultural areas are particularly exposed to plant protection products. Links have been shown between exposure to plant protection products and, inter alia, elevated rates of chronic diseases as well as different forms of cancers, neurodegenerative disorders, diabetes and ALS, disruption of the hormonal and reproductive systems, respiratory problems, cardiovascular disease, and autoimmune diseases. Exposure to plant protection products has also been linked to intergenerational impacts, highlighting the need to act to reduce exposure now for the health of future generations. Plant protection products also have cumulative and synergistic impacts given the effects of long-term exposure, mixtures and co-

formulants.

Or. en

Amendment 433

Eric Andrieu

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 15 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(15 bis) Afin de garantir la disponibilité d'alternatives de protection biologique sur le marché, la Commission utilise l'option de l'autorisation provisoire [conformément à l'article 30 du règlement (CE) n° 1107/2009] pour faciliter la mise sur le marché de produits contenant de nouvelles substances actives biologiques qui ont été évaluées et approuvées par l'État membre rapporteur. Parallèlement, la Commission utilise dans la mesure du possible l'extension de l'utilisation sur une culture contre un organisme nuisible ou une maladie à toutes les autres cultures où le même organisme nuisible ou la même maladie est présent, sans demander de données préalables sur l'efficacité du produit de protection biologique au titre du règlement (CE) n° 1107/ 2009.

Or. fr

Amendment 434

Pascal Canfin, Martin Hojsík, Catherine Chabaud, Max Orville

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 15 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(15 a) Manufacturers are key players for the development of alternative to chemical

pesticides, whose availability is a necessary step to reach the objectives of this Regulation. It is therefore relevant to ask them to develop a transformation plan, using solid already existing indicators in the EU law, in order to understand how they contribute to the replacement of chemical pesticides with non-chemical alternatives, such as biological control product or services to reduce the use of input or to enhance the uptake of relevant agronomic practices. Such an obligation would enhance transparency of the production of pesticides, and urge manufacturer to switch their business model.

Or. en

Amendment 435
Robert Roos, Rob Rooker

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 15 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(15 bis) Overeenkomstig artikel 191 lid 2 VWEU houdt de Unie bij het vaststellen van haar milieubeleid rekening met de uiteenlopende situaties in de lidstaten. Om recht te doen aan de verdragen moeten de reductiedoelstellingen die in deze verordening worden opgenomen begrepen worden als inspanningsverplichtingen en niet als resultaatsverplichtingen.

Or. nl

Amendment 436
Robert Roos, Rob Rooker

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 15 b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(15 ter) *Het is belangrijk om te onderkennen dat aan de lidstaten een zekere beleidsvrijheid toe moet komen bij het uitvoeren van de inspanningsverplichtingen die in deze verordening zijn opgenomen. De lidstaten moeten de vrijheid behouden om een belangenafweging te maken tussen onder meer vitaliteit van het platteland, economische activiteiten, voedselzekerheid, betaalbaarheid van gezond voedsel en de reductie van het gebruik van pesticiden.*

Or. nl

Amendment 437

Martin Hojsík, Michal Wiezik, María Soraya Rodríguez Ramos, Róza Thun und Hohenstein, Frédérique Ries

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 16

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(16) The implementation of policies and measures in the areas of sustainable use of plant protection products has an impact on the environment, public health and working conditions. Member States should **therefore** ensure that the public and social partners are given sufficient opportunities to participate in and to be consulted on the preparation of Member State national action plans in accordance, where applicable, with Directive 2001/42/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council⁵⁸.

(16) *The ‘Eighth General Union Environment Action Programme to 2030’ adopted by Decision (EU) 2022/591 of the European Parliament and of the Council on 6 April 2022 establishes the objective to achieve a non-toxic environment protecting the health and well-being of people, animals and ecosystems from environment-related risks and negative impacts, and, for that purpose, stipulates that further improvement of monitoring methods, better information to the public and access to justice are needed. This guides the objectives set in this Regulation.* As the implementation of policies and measures in the areas of sustainable use of plant protection products has an impact on the environment, public health and working conditions, Member

States should ensure that the public and social partners are given sufficient opportunities to participate in and to be consulted on the preparation of Member State national action plans in accordance, where applicable, with Directive 2001/42/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council⁵⁸ **and in line with the Aarhus Convention.**

⁵⁸ Directive 2001/42/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 27 June 2001 on the assessment of the effects of certain plans and programmes on the environment (OJ L 197, 21.7.2001, p. 30).

⁵⁸ Directive 2001/42/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 27 June 2001 on the assessment of the effects of certain plans and programmes on the environment (OJ L 197, 21.7.2001, p. 30).

Or. en

Amendment 438
Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 16

Text proposed by the Commission

(16) The implementation of policies and measures in the areas of sustainable use of plant protection products has an impact on the environment, public health and working conditions. Member States should therefore ensure that the public and social partners are given sufficient opportunities to participate in and to be consulted on the preparation of Member State national action plans in accordance, **where applicable**, with Directive 2001/42/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council⁵⁸.

Amendment

(16) The implementation of policies and measures in the areas of sustainable use of plant protection products has an impact on the environment, public health and working conditions. Member States should therefore ensure that the public and social partners are given sufficient opportunities to participate in and to be consulted on the preparation of Member State national action plans in accordance with Directive 2001/42/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council⁵⁸ **and that members of the public having a sufficient interest, in accordance with national law, have access to a review procedure before a court of law to challenge the substantive or procedural legality of the national action plans and any failures to act of the competent authorities.**

⁵⁸ Directive 2001/42/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 27 June 2001 on the assessment of the effects of certain plans and programmes on the environment (OJ L 197, 21.7.2001, p. 30).

⁵⁸ Directive 2001/42/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 27 June 2001 on the assessment of the effects of certain plans and programmes on the environment (OJ L 197, 21.7.2001, p. 30).

Or. en

Amendment 439

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjård

Proposal for a regulation **Recital 16**

Text proposed by the Commission

(16) The implementation of policies and measures in the areas of sustainable use of plant protection products has an impact on the environment, public health and working conditions. Member States should therefore ensure that the public ***and social partners are given sufficient opportunities to participate in and to be consulted on the preparation of Member State national action plans in accordance, where applicable, with Directive 2001/42/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council***⁵⁸.

⁵⁸ Directive 2001/42/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 27 June 2001 on the assessment of the effects of certain plans and programmes on the environment (OJ L 197, 21.7.2001, p. 30).

Amendment

(16) The implementation of policies and measures in the areas of sustainable use of plant protection products has an impact on the environment, public health and working conditions. Member States should therefore ensure that the public ***shall be informed*** on the national action plans.

⁵⁸ Directive 2001/42/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 27 June 2001 on the assessment of the effects of certain plans and programmes on the environment (OJ L 197, 21.7.2001, p. 30).

Or. en

Amendment 440 **Sylvia Limmer**

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 16

Text proposed by the Commission

(16) Die Umsetzung von Strategien und Maßnahmen im Bereich der nachhaltigen Verwendung von Pflanzenschutzmitteln hat Auswirkungen auf Umwelt, öffentliche Gesundheit und Arbeitsbedingungen. Die Mitgliedstaaten sollten daher sicherstellen, dass die Öffentlichkeit und die Sozialpartner ausreichend Gelegenheit haben, an der Ausarbeitung der nationalen Aktionspläne der Mitgliedstaaten mitzuwirken und dazu angehört zu werden, dies gegebenenfalls im Einklang mit der Richtlinie 2001/42/EG des Europäischen Parlaments und des Rates⁵⁸.

⁵⁸ Richtlinie 2001/42/EG des Europäischen Parlaments und des Rates vom 27. Juni 2001 über die Prüfung der Umweltauswirkungen bestimmter Pläne und Programme (ABl. L 197 vom 21.7.2001, S. 30).

Amendment

(16) Die Umsetzung von Strategien und Maßnahmen im Bereich der nachhaltigen Verwendung von Pflanzenschutzmitteln hat Auswirkungen auf **Lebensmittelproduktion, bäuerliches Einkommen**, Umwelt, öffentliche Gesundheit und Arbeitsbedingungen. Die Mitgliedstaaten sollten daher sicherstellen, dass die Öffentlichkeit, **Interessenvertreter - insbesondere bäuerliche Organisationen** - und die Sozialpartner ausreichend Gelegenheit haben, an der Ausarbeitung der nationalen Aktionspläne der Mitgliedstaaten mitzuwirken und dazu angehört zu werden, dies gegebenenfalls im Einklang mit der Richtlinie 2001/42/EG des Europäischen Parlaments und des Rates⁵⁸.

⁵⁸ Richtlinie 2001/42/EG des Europäischen Parlaments und des Rates vom 27. Juni 2001 über die Prüfung der Umweltauswirkungen bestimmter Pläne und Programme (ABl. L 197 vom 21.7.2001, S. 30).

Or. de

Amendment 441
Mathilde Androuët

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 16

Text proposed by the Commission

(16) La mise en œuvre de politiques et de mesures dans les domaines de l'utilisation des produits phytopharmaceutiques compatible avec le

Amendment

(16) La mise en œuvre de politiques et de mesures dans les domaines de l'utilisation des produits phytopharmaceutiques compatible avec le

développement durable a une incidence sur l'environnement, la santé publique et les conditions de travail. Par conséquent, les États membres devraient veiller à ce que le public et les partenaires sociaux aient la possibilité de participer à l'élaboration des plans d'action nationaux des États membres et d'être consultés à ce sujet, conformément, le cas échéant, à la directive 2001/42/CE du Parlement européen et du Conseil⁵⁸.

⁵⁸ Directive 2001/42/CE du Parlement européen et du Conseil du 27 juin 2001 relative à l'évaluation des incidences de certains plans et programmes sur l'environnement (JO L 197 du 21.7.2001, p. 30).

développement durable a une incidence sur l'environnement, la santé publique et les conditions de travail. Par conséquent, les États membres devraient veiller à ce que le public, **les représentants des agriculteurs** et les partenaires sociaux aient la possibilité de participer à l'élaboration des plans d'action nationaux des États membres et d'être consultés à ce sujet, conformément, le cas échéant, à la directive 2001/42/CE du Parlement européen et du Conseil⁵⁸.

⁵⁸ Directive 2001/42/CE du Parlement européen et du Conseil du 27 juin 2001 relative à l'évaluation des incidences de certains plans et programmes sur l'environnement (JO L 197 du 21.7.2001, p. 30).

Or. fr

Amendment 442

Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 17

Text proposed by the Commission

(17) In order to ensure consistency and complementarity with related legislation, Member State national action plans should take into account Directive 2009/147/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council⁵⁹, Council Directive 92/43/EEC⁶⁰, Directive 2000/60/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council⁶¹, Council Directive 91/676/EEC⁶², Directive 2008/50/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council⁶³, Directive (EU) 2016/2284 of the European Parliament and of the Council⁶⁴ **and** Regulation xxx/xxx on nature restoration [reference to adopted act to be inserted] and should be consistent with the Common Agricultural Policy

Amendment

(17) In order to ensure consistency and complementarity with related legislation, Member State national action plans should take into account Directive 2009/147/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council⁵⁹, Council Directive 92/43/EEC⁶⁰, Directive 2000/60/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council⁶¹, Council Directive 91/676/EEC⁶², Directive 2008/50/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council⁶³, Directive (EU) 2016/2284 of the European Parliament and of the Council⁶⁴, **Directive (EU) 2020/2184 of the European Parliament and of the Council**, Regulation xxx/xxx on nature restoration [reference to adopted act to be

(“CAP”) Strategic Plans drawn-up in accordance with Regulation (EU) 2021/2115 of the European Parliament and of the Council⁶⁵.

inserted], ***post-use monitoring in line with Regulation (EC) 1107/2009, Directive xxx/xxx on soil health law [reference to adopted act to be inserted]***, and should be consistent with the Common Agricultural Policy (“CAP”) Strategic Plans drawn-up in accordance with Regulation (EU) 2021/2115 of the European Parliament and of the Council⁶⁵. ***Member State national action plans should also be consistent with the minimum health and safety requirements at the workplace, as laid down by, inter alia, Council Directive 89/391/EEC, Council Directive 89/656/EEC, Council Directive 98/24/EC, Directive 2004/37/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council and Directive 2009/104/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council.***

⁵⁹ Directive 2009/147/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 30 November 2009 on the conservation of wild birds (OJ L 20, 26.1.2010, p. 7).

⁶⁰ Council Directive 92/43/EEC of 21 May 1992 on the conservation of natural habitats and of wild fauna and flora (OJ L 206, 22.7.1992, p. 7).

⁶¹ Directive 2000/60/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 23 October 2000 establishing a framework for Community action in the field of water policy (OJ L 327, 22.12.2000, p. 1).

⁶² Council Directive 91/676/EEC of 12 December 1991 concerning the protection of waters against pollution caused by nitrates from agricultural sources (OJ L 375, 31.12.1991, p. 1).

⁶³ Directive 2008/50/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 21 May 2008 on ambient air quality and cleaner air for Europe (OJ L 152, 11.6.2008, p. 1).

⁶⁴ Directive (EU) 2016/2284 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 14 December 2016 on the reduction of national emissions of certain atmospheric

⁵⁹ Directive 2009/147/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 30 November 2009 on the conservation of wild birds (OJ L 20, 26.1.2010, p. 7).

⁶⁰ Council Directive 92/43/EEC of 21 May 1992 on the conservation of natural habitats and of wild fauna and flora (OJ L 206, 22.7.1992, p. 7).

⁶¹ Directive 2000/60/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 23 October 2000 establishing a framework for Community action in the field of water policy (OJ L 327, 22.12.2000, p. 1).

⁶² Council Directive 91/676/EEC of 12 December 1991 concerning the protection of waters against pollution caused by nitrates from agricultural sources (OJ L 375, 31.12.1991, p. 1).

⁶³ Directive 2008/50/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 21 May 2008 on ambient air quality and cleaner air for Europe (OJ L 152, 11.6.2008, p. 1).

⁶⁴ Directive (EU) 2016/2284 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 14 December 2016 on the reduction of national emissions of certain atmospheric

pollutants, amending Directive 2003/35/EC and repealing Directive 2001/81/EC (OJ L 344, 17.12.2016, p. 1).

⁶⁵ Regulation (EU) 2021/2115 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 2 December 2021 establishing rules on support for strategic plans to be drawn up by Member States under the common agricultural policy (CAP Strategic Plans) and financed by the European Agricultural Guarantee Fund (EAGF) and by the European Agricultural Fund for Rural Development (EAFRD) and repealing Regulations (EU) No 1305/2013 and (EU) No 1307/2013 (OJ L 435, 6.12.2021, p. 1).

pollutants, amending Directive 2003/35/EC and repealing Directive 2001/81/EC (OJ L 344, 17.12.2016, p. 1).

⁶⁵ Regulation (EU) 2021/2115 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 2 December 2021 establishing rules on support for strategic plans to be drawn up by Member States under the common agricultural policy (CAP Strategic Plans) and financed by the European Agricultural Guarantee Fund (EAGF) and by the European Agricultural Fund for Rural Development (EAFRD) and repealing Regulations (EU) No 1305/2013 and (EU) No 1307/2013 (OJ L 435, 6.12.2021, p. 1).

Or. en

Amendment 443

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 17

Text proposed by the Commission

(17) In order to ensure consistency and complementarity with related legislation, Member State national action plans should take into account Directive 2009/147/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council⁵⁹, Council Directive 92/43/EEC⁶⁰, Directive 2000/60/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council⁶¹, Council Directive 91/676/EEC⁶², Directive 2008/50/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council⁶³, Directive (EU) 2016/2284 of the European Parliament and of the Council⁶⁴ **and** Regulation xxx/xxx on nature restoration [reference to adopted act to be inserted] and should be consistent with the Common Agricultural Policy (“CAP”) Strategic Plans drawn-up in accordance with Regulation (EU) 2021/2115 of the European Parliament and of the Council⁶⁵.

Amendment

(17) In order to ensure consistency and complementarity with related legislation, Member State national action plans should take into account Directive 2009/147/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council⁵⁹, Council Directive 92/43/EEC⁶⁰, Directive 2000/60/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council⁶¹, Council Directive 91/676/EEC⁶², Directive **2020/2184 of the European Parliament and of the Council^{1a}, Directive 2006/7/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council^{1f}, Directive 2008/50/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council⁶³, Directive (EU) 2016/2284 of the European Parliament and of the Council⁶⁴, Regulation xxx/xxx on nature restoration [reference to adopted act to be inserted], **Council Directive 89/391/EEC^{1b}, Council Directive 98/24/EC^{1c}, Directive 2004/37/EC of the****

European Parliament and of the Council
^{1d}, **Directive 2009/104/EC of the**
European Parliament and of the
Council^{1e}, and should be consistent with
the Common Agricultural Policy (“CAP”) Strategic Plans drawn-up in accordance
with Regulation (EU) 2021/2115 of the
European Parliament and of the Council⁶⁵.

^{1a} **Directive (EU) 2020/2184 of the**
European Parliament and of the Council
of 16 December 2020 on the quality of
water intended for human consumption

^{1b} **Council Directive 89/391/EEC of 12**
June 1989 on the introduction of
measures to encourage improvements in
the safety and health of workers at work

^{1c} **Council Directive 98/24/EC of 7 April**
1998 on the protection of the health and
safety of workers from the risks related to
chemical agents at work

^{1d} **Directive 2004/37/EC of the European**
Parliament and of the Council of 29 April
2004 on the protection of workers from
the risks related to exposure to
carcinogens or mutagens at work

^{1e} **Directive 2009/104/EC of the European**
Parliament and of the Council of 16
September 2009 concerning the minimum
safety and health requirements for the use
of work equipment by workers at work

^{1f} **Directive 2006/7/EC of the European**
Parliament and of the Council of 15
February 2006 concerning the
management of bathing water quality and
repealing Directive 76/160/EEC

⁵⁹ Directive 2009/147/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 30 November 2009 on the conservation of wild birds (OJ L 20, 26.1.2010, p. 7).

⁶⁰ Council Directive 92/43/EEC of 21 May 1992 on the conservation of natural habitats and of wild fauna and flora (OJ L 206, 22.7.1992, p. 7).

⁵⁹ Directive 2009/147/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 30 November 2009 on the conservation of wild birds (OJ L 20, 26.1.2010, p. 7).

⁶⁰ Council Directive 92/43/EEC of 21 May 1992 on the conservation of natural habitats and of wild fauna and flora (OJ L 206, 22.7.1992, p. 7).

⁶¹ Directive 2000/60/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 23 October 2000 establishing a framework for Community action in the field of water policy (OJ L 327, 22.12.2000, p. 1).

⁶² Council Directive 91/676/EEC of 12 December 1991 concerning the protection of waters against pollution caused by nitrates from agricultural sources (OJ L 375, 31.12.1991, p. 1).

⁶³ Directive 2008/50/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 21 May 2008 on ambient air quality and cleaner air for Europe (OJ L 152, 11.6.2008, p. 1).

⁶⁴ Directive (EU) 2016/2284 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 14 December 2016 on the reduction of national emissions of certain atmospheric pollutants, amending Directive 2003/35/EC and repealing Directive 2001/81/EC (OJ L 344, 17.12.2016, p. 1).

⁶⁵ Regulation (EU) 2021/2115 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 2 December 2021 establishing rules on support for strategic plans to be drawn up by Member States under the common agricultural policy (CAP Strategic Plans) and financed by the European Agricultural Guarantee Fund (EAGF) and by the European Agricultural Fund for Rural Development (EAFRD) and repealing Regulations (EU) No 1305/2013 and (EU) No 1307/2013 (OJ L 435, 6.12.2021, p. 1).

⁶¹ Directive 2000/60/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 23 October 2000 establishing a framework for Community action in the field of water policy (OJ L 327, 22.12.2000, p. 1).

⁶² Council Directive 91/676/EEC of 12 December 1991 concerning the protection of waters against pollution caused by nitrates from agricultural sources (OJ L 375, 31.12.1991, p. 1).

⁶³ Directive 2008/50/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 21 May 2008 on ambient air quality and cleaner air for Europe (OJ L 152, 11.6.2008, p. 1).

⁶⁴ Directive (EU) 2016/2284 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 14 December 2016 on the reduction of national emissions of certain atmospheric pollutants, amending Directive 2003/35/EC and repealing Directive 2001/81/EC (OJ L 344, 17.12.2016, p. 1).

⁶⁵ Regulation (EU) 2021/2115 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 2 December 2021 establishing rules on support for strategic plans to be drawn up by Member States under the common agricultural policy (CAP Strategic Plans) and financed by the European Agricultural Guarantee Fund (EAGF) and by the European Agricultural Fund for Rural Development (EAFRD) and repealing Regulations (EU) No 1305/2013 and (EU) No 1307/2013 (OJ L 435, 6.12.2021, p. 1).

Or. en

Amendment 444

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjärd

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 17

(17) In order to ensure consistency and complementarity with related legislation, Member State national action plans should take into account Directive 2009/147/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council⁵⁹, Council Directive 92/43/EEC⁶⁰, Directive 2000/60/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council⁶¹, Council Directive 91/676/EEC⁶², Directive 2008/50/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council⁶³, Directive (EU) 2016/2284 of the European Parliament and of the Council⁶⁴ **and Regulation xxx/xxx on nature restoration [reference to adopted act to be inserted] and should be consistent with the Common Agricultural Policy (“CAP”) Strategic Plans drawn-up in accordance with Regulation (EU) 2021/2115 of the European Parliament and of the Council⁶⁵.**

⁵⁹ Directive 2009/147/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 30 November 2009 on the conservation of wild birds (OJ L 20, 26.1.2010, p. 7).

⁶⁰ Council Directive 92/43/EEC of 21 May 1992 on the conservation of natural habitats and of wild fauna and flora (OJ L 206, 22.7.1992, p. 7).

⁶¹ Directive 2000/60/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 23 October 2000 establishing a framework for Community action in the field of water policy (OJ L 327, 22.12.2000, p. 1).

⁶² Council Directive 91/676/EEC of 12 December 1991 concerning the protection of waters against pollution caused by nitrates from agricultural sources (OJ L 375, 31.12.1991, p. 1).

⁶³ Directive 2008/50/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 21 May 2008 on ambient air quality and cleaner air for Europe (OJ L 152, 11.6.2008, p. 1).

(17) In order to ensure consistency and complementarity with related legislation, Member State national action plans should take into account Directive 2009/147/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council⁵⁹, Council Directive 92/43/EEC⁶⁰, Directive 2000/60/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council⁶¹, Council Directive 91/676/EEC⁶², Directive 2008/50/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council⁶³, Directive (EU) 2016/2284 of the European Parliament and of the Council⁶⁴.

⁵⁹ Directive 2009/147/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 30 November 2009 on the conservation of wild birds (OJ L 20, 26.1.2010, p. 7).

⁶⁰ Council Directive 92/43/EEC of 21 May 1992 on the conservation of natural habitats and of wild fauna and flora (OJ L 206, 22.7.1992, p. 7).

⁶¹ Directive 2000/60/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 23 October 2000 establishing a framework for Community action in the field of water policy (OJ L 327, 22.12.2000, p. 1).

⁶² Council Directive 91/676/EEC of 12 December 1991 concerning the protection of waters against pollution caused by nitrates from agricultural sources (OJ L 375, 31.12.1991, p. 1).

⁶³ Directive 2008/50/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 21 May 2008 on ambient air quality and cleaner air for Europe (OJ L 152, 11.6.2008, p. 1).

⁶⁴ Directive (EU) 2016/2284 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 14 December 2016 on the reduction of national emissions of certain atmospheric pollutants, amending Directive 2003/35/EC and repealing Directive 2001/81/EC (OJ L 344, 17.12.2016, p. 1).

⁶⁵ Regulation (EU) 2021/2115 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 2 December 2021 establishing rules on support for strategic plans to be drawn up by Member States under the common agricultural policy (CAP Strategic Plans) and financed by the European Agricultural Guarantee Fund (EAGF) and by the European Agricultural Fund for Rural Development (EAFRD) and repealing Regulations (EU) No 1305/2013 and (EU) No 1307/2013 (OJ L 435, 6.12.2021, p. 1).

⁶⁴ Directive (EU) 2016/2284 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 14 December 2016 on the reduction of national emissions of certain atmospheric pollutants, amending Directive 2003/35/EC and repealing Directive 2001/81/EC (OJ L 344, 17.12.2016, p. 1).

⁶⁵ Regulation (EU) 2021/2115 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 2 December 2021 establishing rules on support for strategic plans to be drawn up by Member States under the common agricultural policy (CAP Strategic Plans) and financed by the European Agricultural Guarantee Fund (EAGF) and by the European Agricultural Fund for Rural Development (EAFRD) and repealing Regulations (EU) No 1305/2013 and (EU) No 1307/2013 (OJ L 435, 6.12.2021, p. 1).

Or. en

Amendment 445

Eric Andrieu

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 17

Text proposed by the Commission

(17) In order to ensure consistency and complementarity with related legislation, Member State national action plans should take into account Directive 2009/147/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council⁵⁹, Council Directive 92/43/EEC⁶⁰, Directive 2000/60/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council⁶¹, Council Directive 91/676/EEC⁶², Directive 2008/50/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council⁶³, Directive (EU) 2016/2284 of the European Parliament and of the Council⁶⁴ and Regulation xxx/xxx on nature restoration [reference to adopted act to be inserted] and ***should be consistent with*** the Common Agricultural Policy

Amendment

(17) In order to ensure consistency and complementarity with related legislation, Member State national action plans should take into account Directive 2009/147/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council⁵⁹, Council Directive 92/43/EEC⁶⁰, Directive 2000/60/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council⁶¹, Council Directive 91/676/EEC⁶², Directive 2008/50/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council⁶³, Directive (EU) 2016/2284 of the European Parliament and of the Council⁶⁴ and Regulation xxx/xxx on nature restoration [reference to adopted act to be inserted] and ***may lead, if needed, to a revision of*** the Common Agricultural

(“CAP”) Strategic Plans ***drawn-up*** in accordance ***with*** Regulation (EU) 2021/2115 of the European Parliament and of the Council⁶⁵.

⁵⁹ Directive 2009/147/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 30 November 2009 on the conservation of wild birds (OJ L 20, 26.1.2010, p. 7).

⁶⁰ Council Directive 92/43/EEC of 21 May 1992 on the conservation of natural habitats and of wild fauna and flora (OJ L 206, 22.7.1992, p. 7).

⁶¹ Directive 2000/60/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 23 October 2000 establishing a framework for Community action in the field of water policy (OJ L 327, 22.12.2000, p. 1).

⁶² Council Directive 91/676/EEC of 12 December 1991 concerning the protection of waters against pollution caused by nitrates from agricultural sources (OJ L 375, 31.12.1991, p. 1).

⁶³ Directive 2008/50/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 21 May 2008 on ambient air quality and cleaner air for Europe (OJ L 152, 11.6.2008, p. 1).

⁶⁴ Directive (EU) 2016/2284 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 14 December 2016 on the reduction of national emissions of certain atmospheric pollutants, amending Directive 2003/35/EC and repealing Directive 2001/81/EC (OJ L 344, 17.12.2016, p. 1).

⁶⁵ Regulation (EU) 2021/2115 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 2 December 2021 establishing rules on support for strategic plans to be drawn up by Member States under the common agricultural policy (CAP Strategic Plans) and financed by the European Agricultural Guarantee Fund (EAGF) and by the European Agricultural Fund for Rural

Policy (“CAP”) Strategic Plans in accordance ***to article 120 of*** Regulation (EU) 2021/2115 of the European Parliament and of the Council⁶⁵ ***in order to align them to the objectives of this Regulation.***

⁵⁹ Directive 2009/147/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 30 November 2009 on the conservation of wild birds (OJ L 20, 26.1.2010, p. 7).

⁶⁰ Council Directive 92/43/EEC of 21 May 1992 on the conservation of natural habitats and of wild fauna and flora (OJ L 206, 22.7.1992, p. 7).

⁶¹ Directive 2000/60/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 23 October 2000 establishing a framework for Community action in the field of water policy (OJ L 327, 22.12.2000, p. 1).

⁶² Council Directive 91/676/EEC of 12 December 1991 concerning the protection of waters against pollution caused by nitrates from agricultural sources (OJ L 375, 31.12.1991, p. 1).

⁶³ Directive 2008/50/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 21 May 2008 on ambient air quality and cleaner air for Europe (OJ L 152, 11.6.2008, p. 1).

⁶⁴ Directive (EU) 2016/2284 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 14 December 2016 on the reduction of national emissions of certain atmospheric pollutants, amending Directive 2003/35/EC and repealing Directive 2001/81/EC (OJ L 344, 17.12.2016, p. 1).

⁶⁵ Regulation (EU) 2021/2115 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 2 December 2021 establishing rules on support for strategic plans to be drawn up by Member States under the common agricultural policy (CAP Strategic Plans) and financed by the European Agricultural Guarantee Fund (EAGF) and by the European Agricultural Fund for Rural

Development (EAFRD) and repealing Regulations (EU) No 1305/2013 and (EU) No 1307/2013 (OJ L 435, 6.12.2021, p. 1).

Development (EAFRD) and repealing Regulations (EU) No 1305/2013 and (EU) No 1307/2013 (OJ L 435, 6.12.2021, p. 1).

Or. en

Amendment 446

Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław Kalinowski, Joanna Kopcińska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 17

Text proposed by the Commission

(17) In order to ensure consistency and complementarity with related legislation, Member State national action plans should take into account Directive 2009/147/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council⁵⁹, Council Directive 92/43/EEC⁶⁰, Directive 2000/60/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council⁶¹, Council Directive 91/676/EEC⁶², Directive 2008/50/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council⁶³, Directive (EU) 2016/2284 of the European Parliament and of the Council⁶⁴ and **Regulation xxx/xxx on nature restoration [reference to adopted act to be inserted]** and should be consistent with the Common Agricultural Policy (“CAP”) Strategic Plans drawn-up in accordance with Regulation (EU) 2021/2115 of the European Parliament and of the Council⁶⁵.

⁵⁹ Directive 2009/147/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 30 November 2009 on the conservation of wild birds (OJ L 20, 26.1.2010, p. 7).

⁶⁰ Council Directive 92/43/EEC of 21 May 1992 on the conservation of natural habitats and of wild fauna and flora (OJ L 206, 22.7.1992, p. 7).

⁶¹ Directive 2000/60/EC of the European

Amendment

(17) In order to ensure consistency and complementarity with related legislation, Member State national action plans should take into account Directive 2009/147/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council⁵⁹, Council Directive 92/43/EEC⁶⁰, Directive 2000/60/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council⁶¹, Council Directive 91/676/EEC⁶², Directive 2008/50/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council⁶³, Directive (EU) 2016/2284 of the European Parliament and of the Council⁶⁴ and should be consistent with the Common Agricultural Policy (“CAP”) Strategic Plans drawn-up in accordance with Regulation (EU) 2021/2115 of the European Parliament and of the Council⁶⁵.

⁵⁹ Directive 2009/147/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 30 November 2009 on the conservation of wild birds (OJ L 20, 26.1.2010, p. 7).

⁶⁰ Council Directive 92/43/EEC of 21 May 1992 on the conservation of natural habitats and of wild fauna and flora (OJ L 206, 22.7.1992, p. 7).

⁶¹ Directive 2000/60/EC of the European

Parliament and of the Council of 23 October 2000 establishing a framework for Community action in the field of water policy (OJ L 327, 22.12.2000, p. 1).

⁶² Council Directive 91/676/EEC of 12 December 1991 concerning the protection of waters against pollution caused by nitrates from agricultural sources (OJ L 375, 31.12.1991, p. 1).

⁶³ Directive 2008/50/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 21 May 2008 on ambient air quality and cleaner air for Europe (OJ L 152, 11.6.2008, p. 1).

⁶⁴ Directive (EU) 2016/2284 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 14 December 2016 on the reduction of national emissions of certain atmospheric pollutants, amending Directive 2003/35/EC and repealing Directive 2001/81/EC (OJ L 344, 17.12.2016, p. 1).

⁶⁵ Regulation (EU) 2021/2115 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 2 December 2021 establishing rules on support for strategic plans to be drawn up by Member States under the common agricultural policy (CAP Strategic Plans) and financed by the European Agricultural Guarantee Fund (EAGF) and by the European Agricultural Fund for Rural Development (EAFRD) and repealing Regulations (EU) No 1305/2013 and (EU) No 1307/2013 (OJ L 435, 6.12.2021, p. 1).

Parliament and of the Council of 23 October 2000 establishing a framework for Community action in the field of water policy (OJ L 327, 22.12.2000, p. 1).

⁶² Council Directive 91/676/EEC of 12 December 1991 concerning the protection of waters against pollution caused by nitrates from agricultural sources (OJ L 375, 31.12.1991, p. 1).

⁶³ Directive 2008/50/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 21 May 2008 on ambient air quality and cleaner air for Europe (OJ L 152, 11.6.2008, p. 1).

⁶⁴ Directive (EU) 2016/2284 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 14 December 2016 on the reduction of national emissions of certain atmospheric pollutants, amending Directive 2003/35/EC and repealing Directive 2001/81/EC (OJ L 344, 17.12.2016, p. 1).

⁶⁵ Regulation (EU) 2021/2115 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 2 December 2021 establishing rules on support for strategic plans to be drawn up by Member States under the common agricultural policy (CAP Strategic Plans) and financed by the European Agricultural Guarantee Fund (EAGF) and by the European Agricultural Fund for Rural Development (EAFRD) and repealing Regulations (EU) No 1305/2013 and (EU) No 1307/2013 (OJ L 435, 6.12.2021, p. 1).

Or. en

Justification

References to non-existing legislation should be avoided throughout the text.

Amendment 447

Silvia Sardone

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 18

Text proposed by the Commission

(18) Economic instruments, including those under the CAP that provide support to farmers, can play a crucial role in the achievement of objectives relating to the sustainable use of plant protection products and, in particular, reducing the use of chemical plant protection products. Member States have to show in their national CAP Strategic Plans that their implementation of the CAP contributes to and supports other relevant Union legislation and their objectives, including objectives under this Regulation.

Amendment

(18) Economic instruments, including those under the CAP that provide support to farmers, can play a crucial role in the achievement of objectives relating to the sustainable use of plant protection products and, in particular, reducing the use of chemical plant protection products. Member States have to show in their national CAP Strategic Plans that their implementation of the CAP contributes to and supports other relevant Union legislation and their objectives, including objectives under this Regulation. ***Beyond the CAP, there is a need to deliver adequate funding to farmers to ensure they receive the financial support that avoids productivity losses and ensures the environmental, economic and social sustainability of European agriculture.***

Or. en

Amendment 448

Dan-Ștefan Motreanu, Carmen Avram, Marian-Jean Marinescu, Daniel Buda

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 18

Text proposed by the Commission

(18) Economic instruments, including those under the CAP that provide support to farmers, can play a crucial role in the achievement of objectives relating to the sustainable use of plant protection products and, in particular, reducing the use of chemical plant protection products. Member States have to show in their national CAP Strategic Plans that their implementation of the CAP contributes to and supports other relevant Union legislation and their objectives, including objectives under this Regulation.

Amendment

(18) Economic instruments, including those under the CAP that provide support to farmers, can play a crucial role in the achievement of objectives relating to the sustainable use of plant protection products and, in particular, reducing the use of chemical plant protection products. Member States have to show in their national CAP Strategic Plans that their implementation of the CAP contributes to and supports other relevant Union legislation and their objectives, including objectives under this Regulation. ***Beyond the CAP, there is a substantial need to***

deliver adequate funding to farmers to ensure they receive sufficient financial support to prevent productivity losses while enabling a sustainable and resilient European agriculture.

Or. en

Amendment 449

Martin Hojsík, Michal Wiezik, María Soraya Rodríguez Ramos, Róża Thun und Hohenstein, Frédérique Ries

Proposal for a regulation Recital 18

Text proposed by the Commission

(18) Economic instruments, including those under the CAP that provide support to farmers, can play a crucial role in the achievement of objectives relating to the sustainable use of plant protection products and, in particular, reducing the use of chemical plant protection products. Member States have to show in their national CAP Strategic Plans that their implementation of the CAP contributes to and supports other relevant Union legislation and their objectives, including objectives under this Regulation.

Amendment

(18) Economic instruments, including those under the CAP that provide support to farmers, can play a crucial role in the achievement of objectives relating to the sustainable use of plant protection products and, in particular, reducing the use of chemical plant protection products. Member States have to show in their national CAP Strategic Plans that their implementation of the CAP contributes to and supports other relevant Union legislation and their objectives, including objectives under this Regulation. ***Member States should propose amendments to their strategic plans as soon as possible, should strategic plans fail to contribute or are expected to fail to contribute to the achievement of the goals of this Regulation.***

Or. en

Justification

According to Article 120 of the Regulation (EU) 2021/2115 on the Review of the CAP Strategic Plans, possible amendments of CAP strategic plans should follow changes to Annex XIII.

Amendment 450
Sylvia Limmer

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 18

Text proposed by the Commission

(18) Wirtschaftliche Instrumente, einschließlich der Instrumente der GAP zur Unterstützung von Landwirten, können beim Erreichen der Ziele im Zusammenhang mit einer nachhaltigen Verwendung von Pflanzenschutzmitteln und insbesondere bei der Verringerung der Verwendung chemischer Pflanzenschutzmittel eine entscheidende Rolle spielen. Die Mitgliedstaaten haben in ihren nationalen GAP-Strategieplänen aufzuzeigen, dass sie mit der Umsetzung der GAP andere einschlägige Rechtsvorschriften der Union und deren Zielvorgaben, einschließlich der Zielvorgaben dieser Verordnung, unterstützen und zu diesen beitragen.

Amendment

(18) Wirtschaftliche Instrumente, einschließlich der Instrumente der GAP zur Unterstützung von Landwirten, können beim Erreichen der Ziele im Zusammenhang mit einer nachhaltigen Verwendung von Pflanzenschutzmitteln und insbesondere bei der Verringerung der Verwendung chemischer Pflanzenschutzmittel eine entscheidende Rolle spielen. Die Mitgliedstaaten haben in ihren nationalen GAP-Strategieplänen aufzuzeigen, dass sie mit der Umsetzung der GAP andere einschlägige Rechtsvorschriften der Union und deren Zielvorgaben, einschließlich der Zielvorgaben dieser Verordnung, unterstützen und zu diesen beitragen, ***solange die Erträge und die Ernährungssicherheit gesichert sind, denn das Ziel der GAP ist es, die Produktivität der Landwirtschaft zu gewährleisten und gleichzeitig erschwingliche Preise zu erhalten.***

Or. de

Amendment 451
Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław Kalinowski, Joanna Kopcińska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 18

Text proposed by the Commission

(18) Economic instruments, including those under the CAP that provide support to farmers, can play a ***crucial*** role in the achievement of objectives relating to the

Amendment

(18) Economic instruments, including those under the CAP that provide support to farmers, can play a ***significant*** role in the achievement of objectives relating to

sustainable use of plant protection products and, in particular, reducing the use of chemical plant protection products.

Member States have to show in their national CAP Strategic Plans that their implementation of the CAP contributes to and supports other relevant Union legislation and their objectives, including objectives under this Regulation.

the sustainable use of plant protection products and, in particular, reducing the use of chemical plant protection products.

Or. en

Justification

CAP funding is clearly not sufficient for the planned actions. Furthermore, national CAP strategic plans were drafted and adopted before the proposal's publication.

Amendment 452

Edina Tóth

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 18

Text proposed by the Commission

(18) Economic instruments, including those under the CAP that provide support to farmers, can play a ***crucial*** role in the achievement of objectives relating to the sustainable use of plant protection products and, in particular, reducing the use of chemical plant protection products.

Member States have to show in their national CAP Strategic Plans that their implementation of the CAP contributes to and supports other relevant Union legislation and their objectives, including objectives under this Regulation.

Amendment

(18) Economic instruments, including those under the CAP that provide support to farmers, can play a ***significant*** role in the achievement of objectives relating to the sustainable use of plant protection products and, in particular, reducing the use of chemical plant protection products.

Or. en



2022/0196(COD)

4.4.2023

AMENDMENTS

453 - 662

Draft report
Sarah Wiener
(PE742.368v01-00)

proposal for a regulation of the European Parliament and of the Council on the sustainable use of plant protection products and amending Regulation (EU) 2021/2115

Proposal for a regulation
(COM(2022)0305 – C9-0207/2022 – 2022/0196(COD))

AM_Com_LegReport

Amendment 453

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 18

Text proposed by the Commission

(18) Economic instruments, including ***those under*** the CAP that provide support to farmers, can play a crucial role in the achievement of objectives relating to the sustainable use of plant protection products and, in particular, reducing the use of chemical plant protection products. ***Member States have to show in their national CAP Strategic Plans that their implementation of the CAP contributes to and supports other relevant Union legislation and their objectives, including objectives under this Regulation.***

Amendment

(18) Economic instruments, including ***access to new financial instruments outside*** the CAP that provide support to farmers, can play a crucial role in the achievement of objectives relating to the sustainable use of plant protection products and, in particular, reducing the use of chemical plant protection products.

Or. en

Amendment 454

Tudor Ciuhodaru

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 18

Text proposed by the Commission

(18) Instrumentele economice, inclusiv cele din cadrul PAC care oferă sprijin fermierilor, pot juca un rol crucial în realizarea obiectivelor legate de utilizarea durabilă a produselor de protecție a plantelor și, în special, de reducerea utilizării produselor chimice de protecție a plantelor. Statele membre trebuie să demonstreze, în planurile lor strategice PAC naționale, că implementarea PAC contribuie la alte acte legislative relevante ale Uniunii și obiective ale acestora și le sprijină, inclusiv obiective prevăzute în

Amendment

(18) Instrumentele economice, inclusiv cele din cadrul PAC care oferă sprijin fermierilor, pot juca un rol crucial în realizarea obiectivelor legate de utilizarea durabilă a produselor de protecție a plantelor și, în special, de reducerea utilizării produselor chimice de protecție a plantelor. ***Printr-o monitorizare riguroasă și raportare periodică***, statele membre trebuie să demonstreze, în planurile lor strategice PAC naționale, că implementarea PAC contribuie la alte acte legislative relevante ale Uniunii și

prezentul regulament.

obiective ale acestora și le sprijină, inclusiv obiective prevăzute în prezentul regulament.

Or. ro

Amendment 455
Martin Häusling, Michèle Rivasi

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 18 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(18 a) Herbicide-tolerant crops lock farmers into a weed management system that is largely or wholly dependent on herbicides, as farmers purchase such seed in order to be able to spray the complementary herbicide. The use of herbicide tolerant crops accelerates the emergence and spread of resistant weeds, thereby triggering the need for even more herbicide use, a vicious circle known as ‘the herbicide treadmill’. A number of studies^{1a} show that herbicide-tolerant crops result in a higher use of complementary herbicides, in large part because of the emergence of herbicide-tolerant weeds.

^{1a} See, for example, Bonny, S., ‘Genetically Modified Herbicide-Tolerant Crops, Weeds, and Herbicides: Overview and Impact’, *Environmental Management*, January 2016;57(1), pp. 31-48, <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26296738> and Benbrook, C.M., ‘Impacts of genetically engineered crops on pesticide use in the U.S. - the first sixteen years’, *Environmental Sciences Europe*; 28 September 2012, Vol. 24(1), <https://enveurope.springeropen.com/articles/10.1186/2190-4715-24-24>

Amendment 456

Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław Kalinowski, Joanna Kopcińska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 19

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(19) For the sake of transparency and in order to encourage greater progress, it is necessary to measure the progress made by Member States in relation to the achievement of the national 2030 reduction targets and other national indicative reduction targets. This should be done on an annual basis by means of annual progress and implementation reports. In order to monitor the level of compliance with this Regulation in a streamlined, easily comparable manner, Member States should also include quantitative data in relation to the implementation of this Regulation as regards use, training, application equipment and integrated pest management. In order for the Commission to encourage progress towards achieving national 2030 reduction targets and other national indicative reduction targets, including any measures in support of such achievement, the Commission should analyse such progress and measures every 2 years. *deleted*

Amendment 457

Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 19

Text proposed by the Commission

(19) For the sake of transparency and in order to encourage greater progress, it is necessary to measure the progress made by Member States in relation to the achievement of the national 2030 reduction targets and other national indicative reduction targets. This should be done on an annual basis by means of annual progress and implementation reports. In order to monitor the level of compliance with this Regulation in a streamlined, easily comparable manner, Member States should also include quantitative data in relation to the implementation of this Regulation as regards use, training, application equipment and integrated pest management. In order for the Commission to encourage progress towards achieving national 2030 reduction targets and other national indicative reduction targets, including any measures in support of such achievement, the Commission should analyse such progress and measures every 2 years.

Amendment

(19) For the sake of transparency and in order to encourage greater progress, it is necessary to measure the progress made by Member States in relation to the achievement of the national 2030 reduction targets and other national indicative reduction targets. This should be done on an annual basis by means of annual progress and implementation reports. In order to monitor the level of compliance with this Regulation in a streamlined, easily comparable manner, Member States should also include quantitative data in relation to the implementation of this Regulation as regards use, training, application equipment and integrated pest management. In order for the Commission to encourage progress towards achieving national 2030 reduction targets and other national indicative reduction targets, including any measures in support of such achievement, the Commission should analyse **and make publicly available** such progress and measures every 2 years.

Or. en

Amendment 458

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 19

Text proposed by the Commission

(19) For the sake of transparency and in order to encourage greater progress, it is necessary to measure the progress made by Member States in relation to the achievement of the national 2030 reduction targets and other national **indicative** reduction targets. This should be done on an annual basis by means of annual progress and implementation reports. In

Amendment

(19) For the sake of transparency and in order to encourage greater progress, it is necessary to measure the progress made by Member States in relation to the achievement of the national 2030 reduction targets, **the 2035 phase-out target** and other national reduction targets. This should be done on an annual basis by means of annual progress and

order to monitor the level of compliance with this Regulation in a streamlined, easily comparable manner, Member States should also include quantitative data in relation to the implementation of this Regulation as regards use, training, application equipment and integrated pest management. In order for the Commission to encourage progress towards achieving national 2030 reduction targets and other national **indicative reduction** targets, including any measures in support of such achievement, the Commission should analyse such progress and measures every 2 years.

implementation reports. In order to monitor the level of compliance with this Regulation in a streamlined, easily comparable manner, Member States should also include quantitative data in relation to the implementation of this Regulation as regards use, training, application equipment and integrated pest management. In order for the Commission to encourage progress towards achieving national 2030 reduction targets, **the 2035 phase-out target** and other national targets, including any measures in support of such achievement, the Commission should analyse such progress and measures every 2 years.

Or. en

Amendment 459

Dan-Ștefan Motreanu, Carmen Avram, Marian-Jean Marinescu, Daniel Buda

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 19

Text proposed by the Commission

(19) For the sake of transparency and in order to encourage greater progress, it is necessary to measure the progress made by Member States in relation to the achievement of the national **2030** reduction **targets** and other national indicative reduction targets. This should be done on an annual basis by means of annual progress and implementation reports. In order to monitor the level of compliance with this Regulation in a streamlined, easily comparable manner, Member States should also include quantitative data in relation to the implementation of this Regulation as regards use, training, application equipment and integrated pest management. In order for the Commission to encourage progress towards achieving national **2030** reduction **targets** and other national indicative reduction targets,

Amendment

(19) For the sake of transparency and in order to encourage greater progress, it is necessary to measure the progress made by Member States in relation to the achievement of the national **2035** reduction **contributions** and other national indicative reduction targets. This should be done on an annual basis by means of annual progress and implementation reports. In order to monitor the level of compliance with this Regulation in a streamlined, easily comparable manner, Member States should also include quantitative data in relation to the implementation of this Regulation as regards use, training, application equipment and integrated pest management. In order for the Commission to encourage progress towards achieving national **2035** reduction **contributions** and other national indicative reduction targets,

including any measures in support of such achievement, the Commission should analyse such progress and measures every 2 years.

including any measures in support of such achievement, the Commission should analyse such progress and measures every 2 years.

Or. en

Amendment 460
Esther de Lange

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 19

Text proposed by the Commission

(19) For the sake of transparency and in order to encourage greater progress, it is necessary to measure the progress made by Member States in relation to the achievement of the national **2030** reduction targets and other national indicative reduction targets. This should be done on an annual basis by means of annual progress and implementation reports. In order to monitor the level of compliance with this Regulation in a streamlined, easily comparable manner, Member States should also include quantitative data in relation to the implementation of this Regulation as regards use, training, application equipment and integrated pest management. In order for the Commission to encourage progress towards achieving national **2030** reduction targets and other national indicative reduction targets, including any measures in support of such achievement, the Commission should analyse such progress and measures every 2 years.

Amendment

(19) For the sake of transparency and in order to encourage greater progress, it is necessary to measure the progress made by Member States in relation to the achievement of the national **2035** reduction targets and other national indicative reduction targets. This should be done on an annual basis by means of annual progress and implementation reports. In order to monitor the level of compliance with this Regulation in a streamlined, easily comparable manner, Member States should also include quantitative data in relation to the implementation of this Regulation as regards use, training, application equipment and integrated pest management. In order for the Commission to encourage progress towards achieving national **2035** reduction targets and other national indicative reduction targets, including any measures in support of such achievement, the Commission should analyse such progress and measures every 2 years.

Or. en

Amendment 461
Peter Liese

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 19

Text proposed by the Commission

(19) For the sake of transparency and in order to encourage greater progress, it is necessary to measure the progress made by Member States in relation to the achievement of the national **2030** reduction targets and other national indicative reduction targets. This should be done on an annual basis by means of annual progress and implementation reports. In order to monitor the level of compliance with this Regulation in a streamlined, easily comparable manner, Member States should also include quantitative data in relation to the implementation of this Regulation as regards use, training, application equipment and integrated pest management. In order for the Commission to encourage progress towards achieving national **2030** reduction targets and other national indicative reduction targets, including any measures in support of such achievement, the Commission should analyse such progress and measures every 2 years.

Amendment

(19) For the sake of transparency and in order to encourage greater progress, it is necessary to measure the progress made by Member States in relation to the achievement of the national reduction targets and other national indicative reduction targets. This should be done on an annual basis by means of annual progress and implementation reports. In order to monitor the level of compliance with this Regulation in a streamlined, easily comparable manner, Member States should also include quantitative data in relation to the implementation of this Regulation as regards use, training, application equipment and integrated pest management. In order for the Commission to encourage progress towards achieving national reduction targets and other national indicative reduction targets, including any measures in support of such achievement, the Commission should analyse such progress and measures every 2 years.

Or. en

Amendment 462

Martin Hojsík, Michal Wieszik, María Soraya Rodríguez Ramos, Róża Thun und Hohenstein, Frédérique Ries

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 19 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(19 a) In order to meet the second objective of this Regulation, namely health and environmental monitoring, it is needed that the Commission and Member States establish and uplift standartised and systematic in situ

monitoring, including citizens science. Union-wide systematic collection of comparable data on the pollutants present in the environment, including the risks which they pose, must be prioritised. A common monitoring framework offers a better solution to measure progress and is more cost-effective, in comparison to individual uncoordinated ad hoc efforts on national or regional levels, which can, however, complement the core EU-wide monitoring framework. As a first step, the Commission, including its agencies, should support Member States in the proper embedding of such monitoring in their National Action Plans, including the rollout of existing monitoring of pesticides via honeybees (Insignia Bee) and LUCAS Soil Pesticides Module on their territory.

Or. en

Amendment 463

Edina Tóth

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 20

Text proposed by the Commission

(20) An approach to pest control that follows integrated pest management in ensuring careful consideration of all available means that discourage the development of populations of harmful organisms, while keeping the use of chemical plant protection products to levels that are economically and ecologically justified and minimising risks to human health and the environment is necessary for the protection of human health and the environment. ‘Integrated pest management’ emphasises the growth of a healthy crop with the least possible disruption to agro-ecosystems, encourages natural pest control mechanisms and uses chemical control only when all other

Amendment

(20) An approach to pest control that follows integrated pest management in ensuring careful consideration of all available means that discourage the development of populations of harmful organisms, while keeping the use of chemical plant protection products to levels that are economically and ecologically justified and minimising risks to human health and the environment is necessary for the protection of human health and the environment. ‘Integrated pest management’ emphasises the growth of a healthy crop with the least possible disruption to agro-ecosystems, encourages natural pest control mechanisms and uses chemical control only when all other

control means are exhausted. To ensure that integrated pest management is implemented consistently on the ground, it is necessary to lay down clear rules in this Regulation. ***In order to comply with the obligation to follow integrated pest management, a professional user should consider and implement all methods and practices that avoid the use of plant protection products. Chemical plant protection products should only be used when all other control means have been exhausted. In order to ensure and monitor compliance with this requirement, it is important that professional users keep a record of the reasons why they apply plant protection products or the reasons for any other action taken in line with integrated pest management and of advice received in support of their implementation of integrated pest management from independent advisors. These records are also required for aerial applications.***

control means are exhausted. To ensure that integrated pest management is implemented consistently on the ground, it is necessary to lay down clear rules in this Regulation.

Or. en

Amendment 464

Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław Kalinowski, Joanna Kopcińska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 20

Text proposed by the Commission

(20) An approach to pest control that follows integrated pest management in ensuring careful consideration of all available means that discourage the development of populations of harmful organisms, while keeping the use of chemical plant protection products to levels that are economically and ecologically justified and minimising risks to human health and the environment is necessary for the protection of human health and the environment. ‘Integrated pest

Amendment

(20) An approach to pest control that follows integrated pest management in ensuring careful consideration of all available means that discourage the development of populations of harmful organisms, while keeping the use of chemical plant protection products to levels that are economically and ecologically justified and minimising risks to human health and the environment is necessary for the protection of human health and the environment. ‘Integrated pest

management' emphasises the growth of a healthy crop with the least possible disruption to agro-ecosystems, encourages natural pest control mechanisms and uses chemical control only when all other control means ***are exhausted***. To ensure that integrated pest management is implemented consistently on the ground, it is necessary to lay down clear rules in this Regulation. ***In order to comply with the obligation to follow integrated pest management, a professional user should consider and implement all methods and practices that avoid the use of plant protection products. Chemical plant protection products should only be used when all other control means have been exhausted. In order to ensure and monitor compliance with this requirement, it is important that professional users keep a record of the reasons why they apply plant protection products or the reasons for any other action taken in line with integrated pest management and of advice received in support of their implementation of integrated pest management from independent advisors. These records are also required for aerial applications.***

management' emphasises the growth of a healthy crop with the least possible disruption to agro-ecosystems, encourages natural pest control mechanisms and uses chemical control only when all other control means ***had been considered***. To ensure that integrated pest management is implemented consistently on the ground, it is necessary to lay down clear rules in this Regulation.

Or. en

Justification

This would cause a heavy administrative burden. Also, the text changes the FAO's definition of IPM, which is unacceptable.

Amendment 465

Franč Bogovič, Marlene Mortler, Ljudmila Novak, Alexander Bernhuber

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 20

Text proposed by the Commission

(20) An approach to pest control that follows integrated pest management in ensuring careful consideration of all

Amendment

(20) An approach to pest control that follows integrated pest management in ensuring careful consideration of all

available means that discourage the development of populations of harmful organisms, while keeping the use of chemical plant protection products to levels that are economically and ecologically justified and minimising risks to human health and the environment is necessary for the protection of human health and the environment. 'Integrated pest management' emphasises the growth of a healthy crop with the least possible disruption to agro-ecosystems, encourages natural pest control mechanisms and uses chemical control only when all other control means are exhausted. To ensure that integrated pest management is implemented consistently on the ground, it is necessary to lay down clear rules in this Regulation. In order to comply with the obligation to follow integrated pest management, a professional user should consider and implement all methods and practices that avoid the use of plant protection products. ***Chemical plant protection products should only be used when all other control means have been exhausted. In order to ensure and monitor compliance with this requirement, it is important that professional users keep a record of the reasons why they apply plant protection products or the reasons for any other action taken in line with integrated pest management and of advice received in support of their implementation of integrated pest management from independent advisors. These records are also required for aerial applications.***

available means that discourage the development of populations of harmful organisms, while keeping the use of chemical plant protection products to levels that are economically and ecologically justified and minimising risks to human health and the environment is necessary for the protection of human health and the environment. 'Integrated pest management' emphasises the growth of a healthy crop with the least possible disruption to agro-ecosystems, encourages natural pest control mechanisms and uses chemical control only when all other control means are exhausted. To ensure that integrated pest management is implemented consistently on the ground, it is necessary to lay down clear rules in this Regulation. In order to comply with the obligation to follow integrated pest management, a professional user should consider and implement all methods and practices that avoid the use of plant protection products ***as much as possible.***

Or. en

Justification

Restricting the use of chemical control only to the stage when other control means are exhausted would incur serious risk of yield reduction and crop failure. Using chemical control as a last resort would in most cases come too late to prevent serious crop damage. Weed control is mostly done during the early growth stages of the weeds, therefore waiting for weed population to reach levels which negatively impact crop yield is not economically sustainable and it may result in even more chemical PPP use during the later stage compared to the chemical PPP use during the early stage of the weed growth.

Amendment 466

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjård

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 20

Text proposed by the Commission

(20) An approach to **pest control** that follows integrated pest management in ensuring careful consideration of **all** available means that discourage the development of populations of harmful organisms, while keeping the use of chemical plant protection products to levels that are economically and ecologically justified and minimising risks to human health and the environment is necessary for the protection of human health and the environment. ‘Integrated pest management’ emphasises the growth of a healthy crop with the least possible disruption to agro-ecosystems, encourages natural pest control mechanisms and uses chemical control only when all other control means are exhausted. To ensure that integrated pest management is implemented consistently on the ground, it is necessary to lay down clear rules in this Regulation. In order to comply with the obligation to follow integrated pest management, a professional user should consider and implement all methods and practices that avoid the use of plant protection products. Chemical plant protection products should **only** be used when **all** other control means have been exhausted. ***In order to ensure and monitor compliance with this requirement, it is important that professional users keep a record of the reasons why they apply plant protection products or the reasons for any other action taken in line with integrated pest management and of advice received in support of their implementation of***

Amendment

(20) An approach to **plant protection** that follows integrated pest management in ensuring careful consideration of available means that discourage the development of populations of harmful organisms, while keeping the use of chemical plant protection products to levels that are economically and ecologically justified and minimising risks to human health and the environment is necessary for the protection of human health and the environment. ‘Integrated pest management’ emphasises the growth of a healthy crop with the least possible disruption to agro-ecosystems, encourages natural pest control mechanisms and uses chemical control only when all other control means are exhausted. To ensure that integrated pest management is implemented consistently on the ground, it is necessary to lay down clear rules in this Regulation. In order to comply with the obligation to follow integrated pest management, a professional user should consider and implement all methods and practices that avoid the use of plant protection products. Chemical plant protection products should be used when other control means have been exhausted ***or there is a risk of a significant loss of yield or quality***. These records are also required for aerial applications.

integrated pest management from independent advisors. These records are also required for aerial applications.

Or. en

Amendment 467
Sylvia Limmer

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 20

Text proposed by the Commission

(20) Zum Schutz der menschlichen Gesundheit und der Umwelt ist eine Schädlingsbekämpfung notwendig, die sich nach dem integrierten Pflanzenschutz richtet, bei dem alle zur Verfügung stehenden Mittel, die **der** Entstehung von Populationen von Schadorganismen **entgegenwirken**, sorgfältig abgewogen werden und die Verwendung chemischer Pflanzenschutzmittel auf einem wirtschaftlich und ökologisch gerechtfertigten Niveau gehalten wird, das die Risiken für die menschliche Gesundheit und die Umwelt auf ein Minimum beschränkt. Der integrierte Pflanzenschutz stellt auf das Wachstum gesunder Nutzpflanzen bei möglichst geringer Störung der landwirtschaftlichen Ökosysteme ab, fördert natürliche Mechanismen zur Bekämpfung von Schädlingen und greift nur auf chemische Schädlingsbekämpfung zurück, wenn alle anderen Bekämpfungsmittel erschöpft sind. Um sicherzustellen, dass der integrierte Pflanzenschutz vor Ort einheitlich umgesetzt wird, müssen in dieser Verordnung klare Vorschriften festgelegt werden. Zur Erfüllung der Verpflichtung zum integrierten Pflanzenschutz sollte ein beruflicher Verwender alle Methoden und Verfahren berücksichtigen und umsetzen, mit denen sich die Verwendung von Pflanzenschutzmitteln vermeiden lässt.

Amendment

(20) Zum Schutz der menschlichen Gesundheit und der Umwelt ist eine Schädlingsbekämpfung notwendig, die sich nach dem integrierten Pflanzenschutz richtet, bei dem alle zur Verfügung stehenden Mittel, die **die** Entstehung von Populationen von Schadorganismen **verhindern**, sorgfältig abgewogen werden und die Verwendung chemischer Pflanzenschutzmittel auf einem wirtschaftlich und ökologisch gerechtfertigten Niveau gehalten wird, das die Risiken für die menschliche Gesundheit und die Umwelt auf ein Minimum beschränkt. ***Es ist zu bedenken, dass, wie bei antimikrobiellen Mitteln, der kluge Einsatz von Pestiziden in der richtigen Dosis und in einem frühen Stadium eine weitere Verschlechterung des Gesundheitszustands des Organismus und die Entwicklung von Resistenzen verhindern kann.*** Der integrierte Pflanzenschutz stellt auf das Wachstum gesunder Nutzpflanzen bei möglichst geringer Störung der landwirtschaftlichen Ökosysteme ab, fördert natürliche Mechanismen zur Bekämpfung von Schädlingen und greift nur auf chemische Schädlingsbekämpfung zurück, wenn alle anderen Bekämpfungsmittel erschöpft sind. Um sicherzustellen, dass der integrierte Pflanzenschutz vor Ort einheitlich umgesetzt wird, müssen in dieser

Chemische Pflanzenschutzmittel sollten nur verwendet werden, wenn alle anderen Bekämpfungsmittel erschöpft sind. Damit die Beachtung dieser Anforderung sichergestellt und überwacht werden kann, ist es wichtig, dass berufliche Verwender Aufzeichnungen über die Gründe für die Anwendung von Pflanzenschutzmitteln oder die Gründe für andere Maßnahmen im Einklang mit dem integrierten Pflanzenschutz und über die von unabhängigen Beratern erhaltene Beratung zur Unterstützung der Umsetzung des integrierten Pflanzenschutzes führen. Auch über Anwendungen mit Luftfahrzeugen sind Aufzeichnungen zu führen.

Verordnung klare Vorschriften festgelegt werden. Zur Erfüllung der Verpflichtung zum integrierten Pflanzenschutz sollte ein beruflicher Verwender alle Methoden und Verfahren berücksichtigen und umsetzen, mit denen sich die Verwendung von Pflanzenschutzmitteln vermeiden lässt. Chemische Pflanzenschutzmittel sollten nur verwendet werden, wenn alle anderen Bekämpfungsmittel erschöpft sind. Damit die Beachtung dieser Anforderung sichergestellt und überwacht werden kann, ist es wichtig, dass berufliche Verwender Aufzeichnungen über die Gründe für die Anwendung von Pflanzenschutzmitteln oder die Gründe für andere Maßnahmen im Einklang mit dem integrierten Pflanzenschutz und über die von unabhängigen Beratern erhaltene Beratung zur Unterstützung der Umsetzung des integrierten Pflanzenschutzes führen. Auch über Anwendungen mit Luftfahrzeugen sind Aufzeichnungen zu führen.

Or. de

Amendment 468 Mathilde Androuët

Proposal for a regulation Recital 20

Text proposed by the Commission

(20) Une approche de la lutte contre les ennemis des cultures qui suit la lutte intégrée contre les ennemis des cultures en assurant une prise en considération attentive de tous les moyens disponibles qui découragent le développement des populations d'organismes nuisibles, tout en maintenant l'utilisation de produits phytopharmaceutiques chimiques à des niveaux qui sont justifiés sur le plan économique et environnemental et en réduisant au minimum les risques pour la santé humaine et l'environnement, est nécessaire pour protéger la santé humaine et l'environnement. La «lutte intégrée contre les ennemis des cultures» met

Amendment

(20) Une approche de la lutte contre les ennemis des cultures qui suit la lutte intégrée contre les ennemis des cultures en assurant une prise en considération attentive de tous les moyens disponibles qui découragent le développement des populations d'organismes nuisibles, tout en maintenant l'utilisation de produits phytopharmaceutiques chimiques à des niveaux qui sont justifiés sur le plan économique et environnemental **dans l'attente de solutions alternatives fiables** et en réduisant au minimum les risques pour la santé humaine et l'environnement, est nécessaire pour protéger la santé humaine et l'environnement. La «lutte intégrée

l'accent sur la croissance de cultures saines en perturbant le moins possible les agro-écosystèmes, encourage les mécanismes naturels de lutte contre les ennemis des cultures et n'utilise la lutte chimique que lorsque tous les autres moyens de lutte sont épuisés. Pour que la lutte intégrée contre les ennemis des cultures soit mise en œuvre de manière cohérente sur le terrain, il est nécessaire de fixer des règles claires dans le présent règlement. Afin de se conformer à l'obligation d'adopter la lutte intégrée contre les ennemis des cultures, tout utilisateur professionnel devrait envisager et mettre en œuvre toutes les méthodes et pratiques qui permettent d'éviter l'utilisation de produits phytopharmaceutiques. Les produits phytopharmaceutiques chimiques ne devraient être utilisés que lorsque tous les autres moyens de lutte ont été épuisés. Afin d'assurer et de contrôler le respect de cette exigence, il est important que les utilisateurs professionnels tiennent un registre des raisons pour lesquelles ils appliquent des produits phytopharmaceutiques ou des raisons de toute autre action menée conformément à la lutte intégrée contre les ennemis des cultures, ainsi que des conseils qu'ils ont reçus de conseillers indépendants pour mettre en œuvre la lutte intégrée contre les ennemis des cultures. Ces registres sont également requis pour les applications aériennes.

contre les ennemis des cultures» met l'accent sur la croissance de cultures saines en perturbant le moins possible les agro-écosystèmes, encourage **techniquement et financièrement** les mécanismes naturels de lutte contre les ennemis des cultures et n'utilise la lutte chimique que lorsque tous les autres moyens de lutte sont épuisés **ou qu'aucune solution alternative fiable n'est disponible**. Pour que la lutte intégrée contre les ennemis des cultures soit mise en œuvre de manière cohérente sur le terrain, il est nécessaire de fixer des règles claires dans le présent règlement. Afin de se conformer à l'obligation d'adopter la lutte intégrée contre les ennemis des cultures, tout utilisateur professionnel devrait envisager et mettre en œuvre, **lorsque leur faibilité a été scientifiquement et techniquement approuvée**, toutes les méthodes et pratiques qui permettent d'éviter l'utilisation de produits phytopharmaceutiques. Les produits phytopharmaceutiques chimiques ne devraient être utilisés que lorsque tous les autres moyens de lutte ont été épuisés. Afin d'assurer et de contrôler le respect de cette exigence, il est important que les utilisateurs professionnels tiennent un registre des raisons pour lesquelles ils appliquent des produits phytopharmaceutiques ou des raisons de toute autre action menée conformément à la lutte intégrée contre les ennemis des cultures, ainsi que des conseils qu'ils ont reçus de conseillers indépendants pour mettre en œuvre la lutte intégrée contre les ennemis des cultures. Ces registres sont également requis pour les applications aériennes.

Or. fr

Amendment 469

Jan Huitema, Emma Wiesner, Ulrike Müller, Andreas Glück, Billy Kelleher, Asger Christensen

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 20

Text proposed by the Commission

(20) An approach to pest control that follows integrated pest management in ensuring careful consideration of all available means that discourage the development of populations of harmful organisms, while keeping the use of chemical plant protection products to levels that are economically and ecologically justified and minimising risks to human health and the environment is necessary for the protection of human health and the environment. ‘Integrated pest management’ emphasises the growth of a healthy crop with the least possible disruption to agro-ecosystems, encourages natural pest control mechanisms and uses chemical control only when all other control means are exhausted. To ensure that integrated pest management is implemented consistently on the ground, it is necessary to lay down clear **rules** in this Regulation. In order to comply with the obligation to follow integrated pest management, a professional user should consider and implement **all** methods and practices that avoid the use of plant protection products. Chemical plant protection products should only be used when all other control means have been exhausted. In order to ensure and monitor compliance with this requirement, it is important that professional users keep a record of the reasons why they apply plant protection products or the reasons for any other action taken in line with integrated pest management and of advice received in support of their implementation of integrated pest management from independent advisors. These records are also required for aerial applications.

Amendment

(20) An approach to pest control that follows integrated pest management in ensuring careful consideration of all available means that discourage the development of populations of harmful organisms, while keeping the use of chemical plant protection products to levels that are economically and ecologically justified and minimising risks to human health and the environment is necessary for the protection of human health and the environment. ‘Integrated pest management’ emphasises the growth of a healthy crop with the least possible disruption to agro-ecosystems, encourages natural pest control mechanisms and uses chemical control only when all other control means are exhausted ***or if the use of chemical methods is considered to be economically and ecologically justified.*** To ensure that integrated pest management is implemented consistently on the ground, it is necessary to lay down clear ***guidelines*** in this Regulation. In order to comply with the obligation to follow integrated pest management, a professional user should consider and implement methods and practices that avoid the use of plant protection products. Chemical plant protection products should only be used when all other control means have been exhausted ***or if the use of chemical methods is considered to be economically and ecologically justified.*** In order to ensure and monitor compliance with this requirement, it is important that professional users keep a record of the reasons why they apply plant protection products or the reasons for any other action taken in line with integrated pest management and of advice received in support of their implementation of integrated pest management from independent advisors. These records are

also required for aerial applications.

Or. en

Amendment 470

Maria Arena

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 20

Text proposed by the Commission

(20) An approach to pest control that follows integrated pest management in ensuring careful consideration of all available means that discourage the development of populations of harmful organisms, while keeping the use of chemical plant protection products to levels that ***are economically and ecologically justified and minimising*** risks to human health and the environment is necessary for the protection of human health and the environment. ‘Integrated pest management’ emphasises the growth of a healthy crop with the least possible disruption to agro-ecosystems, encourages natural pest control mechanisms and uses chemical control only when all other control means are exhausted. To ensure that integrated pest management is implemented consistently on the ground, it is necessary to lay down clear rules in this Regulation. In order to comply with the obligation to follow integrated pest management, a professional user should consider and implement all methods and practices that avoid the use of plant protection products. Chemical plant protection products should only be used when all other control means have been exhausted. In order to ensure and monitor compliance with this requirement, it is important that professional users keep a record of ***the reasons why they apply plant protection products or the reasons for any other action*** taken in line with integrated

Amendment

(20) An approach to pest control that follows integrated pest management in ensuring careful consideration of all available means that discourage the development of populations of harmful organisms, while keeping the use of chemical plant protection products to levels that ***minimise*** risks to human health and the environment is necessary for the protection of human health and the environment. ‘Integrated pest management’ emphasises the growth of a healthy crop with the least possible disruption to agro-ecosystems, encourages natural pest control mechanisms and uses chemical control only ***as a last resort*** when all other control means are exhausted. To ensure that integrated pest management is implemented consistently on the ground, it is necessary to ***establish a hierarchy of actions for the management and control of plant pests and to lay down clear rules for their implementation*** in this Regulation. In order to comply with the obligation to follow integrated pest management, a professional user should consider and implement all methods and practices that avoid the use of plant protection products. Chemical plant protection products should only be used when all other ***non-chemical preventatives measures and*** control means have been exhausted. In order to ensure and monitor compliance with this requirement, it is important that professional users keep a

pest management and of advice received in support of their implementation of integrated pest management from independent advisors. These records are also required for aerial applications.

record of ***their actions*** taken in line with integrated pest management and of advice received in support of their implementation of integrated pest management from independent advisors ***and the reasons why they apply plant protection products when they resort to their use***. These records are also required for aerial applications.

Or. en

Amendment 471
Tudor Ciuhodaru

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 20

Text proposed by the Commission

(20) Pentru protecția sănătății umane și a mediului este necesară o abordare a combaterii dăunătorilor care urmează combaterii integrate a dăunătorilor, asigurând o analiză atentă a tuturor mijloacelor disponibile care descurajează dezvoltarea populațiilor de organisme dăunătoare, menținând în același timp utilizarea produselor chimice de protecție a plantelor la niveluri justificate din punct de vedere economic și ecologic și reducând la minimum riscurile pentru sănătatea umană și pentru mediu. „Combaterea integrată a dăunătorilor” pune accentul pe creșterea unei culturi sănătoase, perturbând cât mai puțin posibil ecosistemele agricole, încurajează mecanismele naturale de control al dăunătorilor și utilizează controlul chimic numai atunci când toate celelalte mijloace de control sunt epuizate. Pentru a asigura implementarea consecventă pe teren a combaterii integrate a dăunătorilor, prezentul regulament trebuie să stabilească norme clare în acest sens. Pentru a respecta obligația de a realiza combaterea integrată a dăunătorilor, un utilizator profesionist ar trebui să ia în considerare și să implementeze toate

Amendment

(20) Pentru protecția sănătății umane și a mediului ***și pentru a contribui la reducerea procesului de dispariție a speciilor de insecte și alte viețuitoare din zonele agricole***, este necesară o abordare a combaterii dăunătorilor care urmează combaterii integrate a dăunătorilor, asigurând o analiză atentă a tuturor mijloacelor disponibile care descurajează dezvoltarea populațiilor de organisme dăunătoare, menținând în același timp utilizarea produselor chimice de protecție a plantelor la niveluri justificate din punct de vedere economic și ecologic și reducând la minimum riscurile pentru sănătatea umană și pentru mediu. „Combaterea integrată a dăunătorilor” pune accentul pe creșterea unei culturi sănătoase, perturbând cât mai puțin posibil ecosistemele agricole, încurajează mecanismele naturale de control al dăunătorilor și utilizează controlul chimic numai atunci când toate celelalte mijloace de control sunt epuizate. Pentru a asigura implementarea consecventă pe teren a combaterii integrate a dăunătorilor, prezentul regulament trebuie să stabilească norme clare în acest sens. Pentru a respecta obligația de a

metodele și practicile care evită utilizarea de produse de protecție a plantelor. Produsele chimice de protecție a plantelor ar trebui utilizate numai după epuizarea tuturor celorlalte mijloace de control. Pentru a asigura și a monitoriza respectarea acestei cerințe, este important ca utilizatorii profesioniști să țină o serie de înregistrări ale motivelor pentru care aplică produse de protecție a plantelor sau a motivelor oricărei alte acțiuni întreprinse în conformitate cu combaterea integrată a dăunătorilor, precum și a consilierii primite din partea consilierilor independenți în sprijinul implementării combaterii integrate a dăunătorilor. Aceste înregistrări sunt necesare și în cazul tratamentelor avio.

realiza combaterea integrată a dăunătorilor, un utilizator profesionist ar trebui să ia în considerare și să implementeze toate metodele și practicile care evită utilizarea de produse de protecție a plantelor. Produsele chimice de protecție a plantelor ar trebui utilizate numai după epuizarea tuturor celorlalte mijloace de control. Pentru a asigura și a monitoriza respectarea acestei cerințe, este important ca utilizatorii profesioniști să țină o serie de înregistrări ale motivelor pentru care aplică produse de protecție a plantelor sau a motivelor oricărei alte acțiuni întreprinse în conformitate cu combaterea integrată a dăunătorilor, precum și a consilierii primite din partea consilierilor independenți în sprijinul implementării combaterii integrate a dăunătorilor. Aceste înregistrări sunt necesare și în cazul tratamentelor avio.

Or. ro

Amendment 472
Jessica Polfjärd

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 20

Text proposed by the Commission

(20) An approach to pest control that follows integrated pest management in ensuring careful consideration of all available means that discourage the development of populations of harmful organisms, while keeping the use of chemical plant protection products to levels that are economically and ecologically justified and minimising risks to human health and the environment is necessary for the protection of human health and the environment. ‘Integrated pest management’ emphasises the growth of a healthy crop with the least possible disruption to agro-ecosystems, encourages natural pest control mechanisms and uses

Amendment

(20) An approach to pest control that follows integrated pest management in ensuring careful consideration of all available means that discourage the development of populations of harmful organisms, while keeping the use of chemical plant protection products to levels that are economically and ecologically justified and minimising risks to human health and the environment is necessary for the protection of human health and the environment. ‘Integrated pest management’ emphasises the growth of a healthy crop with the least possible disruption to agro-ecosystems, encourages natural pest control mechanisms and uses

chemical control only when all other control means are exhausted. To ensure that integrated pest management is implemented consistently on the ground, it is necessary to lay down clear rules in this Regulation. In order to comply with the obligation to follow integrated pest management, a professional user should consider and implement all methods and practices that avoid the use of plant protection products. Chemical plant protection products should only be used when **all other control means have been exhausted**. In order to ensure and monitor compliance with this requirement, it is important that professional users keep a record of the reasons why they apply plant protection products or the reasons for any other action taken in line with integrated pest management and of advice received in support of their implementation of integrated pest management from independent advisors. These records are also required for aerial applications.

chemical control only when all other control means are exhausted. To ensure that integrated pest management is implemented consistently on the ground, it is necessary to lay down clear rules in this Regulation. In order to comply with the obligation to follow integrated pest management, a professional user should consider and implement all methods and practices that avoid the use of plant protection products **where no viable alternatives exist**. Chemical plant protection products should only be used when **necessary and where no viable alternatives exist**. In order to ensure and monitor compliance with this requirement, it is important that professional users keep a record of the reasons why they apply plant protection products or the reasons for any other action taken in line with integrated pest management and of advice received in support of their implementation of integrated pest management from independent advisors. These records are also required for aerial applications.

Or. en

Amendment 473

Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Peter Lundgren, Hermann Tertsch, Margarita de la Pisa Carrión, Mazaly Aguilar, Evžen Tošenovský, Sergio Berlato, Jorge Buxadé Villalba, Eugen Jurzyca, Pietro Fiocchi, Charlie Weimers, Alexander Bernhuber, Johan Nissinen

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 20

Text proposed by the Commission

(20) An approach to pest control that follows integrated pest management in ensuring careful consideration of all available means that discourage the development of populations of harmful organisms, while keeping the use of chemical plant protection products to levels that are economically and ecologically

Amendment

(20) An approach to pest control that follows integrated pest management in ensuring careful consideration of all available means that discourage the development of populations of harmful organisms, while keeping the use of chemical plant protection products to levels that are economically and ecologically

justified and minimising risks to human health and the environment is necessary for the protection of human health and the environment. ‘Integrated pest management’ emphasises the growth of a healthy crop with the least possible disruption to agro-ecosystems, encourages natural pest control mechanisms and uses chemical control only when all other control means are exhausted. To ensure that integrated pest management is implemented consistently on the ground, it is necessary to lay down clear rules in this Regulation. In order to comply with the obligation to follow integrated pest management, a professional user should consider and implement all methods and practices that avoid the use of plant protection products. Chemical plant protection products should only be used when all other control means have been exhausted. In order to ensure and monitor compliance with this requirement, it is important that professional users keep a record of the reasons why they apply plant protection products or the reasons for any other action taken in line with integrated pest management and of advice received in support of their implementation of integrated pest management from independent advisors. These records are also required for aerial applications.

justified and minimising risks to human health and the environment is necessary for the protection of human health and the environment. ‘Integrated pest management’ emphasises the growth of a healthy crop with the least possible disruption to agro-ecosystems, encourages natural pest control mechanisms and uses chemical control only when all other control means are exhausted. To ensure that integrated pest management is implemented consistently on the ground, it is necessary to lay down clear rules in this Regulation. In order to comply with the obligation to follow integrated pest management, a professional user should consider and implement all methods and practices that avoid the use of plant protection products. Chemical plant protection products should only be used when ***there are no viable alternatives or*** all other control means have been exhausted. In order to ensure and monitor compliance with this requirement, it is important that professional users keep a record of the reasons why they apply plant protection products or the reasons for any other action taken in line with integrated pest management and of advice received in support of their implementation of integrated pest management from independent advisors. These records are also required for aerial applications.

Or. en

Amendment 474

Dan-Ștefan Motreanu, Carmen Avram, Marian-Jean Marinescu, Daniel Buda

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 20

Text proposed by the Commission

(20) An approach to pest control that follows integrated pest management in ensuring careful consideration of all

Amendment

(20) An approach to pest control that follows integrated pest management in ensuring careful consideration of all

available means that discourage the development of populations of harmful organisms, while keeping the use of chemical plant protection products to levels that are economically and ecologically justified and minimising risks to human health and the environment is necessary for the protection of human health and the environment. ‘Integrated pest management’ emphasises the growth of a healthy crop with the least possible disruption to agro-ecosystems, encourages natural pest control mechanisms and uses chemical control only when all other control means are exhausted. To ensure that integrated pest management is implemented consistently on the ground, it is necessary to lay down clear rules in this Regulation. In order to comply with the obligation to follow integrated pest management, a professional user should consider and implement all methods and practices that avoid the use of plant protection products. Chemical plant protection products should only be used when all other control means have been exhausted. In order to ensure and monitor compliance with this requirement, it is important that professional users keep a record of the reasons why they apply plant protection products or the reasons for any other action taken in line with integrated pest management and of advice received in support of their implementation of integrated pest management from independent advisors. These records are also required for aerial applications.

available means that discourage the development of populations of harmful organisms, while keeping the use of chemical plant protection products to levels that are economically and ecologically justified and minimising risks to human health and the environment is necessary for the protection of human health and the environment. ‘Integrated pest management’ emphasises the growth of a healthy crop with the least possible disruption to agro-ecosystems, encourages natural pest control mechanisms and uses chemical control only when all other control means are exhausted. To ensure that integrated pest management is implemented consistently on the ground, it is necessary to lay down clear rules in this Regulation. In order to comply with the obligation to follow integrated pest management, a professional user should consider and implement all methods and practices that avoid the use of plant protection products. Chemical plant protection products should only be used when ***there are no viable alternatives or*** all other control means have been exhausted. In order to ensure and monitor compliance with this requirement, it is important that professional users keep a record of the reasons why they apply plant protection products or the reasons for any other action taken in line with integrated pest management and of advice received in support of their implementation of integrated pest management from independent advisors. These records are also required for aerial applications.

Or. en

Amendment 475

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 20

Text proposed by the Commission

(20) An approach to pest control that follows integrated pest management in ensuring careful consideration of all available means that discourage the development of populations of harmful organisms, while keeping the use of chemical **plant protection products** to levels that are **economically and** ecologically justified and minimising risks to human health and the environment is necessary for the protection of human health and the environment. ‘Integrated pest management’ emphasises the growth of a healthy crop with the least possible disruption to agro-ecosystems, encourages natural pest control mechanisms and uses chemical control only when all other control means are exhausted. To ensure that integrated pest management **is** implemented consistently on the ground, it is necessary to lay down clear rules in this Regulation. In order to comply with the obligation to follow integrated pest management, a professional user should consider and implement all methods and practices that avoid the use of plant protection products. Chemical **plant protection products** should only be used when all other control means have been exhausted. In order to ensure and monitor compliance with this requirement, it is important that professional users keep a record of the reasons why they apply plant protection products or the reasons for any other action taken in line with integrated pest management and of advice received in support of their implementation of integrated pest management from independent advisors. **These records are also required for aerial applications.**

Amendment

(20) An approach to pest control that follows integrated pest management in ensuring careful consideration of all available means that discourage the development of populations of harmful organisms, while keeping the use of chemical **pesticides** to levels that are ecologically justified and minimising risks to human **and animal** health, **non-target organisms** and the environment is necessary for the protection of human **and animal** health and the environment. ‘Integrated pest management’ emphasises the growth of a healthy crop with the least possible disruption to agro-ecosystems, encourages natural pest **prevention strategies and** control mechanisms and uses chemical control only when all other control means are exhausted. To ensure that **agro-ecological practices and** integrated pest management **are** implemented consistently on the ground, it is necessary to lay down clear rules in this Regulation. In order to comply with the obligation to follow integrated pest management, a professional user should consider and implement all methods and practices that avoid the use of plant protection products. Chemical **pesticides** should only be used **as last resort** when all other control means have been exhausted. In order to ensure and monitor compliance with this requirement, it is important that professional users keep a record of the reasons why they apply plant protection products or the reasons for any other action taken in line with integrated pest management and of advice received in support of their implementation of integrated pest management from independent advisors.

Or. en

Amendment 476

Franč Bogovič, Marlene Mortler, Ljudmila Novak, Alexander Bernhuber

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 20 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(20 a) In order not to burden small farms with additional sustainability requirements already set in the National CAP Strategic Plans, integrated pest management shall be mandatory only for professional users, whose size of agricultural holdings is above the average size of the agricultural holding in the Member State. Member States should also be allowed to put additional minimum thresholds for the mandatory application of integrated pest management with setting the minimum thresholds of hectares of arable land and land under permanent crops per crop type.

Or. en

Justification

The amendment proposes more flexibility to the Member States in terms of the mandatory IPM introduction under this regulation. IPM should therefore be mandatory for holdings above the average size of the holding in the Member State, which are economically stronger and as such more able to bear additional costs of the IPM certification. Additionally, Member States would be able to put other minimum thresholds for the mandatory IPM application with setting the minimum thresholds of hectares of arable land and land under permanent crops per crop type.

Amendment 477

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 20 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(20 a) In line with the principles of Integrated Pest Management of only using chemical pesticides as a last resort,

the preventive use of pesticides should be prohibited. This includes the coating of seeds with chemical pesticides, the use of pesticide granules during sowing and the use of soil fumigants and other chemical forms of treatment of soils.

Or. en

Amendment 478

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

**Proposal for a regulation
Recital 20 b (new)**

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(20 b) Contrary to popular believe, there is no scientific reason to expect a significant positive contribution of genetic engineering, including the use of New Genomic Techniques (NGTs) in enabling a reduction of the use of chemical pesticides. Almost 80% of the EU's pesticide use comprises herbicide and fungicide use, and there are currently no genetically engineered solutions available (or in development) which could substantially reduce these uses^{1a}. The use of NGTs are accompanied with uncertainties and risks for human and animal health and for the environment, and with socio-economic risks for breeders, farmers, food producers, retailers and consumers related to freedom of choice, transparency, co-existence and viability of the organic sector and patents, related to threats of further monopolisation of the food chain. GMOs, including NGTs, are therefore not part of Integrated Pest Management.

^{1a} foodwatc, 2022: New Genomic Techniques (NGTs)- a risky corporate distraction from real sustainable solutions. Questions & Answers on the

impact of NGTs on pesticide use and agriculture.

<https://www.foodwatch.org/en/reports/2022/foodwatch-report-new-genome-techniques-ngt-a-risky-corporate-distraction-from-real-sustainable-solutions/>

Or. en

Amendment 479

Sylvia Limmer

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 21

Text proposed by the Commission

(21) Um unnötige Doppelarbeiten zu vermeiden, sollte die Kommission für die Mitgliedstaaten ein Standardmuster für die Aufzeichnungen beruflicher Verwender über die von ihnen im Einklang mit dem integrierten Pflanzenschutz ergriffenen Maßnahmen gemäß Artikel 67 der Verordnung (EG) Nr. 1107/2009 erarbeiten.

Amendment

(21) Um unnötige Doppelarbeiten zu vermeiden, sollte die Kommission für die Mitgliedstaaten ein Standardmuster für die Aufzeichnungen beruflicher Verwender über die von ihnen im Einklang mit dem integrierten Pflanzenschutz ergriffenen Maßnahmen gemäß Artikel 67 der Verordnung (EG) Nr. 1107/2009 erarbeiten. ***Um gleiche Wettbewerbsbedingungen zu gewährleisten, müssen eingeführte Erzeugnisse aus Drittländern von identischen Unterlagen begleitet sein.***

Or. de

Amendment 480

Tudor Ciuhodaru

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 21

Text proposed by the Commission

(21) Pentru a evita suprapunerile inutile, Comisia ar trebui să stabilească un model standard pentru ca statele membre să

Amendment

(21) Pentru a evita suprapunerile inutile, Comisia ar trebui să stabilească un model standard pentru ca statele membre să

integreze înregistrările ținute de utilizatorii profesioniști cu privire la acțiunile întreprinse în conformitate cu combaterea integrată a dăunătorilor cu cele realizate în temeiul articolului 67 din Regulamentul (CE) nr. 1107/2009.

integreze înregistrările ținute de utilizatorii profesioniști cu privire la acțiunile întreprinse în conformitate cu combaterea integrată a dăunătorilor cu cele realizate în temeiul articolului 67 din Regulamentul (CE) nr. 1107/2009; ***precum și statistici cu produsele fitosanitare introduse și comercializate pe piață; pentru a evita folosirea de produse neadecvate.***

Or. ro

Amendment 481

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjård

Proposal for a regulation Recital 21

Text proposed by the Commission

(21) To avoid unnecessary duplication, the Commission ***should establish*** a standard template for Member States to integrate records kept by professional users of actions taken in line with integrated pest management with those kept under Article 67 of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009.

Amendment

(21) To avoid unnecessary duplication, the Commission ***may provide*** a standard template for Member States to integrate records kept by professional users of actions taken in line with integrated pest management with those kept under Article 67 of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009.

Or. en

Amendment 482

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation Recital 21 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(21 a) Wholesalers and retailers have a strong and often dominant position in the value chain, and should therefore also take responsibility in achieving the pesticide reduction and phase-out targets.

Meaningful engagement with their suppliers should lead to long-term contracts between the producers, retailers and wholesalers, exchange of best IPM-practices and the necessary financial and technical support for the farmers and growers to ensure they can swiftly transition towards agro-ecological and organic farming practices while being secure of a right price for their efforts. In addition, retailers and wholesalers also have a responsibility to ensure food safety. The products they sell should be free of measurable residues of pesticides as soon as possible and no later than 2030. This should apply also to imported products, thereby securing both food safety and a level playing field for European producers.

Or. en

Amendment 483

Franco Bogovič, Marlene Mortler, Ljudmila Novak, Alexander Bernhuber

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 22

Text proposed by the Commission

(22) In order to facilitate compliance with integrated pest management, it is necessary to lay down crop-specific rules that a professional user must follow in relation to the specific crop and region in which the professional user operates. Such rules should convert the requirements of integrated pest management into verifiable criteria that apply to the specific crop. To ensure that the crop-specific rules are in accordance with the requirements of integrated pest management, detailed rules should be laid down as to what they should contain and the Commission should verify their development, implementation and enforcement on the ground.

Amendment

(22) In order to facilitate compliance with integrated pest management, it is necessary to lay down crop-specific rules that a professional user must follow in relation to the specific crop and region in which the professional user operates. Such rules should convert the requirements of integrated pest management into verifiable criteria that apply to the specific crop. To ensure that the crop-specific rules are in accordance with the requirements of integrated pest management, detailed rules should be laid down as to what they should contain and the Commission should verify their development, implementation and enforcement on the ground. ***In this regard the European Parliament welcomes the***

publication of a database of examples of practices, techniques and technologies across eight established Integrated Pest Management principles, including 273 crop specific guidelines by the European Commission on 28 February 2023. Taking into account the amount of examples indicated in the database, the Parliament underlines the importance of maintaining flexibility in the implementation of Integrated Pest Management across the various Member States, regions and crops grown in the European Union.

Or. en

Amendment 484

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjård

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 22

Text proposed by the Commission

(22) In order to facilitate compliance with integrated pest management, it is necessary to lay down crop-specific **rules** that a professional user **must** follow in relation to the specific crop and region in which the professional user operates. Such **rules** should **convert** the requirements of integrated pest management **into verifiable criteria that apply to the specific crop. To ensure that the crop-specific rules are in accordance with the requirements of integrated pest management, detailed rules should be laid down as to what they should contain and the Commission should verify their development, implementation and enforcement on the ground.**

Amendment

(22) In order to facilitate compliance with integrated pest management, it is necessary to lay down crop-specific **guidelines** that a professional user **may** follow in relation to the specific crop and region in which the professional user operates. Such **guidelines** should **determine** the requirements of integrated pest management.

Or. en

Amendment 485

Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław Kalinowski, Joanna Kopcińska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 22

Text proposed by the Commission

(22) In order to facilitate compliance with integrated pest management, it is necessary to lay down crop-specific **rules** that a professional user must **follow** in relation to the specific crop and region in which the professional user operates. Such **rules** should **convert the requirements** of integrated pest management **into verifiable criteria** that apply to the specific crop. **To ensure that the crop-specific rules are in accordance with the requirements of integrated pest management, detailed rules should be laid down as to what they should contain and the Commission should verify their development, implementation and enforcement on the ground.**

Amendment

(22) In order to facilitate compliance with integrated pest management, it is necessary to lay down crop-specific **guidelines** that a professional user must **take into consideration** in relation to the specific crop and region in which the professional user operates. Such **guidelines** should **indicate the principles** of integrated pest management that apply to the specific crop.

Or. en

Amendment 486

Edina Tóth

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 22

Text proposed by the Commission

(22) In order to facilitate compliance with integrated pest management, it is necessary to lay down crop-specific **rules** that a professional user must **follow** in relation to the specific crop and region in which the professional user operates. Such **rules** should **convert the requirements** of integrated pest management **into verifiable**

Amendment

(22) In order to facilitate compliance with integrated pest management, it is necessary to lay down crop-specific **guidelines** that a professional user must **take into consideration** in relation to the specific crop and region in which the professional user operates. Such **guidelines** should **indicate the principles** of integrated

criteria that apply to the specific crop. To ensure that the crop-specific rules are in accordance with the requirements of integrated pest management, detailed rules should be laid down as to what they should contain and the Commission should verify their development, implementation and enforcement on the ground.

pest management that apply to the specific crop.

Or. en

Amendment 487

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 22

Text proposed by the Commission

(22) In order to facilitate compliance with integrated pest management, it is necessary to lay down crop-specific rules that a professional user must follow in relation to the specific crop and region in which the professional user operates. Such rules should convert the requirements of integrated pest management into verifiable criteria that apply to the specific crop. To ensure that the crop-specific rules are in accordance with the requirements of integrated pest management, detailed rules should be laid down as to what they should contain and the Commission should verify their development, implementation and enforcement on the ground.

Amendment

(22) In order to facilitate compliance with integrated pest management, it is necessary to lay down crop-specific rules that a professional user must follow in relation to the specific crop and region in which the professional user operates. Such rules should convert the requirements of **agro-ecological principles and** integrated pest management into verifiable criteria that apply to the specific crop. To ensure that the crop-specific rules are in accordance with the requirements of integrated pest management, detailed rules should be laid down as to what they should contain and the **Member States and the** Commission should verify their development, implementation and enforcement on the ground.

Or. en

Amendment 488

Inese Vaidere

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 22

Text proposed by the Commission

(22) In order to facilitate compliance with integrated pest management, it is necessary to lay down crop-specific **rules** that a professional user must follow in relation to the specific crop and region in which the professional user operates. Such **rules** should convert the requirements of integrated pest management into verifiable criteria that apply to the specific crop. To ensure that the crop-specific **rules** are in accordance with the requirements of integrated pest management, detailed **rules** should be laid down as to what they should contain and the Commission should verify their development, implementation and enforcement on the ground.

Amendment

(22) In order to facilitate compliance with integrated pest management, it is necessary to lay down crop-specific **guidelines** that a professional user must follow in relation to the specific crop and region in which the professional user operates. Such **guidelines** should convert the requirements of integrated pest management into verifiable criteria that apply to the specific crop. To ensure that the crop-specific **guidelines** are in accordance with the requirements of integrated pest management, detailed **guidelines** should be laid down as to what they should contain and the Commission should verify their development, implementation and enforcement on the ground.

Or. en

Justification

Considering the flexible and dynamic nature of the integrated pest management (IPM) method, which requires periodical updating as information is learnt from the real-time management practice results, guidelines are more appropriate measure. Rules would contradict the nature of the IPM method.

Amendment 489
Sylvia Limmer

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 22

Text proposed by the Commission

(22) Zur Erleichterung der Einhaltung des integrierten Pflanzenschutzes müssen kulturspezifische Vorschriften aufgestellt werden, die von beruflichen Verwendern für die Kulturpflanzen und die Region zu beachten sind, in der die beruflichen

Amendment

(22) Zur Erleichterung der Einhaltung des integrierten Pflanzenschutzes müssen kulturspezifische Vorschriften aufgestellt werden, die von beruflichen Verwendern für die Kulturpflanzen und die Region zu beachten sind, in der die beruflichen

Verwender tätig sind. In diesen Vorschriften sollten die Anforderungen des integrierten Pflanzenschutzes in überprüfbare Kriterien umgewandelt werden, die für die spezifische Kulturpflanze gelten. Um sicherzustellen, dass die kulturspezifischen Vorschriften im Einklang mit den Anforderungen des integrierten Pflanzenschutzes stehen, sollten ausführliche Regeln aufgestellt werden, was sie enthalten sollten, und die **Kommission sollte** die Entwicklung, die Durchführung und die Durchsetzung vor Ort überprüfen.

Verwender tätig sind. In diesen Vorschriften sollten die Anforderungen des integrierten Pflanzenschutzes in überprüfbare Kriterien umgewandelt werden, die für die spezifische Kulturpflanze gelten. Um sicherzustellen, dass die kulturspezifischen Vorschriften im Einklang mit den Anforderungen des integrierten Pflanzenschutzes stehen, sollten ausführliche Regeln aufgestellt werden, was sie enthalten sollten, und die **Mitgliedstaaten sollten** die Entwicklung, die Durchführung und die Durchsetzung vor Ort überprüfen.

Or. de

Amendment 490

Franco Bogović, Marlene Mortler, Ljudmila Novak, Alexander Bernhuber

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 23

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(23) In order to verify compliance by professional users with integrated pest management, an electronic integrated pest management and plant protection product use register should be maintained with the aim of verifying compliance with the rules on integrated pest management set out in this Regulation and supporting the development of Union policy. Access to the register should also be granted to national statistical authorities for the development, production and dissemination of official statistics in accordance with Chapter V of Regulation (EC) No 223/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council⁶⁶. This register should record any preventative measure or intervention and the reasons for that preventative measure or intervention. This will provide the competent authorities with the information necessary to verify whether a

deleted

professional user has carried out a decision-making process, in accordance with integrated pest management, before determining the specific preventative measure or intervention. The register should also contain details in relation to advice required annually in support of integrated pest management in order to verify that such strategic longer term planning in relation to integrated pest management is taking place.

⁶⁶ *Regulation (EC) No 223/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 11 March 2009 on European statistics and repealing Regulation (EC, Euratom) No 1101/2008 of the European Parliament and of the Council on the transmission of data subject to statistical confidentiality to the Statistical Office of the European Communities, Council Regulation (EC) No 322/97 on Community Statistics, and Council Decision 89/382/EEC, Euratom establishing a Committee on the Statistical Programmes of the European Communities (OJ L 87, 31.3.2009, p. 164).*

Or. en

Justification

Electronic integrated pest management and plant protection product use register represents an enormous administrative and financial burden both for farmers and national administrations, so it should be excluded from the regulation.

Amendment 491

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjård

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 23

(23) In order to verify compliance by professional users with integrated pest management, an electronic ***integrated pest management and*** plant protection product use register should be maintained ***with the aim of verifying compliance with the rules on integrated pest management set out in this Regulation and supporting the development of Union policy.*** Access to the register should also be granted to national statistical authorities for the development, production and dissemination of official statistics in accordance with Chapter V of Regulation (EC) No 223/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council⁶⁶. ***This register should record any preventative measure or intervention and the reasons for that preventative measure or intervention. This will provide the competent authorities with the information necessary to verify whether a professional user has carried out a decision-making process, in accordance with integrated pest management, before determining the specific preventative measure or intervention. The register should also contain details in relation to advice required annually in support of integrated pest management in order to verify that such strategic longer term planning in relation to integrated pest management is taking place.***

⁶⁶ Regulation (EC) No 223/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 11 March 2009 on European statistics and repealing Regulation (EC, Euratom) No 1101/2008 of the European Parliament and of the Council on the transmission of data subject to statistical confidentiality to the Statistical Office of the European Communities, Council Regulation (EC) No 322/97 on Community Statistics, and Council Decision 89/382/EEC, Euratom

(23) In order to verify compliance by professional users with integrated pest management, an electronic plant protection product use register should be maintained. Access to the register should also be granted to national statistical authorities for the development, production and dissemination of official statistics in accordance with Chapter V of Regulation (EC) No 223/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council⁶⁶.

⁶⁶ Regulation (EC) No 223/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 11 March 2009 on European statistics and repealing Regulation (EC, Euratom) No 1101/2008 of the European Parliament and of the Council on the transmission of data subject to statistical confidentiality to the Statistical Office of the European Communities, Council Regulation (EC) No 322/97 on Community Statistics, and Council Decision 89/382/EEC, Euratom

establishing a Committee on the Statistical Programmes of the European Communities (OJ L 87, 31.3.2009, p. 164).

establishing a Committee on the Statistical Programmes of the European Communities (OJ L 87, 31.3.2009, p. 164).

Or. en

Amendment 492

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 23

Text proposed by the Commission

(23) In order to verify compliance by professional users with integrated pest management, an electronic integrated pest management and plant protection product use register should be maintained with the aim of verifying compliance with the rules on integrated pest management set out in this Regulation and supporting the development of Union policy. Access to the register should also be granted to national statistical authorities for the development, production and dissemination of official statistics in accordance with Chapter V of Regulation (EC) No 223/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council⁶⁶. This register should record any preventative measure or intervention and the reasons for that preventative measure or intervention. This will provide the competent authorities with the information necessary to verify whether a professional user has carried out a decision-making process, in accordance with integrated pest management, before determining the specific preventative measure or intervention. The register should also contain details in relation to advice required annually in support of integrated pest management in order to verify that such strategic longer term planning in relation to integrated pest management is taking place.

Amendment

(23) In order to verify compliance by professional users with integrated pest management, an electronic integrated pest management and plant protection product use register should be maintained with the aim of verifying compliance with the rules on integrated pest management set out in this Regulation and supporting the development of Union policy. Access to the register should also be granted to national statistical **and competent** authorities for the development, production and dissemination of official statistics in accordance with Chapter V of Regulation (EC) No 223/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council⁶⁶ **and for the enforcement of the requirements of this Regulation. In addition, the public and academia shall be granted access to this register in order to be able to prevent health damage cause by the use of pesticides in their vicinity, as well as for scientific research in the trends of the amounts and type of intervention and pesticides used.** This register should record any preventative measure or intervention and the reasons for that preventative measure or intervention. This will provide the competent authorities with the information necessary to verify whether a professional user has carried out a decision-making process, in accordance with integrated pest management, before

determining the specific preventative measure or intervention. The register should also contain details in relation to advice required annually in support of integrated pest management in order to verify that such strategic longer term planning in relation to integrated pest management is taking place.

⁶⁶ Regulation (EC) No 223/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 11 March 2009 on European statistics and repealing Regulation (EC, Euratom) No 1101/2008 of the European Parliament and of the Council on the transmission of data subject to statistical confidentiality to the Statistical Office of the European Communities, Council Regulation (EC) No 322/97 on Community Statistics, and Council Decision 89/382/EEC, Euratom establishing a Committee on the Statistical Programmes of the European Communities (OJ L 87, 31.3.2009, p. 164).

⁶⁶ Regulation (EC) No 223/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 11 March 2009 on European statistics and repealing Regulation (EC, Euratom) No 1101/2008 of the European Parliament and of the Council on the transmission of data subject to statistical confidentiality to the Statistical Office of the European Communities, Council Regulation (EC) No 322/97 on Community Statistics, and Council Decision 89/382/EEC, Euratom establishing a Committee on the Statistical Programmes of the European Communities (OJ L 87, 31.3.2009, p. 164).

Or. en

Amendment 493

Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław Kalinowski, Joanna Kopcińska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 23

Text proposed by the Commission

(23) In order to verify compliance by professional users with integrated pest management, an electronic integrated pest management and plant protection product use register should be maintained with the aim of verifying compliance with the **rules** on integrated pest management set out in this Regulation and supporting the development of Union policy. Access to the register should also be granted to national statistical authorities for the development, production and dissemination of official statistics in

Amendment

(23) In order to verify compliance by professional users with integrated pest management, an electronic integrated pest management and plant protection product use register should be maintained with the aim of verifying compliance with the **principles** on integrated pest management set out in this Regulation and supporting the development of Union policy. Access to the register should also be granted to national statistical authorities for the development, production and dissemination of official statistics in

accordance with Chapter V of Regulation (EC) No 223/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council⁶⁶. This register should record any preventative **measure or intervention** and the reasons for that preventative measure or intervention. This will provide the competent authorities with the information necessary to verify whether a professional user has carried out a decision-making process, in accordance with integrated pest management, before determining the specific preventative measure or intervention. ***The register should also contain details in relation to advice required annually in support of integrated pest management in order to verify that such strategic longer term planning in relation to integrated pest management is taking place.***

⁶⁶ Regulation (EC) No 223/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 11 March 2009 on European statistics and repealing Regulation (EC, Euratom) No 1101/2008 of the European Parliament and of the Council on the transmission of data subject to statistical confidentiality to the Statistical Office of the European Communities, Council Regulation (EC) No 322/97 on Community Statistics, and Council Decision 89/382/EEC, Euratom establishing a Committee on the Statistical Programmes of the European Communities (OJ L 87, 31.3.2009, p. 164).

accordance with Chapter V of Regulation (EC) No 223/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council⁶⁶. This register should record any preventative **use of plant protection product** and the reasons for that preventative measure or intervention. This will provide the competent authorities with the information necessary to verify whether a professional user has carried out a decision-making process, in accordance with integrated pest management, before determining the specific preventative measure or intervention.

⁶⁶ Regulation (EC) No 223/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 11 March 2009 on European statistics and repealing Regulation (EC, Euratom) No 1101/2008 of the European Parliament and of the Council on the transmission of data subject to statistical confidentiality to the Statistical Office of the European Communities, Council Regulation (EC) No 322/97 on Community Statistics, and Council Decision 89/382/EEC, Euratom establishing a Committee on the Statistical Programmes of the European Communities (OJ L 87, 31.3.2009, p. 164).

Or. en

Justification

Farmers participate in compulsory training - mandatory advice would be an unjustified burden. On top, the recording of all non-chemical plant protection measures would be too burdensome for farmers and would discourage them from using such products.

Amendment 494
Tudor Ciuhodaru

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 23

Text proposed by the Commission

(23) Pentru a verifica respectarea de către utilizatorii profesioniști a combaterii integrate a dăunătorilor, ar trebui ținut un registru electronic al combaterii integrate a dăunătorilor și al utilizării produselor de protecție a plantelor, cu scopul de a verifica respectarea normelor privind combaterea integrată a dăunătorilor prevăzute în prezentul regulament și de a sprijini dezvoltarea politicii Uniunii. Accesul la registru ar trebui acordat, de asemenea, autorităților statistice naționale pentru elaborarea, producerea și difuzarea de statistici oficiale în conformitate cu capitolul V din Regulamentul (CE) nr. 223/2009 al Parlamentului European și al Consiliului⁶⁶. Acest registru ar trebui să înregistreze orice măsură sau intervenție preventivă și motivele acestei măsuri preventive sau intervenții. El va furniza autorităților competente informațiile necesare pentru a verifica dacă un utilizator profesionist a desfășurat un proces decizional, în conformitate cu combaterea integrată a dăunătorilor, înainte de a stabili măsura sau intervenția preventivă specifică. Registrul ar trebui să conțină, de asemenea, detalii cu privire la consilierea necesară anual în sprijinul combaterii integrate a dăunătorilor pentru a verifica dacă are loc o astfel de planificare strategică pe termen mai lung în ceea ce privește combaterea integrată a dăunătorilor.

⁶⁶ Regulamentul (CE) nr. 223/2009 al Parlamentului European și al Consiliului din 11 martie 2009 privind statisticile

Amendment

(23) Pentru a verifica respectarea de către utilizatorii profesioniști a combaterii integrate a dăunătorilor, ar trebui ținut un registru electronic al combaterii integrate a dăunătorilor și al utilizării produselor de protecție a plantelor, ***mai ales a produselor importate din țări din afara UE, care riscă să nu respecte normele europene referitoare la substanțele chimice de combatere a dăunătorilor***, cu scopul de a verifica respectarea normelor privind combaterea integrată a dăunătorilor prevăzute în prezentul regulament și de a sprijini dezvoltarea politicii Uniunii. Accesul la registru ar trebui acordat, de asemenea, autorităților statistice naționale pentru elaborarea, producerea și difuzarea de statistici oficiale în conformitate cu capitolul V din Regulamentul (CE) nr. 223/2009 al Parlamentului European și al Consiliului⁶⁶. Acest registru ar trebui să înregistreze orice măsură sau intervenție preventivă și motivele acestei măsuri preventive sau intervenții. El va furniza autorităților competente informațiile necesare pentru a verifica dacă un utilizator profesionist a desfășurat un proces decizional, în conformitate cu combaterea integrată a dăunătorilor, înainte de a stabili măsura sau intervenția preventivă specifică. Registrul ar trebui să conțină, de asemenea, detalii cu privire la consilierea necesară anual în sprijinul combaterii integrate a dăunătorilor pentru a verifica dacă are loc o astfel de planificare strategică pe termen mai lung în ceea ce privește combaterea integrată a dăunătorilor.

⁶⁶ Regulamentul (CE) nr. 223/2009 al Parlamentului European și al Consiliului din 11 martie 2009 privind statisticile

europene și de abrogare a Regulamentului (CE, Euratom) nr. 1101/2008 al Parlamentului European și al Consiliului privind transmiterea de date statistice confidențiale Biroului Statistic al Comunităților Europene, a Regulamentului (CE) nr. 322/97 al Consiliului privind statisticile comunitare și a Deciziei 89/382/CEE, Euratom a Consiliului de constituire a Comitetului pentru programele statistice ale Comunităților Europene (JO L 87, 31.3.2009, p. 164).

europene și de abrogare a Regulamentului (CE, Euratom) nr. 1101/2008 al Parlamentului European și al Consiliului privind transmiterea de date statistice confidențiale Biroului Statistic al Comunităților Europene, a Regulamentului (CE) nr. 322/97 al Consiliului privind statisticile comunitare și a Deciziei 89/382/CEE, Euratom a Consiliului de constituire a Comitetului pentru programele statistice ale Comunităților Europene (JO L 87, 31.3.2009, p. 164).

Or. ro

Amendment 495

Edina Tóth

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 23

Text proposed by the Commission

(23) In order to verify compliance by professional users with integrated pest management, an electronic integrated pest management and plant protection product use register should be maintained with the aim of verifying compliance with the **rules** on integrated pest management set out in this Regulation and supporting the development of Union policy. Access to the register should also be granted to national statistical authorities for the development, production and dissemination of official statistics in accordance with Chapter V of Regulation (EC) No 223/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council⁶⁶. This register should record any preventative measure or intervention and the reasons for that preventative measure or intervention. This will provide the competent authorities with the information necessary to verify whether a professional user has carried out a decision-making process, in accordance with integrated pest management, before

Amendment

(23) In order to verify compliance by professional users with integrated pest management, an electronic integrated pest management and plant protection product use register should be maintained with the aim of verifying compliance with the **principles** on integrated pest management set out in this Regulation and supporting the development of Union policy. Access to the register should also be granted to national statistical authorities for the development, production and dissemination of official statistics in accordance with Chapter V of Regulation (EC) No 223/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council⁶⁶. This register should record any preventative measure or intervention and the reasons for that preventative measure or intervention. This will provide the competent authorities with the information necessary to verify whether a professional user has carried out a decision-making process, in accordance with integrated pest management, before

determining the specific preventative measure or intervention. The register should also contain details in relation to advice required annually in support of integrated pest management in order to verify that such strategic longer term planning in relation to integrated pest management is taking place.

⁶⁶ Regulation (EC) No 223/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 11 March 2009 on European statistics and repealing Regulation (EC, Euratom) No 1101/2008 of the European Parliament and of the Council on the transmission of data subject to statistical confidentiality to the Statistical Office of the European Communities, Council Regulation (EC) No 322/97 on Community Statistics, and Council Decision 89/382/EEC, Euratom establishing a Committee on the Statistical Programmes of the European Communities (OJ L 87, 31.3.2009, p. 164).

determining the specific preventative measure or intervention. The register should also contain details in relation to advice required annually in support of integrated pest management in order to verify that such strategic longer term planning in relation to integrated pest management is taking place.

⁶⁶ Regulation (EC) No 223/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 11 March 2009 on European statistics and repealing Regulation (EC, Euratom) No 1101/2008 of the European Parliament and of the Council on the transmission of data subject to statistical confidentiality to the Statistical Office of the European Communities, Council Regulation (EC) No 322/97 on Community Statistics, and Council Decision 89/382/EEC, Euratom establishing a Committee on the Statistical Programmes of the European Communities (OJ L 87, 31.3.2009, p. 164).

Or. en

Amendment 496

Sylvia Limmer

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 23

Text proposed by the Commission

(23) Um die Umsetzung eines integrierten Pflanzenschutzes durch berufliche Verwender überprüfen zu können, sollte ein elektronisches Register für integrierten Pflanzenschutz und die Verwendung von Pflanzenschutzprodukten geführt werden mit dem Ziel, die Einhaltung der Vorschriften zum integrierten Pflanzenschutz in dieser Verordnung zu überprüfen und die Entwicklung der Politik der Union zu unterstützen. Gemäß Kapitel V der

Amendment

(23) Um die Umsetzung eines integrierten Pflanzenschutzes durch berufliche Verwender überprüfen zu können, sollte ein elektronisches Register für integrierten Pflanzenschutz und die Verwendung von Pflanzenschutzprodukten geführt werden mit dem Ziel, die Einhaltung der Vorschriften zum integrierten Pflanzenschutz in dieser Verordnung zu überprüfen und die Entwicklung der Politik der Union zu unterstützen. Gemäß Kapitel V der

Verordnung (EG) Nr. 223/2009 des Europäischen Parlaments und des Rates⁶⁶ sollte **auch** den nationalen statistischen Stellen zwecks Entwicklung, Erstellung und Verbreitung amtlicher Statistiken Zugang zum Register gewährt werden. In diesem Register sollten **alle Präventivmaßnahmen** oder Interventionen und die Gründe für die jeweilige Präventivmaßnahme oder Intervention erfasst werden. So erhalten die zuständigen Behörden die Informationen, die sie benötigen, um zu überprüfen, ob ein beruflicher Verwender vor Festlegen einer spezifischen Präventivmaßnahme oder Intervention einen Entscheidungsprozess im Einklang mit dem integrierten Pflanzenschutz durchlaufen hat. Außerdem sollte das Register Angaben zur Beratung enthalten, die zur Unterstützung des integrierten Pflanzenschutzes jährlich erforderlich ist, um überprüfen zu können, ob die strategische Langzeitplanung im Rahmen des integrierten Pflanzenschutzes tatsächlich erfolgt.

⁶⁶ Verordnung (EG) Nr. 223/2009 des Europäischen Parlaments und des Rates vom 11. März 2009 über europäische Statistiken und zur Aufhebung der Verordnung (EG, Euratom) Nr. 1101/2008 des Europäischen Parlaments und des Rates über die Übermittlung von unter die Geheimhaltungspflicht fallenden Informationen an das Statistische Amt der Europäischen Gemeinschaften, der Verordnung (EG) Nr. 322/97 des Rates über die Gemeinschaftsstatistiken und des Beschlusses 89/382/EWG, Euratom des Rates zur Einsetzung eines Ausschusses für das Statistische Programm der Europäischen Gemeinschaften (ABl. L 87 vom 31.3.2009, S. 164).

Verordnung (EG) Nr. 223/2009 des Europäischen Parlaments und des Rates⁶⁶ sollte den nationalen statistischen Stellen zwecks Entwicklung, Erstellung und Verbreitung amtlicher Statistiken Zugang zum Register gewährt werden. In diesem Register sollten **IPM-Maßnahmen** oder Interventionen und die Gründe für die jeweilige Präventivmaßnahme oder Intervention erfasst werden. So erhalten die zuständigen Behörden die Informationen, die sie benötigen, um zu überprüfen, ob ein beruflicher Verwender vor Festlegen einer spezifischen Präventivmaßnahme oder Intervention einen Entscheidungsprozess im Einklang mit dem integrierten Pflanzenschutz durchlaufen hat. Außerdem sollte das Register Angaben zur Beratung enthalten, die zur Unterstützung des integrierten Pflanzenschutzes jährlich erforderlich ist, um überprüfen zu können, ob die strategische Langzeitplanung im Rahmen des integrierten Pflanzenschutzes tatsächlich erfolgt.

⁶⁶ Verordnung (EG) Nr. 223/2009 des Europäischen Parlaments und des Rates vom 11. März 2009 über europäische Statistiken und zur Aufhebung der Verordnung (EG, Euratom) Nr. 1101/2008 des Europäischen Parlaments und des Rates über die Übermittlung von unter die Geheimhaltungspflicht fallenden Informationen an das Statistische Amt der Europäischen Gemeinschaften, der Verordnung (EG) Nr. 322/97 des Rates über die Gemeinschaftsstatistiken und des Beschlusses 89/382/EWG, Euratom des Rates zur Einsetzung eines Ausschusses für das Statistische Programm der Europäischen Gemeinschaften (ABl. L 87 vom 31.3.2009, S. 164).

Or. de

Amendment 497
Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 24

Text proposed by the Commission

(24) In order to ensure that plant protection products and related application equipment are used in a manner that protects human health and the environment, it is necessary to provide for general requirements on professional users in relation to the training required to use certain plant protection products or application equipment, the use of more hazardous plant protection products and the need to comply with inspection requirements for application equipment in professional use.

Amendment

(24) In order to ensure that plant protection products and related application equipment are used in a manner that protects human health and the environment, it is necessary to provide for general requirements on professional users in relation to the training required to use certain plant protection products or application equipment, the use of more hazardous plant protection products and the need to comply with inspection requirements for application equipment in professional use. ***Due to their lack of appropriate training in the use of plant protection products, non-professional users should be barred from purchasing chemical plant protection products.***

Or. en

Amendment 498
Tudor Ciuhodaru

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 24

Text proposed by the Commission

(24) Pentru a se asigura că produsele de protecție a plantelor și echipamentele aferente de aplicare sunt utilizate într-un mod care să protejeze sănătatea umană și mediul, trebuie să se prevadă cerințe generale pentru utilizatorii profesioniști în legătură cu formarea necesară în vederea utilizării anumitor produse de protecție a plantelor sau a anumitor echipamente de aplicare, utilizarea unor produse de protecție a plantelor mai periculoase și

Amendment

(24) Pentru a se asigura că produsele de protecție a plantelor și echipamentele aferente de aplicare sunt utilizate într-un mod care să protejeze sănătatea umană și mediul, trebuie să se prevadă cerințe generale pentru utilizatorii profesioniști în legătură cu formarea ***periodică*** necesară în vederea utilizării anumitor produse de protecție a plantelor, ***în strânsă legătură cu apariția de noi produse fitosanitare*** sau a anumitor echipamente de aplicare,

necesitatea de a respecta cerințele de inspecție pentru echipamentele de aplicare de uz profesional.

utilizarea unor produse de protecție a plantelor mai periculoase și necesitatea de a respecta cerințele de inspecție pentru echipamentele de aplicare de uz profesional.

Or. ro

Amendment 499

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 24

Text proposed by the Commission

(24) In order to ensure that **plant protection products** and related application equipment are used in a manner that protects human health and the environment, it is necessary to provide for general requirements on professional users in relation to the training required to use certain **plant protection products** or application equipment, the use of **more** hazardous **plant protection products** and the need to comply with inspection requirements for application equipment in professional use.

Amendment

(24) In order to ensure that **pesticides** and related application equipment are used in a manner that protects human **and animal** health and the environment, it is necessary to provide for general requirements on professional users in relation to the training required to use certain **pesticides** or application equipment, the use of **the most** hazardous **pesticides** and the need to comply with inspection requirements for application equipment in professional use.

Or. en

Amendment 500

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjård

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 24

Text proposed by the Commission

(24) In order to ensure that plant protection products and related application equipment are used in a manner that

Amendment

(24) In order to ensure that plant protection products and related application equipment are used in a manner that

protects human health and the environment, it is necessary to provide for general requirements on professional users in relation to the training required to use **certain** plant protection products or application equipment, **the use of more hazardous plant protection products** and the need to comply with inspection requirements for application equipment in professional use.

protects human health, **the health of a professional user** and the environment, it is necessary to provide for general requirements on professional users in relation to the training required to use plant protection products or application equipment, and the need to comply with inspection requirements for application equipment in professional use.

Or. en

Amendment 501
Sylvia Limmer

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 25

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(25) Die Verwendung von Pflanzenschutzmitteln kann in bestimmten von der Öffentlichkeit oder von gefährdeten Personengruppen frequentierten Gebieten und in Gemeinschaften, in denen Menschen in ökologisch empfindlichen Gebieten leben und arbeiten, wie z. B. Natura-2000-Gebieten, die nach Richtlinie (EU) 2009/147/EG des Europäischen Parlaments und des Rates⁶⁷ und Richtlinie 92/43/EWG des Rates⁶⁸ geschützt sind, besonders negative Auswirkungen haben. Werden Pflanzenschutzmittel in Gebieten verwendet, die von der Öffentlichkeit genutzt werden, ist die Möglichkeit einer Exposition der Menschen gegenüber diesen Pflanzenschutzmitteln groß. Daher sollte die Verwendung von Pflanzenschutzmitteln in empfindlichen Gebieten und im Umkreis von drei Metern um diese Gebiete zum Schutz der menschlichen Gesundheit und der Umwelt verboten werden. Ausnahmen von diesem Verbot sollten nur unter

entfällt

bestimmten Bedingungen sowie nur im Einzelfall zulässig sein.

⁶⁷ Richtlinie 2009/147/EG des Europäischen Parlaments und des Rates vom 30. November 2009 über die Erhaltung der wildlebenden Vogelarten (ABl. L 20 vom 26.1.2010, S. 7).

⁶⁸ Richtlinie 92/43/EWG des Rates vom 21. Mai 1992 zur Erhaltung der natürlichen Lebensräume sowie der wildlebenden Tiere und Pflanzen (ABl. L 206 vom 22.7.1992, S. 7).

Or. de

Amendment 502

Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław Kalinowski, Joanna Kopcińska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 25

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(25) Use of plant protection products may have particularly negative impacts in certain areas that are frequently used by the general public or by vulnerable groups, communities in which people live and work and ecologically sensitive areas, such as Natura 2000 sites protected in accordance with Directive 2009/147/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council⁶⁷ and Council Directive 92/43/EEC⁶⁸. If plant protection products are used in areas used by the general public, the possibility of exposure of humans to such plant protection products is high. In order to protect human health and the environment, the use of plant protection products in sensitive areas and within 3 metres of such areas, should therefore be prohibited. Derogations from the prohibition should only be allowed

deleted

under certain conditions and on a case-by-case basis.

⁶⁷ *Directive 2009/147/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 30 November 2009 on the conservation of wild birds (OJ L 20, 26.1.2010, p. 7).*

⁶⁸ *Council Directive 92/43/EEC of 21 May 1992 on the conservation of natural habitats and of wild fauna and flora (OJ L 206, 22.7.1992, p. 7).*

Or. en

Amendment 503
Edina Tóth

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 25

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(25) Use of plant protection products may have particularly negative impacts in certain areas that are frequently used by the general public or by vulnerable groups, communities in which people live and work and ecologically sensitive areas, such as Natura 2000 sites protected in accordance with Directive 2009/147/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council⁶⁷ and Council Directive 92/43/EEC⁶⁸. If plant protection products are used in areas used by the general public, the possibility of exposure of humans to such plant protection products is high. In order to protect human health and the environment, the use of plant protection products in sensitive areas and within 3 metres of such areas, should therefore be prohibited. Derogations from the prohibition should only be allowed under certain conditions and on a case-by-case basis.

deleted

⁶⁷ *Directive 2009/147/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 30 November 2009 on the conservation of wild birds (OJ L 20, 26.1.2010, p. 7).*

⁶⁸ *Council Directive 92/43/EEC of 21 May 1992 on the conservation of natural habitats and of wild fauna and flora (OJ L 206, 22.7.1992, p. 7).*

Or. en

Amendment 504
Peter Liese

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 25

Text proposed by the Commission

(25) Use of plant protection products may have particularly negative impacts in certain areas that are frequently used by the general public or by vulnerable groups, communities in which people live and work **and ecologically sensitive areas, such as Natura 2000 sites protected in accordance with Directive 2009/147/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council⁶⁷ and Council Directive 92/43/EEC⁶⁸**. If plant protection products are used in areas used by the general public, the possibility of exposure of humans to such plant protection products is high. **In order to protect human health and the environment, the use of plant protection products in sensitive areas and within 3 metres of such areas, should therefore be prohibited. Derogations from the prohibition should only be allowed under certain conditions and on a case-by-case basis.**

⁶⁷ Directive 2009/147/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 30 November 2009 on the conservation of

Amendment

(25) Use of plant protection products may have particularly negative impacts in certain areas that are frequently used by the general public or by vulnerable groups **and** communities in which people live and work. If plant protection products are used in areas used by the general public, the possibility of exposure of humans to such plant protection products is high.

⁶⁷ Directive 2009/147/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 30 November 2009 on the conservation of

wild birds (OJ L 20, 26.1.2010, p. 7).

⁶⁸ Council Directive 92/43/EEC of 21 May 1992 on the conservation of natural habitats and of wild fauna and flora (OJ L 206, 22.7.1992, p. 7).

wild birds (OJ L 20, 26.1.2010, p. 7).

⁶⁸ Council Directive 92/43/EEC of 21 May 1992 on the conservation of natural habitats and of wild fauna and flora (OJ L 206, 22.7.1992, p. 7).

Or. en

Amendment 505

Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Peter Lundgren, Hermann Tertsch, Margarita de la Pisa Carrión, Mazaly Aguilar, Evžen Tošenovský, Sergio Berlato, Jorge Buxadé Villalba, Eugen Jurzyca, Pietro Fiocchi, Charlie Weimers, Alexander Bernhuber, Johan Nissinen

Proposal for a regulation Recital 25

Text proposed by the Commission

(25) Use of plant protection products may have particularly negative impacts in certain areas that are frequently used by the general public or by vulnerable groups, communities in which people live and work **and ecologically sensitive areas**, such as **Natura 2000 sites protected in accordance with Directive 2009/147/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council⁶⁷ and Council Directive 92/43/EEC⁶⁸**. If plant protection products are used in areas used by the general public, the possibility of exposure of humans to such plant protection products is high. In order to protect human health and the environment, the use of plant protection products in sensitive areas and within 3 metres of such areas, should therefore be prohibited. Derogations from the prohibition should **only be allowed under certain conditions and on a case-by-case basis**.

Amendment

(25) **Improper** use of plant protection products may have particularly negative impacts in certain areas that are frequently used by the general public or by vulnerable groups, communities in which people live and work, such as **parks or urban areas and sports and leisure facilities, urban areas covered by a watercourse or water feature, to be defined at a case-by-case basis considering the particular conditions of each Member State**. If plant protection products are used in areas used by the general public, the possibility of exposure of humans to such plant protection products is high. In order to protect human health and the environment, the use of plant protection products in sensitive areas and within 3 metres of such areas, **or 1 meter when efficient drift control nozzles are used**, should therefore be prohibited. **If a physical buffer zone is already present, no addition buffer zones are needed. Exemptions and** derogations from the prohibition should **be foreseen for cases where the use of PPPs contributes to the achievement of the overall objectives of this Regulation, e.g. the use**

of PPPs in plant breeding and seed production to assure the supply of healthy commercial seed for farmers and growers compliant with EU Regulation 2016/2031 and specific standards laid down in the EU seed marketing legislation.

⁶⁷ Directive 2009/147/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 30 November 2009 on the conservation of wild birds (OJ L 20, 26.1.2010, p. 7).

⁶⁸ Council Directive 92/43/EEC of 21 May 1992 on the conservation of natural habitats and of wild fauna and flora (OJ L 206, 22.7.1992, p. 7).

⁶⁷ Directive 2009/147/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 30 November 2009 on the conservation of wild birds (OJ L 20, 26.1.2010, p. 7).

⁶⁸ Council Directive 92/43/EEC of 21 May 1992 on the conservation of natural habitats and of wild fauna and flora (OJ L 206, 22.7.1992, p. 7).

Or. en

Justification

Member States are already regulating buffer zones in the framework of their national CAP strategic plans, specifically through eco-schemes and GAEC rules. The European Commission only approved the Member States' proposals a few months ago and the new rules have not yet been properly implemented in many Member States. Farmers, as entrepreneurs, need to know clear rules and to know what legislative environment they can operate in and what they can count on. Changing such fundamental rules every few years is not the long-promised simplification of the rules that farmers, whose primary activity should be sustainable agricultural production, must follow. The European Commission's proposal on the definition of sensitive areas is itself so strict that, combined with overly wide buffer strips, it would result in a virtual ban on the use of plant protection products in most of the EU's farmed area. A number of scientific studies warn of the impact of a 50% reduction in pesticides at EU level, which could lead to a 12% to 50% drop in production, depending on the commodity and region. With a complete ban on the use of plant protection products in most of the EU, if the strict definition of sensitive areas is maintained, the impact would be even more catastrophic. Given the current geopolitical situation and the need to ensure food security in the European Union, such radical proposals are neither realistic nor safe. In addition, the impact on human health and worker safety is covered by other legislation on residues and technical and safety data sheets, or REACH and CLP. Reducing the amount (or quantity) of pesticides used in the SUR has no demonstrable effect on impacts on human and worker health if they are used in accordance with the MSDS and residues are respected.

Amendment 506

Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 25

Text proposed by the Commission

(25) Use of plant protection products may have particularly negative impacts in certain areas that are frequently used by the general public or by vulnerable groups, communities in which people live and work and ecologically sensitive areas, such as Natura 2000 sites protected in accordance with Directive 2009/147/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council⁶⁷ and Council Directive 92/43/EEC⁶⁸. If plant protection products are used in areas used by the general public, the possibility of exposure of humans to such plant protection products is high. In order to protect human health and the environment, the use of plant protection products in sensitive areas and within **3** metres of such areas, should therefore be prohibited. Derogations from the prohibition should only be allowed under certain conditions and on a case-by-case basis.

⁶⁷ Directive 2009/147/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 30 November 2009 on the conservation of wild birds (OJ L 20, 26.1.2010, p. 7).

⁶⁸ Council Directive 92/43/EEC of 21 May 1992 on the conservation of natural habitats and of wild fauna and flora (OJ L 206, 22.7.1992, p. 7).

Amendment

(25) Use of plant protection products may have particularly negative impacts in certain areas that are frequently used by the general public or by vulnerable groups, communities in which people live and work and ecologically sensitive areas, such as Natura 2000 sites protected in accordance with Directive 2009/147/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council⁶⁷ and Council Directive 92/43/EEC⁶⁸. If plant protection products are used in areas used by the general public, the possibility of exposure of humans to such plant protection products is high. In order to protect human health and the environment, the use of plant protection products in sensitive areas and within **10** metres of such areas, should therefore be prohibited. ***The use of plant protection products in sensitive areas used by vulnerable groups as well as the use of more hazardous plant protection products in sensitive areas warrant a higher level of protection, buffer zones for these uses should therefore be expanded to 50 meters around these areas. Active substances approved for organic farming under Regulation (EU) 2018/848 should be exempted from the prohibition of use in sensitive areas.*** Other derogations from the prohibition should only be allowed under certain conditions and on a case-by-case basis.

⁶⁷ Directive 2009/147/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 30 November 2009 on the conservation of wild birds (OJ L 20, 26.1.2010, p. 7).

⁶⁸ Council Directive 92/43/EEC of 21 May 1992 on the conservation of natural habitats and of wild fauna and flora (OJ L 206, 22.7.1992, p. 7).

Or. en

Amendment 507
Eric Andrieu

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 25

Text proposed by the Commission

(25) L'utilisation des produits phytopharmaceutiques peut avoir des effets particulièrement négatifs dans certaines zones fréquemment utilisées par le grand public ou par des groupes vulnérables, les communautés dans lesquelles les citoyens vivent et travaillent et les zones écologiquement sensibles, telles que les sites Natura 2000 protégés conformément à la directive 2009/147/CE du Parlement européen et du Conseil⁶⁷ et à la directive 92/43/CEE du Conseil⁶⁸. Si les produits phytopharmaceutiques sont utilisés dans des zones fréquentées par le grand public, la possibilité d'une exposition humaine à ces produits phytopharmaceutiques est élevée. Par conséquent, afin de protéger la santé humaine et l'environnement, il convient d'interdire l'utilisation des produits phytopharmaceutiques dans les zones sensibles et à moins de **trois** mètres de ces zones. Les dérogations à l'interdiction ne devraient être accordées que dans certaines conditions et au cas par cas.

⁶⁷ Directive 2009/147/CE du Parlement européen et du Conseil du 30 novembre

Amendment

(25) L'utilisation des produits phytopharmaceutiques peut avoir des effets particulièrement négatifs dans certaines zones fréquemment utilisées par le grand public ou par des groupes vulnérables, les communautés dans lesquelles les citoyens vivent et travaillent et les zones écologiquement sensibles, telles que les sites Natura 2000 protégés conformément à la directive 2009/147/CE du Parlement européen et du Conseil⁶⁷ et à la directive 92/43/CEE du Conseil⁶⁸. Si les produits phytopharmaceutiques sont utilisés dans des zones fréquentées par le grand public, la possibilité d'une exposition humaine à ces produits phytopharmaceutiques est élevée. Par conséquent, afin de protéger la santé humaine et l'environnement, il convient d'interdire l'utilisation des produits phytopharmaceutiques dans les zones sensibles et à moins de **dix** mètres de ces zones. ***Dans les zones très fréquentées par des populations vulnérables et où des produits phytopharmaceutiques plus dangereux sont utilisés, il convient d'étendre les zones tampons de 50 mètres.*** Les dérogations à l'interdiction ne devraient être accordées que ***pour les produits phytopharmaceutiques autorisés conformément au règlement (UE) 2018/848 pour permettre une poursuite des activités agricoles existantes et pour les produits de protection biologique comme définis à l'article 3(23) ou*** dans certaines conditions et au cas par cas.

⁶⁷ Directive 2009/147/CE du Parlement européen et du Conseil du 30 novembre

2009 concernant la conservation des oiseaux sauvages (JO L 20 du 26.1.2010, p. 7).

⁶⁸ Directive 92/43/CEE du Conseil du 21 mai 1992 concernant la conservation des habitats naturels ainsi que de la faune et de la flore sauvages (JO L 206 du 22.7.1992, p. 7).

2009 concernant la conservation des oiseaux sauvages (JO L 20 du 26.1.2010, p. 7).

⁶⁸ Directive 92/43/CEE du Conseil du 21 mai 1992 concernant la conservation des habitats naturels ainsi que de la faune et de la flore sauvages (JO L 206 du 22.7.1992, p. 7).

Or. fr

Amendment 508 **Sirpa Pietikäinen**

Proposal for a regulation **Recital 25**

Text proposed by the Commission

(25) Use of plant protection products may have particularly negative impacts in certain areas that are frequently used by the general public or by vulnerable groups, communities in which people live and work and ecologically sensitive areas, such as Natura 2000 sites protected in accordance with Directive 2009/147/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council⁶⁷ and Council Directive 92/43/EEC⁶⁸. If plant protection products are used in areas used by the general public, the possibility of exposure of humans to such plant protection products is high. In order to protect human health and the environment, the use of plant protection products in sensitive areas and within **3** metres of such areas, should therefore be prohibited. Derogations from the prohibition should only be allowed under certain conditions and on a case-by-case basis.

Amendment

(25) Use of plant protection products may have particularly negative impacts in certain areas that are frequently used by the general public or by vulnerable groups, communities in which people live and work and ecologically sensitive areas, such as Natura 2000 sites protected in accordance with Directive 2009/147/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council⁶⁷ and Council Directive 92/43/EEC⁶⁸. If plant protection products are used in areas used by the general public, the possibility of exposure of humans to such plant protection products is high. In order to protect human health and the environment, the use of plant protection products in sensitive areas and within **10** metres of such areas, should therefore be prohibited. ***Adjacent to organically farmed land, these buffer zones should be further expanded to 50 metres for the use of all plant protection products not authorised for organic farming, so as to avoid contamination of organic crops with these substances.*** Derogations from the prohibition should only be allowed under certain conditions and on a case-by-case

⁶⁷ Directive 2009/147/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 30 November 2009 on the conservation of wild birds (OJ L 20, 26.1.2010, p. 7).

⁶⁸ Council Directive 92/43/EEC of 21 May 1992 on the conservation of natural habitats and of wild fauna and flora (OJ L 206, 22.7.1992, p. 7).

basis.

⁶⁷ Directive 2009/147/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 30 November 2009 on the conservation of wild birds (OJ L 20, 26.1.2010, p. 7).

⁶⁸ Council Directive 92/43/EEC of 21 May 1992 on the conservation of natural habitats and of wild fauna and flora (OJ L 206, 22.7.1992, p. 7).

Or. en

Amendment 509 **Frédérique Ries**

Proposal for a regulation **Recital 25**

Text proposed by the Commission

(25) Use of plant protection products may have particularly negative impacts in certain areas that are frequently used by the general public or by vulnerable groups, communities in which people live and work and ecologically sensitive areas, such as Natura 2000 sites protected in accordance with Directive 2009/147/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council⁶⁷ and Council Directive 92/43/EEC⁶⁸. If plant protection products are used in areas used by the general public, the possibility of exposure of humans to such plant protection products is high. In order to protect human health and the environment, the use of plant protection products in sensitive areas and within **3** metres of such areas, should therefore be prohibited. Derogations from the prohibition should only be allowed under certain conditions and on a *case-by-case* basis.

Amendment

(25) Use of plant protection products may have particularly negative impacts in certain areas that are frequently used by the general public or by vulnerable groups, communities in which people live and work and ecologically sensitive areas, such as Natura 2000 sites protected in accordance with Directive 2009/147/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council⁶⁷ and Council Directive 92/43/EEC⁶⁸. If plant protection products are used in areas used by the general public, the possibility of exposure of humans to such plant protection products is high. In order to protect human health and the environment, ***especially those of vulnerable groups***, the use of plant protection products in sensitive areas and within **10** metres of such areas, should therefore be prohibited ***for all sensitive areas, and for sensitive areas frequented by vulnerable groups within 100 metres of such an area***. Derogations from the prohibition should only be allowed under

certain ***strictly limited*** conditions and on a ***case by-case*** basis.

⁶⁷ Directive 2009/147/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 30 November 2009 on the conservation of wild birds (OJ L 20, 26.1.2010, p. 7).

⁶⁸ Council Directive 92/43/EEC of 21 May 1992 on the conservation of natural habitats and of wild fauna and flora (OJ L 206, 22.7.1992, p. 7).

⁶⁷ Directive 2009/147/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 30 November 2009 on the conservation of wild birds (OJ L 20, 26.1.2010, p. 7).

⁶⁸ Council Directive 92/43/EEC of 21 May 1992 on the conservation of natural habitats and of wild fauna and flora (OJ L 206, 22.7.1992, p. 7).

Or. en

Justification

Studies show that pesticides can drift far from the location where they were applied, up to several hundred meters. In order to protect children and those most at risk, it is necessary to increase the health protective buffer zone. Derogations to this approach should be strictly limited.

Amendment 510

Jan Huitema, Emma Wiesner, Billy Kelleher, Asger Christensen

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 25

Text proposed by the Commission

(25) Use of plant protection products may have particularly negative impacts in certain areas that are frequently used by the general public or by vulnerable groups, communities in which people live and work and ecologically sensitive areas, such as Natura 2000 sites protected in accordance with Directive 2009/147/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council⁶⁷ and Council Directive 92/43/EEC⁶⁸. If plant protection products are used in areas used by the general public, the possibility of exposure of humans to such plant protection products is high. In order to protect human health and the environment, the use of plant protection products in sensitive areas and within 3

Amendment

(25) Use of plant protection products may have particularly negative impacts in certain areas that are frequently used by the general public or by vulnerable groups, communities in which people live and work and ecologically sensitive areas, such as Natura 2000 sites protected in accordance with Directive 2009/147/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council⁶⁷ and Council Directive 92/43/EEC⁶⁸. If plant protection products are used in areas used by the general public, the possibility of exposure of humans to such plant protection products is high. In order to protect human health and the environment, the use of plant protection products in sensitive areas, ***to be defined***

metres of such areas, should therefore be prohibited. ***Derogations*** from the ***prohibition*** should ***only be allowed under certain conditions and on a case-by-case basis.***

⁶⁷ Directive 2009/147/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 30 November 2009 on the conservation of wild birds (OJ L 20, 26.1.2010, p. 7).

⁶⁸ Council Directive 92/43/EEC of 21 May 1992 on the conservation of natural habitats and of wild fauna and flora (OJ L 206, 22.7.1992, p. 7).

by Member States as part of their national action plan, and within 3 metres of such areas, should therefore be prohibited. ***The application of low-risk and biological control products should remain possible. Deviations from the 3 metre buffer zone should be justified by Member States as part of their national action plan.***

⁶⁷ Directive 2009/147/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 30 November 2009 on the conservation of wild birds (OJ L 20, 26.1.2010, p. 7).

⁶⁸ Council Directive 92/43/EEC of 21 May 1992 on the conservation of natural habitats and of wild fauna and flora (OJ L 206, 22.7.1992, p. 7).

Or. en

Amendment 511

Ondřej Knotek, Martin Hlaváček

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 25

Text proposed by the Commission

(25) Use of plant protection products may have particularly negative impacts in certain areas that are frequently used by the general public or by vulnerable groups, communities in which people live and work ***and ecologically sensitive areas, such as Natura 2000 sites protected in accordance with Directive 2009/147/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council⁶⁷ and Council Directive 92/43/EEC⁶⁸***. If plant protection products are used in areas used by the general public, the possibility of exposure of humans to such plant protection products is high. In order to protect human health and the environment, the use of plant protection products in sensitive areas and within 3

Amendment

(25) Use of plant protection products may have particularly negative impacts in certain areas that are frequently used by the general public or by vulnerable groups, communities in which people live and work such as ***parks, urban areas and urban areas covered by watercourse or water feature***. If plant protection products are used in areas used by the general public, the possibility of exposure of humans to such plant protection products is high. In order to protect human health and the environment, the use of plant protection products in sensitive areas and within 3 metres of such areas, should therefore be prohibited. Derogations from the prohibition should only be allowed under

metres of such areas, should therefore be prohibited. Derogations from the prohibition should only be allowed under certain conditions and on a case-by-case basis.

⁶⁷ Directive 2009/147/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 30 November 2009 on the conservation of wild birds (OJ L 20, 26.1.2010, p. 7).

⁶⁸ Council Directive 92/43/EEC of 21 May 1992 on the conservation of natural habitats and of wild fauna and flora (OJ L 206, 22.7.1992, p. 7).

certain conditions and on a case-by-case basis.

⁶⁷ Directive 2009/147/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 30 November 2009 on the conservation of wild birds (OJ L 20, 26.1.2010, p. 7).

⁶⁸ Council Directive 92/43/EEC of 21 May 1992 on the conservation of natural habitats and of wild fauna and flora (OJ L 206, 22.7.1992, p. 7).

Or. en

Amendment 512

Martin Hojsik, Michal Wiezik, María Soraya Rodríguez Ramos, Róża Thun und Hohenstein, Frédérique Ries

Proposal for a regulation Recital 25

Text proposed by the Commission

(25) Use of plant protection products may have particularly negative impacts in certain areas that are frequently used by the general public or by vulnerable groups, communities in which people live and work and ecologically sensitive areas, such as Natura 2000 sites protected in accordance with Directive 2009/147/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council⁶⁷ and Council Directive 92/43/EEC⁶⁸. If plant protection products are used in areas used by the general public, the possibility of exposure of humans to such plant protection products is high. In order to protect human health and the environment, the use of plant protection products in sensitive **areas and within 3 metres of such** areas, should therefore be prohibited. Derogations from the

Amendment

(25) Use of plant protection products may have particularly negative impacts in certain areas that are frequently used by the general public or by vulnerable groups, communities in which people live and work and ecologically sensitive areas, such as Natura 2000 sites protected in accordance with Directive 2009/147/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council⁶⁷ and Council Directive 92/43/EEC⁶⁸. If plant protection products are used in areas used by the general public, the possibility of exposure of humans to such plant protection products is high. In order to protect human health and the environment, the use of plant protection products in sensitive **and surrounding** areas, should therefore be prohibited. Derogations from the prohibition should

prohibition should only be allowed under certain conditions and on a case-by-case basis.

only be allowed ***for substances authorised in accordance with Regulation 2018/848 and biological control as defined in article 3(23)*** under certain conditions and on a case-by-case basis.

⁶⁷ Directive 2009/147/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 30 November 2009 on the conservation of wild birds (OJ L 20, 26.1.2010, p. 7).

⁶⁸ Council Directive 92/43/EEC of 21 May 1992 on the conservation of natural habitats and of wild fauna and flora (OJ L 206, 22.7.1992, p. 7).

⁶⁷ Directive 2009/147/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 30 November 2009 on the conservation of wild birds (OJ L 20, 26.1.2010, p. 7).

⁶⁸ Council Directive 92/43/EEC of 21 May 1992 on the conservation of natural habitats and of wild fauna and flora (OJ L 206, 22.7.1992, p. 7).

Or. en

Amendment 513 **Tudor Ciuhodaru**

Proposal for a regulation **Recital 25**

Text proposed by the Commission

(25) Utilizarea produselor de protecție a plantelor poate avea efecte deosebit de negative în anumite zone care sunt des frecventate de publicul larg sau de grupurile vulnerabile, în comunitățile în care oamenii trăiesc și muncesc și în zonele sensibile din punct de vedere ecologic, cum ar fi siturile Natura 2000 protejate în conformitate cu Directiva 2009/147/CE a Parlamentului European și a Consiliului⁶⁷ și cu Directiva 92/43/CEE a Consiliului⁶⁸. În cazul în care produsele de protecție a plantelor sunt utilizate în zone utilizate de publicul larg, posibilitatea expunerii oamenilor la astfel de produse de protecție a plantelor este ridicată. Prin urmare, pentru a proteja sănătatea umană și mediul, ar trebui interzisă utilizarea produselor de protecție a plantelor în zone sensibile și în limita a 3 metri de astfel de zone.

Amendment

(25) Utilizarea produselor de protecție a plantelor poate avea efecte deosebit de negative în anumite zone care sunt des frecventate de publicul larg sau de grupurile vulnerabile, în comunitățile în care oamenii trăiesc și muncesc și în zonele sensibile din punct de vedere ecologic, cum ar fi siturile Natura 2000 protejate în conformitate cu Directiva 2009/147/CE a Parlamentului European și a Consiliului⁶⁷ și cu Directiva 92/43/CEE a Consiliului⁶⁸. În cazul în care produsele de protecție a plantelor sunt utilizate în zone utilizate de publicul larg, ***este nevoie de informarea cetățenilor referitor la*** posibilitatea expunerii oamenilor la astfel de produse de protecție a plantelor este ridicată. Prin urmare, pentru a proteja sănătatea umană și mediul, ar trebui interzisă utilizarea produselor de protecție a plantelor în zone

Derogările de la această interdicție ar trebui permise numai în anumite condiții și de la caz la caz.

⁶⁷ Directiva 2009/147/CE a Parlamentului European și a Consiliului din 30 noiembrie 2009 privind conservarea păsărilor sălbatice (JO L 20, 26.1.2010, p. 7).

⁶⁸ Directiva 92/43/CEE a Consiliului din 21 mai 1992 privind conservarea habitatelor naturale și a speciilor de faună și floră sălbatică (JO L 206, 22.7.1992, p. 7).

sensibile și în limita a 3 metri de astfel de zone. Derogările de la această interdicție ar trebui permise numai în anumite condiții și de la caz la caz.

⁶⁷ Directiva 2009/147/CE a Parlamentului European și a Consiliului din 30 noiembrie 2009 privind conservarea păsărilor sălbatice (JO L 20, 26.1.2010, p. 7).

⁶⁸ Directiva 92/43/CEE a Consiliului din 21 mai 1992 privind conservarea habitatelor naturale și a speciilor de faună și floră sălbatică (JO L 206, 22.7.1992, p. 7).

Or. ro

Amendment 514 **Mathilde Androuët**

Proposal for a regulation **Recital 25**

Text proposed by the Commission

(25) L'utilisation des produits phytopharmaceutiques peut avoir des effets particulièrement négatifs dans certaines zones fréquemment utilisées par le grand public ou par des groupes vulnérables, les communautés dans lesquelles les citoyens vivent et travaillent et les zones écologiquement sensibles, telles que les sites Natura 2000 protégés conformément à la directive 2009/147/CE du Parlement européen et du Conseil⁶⁷ et à la directive 92/43/CEE du Conseil⁶⁸. Si les produits phytopharmaceutiques sont utilisés dans des zones fréquentées par le grand public, la possibilité d'une exposition humaine à ces produits phytopharmaceutiques est élevée. Par conséquent, afin de protéger la santé humaine et l'environnement, il convient d'interdire l'utilisation des produits phytopharmaceutiques dans les

Amendment

(25) L'utilisation des produits phytopharmaceutiques peut avoir des effets particulièrement négatifs dans certaines zones fréquemment utilisées par le grand public ou par des groupes vulnérables, les communautés dans lesquelles les citoyens vivent et travaillent et les zones écologiquement sensibles, telles que les sites Natura 2000 protégés conformément à la directive 2009/147/CE du Parlement européen et du Conseil⁶⁷ et à la directive 92/43/CEE du Conseil⁶⁸. Si les produits phytopharmaceutiques sont utilisés dans des zones fréquentées par le grand public, la possibilité d'une exposition humaine à ces produits phytopharmaceutiques est élevée. Par conséquent, afin de protéger la santé humaine et l'environnement, il convient d'interdire l'utilisation des produits phytopharmaceutiques dans les

zones sensibles et à moins de trois mètres de ces zones. Les dérogations à l'interdiction ne devraient être accordées que dans certaines conditions et au cas par cas.

⁶⁷ Directive 2009/147/CE du Parlement européen et du Conseil du 30 novembre 2009 concernant la conservation des oiseaux sauvages (JO L 20 du 26.1.2010, p. 7).

⁶⁸ Directive 92/43/CEE du Conseil du 21 mai 1992 concernant la conservation des habitats naturels ainsi que de la faune et de la flore sauvages (JO L 206 du 22.7.1992, p. 7).

zones sensibles et à moins de trois mètres de ces zones. Les dérogations à l'interdiction ne devraient être accordées que dans certaines conditions et au cas par cas ***par chaque Etat membre.***

⁶⁷ Directive 2009/147/CE du Parlement européen et du Conseil du 30 novembre 2009 concernant la conservation des oiseaux sauvages (JO L 20 du 26.1.2010, p. 7).

⁶⁸ Directive 92/43/CEE du Conseil du 21 mai 1992 concernant la conservation des habitats naturels ainsi que de la faune et de la flore sauvages (JO L 206 du 22.7.1992, p. 7).

Or. fr

Amendment 515

Franco Bogovič, Marlene Mortler, Ljudmila Novak, Alexander Bernhuber

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 25

Text proposed by the Commission

(25) Use of plant protection products may have particularly negative impacts in certain areas that are frequently used by the general public or by vulnerable groups, communities in which people live and work and ecologically sensitive areas, such as Natura 2000 sites protected in accordance with Directive 2009/147/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council⁶⁷ and Council Directive 92/43/EEC⁶⁸. If plant protection products are used in areas used by the general public, the possibility of exposure of humans to such plant protection products is high. In order to protect human health and the environment, the use of plant protection products in sensitive areas and within 3 metres of such areas, should ***therefore be***

Amendment

(25) Use of plant protection products may have particularly negative impacts in certain areas that are frequently used by the general public or by vulnerable groups, communities in which people live and work and ecologically sensitive areas, such as Natura 2000 sites protected in accordance with Directive 2009/147/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council⁶⁷ and Council Directive 92/43/EEC⁶⁸. If plant protection products are used in areas used by the general public, the possibility of exposure of humans to such plant protection products is high. In order to protect human health and the environment, the use of plant protection products in sensitive areas and within 3 metres of such areas, should be allowed

prohibited. Derogations from the prohibition should only be allowed under certain conditions ***and on a case-by-case basis.***

⁶⁷ Directive 2009/147/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 30 November 2009 on the conservation of wild birds (OJ L 20, 26.1.2010, p. 7).

⁶⁸ Council Directive 92/43/EEC of 21 May 1992 on the conservation of natural habitats and of wild fauna and flora (OJ L 206, 22.7.1992, p. 7).

under certain conditions, ***defined by this Regulation and the Member States.***

⁶⁷ Directive 2009/147/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 30 November 2009 on the conservation of wild birds (OJ L 20, 26.1.2010, p. 7).

⁶⁸ Council Directive 92/43/EEC of 21 May 1992 on the conservation of natural habitats and of wild fauna and flora (OJ L 206, 22.7.1992, p. 7).

Or. en

Amendment 516

Robert Roos, Rob Rooker

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 25

Text proposed by the Commission

(25) Het gebruik van gewasbeschermingsmiddelen kan bijzonder nadelige gevolgen hebben in bepaalde gebieden die vaak door het grote publiek of door kwetsbare groepen worden gebruikt, in gemeenschappen waarin mensen wonen en werken en in ecologisch gevoelige gebieden, waaronder Natura 2000-gebieden die zijn beschermd overeenkomstig Richtlijn 2009/147/EG van het Europees Parlement en de Raad⁶⁷ en Richtlijn 92/43/EEG van de Raad⁶⁸. Indien gewasbeschermingsmiddelen in voor het publiek toegankelijke gebieden worden gebruikt, is de kans op blootstelling van mensen aan die gewasbeschermingsmiddelen groot. Om de menselijke gezondheid en het milieu te beschermen, moet daarom het gebruik van gewasbeschermingsmiddelen in gevoelige gebieden en binnen drie meter afstand van

Amendment

(25) Het gebruik van gewasbeschermingsmiddelen kan bijzonder nadelige gevolgen hebben in bepaalde gebieden die vaak door het grote publiek of door kwetsbare groepen worden gebruikt, in gemeenschappen waarin mensen wonen en werken en in ecologisch gevoelige gebieden, waaronder Natura 2000-gebieden die zijn beschermd overeenkomstig Richtlijn 2009/147/EG van het Europees Parlement en de Raad⁶⁷ en Richtlijn 92/43/EEG van de Raad⁶⁸. Indien gewasbeschermingsmiddelen in voor het publiek toegankelijke gebieden worden gebruikt, is de kans op blootstelling van mensen aan die gewasbeschermingsmiddelen groot. Om de menselijke gezondheid en het milieu te beschermen, moet daarom het gebruik van gewasbeschermingsmiddelen in gevoelige gebieden en binnen drie meter afstand van

deze gebieden worden verboden.
Afwijkingen van het verbod **mogen alleen in individuele gevallen en** onder bepaalde voorwaarden worden toegestaan.

⁶⁷ Richtlijn 2009/147/EG van het Europees Parlement en de Raad van 30 november 2009 inzake het behoud van de vogelstand (PB L 20 van 26.1.2010, blz. 7).

⁶⁸ Richtlijn 92/43/EEG van de Raad van 21 mei 1992 inzake de instandhouding van de natuurlijke habitats en de wilde flora en fauna (PB L 206 van 22.7.1992, blz. 7).

deze gebieden worden verboden.
Afwijkingen van het verbod onder bepaalde voorwaarden worden toegestaan.

⁶⁷ Richtlijn 2009/147/EG van het Europees Parlement en de Raad van 30 november 2009 inzake het behoud van de vogelstand (PB L 20 van 26.1.2010, blz. 7).

⁶⁸ Richtlijn 92/43/EEG van de Raad van 21 mei 1992 inzake de instandhouding van de natuurlijke habitats en de wilde flora en fauna (PB L 206 van 22.7.1992, blz. 7).

Or. nl

Amendment 517

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 25

Text proposed by the Commission

(25) Use of **plant protection products** may have particularly negative impacts in certain areas that are frequently used by the general public or by vulnerable groups, communities in which people live and work and ecologically sensitive areas, such as Natura 2000 sites protected in accordance with Directive 2009/147/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council⁶⁷ and Council Directive 92/43/EEC⁶⁸. If **plant protection products** are used in areas used by the general public, the possibility of exposure of humans to such **plant protection products** is high. In order to protect human health and the environment, the use of **plant protection products** in sensitive areas and within 3 metres of such areas, should therefore be prohibited. Derogations from the prohibition should only be allowed under certain conditions and on a case-by-

Amendment

(25) Use of **pesticides** may have particularly negative impacts in certain areas that are frequently used by the general public or by vulnerable groups, communities in which people live and work and ecologically sensitive areas, such as Natura 2000 sites protected in accordance with Directive 2009/147/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council⁶⁷ and Council Directive 92/43/EEC⁶⁸. If **pesticides** are used in areas used by the general public, the possibility of exposure of humans **and animals** to such **pesticides** is high. In order to protect human **and animal** health and the environment, the use of **pesticides** in sensitive areas and within 100 metres of such areas, should therefore be prohibited. Derogations from the prohibition should only be allowed under certain conditions and on a case-by-case basis.

case basis.

⁶⁷ Directive 2009/147/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 30 November 2009 on the conservation of wild birds (OJ L 20, 26.1.2010, p. 7).

⁶⁸ Council Directive 92/43/EEC of 21 May 1992 on the conservation of natural habitats and of wild fauna and flora (OJ L 206, 22.7.1992, p. 7).

⁶⁷ Directive 2009/147/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 30 November 2009 on the conservation of wild birds (OJ L 20, 26.1.2010, p. 7).

⁶⁸ Council Directive 92/43/EEC of 21 May 1992 on the conservation of natural habitats and of wild fauna and flora (OJ L 206, 22.7.1992, p. 7).

Or. en

Amendment 518
Pietro Fiocchi

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 25

Text proposed by the Commission

(25) Use of plant protection products may have particularly negative impacts in certain areas that are frequently used by the general public or by vulnerable groups, communities in which people live and work and ecologically sensitive areas, such as Natura 2000 sites protected in accordance with Directive 2009/147/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council⁶⁷ and Council Directive 92/43/EEC⁶⁸. If plant protection products are used in areas used by the general public, the possibility of exposure of humans to such plant protection products is high. In order to protect human health and the environment, the use of plant protection products in sensitive areas and within **3** metres of such areas, should therefore be prohibited. Derogations from the prohibition should only be allowed under certain conditions and on a case-by-case basis.

⁶⁷ Directive 2009/147/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 30

Amendment

(25) Use of plant protection products may have particularly negative impacts in certain areas that are frequently used by the general public or by vulnerable groups, communities in which people live and work and ecologically sensitive areas, such as Natura 2000 sites protected in accordance with Directive 2009/147/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council⁶⁷ and Council Directive 92/43/EEC⁶⁸. If plant protection products are used in areas used by the general public, the possibility of exposure of humans to such plant protection products is high. In order to protect human health and the environment, the use of plant protection products in sensitive areas and within **2** metres of such areas, should therefore be prohibited. Derogations from the prohibition should only be allowed under certain conditions and on a case-by-case basis.

⁶⁷ Directive 2009/147/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 30

November 2009 on the conservation of wild birds (OJ L 20, 26.1.2010, p. 7).

⁶⁸ Council Directive 92/43/EEC of 21 May 1992 on the conservation of natural habitats and of wild fauna and flora (OJ L 206, 22.7.1992, p. 7).

November 2009 on the conservation of wild birds (OJ L 20, 26.1.2010, p. 7).

⁶⁸ Council Directive 92/43/EEC of 21 May 1992 on the conservation of natural habitats and of wild fauna and flora (OJ L 206, 22.7.1992, p. 7).

Or. en

Amendment 519

Robert Roos, Rob Rooker

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 25 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(25 bis) Aangezien de lidstaten onderling zeer verschillend zijn, bijvoorbeeld als het gaat om oppervlakte of aandeel van de agrarische sector, moeten de lidstaten voldoende ruimte gelaten worden om te beoordelen onder welke voorwaarden zij het gebruik van gewasbeschermingsmiddelen in voor het publiek toegankelijke gebieden toestaan. Wel is het van belang dat de lidstaten vooraf duidelijke voorwaarden opstellen op basis waarvan zij het gebruik van gewasbeschermingsmiddelen in voor het publiek toegankelijke gebieden toestaan.

Or. nl

Amendment 520

Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan, Sarah Wiener

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 26

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(26) The aquatic environment and drinking water supplies are especially

(26) The aquatic environment and drinking water supplies are especially

sensitive to plant protection products. In order to protect the aquatic environment, the use of plant protection products in and around surface waters areas should therefore be prohibited. Member States should have in place appropriate measures to avoid deterioration of surface and groundwater as well as coastal and marine waters and allow achievement of good surface and groundwater status, to protect the aquatic environment and drinking water supplies from the impact of plant protection products. In addition, it is important that professional users are trained in how to minimise or eliminate applications of certain plant protection products classified as “harmful to aquatic life with long lasting effects”, “very toxic to aquatic life with long lasting effects” or “toxic to aquatic life with long lasting effects”. It is also important that professional users are trained on the importance of giving preference to low risk plant protection products **or non-chemical alternatives**, use of drift reducing technology and risk mitigation measures.

sensitive to plant protection products. In order to protect the aquatic environment, the use of plant protection products in and around surface waters areas should therefore be prohibited. Member States should have in place appropriate measures to avoid deterioration of surface and groundwater as well as coastal and marine waters and allow achievement of good surface and groundwater status, to protect the aquatic environment and drinking water supplies from the impact of plant protection products **and all their metabolites. Vegetated buffer strips are an effective measure to retain plant protection product inputs during rain events and consequently to avoid ecological impacts on aquatic life, therefore the establishment of vegetated buffer strips along water courses should be encouraged.** In addition, it is important that professional users are trained in how to minimise or eliminate applications of certain plant protection products classified as “harmful to aquatic life with long lasting effects”, “very toxic to aquatic life with long lasting effects” or “toxic to aquatic life with long lasting effects”. It is also important that professional users are trained on the importance of giving preference to **non-chemical alternatives or to** low risk plant protection products, use of drift reducing technology and risk mitigation measures.

Or. en

Amendment 521

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjärd

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 26

Text proposed by the Commission

(26) The aquatic environment and

Amendment

(26) The aquatic environment and

drinking water supplies are especially sensitive to plant protection products. In order to protect the aquatic environment, the use of plant protection products in and around surface waters areas should **therefore be prohibited. Member States should have in place appropriate measures to avoid deterioration of surface and groundwater as well as coastal and marine waters and allow achievement of good surface and groundwater status, to protect the aquatic environment and drinking water supplies from the impact of plant protection products. In addition,** it is important that professional users are trained in how to minimise or eliminate applications of certain plant protection products classified as “harmful to aquatic life with long lasting effects”, “very toxic to aquatic life with long lasting effects” or “toxic to aquatic life with long lasting effects”. It is also important that professional users **are** trained on the importance of giving preference to low risk plant protection products or non-chemical alternatives, use of drift reducing technology and risk mitigation measures.

drinking water supplies are especially sensitive to plant protection products. In order to protect the aquatic environment, the use of plant protection products in and around surface waters areas should **be restricted as far as possible and only permitted under clearly defined exceptions.** It is important that professional users, **during compulsory training,** are trained in how to minimise or eliminate applications of certain plant protection products classified as “harmful to aquatic life with long lasting effects”, “very toxic to aquatic life with long lasting effects” or “toxic to aquatic life with long lasting effects”. It is also important that professional users **shall be trained during compulsory training** on the importance of giving preference to low risk plant protection products or non-chemical alternatives, use of drift reducing technology and risk mitigation measures.

Or. en

Amendment 522 Sylvia Limmer

Proposal for a regulation Recital 26

Text proposed by the Commission

(26) Die aquatische Umwelt und die Trinkwasserversorgung sind besonders empfindlich für Pflanzenschutzmittel. **Daher sollte** die Verwendung von Pflanzenschutzmitteln in und um Oberflächengewässer verboten werden. Die Mitgliedstaaten sollten geeignete Maßnahmen treffen, um eine Verschlechterung von

Amendment

(26) Die aquatische Umwelt und die Trinkwasserversorgung sind besonders empfindlich für Pflanzenschutzmittel. **Es liegt auf der Hand, dass** die Verwendung von Pflanzenschutzmitteln in und um Oberflächengewässer **nicht vollständig** verboten werden **kann, doch werden die Mitgliedstaaten aufgefordert, in Wasseraufbereitungsanlagen der**

Oberflächengewässern und Grundwasser sowie von Küsten- und Meeresgewässern zu verhindern und einen guten Zustand von Oberflächengewässern und Grundwasser zu erreichen und die aquatische Umwelt und die Trinkwasserversorgung vor Auswirkungen durch Pflanzenschutzmittel zu schützen. Außerdem ist es wichtig, berufliche Verwender in der Minimierung bzw. im Verzicht auf die Anwendung von Pflanzenschutzmitteln zu schulen, die als „schädlich für Wasserorganismen mit langfristiger Wirkung“, „sehr giftig für Wasserorganismen mit langfristiger Wirkung“ oder „giftig für Wasserorganismen mit langfristiger Wirkung“ eingestuft sind. Ferner müssen berufliche Verwender zur Bedeutung einer **bevorzugten** Verwendung von Pflanzenschutzmitteln mit geringem Risiko oder **nichtchemischen** Alternativen sowie dem Einsatz abdriftmindernder Technologien und Minderungsmaßnahmen geschult werden.

neuesten Generation zu investieren, die Mikroverunreinigungen filtern. Die Mitgliedstaaten sollten geeignete Maßnahmen treffen, um eine Verschlechterung von Oberflächengewässern und Grundwasser sowie von Küsten- und Meeresgewässern zu verhindern und einen guten Zustand von Oberflächengewässern und Grundwasser zu erreichen und die aquatische Umwelt und die Trinkwasserversorgung vor Auswirkungen durch Pflanzenschutzmittel zu schützen. Außerdem ist es wichtig, berufliche Verwender in der Minimierung bzw. im Verzicht auf die Anwendung von Pflanzenschutzmitteln zu schulen, die als „schädlich für Wasserorganismen mit langfristiger Wirkung“, „sehr giftig für Wasserorganismen mit langfristiger Wirkung“ oder „giftig für Wasserorganismen mit langfristiger Wirkung“ eingestuft sind. Ferner müssen berufliche Verwender zur Bedeutung einer Verwendung von Pflanzenschutzmitteln mit geringem Risiko oder Alternativen sowie dem Einsatz abdriftmindernder Technologien und Minderungsmaßnahmen geschult werden.

Or. de

Amendment 523
Tudor Ciuhodaru

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 26

Text proposed by the Commission

(26) Mediul acvatic și aprovizionarea cu apă potabilă sunt deosebit de sensibile la produsele de protecție a plantelor. Pentru a proteja mediul acvatic, ar trebui așadar interzisă utilizarea produselor de protecție a plantelor în zonele cu ape de suprafață și în jurul acestora. Statele membre ar trebui să instituie măsuri adecvate pentru a evita

Amendment

(26) Mediul acvatic și aprovizionarea cu apă potabilă sunt deosebit de sensibile la produsele de protecție a plantelor, **ale căror efecte nocive sunt pe termen lung** . Pentru a proteja mediul acvatic, ar trebui așadar interzisă utilizarea produselor de protecție a plantelor în zonele cu ape de suprafață și în jurul acestora. Statele

deteriorarea apelor de suprafață și subterane, precum și a apelor costiere și marine și pentru a permite obținerea unei stări bune a apelor de suprafață și a apelor subterane, pentru a proteja mediul acvatic și rezervele de apă potabilă de impactul produselor de protecție a plantelor. În plus, este important ca utilizatorii profesioniști să fie instruiți cu privire la modul de reducere la minimum sau de eliminare a aplicațiilor anumitor produse de protecție a plantelor clasificate drept „nocive pentru mediul acvatic cu efecte de lungă durată”, „foarte toxice pentru mediul acvatic cu efecte de lungă durată” sau „toxice pentru mediul acvatic cu efecte de lungă durată”. De asemenea, este important ca utilizatorii profesioniști să fie instruiți cu privire la importanța de a acorda prioritate produselor de protecție a plantelor cu risc redus sau alternativelor nechimice, utilizării tehnologiei de reducere a derivei și măsurilor de diminuare a riscurilor.

membre ar trebui să instituie măsuri adecvate pentru a evita deteriorarea apelor de suprafață și subterane, precum și a apelor costiere și marine și pentru a permite obținerea unei stări bune a apelor de suprafață și a apelor subterane, pentru a proteja mediul acvatic și rezervele de apă potabilă de impactul produselor de protecție a plantelor. În plus, este important ca utilizatorii profesioniști să fie instruiți cu privire la modul de reducere la minimum sau de eliminare a aplicațiilor anumitor produse de protecție a plantelor clasificate drept „nocive pentru mediul acvatic cu efecte de lungă durată”, „foarte toxice pentru mediul acvatic cu efecte de lungă durată” sau „toxice pentru mediul acvatic cu efecte de lungă durată”. De asemenea, este important ca utilizatorii profesioniști să fie instruiți cu privire la importanța de a acorda prioritate produselor de protecție a plantelor cu risc redus sau alternativelor nechimice, utilizării tehnologiei de reducere a derivei și măsurilor de diminuare a riscurilor.

Or. ro

Amendment 524

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 26 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(26 a) Scientific studies^{1a} underline the adverse impacts of pesticide exposure on human health. Rural residents, farmers, workers, operators, and passers-by are particularly exposed to pesticides. Pesticides have been shown to drift, leading to contamination of private plots of land neighbouring fields and orchards, exposing the people, in particular children, who live and play there. Exposure to pesticides is linked to

elevated rates of chronic diseases as well as different forms of cancers, neurodegenerative disorders (Parkinson's disease, Alzheimer's disease), diabetes and ALS. Some pesticides have endocrine disrupting characteristics, interfering with natural hormones and even at low doses affecting normal development and function of multiple organs. There is also evidence for the association of exposure to pesticides with respiratory problems (asthma, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease), cardiovascular disease, autoimmune diseases (systemic lupus erythematosus, rheumatoid arthritis), chronic kidney disease, aging and chronic fatigue syndrome. Human exposure happens through the skin (contact), the mouth (ingestion) and the lungs (inhalation). The exposure to certain pesticide groups, for example organophosphate pesticides, is associated with increased risk for cognitive and behavioral deficits and neurodevelopmental disorders in children. Studies have pointed at the associations between the exposure to pesticides and altered menstrual cycles, negative impacts on the reproductive systems and fertility disorders. Links have also been shown between premature or spontaneous abortions, and associations between maternal exposure and neurodevelopmental alterations in newborns. Exposure to pesticides has also been linked to intergenerational impacts, highlighting the need to act to reduce exposure now for the health of future generations. The body of evidence underlines that the full health impact of pesticides may be highly underestimated, which requires a precautionary and preventative approach. Pesticides also have cumulative and synergistic impacts given the effects of long-term exposure, mixtures and co-formulants.

^{1a} See for instance: Martin-Reina et al., 2021. *Adverse Health Effects in Women Farmers Indirectly Exposed to Pesticides*. Linhart et al., 2021. *Year-round pesticide contamination of public sites near intensively managed agricultural areas in South Tyrol* Mostafalou and Abdollahi, 2013. *Pesticides and human chronic diseases: evidences, mechanisms, and perspectives* Doğanlar et al., 2018. *Nonoccupational Exposure of Agricultural Area Residents to Pesticides: Pesticide Accumulation and Evaluation of Genotoxicity*

Or. en

Amendment 525
Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 26 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(26 a) Soil is an essential, multifunctional and living ecosystem of crucial environmental and socioeconomic importance which performs many key functions and delivers services vital to human existence and ecosystem survival so that current and future generations can meet their own needs. The European Environment Agency states that there is an increasing concern about the residence and accumulation of pesticide residues and their metabolites in soils, with studies founding widespread contamination of soils. A particular attention should therefore be given to soil protection when implementing the provisions of this Regulation.

Or. en

Amendment 526

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 26 b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(26 b) Rural residents are a very vulnerable group of people who have until now not been taken into account in pesticide legislation. This has led to a worryingly high level of pesticide-related diseases such as cancer, Parkinson's and Alzheimer's in rural areas. The Dutch Pesticides and Residents Survey found pesticide residues in the outdoor air around homes, in dust on doormats and in house dust. Residues were also found in the urine of residents, in adults and in children. In order to protect human and animal health, buffer zones should be foreseen between public roads and between privately owned plots of land. Additionally, the authorisation procedure of pesticides should be improved with regards to the possible effects on rural residents.

Or. en

Amendment 527

Dan-Ştefan Motreanu, Carmen Avram, Marian-Jean Marinescu, Daniel Buda

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 27

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(27) Precision farming refers to agricultural management systems carefully tailoring crop management to fit localised conditions such as those found within land parcels. The application of existing technology, including the use of Union space data and services (Galileo and Copernicus), has the potential to

(27) Precision farming refers to agricultural management systems carefully tailoring crop management to fit localised conditions such as those found within land parcels. The application of existing technology, including the use of Union space data and services (Galileo and Copernicus), has the potential to

significantly reduce pesticide usage. It is therefore necessary to provide for a legislative framework that incentivises the development of precision farming.

Application of plant protection products from an aircraft, including application by planes, helicopters and drones, is usually less precise than other means of application and may therefore potentially cause adverse impacts on human health and the environment. Aerial application should therefore be prohibited, with limited derogations on a case-by-case basis where it has a less negative impact on human health and the environment than any alternative application method or there is no viable alternative application method. It is also necessary to record the numbers of aerial applications carried out on the basis of permits granted for aerial application in order to have clear data on how many aerial applications for which permits were granted actually took place.

significantly reduce pesticide usage. It is therefore necessary to provide for a legislative framework that incentivises the development of precision farming.

Or. en

Amendment 528

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 27

Text proposed by the Commission

(27) Precision farming refers to agricultural management systems carefully tailoring crop management to fit localised conditions such as those found within land parcels. The application of existing technology, including the use of Union space data and services (Galileo and Copernicus), has the potential to significantly reduce pesticide usage. ***It is therefore necessary to provide for a legislative framework that incentivises the development of precision farming.***

Amendment

(27) Precision farming refers to agricultural management systems carefully tailoring crop management to fit localised conditions such as those found within land parcels. The application of existing technology, including the use of Union space data and services (Galileo and Copernicus), has the potential to significantly reduce pesticide usage. Application of plant protection products from an aircraft, including application by planes, helicopters and drones, is usually

Application of plant protection products from an aircraft, including application by planes, helicopters and drones, is usually less precise than other means of application and may therefore potentially cause adverse impacts on human health and the environment. Aerial application should therefore be prohibited, ***with limited derogations on a case-by-case basis where it has a less negative impact on human health and the environment than any alternative application method or there is no viable alternative application method. It is also necessary to record the numbers of aerial applications carried out on the basis of permits granted for aerial application in order to have clear data on how many aerial applications for which permits were granted actually took place.***

less precise than other means of application and may therefore potentially cause adverse impacts on human ***and animal*** health and the environment. Aerial application should therefore be prohibited.

Or. en

Amendment 529

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjärd

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 27

Text proposed by the Commission

(27) Precision farming refers to agricultural management systems ***carefully tailoring crop management*** to fit localised conditions such as those found within land parcels. The application of existing technology, including the use of Union space data and services (Galileo and Copernicus), has the potential to significantly reduce pesticide usage. It is therefore necessary to provide for a legislative framework that incentivises the development of precision farming. Application of plant protection products from an aircraft, including application by planes, helicopters ***and drones***, is usually

Amendment

(27) Precision farming refers to agricultural management systems ***such as cultivation, crop protection or fertilization*** to fit localised conditions such as those found within land parcels. The application of existing technology, including the use of Union space data and services (Galileo and Copernicus), has the potential to significantly reduce pesticide usage. It is therefore necessary to provide for a legislative framework that incentivises the development of precision farming. Application of plant protection products from an aircraft, including application by planes ***and*** helicopters, is usually less

less precise than other means of application **and may therefore potentially cause adverse impacts on human health and the environment.** Aerial application should therefore be prohibited, with limited derogations on a case-by-case basis where it has a less negative impact on human health and the environment than any alternative application method or there is no viable alternative application method. **It is also necessary to record the numbers of aerial applications carried out on the basis of permits granted for aerial application in order to have clear data on how many aerial applications for which permits were granted actually took place.**

precise than other means of application. Aerial **manned** application should therefore be prohibited, with limited derogations on a case-by-case basis where it has a less negative impact on human health and the environment than any alternative application method or there is no viable alternative application method.

Or. en

Amendment 530 **Tudor Ciuhodaru**

Proposal for a regulation **Recital 27**

Text proposed by the Commission

(27) Agricultura de precizie se referă la sistemele de gestionare agricolă care adaptează cu atenție gestionarea culturilor la condițiile locale, cum ar fi cele specifice anumitor parcele de teren. Aplicarea tehnologiei existente, inclusiv utilizarea datelor și serviciilor spațiale ale Uniunii (Galileo și Copernicus), are potențialul de a reduce în mod semnificativ utilizarea pesticidelor. Prin urmare, este necesar să se prevadă un cadru legislativ care să stimuleze dezvoltarea agriculturii de precizie. Aplicarea produselor de protecție a plantelor dintr-o aeronavă, inclusiv aplicarea cu ajutorul avioanelor, a elicopterelor și a dronelor, este de obicei mai puțin precisă decât alte mijloace de aplicare și, prin urmare, poate avea efecte adverse asupra sănătății umane și asupra mediului. Prin urmare, tratamentul avio ar

Amendment

(27) Agricultura de precizie se referă la sistemele de gestionare agricolă care adaptează cu atenție gestionarea culturilor la condițiile locale, cum ar fi cele specifice anumitor parcele de teren. Aplicarea tehnologiei existente, inclusiv utilizarea datelor și serviciilor spațiale ale Uniunii (Galileo și Copernicus), are potențialul de a reduce în mod semnificativ utilizarea pesticidelor. Prin urmare, este necesar să se prevadă un cadru legislativ care să stimuleze dezvoltarea agriculturii de precizie **și acordarea de sprijin financiar corespunzător pentru achiziționarea echipamentelor IT de monitorizare necesare.** Aplicarea produselor de protecție a plantelor dintr-o aeronavă, inclusiv aplicarea cu ajutorul avioanelor, a elicopterelor și a dronelor, este de obicei mai puțin precisă decât alte mijloace de

trebui interzis, cu derogări limitate, de la caz la caz, dacă are un impact negativ mai mic asupra sănătății umane și a mediului decât orice metodă alternativă de aplicare sau dacă nu există o metodă de aplicare alternativă viabilă. De asemenea, este necesar să se înregistreze numărul de tratamente avio efectuate pe baza autorizațiilor acordate pentru tratamente avio, pentru a avea date clare cu privire la numărul de tratamente avio pentru care s-au acordat autorizații.

aplicare și, prin urmare, poate avea efecte adverse asupra sănătății umane și asupra mediului. Prin urmare, tratamentul avio ar trebui interzis, cu derogări limitate, de la caz la caz, dacă are un impact negativ mai mic asupra sănătății umane și a mediului decât orice metodă alternativă de aplicare sau dacă nu există o metodă de aplicare alternativă viabilă. De asemenea, este necesar să se înregistreze numărul de tratamente avio efectuate pe baza autorizațiilor acordate pentru tratamente avio, pentru a avea date clare cu privire la numărul de tratamente avio pentru care s-au acordat autorizații.

Or. ro

Amendment 531

Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław Kalinowski, Joanna Kopcińska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 27

Text proposed by the Commission

(27) Precision farming refers to agricultural management systems carefully tailoring crop management to fit localised conditions such as those found within land parcels. The application of existing technology, including the use of Union space data and services (Galileo and Copernicus), has the potential to significantly reduce pesticide usage. It is therefore necessary to provide for a legislative framework that incentivises the development of precision farming. Application of plant protection products from an aircraft, including application by planes, helicopters and drones, is usually less precise than other means of application and may therefore potentially cause adverse impacts on human health and the environment. Aerial application should therefore be prohibited, with limited

Amendment

(27) Precision farming refers to agricultural management systems carefully tailoring crop management to fit localised conditions such as those found within land parcels. The application of existing technology, including the use of Union space data and services (Galileo and Copernicus), has the potential to significantly reduce pesticide usage. It is therefore necessary to provide for a legislative framework that incentivises the development of precision farming. Application of plant protection products from an aircraft, including application by planes, helicopters and drones, is usually less precise than other means of application and may therefore potentially cause adverse impacts on human health and the environment. Aerial application should therefore be prohibited, with limited

derogations on a case-by-case basis where it has a less negative impact on human health and the environment than any alternative application method or there is no viable alternative application method. It is also necessary to record the numbers of aerial applications carried out on the basis of permits granted for aerial application in order to have clear data on how many aerial applications for which permits were granted actually took place.

derogations on a case-by-case basis where it has a less negative impact on human health and the environment than any alternative application method or there is no viable alternative application method. ***However, the possibility of protecting forest stands with the use of aerial treatments should be ensured.*** It is also necessary to record the numbers of aerial applications carried out on the basis of permits granted for aerial application in order to have clear data on how many aerial applications for which permits were granted actually took place.

Or. en

Justification

The only available method of tree stand protection is aerial spraying.

Amendment 532 **Mathilde Androuët**

Proposal for a regulation **Recital 27**

Text proposed by the Commission

(27) L'agriculture de précision désigne les systèmes de gestion agricole qui adaptent soigneusement la gestion des cultures aux conditions locales, telles que celles que l'on trouve dans les parcelles agricoles. L'application des technologies existantes, y compris l'utilisation des données et services spatiaux de l'Union (Galileo et Copernicus), peut permettre de réduire considérablement l'utilisation des pesticides. Par conséquent, il est nécessaire de prévoir un cadre législatif qui incite au développement de l'agriculture de précision. L'application de produits phytopharmaceutiques à partir d'un aéronef, y compris l'application par avion, par hélicoptère et par drone, est généralement moins précise que d'autres

Amendment

(27) L'agriculture de précision désigne les systèmes de gestion agricole qui adaptent soigneusement la gestion des cultures aux conditions locales, telles que celles que l'on trouve dans les parcelles agricoles. L'application des technologies existantes, y compris l'utilisation des données et services spatiaux de l'Union (Galileo et Copernicus), peut permettre de réduire considérablement l'utilisation des pesticides. Par conséquent, il est nécessaire de prévoir un cadre législatif qui incite au développement de l'agriculture de précision. L'application de produits phytopharmaceutiques à partir d'un aéronef, y compris l'application par avion, par hélicoptère et par drone, est généralement moins précise que d'autres

moyens d'application et peut, par conséquent, avoir des effets néfastes sur la santé humaine et l'environnement. Par conséquent, l'application aérienne devrait faire l'objet d'une interdiction assortie de dérogations limitées accordées au cas par cas lorsqu'elle a des incidences négatives moindres sur la santé humaine et l'environnement que toute autre méthode d'application ou lorsqu'il n'existe pas d'autre méthode d'application viable. Il est également nécessaire d'enregistrer le nombre d'applications aériennes effectuées sur la base des autorisations accordées afin de disposer de données claires sur le nombre d'autorisations accordées ayant réellement donné lieu à des applications aériennes.

moyens d'application et peut, par conséquent, avoir des effets néfastes sur la santé humaine et l'environnement. Par conséquent, l'application aérienne devrait faire l'objet d'une interdiction assortie de dérogations limitées accordées au cas par cas **par chaque Etat membre** lorsqu'elle a des incidences négatives moindres sur la santé humaine et l'environnement que toute autre méthode d'application ou lorsqu'il n'existe pas d'autre méthode d'application viable. Il est également nécessaire d'enregistrer le nombre d'applications aériennes effectuées sur la base des autorisations accordées afin de disposer de données claires sur le nombre d'autorisations accordées ayant réellement donné lieu à des applications aériennes.

Or. fr

Amendment 533

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 28

Text proposed by the Commission

(28) It is however likely that certain unmanned aircraft (including drones) will allow for the targeted aerial application of plant protection products. Such unmanned aircraft are likely to help reduce the use of plant protection products due to targeted application and consequently help reduce the risks to human health and the environment compared to use of land-based application equipment. It is therefore appropriate to set criteria in this Regulation for an exemption of certain unmanned aircraft from the prohibition of aerial application. It is also appropriate to defer the application of this exemption for 3 years given the current state of scientific

Amendment

deleted

uncertainty.

Or. en

Amendment 534

Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław Kalinowski, Joanna Kopcińska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 28

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(28) It is however likely that certain ~~deleted~~ unmanned aircraft (including drones) will allow for the targeted aerial application of plant protection products. Such unmanned aircraft are likely to help reduce the use of plant protection products due to targeted application and consequently help reduce the risks to human health and the environment compared to use of land-based application equipment. It is therefore appropriate to set criteria in this Regulation for an exemption of certain unmanned aircraft from the prohibition of aerial application. It is also appropriate to defer the application of this exemption for 3 years given the current state of scientific uncertainty.

Or. en

Justification

This would require additional funding. Member States should be allowed to regulate the technical details, including the nature itself, of aircrafts used for aerial applications.

Amendment 535

Sylvia Limmer

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 28

Text proposed by the Commission

(28) Es ist jedoch wahrscheinlich, dass mit bestimmten unbemannten Luftfahrzeugen (einschließlich Drohnen) eine gezielte Anwendung von Pflanzenschutzmitteln aus der Luft möglich ist. Diese unbemannten Luftfahrzeuge können dazu beitragen, durch eine gezielte Anwendung die Verwendung von Pflanzenschutzmitteln zu verringern und somit die Risiken für die menschliche Gesundheit und die Umwelt im Vergleich zu Anwendungsgeräten vom Boden aus zu senken. Es ist daher zweckmäßig, in der vorliegenden Verordnung Kriterien für die Ausnahme bestimmter unbemannter Luftfahrzeuge vom Verbot der Anwendung mit Luftfahrzeugen festzulegen. Außerdem ist es zweckmäßig, angesichts der aktuellen wissenschaftlichen Unsicherheit die Anwendung dieser Ausnahme für **drei** Jahre aufzuschieben.

Amendment

(28) Es ist jedoch wahrscheinlich, dass mit bestimmten unbemannten Luftfahrzeugen (einschließlich Drohnen) eine gezielte Anwendung von Pflanzenschutzmitteln aus der Luft möglich ist. Diese unbemannten Luftfahrzeuge können dazu beitragen, durch eine gezielte Anwendung die Verwendung von Pflanzenschutzmitteln zu verringern und somit die Risiken für die menschliche Gesundheit und die Umwelt im Vergleich zu Anwendungsgeräten vom Boden aus zu senken, **insbesondere zum Schutz der Kulturen vor problematischen Bioaggressoren unter bestimmten biologischen (geringer Krankheitsdruck), vegetativen (begrenztes Blattvolumen und/oder offenes Wachstum), klimatischen (instabile Böden) und/oder topografischen (sehr steile Hänge) Bedingungen**. Es ist daher zweckmäßig, in der vorliegenden Verordnung Kriterien für die Ausnahme bestimmter unbemannter Luftfahrzeuge vom Verbot der Anwendung mit Luftfahrzeugen festzulegen. Außerdem ist es zweckmäßig, angesichts der aktuellen wissenschaftlichen Unsicherheit die Anwendung dieser Ausnahme für **zehn** Jahre aufzuschieben.

Or. de

Amendment 536

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjärd

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 28

Text proposed by the Commission

(28) It is however likely that certain unmanned aircraft (including drones) will

Amendment

(28) It is however likely that certain unmanned aircraft (including drones) will

allow for the targeted aerial application of plant protection products. Such unmanned aircraft are likely to help reduce the use of plant protection products due to targeted application and consequently help reduce the risks to human health and the environment compared to use of land-based application equipment. It is therefore appropriate to set criteria in this Regulation for an exemption of certain unmanned aircraft from the prohibition of aerial application. ***It is also appropriate to defer the application of this exemption for 3 years given the current state of scientific uncertainty.***

allow for the targeted aerial application of plant protection products. Such unmanned aircraft are likely to help reduce the use of plant protection products due to targeted application and consequently help reduce the risks to human health and the environment compared to use of land-based application equipment. It is therefore appropriate to set criteria in this Regulation for an exemption of certain unmanned aircraft from the prohibition of aerial application.

Or. en

Amendment 537

Martin Hojsík, Michal Wiezik, María Soraya Rodríguez Ramos, Frédérique Ries

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 28

Text proposed by the Commission

(28) It is however likely that certain unmanned aircraft (including drones) will allow for the targeted aerial application ***of plant protection products***. Such unmanned aircraft are likely to help reduce the use of plant protection products due to targeted application and consequently help reduce the risks to human health and the environment compared to use of land-based application equipment. It is therefore appropriate to set criteria in this Regulation for an exemption of certain unmanned aircraft from the prohibition of aerial application. It is also appropriate to defer the application of this exemption for 3 years given the current state of scientific uncertainty.

Amendment

(28) It is however likely that certain unmanned aircraft (including drones) will allow for the targeted aerial application ***for the use of biological control***. Such unmanned aircraft are likely to help reduce the use of plant protection products due to targeted application and consequently help reduce the risks to human health and the environment compared to use of land-based application equipment. It is therefore appropriate to set criteria in this Regulation for an exemption of certain unmanned aircraft from the prohibition of aerial application. It is also appropriate to defer the application of this exemption for 3 years given the current state of scientific uncertainty.

Or. en

Amendment 538
Maria Arena

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 28

Text proposed by the Commission

(28) ***It is however likely that*** certain unmanned aircraft (including drones) ***will allow for the targeted aerial application of plant protection products. Such unmanned aircraft are likely to*** help reduce the use of plant protection products due to targeted application and consequently help reduce the risks to human health and the environment compared to use of land-based application equipment. It is therefore appropriate to ***set criteria in this Regulation for an exemption of*** certain unmanned aircraft from the prohibition of aerial application. ***It is also appropriate to defer the application of this exemption for 3 years given the current state of scientific uncertainty.***

Amendment

(28) ***While application via*** certain unmanned aircraft (including drones) ***may*** help reduce the use of plant protection products due to targeted application and consequently help reduce the risks to human health and the environment compared to use of land-based application equipment, ***these potential benefits cannot be realised without further improving knowledge and data on application of plant protection products with unmanned aircrafts.*** It is therefore appropriate to ***defer any exemption for*** certain unmanned aircraft from the prohibition of aerial application ***until advances in scientific data allows to conclude on these potential benefits.***

Or. en

Amendment 539
Tudor Ciuhodaru

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 29

Text proposed by the Commission

(29) Manipularea produselor de protecție a plantelor, inclusiv depozitarea, diluarea și amestecarea acestor produse, curățarea echipamentului de aplicare după utilizare, recuperarea și eliminarea amestecurilor de rezervoare și eliminarea ambalajelor goale și a resturilor de produse de protecție a plantelor prezintă riscuri deosebite de expunere a oamenilor și a mediului. Prin urmare, este oportun să se

Amendment

(29) Manipularea produselor de protecție a plantelor, inclusiv depozitarea, diluarea și amestecarea acestor produse, curățarea echipamentului de aplicare după utilizare, recuperarea și eliminarea amestecurilor de rezervoare și eliminarea ambalajelor goale și a resturilor de produse de protecție a plantelor prezintă riscuri deosebite de expunere a oamenilor și a mediului. Prin urmare, este oportun să se

prevadă măsuri specifice care să abordeze aceste activități. În măsura în care utilizarea, depozitarea și eliminarea produselor de protecție a plantelor necesită respectarea cerințelor minime de sănătate și securitate la locul de muncă, cerințele respective sunt stabilite, printre altele, în Directiva 89/391/CEE a Consiliului⁶⁹, Directiva 89/656/CEE a Consiliului⁷⁰, Directiva 98/24/CE a Consiliului⁷¹, Directiva 2004/37/CE a Parlamentului European și a Consiliului⁷² și în Directiva 2009/104/CE a Parlamentului European și a Consiliului⁷³.

⁶⁹ Directiva 89/391/CEE a Consiliului din 12 iunie 1989 privind punerea în aplicare de măsuri pentru promovarea îmbunătățirii securității și sănătății lucrătorilor la locul de muncă (JO L 183, 29.6.1989, p. 1).

⁷⁰ Directiva 89/656/CEE a Consiliului din 30 noiembrie 1989 privind cerințele minime de securitate și sănătate pentru utilizarea de către lucrători a echipamentelor individuale de protecție la locul de muncă [a treia directivă specifică în înțelesul articolului 16 alineatul (1) din Directiva 89/391/CEE] (JO L 393, 30.12.1989, p. 18).

⁷¹ Directiva 98/24/CE a Consiliului din 7 aprilie 1998 privind protecția sănătății și securității lucrătorilor împotriva riscurilor legate de prezența agenților chimici la locul de muncă [a paisprezecea directivă specifică în sensul articolului 16 alineatul (1) din Directiva 89/391/CEE] (JO L 131, 5.5.1998, p. 11).

⁷² Directiva 2004/37/CE a Parlamentului European și a Consiliului din 29 aprilie 2004 privind protecția lucrătorilor împotriva riscurilor legate de expunerea la agenți cancerigeni sau mutageni la locul de muncă [a șasea directivă specială în sensul articolului 16 alineatul (1) din Directiva

prevadă măsuri specifice care să abordeze aceste activități, ***mai ales măsuri de colectare, distrugere sau reciclare, acolo unde este posibil, a resturilor de produse și a ambalajelor lor*** În măsura în care utilizarea, depozitarea și eliminarea produselor de protecție a plantelor necesită respectarea cerințelor minime de sănătate și securitate la locul de muncă, cerințele respective sunt stabilite, printre altele, în Directiva 89/391/CEE a Consiliului⁶⁹, Directiva 89/656/CEE a Consiliului⁷⁰, Directiva 98/24/CE a Consiliului⁷¹, Directiva 2004/37/CE a Parlamentului European și a Consiliului⁷² și în Directiva 2009/104/CE a Parlamentului European și a Consiliului⁷³.

⁶⁹ Directiva 89/391/CEE a Consiliului din 12 iunie 1989 privind punerea în aplicare de măsuri pentru promovarea îmbunătățirii securității și sănătății lucrătorilor la locul de muncă (JO L 183, 29.6.1989, p. 1).

⁷⁰ Directiva 89/656/CEE a Consiliului din 30 noiembrie 1989 privind cerințele minime de securitate și sănătate pentru utilizarea de către lucrători a echipamentelor individuale de protecție la locul de muncă [a treia directivă specifică în înțelesul articolului 16 alineatul (1) din Directiva 89/391/CEE] (JO L 393, 30.12.1989, p. 18).

⁷¹ Directiva 98/24/CE a Consiliului din 7 aprilie 1998 privind protecția sănătății și securității lucrătorilor împotriva riscurilor legate de prezența agenților chimici la locul de muncă [a paisprezecea directivă specifică în sensul articolului 16 alineatul (1) din Directiva 89/391/CEE] (JO L 131, 5.5.1998, p. 11).

⁷² Directiva 2004/37/CE a Parlamentului European și a Consiliului din 29 aprilie 2004 privind protecția lucrătorilor împotriva riscurilor legate de expunerea la agenți cancerigeni sau mutageni la locul de muncă [a șasea directivă specială în sensul articolului 16 alineatul (1) din Directiva

89/391/CEE a Consiliului] (JO L 158, 30.4.2004, p. 50).

⁷³ Directiva 2009/104/CE a Parlamentului European și a Consiliului din 16 septembrie 2009 privind cerințele minime de securitate și sănătate pentru folosirea de către lucrători a echipamentului de muncă la locul de muncă [a doua directivă specială în sensul articolului 16 alineatul (1) din Directiva 89/391/CEE] (JO L 260, 3.10.2009, p. 5).

89/391/CEE a Consiliului] (JO L 158, 30.4.2004, p. 50).

⁷³ Directiva 2009/104/CE a Parlamentului European și a Consiliului din 16 septembrie 2009 privind cerințele minime de securitate și sănătate pentru folosirea de către lucrători a echipamentului de muncă la locul de muncă [a doua directivă specială în sensul articolului 16 alineatul (1) din Directiva 89/391/CEE] (JO L 260, 3.10.2009, p. 5).

Or. ro

Amendment 540

Sylvia Limmer

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 29

Text proposed by the Commission

(29) Die Handhabung von Pflanzenschutzmitteln, einschließlich Lagerung, Verdünnen und Mischen solcher Mittel, die Reinigung von Anwendungsgeräten nach der Verwendung, die Rückgewinnung und Entsorgung von Tankmischungen und die Entsorgung leerer Verpackungen und Restmengen von Pflanzenschutzmitteln **stellen** besondere Expositionsrisiken für Menschen und Umwelt **dar**. Es ist daher zweckmäßig, spezielle Maßnahmen für diese Tätigkeiten vorzusehen. Insoweit die Verwendung, Lagerung und Entsorgung von Pflanzenschutzmitteln die Einhaltung von Mindestanforderungen an Gesundheitsschutz und Sicherheit am Arbeitsplatz erfordert, sind diese Anforderungen unter anderem in Richtlinie 89/391/EWG des Rates⁶⁹, Richtlinie 89/656/EWG des Rates⁷⁰, Richtlinie 98/24/EG des Rates⁷¹, Richtlinie 2004/37/EG des Europäischen Parlaments und des Rates⁷² und Richtlinie

Amendment

(29) Die Handhabung von Pflanzenschutzmitteln, einschließlich Lagerung, Verdünnen und Mischen solcher Mittel, die Reinigung von Anwendungsgeräten nach der Verwendung, die Rückgewinnung und Entsorgung von Tankmischungen und die Entsorgung leerer Verpackungen und Restmengen von Pflanzenschutzmitteln **können** besondere Expositionsrisiken für Menschen und Umwelt **darstellen**. Es ist daher zweckmäßig, spezielle Maßnahmen für diese Tätigkeiten vorzusehen. Insoweit die Verwendung, Lagerung und Entsorgung von Pflanzenschutzmitteln die Einhaltung von Mindestanforderungen an Gesundheitsschutz und Sicherheit am Arbeitsplatz erfordert, sind diese Anforderungen unter anderem in Richtlinie 89/391/EWG des Rates⁶⁹, Richtlinie 89/656/EWG des Rates⁷⁰, Richtlinie 98/24/EG des Rates⁷¹, Richtlinie 2004/37/EG des Europäischen Parlaments und des Rates⁷² und Richtlinie

2009/104/EG des Europäischen Parlaments und des Rates⁷³ festgelegt.

⁶⁹ Richtlinie 89/391/EWG des Rates vom 12. Juni 1989 über die Durchführung von Maßnahmen zur Verbesserung der Sicherheit und des Gesundheitsschutzes der Arbeitnehmer bei der Arbeit (ABl. L 183 vom 29.6.1989, S. 1).

⁷⁰ Richtlinie 89/656/EWG des Rates vom 30. November 1989 über Mindestvorschriften für Sicherheit und Gesundheitsschutz bei Benutzung persönlicher Schutzausrüstungen durch Arbeitnehmer bei der Arbeit (Dritte Einzelrichtlinie im Sinne des Artikels 16 Absatz 1 der Richtlinie 89/391/EWG) (ABl. L 393 vom 30.12.1989, S. 18).

⁷¹ Richtlinie 98/24/EG des Rates vom 7. April 1998 zum Schutz von Gesundheit und Sicherheit der Arbeitnehmer vor der Gefährdung durch chemische Arbeitsstoffe bei der Arbeit (vierzehnte Einzelrichtlinie im Sinne des Artikels 16 Absatz 1 der Richtlinie 89/391/EWG) (ABl. L 131 vom 5.5.1998, S. 11).

⁷² Richtlinie 2004/37/EG des Europäischen Parlaments und des Rates vom 29. April 2004 über den Schutz der Arbeitnehmer gegen Gefährdung durch Karzinogene oder Mutagene bei der Arbeit (Sechste Einzelrichtlinie im Sinne von Artikel 16 Absatz 1 der Richtlinie 89/391/EWG des Rates) (ABl. L 158 vom 30.4.2004, S. 50).

⁷³ Richtlinie 2009/104/EG des Europäischen Parlaments und des Rates vom 16. September 2009 über Mindestvorschriften für Sicherheit und Gesundheitsschutz bei Benutzung von Arbeitsmitteln durch Arbeitnehmer bei der Arbeit (Zweite Einzelrichtlinie im Sinne des Artikels 16 Absatz 1 der Richtlinie 89/391/EWG) (ABl. L 260 vom 3.10.2009, S. 5).

2009/104/EG des Europäischen Parlaments und des Rates⁷³ festgelegt.

⁶⁹ Richtlinie 89/391/EWG des Rates vom 12. Juni 1989 über die Durchführung von Maßnahmen zur Verbesserung der Sicherheit und des Gesundheitsschutzes der Arbeitnehmer bei der Arbeit (ABl. L 183 vom 29.6.1989, S. 1).

⁷⁰ Richtlinie 89/656/EWG des Rates vom 30. November 1989 über Mindestvorschriften für Sicherheit und Gesundheitsschutz bei Benutzung persönlicher Schutzausrüstungen durch Arbeitnehmer bei der Arbeit (Dritte Einzelrichtlinie im Sinne des Artikels 16 Absatz 1 der Richtlinie 89/391/EWG) (ABl. L 393 vom 30.12.1989, S. 18).

⁷¹ Richtlinie 98/24/EG des Rates vom 7. April 1998 zum Schutz von Gesundheit und Sicherheit der Arbeitnehmer vor der Gefährdung durch chemische Arbeitsstoffe bei der Arbeit (vierzehnte Einzelrichtlinie im Sinne des Artikels 16 Absatz 1 der Richtlinie 89/391/EWG) (ABl. L 131 vom 5.5.1998, S. 11).

⁷² Richtlinie 2004/37/EG des Europäischen Parlaments und des Rates vom 29. April 2004 über den Schutz der Arbeitnehmer gegen Gefährdung durch Karzinogene oder Mutagene bei der Arbeit (Sechste Einzelrichtlinie im Sinne von Artikel 16 Absatz 1 der Richtlinie 89/391/EWG des Rates) (ABl. L 158 vom 30.4.2004, S. 50).

⁷³ Richtlinie 2009/104/EG des Europäischen Parlaments und des Rates vom 16. September 2009 über Mindestvorschriften für Sicherheit und Gesundheitsschutz bei Benutzung von Arbeitsmitteln durch Arbeitnehmer bei der Arbeit (Zweite Einzelrichtlinie im Sinne des Artikels 16 Absatz 1 der Richtlinie 89/391/EWG) (ABl. L 260 vom 3.10.2009, S. 5).

Or. de

Amendment 541
Tudor Ciuhodaru

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 29 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(29 a) Art 29.a – Statele membre și Comisia trebuie să sprijine cooperarea mediului academic cu utilizatorii de tratamente contra dăunătorilor de orice natură pentru dezvoltarea de noi soluții și produse fitosanitare mai puțin poluante și transmiterea de bune practici către alți potențiali beneficiari de asemenea tratamente mai puțin dăunatoare mediului și persoanelor.

Or. ro

Amendment 542
Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 30

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(30) Given the importance of advice on the use of plant protection products as a means to support their use in a manner that protects human health and the environment in accordance with integrated pest management, it is important that advisors are adequately trained.

(30) Given the importance of advice on the use of plant protection products as a means to support their use in a manner that protects human ***and animal*** health and the environment in accordance with integrated pest management, it is important that advisors are ***independent and*** adequately trained. ***Advisors should not have any ties, especially commercially, to manufacturers or distributors of chemical pesticides, nor have had these ties in the past five years.***

Or. en

Amendment 543 **Tudor Ciuhodaru**

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 30

Text proposed by the Commission

(30) Având în vedere importanța consilierii privind utilizarea produselor de protecție a plantelor ca mijloc de sprijinire a utilizării acestora într-un mod care să protejeze sănătatea umană și mediul în conformitate cu combaterea integrată a dăunătorilor, este important să se realizeze formarea corespunzătoare a consilierilor.

Amendment

(30) Având în vedere importanța consilierii privind utilizarea produselor de protecție a plantelor ca mijloc de sprijinire a utilizării acestora într-un mod care să protejeze sănătatea umană și mediul în conformitate cu combaterea integrată a dăunătorilor, este important să se realizeze formarea corespunzătoare a consilierilor **pe termen mediu și lung și ținând cont de evoluțiile tehnologice**.

Or. ro

Amendment 544

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjård

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 30

Text proposed by the Commission

(30) Given the importance of advice on the use of plant protection products as a means to support their use in a manner that protects human health and the environment in accordance with integrated pest management, it is important that advisors are adequately trained.

Amendment

(30) Given the importance of advice on the use of plant protection products as a means to support their use in a manner that protects human health and the environment in accordance with integrated pest management, it is important that advisors are adequately **and continuously** trained.

Or. en

Amendment 545

Maria Arena

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 30

Text proposed by the Commission

(30) Given the importance of advice on the use of plant protection products as a means to support their use in a manner that protects human health and the environment in accordance with integrated pest management, it is important that advisors are adequately trained.

Amendment

(30) Given the importance of ***independent*** advice on the use of plant protection products as a means to support their use in a manner that protects human health and the environment in accordance with integrated pest management, it is important that advisors are adequately trained.

Or. en

Amendment 546

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 31

Text proposed by the Commission

(31) Sale of a ***plant protection product*** is an important element in the distribution chain because it allows distributors to provide the necessary information to support its proper use. Specific advice on safety instructions for human health and the environment should be available to the purchaser or end user at the time of sale in order to allow questions to be answered that will facilitate the correct use of the relevant ***plant protection product***. ***For non-professional users, general information should be available at point of sale on safe use, handling and storage of plant protection products and on disposal of the packaging of such products, since those users do not generally have the same practical knowledge as professional users.***

Amendment

(31) Sale of a ***pesticide*** is an important element in the distribution chain because it allows distributors to provide the necessary information to support its proper use. Specific advice on safety instructions for human ***and animal*** health and the environment should be available to the purchaser or end user at the time of sale in order to allow questions to be answered that will facilitate the correct use of the relevant ***pesticide***.

Or. en

Amendment 547
Maria Arena

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 31

Text proposed by the Commission

(31) Sale of a plant protection product is an important element in the distribution chain because it allows distributors to provide the necessary information to support its proper use. Specific advice on safety instructions for human health and the environment should be available to the purchaser or end user at the time of sale in order to allow questions to be answered that will facilitate the correct use of the relevant plant protection product. ***For non-professional users, general information should be available at point of sale on safe use, handling and storage of plant protection products and on disposal of the packaging of such products, since those users do not generally have the same practical knowledge as professional users.***

Amendment

(31) Sale of a plant protection product is an important element in the distribution chain because it allows distributors to provide the necessary information to support its proper use. Specific advice on safety instructions for human health and the environment should be available to the purchaser or end user at the time of sale in order to allow questions to be answered that will facilitate the correct use of the relevant plant protection product.

Or. en

Amendment 548
Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjärd

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 31

Text proposed by the Commission

(31) Sale of a plant protection product is an important element in the distribution chain because it allows distributors to provide the necessary information to support its proper use. ***Specific advice on safety instructions for human health and the environment should be available to the purchaser or end user at the time of***

Amendment

(31) Sale of a plant protection product is an important element in the distribution chain because it allows distributors to provide the necessary information to support its proper use. For non-professional users, general information should be available ***under applicable law*** at point of sale on safe use, handling and

sale in order to allow questions to be answered that will facilitate the correct use of the relevant plant protection product. For non-professional users, general information should be available at point of sale on safe use, handling and storage of plant protection products and on disposal of the packaging of such products, since those users do not generally have the same practical knowledge as professional users.

storage of plant protection products and on disposal of the packaging of such products, since those users do not generally have the same practical knowledge as professional users.

Or. en

Amendment 549
Tudor Ciuhodaru

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 31

Text proposed by the Commission

(31) Vânzarea unui produs de protecție a plantelor este un element important al lanțului de distribuție, deoarece ea permite distribuitorilor să furnizeze informațiile necesare pentru a sprijini utilizarea corectă a acestuia. Cumpărătorul sau utilizatorul final ar trebui să aibă la dispoziție, în momentul vânzării, recomandări specifice privind instrucțiunile de siguranță pentru sănătatea umană și pentru mediu, pentru a putea răspunde la întrebări care să faciliteze utilizarea corectă a produsului de protecție a plantelor în cauză. Pentru utilizatorii neprofesioniști, la punctul de vânzare ar trebui să fie disponibile informații generale privind utilizarea, manipularea și depozitarea în condiții de siguranță a produselor de protecție a plantelor și privind eliminarea ambalajelor provenite de la aceste produse, deoarece acești utilizatori nu au, în general, aceleași cunoștințe practice ca utilizatorii profesioniști.

Amendment

(31) Vânzarea unui produs de protecție a plantelor este un element important al lanțului de distribuție, deoarece ea permite distribuitorilor să furnizeze informațiile necesare pentru a sprijini utilizarea corectă a acestuia, ***mai ales în cazul comercializării de noi produse sau de produse ce provin din afara UE.*** Cumpărătorul sau utilizatorul final ar trebui să aibă la dispoziție, în momentul vânzării, recomandări specifice privind instrucțiunile de siguranță pentru sănătatea umană și pentru mediu, pentru a putea răspunde la întrebări care să faciliteze utilizarea corectă a produsului de protecție a plantelor în cauză. Pentru utilizatorii neprofesioniști, la punctul de vânzare ar trebui să fie disponibile informații generale privind utilizarea, manipularea și depozitarea în condiții de siguranță a produselor de protecție a plantelor ***mai ales în cazul comercializării de noi produse sau de produse ce provin din afara UE, precum și privind eliminarea ambalajelor provenite de la aceste produse, deoarece acești***

utilizatori nu au, în general, aceleași cunoștințe practice ca utilizatorii profesioniști.

Or. ro

Amendment 550

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 31 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(31 a) In order to achieve the needed reduction and subsequent phase-out of pesticides, it is needed to ban the advertisement and sponsorship by manufacturers of pesticides.

Or. en

Amendment 551

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjärd

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 32

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(32) It is essential that Member States establish ***and maintain*** systems ***of both initial and follow-up training for distributors***, advisors and professional users of plant protection products and certification systems to record such training, ***in order to ensure that those operators are fully aware of the potential risks to human health and the environment and of the appropriate measures to reduce those risks as much as possible***. The training for advisors should be more extensive than that of ***distributors***

(32) It is essential that Member States establish systems ***for*** advisors and professional users of plant protection products and certification systems to record such training. The training for advisors should be more extensive than that of professional users since they need to be able to support the proper implementation of integrated pest management and crop-specific ***guidelines***. The use or purchase of a plant protection product authorised for professional use must be limited to persons in possession of a training certificate. In

and professional users since they need to be able to support the proper implementation of integrated pest management and crop-specific **rules**. The use or purchase of a plant protection product authorised for professional use must be limited to persons in possession of a training certificate. In addition, **in order to ensure safe use of plant protection products for human health and the environment**, distributors should be required to provide both professional and non-professional purchasers of plant protection products with product specific information at point of sale.

addition, distributors should be required to provide both professional and non-professional purchasers of plant protection products with product specific information at point of sale.

Or. en

Amendment 552
Tudor Ciuhodaru

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 32

Text proposed by the Commission

(32) Este esențial ca statele membre să stabilească și să mențină sisteme de formare inițială și de formare subsecventă pentru distribuitorii, consilierii și utilizatorii profesioniști de produse de protecție a plantelor, precum și sisteme de certificare pentru înregistrarea acestor formări, astfel încât respectivii operatori să fie perfect conștienți de riscurile potențiale pentru sănătatea umană și pentru mediu, precum și de măsurile care trebuie luate pentru reducerea pe cât posibil a acestor riscuri. Formarea consilierilor ar trebui să fie mai amplă decât cea a distribuitorilor și a utilizatorilor profesioniști, deoarece aceștia trebuie să poată contribui la implementarea corespunzătoare a normelor privind combaterea integrată a dăunătorilor și a regulilor specifice culturii. Utilizarea sau achiziționarea unui produs de protecție a plantelor autorizat pentru uz profesional

Amendment

(32) Este esențial ca statele membre să stabilească și să mențină sisteme de formare inițială și de formare subsecventă **periodică, în corelare cu apariția de noi produse sau de produse ce provin din afara UE** pentru distribuitorii, consilierii și utilizatorii profesioniști de produse de protecție a plantelor, precum și sisteme de certificare pentru înregistrarea acestor formări, astfel încât respectivii operatori să fie perfect conștienți de riscurile potențiale pentru sănătatea umană și pentru mediu, precum și de măsurile care trebuie luate pentru reducerea pe cât posibil a acestor riscuri. Formarea consilierilor ar trebui să fie mai amplă decât cea a distribuitorilor și a utilizatorilor profesioniști, deoarece aceștia trebuie să poată contribui la implementarea corespunzătoare a normelor privind combaterea integrată a dăunătorilor și a regulilor specifice culturii. Utilizarea

trebuie să se limiteze la persoanele care dețin un certificat de formare profesională. Mai mult, pentru a asigura utilizarea în condiții de siguranță a produselor de protecție a plantelor pentru sănătatea umană și pentru mediu, distribuitorii ar trebui să aibă obligația de a furniza informații specifice produselor la punctul de vânzare atât cumpărătorilor profesioniști, cât și cumpărătorilor neprofesioniști de produse de protecție a plantelor.

sau achiziționarea unui produs de protecție a plantelor autorizat pentru uz profesional trebuie să se limiteze la persoanele care dețin un certificat de formare profesională. Mai mult, pentru a asigura utilizarea în condiții de siguranță a produselor de protecție a plantelor pentru sănătatea umană și pentru mediu, distribuitorii ar trebui să aibă obligația de a furniza informații specifice produselor la punctul de vânzare atât cumpărătorilor profesioniști, cât și cumpărătorilor neprofesioniști de produse de protecție a plantelor.

Or. ro

Amendment 553

Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 32

Text proposed by the Commission

(32) It is essential that Member States establish and maintain systems of both initial and follow-up training for distributors, advisors and professional users of plant protection products and certification systems to record such training, in order to ensure that those operators are fully aware of the potential risks to human health and the environment and of the appropriate measures to reduce those risks as much as possible. The training for advisors should be more extensive than that of distributors and professional users since they need to be able to support the proper implementation of integrated pest management and crop-specific rules. The use or purchase of a plant protection product authorised for professional use must be limited to persons in possession of a training certificate. In addition, in order to ensure safe use of plant protection products for human health

Amendment

(32) It is essential that Member States establish and maintain systems of both initial and follow-up training for distributors, advisors and professional users **(be they employers or workers)** of plant protection products and **independent** certification systems to record such training, in order to ensure that those operators are fully aware of the potential risks to human health and the environment and of the appropriate measures to reduce those risks as much as possible. The training for advisors should be more extensive than that of distributors and professional users since they need to be able to support the proper implementation of integrated pest management and crop-specific rules. The use or purchase of a plant protection product authorised for professional use must be limited to persons in possession of a **valid** training certificate. In addition, in order to ensure safe use of

and the environment, distributors should be required to provide both professional and non-professional purchasers of plant protection products with product specific information at point of sale.

plant protection products for human health and the environment, distributors should be required to provide both professional and non-professional purchasers of plant protection products with product specific information at point of sale.

Or. en

Amendment 554

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 32

Text proposed by the Commission

(32) It is essential that Member States establish and maintain systems of both initial and follow-up training for distributors, advisors and professional users of **plant protection products** and certification systems to record such training, in order to ensure that those operators are fully aware of the potential risks to human health and the environment and of the appropriate measures to reduce those risks as much as possible. The training for advisors should be more extensive than that of distributors and professional users since they need to be able to support the proper implementation of integrated pest management and crop-specific rules. The use or purchase of a **plant protection product** authorised for professional use must be limited to persons in possession of a training certificate. In addition, in order to ensure safe use of plant protection products for human health and the environment, distributors should be required to provide **both professional and non-professional purchasers of plant protection products** with product specific information at point of sale.

Amendment

(32) It is essential that Member States establish and maintain systems of both initial and follow-up training for distributors, advisors and professional users of **pesticides** and certification systems to record such training, in order to ensure that those operators are fully aware of the potential risks to human **and animal** health and the environment and of the appropriate measures to reduce those risks as much as possible. The training for advisors should be more extensive than that of distributors and professional users since they need to be able to support the proper implementation of integrated pest management and crop-specific rules. The use or purchase of a **pesticide** authorised for professional use must be limited to persons in possession of a training certificate. In addition, in order to ensure safe use of plant protection products for human **and animal** health and the environment, distributors should be required to provide professional **of pesticides** with product specific information at point of sale.

Or. en

Amendment 555
Inese Vaidere

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 32

Text proposed by the Commission

(32) It is essential that Member States establish and maintain systems of both initial and follow-up training for distributors, advisors and professional users of plant protection products and certification systems to record such training, in order to ensure that those operators are fully aware of the potential risks to human health and the environment and of the appropriate measures to reduce those risks as much as possible. The training for advisors should be more extensive than that of distributors and professional users since they need to be able to support the proper implementation of integrated pest management and crop-specific **rules**. The use or purchase of a plant protection product authorised for professional use must be limited to persons in possession of a training certificate. In addition, in order to ensure safe use of plant protection products for human health and the environment, distributors should be required to provide both professional and non-professional purchasers of plant protection products with product specific information at point of sale.

Amendment

(32) It is essential that Member States establish and maintain systems of both initial and follow-up training for distributors, advisors and professional users of plant protection products and certification systems to record such training, in order to ensure that those operators are fully aware of the potential risks to human health and the environment and of the appropriate measures to reduce those risks as much as possible. The training for advisors should be more extensive than that of distributors and professional users since they need to be able to support the proper implementation of integrated pest management and crop-specific **guidelines**. The use or purchase of a plant protection product authorised for professional use must be limited to persons in possession of a training certificate. In addition, in order to ensure safe use of plant protection products for human health and the environment, distributors should be required to provide both professional and non-professional purchasers of plant protection products with product specific information at point of sale.

Or. en

Amendment 556
Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjård

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 33

Text proposed by the Commission

(33) In order to ensure a planned approach to ***harmful organism control techniques*** across a number of growing seasons with a view to minimising the use of chemical plant protection products as much as possible and to ensure a proper implementation of integrated pest management, professional users should be required to regularly consult trained, independent advisors on pest management, ***so that plant protection products are only used as a last resort.***

Amendment

(33) In order to ensure a planned approach to ***plant protection*** control across a number of growing seasons with a view to minimising the use of chemical plant protection products as much as possible and to ensure a proper implementation of integrated pest management, professional users should be required to regularly consult trained, independent advisors on pest management.

Or. en

Amendment 557

Dan-Ştefan Motreanu, Carmen Avram, Marian-Jean Marinescu, Daniel Buda

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 33

Text proposed by the Commission

(33) In order to ensure a planned approach to harmful organism control techniques across a number of growing seasons with a view to minimising the use of chemical plant protection products as much as possible and to ensure a proper implementation of integrated pest management, professional users should be required to regularly consult trained, independent advisors on pest management, so that plant protection products are only used ***as a last resort.***

Amendment

(33) In order to ensure a planned approach to harmful organism control techniques across a number of growing seasons with a view to minimising the use of chemical plant protection products as much as possible and to ensure a proper implementation of integrated pest management, professional users should be required to regularly consult trained, independent advisors on pest management, so that plant protection products are only used ***where no viable alternative is available.***

Or. en

Amendment 558

Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław Kalinowski, Joanna Kopcińska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 33

Text proposed by the Commission

(33) In order to ensure a planned approach to harmful organism control techniques across a number of growing seasons with a view to minimising the use of chemical plant protection products as much as possible and to ensure a proper implementation of integrated pest management, professional users should **be required to regularly** consult trained, independent advisors on pest management, so that plant protection products are only used as a last resort.

Amendment

(33) In order to ensure a planned approach to harmful organism control techniques across a number of growing seasons with a view to minimising the use of chemical plant protection products as much as possible and to ensure a proper implementation of integrated pest management, professional users should **have possibility to** consult, **if needed**, trained, independent advisors on pest management, so that plant protection products are only used as a last resort.

Or. en

Justification

Professional users should have this ability not the obligation. In their majority they are well educated and trained in agricultural practices.

Amendment 559
Jessica Polfjärd

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 33

Text proposed by the Commission

(33) In order to ensure a planned approach to harmful organism control techniques across a number of growing seasons with a view to minimising the use of chemical plant protection products as much as possible and to ensure a proper implementation of integrated pest management, professional users should be **required** to regularly consult trained, independent advisors on pest management, so that plant protection products are only used as a last resort.

Amendment

(33) In order to ensure a planned approach to harmful organism control techniques across a number of growing seasons with a view to minimising the use of chemical plant protection products as much as possible and to ensure a proper implementation of integrated pest management, professional users should be **able** to regularly consult trained, independent advisors on pest management, so that plant protection products are only used as a last resort.

Amendment 560

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 34

Text proposed by the Commission

(34) Considering the possible risks to human health and the environment from the use of plant protection products, the public should have access to better information on the overall impacts of the ***use of such products*** through awareness-raising programmes, information passed on through distributors and other appropriate measures.

Amendment

(34) Considering the possible risks to human health and the environment from the use of plant protection products, the public should ***not be allowed to buy, sell or use pesticides, and they*** have access to better information on the overall impacts of the ***exposure to pesticides*** through awareness-raising programmes, information passed on through distributors and other appropriate measures. ***They should also be provided with information on the type(s), amounts and risk profile of the fresh fruits and vegetables sold in the EU.***

Amendment 561

Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław Kalinowski, Joanna Kopcińska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 34

Text proposed by the Commission

(34) ***Considering the possible risks to human health and the environment from the use of plant protection products***, the public should have access to ***better*** information on ***the overall impacts of the use of such products through awareness-raising programmes, information passed on through distributors and other appropriate measures.***

Amendment

(34) The public should have access to ***full, balanced, objective and scientific-based*** information on ***plant protection*** products.

Amendment 562
Edina Tóth

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 34

Text proposed by the Commission

(34) ***Considering the possible risks to human health and the environment from the use of plant protection products***, the public should have access to ***better*** information on ***the overall impacts of the use of such*** products ***through awareness-raising programmes, information passed on through distributors and other appropriate measures.***

Amendment

(34) The public should have access to ***full, balanced, objective and scientific based*** information on ***plant protection*** products.

Amendment 563
Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjärd

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 34

Text proposed by the Commission

(34) Considering the possible risks to human health and the environment from the use of plant protection products, the public should have access to ***better*** information on the overall impacts of the use of such products ***through awareness-raising programmes, information passed on through distributors and other appropriate measures.***

Amendment

(34) Considering the possible risks to human health and the environment from the use of plant protection products, the public should have access to information on the overall impacts of the use of such products.

Amendment 564
Tudor Ciuhodaru

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 34

Text proposed by the Commission

(34) Date fiind posibilele riscuri pentru sănătatea umană și pentru mediu generate de utilizarea produselor de protecție a plantelor, publicul ar trebui să aibă acces la informații mai bune cu privire la impactul general al utilizării acestor produse prin intermediul programelor de sensibilizare, al informațiilor transmise prin distribuitori și al altor măsuri adecvate.

Amendment

(34) Date fiind posibilele riscuri pentru sănătatea umană și pentru mediu generate de utilizarea produselor de protecție a plantelor, publicul ar trebui să aibă acces la informații mai bune cu privire la impactul general al utilizării acestor produse prin intermediul programelor de sensibilizare ***pentru diferite categorii de public țintă***, al informațiilor transmise prin distribuitori și al altor măsuri adecvate.

Or. ro

Amendment 565
Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 35

Text proposed by the Commission

(35) In order to better understand the trends regarding acute poisoning incidents and chronic poisoning arising from exposure of persons to plant protection products, information on such trends should be compiled by each Member State. The Commission should also monitor the overall trends at Union level.

Amendment

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 566
Tudor Ciuhodaru

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 35

Text proposed by the Commission

(35) Pentru o mai bună înțelegere a tendințelor în ceea ce privește cazurile de intoxicație acută și de intoxicație cronică cauzate de expunerea persoanelor la produse de protecție a plantelor, fiecare stat membru ar trebui să colecteze informații cu privire la aceste tendințe. Comisia ar trebui, de asemenea, să monitorizeze tendințele generale la nivelul Uniunii.

Amendment

(35) Pentru o mai bună înțelegere a tendințelor în ceea ce privește cazurile de intoxicație acută și de intoxicație cronică, **dar și a măsurilor de tratament al intoxicărilor** cauzate de expunerea persoanelor la produse de protecție a plantelor, fiecare stat membru ar trebui să colecteze informații cu privire la aceste tendințe. Comisia ar trebui, de asemenea, să monitorizeze tendințele generale la nivelul Uniunii.

Or. ro

Amendment 567

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 35

Text proposed by the Commission

(35) In order to better understand the trends regarding acute poisoning incidents and chronic poisoning arising from exposure of persons to **plant protection products**, information on such trends should be compiled by each Member State. The Commission should also monitor the overall trends at Union level.

Amendment

(35) In order to better understand the trends regarding acute poisoning incidents and chronic poisoning **and chronic illnesses** arising from **incidental or repeated** exposure of persons to **pesticides**, information on such trends should be compiled by each Member State. The Commission should also monitor the overall trends at Union level.

Or. en

Amendment 568

Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław Kalinowski, Joanna Kopcińska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 35

Text proposed by the Commission

(35) In order to better understand the trends regarding acute poisoning incidents **and chronic poisoning** arising from exposure of persons to plant protection products, information on such trends should be compiled by each Member State. The Commission should also monitor the overall trends at Union level.

Amendment

(35) In order to better understand the trends regarding acute poisoning incidents arising from exposure of persons to plant protection products, information on such trends should be compiled by each Member State. The Commission should also monitor the overall trends at Union level.

Or. en

Justification

See Am. to Art. 28

Amendment 569
Maria Arena

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 35 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(35 a) Regulation (EU) No 1107/2009 lays down certain requirements for the advertising of plant protection products. It is necessary to complement these requirements to adapt to the objectives of this Regulation and for different advertising practices in Member States not to hinder its effectiveness. In particular, advertising of chemical plant protection products to the general public should be prohibited, and advisors should be able to carry out their functions objectively without being influenced by direct or indirect financial inducements.

Or. en

Amendment 570
Maria Arena

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 36

Text proposed by the Commission

(36) In order to minimise the adverse impacts of plant protection products on human health and the environment, it is necessary to provide for systems for regular technical inspection of application equipment in professional use. ***Given the potentially reduced impact of application equipment in professional use which represents a very low scale of use, it is also appropriate to allow Member States to lay down less stringent inspection requirements and provide for different inspection intervals in relation to such equipment. In addition, due to the relatively low cost of purchasing new handheld application equipment and knapsack sprayers compared to the costs of inspection, it is appropriate to provide for the possibility of national derogations from the mandatory inspection of such equipment, subject to the carrying out of a risk assessment covering the risks to human health and the environment posed by such equipment. That assessment should include an estimation of the scale of use of the equipment.*** To ensure compliance with the inspection requirements, it is necessary to require that each Member State establish a register of application equipment in professional use and keep that register up to date. As some of the application equipment does not have unique IDs, it is necessary to make provision for the supply of a unique ID to such application equipment to ensure that all equipment is physically identified.

Amendment

(36) In order to minimise the adverse impacts of plant protection products on human health and the environment, it is necessary to provide for systems for regular technical inspection of application equipment in professional use. To ensure compliance with the inspection requirements, it is necessary to require that each Member State establish a register of application equipment in professional use and keep that register up to date. As some of the application equipment does not have unique IDs, it is necessary to make provision for the supply of a unique ID to such application equipment to ensure that all equipment is physically identified.

Or. en

Amendment 571

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 36

Text proposed by the Commission

(36) In order to minimise the adverse impacts of plant protection products on human health and the environment, it is necessary to provide for systems for regular technical inspection of application equipment in professional use. ***Given the potentially reduced impact of application equipment in professional use which represents a very low scale of use, it is also appropriate to allow Member States to lay down less stringent inspection requirements and provide for different inspection intervals in relation to such equipment. In addition, due to the relatively low cost of purchasing new handheld application equipment and knapsack sprayers compared to the costs of inspection, it is appropriate to provide for the possibility of national derogations from the mandatory inspection of such equipment, subject to the carrying out of a risk assessment covering the risks to human health and the environment posed by such equipment. That assessment should include an estimation of the scale of use of the equipment.*** To ensure compliance with the inspection requirements, it is necessary to require that each Member State establish a register of application equipment in professional use and keep that register up to date. As some of the application equipment does not have unique IDs, it is necessary to make provision for the supply of a unique ID to such application equipment to ensure that all equipment is physically identified.

Amendment

(36) In order to minimise the adverse impacts of plant protection products on human ***and animal*** health and the environment, it is necessary to provide for systems for regular technical inspection of application equipment in professional use. To ensure compliance with the inspection requirements, it is necessary to require that each Member State establish a register of application equipment in professional use and keep that register up to date. As some of the application equipment does not have unique IDs, it is necessary to make provision for the supply of a unique ID to such application equipment to ensure that all equipment is physically identified.

Or. en

Amendment 572

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjärd

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 36

Text proposed by the Commission

(36) In order to minimise the adverse impacts of plant protection products **on human health and the environment**, it is necessary to provide for systems for regular technical inspection of application equipment in professional use. Given the potentially reduced impact of application equipment in professional use which represents a very low scale of use, it is also appropriate to allow Member States to lay down less stringent inspection requirements and provide for different inspection intervals in relation to such equipment. In addition, due to the relatively low cost of purchasing new handheld application equipment and knapsack sprayers compared to the costs of inspection, it is appropriate to provide for the possibility of **national** derogations from the mandatory inspection of such equipment, **subject to the carrying out of a risk assessment covering the risks to human health and the environment posed by such equipment. That assessment should include an estimation of the scale of use of the equipment.** To ensure compliance with the inspection requirements, it is necessary to require that each Member State establish a register of application equipment in professional use and keep that register up to date. As some of the application equipment does not have unique IDs, it is necessary to make provision for the supply of a unique ID to such application equipment to ensure that all equipment is physically identified.

Amendment

(36) In order to minimise the adverse impacts of plant protection products, it is necessary to provide for systems for regular technical inspection of application equipment in professional use. Given the potentially reduced impact of application equipment in professional use which represents a very low scale of use, it is also appropriate to allow Member States to lay down less stringent inspection requirements and provide for different inspection intervals in relation to such equipment. In addition, due to the relatively low cost of purchasing new handheld application equipment and knapsack sprayers compared to the costs of inspection, it is appropriate to provide for the possibility of derogations from the mandatory inspection of such equipment. **The member states are required to ensure through regular checks that the application devices are subject to ongoing testing.**

Or. en

Amendment 573

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 36 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(36 a) The Court of Justice issued a ruling on 19 January 2023 in Pesticide Action Network Europe and Others v État belge (Case C-162/21), stating that Article 53(1) of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 21 October 2009 concerning the placing of plant protection products on the market and repealing Council Directives 79/117/EEC and 91/414/EEC does not permit Member States to use the so-called “emergency derogation” to allow the placing on the market or use of certain pesticides or seeds treated with those products if their marketing and use has been explicitly prohibited by EU legislation. The Commission has acknowledged to the European Parliament that this ruling is binding and concerns not only the banned neonicotinoids but also all other pesticides which have been banned, or which have explicitly received a non-approval after an application, for use in the EU.

Or. en

Amendment 574

Jan Huitema, Emma Wiesner, Erik Poulsen, Andreas Glück, Asger Christensen, Billy Kelleher

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 37

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(37) In order to monitor progress

(37) In order to monitor progress

achieved in the reduction of risks and adverse impacts to human health and the environment from the use of plant protection products it is necessary to continue using the system of harmonised risk indicators established under Directive (EU) 2009/128/EC.

achieved in the reduction of risks and adverse impacts to human health and the environment from the use of plant protection products it is necessary to continue using the system of harmonised risk indicators established under Directive (EU) 2009/128/EC. ***The Commission should however also publish a report evaluating the feasibility of developing a harmonised Union indicator for the environmental impact of plant protection measures that focusses on more aspects beyond quantity of plant protection products.***

Or. en

Amendment 575

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation Recital 37

Text proposed by the Commission

(37) In order to monitor progress achieved in the reduction of risks and adverse impacts to human health and the environment from the use of plant protection products it is necessary to continue using the system of harmonised risk indicators established under Directive (EU) 2009/128/EC.

Amendment

(37) In order to monitor progress achieved in the reduction of risks and adverse impacts to human health and the environment from the use of plant protection products it is necessary to continue using the system of harmonised risk indicators established under Directive (EU) 2009/128/EC, ***as derogations for the use of neonicotinoids and other banned substances are no longer an option, and therefore the risk factor of 64 is not appropriate anymore from February 2023 onwards.***

Or. en

Amendment 576

Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 37

Text proposed by the Commission

(37) In order to monitor progress achieved in the reduction of risks and adverse impacts to human health and the environment from the use of plant protection products it is necessary to **continue using** the system of harmonised risk indicators established under Directive (EU) 2009/128/EC.

Amendment

(37) In order to monitor progress achieved in the reduction of risks and adverse impacts to human health and the environment from the use of plant protection products it is necessary to **adapt** the system of harmonised risk indicators established under Directive (EU) 2009/128/EC **to better take into account doses and hazards of active substances and the risks of plant protection products on health and the environment.**

Or. en

Amendment 577

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 37

Text proposed by the Commission

(37) In order to monitor progress achieved in the reduction of risks and adverse impacts to human health and the environment from the use of **plant protection products** it is necessary to continue using the system of harmonised risk indicators established under Directive (EU) 2009/128/EC.

Amendment

(37) In order to **correctly** monitor progress achieved in the reduction of risks and adverse impacts to human **and animal** health and the environment from the use of **pesticides, while taking into account jurisprudence**, it is necessary to **slightly adjust and** continue using the system of harmonised risk indicators established under Directive (EU) 2009/128/EC.

Or. en

Amendment 578

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjärd

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 37

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(37) In order to monitor progress achieved in the reduction of risks and adverse impacts to human health and the environment from the use of plant protection products it is necessary to continue using the system of harmonised risk indicators established under Directive (EU) 2009/128/EC.

(37) In order to monitor progress achieved in the reduction of risks and adverse impacts to human health and the environment from the use of plant protection products it is necessary to continue using the system of harmonised risk indicators established under Directive (EU) 2009/128/EC **and ensure that an ongoing evaluation of the system is carried out.**

Or. en

Amendment 579

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjärd

**Proposal for a regulation
Recital 38**

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(38) Statistical data on plant protection products collected in accordance with Regulation (EC) No 1185/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council⁷⁴ should be used in calculating these harmonised risk indicators and progress towards achieving binding Union and national targets based on the Farm to Fork Strategy. Given that pesticide use fluctuates between years depending, in particular, on the weather, a three year baseline period is appropriate to take account of such fluctuations. The baseline period for the calculation of harmonised risk indicators 1 and 2 is 2011–2013, as this was the first three year period for which data was received by the Commission under Regulation (EC) No 1185/2009 and coincides with the entry into force of Directive 2009/128/EC. The baseline period for the calculation of progress towards the Union 2030

deleted

reduction targets is 2015–2017, as this was the three most recent years for which data was available at the time of the announcement of the Farm to Fork Strategy. The baseline period for the calculation of a new harmonised risk indicator 2a is 2022–2024, as this will be the first three year period for which data on the areas treated under each authorisation for an emergency situation in plant protection will be available.

⁷⁴ *Regulation (EC) No 1185/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 25 November 2009 concerning statistics on pesticides (OJ L 324, 10.12.2009, p. 1).*

Or. en

Amendment 580
Mathilde Androuët

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 38

Text proposed by the Commission

(38) Il convient d'utiliser les données statistiques sur les produits phytopharmaceutiques collectées conformément au règlement (CE) n° 1185/2009 du Parlement européen et du Conseil⁷⁴ pour calculer ces indicateurs de risque harmonisés et les progrès réalisés vers les objectifs contraignants de l'Union et des États membres fondés sur la stratégie «De la ferme à la table». Étant donné que l'utilisation des pesticides fluctue d'une année à l'autre en fonction, notamment, des conditions météorologiques, une période de référence de trois ans est appropriée pour tenir compte de ces fluctuations. La période de référence pour le calcul des indicateurs de risque harmonisés 1 et 2 va de 2011 à 2013, car il s'agit de la première période triennale pour

Amendment

(38) Il convient d'utiliser les données statistiques sur les produits phytopharmaceutiques collectées conformément au règlement (CE) n° 1185/2009 du Parlement européen et du Conseil⁷⁴ pour calculer ces indicateurs de risque harmonisés et les progrès réalisés vers les objectifs contraignants de l'Union et des États membres fondés sur la stratégie «De la ferme à la table». Étant donné que l'utilisation des pesticides fluctue d'une année à l'autre en fonction, notamment, des conditions météorologiques, une période de référence de trois ans est appropriée pour tenir compte de ces fluctuations. La période de référence pour le calcul des indicateurs de risque harmonisés 1 et 2 va de 2011 à 2013, car il s'agit de la première période triennale pour

laquelle des données ont été reçues par la Commission au titre du règlement (CE) n° 1185/2009 et qui coïncide avec l'entrée en vigueur de la directive 2009/128/CE. La période de référence pour le calcul des progrès réalisés vers les objectifs de réduction de l'Union **pour 2030** va de 2015 à 2017, car il s'agit des trois années les plus récentes pour lesquelles des données étaient disponibles au moment de l'annonce de la stratégie «De la ferme à la table». La période de référence pour le calcul d'un nouvel indicateur de risque harmonisé 2 bis va de 2022 à 2024, car il s'agira de la première période triennale pour laquelle des données sur les zones traitées dans le cadre de chaque autorisation d'urgence seront disponibles.

laquelle des données ont été reçues par la Commission au titre du règlement (CE) n° 1185/2009 et qui coïncide avec l'entrée en vigueur de la directive 2009/128/CE. La période de référence pour le calcul des progrès réalisés vers les objectifs de réduction de l'Union **à l'horizon 2030 au plus tôt** va de 2015 à 2017, car il s'agit des trois années les plus récentes pour lesquelles des données étaient disponibles au moment de l'annonce de la stratégie «De la ferme à la table». **La période de référence de 2015 à 2017 doit faire l'objet d'une pondération préalable pour prendre en compte les bouleversements issus de la crise du COVID 19, du nouveau contexte géopolitique et des risques de pénurie alimentaire mondiale ainsi que** la période de référence pour le calcul d'un nouvel indicateur de risque harmonisé 2 bis va de 2022 à 2024, car il s'agira de la première période triennale pour laquelle des données sur les zones traitées dans le cadre de chaque autorisation d'urgence seront disponibles.

⁷⁴ Règlement (CE) n° 1185/2009 du Parlement européen et du Conseil du 25 novembre 2009 relatif aux statistiques sur les pesticides (JO L 324 du 10.12.2009, p. 1).

⁷⁴ Règlement (CE) n° 1185/2009 du Parlement européen et du Conseil du 25 novembre 2009 relatif aux statistiques sur les pesticides (JO L 324 du 10.12.2009, p. 1).

Or. fr

Justification

Les données de la période de référence doivent être révisées prendre en compte la nouvelle situation alimentaire mondiale afin de définir des objectifs de réduction réalistes.

Amendment 581

Jan Huitema, Emma Wiesner, Erik Poulsen, Billy Kelleher, Asger Christensen

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 38

Text proposed by the Commission

(38) Statistical data on plant protection products collected in accordance with Regulation (EC) No 1185/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council⁷⁴ should be used in calculating these harmonised risk indicators and progress towards achieving binding Union and national targets based on the Farm to Fork Strategy. Given that pesticide use fluctuates between years depending, in particular, on the weather, a three year baseline period is appropriate to take account of such fluctuations. The baseline period for the calculation of harmonised risk indicators 1 and 2 is 2011–2013, as this was the first three year period for which data was received by the Commission under Regulation (EC) No 1185/2009 and coincides with the entry into force of Directive 2009/128/EC. The baseline period for the calculation of progress towards the Union 2030 reduction targets is 2015–**2017, as this was the three most recent years for which data was available at the time of the announcement of the Farm to Fork Strategy.** The baseline period for the calculation of a new harmonised risk indicator 2a is 2022–2024, as this will be the first three year period for which data on the areas treated under each authorisation for an emergency situation in plant protection will be available.

⁷⁴ Regulation (EC) No 1185/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 25 November 2009 concerning statistics on pesticides (OJ L 324, 10.12.2009, p. 1).

Amendment

(38) Statistical data on plant protection products collected in accordance with Regulation (EC) No 1185/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council⁷⁴ should be used in calculating these harmonised risk indicators and progress towards achieving binding Union and national targets based on the Farm to Fork Strategy. Given that pesticide use fluctuates between years depending, in particular, on the weather, a three year baseline period is appropriate to take account of such fluctuations. The baseline period for the calculation of harmonised risk indicators 1 and 2 is 2011–2013, as this was the first three year period for which data was received by the Commission under Regulation (EC) No 1185/2009 and coincides with the entry into force of Directive 2009/128/EC. The baseline period for the calculation of progress towards the Union 2030 reduction targets is **2013-2015**. The baseline period for the calculation of a new harmonised risk indicator 2a is 2022–2024, as this will be the first three year period for which data on the areas treated under each authorisation for an emergency situation in plant protection will be available.

⁷⁴ Regulation (EC) No 1185/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 25 November 2009 concerning statistics on pesticides (OJ L 324, 10.12.2009, p. 1).

Or. en

Amendment 582
Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 38

Text proposed by the Commission

(38) Statistical data on plant protection products collected in accordance with Regulation (EC) No 1185/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council⁷⁴ should be used in calculating these harmonised risk indicators and progress towards achieving binding Union and national targets based on the Farm to Fork Strategy. Given that pesticide use fluctuates between years depending, in particular, on the weather, a three year baseline period is appropriate to take account of such fluctuations. The baseline period for the calculation of harmonised risk indicators 1 and 2 is 2011–2013, as this was the first three year period for which data was received by the Commission under Regulation (EC) No 1185/2009 and coincides with the entry into force of Directive 2009/128/EC. The baseline period for the calculation of progress towards the Union 2030 reduction targets is **2015–2017, as this was** the three most recent years for which data **was** available at **the time of the announcement of the Farm to Fork Strategy**. The baseline period for the calculation of a new harmonised risk indicator 2a is 2022–2024, as this will be the first three year period for which data on the areas treated under each authorisation for an emergency situation in plant protection will be available.

⁷⁴ Regulation (EC) No 1185/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 25 November 2009 concerning statistics on pesticides (OJ L 324, 10.12.2009, p. 1).

Amendment

(38) Statistical data on plant protection products, **including data** collected in accordance with Regulation (EC) No 1185/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council⁷⁴ **in combination with the means application rates of chemical active substances based on their representative uses**, should be used in calculating these harmonised risk indicators and progress towards achieving binding Union and national targets based on the Farm to Fork Strategy. Given that pesticide use fluctuates between years depending, in particular, on the weather, a three year baseline period is appropriate to take account of such fluctuations. The baseline period for the calculation of harmonised risk indicators 1 and 2 is 2011–2013, as this was the first three year period for which data was received by the Commission under Regulation (EC) No 1185/2009 and coincides with the entry into force of Directive 2009/128/EC. The baseline period for the calculation of progress towards the Union 2030 reduction targets is **2018–2020, as these are** the three most recent years for which data **is** available at **this time**. The baseline period for the calculation of a new harmonised risk indicator 2a is 2022–2024, as this will be the first three year period for which data on the areas treated under each authorisation for an emergency situation in plant protection will be available

⁷⁴ Regulation (EC) No 1185/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 25 November 2009 concerning statistics on pesticides (OJ L 324, 10.12.2009, p. 1).

Or. en

Amendment 583

Ondřej Knotek, Martin Hlaváček

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 38

Text proposed by the Commission

(38) Statistical data on plant protection products collected in accordance with Regulation (EC) No 1185/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council⁷⁴ should be used in calculating these harmonised risk indicators and progress towards achieving binding Union and national targets based on the Farm to Fork Strategy. Given that pesticide use fluctuates between years depending, in particular, on the weather, a three year baseline period is appropriate to take account of such fluctuations. The baseline period for the calculation of harmonised risk indicators 1 and 2 is 2011–2013, as this was the first three year period for which data was received by the Commission under Regulation (EC) No 1185/2009 and coincides with the entry into force of Directive 2009/128/EC. The baseline period for the calculation of progress towards the Union 2030 reduction targets is **2015–2017, as this was the three most recent years for which data was available at the time of the announcement of the Farm to Fork Strategy**. The baseline period for the calculation of a new harmonised risk indicator 2a is 2022–2024, as this will be the first three year period for which data on the areas treated under each authorisation for an emergency situation in plant protection will be available.

⁷⁴ Regulation (EC) No 1185/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 25 November 2009 concerning statistics on pesticides (OJ L 324, 10.12.2009, p. 1).

Amendment

(38) Statistical data on plant protection products collected in accordance with Regulation (EC) No 1185/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council⁷⁴ should be used in calculating these harmonised risk indicators and progress towards achieving binding Union and national targets based on the Farm to Fork Strategy. Given that pesticide use fluctuates between years depending, in particular, on the weather, a three year baseline period is appropriate to take account of such fluctuations. The baseline period for the calculation of harmonised risk indicators 1 and 2 is 2011–2013, as this was the first three year period for which data was received by the Commission under Regulation (EC) No 1185/2009 and coincides with the entry into force of Directive 2009/128/EC. The baseline period for the calculation of progress towards the Union 2030 reduction targets is **2011–2013 to take into account the achievements already made by European farmers**. The baseline period for the calculation of a new harmonised risk indicator 2a is 2022–2024, as this will be the first three year period for which data on the areas treated under each authorisation for an emergency situation in plant protection will be available.

⁷⁴ Regulation (EC) No 1185/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 25 November 2009 concerning statistics on pesticides (OJ L 324, 10.12.2009, p. 1).

Or. en

Amendment 584

Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Peter Lundgren, Hermann Tertsch, Margarita de la Pisa Carrión, Mazaly Aguilar, Evžen Tošenovský, Sergio Berlato, Jorge Buxadé Villalba, Eugen Jurzyca, Pietro Fiocchi, Charlie Weimers, Johan Nissinen

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 38

Text proposed by the Commission

(38) Statistical data on plant protection products collected in accordance with Regulation (EC) No 1185/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council⁷⁴ should be used in calculating these harmonised risk indicators and progress towards achieving **binding** Union and national targets based on the Farm to Fork Strategy. Given that pesticide use fluctuates between years depending, in particular, on the weather, **a three year baseline period is appropriate to take account of such fluctuations**. The baseline period for the calculation of harmonised risk indicators 1 and 2 is 2011–2013, as this was the first three year period for which data was received by the Commission under Regulation (EC) No 1185/2009 and coincides with the entry into force of Directive 2009/128/EC. The baseline period for the calculation of progress towards the Union 2030 reduction targets is **2015–2017, as this was the three most recent years for which data was available at the time of the announcement of the Farm to Fork Strategy**. The baseline period for the calculation of a new harmonised risk indicator 2a is 2022–2024, as this will be the first three year period for which data on the areas treated under each authorisation for an emergency situation in plant protection will be available.

⁷⁴ Regulation (EC) No 1185/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council of

Amendment

(38) Statistical data on plant protection products collected in accordance with Regulation (EC) No 1185/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council⁷⁴ should be used in calculating these harmonised risk indicators **based on real use of pesticides** and progress towards achieving **guiding** Union and national targets based on the Farm to Fork Strategy. Given that pesticide use fluctuates between years depending, in particular, on the weather, **at least a ten year timespan should be considered to see the real usage trends in use of pesticides**. The baseline period for the calculation of harmonised risk indicators 1 and 2 is 2011–2013, as this was the first three year period for which data was received by the Commission under Regulation (EC) No 1185/2009 and coincides with the entry into force of Directive 2009/128/EC. The baseline period for the calculation of progress towards the Union 2030 reduction targets is, **therefore, 2011 – 2013, in order to fully reflect and respect the achievements already made by European farmers**. The baseline period for the calculation of a new harmonised risk indicator 2a is 2022–2024, as this will be the first three year period for which data on the areas treated under each authorisation for an emergency situation in plant protection will be available.

⁷⁴ Regulation (EC) No 1185/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council of

25 November 2009 concerning statistics on pesticides (OJ L 324, 10.12.2009, p. 1).

25 November 2009 concerning statistics on pesticides (OJ L 324, 10.12.2009, p. 1).

Or. en

Justification

Some European farmers have long sought to reduce the volume of plant protection products used, as is evident from a number of statistics, including Eurostat data. There are large differences between Member States in the volumes of active substances used per hectare, with some countries using on average less than 0.5 kilograms of active substance per hectare, while others use up to 8 kilograms of active substance per hectare. However, countries that have been below the European average of the consumption of active substances per hectare in a long term are not significantly increasing their use of these substances. For example, the Czech Republic and Slovakia, which have been below the European average for active substance consumption per hectare for the last eleven years, has been reducing its use of plant protection products continuously for the last eleven years. Some other countries, in the contrary, have stagnated or even increased their use of pesticides over this period. It is discriminatory against more responsible and successful countries, including the Czech Republic and Slovakia, to introduce reference years others than 2011-2013, the years in which the first data collection took place, and since which the Czech Republic has successfully, regularly and annually reduced the use of active substances per hectare. The objectives of the European Green Deal and Farm to Fork can only be met in cooperation with farmers, who must be positively motivated to change and whose efforts to date must be recognised and rewarded.

Amendment 585

Dan-Ştefan Motreanu, Carmen Avram, Marian-Jean Marinescu, Daniel Buda

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 38

Text proposed by the Commission

(38) Statistical data on plant protection products collected in accordance with Regulation (EC) No 1185/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council⁷⁴ should be used in calculating these harmonised risk indicators and progress towards achieving **binding** Union and national **targets** based on the Farm to Fork Strategy. Given that pesticide use fluctuates between years depending, in particular, on the weather, a three year baseline period is appropriate to take account of such fluctuations. The baseline

Amendment

(38) Statistical data on plant protection products collected in accordance with Regulation (EC) No 1185/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council⁷⁴ should be used in calculating these harmonised risk indicators and progress towards achieving Union **targets** and national **contributions** based on the Farm to Fork Strategy. Given that pesticide use fluctuates between years depending, in particular, on the weather, a three year baseline period is appropriate to take account of such fluctuations. The baseline

period for the calculation of harmonised risk indicators 1 and 2 is 2011–2013, as this was the first three year period for which data was received by the Commission under Regulation (EC) No 1185/2009 and coincides with the entry into force of Directive 2009/128/EC. The baseline period for the calculation of progress towards the Union **2030** reduction targets is 2015–2017, as this was the three most recent years for which data was available at the time of the announcement of the Farm to Fork Strategy. The baseline period for the calculation of a new harmonised risk indicator 2a is 2022–2024, as this will be the first three year period for which data on the areas treated under each authorisation for an emergency situation in plant protection will be available.

⁷⁴ Regulation (EC) No 1185/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 25 November 2009 concerning statistics on pesticides (OJ L 324, 10.12.2009, p. 1).

period for the calculation of harmonised risk indicators 1 and 2 is 2011–2013, as this was the first three year period for which data was received by the Commission under Regulation (EC) No 1185/2009 and coincides with the entry into force of Directive 2009/128/EC. The baseline period for the calculation of progress towards the Union **2035** reduction targets is 2015–2017, as this was the three most recent years for which data was available at the time of the announcement of the Farm to Fork Strategy. The baseline period for the calculation of a new harmonised risk indicator 2a is 2022–2024, as this will be the first three year period for which data on the areas treated under each authorisation for an emergency situation in plant protection will be available.

⁷⁴ Regulation (EC) No 1185/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 25 November 2009 concerning statistics on pesticides (OJ L 324, 10.12.2009, p. 1).

Or. en

Amendment 586 **Stanislav Polčák**

Proposal for a regulation **Recital 38**

Text proposed by the Commission

(38) K výpočtu těchto harmonizovaných ukazatelů rizika a pokroku v plnění závazných unijních a vnitrostátních cílů na základě strategie „od zemědělce ke spotřebiteli“ by měly být použity statistické údaje o přípravcích na ochranu rostlin shromážděné v souladu s nařízením Evropského parlamentu a Rady (ES) č. 1185/2009⁷⁴. Vzhledem k tomu, že používání pesticidů meziročně kolísá, zejména v závislosti na počasí, je vhodné

Amendment

(38) K výpočtu těchto harmonizovaných ukazatelů rizika a pokroku v plnění závazných unijních a vnitrostátních cílů na základě Strategie „od zemědělce ke spotřebiteli“ by měly být použity statistické údaje o přípravcích na ochranu rostlin shromážděné v souladu s nařízením Evropského parlamentu a Rady (ES) č. 1185/2009⁷⁴. Vzhledem k tomu, že používání pesticidů meziročně kolísá, zejména v závislosti na počasí, je vhodné

tříleté základní období, aby se takové výkyvy zohlednily. Základním obdobím pro výpočet harmonizovaných ukazatelů rizika 1 a 2 je období let 2011–2013, neboť se jednalo o první tříleté období, za které Komise obdržela údaje podle nařízení (ES) č. 1185/2009 a které se shoduje se vstupem směrnice 2009/128/ES v platnost. Základním obdobím pro výpočet pokroku v plnění unijních cílů omezení do roku 2030 je období let 2015–2017, neboť to byly tři poslední roky, za které byly v době oznámení **strategie** „od zemědělce ke spotřebiteli“ k dispozici údaje. Základním obdobím pro výpočet nového harmonizovaného ukazatele rizika 2a je období let 2022–2024, neboť to bude první tříleté období, pro které budou k dispozici údaje o oblastech ošetřených na základě jednotlivých povolení v případě mimořádných stavů při ochraně rostlin.

⁷⁴ Nařízení Evropského parlamentu a Rady (ES) č. 1185/2009 ze dne 25. listopadu 2009 o statistice pesticidů (Úř. věst. L 324, 10.12.2009, s. 1).

tříleté základní období, aby se takové výkyvy zohlednily. Základním obdobím pro výpočet harmonizovaných ukazatelů rizika 1 a 2 je období let 2011–2013, neboť se jednalo o první tříleté období, za které Komise obdržela údaje podle nařízení (ES) č. 1185/2009 a které se shoduje se vstupem směrnice 2009/128/ES v platnost. Základním obdobím pro výpočet pokroku v plnění unijních cílů omezení do roku 2030 je období let 2015–2017, neboť to byly tři poslední roky, za které byly v době oznámení **Strategie** „od zemědělce ke spotřebiteli“ k dispozici údaje. Základním obdobím pro výpočet nového harmonizovaného ukazatele rizika 2a je období let 2022–2024, neboť to bude první tříleté období, pro které budou k dispozici údaje o oblastech ošetřených na základě jednotlivých povolení v případě mimořádných stavů při ochraně rostlin.

⁷⁴ Nařízení Evropského parlamentu a Rady (ES) č. 1185/2009 ze dne 25. listopadu 2009 o statistice pesticidů (Úř. věst. L 324, 10.12.2009, s. 1).

Or. cs

Amendment 587 **Esther de Lange**

Proposal for a regulation **Recital 38**

Text proposed by the Commission

(38) Statistical data on plant protection products collected in accordance with Regulation (EC) No 1185/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council⁷⁴ should be used in calculating these harmonised risk indicators and progress towards achieving binding Union and national targets based on the Farm to Fork Strategy. Given that pesticide use

Amendment

(38) Statistical data on plant protection products collected in accordance with Regulation (EC) No 1185/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council⁷⁴ should be used in calculating these harmonised risk indicators and progress towards achieving binding Union and national targets based on the Farm to Fork Strategy. Given that pesticide use

fluctuates between years depending, in particular, on the weather, a three year baseline period is appropriate to take account of such fluctuations. The baseline period for the calculation of harmonised risk indicators 1 and 2 is 2011–2013, as this was the first three year period for which data was received by the Commission under Regulation (EC) No 1185/2009 and coincides with the entry into force of Directive 2009/128/EC. The baseline period for the calculation of progress towards the Union **2030** reduction targets is **2015–2017**, as this was the three most recent years for which data was available at the time of the announcement of the Farm to Fork Strategy. The baseline period for the calculation of a new harmonised risk indicator 2a is 2022–2024, as this will be the first three year period for which data on the areas treated under each authorisation for an emergency situation in plant protection will be available.

⁷⁴ Regulation (EC) No 1185/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 25 November 2009 concerning statistics on pesticides (OJ L 324, 10.12.2009, p. 1).

fluctuates between years depending, in particular, on the weather, a three year baseline period is appropriate to take account of such fluctuations. The baseline period for the calculation of harmonised risk indicators 1 and 2 is 2011–2013, as this was the first three year period for which data was received by the Commission under Regulation (EC) No 1185/2009 and coincides with the entry into force of Directive 2009/128/EC. The baseline period for the calculation of progress towards the Union **2035** reduction targets is **2010-2020**, as this was the three most recent years for which data was available at the time of the announcement of the Farm to Fork Strategy. The baseline period for the calculation of a new harmonised risk indicator 2a is 2022–2024, as this will be the first three year period for which data on the areas treated under each authorisation for an emergency situation in plant protection will be available.

⁷⁴ Regulation (EC) No 1185/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 25 November 2009 concerning statistics on pesticides (OJ L 324, 10.12.2009, p. 1).

Or. en

Amendment 588

Inese Vaidere

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 38

Text proposed by the Commission

(38) Statistical data on plant protection products collected in accordance with Regulation (EC) No 1185/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council⁷⁴ should be used in calculating these harmonised risk indicators and progress towards achieving **binding** Union and

Amendment

(38) Statistical data on plant protection products collected in accordance with Regulation (EC) No 1185/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council⁷⁴ should be used in calculating these harmonised risk indicators and progress towards achieving **the** Union and national

national targets based on the Farm to Fork Strategy. Given that pesticide use fluctuates between years depending, in particular, on the weather, a three year baseline period is appropriate to take account of such fluctuations. The baseline period for the calculation of harmonised risk indicators 1 and 2 is 2011–2013, as this was the first three year period for which data was received by the Commission under Regulation (EC) No 1185/2009 and coincides with the entry into force of Directive 2009/128/EC. The baseline period for the calculation of progress towards the Union 2030 reduction targets is 2015–2017, as this was the three most recent years for which data was available at the time of the announcement of the Farm to Fork Strategy. The baseline period for the calculation of a new harmonised risk indicator 2a is 2022–2024, as this will be the first three year period for which data on the areas treated under each authorisation for an emergency situation in plant protection will be available.

⁷⁴ Regulation (EC) No 1185/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 25 November 2009 concerning statistics on pesticides (OJ L 324, 10.12.2009, p. 1).

targets based on the Farm to Fork Strategy. Given that pesticide use fluctuates between years depending, in particular, on the weather, a three year baseline period is appropriate to take account of such fluctuations. The baseline period for the calculation of harmonised risk indicators 1 and 2 is 2011–2013, as this was the first three year period for which data was received by the Commission under Regulation (EC) No 1185/2009 and coincides with the entry into force of Directive 2009/128/EC. The baseline period for the calculation of progress towards the Union 2030 reduction targets is 2015–2017, as this was the three most recent years for which data was available at the time of the announcement of the Farm to Fork Strategy. The baseline period for the calculation of a new harmonised risk indicator 2a is 2022–2024, as this will be the first three year period for which data on the areas treated under each authorisation for an emergency situation in plant protection will be available.

⁷⁴ Regulation (EC) No 1185/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 25 November 2009 concerning statistics on pesticides (OJ L 324, 10.12.2009, p. 1).

Or. en

Amendment 589

Peter Liese

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 38

Text proposed by the Commission

(38) Statistical data on plant protection products collected in accordance with Regulation (EC) No 1185/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council⁷⁴ should be used in calculating these

Amendment

(38) Statistical data on plant protection products collected in accordance with Regulation (EC) No 1185/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council⁷⁴ should be used in calculating these

harmonised risk indicators and progress towards achieving binding Union and national targets based on the Farm to Fork Strategy. Given that pesticide use fluctuates between years depending, in particular, on the weather, a three year baseline period is appropriate to take account of such fluctuations. The baseline period for the calculation of harmonised risk indicators 1 and 2 is 2011–2013, as this was the first three year period for which data was received by the Commission under Regulation (EC) No 1185/2009 and coincides with the entry into force of Directive 2009/128/EC. The baseline period for the calculation of progress towards the Union **2030** reduction targets is 2015–**2017**, as this was the three most recent years for which data was available at the time of the announcement of the Farm to Fork Strategy. The baseline period for the calculation of a new harmonised risk indicator 2a is 2022–2024, as this will be the first three year period for which data on the areas treated under each authorisation for an emergency situation in plant protection will be available.

⁷⁴ Regulation (EC) No 1185/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 25 November 2009 concerning statistics on pesticides (OJ L 324, 10.12.2009, p. 1).

harmonised risk indicators and progress towards achieving binding Union and national targets based on the Farm to Fork Strategy. Given that pesticide use fluctuates between years depending, in particular, on the weather, a three year baseline period is appropriate to take account of such fluctuations. The baseline period for the calculation of harmonised risk indicators 1 and 2 is 2011–2013, as this was the first three year period for which data was received by the Commission under Regulation (EC) No 1185/2009 and coincides with the entry into force of Directive 2009/128/EC. The baseline period for the calculation of progress towards the Union reduction targets is **2013**–2015, as this was the three most recent years for which data was available at the time of the announcement of the Farm to Fork Strategy. The baseline period for the calculation of a new harmonised risk indicator 2a is 2022–2024, as this will be the first three year period for which data on the areas treated under each authorisation for an emergency situation in plant protection will be available.

⁷⁴ Regulation (EC) No 1185/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 25 November 2009 concerning statistics on pesticides (OJ L 324, 10.12.2009, p. 1).

Or. en

Amendment 590

Jessica Polfjärd

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 38

Text proposed by the Commission

(38) Statistical data on plant protection products collected in accordance with Regulation (EC) No 1185/2009 of the

Amendment

(38) Statistical data on plant protection products collected in accordance with Regulation (EC) No 1185/2009 of the

European Parliament and of the Council⁷⁴ should be used in calculating these harmonised risk indicators and progress towards achieving **binding** Union and national targets based on the Farm to Fork Strategy. Given that pesticide use fluctuates between years depending, in particular, on the weather, a three year baseline period is appropriate to take account of such fluctuations. The baseline period for the calculation of harmonised risk indicators 1 and 2 is 2011–2013, as this was the first three year period for which data was received by the Commission under Regulation (EC) No 1185/2009 and coincides with the entry into force of Directive 2009/128/EC. The baseline period for the calculation of progress towards the Union 2030 reduction targets is 2015–2017, as this was the three most recent years for which data was available at the time of the announcement of the Farm to Fork Strategy. The baseline period for the calculation of a new harmonised risk indicator 2a is 2022–2024, as this will be the first three year period for which data on the areas treated under each authorisation for an emergency situation in plant protection will be available.

⁷⁴ Regulation (EC) No 1185/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 25 November 2009 concerning statistics on pesticides (OJ L 324, 10.12.2009, p. 1).

European Parliament and of the Council⁷⁴ should be used in calculating these harmonised risk indicators and progress towards achieving Union and national targets based on the Farm to Fork Strategy. Given that pesticide use fluctuates between years depending, in particular, on the weather, a three year baseline period is appropriate to take account of such fluctuations. The baseline period for the calculation of harmonised risk indicators 1 and 2 is 2011–2013, as this was the first three year period for which data was received by the Commission under Regulation (EC) No 1185/2009 and coincides with the entry into force of Directive 2009/128/EC. The baseline period for the calculation of progress towards the Union 2030 reduction targets is 2015–2017, as this was the three most recent years for which data was available at the time of the announcement of the Farm to Fork Strategy. The baseline period for the calculation of a new harmonised risk indicator 2a is 2022–2024, as this will be the first three year period for which data on the areas treated under each authorisation for an emergency situation in plant protection will be available.

⁷⁴ Regulation (EC) No 1185/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 25 November 2009 concerning statistics on pesticides (OJ L 324, 10.12.2009, p. 1).

Or. en

Amendment 591

Sylvia Limmer

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 38

Text proposed by the Commission

(38) Zur Berechnung dieser

Amendment

(38) Zur Berechnung dieser

harmonisierten Risikoindikatoren und zur Bestimmung des Fortschritts bei der Erreichung **der verbindlichen Unionsziele** und nationalen **Ziele** auf der Grundlage der Strategie „Vom Hof auf den Tisch“ sollten gemäß Verordnung (EG) Nr. 1185/2009 des Europäischen Parlaments und des Rates⁷⁴ erhobene statistische Daten zu Pflanzenschutzmitteln verwendet werden. Da die Verwendung von Pestiziden in Abhängigkeit insbesondere des Wetters zwischen den Jahren schwankt, ist ein Referenzzeitraum von drei Jahren angemessen, um diese Schwankungen zu berücksichtigen. Der Referenzzeitraum für die Berechnung der harmonisierten Risikoindikatoren 1 und 2 ist 2011–2013, da dies der erste Dreijahreszeitraum ist, für den die Kommission Daten gemäß Verordnung (EG) Nr. 1185/2009 erhalten hat. Außerdem fällt er mit dem Inkrafttreten der Richtlinie 2009/128/EG zusammen. Der Referenzzeitraum für die Berechnung des Fortschritts bei den Reduktionszielen der Union bis 2030 ist 2015–2017, da dies zum Zeitpunkt der Mitteilung der Strategie „Vom Hof auf den Tisch“ die letzten drei Jahre waren, für die Daten vorlagen. Der Referenzzeitraum für die Berechnung des neuen harmonisierten Risikoindikators 2a ist 2022–2024, da dies der erste Dreijahreszeitraum ist, für den Daten zu den Gebieten zur Verfügung stehen werden, die aufgrund jeder einzelnen Zulassung bei einer Notfallsituation im Pflanzenschutz behandelt wurden.

⁷⁴ Verordnung (EG) Nr. 1185/2009 des Europäischen Parlaments und des Rates vom 25. November 2009 über Statistiken zu Pestiziden (ABl. L 324 vom 10.12.2009, S. 1).

harmonisierten Risikoindikatoren und zur Bestimmung des Fortschritts bei der Erreichung **von Unionszielen** und nationalen **Zielen** auf der Grundlage der Strategie „Vom Hof auf den Tisch“ sollten gemäß Verordnung (EG) Nr. 1185/2009 des Europäischen Parlaments und des Rates⁷⁴ erhobene statistische Daten zu Pflanzenschutzmitteln verwendet werden. Da die Verwendung von Pestiziden in Abhängigkeit insbesondere des Wetters zwischen den Jahren schwankt, ist ein Referenzzeitraum von drei Jahren angemessen, um diese Schwankungen zu berücksichtigen. Der Referenzzeitraum für die Berechnung der harmonisierten Risikoindikatoren 1 und 2 ist 2011–2013, da dies der erste Dreijahreszeitraum ist, für den die Kommission Daten gemäß Verordnung (EG) Nr. 1185/2009 erhalten hat. Außerdem fällt er mit dem Inkrafttreten der Richtlinie 2009/128/EG zusammen. Der Referenzzeitraum für die Berechnung des Fortschritts bei den Reduktionszielen der Union bis 2030 ist 2015–2017, da dies zum Zeitpunkt der Mitteilung der Strategie „Vom Hof auf den Tisch“ die letzten drei Jahre waren, für die Daten vorlagen. Der Referenzzeitraum für die Berechnung des neuen harmonisierten Risikoindikators 2a ist 2022–2024, da dies der erste Dreijahreszeitraum ist, für den Daten zu den Gebieten zur Verfügung stehen werden, die aufgrund jeder einzelnen Zulassung bei einer Notfallsituation im Pflanzenschutz behandelt wurden.

⁷⁴ Verordnung (EG) Nr. 1185/2009 des Europäischen Parlaments und des Rates vom 25. November 2009 über Statistiken zu Pestiziden (ABl. L 324 vom 10.12.2009, S. 1).

Or. de

Amendment 592

Franč Bogovič, Marlene Mortler, Ljudmila Novak, Alexander Bernhuber

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 38

Text proposed by the Commission

(38) Statistical data on plant protection products collected in accordance with Regulation (EC) No 1185/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council⁷⁴ should be used in calculating these harmonised risk indicators and progress towards achieving **binding** Union **and national targets** based on the Farm to Fork Strategy. Given that pesticide use fluctuates between years depending, in particular, on the weather, a three year baseline period is appropriate to take account of such fluctuations. The baseline period for the calculation of harmonised risk indicators 1 and 2 is 2011–2013, as this was the first three year period for which data was received by the Commission under Regulation (EC) No 1185/2009 and coincides with the entry into force of Directive 2009/128/EC. The baseline period for the calculation of progress towards the Union 2030 reduction targets is 2015–2017, as this was the three most recent years for which data was available at the time of the announcement of the Farm to Fork Strategy. The baseline period for the calculation of a new harmonised risk indicator 2a is 2022–2024, as this will be the first three year period for which data on the areas treated under each authorisation for an emergency situation in plant protection will be available.

⁷⁴ Regulation (EC) No 1185/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 25 November 2009 concerning statistics on pesticides (OJ L 324, 10.12.2009, p. 1).

Amendment

(38) Statistical data on plant protection products collected in accordance with Regulation (EC) No 1185/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council⁷⁴ should be used in calculating these harmonised risk indicators and progress towards achieving Union **target** based on the Farm to Fork Strategy. Given that pesticide use fluctuates between years depending, in particular, on the weather, a three year baseline period is appropriate to take account of such fluctuations. The baseline period for the calculation of harmonised risk indicators 1 and 2 is 2011–2013, as this was the first three year period for which data was received by the Commission under Regulation (EC) No 1185/2009 and coincides with the entry into force of Directive 2009/128/EC. The baseline period for the calculation of progress towards the Union 2030 reduction targets is 2015–2017, as this was the three most recent years for which data was available at the time of the announcement of the Farm to Fork Strategy. The baseline period for the calculation of a new harmonised risk indicator 2a is 2022–2024, as this will be the first three year period for which data on the areas treated under each authorisation for an emergency situation in plant protection will be available.

⁷⁴ Regulation (EC) No 1185/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 25 November 2009 concerning statistics on pesticides (OJ L 324, 10.12.2009, p. 1).

Or. en

Amendment 593 Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław Kalinowski, Joanna Kopcińska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 38

Text proposed by the Commission

(38) Statistical data on plant protection products collected in accordance with Regulation (EC) No 1185/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council⁷⁴ should be used in calculating these harmonised risk indicators and progress towards achieving binding Union **and national** targets based on the Farm to Fork Strategy. Given that pesticide use fluctuates between years depending, in particular, on the weather, a three year baseline period is appropriate to take account of such fluctuations. The baseline period for the calculation of harmonised risk indicators 1 and 2 is 2011–2013, as this was the first three year period for which data was received by the Commission under Regulation (EC) No 1185/2009 and coincides with the entry into force of Directive 2009/128/EC. The baseline period for the calculation of progress towards the Union 2030 reduction targets is 2015–2017, as this was the three most recent years for which data was available at the time of the announcement of the Farm to Fork Strategy. The baseline period for the calculation of a new harmonised risk indicator 2a is 2022–2024, as this will be the first three year period for which data on the areas treated under each authorisation for an emergency situation in plant protection will be available.

⁷⁴ Regulation (EC) No 1185/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 25 November 2009 concerning statistics on pesticides (OJ L 324, 10.12.2009, p. 1).

Amendment

(38) Statistical data on plant protection products collected in accordance with Regulation (EC) No 1185/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council⁷⁴ should be used in calculating these harmonised risk indicators and progress towards achieving binding Union targets based on the Farm to Fork Strategy. Given that pesticide use fluctuates between years depending, in particular, on the weather, a three year baseline period is appropriate to take account of such fluctuations. The baseline period for the calculation of harmonised risk indicators 1 and 2 is 2011–2013, as this was the first three year period for which data was received by the Commission under Regulation (EC) No 1185/2009 and coincides with the entry into force of Directive 2009/128/EC. The baseline period for the calculation of progress towards the Union 2030 reduction targets is 2015–2017, as this was the three most recent years for which data was available at the time of the announcement of the Farm to Fork Strategy. The baseline period for the calculation of a new harmonised risk indicator 2a is 2022–2024, as this will be the first three year period for which data on the areas treated under each authorisation for an emergency situation in plant protection will be available.

⁷⁴ Regulation (EC) No 1185/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 25 November 2009 concerning statistics on pesticides (OJ L 324, 10.12.2009, p. 1).

Amendment 594

Dan-Ștefan Motreanu, Carmen Avram, Marian-Jean Marinescu, Daniel Buda

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 38 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(38 a) When use data of plant protection products become available as result of the entry into force of the agricultural statistics regulation (SAIO), the European Commission should work towards moving away from reduction objectives based on sales data and hazard of plant protection products to a more precise and comprehensive picture on agriculture, including actual uses per crops, per region plus productivity and land-use data. Impact-based indicators should be developed combining use data of plant protection products with an indicator considering fate, exposure and effect per active ingredient applied on the field. Models which fulfil these criteria already exist and are currently used at national level, such as SYNOPS in Germany, PestLCI and USEtox. An indicator based on monitoring results of pesticides levels in environmental matrices such as water, soil and air, should complement this approach.

Or. en

Amendment 595

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjärd

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 39

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(39) For the moment, the only robust statistical data available at Union level relating to the marketing and use of plant protection products are the statistics on the quantities of active substances in plant protection products placed on the market, and the data on the number of authorisations for emergency situations in plant protection granted under Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009. Those statistics are used in the calculation of harmonised risk indicators 1 and 2 under Directive 2009/128/EC and in calculating progress towards the binding Union 2030 reduction targets and national 2030 reduction targets based on the Farm to Fork Strategy. The new harmonised risk indicator 2a will be calculated using statistics on the number of authorisations for emergency situations in plant protection, the properties of the active substances in plant protection products subject to these authorisations, and the areas treated under these authorisations to better quantify the risks arising from authorisations for emergency situations in plant protection.

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 596

Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 39

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(39) For the moment, the only robust statistical data available at Union level relating to the marketing and use of plant protection products are the statistics on the quantities of active substances in plant protection products placed on the market,

(39) For the moment, the only robust statistical data available at Union level relating to the marketing and use of plant protection products are the statistics on the quantities of active substances in plant protection products placed on the market,

and the data on the number of authorisations for emergency situations in plant protection granted under Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009. Those statistics are used in the calculation of harmonised risk indicators 1 and 2 under Directive 2009/128/EC and in calculating progress towards the binding Union 2030 reduction targets and national 2030 reduction targets based on the Farm to Fork Strategy. The new harmonised risk indicator 2a will be calculated using statistics on the number of authorisations for emergency situations in plant protection, the properties of the active substances in plant protection products subject to these authorisations, and the areas treated under these authorisations to better quantify the risks arising from authorisations for emergency situations in plant protection.

and the data on the number of authorisations for emergency situations in plant protection granted under Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009. Those statistics are used in the calculation of harmonised risk indicators 1 and 2 under Directive 2009/128/EC and in calculating progress towards the binding Union 2030 reduction targets and national 2030 reduction targets based on the Farm to Fork Strategy. ***However the current harmonized risk indicator 1 is not suitable for monitoring progress towards these targets as it leads to an underestimation of the risk of synthetic chemical plant protection products and an overestimation of the risk of plant protection products authorised in organic farming. It is therefore appropriate to adapt the harmonised risk indicator 1 by standardizing the sales volume with the mean application rate per active substance based on their representative uses.*** The new harmonised risk indicator 2a will be calculated using statistics on the number of authorisations for emergency situations in plant protection, the properties of the active substances in plant protection products subject to these authorisations, and the areas treated under these authorisations to better quantify the risks arising from authorisations for emergency situations in plant protection.

Or. en

Amendment 597
Ulrike Müller

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 39

Text proposed by the Commission

(39) For the moment, the only robust statistical data available at Union level relating to the marketing and use of plant

Amendment

(39) For the moment, the only robust statistical data available at Union level relating to the marketing and use of plant

protection products are the statistics on the quantities of active substances in plant protection products placed on the market, and the data on the number of authorisations for emergency situations in plant protection granted under Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009. Those statistics are used in the calculation of harmonised risk indicators 1 and 2 under Directive 2009/128/EC and in calculating progress towards the binding Union 2030 reduction targets and national 2030 reduction targets based on the Farm to Fork Strategy. The new harmonised risk indicator 2a will be calculated using statistics on the number of authorisations for emergency situations in plant protection, the properties of the active substances in plant protection products subject to these authorisations, and the areas treated under these authorisations to better quantify the risks arising from authorisations for emergency situations in plant protection.

protection products are the statistics on the quantities of active substances in plant protection products placed on the market, and the data on the number of authorisations for emergency situations in plant protection granted under Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009. Those statistics are used in the calculation of harmonised risk indicators 1 and 2 under Directive 2009/128/EC and in calculating progress towards the binding Union 2030 reduction targets and national 2030 reduction targets based on the Farm to Fork Strategy. ***Due to the short timeline of targets set under this regulation and due to the lack of impact assessments on the proposed reduction targets, farmers are facing a high level of uncertainty. In order to reduce the level of uncertainty and due to the lack of relevant statistical data for their further development, harmonised risk indicators 1 and 2 should be maintained in their current form in principle.*** The new harmonised risk indicator 2a will be calculated using statistics on the number of authorisations for emergency situations in plant protection, the properties of the active substances in plant protection products subject to these authorisations, and the areas treated under these authorisations to better quantify the risks arising from authorisations for emergency situations in plant protection.

Or. en

Amendment 598

Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Peter Lundgren, Hermann Tertsch, Margarita de la Pisa Carrión, Mazaly Aguilar, Evžen Tošenovský, Sergio Berlato, Jorge Buxadé Villalba, Eugen Jurzyca, Pietro Fiocchi, Charlie Weimers, Alexander Bernhuber, Johan Nissinen

Proposal for a regulation Recital 39

(39) ***For the moment, the only robust statistical data available at Union level relating to the marketing and use of plant protection products are the statistics on the quantities of active substances in plant protection products placed on the market, and the data on the number of authorisations for emergency situations in plant protection granted under Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009. Those statistics are used in the calculation of harmonised risk indicators 1 and 2 under Directive 2009/128/EC and in calculating progress towards the binding Union 2030 reduction targets and national 2030 reduction targets based on the Farm to Fork Strategy. The new harmonised risk indicator 2a will be calculated using statistics on the number of authorisations for emergency situations in plant protection, the properties of the active substances in plant protection products subject to these authorisations, and the areas treated under these authorisations to better quantify the risks arising from authorisations for emergency situations in plant protection.***

(39) ***The EU Biodiversity Strategy for 2030 recognises the need for urgent action to protect biodiversity. There is evidence of a widespread reduction of species, in particular insects and pollinators, in the Union. Biodiversity loss is, amongst other factors such as decrease in livestock production or a deviation from the principles of the circular economy, driven by the incorrect or redundant use of plant protection products. It is therefore essential to ensure that plant protection products are used in such a way as to mitigate the risk of harmful effects of such products on wildlife, through a number of measures including training, inspection of application equipment in professional use and protection of the aquatic environment and sensitive areas.***

Or. en

Justification

The European Commission supports the principles of circular economy, which are beneficial not only for the climate and the environment, but also for biodiversity. This should not be forgotten when new rules on plant protection products are being drawn up either. Circular farming must be circular, not linear, so all agricultural practices and production that benefit circularity, including the important role of livestock production, must be reflected. Another factor to be taken into account is the unavailability of alternative active substances to synthetic plant protection products. In many cases, the alternatives available so far are not nearly as effective, leading to situations where, instead of a one-off application of a few micrograms of an active substance that is effective against only one pest species, alternative active substances must be applied at doses more than 100 times higher, which must be applied repeatedly, and which kill all insects present in the fields at the time of spraying, rather than targeting only the problematic pest directly. Such practices also have a detrimental effect on biodiversity conservation. The aim of the new rules should not be a headlong reduction as much as possible, but a realistic adjustment of the rules to take

account of the complexity of the situation, to maintain the viability of farmers, to ensure food security and to strengthen sustainable agricultural production in the EU. Any reduction targets should be justified not only by unquestionable scientific data, but also complemented by a comprehensive assessment of the impacts on biodiversity, the environment, economic viability, functionality and efficiency, etc. An example in practice: We are to apply the XY plant protection product against powdery mildew, about 200 g of authorized active substance, which will actually take effect and thereby stop the spread of the harm

Amendment 599

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 39

Text proposed by the Commission

(39) For the moment, the only robust statistical data available at Union level relating to the marketing and use of plant protection products are the statistics on the quantities of active substances in plant protection products placed on the market, and the data on the number of authorisations for emergency situations in plant protection granted under Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009. Those statistics are used in the calculation of harmonised risk indicators 1 and 2 under Directive 2009/128/EC and in calculating progress towards the binding Union 2030 reduction targets and national 2030 reduction targets based on the Farm to Fork Strategy. The new harmonised risk indicator 2a will be calculated using statistics on the number of authorisations for emergency situations in plant protection, the properties of the active substances in plant protection products subject to these authorisations, **and** the areas treated under these authorisations to better quantify the risks arising from authorisations for emergency situations in plant protection.

Amendment

(39) For the moment, the only robust statistical data available at Union level relating to the marketing and use of plant protection products are the statistics on the quantities of active substances in plant protection products placed on the market, and the data on the number of authorisations for emergency situations in plant protection granted under Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009, **and the maximum application rate given in the legal requirements of the approval of the pesticide**. Those statistics **and data** are used in the calculation of harmonised risk indicators 1 and 2 under Directive 2009/128/EC and in calculating progress towards the binding Union 2030 reduction targets and national 2030 reduction targets based on the Farm to Fork Strategy. The new harmonised risk indicator 2a will be calculated using statistics **and data** on the number of authorisations for emergency situations in plant protection, the properties of the active substances in plant protection products subject to these authorisations, the areas treated under these authorisations **and the maximum application rate given in the legal requirements of the approval of the pesticide** to better quantify the risks arising from authorisations for emergency situations in plant protection.

Amendment 600**Peter Liese****Proposal for a regulation****Recital 39***Text proposed by the Commission*

(39) For the moment, the only robust statistical data available at Union level relating to the marketing and use of plant protection products are the statistics on the quantities of active substances in plant protection products placed on the market, and the data on the number of authorisations for emergency situations in plant protection granted under Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009. Those statistics are used in the calculation of harmonised risk indicators 1 and 2 under Directive 2009/128/EC and in calculating progress towards the binding Union **2030** reduction targets and national **2030** reduction targets ***based on the Farm to Fork Strategy***. The new harmonised risk indicator 2a will be calculated using statistics on the number of authorisations for emergency situations in plant protection, the properties of the active substances in plant protection products subject to these authorisations, and the areas treated under these authorisations to better quantify the risks arising from authorisations for emergency situations in plant protection.

Amendment

(39) For the moment, the only robust statistical data available at Union level relating to the marketing and use of plant protection products are the statistics on the quantities of active substances in plant protection products placed on the market, and the data on the number of authorisations for emergency situations in plant protection granted under Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009. Those statistics are used in the calculation of harmonised risk indicators 1 and 2 under Directive 2009/128/EC and in calculating progress towards the binding Union reduction targets and national reduction targets. The new harmonised risk indicator 2a will be calculated using statistics on the number of authorisations for emergency situations in plant protection, the properties of the active substances in plant protection products subject to these authorisations, and the areas treated under these authorisations to better quantify the risks arising from authorisations for emergency situations in plant protection.

Amendment 601**Franz Bogovič, Marlene Mortler, Ljudmila Novak, Alexander Bernhuber****Proposal for a regulation****Recital 39**

Text proposed by the Commission

(39) For the moment, the only robust statistical data available at Union level relating to the marketing and use of plant protection products are the statistics on the quantities of active substances in plant protection products placed on the market, and the data on the number of authorisations for emergency situations in plant protection granted under Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009. Those statistics are used in the calculation of harmonised risk indicators 1 and 2 under Directive 2009/128/EC and in calculating progress towards the **binding Union 2030 reduction targets and national** 2030 reduction targets based on the Farm to Fork Strategy. The new harmonised risk indicator 2a will be calculated using statistics on the number of authorisations for emergency situations in plant protection, the properties of the active substances in plant protection products subject to these authorisations, and the areas treated under these authorisations to better quantify the risks arising from authorisations for emergency situations in plant protection.

Amendment

(39) For the moment, the only robust statistical data available at Union level relating to the marketing and use of plant protection products are the statistics on the quantities of active substances in plant protection products placed on the market, and the data on the number of authorisations for emergency situations in plant protection granted under Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009. Those statistics are used in the calculation of harmonised risk indicators 1 and 2 under Directive 2009/128/EC and in calculating progress towards the Union 2030 reduction targets based on the Farm to Fork Strategy. The new harmonised risk indicator 2a will be calculated using statistics on the number of authorisations for emergency situations in plant protection, the properties of the active substances in plant protection products subject to these authorisations, and the areas treated under these authorisations to better quantify the risks arising from authorisations for emergency situations in plant protection.

Or. en

Amendment 602

Edina Tóth

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 39

Text proposed by the Commission

(39) For the moment, the only robust statistical data available at Union level relating to the marketing and use of plant protection products are the statistics on the quantities of active substances in plant protection products placed on the market, and the data on the number of

Amendment

(39) For the moment, the only robust statistical data available at Union level relating to the marketing and use of plant protection products are the statistics on the quantities of active substances in plant protection products placed on the market, and the data on the number of

authorisations for emergency situations in plant protection granted under Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009. Those statistics are used in the calculation of harmonised risk indicators 1 and 2 under Directive 2009/128/EC and in calculating progress towards the binding Union 2030 reduction targets **and national 2030 reduction targets** based on the Farm to Fork Strategy. The new harmonised risk indicator 2a will be calculated using statistics on the number of authorisations for emergency situations in plant protection, the properties of the active substances in plant protection products subject to these authorisations, and the areas treated under these authorisations to better quantify the risks arising from authorisations for emergency situations in plant protection.

authorisations for emergency situations in plant protection granted under Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009. Those statistics are used in the calculation of harmonised risk indicators 1 and 2 under Directive 2009/128/EC and in calculating progress towards the binding Union 2030 reduction targets based on the Farm to Fork Strategy. The new harmonised risk indicator 2a will be calculated using statistics on the number of authorisations for emergency situations in plant protection, the properties of the active substances in plant protection products subject to these authorisations, and the areas treated under these authorisations to better quantify the risks arising from authorisations for emergency situations in plant protection.

Or. en

Amendment 603

Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław Kalinowski, Joanna Kopcińska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

Proposal for a regulation Recital 39

Text proposed by the Commission

(39) For the moment, the only robust statistical data available at Union level relating to the marketing and use of plant protection products are the statistics on the quantities of active substances in plant protection products placed on the market, and the data on the number of authorisations for emergency situations in plant protection granted under Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009. Those statistics are used in the calculation of harmonised risk indicators 1 and 2 under Directive 2009/128/EC and in calculating progress towards the binding Union 2030 reduction targets **and national 2030 reduction targets** based on the Farm to Fork Strategy.

Amendment

(39) For the moment, the only robust statistical data available at Union level relating to the marketing and use of plant protection products are the statistics on the quantities of active substances in plant protection products placed on the market, and the data on the number of authorisations for emergency situations in plant protection granted under Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009. Those statistics are used in the calculation of harmonised risk indicators 1 and 2 under Directive 2009/128/EC and in calculating progress towards the binding Union 2030 reduction targets based on the Farm to Fork Strategy. The new harmonised risk indicator 2a will

The new harmonised risk indicator 2a will be calculated using statistics on the number of authorisations for emergency situations in plant protection, the properties of the active substances in plant protection products subject to these authorisations, and the areas treated under these authorisations to better quantify the risks arising from authorisations for emergency situations in plant protection.

be calculated using statistics on the number of authorisations for emergency situations in plant protection, the properties of the active substances in plant protection products subject to these authorisations, and the areas treated under these authorisations to better quantify the risks arising from authorisations for emergency situations in plant protection.

Or. en

Amendment 604 **Stanislav Polčák**

Proposal for a regulation **Recital 39**

Text proposed by the Commission

(39) V současnosti jsou jedinými spolehlivými statistickými údaji, které jsou na úrovni Unie k dispozici ohledně uvádění přípravků na ochranu rostlin na trh a jejich používání, statistiky o množství účinných látek v přípravcích na ochranu rostlin uváděných na trh a údaje o počtu povolení v případě mimořádných stavů při ochraně rostlin udělených podle nařízení (ES) č. 1107/2009. Uvedené statistiky se používají při výpočtu harmonizovaných ukazatelů rizika 1 a 2 podle směrnice 2009/128/ES a při výpočtu pokroku v plnění závazných unijních cílů omezení do roku 2030 a vnitrostátních cílů omezení do roku 2030 na základě **strategie** „od zemědělce ke spotřebiteli“. Nový harmonizovaný ukazatel rizika 2a bude vypočítán pomocí statistik o počtu povolení v případě mimořádných stavů při ochraně rostlin, vlastnostech účinných látek v přípravcích na ochranu rostlin podléhajících těmto povolením a plochách ošetřených na základě těchto povolení, aby se lépe kvantifikovala rizika vyplývající z povolení v případě mimořádných stavů při

Amendment

(39) V současnosti jsou jedinými spolehlivými statistickými údaji, které jsou na úrovni Unie k dispozici ohledně uvádění přípravků na ochranu rostlin na trh a jejich používání, statistiky o množství účinných látek v přípravcích na ochranu rostlin uváděných na trh a údaje o počtu povolení v případě mimořádných stavů při ochraně rostlin udělených podle nařízení (ES) č. 1107/2009. Uvedené statistiky se používají při výpočtu harmonizovaných ukazatelů rizika 1 a 2 podle směrnice 2009/128/ES a při výpočtu pokroku v plnění závazných unijních cílů omezení do roku 2030 a vnitrostátních cílů omezení do roku 2030 na základě **Strategie** „od zemědělce ke spotřebiteli“. Nový harmonizovaný ukazatel rizika 2a bude vypočítán pomocí statistik o počtu povolení v případě mimořádných stavů při ochraně rostlin, vlastnostech účinných látek v přípravcích na ochranu rostlin podléhajících těmto povolením a plochách ošetřených na základě těchto povolení, aby se lépe kvantifikovala rizika vyplývající z povolení v případě mimořádných stavů při

ochraně rostlin.

ochraně rostlin.

Or. cs

Amendment 605

Esther de Lange

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 39

Text proposed by the Commission

(39) For the moment, the only robust statistical data available at Union level relating to the marketing and use of plant protection products are the statistics on the quantities of active substances in plant protection products placed on the market, and the data on the number of authorisations for emergency situations in plant protection granted under Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009. Those statistics are used in the calculation of harmonised risk indicators 1 and 2 under Directive 2009/128/EC and in calculating progress towards the binding Union **2030** reduction targets and national **2030** reduction targets based on the Farm to Fork Strategy. The new harmonised risk indicator 2a will be calculated using statistics on the number of authorisations for emergency situations in plant protection, the properties of the active substances in plant protection products subject to these authorisations, and the areas treated under these authorisations to better quantify the risks arising from authorisations for emergency situations in plant protection.

Amendment

(39) For the moment, the only robust statistical data available at Union level relating to the marketing and use of plant protection products are the statistics on the quantities of active substances in plant protection products placed on the market, and the data on the number of authorisations for emergency situations in plant protection granted under Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009. Those statistics are used in the calculation of harmonised risk indicators 1 and 2 under Directive 2009/128/EC and in calculating progress towards the binding Union **2035** reduction targets and national **2035** reduction targets based on the Farm to Fork Strategy. The new harmonised risk indicator 2a will be calculated using statistics on the number of authorisations for emergency situations in plant protection, the properties of the active substances in plant protection products subject to these authorisations, and the areas treated under these authorisations to better quantify the risks arising from authorisations for emergency situations in plant protection.

Or. en

Amendment 606

Dan-Ștefan Motreanu, Carmen Avram, Marian-Jean Marinescu, Daniel Buda

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 39

Text proposed by the Commission

(39) For the moment, the only robust statistical data available at Union level relating to the marketing and use of plant protection products are the statistics on the quantities of active substances in plant protection products placed on the market, and the data on the number of authorisations for emergency situations in plant protection granted under Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009. Those statistics are used in the calculation of harmonised risk indicators 1 and 2 under Directive 2009/128/EC and in calculating progress towards the binding Union **2030** reduction targets and national **2030** reduction **targets based on the Farm to Fork Strategy**. The new harmonised risk indicator 2a will be calculated using statistics on the number of authorisations for emergency situations in plant protection, the properties of the active substances in plant protection products subject to these authorisations, and the areas treated under these authorisations to better quantify the risks arising from authorisations for emergency situations in plant protection.

Amendment

(39) For the moment, the only robust statistical data available at Union level relating to the marketing and use of plant protection products are the statistics on the quantities of active substances in plant protection products placed on the market, and the data on the number of authorisations for emergency situations in plant protection granted under Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009. Those statistics are used in the calculation of harmonised risk indicators 1 and 2 under Directive 2009/128/EC and in calculating progress towards the binding Union **2035** reduction targets and national **2035** reduction **contributions**. The new harmonised risk indicator 2a will be calculated using statistics on the number of authorisations for emergency situations in plant protection, the properties of the active substances in plant protection products subject to these authorisations, and the areas treated under these authorisations to better quantify the risks arising from authorisations for emergency situations in plant protection.

Or. en

Amendment 607
Jessica Polfjärd

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 39

Text proposed by the Commission

(39) For the moment, the only robust statistical data available at Union level relating to the marketing and use of plant protection products are the statistics on the quantities of active substances in plant

Amendment

(39) For the moment, the only robust statistical data available at Union level relating to the marketing and use of plant protection products are the statistics on the quantities of active substances in plant

protection products placed on the market, and the data on the number of authorisations for emergency situations in plant protection granted under Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009. Those statistics are used in the calculation of harmonised risk indicators 1 and 2 under Directive 2009/128/EC and in calculating progress towards the **binding** Union 2030 reduction targets and national 2030 reduction targets based on the Farm to Fork Strategy. The new harmonised risk indicator 2a will be calculated using statistics on the number of authorisations for emergency situations in plant protection, the properties of the active substances in plant protection products subject to these authorisations, and the areas treated under these authorisations to better quantify the risks arising from authorisations for emergency situations in plant protection.

protection products placed on the market, and the data on the number of authorisations for emergency situations in plant protection granted under Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009. Those statistics are used in the calculation of harmonised risk indicators 1 and 2 under Directive 2009/128/EC and in calculating progress towards the Union 2030 reduction targets and national 2030 reduction targets based on the Farm to Fork Strategy. The new harmonised risk indicator 2a will be calculated using statistics on the number of authorisations for emergency situations in plant protection, the properties of the active substances in plant protection products subject to these authorisations, and the areas treated under these authorisations to better quantify the risks arising from authorisations for emergency situations in plant protection.

Or. en

Amendment 608
Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 39 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(39 a) The estimation of risk and applied reduction indicators should be continuously improved as Regulation (EU) 2022/2379^{1a} will make data on use of plant protection products and active substances in Member States available from 2028, and should lead to a review and update of the harmonised risk indicators to allow for a science-based, more robust measurement of the intensity of use and risk of plant protection products after 2030.

^{1a} Regulation (EU) 2022/2379 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 23 November 2022 on statistics on agricultural input and output, amending Commission Regulation (EC) No 617/2008 and repealing Regulations (EC) No 1165/2008, (EC) No 543/2009 and (EC) No 1185/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council and Council Directive 96/16/EC

Or. en

Amendment 609

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Pietro Fiocchi, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjård

**Proposal for a regulation
Recital 40**

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(40) For reasons of transparency, and to ensure uniform implementation by all Member States, the methodology for calculating progress towards achieving the two Union and two national 2030 reduction targets and the methodology for the calculation of harmonised risk indicators at Union and national level should be set out in an Annex to this Regulation.

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 610

Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław Kalinowski, Joanna Kopcińska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

**Proposal for a regulation
Recital 40**

Text proposed by the Commission

(40) For reasons of transparency, **and to ensure uniform implementation by all Member States**, the methodology for calculating progress towards achieving the two Union **and two national** 2030 reduction targets and the methodology for the calculation of harmonised risk indicators at Union and national level should be set out in an Annex to this Regulation.

Amendment

(40) For reasons of transparency, the methodology for calculating progress towards achieving the two Union 2030 reduction targets and the methodology for the calculation of harmonised risk indicators at Union and national level should be set out in an Annex to this Regulation.

Or. en

Amendment 611

Dan-Ștefan Motreanu, Carmen Avram, Marian-Jean Marinescu, Daniel Buda

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 40

Text proposed by the Commission

(40) For reasons of transparency, and to ensure uniform implementation by all Member States, the methodology for calculating progress towards achieving the two Union **and two national** 2030 reduction targets and the methodology for the calculation of harmonised risk indicators at Union and national level should be set out in an Annex to this Regulation.

Amendment

(40) For reasons of transparency, and to ensure uniform implementation by all Member States, the methodology for calculating progress towards achieving the two Union **2035** reduction targets **and two national 2035 reduction contributions** and the methodology for the calculation of harmonised risk indicators at Union and national level should be set out in an Annex to this Regulation.

Or. en

Amendment 612

Edina Tóth

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 40

Text proposed by the Commission

(40) For reasons of transparency, and to ensure uniform implementation by all Member States, the methodology for calculating progress towards achieving the two Union and **two national 2030 reduction targets and** the methodology for the calculation of harmonised risk indicators at Union and national level should be set out in an Annex to this Regulation.

Amendment

(40) For reasons of transparency, and to ensure uniform implementation by all Member States, the methodology for calculating progress towards achieving the two Union and the methodology for the calculation of harmonised risk indicators at Union and national level should be set out in an Annex to this Regulation.

Or. en

Amendment 613

Esther de Lange

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 40

Text proposed by the Commission

(40) For reasons of transparency, and to ensure uniform implementation by all Member States, the methodology for calculating progress towards achieving the two Union and two national **2030** reduction targets and the methodology for the calculation of harmonised risk indicators at Union and national level should be set out in an Annex to this Regulation.

Amendment

(40) For reasons of transparency, and to ensure uniform implementation by all Member States, the methodology for calculating progress towards achieving the two Union and two national **2035** reduction targets and the methodology for the calculation of harmonised risk indicators at Union and national level should be set out in an Annex to this Regulation.

Or. en

Amendment 614

Peter Liese

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 40

Text proposed by the Commission

(40) For reasons of transparency, and to

Amendment

(40) For reasons of transparency, and to

ensure uniform implementation by all Member States, the methodology for calculating progress towards achieving the two Union and two national **2030** reduction targets and the methodology for the calculation of harmonised risk indicators at Union and national level should be set out in an Annex to this Regulation.

ensure uniform implementation by all Member States, the methodology for calculating progress towards achieving the two Union and two national reduction targets and the methodology for the calculation of harmonised risk indicators at Union and national level should be set out in an Annex to this Regulation.

Or. en

Amendment 615

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 41

Text proposed by the Commission

(41) The EU Biodiversity Strategy for 2030 recognises the need for urgent action to protect biodiversity. There is evidence of a widespread reduction of species, in particular insects and pollinators, in the Union. Biodiversity loss is, amongst other factors, driven by the use of plant protection products, while Member States actions under current Union policy instruments have not yet been able to stop this trend of biodiversity loss. It is therefore essential to ensure that **plant protection products** are used in such a way as to mitigate the risk of harmful effects of such products on wildlife, through a number of measures including training, inspection of application equipment in professional use and protection of the aquatic environment and sensitive areas.

Amendment

(41) The EU Biodiversity Strategy for 2030 recognises the need for urgent action to protect biodiversity. There is evidence of a widespread reduction of species, in particular insects and pollinators, in the Union. Biodiversity loss is, amongst other factors, driven by the use of plant protection products, while Member States actions under current Union policy instruments have not yet been able to stop this trend of biodiversity loss. It is therefore essential to ensure that **pesticides are phased-out as soon as possible and no later than 2035, and in the meantime** are used in such a way as to **minimise** mitigate the risk of harmful effects of such products on wildlife, through a number of measures including training, inspection of application equipment in professional use and protection of the aquatic environment and sensitive areas **and a more swift phase-out of the pesticides that have the greatest impacts on pollinators and other beneficial and non-target organisms.**

Or. en

Amendment 616

Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław Kalinowski, Joanna Kopcińska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 41

Text proposed by the Commission

(41) The EU Biodiversity Strategy for 2030 recognises the need for urgent action to protect biodiversity. There is evidence of a widespread reduction of species, in particular insects and pollinators, in the Union. ***Biodiversity loss is, amongst other factors, driven by the use of plant protection products, while Member States actions under current Union policy instruments have not yet been able to stop this trend of biodiversity loss.*** It is therefore essential to ensure that plant protection products are used in such a way as to mitigate the risk of harmful effects of such products on wildlife, through a number of measures including training, inspection of application equipment in professional use and protection of the aquatic environment and sensitive areas.

Amendment

(41) The EU Biodiversity Strategy for 2030 recognises the need for urgent action to protect biodiversity. There is evidence of a widespread reduction of species, in particular insects and pollinators, in the Union. It is therefore essential to ensure that plant protection products are used in such a way as to mitigate the risk of harmful effects of such products on wildlife, through a number of measures including training, inspection of application equipment in professional use and protection of the aquatic environment and sensitive areas.

Or. en

Amendment 617

Tudor Ciuhodaru

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 41

Text proposed by the Commission

(41) Strategia UE în domeniul biodiversității pentru 2030 recunoaște necesitatea unor acțiuni urgente pentru protejarea biodiversității. Există dovezi ale unei diminuări pe scară largă a speciilor, în special a insectelor și a polenizatorilor, în Uniune. Pierderea biodiversității este determinată, printre alți factori, de

Amendment

(41) Strategia UE în domeniul biodiversității pentru 2030 recunoaște necesitatea unor acțiuni urgente pentru protejarea biodiversității. Există dovezi ale unei diminuări pe scară largă a speciilor, în special a insectelor și a polenizatorilor, în Uniune. Pierderea biodiversității este determinată, printre alți factori, de

utilizarea produselor de protecție a plantelor, iar acțiunile statelor membre în cadrul instrumentelor de politică actuale ale Uniunii nu au fost încă în măsură să oprească această tendință de pierdere a biodiversității. Prin urmare, este esențial să se asigure că produsele de protecție a plantelor sunt utilizate astfel încât să se reducă riscul efectelor dăunătoare ale acestor produse asupra faunei sălbatice, printr-o serie de măsuri, inclusiv formarea, inspectarea echipamentelor de aplicare de uz profesional și protecția mediului acvatic și a zonelor sensibile.

utilizarea produselor de protecție a plantelor, ***mai ales în cazul comercializării de noi produse ale căror efecte nu sunt cunoscute bine sau de produse ce provin din afara UE și care ar putea să nu respecte standardele UE în domeniu***, iar acțiunile statelor membre în cadrul instrumentelor de politică actuale ale Uniunii nu au fost încă în măsură să oprească această tendință de pierdere a biodiversității. Prin urmare, este esențial să se asigure că produsele de protecție a plantelor sunt utilizate astfel încât să se reducă riscul efectelor dăunătoare ale acestor produse asupra faunei sălbatice, printr-o serie de măsuri, inclusiv formarea, inspectarea echipamentelor de aplicare de uz profesional și protecția mediului acvatic și a zonelor sensibile.

Or. ro

Amendment 618

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjård

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 41

Text proposed by the Commission

(41) The EU Biodiversity Strategy for 2030 recognises the need for urgent action to protect biodiversity. There is evidence of a widespread reduction of species, in particular insects and pollinators, in the Union. ***Biodiversity loss is, amongst other factors, driven by the use of plant protection products, while Member States actions under current Union policy instruments have not yet been able to stop this trend of biodiversity loss.*** It is therefore essential to ensure that plant protection products are used in such a way as to mitigate the risk of harmful effects of such products on wildlife, through a

Amendment

(41) The EU Biodiversity Strategy for 2030 recognises the need for urgent action to protect biodiversity. There is evidence of a widespread reduction of species, in particular insects and pollinators, in the Union ***and globally***. It is therefore essential to ensure that plant protection products are used in such a way as to mitigate the risk of harmful effects of such products on wildlife, through a number of measures including training, inspection of application equipment in professional use and protection of the aquatic environment and sensitive areas.

number of measures including training, inspection of application equipment in professional use and protection of the aquatic environment and sensitive areas.

Or. en

Amendment 619
Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 41

Text proposed by the Commission

(41) The EU Biodiversity Strategy for 2030 recognises the need for urgent action to protect biodiversity. There is evidence of a widespread reduction of species, in particular insects and pollinators, in the Union. Biodiversity loss is, amongst other factors, driven by the use of plant protection products, while Member States actions under current Union policy instruments have not yet been able to stop this trend of biodiversity loss. It is therefore essential to **ensure that** plant protection products **are** used in such a way as to mitigate the risk of harmful effects of such products on wildlife, through a number of measures including training, inspection of application equipment in professional use and protection of the aquatic environment and sensitive areas.

Amendment

(41) The EU Biodiversity Strategy for 2030 recognises the need for urgent action to protect biodiversity. There is evidence of a widespread reduction of species, in particular insects and pollinators, in the Union. Biodiversity loss is, amongst other factors, driven by the use of plant protection products, while Member States actions under current Union policy instruments have not yet been able to stop this trend of biodiversity loss. It is therefore essential to **reduce the use of** plant protection products **by inter alia implementing integrated pest management principles. Where professional users resort to the use of plant protection products, they should be** used in such a way as to mitigate the risk of harmful effects of such products on wildlife, through a number of measures including training, inspection of application equipment in professional use and protection of the aquatic environment and sensitive areas.

Or. en

Amendment 620
Ondřej Knotek, Martin Hlaváček

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 41

Text proposed by the Commission

(41) The EU Biodiversity Strategy for 2030 recognises the need for urgent action to protect biodiversity. There is evidence of a widespread reduction of species, in particular insects and pollinators, in the Union. Biodiversity loss is, amongst other factors, driven by the use of plant protection products, **while Member States actions under current Union policy instruments have not yet been able to stop this trend of biodiversity loss**. It is therefore essential to ensure that plant protection products are used in such a way as to mitigate the risk of harmful effects of such products on wildlife, through a number of measures including training, inspection of application equipment in professional use and protection of the aquatic environment and sensitive areas.

Amendment

(41) The EU Biodiversity Strategy for 2030 recognises the need for urgent action to protect biodiversity. There is evidence of a widespread reduction of species, in particular insects and pollinators, in the Union. Biodiversity loss is, amongst other factors, driven by the **inappropriate** use of plant protection products. It is therefore essential to ensure that plant protection products are used in such a way as to mitigate the risk of harmful effects of such products on wildlife, through a number of measures including training, inspection of application equipment in professional use and protection of the aquatic environment and sensitive areas.

Or. en

Amendment 621

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 42 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(42 a) Member States should ensure that the public, including natural or legal persons submitting substantiated concerns in accordance with Article 29, is ensured access to justice in line with the obligations Member States have undertaken as parties to the United Nations Economic Commission for Europe (UNECE) Convention on Access to Information, Public Participation in Decision-making and Access to Justice in Environmental Matters of 25 June 1998

(the ‘Aarhus convention’).

Or. en

Amendment 622

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 42 b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(42 b) Non-compliance with the provisions of this Regulation may result in severe negative consequences for human and animal health and for the environment. In order to support the enforcement of this Regulation, Member States should ensure that substantiated concerns can be submitted to the competent authorities, and that these concerns are adequately addressed.

Or. en

Amendment 623

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 42 c (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(42 c) A new provision on compensation should be introduced, with the aim of ensuring that where damage to health has occurred fully or partially as a result of a breach of this Regulation, the public concerned is able to claim and obtain compensation for that damage from the relevant competent authorities and, where identified, the natural or legal persons responsible for the violation.

Or. en

Amendment 624

Christine Schneider, Ralf Seekatz, Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Sven Simon, Angelika Niebler, Monika Hohlmeier, Christian Doleschal, Franc Bogovič, Marlene Mortler, Daniel Caspary, Rainer Wieland, Sabine Verheyen, Alexander Bernhuber

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 43

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(43) In order to enforce the obligations set out in this Regulation, Member States should lay down rules on penalties applicable to infringements of this Regulation and ensure that those rules are enforced. The penalties should be effective, proportionate and dissuasive. It is also important to provide for Member States to recover costs related to carrying out obligations under this Regulation by means of fees or charges in order to ensure that adequate financial resources are available to competent authorities. *deleted*

Or. en

Amendment 625

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjård

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 43

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(43) In order to enforce *the obligations set out in* this Regulation, Member States should lay down rules *on penalties* applicable to infringements of this Regulation and ensure that those rules are enforced. *The penalties should be effective, proportionate and dissuasive. It is also important to provide for Member States to recover costs related to carrying*

(43) In order to enforce this Regulation, Member States should lay down rules applicable to infringements of this Regulation and ensure that those rules are enforced.

out obligations under this Regulation by means of fees or charges in order to ensure that adequate financial resources are available to competent authorities.

Or. en

Amendment 626

Franc Bogovič, Marlene Mortler, Ljudmila Novak, Alexander Bernhuber

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 43

Text proposed by the Commission

(43) In order to enforce the obligations set out in this Regulation, Member States ***should*** lay down rules on penalties applicable to infringements of this Regulation and ensure that those rules are enforced. The penalties should be effective, proportionate and dissuasive. ***It is also important to provide for Member States to recover costs related to carrying out obligations under this Regulation by means of fees or charges in order to ensure that adequate financial resources are available to competent authorities.***

Amendment

(43) In order to enforce the obligations set out in this Regulation, Member States ***may*** lay down rules on penalties applicable to infringements of this Regulation and ensure that those rules are enforced. The penalties should be effective, proportionate and dissuasive.

Or. en

Justification

Farmers and other professional users of PPPs should not bare the additional costs of the implementation of the Regulation.

Amendment 627

Biljana Borzan

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 43

Text proposed by the Commission

(43) In order to enforce the obligations

Amendment

(43) In order to enforce the obligations

set out in this Regulation, Member States should lay down rules on penalties applicable to infringements of this Regulation and ensure that those rules are enforced. The penalties should be effective, proportionate and dissuasive. It is also important to provide for Member States to recover costs related to carrying out obligations under this Regulation by means of fees or charges in order to ensure that adequate financial resources are available to competent authorities.

set out in this Regulation, Member States should lay down rules on penalties applicable to infringements of this Regulation and ensure that those rules are enforced. The penalties should be effective, proportionate and dissuasive. It is also important to provide for Member States to recover costs related to carrying out obligations under this Regulation by means of fees or charges in order to ensure that adequate financial resources are available to competent authorities. ***A EU-wide pesticides tax will be introduced to start applying the polluter pays principle.***

Or. en

Amendment 628

Dan-Ștefan Motreanu, Carmen Avram, Marian-Jean Marinescu, Daniel Buda

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 43

Text proposed by the Commission

(43) In ***order to enforce the obligations set out in this Regulation, Member States should lay down rules on penalties applicable to infringements of this Regulation and ensure that those rules are enforced. The penalties should be effective, proportionate and dissuasive.*** It is also important ***to provide*** for Member States to recover costs related to carrying out obligations under this Regulation by means of fees or charges in order to ensure that adequate financial resources are available to competent authorities.

Amendment

(43) ***As observed in the Commission's impact assessment, implementation of the Regulation will lead to higher costs of production for farmers and for consumers.*** It is also important for Member States to recover costs related to carrying out obligations under this Regulation by means of fees or charges in order to ensure that adequate financial resources are available to competent authorities. ***The Commission should take into consideration providing additional financial support to farmers in order to comply with provisions of this Regulation.***

Or. en

Amendment 629

Martin Hojsík, Michal Wiezik, María Soraya Rodríguez Ramos, Frédérique Ries

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 43

Text proposed by the Commission

(43) In order to enforce the obligations set out in this Regulation, Member States should lay down rules on penalties applicable to infringements of this Regulation and ensure that those rules are enforced. The penalties should be effective, proportionate and dissuasive. It is also important to provide for Member States to recover costs related to carrying out obligations under this Regulation by means of fees or charges in order to ensure that adequate financial resources are available to competent authorities.

Amendment

(43) In order to enforce the obligations set out in this Regulation, Member States should lay down rules on penalties applicable to infringements of this Regulation and ensure that those rules are enforced. The penalties should be effective, proportionate and dissuasive. It is also important to provide for Member States to recover costs related to carrying out obligations under this Regulation by means of fees, ***taxes, levies*** or charges in order to ensure that adequate financial resources are available to competent authorities.

Or. en

Amendment 630
Christophe Hansen

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 43

Text proposed by the Commission

(43) In order to enforce the obligations set out in this Regulation, Member States should lay down rules on penalties applicable to infringements of this Regulation and ensure that those rules are enforced. The penalties should be effective, proportionate and dissuasive. It is also important to provide for Member States to recover costs related to carrying out obligations under this Regulation by means of fees or charges in order to ensure that adequate financial resources are available to competent authorities.

Amendment

(43) In order to enforce the obligations set out in this Regulation, Member States should lay down rules on ***possible*** penalties applicable to infringements of this Regulation and ensure that those rules are enforced. The penalties should be effective, proportionate and dissuasive. It is also important to provide for Member States to recover costs related to carrying out obligations under this Regulation by means of fees or charges in order to ensure that adequate financial resources are available to competent authorities.

Or. en

Amendment 631
Stanislav Polčák

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 43

Text proposed by the Commission

(43) Za účelem vymáhání povinností stanovených v tomto nařízení by členské státy měly stanovit pravidla pro sankce za porušení tohoto nařízení a zajistit, aby byla tato pravidla prosazována. Tyto sankce by měly být účinné, přiměřené a odrazující. Je rovněž důležité zajistit, aby **členské státy vymáhaly zpět** náklady související s plněním povinností podle tohoto nařízení prostřednictvím poplatků nebo plateb, aby bylo zajištěno, že příslušné orgány budou mít k dispozici přiměřené finanční zdroje.

Amendment

(43) Za účelem vymáhání povinností stanovených v tomto nařízení by členské státy měly stanovit pravidla pro sankce za porušení tohoto nařízení a zajistit, aby byla tato pravidla prosazována. Tyto sankce by měly být účinné, přiměřené a odrazující. Je rovněž důležité zajistit, aby **se členským státům vrátily** náklady související s plněním povinností podle tohoto nařízení prostřednictvím poplatků nebo plateb, aby bylo zajištěno, že příslušné orgány budou mít k dispozici přiměřené finanční zdroje.

Or. cs

Amendment 632
Sylvia Limmer

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 44

Text proposed by the Commission

(44) ***Da das Ziel dieser Verordnung – der Schutz der menschlichen Gesundheit und der Umwelt vor Risiken und Auswirkungen im Zusammenhang mit der Verwendung von Pflanzenschutzmitteln sowie das Erreichen der Ziele der Strategie „Vom Hof auf den Tisch“ und der EU-Biodiversitätsstrategie – nicht hinreichend von den Mitgliedstaaten realisiert werden kann, sondern aufgrund des Verwendungsumfangs, der Komplexität und der Auswirkungen der Risikoprofile der Pflanzenschutzmittel eher auf Unionsebene zu erreichen ist, kann die Union im Einklang mit dem in Artikel 5***

Amendment

entfällt

des Vertrags über die Europäische Union verankerten Subsidiaritätsprinzip tätig werden. Entsprechend dem in demselben Artikel genannten Grundsatz der Verhältnismäßigkeit geht diese Verordnung nicht über das für die Verwirklichung dieser Ziele erforderliche Maß hinaus.

Or. de

Amendment 633

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Pietro Fiocchi, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjård

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 44

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(44) Since the objective of this Regulation, namely to protect human health and the environment from risks and impacts associated with the use of plant protection products and to achieve the targets set out in the Farm to Fork Strategy and the EU Biodiversity Strategy, cannot be sufficiently achieved by the Member States, but can rather, by reason of the scale of their use and the complexity and effects of the risk profiles associated with them, be better achieved at Union level, the Union may adopt measures, in accordance with the principle of subsidiarity set out in Article 5 of the Treaty on European Union. In accordance with the principle of proportionality, as set out in that Article, this Regulation does not go beyond what is necessary in order to achieve those objectives.

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 634

Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław Kalinowski, Joanna Kopcińska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 44

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(44) Since the objective of this Regulation, namely to protect human health and the environment from risks and impacts associated with the use of plant protection products and to achieve the targets set out in the Farm to Fork Strategy and the EU Biodiversity Strategy, cannot be sufficiently achieved by the Member States, but can rather, by reason of the scale of their use and the complexity and effects of the risk profiles associated with them, be better achieved at Union level, the Union may adopt measures, in accordance with the principle of subsidiarity set out in Article 5 of the Treaty on European Union. In accordance with the principle of proportionality, as set out in that Article, this Regulation does not go beyond what is necessary in order to achieve those objectives.

deleted

Or. en

Justification

The compliance with the principles of subsidiarity and proportionality has been widely questioned. The assessment of the current legislation (Sustainable Use Directive) has given no justification for this statement.

Amendment 635

Stanislav Polčák

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 44

Text proposed by the Commission

(44) Vzhledem k tomu, že cíle tohoto nařízení, konkrétně ochrany lidského zdraví a životního prostředí před riziky a dopady spojenými s používáním přípravků na ochranu rostlin a dosažení cílů stanovených ve strategii „od zemědělce ke spotřebiteli“ a ve strategii EU **pro biologickou rozmanitost**, nemůže být uspokojivě dosaženo na úrovni členských států, ale z důvodu rozsahu použití uvedených přípravků a složitosti a účinků s nimi spojených rizikových profilů jich lze lépe dosáhnout na úrovni Unie, může Unie přijmout opatření v souladu se zásadou subsidiarity stanovenou v článku 5 Smlouvy o Evropské unii. V souladu se zásadou proporcionality stanovenou v uvedeném článku nepřekračuje toto nařízení rámec toho, co je nezbytné pro dosažení těchto cílů.

Amendment

(44) Vzhledem k tomu, že cíle tohoto nařízení, konkrétně ochrany lidského zdraví a životního prostředí před riziky a dopady spojenými s používáním přípravků na ochranu rostlin a dosažení cílů stanovených ve Strategii „od zemědělce ke spotřebiteli“ a ve Strategii EU **v oblasti biologické rozmanitosti do roku 2030**, nemůže být uspokojivě dosaženo na úrovni členských států, ale z důvodu rozsahu použití uvedených přípravků a složitosti a účinků s nimi spojených rizikových profilů jich lze lépe dosáhnout na úrovni Unie, může Unie přijmout opatření v souladu se zásadou subsidiarity stanovenou v článku 5 Smlouvy o Evropské unii. V souladu se zásadou proporcionality stanovenou v uvedeném článku nepřekračuje toto nařízení rámec toho, co je nezbytné pro dosažení těchto cílů.

Or. cs

Amendment 636

Nathalie Colin-Oesterlé

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 44 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(44 bis) Les objectifs de réduction de l'utilisation des produits phytopharmaceutiques doivent impérativement aller de pair avec la mise en place de clauses miroirs dans les accords commerciaux. L'Union doit mener une politique ambitieuse en matière de réduction de pesticides sur son territoire et imposer la réciprocité de ces normes de production aux produits agricoles entrant sur le territoire européen. Ces mesures miroir permettront de protéger les intérêts des agriculteurs

européens en leur garantissant une concurrence équitable, de protéger la santé des Européens et de répondre à l'urgence climatique.

Or. fr

Amendment 637

Christine Schneider, Ralf Seekatz, Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Sven Simon, Angelika Niebler, Monika Hohlmeier, Christian Doleschal, Franc Bogovič, Marlene Mortler, Daniel Caspary, Rainer Wieland, Sabine Verheyen, Alexander Bernhuber

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 45

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(45) Activities performed by the competent authorities, or by other bodies or natural persons to which official control tasks have been delegated, in order to verify compliance by operators with this Regulation, are, with the exception of control tasks related to equipment used to apply plant protection products, regulated by Regulation (EU) 2017/625 of the European Parliament and of the Council.⁷⁵ Therefore, this Regulation only needs to provide for controls and audits in respect of inspection of application equipment in professional use. **deleted**

⁷⁵ **Regulation (EU) 2017/625 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 15 March 2017 on official controls and other official activities performed to ensure the application of food and feed law, rules on animal health and welfare, plant health and plant protection products, amending Regulations (EC) No 999/2001, (EC) No 396/2005, (EC) No 1069/2009, (EC) No 1107/2009, (EU) No 1151/2012, (EU) No 652/2014, (EU) 2016/429 and (EU) 2016/2031 of the European Parliament and of the Council,**

Council Regulations (EC) No 1/2005 and (EC) No 1099/2009 and Council Directives 98/58/EC, 1999/74/EC, 2007/43/EC, 2008/119/EC and 2008/120/EC, and repealing Regulations (EC) No 854/2004 and (EC) No 882/2004 of the European Parliament and of the Council, Council Directives 89/608/EEC, 89/662/EEC, 90/425/EEC, 91/496/EEC, 96/23/EC, 96/93/EC and 97/78/EC and Council Decision 92/438/EEC (Official Controls Regulation) (OJ L 95, 7.4.2017, p. 1).

Or. en

Amendment 638

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Pietro Fiocchi, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Jorge Buxadé Villalba, Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjärd

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 46

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(46) In order to take into account technical progress and scientific developments, the power to adopt acts in accordance with Article 290 of the Treaty should be delegated to the Commission to amend the provisions on obligations of professional users and advisors related to integrated pest management, inspection of application equipment in professional use, calculation of harmonised risk indicators, the data to be provided in annual progress and implementation reports and the notification form in relation to application equipment as well as Annexes II III, IV, V and VI. Likewise, the power to adopt acts in accordance with Article 290 of the Treaty should be delegated to the Commission to supplement this Regulation by specifying precise criteria in relation to certain factors regarding unmanned aircraft, once technical

deleted

progress and scientific developments allow for the development of such precise criteria. It is of particular importance that the Commission carries out appropriate consultations during its preparatory work, including at expert level, and that those consultations be conducted in accordance with the principles laid down in the Inter-institutional Agreement of 13 April 2016 on Better Law-Making⁷⁶. In particular, to ensure equal participation in the preparation of delegated acts, the European Parliament and the Council receive all documents at the same time as Member States' experts, and their experts systematically have access to meetings of Commission expert groups dealing with the preparation of delegated acts.

⁷⁶ OJ L 123, 12.5.2016, p. 1.

Or. en

Amendment 639
Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 46

Text proposed by the Commission

(46) In order to take into account technical progress and scientific developments, the power to adopt acts in accordance with Article 290 of the Treaty should be delegated to the Commission to amend the provisions on ***obligations of professional users and advisors related to integrated pest management***, inspection of application equipment in professional use, calculation of harmonised risk indicators, the data to be provided in annual progress and implementation reports and the notification form in relation to application equipment as well as Annexes II III, IV, V and VI. Likewise, the power to adopt acts

Amendment

(46) In order to take into account technical progress and scientific developments, the power to adopt acts in accordance with Article 290 of the Treaty should be delegated to the Commission to amend the provisions on inspection of application equipment in professional use, calculation of harmonised risk indicators, the data to be provided in annual progress and implementation reports and the notification form in relation to application equipment as well as Annexes II III, IV, V and VI. Likewise, the power to adopt acts in accordance with Article 290 of the Treaty should be delegated to the

in accordance with Article 290 of the Treaty should be delegated to the Commission to supplement this Regulation by specifying precise criteria in relation to certain factors regarding unmanned aircraft, once technical progress and scientific developments allow for the development of such precise criteria. It is of particular importance that the Commission carries out appropriate consultations during its preparatory work, including at expert level, and that those consultations be conducted in accordance with the principles laid down in the Inter-institutional Agreement of 13 April 2016 on Better Law-Making⁷⁶. In particular, to ensure equal participation in the preparation of delegated acts, the European Parliament and the Council receive all documents at the same time as Member States' experts, and their experts systematically have access to meetings of Commission expert groups dealing with the preparation of delegated acts.

⁷⁶ OJ L 123, 12.5.2016, p. 1.

Commission to supplement this Regulation by specifying precise criteria in relation to certain factors regarding unmanned aircraft, once technical progress and scientific developments allow for the development of such precise criteria. It is of particular importance that the Commission carries out appropriate consultations during its preparatory work, including at expert level, and that those consultations be conducted in accordance with the principles laid down in the Inter-institutional Agreement of 13 April 2016 on Better Law-Making⁷⁶. In particular, to ensure equal participation in the preparation of delegated acts, the European Parliament and the Council receive all documents at the same time as Member States' experts, and their experts systematically have access to meetings of Commission expert groups dealing with the preparation of delegated acts.

⁷⁶ OJ L 123, 12.5.2016, p. 1.

Or. en

Amendment 640

Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław Kalinowski, Joanna Kopcińska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 46

Text proposed by the Commission

(46) In order to take into account technical progress and scientific developments, the power to adopt acts in accordance with Article 290 of the Treaty should be delegated to the Commission to amend the provisions on obligations of professional users and advisors related to integrated pest management, inspection of

Amendment

(46) In order to take into account technical progress and scientific developments, the power to adopt acts in accordance with Article 290 of the Treaty should be delegated to the Commission to amend ***technical aspects of*** the provisions on obligations of professional users and advisors related to integrated pest

application equipment in professional use, calculation of harmonised risk indicators, the data to be provided in annual progress and implementation reports and the notification form in relation to application equipment as well as Annexes II III, IV, V and VI. Likewise, the power to adopt acts in accordance with Article 290 of the Treaty should be delegated to the Commission to supplement this Regulation by specifying precise criteria in relation to certain factors regarding unmanned aircraft, once technical progress and scientific developments allow for the development of such precise criteria. It is of particular importance that the Commission carries out appropriate consultations during its preparatory work, including at expert level, and that those consultations be conducted in accordance with the principles laid down in the Inter-institutional Agreement of 13 April 2016 on Better Law-Making⁷⁶. In particular, to ensure equal participation in the preparation of delegated acts, the European Parliament and the Council receive all documents at the same time as Member States' experts, and their experts systematically have access to meetings of Commission expert groups dealing with the preparation of delegated acts.

⁷⁶ OJ L 123, 12.5.2016, p. 1.

management, inspection of application equipment in professional use, calculation of harmonised risk indicators, the data to be provided in annual progress and implementation reports and the notification form in relation to application equipment as well as Annexes II III, IV, V and VI. Likewise, the power to adopt acts in accordance with Article 290 of the Treaty should be delegated to the Commission to supplement this Regulation by specifying precise criteria in relation to certain factors regarding unmanned aircraft, once technical progress and scientific developments allow for the development of such precise criteria. It is of particular importance that the Commission carries out appropriate consultations during its preparatory work, including at expert level, and that those consultations be conducted in accordance with the principles laid down in the Inter-institutional Agreement of 13 April 2016 on Better Law-Making⁷⁶. In particular, to ensure equal participation in the preparation of delegated acts, the European Parliament and the Council receive all documents at the same time as Member States' experts, and their experts systematically have access to meetings of Commission expert groups dealing with the preparation of delegated acts.

⁷⁶ OJ L 123, 12.5.2016, p. 1.

Or. en

Amendment 641

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Jorge Buxadé Villalba, Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjärd

Proposal for a regulation Recital 47

Text proposed by the Commission

(47) In order to assess whether this Regulation reaches its objectives effectively and efficiently, is coherent and still relevant and provides added value at Union level the Commission should carry out an evaluation of this Regulation.

Amendment

(47) In order to assess whether this Regulation reaches its objectives effectively and efficiently, is coherent and still relevant and provides added value at Union level the Commission should carry out an evaluation ***in 2027, with a special focus on the required points of the Council Decision (EU) 2022/2572 of 19 December 2022 by which the Commission has been requested to submit a study complementing the impact assessment of the proposal for a Regulation of the European Parliament and of the Council on the sustainable use of plant protection products, and amending Regulation (EU) 2021/2115 of the European Parliament and of the Council ('SUR proposal')*** of this Regulation.

Or. en

Amendment 642
Tudor Ciuhodaru

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 47

Text proposed by the Commission

(47) Pentru a evalua dacă prezentul regulament își atinge obiectivele în mod eficace și eficient, dacă este coerent și încă relevant și dacă oferă valoare adăugată la nivelul Uniunii, Comisia ar trebui să efectueze o evaluare a prezentului regulament.

Amendment

(47) Pentru a evalua dacă prezentul regulament își atinge obiectivele în mod eficace și eficient, dacă este coerent și încă relevant și dacă oferă valoare adăugată la nivelul Uniunii, Comisia ar trebui să efectueze o evaluare ***periodică*** a prezentului regulament.

Or. ro

Amendment 643
Robert Roos, Rob Rooken

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 47 a (new)

(47 bis) Deze verordening mag er niet toe leiden dat de voedselzekerheid in de Europese Unie onder druk komt te staan. Het is in dit verband gepast om op te merken dat de kosten van levensonderhoud in de afgelopen jaren sterk zijn gestegen, mede door de niet-geprovoceerde en illegale invasie van Rusland in Oekraïne. Daarnaast is het belangrijk om te herinneren aan de belofte van de Europese Green Deal, namelijk dat niemand aan zijn lot wordt overgelaten. Daarnaast heeft iedere wetgever, dus ook de Uniewetgever, de plicht om een schild voor de zwakken te zijn. Het is daarom gepast dat de Commissie iedere twee jaar onderzoekt welke gevolgen deze verordening heeft op de bestaanszekerheid, en in het bijzonder op de prijs van gezond en veilig voedsel. De Commissie moet de bevindingen van dat onderzoek iedere twee jaar in een rapport presenteren aan het Parlement en de Raad. In het rapport moet de Commissie in het bijzonder ingaan op de prijseffecten en de betaalbaarheid van veilig en gezond voedsel onder alle inkomensgroepen, maar in het bijzonder de minima en de middeninkomens. Wanneer de Commissie tot de conclusie komt dat deze verordening een negatieve impact heeft op de betaalbaarheid van veilig en gezond voedsel, neemt zij maatregelen om die effecten tegen te gaan. Wanneer dit gepast zou zijn neemt de Commissie maatregelen om deze verordening of andere EU-wetgeving te amenderen.

Or. nl

Amendment 644

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis,

Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjärd

**Proposal for a regulation
Recital 48**

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(48) In order to ensure uniform conditions for the implementation of the provisions of this Regulation on the entries to be made by professional users in the electronic integrated pest management and plant protection product use register, for the summary and analysis by the competent authorities of the information in that register and provision of information on acute poisoning incidents and chronic poisoning, implementing powers should be conferred on the Commission. Those powers should be exercised in accordance with Regulation (EU) No 182/2011 of the European Parliament and of the Council⁷⁷.

deleted

⁷⁷ Regulation (EU) No 182/2011 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 16 February 2011 laying down the rules and general principles concerning mechanisms for control by the Member States of the Commission's exercise of implementing powers (OJ L 55, 28.2.2011, p. 13).

Or. en

Amendment 645

Franč Bogovič, Marlene Mortler, Ljudmila Novak, Alexander Bernhuber

**Proposal for a regulation
Recital 48**

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(48) In order to ensure uniform conditions for the implementation of the provisions of this Regulation on the

deleted

entries to be made by professional users in the electronic integrated pest management and plant protection product use register, for the summary and analysis by the competent authorities of the information in that register and provision of information on acute poisoning incidents and chronic poisoning, implementing powers should be conferred on the Commission. Those powers should be exercised in accordance with Regulation (EU) No 182/2011 of the European Parliament and of the Council⁷⁷.

⁷⁷ *Regulation (EU) No 182/2011 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 16 February 2011 laying down the rules and general principles concerning mechanisms for control by the Member States of the Commission's exercise of implementing powers (OJ L 55, 28.2.2011, p. 13).*

Or. en

Amendment 646
Eric Andrieu

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 48 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(48 a) In order to support the transition to sustainable food systems, it is important to continue to strengthen the coherence between the European Green Deal, the European Union's sectoral policies and the Common Trade Policy. This coherence requires, in particular, better application of European production standards, in particular sanitary, phytosanitary, environmental and animal welfare standards, to imported products. This better application makes it possible to combat effectively the phenomenon of

environmental leakage. A variety of tools exist for this purpose at multilateral, bilateral and autonomous level, as noted in the European Commission's report of June 2022, and has to be used in the relevant Regulations and their appropriate application (the Regulation (EC) No 396/2005 on MRLs for instance). To this end, the Commission should be able to include in the "Sustainable Food Systems" chapter of the trade agreements it negotiates for the European Union with third countries, commitments to reduce and gradually phase out the use, on a case-by-case basis, of the most hazardous plant protection products forbidden in the EU. In line with this aim for a level-playing field, no derogation to bans on the most hazardous plant protection products should be granted.

Or. en

Amendment 647

Pascal Canfin, Martin Hojsík, Catherine Chabaud, Max Orville

**Proposal for a regulation
Recital 48 a (new)**

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(48 a) In order to support the transition to sustainable food systems and to support the international agreement of the Kunming-Montreal Global Biodiversity Framework, it is important to continue to strengthen the coherence between the European Green Deal, the European Union's sectoral policies and the common trade policy. This coherence requires, in particular, better application of European production standards, in particular sanitary, phytosanitary, environmental and animal welfare standards, to imported products. A variety of tools exist for this purpose at multilateral, bilateral and autonomous level, as noted in the

European Commission's report of June 2022, and has to be used in the relevant Regulations and their appropriate application (the Regulation (EC) No 396/2005 on MRLs for instance). This Regulation must contribute to this coherence.

Or. en

Amendment 648
Mathilde Androuët

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 48 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(48 bis) Il est nécessaire d'octroyer un financement suffisant aux agriculteurs et à l'ensemble des acteurs de la filière agricole afin d'accompagner et de soutenir la transition progressive vers une agriculture raisonnée et durable. La perte de revenu devra être objectivement indemnisée pour éviter la répercussion sur les prix de vente des matières agricoles. Les industriels et les fournisseurs devront être pleinement associés pour contribuer à ce financement afin que les bénéfices réalisés sur la vente de produits phytopharmaceutiques soient réinvestis dans la recherche de solutions fiables et novatrices qui préservent la santé des populations et la sûreté alimentaire. Il pourrait être fait appel au Fonds Européen de Développement Régional (FEDER) pour accompagner les organismes de recherche nationaux et régionaux.

Or. fr

Justification

La transition vers une agriculture raisonnée doit bénéficier à l'ensemble des acteurs afin de proposer des solutions fiables qui préservent la santé des populations.

Amendment 649

Dan-Ştefan Motreanu, Carmen Avram, Marian-Jean Marinescu, Daniel Buda

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 48 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(48 a) Sufficient funding is needed for the further implementation of integrated pest management. The establishment of a new funding instrument beyond CAP will help foster the implementation and uptake of integrated pest management and make related measures more attractive to farmers, e.g. by providing compensations in case of proven loss of income. Furthermore, it would support the transition towards a more sustainable use of plant protection products at EU and Member State level, allowing for medium- and long-term alternatives to be developed and deployed.

Or. en

Amendment 650

Biljana Borzan

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 48 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(48 a) Sufficient funding is needed for the implementation of integrated pest management. The establishment of a state fund can help to foster the implementation and uptake of integrated pest management and to make related measures more attractive for farmers, e.g. by providing for compensations in case of proven loss of income. The financial resources for this fund shall inter alia be provided by CAP funding, a risk-based

taxation on plant protection products, by contributions of retailers, and by penalty payments;

Or. en

Amendment 651
Eric Andrieu

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 48 b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(48 b) While public concerns about plant protection products are growing as their impact on health and the environment become better known, European countries continue to produce dangerous pesticides that are banned for use in the EU and to export them to the rest of the world. Thus, the manufacture, circulation, storage and export, to third countries of plant protection products containing active substances whose use is prohibited in the European Union should be prohibited, to ensure the coherence of European trade policy and to protect the environment and health on a wider scale.

Or. en

Amendment 652
Pascal Canfin, Martin Hojsík, Catherine Chabaud, Max Orville

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 48 b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(48 b) Points out that the target 7 of the Kunming-Montreal Global Biodiversity Framework requires parties to tackle the risk of pesticides and highly hazardous

Amendment 653
Mathilde Androuët

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 49

Text proposed by the Commission

(49) La mise en œuvre du présent règlement par les États membres se traduira par des obligations nouvelles et renforcées pour les agriculteurs et les autres utilisateurs de pesticides. Certaines d'entre elles sont des exigences réglementaires en matière de gestion et des normes relatives aux bonnes conditions agricoles et environnementales des terres énumérées à l'annexe III du règlement (UE) 2021/2115 du Parlement européen et du Conseil⁷⁸, que, conformément audit règlement, les agriculteurs doivent observer pour bénéficier des paiements au titre de la PAC, tandis que d'autres exigences, qui vont au-delà des exigences obligatoires de base, peuvent donner droit à des paiements supplémentaires au titre des régimes volontaires tels que les éco-régimes prévus l'article 31 du règlement (UE) 2021/2115. L'article 31, paragraphe 5, points a) et b), et l'article 70, paragraphe 3, points a) et b), du règlement (UE) 2021/2115 prévoient que le financement de la PAC n'est disponible que pour les pratiques mises en œuvre dans le cadre d'un éco-régime ou d'un engagement agroenvironnemental et climatique allant au-delà des exigences réglementaires en matière de gestion et des normes relatives aux bonnes conditions agricoles et environnementales des terres établies en vertu dudit règlement et des exigences minimales applicables à l'utilisation des fertilisants et des produits

Amendment

(49) La mise en œuvre du présent règlement par les États membres se traduira par des obligations nouvelles et renforcées pour les agriculteurs et les autres utilisateurs de pesticides. Certaines d'entre elles sont des exigences réglementaires en matière de gestion et des normes relatives aux bonnes conditions agricoles et environnementales des terres énumérées à l'annexe III du règlement (UE) 2021/2115 du Parlement européen et du Conseil⁷⁸, que, conformément audit règlement, les agriculteurs doivent observer pour bénéficier des paiements au titre de la PAC, tandis que d'autres exigences, qui vont au-delà des exigences obligatoires de base, peuvent donner droit à des paiements supplémentaires au titre des régimes volontaires tels que les éco-régimes prévus l'article 31 du règlement (UE) 2021/2115. L'article 31, paragraphe 5, points a) et b), et l'article 70, paragraphe 3, points a) et b), du règlement (UE) 2021/2115 prévoient que le financement de la PAC n'est disponible que pour les pratiques mises en œuvre dans le cadre d'un éco-régime ou d'un engagement agroenvironnemental et climatique allant au-delà des exigences réglementaires en matière de gestion et des normes relatives aux bonnes conditions agricoles et environnementales des terres établies en vertu dudit règlement et des exigences minimales applicables à l'utilisation des fertilisants et des produits

phytopharmaceutiques, au bien-être des animaux, ainsi que d'autres exigences obligatoires pertinentes établies par le droit national et le droit de l'Union. Étant donné que les agriculteurs et les autres utilisateurs doivent bénéficier d'un soutien financier dans leur transition vers une utilisation plus durable des pesticides, il convient de modifier le règlement (UE) 2021/2115 afin de permettre le financement des exigences imposées conformément au présent règlement pendant une période transitoire. Cette possibilité exceptionnelle pour les États membres de fournir un financement supplémentaire pour les mesures prises dans le cadre de la mise en œuvre du présent règlement devrait s'appliquer à toute obligation imposée aux agriculteurs et aux autres utilisateurs par l'application du présent règlement, y compris aux pratiques agricoles obligatoires imposées par les règles propres aux cultures applicables à la lutte intégrée contre les ennemis des cultures. En outre, conformément à l'article 73, paragraphe 5, du règlement (UE) 2021/2115, les investissements réalisés par les agriculteurs pour se conformer aux nouvelles exigences imposées par le droit de l'Union peuvent donner droit une aide pendant une période **maximale** de vingt-quatre mois à compter de la date à laquelle elles deviennent obligatoires pour l'exploitation. De même, il convient de prévoir une période de transition plus longue pour les investissements de mise en conformité avec les exigences imposées aux agriculteurs conformément au présent règlement. Il convient donc de modifier le règlement (UE) 2021/2115 en conséquence.

phytopharmaceutiques, au bien-être des animaux, ainsi que d'autres exigences obligatoires pertinentes établies par le droit national et le droit de l'Union. Étant donné que les agriculteurs et les autres utilisateurs doivent bénéficier d'un soutien financier dans leur transition vers une utilisation plus durable des pesticides **qui compense en totalité la perte de productivité**, il convient de modifier le règlement (UE) 2021/2115 afin de permettre le financement des exigences imposées conformément au présent règlement pendant une période transitoire **et au-delà si nécessaire**. Cette possibilité exceptionnelle pour les États membres de fournir un financement supplémentaire pour les mesures prises dans le cadre de la mise en œuvre du présent règlement devrait s'appliquer à toute obligation imposée aux agriculteurs et aux autres utilisateurs par l'application du présent règlement, y compris aux pratiques agricoles obligatoires imposées par les règles propres aux cultures applicables à la lutte intégrée contre les ennemis des cultures. En outre, conformément à l'article 73, paragraphe 5, du règlement (UE) 2021/2115, les investissements réalisés par les agriculteurs pour se conformer aux nouvelles exigences imposées par le droit de l'Union peuvent donner droit une aide pendant une période **minimale** de vingt-quatre mois à compter de la date à laquelle elles deviennent obligatoires pour l'exploitation. De même, il convient de prévoir une période de transition plus longue pour les investissements de mise en conformité avec les exigences imposées aux agriculteurs conformément au présent règlement. Il convient donc de modifier le règlement (UE) 2021/2115 en conséquence. **Par ailleurs, un financement structurel doit être mis en place pour soutenir les organismes de recherche agronomique afin de disposer rapidement de substituts fiables pour remplacer les produits phytopharmaceutiques les plus**

dangereux.

⁷⁸ Règlement (UE) 2021/2115 du Parlement européen et du Conseil du 2 décembre 2021 établissant des règles régissant l'aide aux plans stratégiques devant être établis par les États membres dans le cadre de la politique agricole commune (plans stratégiques relevant de la PAC) et financés par le Fonds européen agricole de garantie (FEAGA) et par le Fonds européen agricole pour le développement rural (Feader), et abrogeant les règlements (UE) n° 1305/2013 et (UE) n° 1307/2013 (JO L 435 du 6.12.2021, p. 1).

⁷⁸ Règlement (UE) 2021/2115 du Parlement européen et du Conseil du 2 décembre 2021 établissant des règles régissant l'aide aux plans stratégiques devant être établis par les États membres dans le cadre de la politique agricole commune (plans stratégiques relevant de la PAC) et financés par le Fonds européen agricole de garantie (FEAGA) et par le Fonds européen agricole pour le développement rural (Feader), et abrogeant les règlements (UE) n° 1305/2013 et (UE) n° 1307/2013 (JO L 435 du 6.12.2021, p. 1).

Or. fr

Justification

La transition vers une agriculture raisonnée nécessite un financement adéquat de la recherche agronomique et un soutien aux agriculteurs durant la période de transition.

Amendment 654

Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Peter Lundgren, Hermann Tertsch, Margarita de la Pisa Carrión, Mazaly Aguilar, Evžen Tošenovský, Sergio Berlato, Jorge Buxadé Villalba, Eugen Jurzyca

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 49

Text proposed by the Commission

(49) The implementation of this Regulation by Member States will result in new and enhanced obligations for farmers and other pesticides users. Some of them constitute statutory management requirements and standards of good agricultural and environmental conditions of land as listed in Annex III to Regulation (EU) 2021/2115 of the European Parliament and of the Council⁷⁸, which, in accordance with that Regulation, farmers must comply with to receive CAP

Amendment

(49) The implementation of this Regulation by Member States will result in new and enhanced obligations for farmers and other pesticides users. Some of them constitute statutory management requirements and standards of good agricultural and environmental conditions of land as listed in Annex III to Regulation (EU) 2021/2115 of the European Parliament and of the Council, which, in accordance with that Regulation, farmers must comply with to receive CAP

payments, whereas other requirements, which go beyond the baseline of mandatory requirements, may be rewarded with additional payments under voluntary regimes like eco-schemes pursuant to Article 31 of Regulation (EU) 2021/2115. Article 31(5), points (a) and (b), and Article 70(3), points (a) and (b), of Regulation (EU) 2021/2115 provide that the CAP funding is only available for practices implemented under an eco-scheme or **agri-environmental-climate** commitment which go beyond the relevant statutory management requirements and the standards of good agricultural and environmental conditions of land established under that Regulation and the relevant minimum requirements for the use of fertiliser and plant protection products, animal welfare, as well as other relevant mandatory requirements established by national and Union law. **Since farmers and other users need to be financially supported in their transition toward a more sustainable use of pesticides, Regulation (EU) 2021/2115 needs to be amended to allow the financing of requirements imposed in accordance with this Regulation during a transitional period. This exceptional** option for Member States to provide additional funding for measures taken in implementing this Regulation should apply to any obligation for farmers and other users resulting from the application of this Regulation, including compulsory farming practices imposed by the crop-specific rules for integrated pest management. **Further, pursuant to Article 73(5) of Regulation (EU) 2021/2115, investments by farmers to comply with new requirements imposed by Union law may be supported for a maximum of 24 months from the date on which they become mandatory for the holding. Similarly,** a longer transition period should be set out for investments complying with requirements **imposed on farmers in accordance with this Regulation. Regulation (EU) 2021/2115 should**

payments, whereas other requirements, which go beyond the baseline of mandatory requirements, may be rewarded with additional payments under voluntary regimes like eco-schemes pursuant to Article 31 of Regulation (EU) 2021/2115. Article 31(5), points (a) and (b), and Article 70(3), points (a) and (b), of Regulation (EU) 2021/2115 provide that the CAP funding is only available for practices implemented under an eco-scheme or **agrienvironmental-climate** commitment which go beyond the relevant statutory management requirements and the standards of good agricultural and environmental conditions of land established under that Regulation and the relevant minimum requirements for the use of fertiliser and plant protection products, animal welfare, as well as other relevant mandatory requirements established by national and Union law. **The transition towards a more sustainable use of plant protection products, at EU and Member State level must be financed through the Just Transition Fund. This will guarantee an adequate transition in an appropriate time span, allowing for medium- and long-term alternatives to be developed and deployed. No CAP funding should be used in this context.** The option for Member States to provide additional funding for measures taken in implementing this Regulation should apply to any obligation for farmers and other users resulting from the application of this Regulation, including compulsory farming practices imposed by the crop-specific rules for integrated pest management. A longer transition period should be set out for investments complying with requirements **going beyond the provisions set in the current legislation and Regulation 2021/2115.**

therefore be amended accordingly.

⁷⁸ Regulation (EU) 2021/2115 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 2 December 2021 establishing rules on support for strategic plans to be drawn up by Member States under the common agricultural policy (CAP Strategic Plans) and financed by the European Agricultural Guarantee Fund (EAGF) and by the European Agricultural Fund for Rural Development (EAFRD) and repealing Regulations (EU) No 1305/2013 and (EU) No 1307/2013, OJ L 435, 6.12.2021, p. 1.

⁷⁸ Regulation (EU) 2021/2115 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 2 December 2021 establishing rules on support for strategic plans to be drawn up by Member States under the common agricultural policy (CAP Strategic Plans) and financed by the European Agricultural Guarantee Fund (EAGF) and by the European Agricultural Fund for Rural Development (EAFRD) and repealing Regulations (EU) No 1305/2013 and (EU) No 1307/2013, OJ L 435, 6.12.2021, p. 1.

Or. en

Amendment 655

Dan-Ştefan Motreanu, Carmen Avram, Marian-Jean Marinescu, Daniel Buda

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 49

Text proposed by the Commission

(49) The implementation of this Regulation by Member States will result in new and enhanced obligations for farmers and other pesticides users. Some of them constitute statutory management requirements and standards of good agricultural and environmental conditions of land as listed in Annex III to Regulation (EU) 2021/2115 of the European Parliament and of the Council⁷⁸, which, in accordance with that Regulation, farmers must comply with to receive CAP payments, whereas other requirements, which go beyond the baseline of mandatory requirements, may be rewarded with additional payments under voluntary regimes like eco-schemes pursuant to Article 31 of Regulation (EU) 2021/2115. Article 31(5), points (a) and (b), and Article 70(3), points (a) and (b), of Regulation (EU) 2021/2115 provide that

Amendment

(49) The implementation of this Regulation by Member States will result in new and enhanced obligations for farmers and other pesticides users. Some of them constitute statutory management requirements and standards of good agricultural and environmental conditions of land as listed in Annex III to Regulation (EU) 2021/2115 of the European Parliament and of the Council⁷⁸, which, in accordance with that Regulation, farmers must comply with to receive CAP payments, whereas other requirements, which go beyond the baseline of mandatory requirements, may be rewarded with additional payments under voluntary regimes like eco-schemes pursuant to Article 31 of Regulation (EU) 2021/2115. Article 31(5), points (a) and (b), and Article 70(3), points (a) and (b), of Regulation (EU) 2021/2115 provide that

the CAP funding is only available for practices implemented under an eco-scheme or agri-environmental-climate commitment which go beyond the relevant statutory management requirements and the standards of good agricultural and environmental conditions of land established under that Regulation and the relevant minimum requirements for the use of fertiliser and plant protection products, animal welfare, as well as other relevant mandatory requirements established by national and Union law. Since farmers and other users need to be financially supported in their transition toward a more sustainable use of pesticides, Regulation (EU) 2021/2115 needs to be amended to allow the financing of requirements imposed in accordance with this Regulation during a transitional period. This exceptional option for Member States to provide additional funding for measures taken in implementing this Regulation should apply to any obligation for farmers and other users resulting from the application of this Regulation, including compulsory farming practices imposed by the crop-specific rules for integrated pest management. Further, pursuant to Article 73(5) of Regulation (EU) 2021/2115, investments by farmers to comply with new requirements imposed by Union law may be supported for a maximum of 24 months from the date on which they become mandatory for the holding. Similarly, a longer transition period should be set out for investments complying with requirements imposed on farmers in accordance with this Regulation. Regulation (EU) 2021/2115 should therefore be amended accordingly.

⁷⁸ Regulation (EU) 2021/2115 of the

the CAP funding is only available for practices implemented under an eco-scheme or agri-environmental-climate commitment which go beyond the relevant statutory management requirements and the standards of good agricultural and environmental conditions of land established under that Regulation and the relevant minimum requirements for the use of fertiliser and plant protection products, animal welfare, as well as other relevant mandatory requirements established by national and Union law. Since farmers and other users need to be financially supported in their transition toward a more sustainable use of pesticides, Regulation (EU) 2021/2115 needs to be amended to allow the financing of requirements imposed in accordance with this Regulation during a transitional period.

The transition towards a more sustainable use of plant protection products, at EU and Member State level must be financed through new funding sources. No CAP funding should be used in this context.

This exceptional option for Member States to provide additional funding for measures taken in implementing this Regulation should apply to any obligation for farmers and other users resulting from the application of this Regulation, including compulsory farming practices imposed by the crop-specific rules for integrated pest management. Further, pursuant to Article 73(5) of Regulation (EU) 2021/2115, investments by farmers to comply with new requirements imposed by Union law may be supported for a maximum of 24 months from the date on which they become mandatory for the holding. Similarly, a longer transition period should be set out for investments complying with requirements imposed on farmers in accordance with this Regulation. Regulation (EU) 2021/2115 should therefore be amended accordingly.

⁷⁸ Regulation (EU) 2021/2115 of the

European Parliament and of the Council of 2 December 2021 establishing rules on support for strategic plans to be drawn up by Member States under the common agricultural policy (CAP Strategic Plans) and financed by the European Agricultural Guarantee Fund (EAGF) and by the European Agricultural Fund for Rural Development (EAFRD) and repealing Regulations (EU) No 1305/2013 and (EU) No 1307/2013, OJ L 435, 6.12.2021, p. 1.

European Parliament and of the Council of 2 December 2021 establishing rules on support for strategic plans to be drawn up by Member States under the common agricultural policy (CAP Strategic Plans) and financed by the European Agricultural Guarantee Fund (EAGF) and by the European Agricultural Fund for Rural Development (EAFRD) and repealing Regulations (EU) No 1305/2013 and (EU) No 1307/2013, OJ L 435, 6.12.2021, p. 1.

Or. en

Amendment 656

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Jorge Buxadé Villalba, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjård

Proposal for a regulation Recital 49

Text proposed by the Commission

(49) The implementation of this Regulation by Member States will result in new and enhanced obligations for farmers and other pesticides users. Some of them constitute statutory management requirements and standards of good agricultural and environmental conditions of land as listed in Annex III to Regulation (EU) 2021/2115 of the European Parliament and of the Council⁷⁸, which, in accordance with that Regulation, farmers must comply with to receive CAP payments, whereas other requirements, which go beyond the baseline of mandatory requirements, may be rewarded with additional payments under voluntary regimes like eco-schemes pursuant to Article 31 of Regulation (EU) 2021/2115. Article 31(5), points (a) and (b), and Article 70(3), points (a) and (b), of Regulation (EU) 2021/2115 provide that the CAP funding is only available for practices implemented under an eco-

Amendment

(49) The implementation of this Regulation by Member States will result in new and enhanced obligations for farmers and other pesticides users. Some of them constitute statutory management requirements and standards of good agricultural and environmental conditions of land as listed in Annex III to Regulation (EU) 2021/2115 of the European Parliament and of the Council⁷⁸, which, in accordance with that Regulation, farmers must comply with to receive CAP payments, whereas other requirements, which go beyond the baseline of mandatory requirements, may be rewarded with additional payments under voluntary regimes like eco-schemes pursuant to Article 31 of Regulation (EU) 2021/2115. Article 31(5), points (a) and (b), and Article 70(3), points (a) and (b), of Regulation (EU) 2021/2115 provide that the CAP funding is only available for practices implemented under an eco-

scheme or agri-environmental-climate commitment which go beyond the relevant statutory management requirements and the standards of good agricultural and environmental conditions of land established under that Regulation and the relevant minimum requirements for the use of fertiliser and plant protection products, animal welfare, as well as other relevant mandatory requirements established by national and Union law. Since farmers and other users need to be financially supported in their transition toward a more sustainable use of pesticides, ***Regulation (EU) 2021/2115 needs to be amended to allow the financing of requirements imposed in accordance with this Regulation during a transitional period.*** This exceptional option for Member States to provide additional funding for measures taken in implementing this Regulation should apply to any obligation for farmers and other users resulting from the application of this Regulation, including compulsory farming practices imposed by the crop-specific rules for integrated pest management. Further, pursuant to Article 73(5) of Regulation (EU) 2021/2115, investments by farmers to comply with new requirements imposed by Union law may be supported ***for a maximum of 24 months from the date on which they become mandatory for the holding.*** Similarly, a longer transition period should be set out for investments complying with requirements imposed on farmers in accordance with this Regulation. ***Regulation (EU) 2021/2115 should therefore be amended accordingly.***

⁷⁸ Regulation (EU) 2021/2115 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 2 December 2021 establishing rules on support for strategic plans to be drawn up by Member States under the common agricultural policy (CAP Strategic Plans) and financed by the European Agricultural Guarantee Fund (EAGF) and by the

scheme or agri-environmental-climate commitment which go beyond the relevant statutory management requirements and the standards of good agricultural and environmental conditions of land established under that Regulation and the relevant minimum requirements for the use of fertiliser and plant protection products, animal welfare, as well as other relevant mandatory requirements established by national and Union law. Since farmers and other users need to be financially supported in their transition toward a more sustainable use of pesticides, ***it is necessary to provide access to new financial resources and also ensure that no CAP funding should be used in this context.*** This exceptional option for Member States to provide additional funding for measures taken in implementing this Regulation should apply to any obligation for farmers and other users resulting from the application of this Regulation, including compulsory farming practices imposed by the crop-specific rules for integrated pest management. Further, pursuant to Article 73(5) of Regulation (EU) 2021/2115, investments by farmers to comply with new requirements imposed by Union law may be supported. Similarly, a longer transition period should be set out for investments complying with requirements imposed on farmers in accordance with this Regulation.

⁷⁸ Regulation (EU) 2021/2115 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 2 December 2021 establishing rules on support for strategic plans to be drawn up by Member States under the common agricultural policy (CAP Strategic Plans) and financed by the European Agricultural Guarantee Fund (EAGF) and by the

European Agricultural Fund for Rural Development (EAFRD) and repealing Regulations (EU) No 1305/2013 and (EU) No 1307/2013, OJ L 435, 6.12.2021, p. 1.

European Agricultural Fund for Rural Development (EAFRD) and repealing Regulations (EU) No 1305/2013 and (EU) No 1307/2013, OJ L 435, 6.12.2021, p. 1.

Or. en

Amendment 657
Inese Vaidere

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 49

Text proposed by the Commission

(49) The implementation of this Regulation by Member States will result in new and enhanced obligations for farmers and other pesticides users. Some of them constitute statutory management requirements and standards of good agricultural and environmental conditions of land as listed in Annex III to Regulation (EU) 2021/2115 of the European Parliament and of the Council⁷⁸, which, in accordance with that Regulation, farmers must comply with to receive CAP payments, whereas other requirements, which go beyond the baseline of mandatory requirements, may be rewarded with additional payments under voluntary regimes like eco-schemes pursuant to Article 31 of Regulation (EU) 2021/2115. Article 31(5), points (a) and (b), and Article 70(3), points (a) and (b), of Regulation (EU) 2021/2115 provide that the CAP funding is only available for practices implemented under an eco-scheme or agri-environmental-climate commitment which go beyond the relevant statutory management requirements and the standards of good agricultural and environmental conditions of land established under that Regulation and the relevant minimum requirements for the use of fertiliser and plant protection products, animal welfare, as well as other relevant

Amendment

(49) The implementation of this Regulation by Member States will result in new and enhanced obligations for farmers and other pesticides users. Some of them constitute statutory management requirements and standards of good agricultural and environmental conditions of land as listed in Annex III to Regulation (EU) 2021/2115 of the European Parliament and of the Council⁷⁸, which, in accordance with that Regulation, farmers must comply with to receive CAP payments, whereas other requirements, which go beyond the baseline of mandatory requirements, may be rewarded with additional payments under voluntary regimes like eco-schemes pursuant to Article 31 of Regulation (EU) 2021/2115. Article 31(5), points (a) and (b), and Article 70(3), points (a) and (b), of Regulation (EU) 2021/2115 provide that the CAP funding is only available for practices implemented under an eco-scheme or agri-environmental-climate commitment which go beyond the relevant statutory management requirements and the standards of good agricultural and environmental conditions of land established under that Regulation and the relevant minimum requirements for the use of fertiliser and plant protection products, animal welfare, as well as other relevant

mandatory requirements established by national and Union law. Since farmers and other users need to be financially supported in their transition toward a more sustainable use of pesticides, Regulation (EU) 2021/2115 needs to be amended to allow the financing of requirements imposed in accordance with this Regulation during a transitional period. This exceptional option for Member States to provide additional funding for measures taken in implementing this Regulation should apply to any obligation for farmers and other users resulting from the application of this Regulation, including compulsory farming practices imposed by the crop-specific **rules** for integrated pest management. Further, pursuant to Article 73(5) of Regulation (EU) 2021/2115, investments by farmers to comply with new requirements imposed by Union law may be supported for a maximum of 24 months from the date on which they become mandatory for the holding. Similarly, a longer transition period should be set out for investments complying with requirements imposed on farmers in accordance with this Regulation. Regulation (EU) 2021/2115 should therefore be amended accordingly.

⁷⁸ Regulation (EU) 2021/2115 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 2 December 2021 establishing rules on support for strategic plans to be drawn up by Member States under the common agricultural policy (CAP Strategic Plans) and financed by the European Agricultural Guarantee Fund (EAGF) and by the European Agricultural Fund for Rural Development (EAFRD) and repealing Regulations (EU) No 1305/2013 and (EU) No 1307/2013, OJ L 435, 6.12.2021, p. 1.

mandatory requirements established by national and Union law. Since farmers and other users need to be financially supported in their transition toward a more sustainable use of pesticides, Regulation (EU) 2021/2115 needs to be amended to allow the financing of requirements imposed in accordance with this Regulation during a transitional period. This exceptional option for Member States to provide additional funding for measures taken in implementing this Regulation should apply to any obligation for farmers and other users resulting from the application of this Regulation, including compulsory farming practices imposed by the crop-specific **guidelines** for integrated pest management. Further, pursuant to Article 73(5) of Regulation (EU) 2021/2115, investments by farmers to comply with new requirements imposed by Union law may be supported for a maximum of 24 months from the date on which they become mandatory for the holding. Similarly, a longer transition period should be set out for investments complying with requirements imposed on farmers in accordance with this Regulation. Regulation (EU) 2021/2115 should therefore be amended accordingly.

⁷⁸ Regulation (EU) 2021/2115 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 2 December 2021 establishing rules on support for strategic plans to be drawn up by Member States under the common agricultural policy (CAP Strategic Plans) and financed by the European Agricultural Guarantee Fund (EAGF) and by the European Agricultural Fund for Rural Development (EAFRD) and repealing Regulations (EU) No 1305/2013 and (EU) No 1307/2013, OJ L 435, 6.12.2021, p. 1.

Or. en

Amendment 658
Sylvia Limmer

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 49

Text proposed by the Commission

(49) Die Durchführung dieser Verordnung durch die Mitgliedstaaten wird zu neuen und erweiterten Verpflichtungen für Landwirte und andere Verwender von Pestiziden führen. Einige davon sind Grundanforderungen an die Betriebsführung und Standards für den guten landwirtschaftlichen und ökologischen Zustand von Flächen gemäß Anhang III der Verordnung (EU) 2021/2115 des Europäischen Parlaments und des Rates⁷⁸, die die Landwirte gemäß der genannten Verordnung erfüllen müssen, um GAP-Zahlungen zu erhalten, während andere Anforderungen, die über die verpflichtenden Anforderungen hinausgehen, im Rahmen freiwilliger Regelungen wie etwa Öko-Regelungen gemäß Artikel 31 der Verordnung (EU) 2021/2115 mit zusätzlichen Zahlungen belohnt werden können. Artikel 31 Absatz 5 Buchstaben a und b und Artikel 70 Absatz 3 Buchstaben a und b der Verordnung (EU) 2021/2115 sehen vor, dass GAP-Mittel nur für Methoden zur Verfügung stehen, die im Rahmen einer Öko-Regelung oder einer Agrarumwelt- und Klimaverpflichtung durchgeführt werden und über die einschlägigen Grundanforderungen an die Betriebsführung und die Standards für den guten landwirtschaftlichen und ökologischen Zustand von Flächen gemäß der genannten Verordnung und die einschlägigen Mindestanforderungen für den Einsatz von Düngemitteln und Pflanzenschutzmitteln, das Tierwohl sowie über sonstige einschlägige verpflichtende Anforderungen gemäß nationalem und Unionsrecht hinausgehen. Da Landwirte und andere Verwender beim Übergang zu

Amendment

(49) Die Durchführung dieser Verordnung durch die Mitgliedstaaten wird zu neuen und erweiterten Verpflichtungen für Landwirte und andere Verwender von Pestiziden führen. Einige davon sind Grundanforderungen an die Betriebsführung und Standards für den guten landwirtschaftlichen und ökologischen Zustand von Flächen gemäß Anhang III der Verordnung (EU) 2021/2115 des Europäischen Parlaments und des Rates⁷⁸, die die Landwirte gemäß der genannten Verordnung erfüllen müssen, um GAP-Zahlungen zu erhalten, während andere Anforderungen, die über die verpflichtenden Anforderungen hinausgehen, im Rahmen freiwilliger Regelungen wie etwa Öko-Regelungen gemäß Artikel 31 der Verordnung (EU) 2021/2115 mit zusätzlichen Zahlungen belohnt werden können. Artikel 31 Absatz 5 Buchstaben a und b und Artikel 70 Absatz 3 Buchstaben a und b der Verordnung (EU) 2021/2115 sehen vor, dass GAP-Mittel nur für Methoden zur Verfügung stehen, die im Rahmen einer Öko-Regelung oder einer Agrarumwelt- und Klimaverpflichtung durchgeführt werden und über die einschlägigen Grundanforderungen an die Betriebsführung und die Standards für den guten landwirtschaftlichen und ökologischen Zustand von Flächen gemäß der genannten Verordnung und die einschlägigen Mindestanforderungen für den Einsatz von Düngemitteln und Pflanzenschutzmitteln, das Tierwohl sowie über sonstige einschlägige verpflichtende Anforderungen gemäß nationalem und Unionsrecht hinausgehen. Da Landwirte und andere Verwender beim Übergang zu

einer nachhaltigeren Verwendung von Pestiziden finanzieller Unterstützung bedürfen, ist es erforderlich, die Verordnung (EU) 2021/2115 zu ändern, um während eines Übergangszeitraums die Finanzierung der gemäß der vorliegenden Verordnung auferlegten Anforderungen zu ermöglichen. Die Möglichkeit für die Mitgliedstaaten, ausnahmsweise zusätzliche Mittel für Maßnahmen zur Durchführung dieser Verordnung bereitzustellen, sollte für alle Verpflichtungen gelten, die sich für Landwirte und andere Verwender aus der Anwendung der Verordnung ergeben, einschließlich obligatorischer Bewirtschaftungsmethoden, die durch die kulturspezifischen Vorschriften für den integrierten Pflanzenschutz vorgeschrieben sind. Darüber hinaus können gemäß Artikel 73 Absatz 5 der Verordnung (EU) 2021/2115 von Landwirten zur Erfüllung neuer unionsrechtlicher Anforderungen getätigte Investitionen für einen Zeitraum von höchstens **24** Monaten ab dem Tag, zu dem diese für den Betrieb verbindlich werden, unterstützt werden. Ebenso sollte ein längerer Übergangszeitraum für Investitionen vorgesehen werden, die den Anforderungen an die Landwirte gemäß dieser Verordnung entsprechen. Die Verordnung (EU) 2021/2115 sollte daher entsprechend geändert werden.

⁷⁸ Verordnung (EU) 2021/2115 des Europäischen Parlaments und des Rates vom 2. Dezember 2021 mit Vorschriften für die Unterstützung der von den Mitgliedstaaten im Rahmen der Gemeinsamen Agrarpolitik zu erstellenden und durch den Europäischen Garantiefonds für die Landwirtschaft (EGFL) und den Europäischen Landwirtschaftsfonds für die Entwicklung des ländlichen Raums (ELER) zu finanzierenden Strategiepläne (GAP-Strategiepläne) und zur Aufhebung der Verordnung (EU) Nr. 1305/2013 sowie der Verordnung (EU) Nr. 1307/2013 (ABl.

einer nachhaltigeren Verwendung von Pestiziden finanzieller Unterstützung bedürfen, ist es erforderlich, die Verordnung (EU) 2021/2115 zu ändern, um während eines Übergangszeitraums die Finanzierung der gemäß der vorliegenden Verordnung auferlegten Anforderungen zu ermöglichen. Die Möglichkeit für die Mitgliedstaaten, ausnahmsweise zusätzliche Mittel für Maßnahmen zur Durchführung dieser Verordnung bereitzustellen, sollte für alle Verpflichtungen gelten, die sich für Landwirte und andere Verwender aus der Anwendung der Verordnung ergeben, einschließlich obligatorischer Bewirtschaftungsmethoden, die durch die kulturspezifischen Vorschriften für den integrierten Pflanzenschutz vorgeschrieben sind. Darüber hinaus können gemäß Artikel 73 Absatz 5 der Verordnung (EU) 2021/2115 von Landwirten zur Erfüllung neuer unionsrechtlicher Anforderungen getätigte Investitionen für einen Zeitraum von höchstens **60** Monaten ab dem Tag, zu dem diese für den Betrieb verbindlich werden, unterstützt werden. Ebenso sollte ein längerer Übergangszeitraum für Investitionen vorgesehen werden, die den Anforderungen an die Landwirte gemäß dieser Verordnung entsprechen. Die Verordnung (EU) 2021/2115 sollte daher entsprechend geändert werden.

⁷⁸ Verordnung (EU) 2021/2115 des Europäischen Parlaments und des Rates vom 2. Dezember 2021 mit Vorschriften für die Unterstützung der von den Mitgliedstaaten im Rahmen der Gemeinsamen Agrarpolitik zu erstellenden und durch den Europäischen Garantiefonds für die Landwirtschaft (EGFL) und den Europäischen Landwirtschaftsfonds für die Entwicklung des ländlichen Raums (ELER) zu finanzierenden Strategiepläne (GAP-Strategiepläne) und zur Aufhebung der Verordnung (EU) Nr. 1305/2013 sowie der Verordnung (EU) Nr. 1307/2013 (ABl.

L 435 vom 6.12.2021, S. 1).

L 435 vom 6.12.2021, S. 1).

Or. de

Amendment 659

Robert Roos, Rob Rooker

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 49 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(49 bis) Het is wenselijk dat de Raad en het Parlement zich regelmatig kunnen uitspreken over de wenselijkheid van deze verordening. Op deze manier kan de bevolking van de Unie zich, onder meer via het Parlement, blijven uitspreken over de wenselijkheid van deze verordening. Daarom moet deze verordening van rechtswege buiten werking treden. De Commissie moet echter de bevoegdheid krijgen om deze automatische vervaldatum met vijf jaar uit te stellen door een gedelegeerde handeling aan te nemen. De Commissie kan een dergelijke gedelegeerde handeling tot uitstel van het van rechtswege vervallen van deze verordening slechts één keer vaststellen voor elke toepassingsperiode van vijf jaar.

Or. nl

Amendment 660

Robert Roos, Rob Rooker

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 49 b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(49 ter) Overeenkomstig artikel 241 VWEU kan de Raad met gewone meerderheid van stemmen de Commissie

verzoeken alle studies te verrichten die de Raad wenselijk acht voor de verwezenlijking van de gemeenschappelijke doelstellingen, en haar passende voorstellen voor te leggen. De Raad kan op basis van het rapport zoals bedoeld in artikel 42 van deze verordning, of om economische, en sociale redenen, de Commissie verzoeken deze verordening te wijzigen, of in te trekken. Indien de Commissie geen voorstel indient, moet zij de Raad en het Parlement hiervan op de hoogte stellen.

Or. nl

Amendment 661
Mathilde Androuët

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 50

Text proposed by the Commission

(50) L'application du présent règlement devrait être différée afin de permettre aux autorités compétentes et aux opérateurs de se préparer aux exigences qu'il instaure,

Amendment

(50) L'application du présent règlement devrait être différée afin de permettre aux autorités compétentes et aux opérateurs de se préparer aux exigences qu'il instaure, *et de prendre en compte l'impact de la nouvelle situation géopolitique mondiale sur la souveraineté alimentaire des Etats membres,*

Or. fr

Amendment 662
Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjård

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 50

Text proposed by the Commission

(50) The application of this Regulation should be deferred in order to allow competent authorities and operators to prepare for the requirements introduced by it,

Amendment

(50) The application of this Regulation should be deferred in order to allow competent authorities and operators to prepare for the requirements introduced by it ***and shall enter into force the earliest after 18 months.***

Or. en



2022/0196(COD)

4.4.2023

AMENDMENTS

663 - 998

Draft report
Sarah Wiener
(PE742.368v01-00)

proposal for a regulation of the European Parliament and of the Council on the sustainable use of plant protection products and amending Regulation (EU) 2021/2115

Proposal for a regulation
(COM(2022)0305 – C9-0207/2022 – 2022/0196(COD))

AM_Com_LegReport

Amendment 663

Jan Huitema, Emma Wiesner, Ulrike Müller, Erik Poulsen, Andreas Glück, Asger Christensen, Billy Kelleher

Proposal for a regulation

Article 1 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

This Regulation lays down rules for the sustainable use of plant protection products **by** providing for the setting, and achievement by 2030, of reduction targets for the use and risk of chemical plant protection products, establishing requirements for use, storage, sale and disposal of plant protection products and for application equipment, providing for training and awareness raising, and providing for implementation of integrated pest management.

Amendment

This Regulation lays down rules for the sustainable use of plant protection products **while ensuring sustainable crop protection by;**

(a) providing for the setting, and achievement by 2030, of reduction targets for the **negative environmental impact of plant protection measures and for the** use and risk of chemical plant protection products,

(b) establishing requirements for use, storage, sale and disposal of plant protection products and for application equipment, providing for training and awareness raising, and providing for implementation of integrated pest management;

(c) establishing requirements and measures to improve the authorisation and placing on the market of low risk plant protection products and biological control products with the aim of lowering the negative impact of plant protection measures while ensuring sustainable crop protection;

Or. en

Amendment 664

Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan, Günther Sidl

Proposal for a regulation

Article 1 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

This Regulation lays down rules for the sustainable use of plant protection products **by providing** for the setting, and achievement by 2030, of reduction targets for the use and risk of chemical plant protection products, **establishing** requirements for use, storage, sale and disposal of plant protection products and for application equipment, **providing for** training and awareness raising, **and providing for implementation of integrated pest management.**

Amendment

This Regulation lays down rules for the sustainable use of plant protection products **with the aim to reduce the risks and impacts of these products on human health and the environment and promote the implementation of integrated pest management based on non-chemical alternatives to plant protection products and low input farming systems, such as agroecology and organic farming.**

This Regulation provides for the setting and achievement by 2030, of reduction targets for the use and risk of chemical plant protection products, **establishes** requirements for use, storage, sale and disposal of plant protection products and for application equipment, **for workers protection as well as health and environment monitoring and provides for independent advice,** training and awareness raising.

Or. en

Amendment 665

Eric Andrieu

Proposal for a regulation

Article 1 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

This Regulation lays down rules for the sustainable use of plant protection products by providing for the setting, and achievement by 2030, of reduction targets

Amendment

This Regulation **aims at reducing the risks and impacts of pesticide use on human health and the environment and reducing pesticide dependency. It** lays down rules

for the use and risk of chemical **plant protection products**, establishing requirements for use, storage, sale and disposal of plant protection products and for application equipment, **providing for** training and awareness raising, **and providing for implementation of integrated pest management**.

for the sustainable use of plant protection products by providing for the setting, and achievement by 2030, of reduction targets for the use and risk of chemical **pesticides**, **by promoting and implementing integrated pest management, based on non-chemical preventive and alternative agroecological approaches and techniques such as biological control, and encouraging the transition to low-input farming systems, and by** establishing requirements for use, storage, sale and disposal of plant protection products and for application equipment, **worker protection and** training, **independent advice** and awareness raising

Or. en

Amendment 666

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation

Article 1 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

This Regulation lays down rules for the **sustainable** use of **plant protection products** by providing for the setting, and achievement by 2030, of reduction targets for the use and risk of chemical **plant protection products**, establishing requirements for use, storage, sale and disposal of **plant protection products** and for application equipment, providing for training and awareness raising, **and** providing for implementation of integrated pest management.

Amendment

This Regulation lays down rules for the use of **pesticides** by providing for the setting, and achievement by 2030, of reduction targets for the use and risk of chemical **pesticides, and for the phasing-out of such pesticides by 2035**, establishing requirements for use, storage, **advertisement and sponsorships**, sale and disposal of **pesticides** and for application equipment, providing for **protection of the public, workers and rural residents from the hazards of pesticides, and providing for independent advice and** training and awareness raising, **as well as** providing for implementation of **agro-ecological and organic practices and** integrated pest management, **and monitoring of the progress in achieving these goals**.

(The replacement of 'plant protection

products' by 'pesticides' applies throughout the text.)

Or. en

Amendment 667

Sylvia Limmer

Proposal for a regulation

Article 1 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Mit dieser Verordnung **wird** die nachhaltige Verwendung von Pflanzenschutzmitteln geregelt, **indem Bestimmungen über die Festsetzung der Reduktionsziele für Verwendung und Risiko chemischer Pflanzenschutzmittel und über deren Erreichung bis 2030, Anforderungen sowohl an Verwendung, Lagerung, Verkauf und Entsorgung von Pflanzenschutzmitteln als auch an Anwendungsgeräte sowie Bestimmungen über Schulung und Sensibilisierung und die Umsetzung des integrierten Pflanzenschutzes festgelegt** werden.

Amendment

Mit dieser Verordnung **soll** die nachhaltige Verwendung von Pflanzenschutzmitteln geregelt werden. **Oberste Priorität ist die Gewährleistung von Ernährungssicherheit unter der Wahrung umweltpolitischer Grundsätze.**

Or. de

Amendment 668

Mathilde Androuët

Proposal for a regulation

Article premier – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Le présent règlement régit l'utilisation durable des produits phytopharmaceutiques en prévoyant **la fixation, et la réalisation en 2030 au plus tard, d'objectifs** de réduction de l'utilisation des produits phytopharmaceutiques chimiques et des risques qui y sont associés, en fixant des exigences relatives à l'utilisation, au stockage, à la vente et à l'élimination des

Amendment

Le présent règlement régit l'utilisation durable des produits phytopharmaceutiques en prévoyant **des objectifs** de réduction de l'utilisation des produits phytopharmaceutiques chimiques **présentant un risque pour la santé des consommateurs et la sûreté alimentaire** et des risques qui y sont associés, en fixant des exigences relatives à l'utilisation, au

produits phytopharmaceutiques et au matériel d'application, en prévoyant des actions de formation et de sensibilisation et en prévoyant la mise en œuvre de la lutte intégrée contre les ennemis des cultures.

stockage, à la vente et à l'élimination des produits phytopharmaceutiques et au matériel d'application, en prévoyant des actions de formation et de sensibilisation et en prévoyant la mise en œuvre de la lutte intégrée contre les ennemis des cultures, ***afin de contribuer à une réduction progressive et substantielle du recours à ces produits à l'horizon 2030 au plus tôt au niveau de l'Union européenne.***

Or. fr

Amendment 669

Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Lena Düpont, Peter Jahr, Jens Gieseke, Angelika Niebler, Andreas Schwab, Ralf Seekatz, David McAllister, Christine Schneider, Stefan Berger, Sabine Verheyen, Rainer Wieland, Monika Hohlmeier, Michael Gahler, Christian Doleschal, Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Daniel Caspary, Herbert Dorfmann

Proposal for a regulation Article 1 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Mit dieser Verordnung wird die nachhaltige Verwendung von Pflanzenschutzmitteln geregelt, indem Bestimmungen über die Festsetzung der Reduktionsziele für Verwendung und Risiko chemischer Pflanzenschutzmittel und über deren Erreichung bis **2030**, Anforderungen sowohl an Verwendung, Lagerung, Verkauf und Entsorgung von Pflanzenschutzmitteln als auch an Anwendungsgeräte sowie Bestimmungen über Schulung und Sensibilisierung und die Umsetzung des integrierten Pflanzenschutzes festgelegt werden.

Amendment

Mit dieser Verordnung wird die nachhaltige Verwendung von Pflanzenschutzmitteln geregelt, indem Bestimmungen über die Festsetzung der Reduktionsziele für Verwendung und Risiko chemischer Pflanzenschutzmittel und über deren Erreichung bis ... ***[Amt für Veröffentlichungen: bitte das Datum einfügen – 10 Jahre nach dem Geltungsbeginn dieser Verordnung]***, Anforderungen sowohl an Verwendung, Lagerung, Verkauf und Entsorgung von Pflanzenschutzmitteln als auch an Anwendungsgeräte sowie Bestimmungen über Schulung und Sensibilisierung und die Umsetzung des integrierten Pflanzenschutzes festgelegt werden.

Or. de

Justification

Ein längerer Zeitraum ist für die vorgesehene Reduktion von Pflanzenschutzmitteln nötig, um Planungssicherheit zu gewährleisten. Diese Änderung betrifft alle entsprechenden Erwägungen und Anhänge dieser Verordnung.

Amendment 670

Andreas Glück

Proposal for a regulation

Article 1 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

This Regulation lays down rules for the sustainable use of plant protection products by providing for the setting, and achievement by **2030**, of reduction targets for the use and risk of chemical plant protection products, establishing requirements for use, storage, sale and disposal of plant protection products and for application equipment, providing for training and awareness raising, and providing for implementation of integrated pest management.

Amendment

This Regulation lays down rules for the sustainable use of plant protection products by providing for the setting, and achievement by ... **[OP: please insert the date - 10 years after the application of the Regulation]**, of reduction targets for the use and risk of chemical plant protection products, establishing requirements for use, storage, sale and disposal of plant protection products and for application equipment, providing for training and awareness raising, and providing for implementation of integrated pest management.

(This Amendment applies throughout the text. Adopting it will necessitate corresponding changes throughout)

Or. en

Justification

A longer time period for the realisation of the Union targets is required because the number of available alternatives is currently insufficient. Alongside with the aim to reduce the use of plant protection products, this proposal will call for the provision of faster approval procedures for new products. As it stands, the time for approval consistently exceeds the maximum of 3,5 years which means that alternatives would only be available towards the end of this decade. Therefore, extending the deadline for the achievement of the goals seems reasonable to give farmers the time they need .

Amendment 671
Peter Liese

Proposal for a regulation
Article 1 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

This Regulation lays down rules for the sustainable use of plant protection products by providing for the setting, and achievement **by 2030**, of reduction targets for the use and risk of chemical plant protection products, establishing requirements for use, storage, sale and disposal of plant protection products and for application equipment, providing for training and awareness raising, and providing for implementation of integrated pest management.

Amendment

This Regulation lays down rules for the sustainable use of plant protection products by providing for the setting, and achievement of reduction targets for the use and risk of chemical plant protection products ***until 10 years after the entry into force of this Regulation***, establishing requirements for use, storage, sale and disposal of plant protection products and for application equipment, providing for training and awareness raising, and providing for implementation of integrated pest management.

Or. en

Amendment 672
Dan-Ștefan Motreanu, Carmen Avram, Marian-Jean Marinescu, Daniel Buda

Proposal for a regulation
Article 1 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

This Regulation lays down rules for the sustainable use of plant protection products by ***providing for the setting, and achievement by 2030, of reduction targets for the use and risk of chemical plant protection products***, establishing requirements for use, storage, sale and disposal of plant protection products and for application equipment, providing for training and awareness raising, and providing for implementation of integrated pest management.

Amendment

This Regulation lays down rules for the sustainable use of plant protection products by establishing requirements for use, storage, sale and disposal of plant protection products and for ***plant protection products*** application equipment, providing for training and awareness raising, and providing for implementation of integrated pest management, ***and providing for Member State contributions to the European Union reduction targets for the use and risk of chemical plant protection products by 2035.***

Or. en

Amendment 673

Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Peter Lundgren, Hermann Tertsch, Margarita de la Pisa Carrión, Mazaly Aguilar, Evžen Tošenovský, Sergio Berlato, Jorge Buxadé Villalba, Eugen Jurzyca, Pietro Fiocchi, Charlie Weimers, Alexander Bernhuber, Johan Nissinen

Proposal for a regulation

Article 1 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

This **Regulation** lays down rules for the sustainable use of plant protection products by ***providing for the setting, and achievement by 2030, of reduction targets for the use and risk of chemical plant protection products***, establishing requirements for use, storage, sale and disposal of plant protection products and for application equipment, providing for training and awareness raising, and providing for implementation of integrated pest management.

Amendment

This **Directive** lays down rules for the sustainable use of plant protection products by establishing requirements for use, storage, sale and disposal of plant protection products and for ***plant protection products*** application equipment, providing for training and awareness raising, and providing for implementation of integrated pest management, ***and providing for Member State contributions to the European Union reduction targets for the use and risk of synthetic plant protection products by 2030.***

Or. en

Justification

We consider the current legal form, i.e. the Directive, to be sufficient. Member States should be left room to take account of national conditions and regional specificities, the 'one size fits all' principle cannot be applied in the case of pesticide reduction. Some Member States have already demonstrated that they have made a long-term effort to reduce pesticides and should therefore not be penalised for the fact that many Member States have instead increased or not addressed the use of active substances. The Czech Republic and Slovakia have a long history of reducing the active substances used per hectare, implementing the principles of Integrated Pest Management, maintaining registers and supporting the organic farming - in the Czech Republic and Slovakia, more than 16% of agricultural land is currently farmed under organic farming, compared to 13% in Slovakia.

Amendment 674

Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław Kalinowski, Joanna Kopcińska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

Proposal for a regulation
Article 1 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

This Regulation lays down rules for the sustainable use of plant protection products by ***providing for the setting, and achievement by 2030, of reduction targets for the use and risk of chemical plant protection products***, establishing requirements for use, storage, sale and disposal of plant protection products and for application equipment, providing for training and awareness raising, ***and*** providing for implementation of integrated pest management.

Amendment

This Regulation lays down rules for the sustainable use of plant protection products by establishing requirements for use, storage, sale and disposal of plant protection products and for ***plant protection products*** application equipment, providing for training and awareness raising, providing for implementation of integrated pest management, ***and providing for the setting, and approaching by 2030, of European Union reduction targets for the use and risk of chemical plant protection products.***

Or. en

Justification

The F2F strategy sets the goals for the entire EU, there is no legal basis for transferring these goals to the level of Member States. Setting reduction targets is not synonymous with achieving them. Sustainable use of plant protection products can be achieved primarily through the implementation of integrated pest management and the implementation of the other requirements for the use and marketing of plant protection products included in the proposed regulation. The approaching to reduction targets is the result of undertaking these steps, not a mean to achieve sustainable use of plant protection products.

Amendment 675
Inese Vaidere

Proposal for a regulation
Article 1 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

This Regulation lays down rules for the sustainable use of plant protection products by providing for the setting, and achievement by 2030, of reduction targets for the use and risk of chemical plant protection products, establishing requirements for use, storage, sale and disposal of plant protection products and

Amendment

This Regulation lays down rules for the sustainable use of plant protection products by providing for the setting, and achievement by 2030, of ***European Union*** reduction targets for the use and risk of chemical plant protection products, establishing requirements for use, storage, sale and disposal of plant protection

for application equipment, providing for training and awareness raising, and providing for implementation of integrated pest management.

products and for application equipment, providing for training and awareness raising, and providing for implementation of integrated pest management.

Or. en

Amendment 676

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Jorge Buxadé Villalba, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjård

Proposal for a regulation
Article 1 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

This Regulation lays down rules for the sustainable use of plant protection products by providing for the setting, and achievement by **2030**, of reduction targets for the use and risk of chemical plant protection products, establishing requirements for use, storage, sale and disposal of plant protection products and for application equipment, providing for training and awareness raising, and providing for implementation of integrated pest management.

Amendment

This Regulation lays down rules for the sustainable use of plant protection products by providing for the setting, and achievement by **2035**, of reduction targets for the use and risk of chemical plant protection products, establishing requirements for use, storage, sale and disposal of plant protection products and for application equipment, providing for training and awareness raising, and providing for implementation of integrated pest management.

Or. en

Amendment 677

Esther de Lange

Proposal for a regulation
Article 1 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

This Regulation lays down rules for the sustainable use of plant protection products by providing for the setting, and achievement by **2030**, of reduction targets for the use and risk of chemical plant

Amendment

This Regulation lays down rules for the sustainable use of plant protection products by providing for the setting, and achievement by **2035**, of reduction targets for the use and risk of chemical plant

protection products, establishing requirements for use, storage, sale and disposal of plant protection products and for application equipment, providing for training and awareness raising, and providing for implementation of integrated pest management.

protection products, establishing requirements for use, storage, sale and disposal of plant protection products and for application equipment, providing for training and awareness raising, and providing for implementation of integrated pest management.

Or. en

Amendment 678

Ulrike Müller, Emma Wiesner, Andreas Glück

Proposal for a regulation

Article 1 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

This Regulation lays down rules for the sustainable use of plant protection products by providing for the setting, and achievement by **2030**, of reduction targets for the use and risk of chemical plant protection products, establishing requirements for use, storage, sale and disposal of plant protection products and for application equipment, providing for training and awareness raising, and providing for implementation of integrated pest management.

Amendment

This Regulation lays down rules for the sustainable use of plant protection products by providing for the setting, and achievement by **2035**, of reduction targets for the use and risk of chemical plant protection products, establishing requirements for use, storage, sale and disposal of plant protection products and for application equipment, providing for training and awareness raising, and providing for implementation of integrated pest management.

(This amendment applies throughout the text. Adopting it will necessitate corresponding changes throughout.)

Or. en

Justification

The achievability of the targets depends heavily on the availability of effective alternative means of plant protection. Currently, there are not enough products on the market to ensure an effective and complete toolbox. Market access has proven to be a significant obstacle. The approval period on average is 7-8 years in the EU. Due to the current lack of alternatives and the average approval period, it cannot reasonably be expected that farmers have access to a complete toolbox by 2030. The achievement of the targets by 2030 therefore is unrealistic and should be moved to 2035.

Amendment 679
Stanislav Polčák

Proposal for a regulation
Article 1 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Toto nařízení stanoví pravidla pro udržitelné používání přípravků na ochranu rostlin, a to prostřednictvím stanovení cílů týkajících se omezení používání chemických přípravků na ochranu rostlin a snížení rizika s nimi spojeného **a jejich dosažení do roku 2030**, stanovení požadavků na používání, skladování, prodej a likvidaci přípravků na ochranu rostlin a zařízení pro jejich aplikaci, stanovení odborné přípravy a zvyšování povědomí, jakož i provádění integrované ochrany rostlin.

Amendment

Toto nařízení stanoví pravidla pro udržitelné používání přípravků na ochranu rostlin, a to prostřednictvím stanovení **a dosažení do roku 2030** cílů týkajících se omezení používání chemických přípravků na ochranu rostlin a snížení rizika s nimi spojeného , stanovení požadavků na používání, skladování, prodej a likvidaci přípravků na ochranu rostlin a zařízení pro jejich aplikaci, stanovení odborné přípravy a zvyšování povědomí, jakož i provádění integrované ochrany rostlin.

Or. cs

Amendment 680
Mathilde Androuët

Proposal for a regulation
Article premier – paragraph 1 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Pour parvenir à cet objectif de réduction, il paraît nécessaire de prévoir une étape intermédiaire d'ici à 2030, consistant à prendre comme référence la moyenne d'utilisation des produits phytopharmaceutiques par hectare de terre cultivée dans l'Union européenne de 3,30 kg en 2020, pour permettre aux Etats membres ayant la plus forte utilisation, de parvenir à cette valeur moyenne d'utilisation ; l'objectif de réduction de 50 % de l'utilisation des produits phytosanitaires deviendra applicable à

partir de 2030 pour parvenir à une agriculture neutre en produits phytopharmaceutiques en 2050 comme le propose le document de prospective publié en mars 2023 par l'Institut national de recherche pour l'agriculture, l'alimentation et l'environnement (INRAE).

Or. fr

Justification

La trajectoire proposée par la Commission d'un objectif de réduction de 50% en 2030 ne paraît pas techniquement et économiquement réaliste. Pour parvenir à une agriculture raisonnée et neutre en produits phytopharmaceutiques, il est nécessaire de passer par une étape intermédiaire pour permettre aux Etats membres ayant un taux d'utilisation supérieure à la moyenne européenne, d'engager prioritairement les efforts nécessaires de réduction.

Amendment 681

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation

Article 2 – paragraph 1 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

This Regulation shall apply to products, in the form in which they are supplied to the user, consisting of or containing active substances, safeners **or** synergists, and intended for one of the following uses:

Amendment

This Regulation shall apply to products, in the form in which they are supplied to the user, consisting of or containing active substances, safeners, synergists, **co-formulants and/or adjuvants**, and intended for one of the following uses:

Or. en

Amendment 682

Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan

Proposal for a regulation

Article 2 – paragraph 1 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

This Regulation shall apply to products, in

Amendment

This Regulation shall apply to products, in

the form in which they are supplied to the user, consisting of or containing active substances, safeners or synergists, and intended for one of the following uses:

the form in which they are supplied to the user, consisting of or containing active substances, safeners or synergists, **co-formulants or adjuvants** and intended for one of the following uses:

Or. en

Amendment 683

Martin Hojsík, Michal Wiezik, María Soraya Rodríguez Ramos, Frédérique Ries

Proposal for a regulation

Article 2 – paragraph 1 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

This Regulation shall apply to products, in the form in which they are supplied to the user, consisting of or containing active substances, safeners or synergists, and intended for one of the following uses:

Amendment

This Regulation shall apply to products, in the form in which they are supplied to the user, consisting of or containing active substances, **co-formulants, adjuvants**, safeners or synergists, and intended for one of the following uses:

Or. en

Amendment 684

Stanislav Polčák

Proposal for a regulation

Article 2 – paragraph 1 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

c) uchovávání rostlinných produktů, pokud se na tyto **látky** nebo produkty nevztahují zvláštní předpisy Unie o konzervantech;

Amendment

c) uchovávání rostlinných produktů, pokud se na tyto **přípravky** nebo produkty nevztahují zvláštní předpisy Unie o konzervantech;

Or. cs

Amendment 685

Sylvia Limmer

Proposal for a regulation

Article 2 – paragraph 1 – point d

Text proposed by the Commission

d) unerwünschte Pflanzen oder Pflanzenteile zu **vernichten**, mit Ausnahme von Algen, es sei denn, die Produkte werden auf dem Boden oder im Wasser zum Schutz von Pflanzen ausgebracht;

Amendment

d) unerwünschte Pflanzen oder Pflanzenteile zu **bekämpfen**, mit Ausnahme von Algen, es sei denn, die Produkte werden auf dem Boden oder im Wasser zum Schutz von Pflanzen ausgebracht;

Or. de

Amendment 686

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjärd

Proposal for a regulation

Article 2 – paragraph 1 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Chemical plant protection products that are used with the active ingredient type of a repellent to prevent game damage to deciduous or coniferous trees are except for Chapter V (USE, STORAGE AND DISPOSAL OF PLANT PROTECTION PRODUCTS), VI (SALE OF PLANT PROTECTION PRODUCTS) and VII (TRAINING, EDUCATION AND AWARENESS) of exempt from this regulation.

Or. en

Amendment 687

Sylvia Limmer

Proposal for a regulation

Article 2 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Diese Produkte werden als
„Pflanzenschutzmittel“ bezeichnet.

Amendment

Diese Produkte werden als
„Pflanzenschutzmittel“ bezeichnet. ***Diese
Verordnung gilt für Pflanzenschutzmittel,
die im Anhang nach einem
Durchführungsrechtsakt aufgeführt sind.
Die Kommission erlässt
Durchführungsrechtsakte zur Erstellung
der Liste der in diesem Artikel genannten
Pflanzenschutzmittel. Diese
Durchführungsrechtsakte werden nach
dem in Artikel 41 genannten
Prüfverfahren erlassen, sobald die
Kommission den dokumentierten
Nachweis erbracht hat, dass eine
Verringerung der Anwendung nicht zu
einer Ertragsminderung führt.***

Or. de

Amendment 688

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 2 – paragraph 2**

Text proposed by the Commission

These products are referred to as '***plant
protection products***'.

Amendment

These products are referred to as
'***biological controls***' if derived from
natural substances or '***pesticides***' if
derived from chemicals.

Or. en

Amendment 689

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 2 – paragraph 2 a (new)**

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

The provisions of this Regulation shall not prevent Member States from applying higher and/or swifter goals and additional measures in order to inter alia apply the the precautionary principle in restricting or prohibiting the use of pesticides in specific circumstances or areas.

Or. en

Amendment 690

Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan, Günther Sidl

Proposal for a regulation

Article 2 – paragraph 2 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

The provisions of this Regulation shall not prevent Member States from applying the precautionary principle in restricting or prohibiting the use of plant protection products in specific circumstances or areas.

Or. en

Amendment 691

Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław Kalinowski, Joanna Kopcińska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

Proposal for a regulation

Article 2 – paragraph 2 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

This Regulation shall apply to use of plant protection products, as defined in art. 2 paragraph 1 of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009.

Or. en

Amendment 692

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjärd

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 1

Text proposed by the Commission

(1) ‘chemical plant protection product’ means a plant protection product containing a chemical active substance ***excluding plant products using natural means of biological origin or substances identical to them, such as micro-organisms, semiochemicals, extracts from plant products as defined in Article 3(6) of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009, or invertebrate macro-organisms;***

Amendment

(1) ‘chemical plant protection product’ means a plant protection product containing a chemical active substance;

Or. en

Amendment 693

Jan Huitema, Emma Wiesner, Erik Poulsen, Asger Christensen, Billy Kelleher

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 1

Text proposed by the Commission

(1) ‘chemical plant protection product’ means a plant protection product containing a chemical active substance excluding plant products using natural means of biological origin or substances identical to them, such as micro-organisms, semiochemicals, extracts from plant products as defined in Article 3(6) of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009, ***or*** invertebrate macro-organisms;

Amendment

(1) ‘chemical plant protection product’ means a plant protection product containing a chemical active substance excluding plant ***protection*** products using natural means of biological origin or substances identical to them, such as micro-organisms, semiochemicals, extracts from plant products as defined in Article 3(6) of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009, invertebrate macro-organisms ***and other natural substances that may contain components that originate from nature, such as algae, bacteria, viruses, viroids, micoplasmas, fungi, proteins, peptides, enzymes, RNA, and protozoans;***

Amendment 694
Stanislav Polčák

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1) „chemickým přípravkem na ochranu rostlin“ přípravek na ochranu rostlin obsahující chemickou účinnou látku, vyjma přípravků na ochranu rostlin, ***které používají přírodní prostředky biologického původu nebo látky s nimi shodné, jako jsou mikroorganismy, semiochemikálie, extrakty z rostlinných produktů ve smyslu čl. 3 bodu 6 nařízení (ES) č. 1107/2009 nebo makroorganismy ze skupiny bezobratlých;***

Amendment

1) „chemickým přípravkem na ochranu rostlin“ přípravek na ochranu rostlin obsahující chemickou účinnou látku, vyjma přípravků na ochranu rostlin, ***jejichž působení je založeno na biologické kontrole;***

Amendment 695
Ulrike Müller, Emma Wiesner, Andreas Glück

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 1

Text proposed by the Commission

(1) ‘chemical plant protection product’ means a plant protection product containing a chemical active substance excluding plant products using natural means of biological origin or substances identical to them, such as micro-organisms, semiochemicals, extracts from plant products as defined in Article 3(6) of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009, or invertebrate macro-organisms;

Amendment

(1) ‘chemical plant protection product’ means a plant protection product containing a chemical active substance excluding plant products using natural means of biological origin or substances identical to them, such as micro-organisms, semiochemicals, ***peptide- and protein-based products including enzymes and antibodies, RNA, hormones, dead cells and fermentation products,*** extracts from plant products as defined in Article 3(6) of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009, or invertebrate macro-organisms;

Justification

Due to the continuing limited availability of biological control products, investments in their development are urgently needed. In order to provide greater investment certainty and hence attract more investments, it is appropriate to mention promising categories of biological control agents explicitly.

Amendment 696

Mathilde Androuët

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1) «produit phytopharmaceutique chimique»: un produit phytopharmaceutique contenant une substance active chimique excluant les produits végétaux utilisant des moyens naturels d'origine biologique ou des substances identiques à ceux-ci, tels les micro-organismes, les substances sémi-chimiques, les extraits de produits végétaux au sens de l'article 3, point 6, du règlement (CE) n° 1107/2009 ou les macro-organismes invertébrés;

Amendment

1) «produit phytopharmaceutique chimique»: un produit phytopharmaceutique contenant une substance active chimique ***présentant un risque pour la santé des consommateurs et la sûreté alimentaire*** excluant les produits végétaux utilisant des moyens naturels d'origine biologique ou des substances identiques à ceux-ci, tels les micro-organismes, les substances sémi-chimiques, les extraits de produits végétaux au sens de l'article 3, point 6, du règlement (CE) n° 1107/2009 ou les macro-organismes invertébrés;

Or. fr

Amendment 697

Sylvia Limmer

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. „chemisches Pflanzenschutzmittel“ bezeichnet ein Pflanzenschutzmittel, das einen

Amendment

1. 1. Pflanzenschutzmittel ***bezeichnen Wirkstoffe, die zum Schutz von Nutzpflanzen angewandt werden. Für die***

chemischen Wirkstoff enthält, ausgenommen Pflanzenschutzmittel mit natürlichen Mitteln biologischen Ursprungs oder ihnen identischen Stoffen wie etwa Mikroorganismen, Semiochemikalien, Extrakte aus Pflanzenerzeugnissen im Sinne von Artikel 3 Nummer 6 der Verordnung (EG) Nr. 1107/2009 oder wirbellose Makroorganismen;

individuelle Risikobewertung der einzelnen Wirkstoffe in wissenschaftlichen Prüfverfahren ist es unerheblich, ob der Wirkstoff ein naturidentischer oder synthetischer Wirkstoff ist.

Or. de

Amendment 698
Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 1

Text proposed by the Commission

(1) ‘chemical plant protection product’ means a plant protection product containing a chemical active substance excluding plant products using natural means of biological origin or substances identical to them, such as **micro-organisms**, semiochemicals, extracts from plant products as defined in Article 3(6) of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009, or invertebrate macro-organisms;

Amendment

(1) ‘chemical plant protection product’ means a plant protection product containing a chemical active substance excluding plant **protection** products using natural means of biological origin or substances identical to them, such as semiochemicals, extracts from plant products as defined in Article 3(6) of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009, **as well as microorganisms, substances of animal or mineral origin**, or invertebrate macro-organisms;

Or. en

Amendment 699
Tudor Ciuhodaru

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 1

Text proposed by the Commission

(1) „produs chimic de protecție a

Amendment

(1) „produs chimic de protecție a

plantelor” înseamnă un produs de protecție a plantelor care conține o substanță activă chimică, cu excepția produselor vegetale care utilizează mijloace naturale de origine biologică sau substanțe identice cu acestea, cum ar fi microorganisme, compuși semiochimici, extracte din produse vegetale, astfel cum sunt definite la articolul 3 alineatul (6) din Regulamentul (CE) nr. 1107/2009, sau macroorganisme nevertebrate;

plantelor” înseamnă un produs de protecție a plantelor **obținut printr-un proces tehnologic** care conține o substanță activă chimică, cu excepția produselor vegetale care utilizează mijloace naturale de origine biologică sau substanțe identice cu acestea, cum ar fi microorganisme, compuși semiochimici, extracte din produse vegetale, astfel cum sunt definite la articolul 3 alineatul (6) din Regulamentul (CE) nr. 1107/2009, sau macroorganisme nevertebrate;

Or. ro

Amendment 700

Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław Kalinowski, Joanna Kopcińska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 1

Text proposed by the Commission

(1) ‘chemical plant protection product’ means a plant protection product containing a chemical active substance excluding plant products using natural means of biological origin or substances identical to them, such as **micro-organisms**, semiochemicals, extracts from plant products as defined in Article 3(6) of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009, **or invertebrate macro-organisms**;

Amendment

(1) ‘chemical plant protection product’ means a plant protection product containing a chemical active substance excluding plant products using natural means of biological origin or substances identical to them, such as semiochemicals **or** extracts from plant products as defined in Article 3(6) of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009.

Or. en

Amendment 701

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(1) ‘chemical **plant protection product**’ means a **plant protection product** containing a chemical active substance excluding **plant** products using natural means of biological origin or substances identical to them, such as micro-organisms, semiochemicals, extracts from plant products as defined in Article 3(6) of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009, or invertebrate macro-organisms;

(1) ‘chemical **pesticide**’ means a **pesticide** containing a chemical active substance excluding products using natural means of biological origin or substances identical to them, such as micro-organisms, semiochemicals, extracts from plant products as defined in Article 3(6) of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009, or invertebrate macro-organisms;

Or. en

Amendment 702

Sylvia Limmer

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

2. „**Pflanzenschutzmittel mit geringem Risiko**“ bezeichnet ein gemäß Artikel 47 Absatz 1 der Verordnung (EG) Nr. 1107/2009 zugelassenes **Pflanzenschutzmittel**;

entfällt

Or. de

Amendment 703

Sylvia Limmer

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 3

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

3. „**chemischer Wirkstoff**“ bezeichnet einen Wirkstoff, bei dem es sich nicht um einen Mikroorganismus, eine Semiochemikalie oder einen Extrakt aus einem Pflanzenerzeugnis im Sinne von

entfällt

**Artikel 3 Nummer 6 der Verordnung (EG)
Nr. 1107/2009 handelt;**

Or. de

**Amendment 704
Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan, Delara Burkhardt**

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 3 a (new)**

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

**(3 a) ‘biocidal product’ means a
biocidal product as defined in Article
3(1)(a) of Regulation (EU) 528/2012;**

Or. en

**Amendment 705
Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan, Günther Sidl**

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 3 b (new)**

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

**(3 b) ‘metabolite’ means any metabolite
as defined in Article 3(32) of Regulation
(EC) 1107/2009;**

Or. en

**Amendment 706
Sylvia Limmer**

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 5**

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

**5. „gefährlicheres
Pflanzenschutzmittel“ bezeichnet ein** **entfällt**

Pflanzenschutzmittel, das einen oder mehrere Wirkstoffe enthält, die gemäß Artikel 24 der Verordnung (EG) Nr. 1107/2009 als Substitutionskandidaten genehmigt und in Teil E des Anhangs der Durchführungsverordnung (EU) Nr. 540/2011 aufgeführt sind, oder ein Pflanzenschutzmittel, das einen oder mehrere Wirkstoffe enthält, die im Anhang der Durchführungsverordnung (EU) Nr. 2015/408 aufgeführt sind;

Or. de

Amendment 707
Edina Tóth

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 5

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(5) ‘more hazardous plant protection product’ means a plant protection product containing one or more active substances approved as candidates for substitution in accordance with Article 24 of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 and listed in Part E of the Annex to Implementing Regulation (EU) No 540/2011, or containing one or more active substances listed in the Annex to Implementing Regulation (EU) 2015/408; ***deleted***

Or. en

Amendment 708
Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław Kalinowski, Joanna Kopcińska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 5

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(5) *‘more hazardous plant protection product’ means a plant protection product containing one or more active substances approved as candidates for substitution in accordance with Article 24 of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 and listed in Part E of the Annex to Implementing Regulation (EU) No 540/2011, or containing one or more active substances listed in the Annex to Implementing Regulation (EU) 2015/408;*

deleted

Or. en

Justification

The definition suggests that dangerous plant protection products are places on the EU market, which is not true. The plant protection products authorized for the use in the EU territory undergo a detailed safety analysis. The listing of active substances as CfS does not question the safety of the products; they have gone through the strict EU evaluation and have passed all safety requirements of Directive 91/414/EEC or Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009. PPPs containing them can only be authorised (or renewed or extended) if they too pass all such criteria.

Amendment 709

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 5

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(5) *‘more hazardous **plant protection product**’ means a **plant protection product** containing one or more active substances approved as candidates for substitution in accordance with Article 24 of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 and listed in Part E of the Annex to Implementing Regulation (EU) No 540/2011, or containing one or more active substances listed in the Annex to Implementing Regulation (EU) 2015/408;*

(5) *‘**most** hazardous **pesticide**’ means a **pesticide** containing one or more active substances approved as candidates for substitution in accordance with Article 24 of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 and listed in Part E of the Annex to Implementing Regulation (EU) No 540/2011, or **meeting one or more of the criteria set out in point 4 of Annex II of Regulation No 1107/2009, or** containing one or more active substances listed in the Annex to Implementing Regulation (EU)*

2015/408;

(This amendment applies throughout the text.)

Or. en

Amendment 710
Tudor Ciuhodaru

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 5

Text proposed by the Commission

(5) „produs de protecție a plantelor mai periculos” înseamnă un produs de protecție a plantelor care conține una sau mai multe substanțe active aprobate ca substanțe susceptibile de înlocuire în conformitate cu articolul 24 din Regulamentul (CE) nr. 1107/2009 și care figurează în partea E din anexa la Regulamentul de punere în aplicare (UE) nr. 540/2011 sau care conține una sau mai multe substanțe active enumerate în anexa la Regulamentul de punere în aplicare (UE) 2015/408;

Amendment

(5) „produs de protecție a plantelor mai periculos” înseamnă un produs de protecție a plantelor care conține una sau mai multe substanțe active **obținute printr-un proces tehnologic și** aprobate ca substanțe susceptibile de înlocuire în conformitate cu articolul 24 din Regulamentul (CE) nr. 1107/2009 și care figurează în partea E din anexa la Regulamentul de punere în aplicare (UE) nr. 540/2011 sau care conține una sau mai multe substanțe active enumerate în anexa la Regulamentul de punere în aplicare (UE) 2015/408;

Or. ro

Amendment 711
Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Pietro Fiocchi, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjård

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 6 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(6 a) ‘organic farming’ means farming practices in accordance with Regulation (EC) No 2018/848.

Amendment 712

Martin Hojsik, Michal Wiezik, María Soraya Rodríguez Ramos, Frédérique Ries

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 7

Text proposed by the Commission

(7) ‘professional user’ means any person who uses a plant protection product in the course of their professional activities;

Amendment

(7) ‘professional user’ means any person who uses a plant protection product in the course of their professional activities, ***including operators, technicians, employers, self-employed people and employees, both in the farming and other sectors;***

Or. en

Justification

The definition builds upon the definition of professional user in the Directive 2009/128/EC that will be repealed by the Regulation.

Amendment 713

Maria Arena

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 7

Text proposed by the Commission

(7) ‘professional user’ means any person who uses a plant protection product in the course of their professional activities;

Amendment

(7) ‘professional user’ means any person who uses a plant protection product in the course of their professional activities, ***including operators, technicians, employers and self-employed people, both in the farming and other sectors;***

Or. en

Amendment 714

Pascal Canfin, Martin Hojsík, Catherine Chabaud, Max Orville

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 8 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(8 a) 'economic operator' means any company selling products which imply the use of plant protection products in the course of their manufacturing, including food processing industries and retailers.

Or. en

Amendment 715

Pascal Canfin, Martin Hojsík, Catherine Chabaud, Max Orville

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 8 b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(8 b) 'manufacturer' means a person who manufactures plant protection products, active substances, safeners, synergists, co-formulants or adjuvants on his own, or who contracts this manufacturing to another party, or a person designated by the manufacturer as his sole representative for the purpose of compliance with this Regulation

Or. en

Amendment 716

Sylvia Limmer

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 9

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

9. „Berater“ bezeichnet jede Person, entfällt

die im Rahmen einer beruflichen Tätigkeit oder einer gewerblichen Dienstleistung Beratung zum integrierten Pflanzenschutz und zur sicheren Verwendung von Pflanzenschutzmitteln erteilt, einschließlich private und öffentliche Beratungsdienste;

Or. de

Amendment 717

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 9

Text proposed by the Commission

(9) ‘advisor’ means any person who advises on integrated pest management and the safe use of plant protection products, in the context of a professional capacity or commercial service, including private and public advisory services;

Amendment

(9) ‘advisor’ means any person who advises on integrated pest management and the safe use of plant protection products, in the context of a professional capacity or commercial service, including private and public advisory services, ***provided that they have no ties to producers of chemical pesticides or other conflicts of interest ;***

Or. en

Amendment 718

Maria Arena, Delara Burkhardt

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 9

Text proposed by the Commission

(9) ‘advisor’ means any person who advises on integrated pest management and the safe use of plant protection products, in the context of a professional capacity or commercial service, including private and public advisory services;

Amendment

(9) ‘advisor’ means any person who advises on integrated pest management and the safe use of plant protection products, in the context of a professional capacity or commercial service, including private and public advisory services, ***other than those producing and selling plant protection***

products;

Or. en

Amendment 719

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 10

Text proposed by the Commission

(10) ‘application equipment’ means any equipment the use of which for the application of a ***plant protection product*** is reasonably foreseeable at the time of manufacture and accessories that are essential for the effective operation of such equipment, ***with the exception of equipment designed for the sowing or planting of propagating material treated with plant protection products;***

Amendment

(10) ‘application equipment’ means any equipment the use of which for the application of a ***pesticide*** is reasonably foreseeable at the time of manufacture and accessories that are essential for the effective operation of such equipment;

Or. en

Amendment 720

Maria Arena

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 10

Text proposed by the Commission

(10) ‘application equipment’ means any equipment the use of which for the application of a plant protection product is reasonably foreseeable at the time of manufacture and accessories that are essential for the effective operation of such equipment, ***with the exception of equipment designed for the sowing or planting of propagating material treated with plant protection products;***

Amendment

(10) ‘application equipment’ means any equipment the use of which for the application of a plant protection product is reasonably foreseeable at the time of manufacture and accessories that are essential for the effective operation of such equipment;

Or. en

Amendment 721

Dan-Ştefan Motreanu, Carmen Avram, Marian-Jean Marinescu, Daniel Buda

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 10

Text proposed by the Commission

(10) ‘application equipment’ means any equipment ***the use of*** which for the application of ***a*** plant protection product ***is reasonably foreseeable at the time of manufacture and accessories that are essential for the effective operation of such equipment***, with the exception of equipment designed for the sowing or planting of propagating material treated with plant protection products;

Amendment

(10) ‘application equipment’ means any equipment which ***according to manufacturer's manual is intended*** for the application of plant protection product, with the exception of equipment designed for the sowing or planting of propagating material treated with plant protection products;

Or. en

Amendment 722

Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław Kalinowski, Joanna Kopcińska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 10

Text proposed by the Commission

(10) ‘application equipment’ means any equipment ***the use of*** which for the application of ***a*** plant protection product ***is reasonably foreseeable at the time of manufacture and accessories that are essential for the effective operation of such equipment***, with the exception of equipment designed for the sowing or planting of propagating material treated with plant protection products;

Amendment

(10) ‘application equipment’ means any equipment which, ***according to manufacture's manual, is intended*** for the application of plant protection product, with the exception of equipment designed for the sowing or planting of propagating material treated with plant protection products;

Or. en

Amendment 723

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjärd

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 10

Text proposed by the Commission

(10) ‘application equipment’ means any equipment ***the use of which*** for the application of a plant protection product ***is reasonably foreseeable at the time of manufacture and*** accessories that are essential for the effective operation of such equipment, with the exception of equipment designed for the sowing or planting ***of propagating*** material treated with plant protection products;

Amendment

(10) ‘application equipment’ means any equipment ***used*** for the application of a plant protection product ***and the*** accessories that are essential for the effective operation of such equipment, with the exception of equipment designed for the sowing or planting material treated with plant protection products;

Or. en

Amendment 724

Martin Hojsík, Michal Wiezik, María Soraya Rodríguez Ramos, Frédérique Ries

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 10

Text proposed by the Commission

(10) ‘application equipment’ means any equipment the use of which for the application of a plant protection product is reasonably foreseeable at the time of manufacture and accessories that are essential for the effective operation of such equipment, ***with the exception of*** equipment designed for the sowing or planting of propagating material treated with plant protection products;

Amendment

(10) ‘application equipment’ means any equipment the use of which for the application of a plant protection product is reasonably foreseeable at the time of manufacture and accessories that are essential for the effective operation of such equipment, ***including the*** equipment designed for the sowing or planting of propagating material treated with plant protection products;

Or. en

Amendment 725

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjärd

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 11 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) application equipment with horizontal or vertical booms or orchard blast sprayers, ***irrespective of whether it is being used for the application of plant protection products;***

Amendment

(b) application equipment with horizontal or vertical booms or orchard blast sprayers;

Or. en

Amendment 726

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Pietro Fiocchi, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjärd

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 12

Text proposed by the Commission

(12) ‘aerial application’ means application of a plant protection product from an aircraft;

Amendment

(12) ‘aerial application’ means application of a plant protection product from an aircraft, ***that is operated with an onboard pilot;***

Or. en

Amendment 727

Maria Arena

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 12

Text proposed by the Commission

(12) ‘aerial application’ means application of a plant protection product

Amendment

(12) ‘aerial application’ means application of a plant protection product

from **an** aircraft;

from **a manned or unmanned** aircraft;

Or. en

Amendment 728

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 12

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(12) ‘aerial application’ means application of a **plant protection product** from an aircraft;

(12) ‘aerial application’ means application of a **pesticide** from an aircraft, **whether crewed or uncrewed**;

Or. en

Amendment 729

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 13

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(13) ‘**unmanned aircraft**’ means any aircraft operating or designed to operate autonomously or to be piloted remotely without a pilot on board;

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 730

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 15

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(15) ‘integrated pest management’ means careful consideration of all available

(15) ‘integrated pest management’ means careful consideration **and**

means that discourage the development of populations of harmful organisms, **while keeping the use of chemical plant protection products to levels that are economically and ecologically justified and minimise** risks to human health and the environment;

application of all available means that **prevent and** discourage the development of populations of harmful organisms **within an ecosystems-based or organic strategy, through a combination of agro-ecological techniques such as habitat manipulation, modification of cultural practices, and use of resistant GMO-free varieties, prioritising non-chemical methods such as biological control in cases where harmful organisms have been found, using chemical pesticides only as a last resort and with the goal of removing only the target organism, in a way that minimises** risks to human **and animal** health, **beneficial and non-target organisms** and the environment. **Treating propagating material and soils with pesticides is therefore incompatible with integrated pest management, as are any other forms of prophylactic use of pesticides;**

Or. en

Amendment 731

Martin Hojsík, Michal Wiezik, María Soraya Rodríguez Ramos, Róza Thun und Hohenstein, Frédérique Ries

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 15

Text proposed by the Commission

(15) ‘integrated pest management’ means careful consideration of all available means that discourage the development of populations of harmful organisms, while keeping the use of chemical plant protection products to levels that are **economically and** ecologically justified and minimise risks to human health and the environment;

Amendment

(15) ‘integrated pest management’ means careful consideration of all available means that discourage the development of populations of harmful organisms, while keeping the use of chemical plant protection products to levels that are ecologically **and economically** justified and minimise risks to human health and the environment **by applying following hierarchy of pest management: (a) preventive agronomic practices, including improving the health of soil; (b) monitoring, forecasting, warning systems;**

(c) mechanical, physical, natural or biological control; (e) control by use of low-risk plant protection products; (f) control by chemical plant protection products others than then candidates for substitution;

Or. en

Justification

The IPM is mandatory for the professional users already since 2014. The inclusion of hierarchy inspired by the WFD gives professional users, including farmers and farm workers, clear guidance of set of action that needs to be followed as part of pest prevention and control.

Amendment 732

Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan, Günther Sidl, Delara Burkhardt

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 15

Text proposed by the Commission

(15) ‘integrated pest management’ means careful consideration of all available means that discourage the development of populations of harmful organisms, **while** keeping the use of chemical plant protection products to levels that are economically and ecologically justified and minimise risks to human health and the environment;

Amendment

(15) ‘integrated pest management’ means ***an iterative process following a clear hierarchy of actions for*** careful consideration of all available means that discourage the development of populations of harmful organisms. ***‘Integrated pest management’ prioritizes the growth of a healthy crop with the least possible disruption to agro-ecosystems and encourages natural pest control mechanisms and*** keeping the use of chemical plant protection products ***only as a last resort and*** to levels that are economically and ecologically justified and minimise risks to human health and the environment. ***The use of GMOs, New Breeding Techniques and New Genomic techniques are not integrated pest management measures;***

Or. en

Amendment 733

Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Lena Düpont, Jens Gieseke, Angelika Niebler, Andreas Schwab, Ralf Seekatz, David McAllister, Christine Schneider, Stefan Berger, Sabine Verheyen, Rainer Wieland, Monika Hohlmeier, Michael Gahler, Christian Doleschal, Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Alexander Bernhuber, Daniel Caspary, Herbert Dorfmann, Simone Schmiedtbauer

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 15

Text proposed by the Commission

15. „integrierter Pflanzenschutz“ bezeichnet die sorgfältige Abwägung aller verfügbaren **Mittel**, die der Entstehung von Populationen von Schadorganismen entgegenwirken, **wobei** die Verwendung **chemischer Pflanzenschutzmittel** auf einem Niveau **gehalten wird**, das wirtschaftlich und ökologisch vertretbar ist und Risiken für die menschliche Gesundheit und die Umwelt minimiert;

Amendment

15. „integrierter Pflanzenschutz“ bezeichnet die sorgfältige Abwägung aller verfügbaren **Pflanzenschutzmethoden und die anschließende Einbindung geeigneter Maßnahmen**, die der Entstehung von Populationen von Schadorganismen entgegenwirken **und** die Verwendung **von Pflanzenschutzmitteln und anderen Abwehr- und Bekämpfungsmethoden** auf einem Niveau **halten**, das wirtschaftlich und ökologisch vertretbar ist und Risiken für die menschliche Gesundheit und die Umwelt **reduziert oder** minimiert. **Der integrierte Pflanzenschutz stellt auf das Wachstum gesunder Nutzpflanzen bei möglichst geringer Störung der landwirtschaftlichen Ökosysteme ab und fördert natürliche Mechanismen zur Bekämpfung von Schädlingen;**

Or. de

Justification

Die Definition in der bestehenden Richtlinie über einen Aktionsrahmen der Gemeinschaft für die nachhaltige Verwendung von Pestiziden (<https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/DE/TXT/PDF/?uri=CELEX:02009L0128-20091125&from=EN>) fügt sich zweckmäßiger in diese Verordnung ein.

Amendment 734

Eric Andrieu

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 15

Text proposed by the Commission

(15) ‘integrated pest management’ means ***careful consideration of all available means that discourage the development of populations of harmful organisms***, while keeping the use of chemical plant protection products to levels that are economically and ecologically justified ***and*** minimise risks to human health and the environment;

Amendment

(15) ‘integrated pest management’ means ***a holistic system that emphasizes the growth of a healthy crop*** while keeping the use of chemical plant protection products to levels that are economically and ecologically justified ; ***it is based on non-chemical preventative measures such as biological control and encourages natural pest control mechanisms, in order to*** minimise risks to human health and the environment ; ***the use of genetically modified seeds or coming from new breeding technologies are excluded from the tools box of the integrated pest management;***

Or. en

Amendment 735

Pascal Canfin, Catherine Chabaud, Max Orville

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 15

Text proposed by the Commission

(15) ‘integrated pest management’ means ***careful consideration of all available means that discourage the development of populations of harmful organisms, while keeping the use of chemical plant protection products to levels that are economically and ecologically justified*** and minimise risks to human health and the environment;

Amendment

(15) ‘integrated pest management’ means ***a holistic system that emphasizes the growth of a healthy crop with the least possible disruption to agro-ecosystems; it is based on non-chemical preventative measures and encourages natural pest control mechanisms, in order to*** and minimise risks to human health and the environment; ***the use of chemical plant protection products may be used as a last resort at a level that is economically and ecologically justified;***

Or. en

Amendment 736
Mathilde Androuët

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 15

Text proposed by the Commission

15) «lutte intégrée contre les ennemis des cultures»: la prise en considération attentive de tous les moyens disponibles qui découragent le développement des populations d'organismes nuisibles, tout en maintenant l'utilisation de produits phytopharmaceutiques chimiques à des niveaux qui sont justifiés sur le plan économique et environnemental et qui réduisent au minimum les risques pour la santé humaine et l'environnement;

Amendment

15) «lutte intégrée contre les ennemis des cultures»: la prise en considération attentive de tous les moyens disponibles, **dont la fiabilité a été approuvée techniquement et économiquement**, qui découragent le développement des populations d'organismes nuisibles, tout en maintenant l'utilisation de produits phytopharmaceutiques chimiques à des niveaux qui sont justifiés sur le plan économique et environnemental et qui réduisent au minimum les risques pour la santé humaine et l'environnement;

Or. fr

Amendment 737
Christine Schneider, Ralf Seekatz, Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Sven Simon, Angelika Niebler, Monika Hohlmeier, Christian Doleschal, Franc Bogovič, Marlene Mortler, Daniel Caspary, Rainer Wieland, Sabine Verheyen, Alexander Bernhuber, Christophe Hansen

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 15

Text proposed by the Commission

(15) 'integrated pest management' means ***careful consideration of all available means that discourage the development of populations of harmful organisms, while keeping the use of chemical plant protection products to levels that are economically and ecologically justified*** and minimise risks to human health and the environment;

Amendment

(15) 'integrated pest management' means ***a holistic system that emphasizes the growth of a healthy crop with the least possible disruption to agro-ecosystems; it is based on non-chemical preventative measures and encourages natural pest control mechanisms, in order to*** minimise risks to human health and the environment. ***Chemical interventions may be used as a last resort;***

Or. en

Amendment 738
Sylvia Limmer

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 15

Text proposed by the Commission

15. „integrierter Pflanzenschutz“ bezeichnet die sorgfältige Abwägung aller verfügbaren Mittel, **die der Entstehung von Populationen von Schadorganismen entgegenwirken, wobei die Verwendung chemischer Pflanzenschutzmittel auf einem Niveau gehalten wird, das wirtschaftlich und ökologisch vertretbar ist und Risiken für die menschliche Gesundheit und die Umwelt minimiert;**

Amendment

15. „integrierter“ Pflanzenschutz bezeichnet die sorgfältige Abwägung aller verfügbaren Mittel **unter vornehmlicher Beachtung biologischer und technischer Anbaumethoden oder neuer Pflanzenzüchtungen unter Ausschöpfung neuer genomischer Techniken, inklusive der Verwendung von Pflanzenschutzmitteln die von der Kommission gemäß der Verordnung (EG) Nr. 1107/200 zugelassen sind.**

Or. de

Amendment 739
Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 15 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(15 a) 'agroecology' means practices aiming at improving agroecosystems by harnessing natural processes, creating beneficial biological interactions and synergies, and using, in the best way, ecological processes and ecosystem services for the development and implementation of agricultural practices, while also including societal and economic aspects that support and connect producers and consumers;

Or. en

Amendment 740

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 15 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(15 a) ‘vulnerable groups’ means groups of people needing specific consideration when assessing the acute, chronic, synergistic and cumulative health impacts of pesticides. These include all women of child-bearing years, the unborn, infants and children until the age of 18, the elderly, chronically ill and workers and rural residents subject to chemical pesticides exposure;

Or. en

Amendment 741

Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 15 b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(15 b) ‘Organic farming’ means farming practices in accordance with Regulation (EC) 2018/848;

Or. en

Amendment 742

Sylvia Limmer

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 16

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

16. „empfindliches Gebiet“ bezeichnet die folgenden Gebiete: *entfällt*

- a) **ein von der Allgemeinheit genutztes Gebiet, z. B. einen öffentlichen Park oder Garten, Freizeit- oder Sportplätze oder einen öffentlichen Weg;**
- b) **ein überwiegend von einer gefährdeten Personengruppe im Sinne von Artikel 3 Nummer 14 der Verordnung (EG) Nr. 1107/2009 genutztes Gebiet;**
- c) **menschliche Siedlungen (Umfeld, in dem Menschen leben und arbeiten), definiert als die aktuellste Bodenbedeckungsebene 1 (Bebaute Flächen) der Nomenklatur CORINE (von der EUA betriebenes System zur Koordinierung von Informationen über die Umwelt) (ausgenommen Ebene 2–1.2: Industrie-, Gewerbe- und Verkehrsflächen sowie Ebene 2–1.3: Abbauf Flächen, Deponien und Baustellen)⁸⁰ ;**
- d) **ein städtisches Gebiet, das von einem Wasserlauf oder einer Wasserfläche bedeckt ist;**
- e) **nichtproduktive Flächen im Sinne der EU-Standards für den guten landwirtschaftlichen und ökologischen Zustand von Flächen (GLÖZ) – GLÖZ-Standard Nr. 8 gemäß Anhang III der Verordnung (EU) 2021/2115;**
- f) **ein ökologisch empfindliches Gebiet wie etwa:**
 - i) **ein Schutzgebiet gemäß der Richtlinie 2000/60/EG, einschließlich etwaiger Schutzgebiete gemäß der Richtlinie (EU) 2020/2184 des Europäischen Parlaments und des Rates⁸¹ sowie Änderungen dieser Gebiete aufgrund der Ergebnisse der Risikobewertung für Trinkwasserentnahmestellen;**
 - ii) **Gebiete von gemeinschaftlicher Bedeutung auf der Liste nach Artikel 4 Absatz 2 der Richtlinie 92/43/EWG, gemäß Artikel 4 Absatz 4 der genannten Richtlinie ausgewiesene besondere Schutzgebiete und gemäß Artikel 4 der**

Richtlinie 2009/147/EG als besondere Schutzgebiete eingestufte Gebiete sowie alle sonstigen nationalen, regionalen oder lokalen Schutzgebiete, die von den Mitgliedstaaten an das Verzeichnis der nationalen Schutzgebiete (CDDA) gemeldet wurden;

iii) ein Gebiet, in dem durch die Überwachung von Bestäuberarten gemäß Artikel 17 Absatz 1 Buchstabe f der Verordnung xxx/xxx [Verweis auf den erlassenen Rechtsakt einfügen] festgestellt wird, dass es eine oder mehrere Bestäuberarten beherbergt, die nach den europäischen Roten Listen als vom Aussterben bedroht eingestuft sind;

⁸⁰ *Vgl. Konversion der CORINE-Nomenklatur der Bodenbedeckungen in das Klassifikationssystem der Bodenbedeckungen (https://land.copernicus.eu/eagle/files/eagle-related-projects/pt_clc-conversion-to-fao-lccs3_dec2010) und Bestandsaufnahme der Bodenbedeckung im Rahmen von CORINE (CLC inventory) (CORINE Land Cover — Copernicus-Landüberwachungsdienst).*

⁸¹ *Richtlinie (EU) 2020/2184 des Europäischen Parlaments und des Rates vom 16. Dezember 2020 über die Qualität von Wasser für den menschlichen Gebrauch (ABl. L 435 vom 23.12.2020, S. 1).*

Or. de

Amendment 743

Christine Schneider, Ralf Seekatz, Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Sven Simon, Angelika Niebler, Monika Hohlmeier, Christian Doleschal, Franc Bogovič, Marlene Mortler, Daniel Caspary, Rainer Wieland, Sabine Verheyen

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 16

(16) ‘sensitive area’ means any of the following: **deleted**

(a) an area used by the general public, such as a public park or garden, recreation or sports grounds, or a public path;

(b) an area used predominantly by a vulnerable group as defined in Article 3(14) of Regulation (EC) No 1107/ 2009;

(c) human settlements (community in which people live and work), defined as the most up to date CORINE (Coordination of information on the Environment) system maintained by the EEA Land Cover Level 1 classification (Artificial Surfaces) (excluding Level 2 – 1.2: Industrial, commercial and transport units and Level 2 – 1.3: Mine, dump and construction sites)⁸⁰ ;

(d) an urban area covered by a watercourse or water feature;

(e) non-productive areas as defined under the EU standards on good agricultural and environmental condition of land (GAEC), GAEC standard 8 listed in Annex III to Regulation (EU) 2021/2115.

(f) an ecologically sensitive area, which means any of the following:

(i) any protected area under Directive 2000/60/EC, including possible safeguard zones as well as modifications of those areas following the risk assessment results for drinking water abstraction points under Directive (EU) 2020/2184 of the European Parliament and of the Council⁸¹ ;

(ii) sites of Community importance in the list referred to in Article 4(2) of Directive 92/43/EEC and the special areas of conservation designated in accordance with Article 4(4) of that Directive, and

special protection areas classified pursuant to Article 4 of Directive 2009/147/EC, and any other national, regional, or local protected area reported by the Member States to the Nationally designated protected areas inventory (CDDA);

(iii) any area for which the monitoring of pollinator species carried out in accordance with Article 17(1), point (f), of Regulation xxx/xxx [reference to adopted act to be inserted] establishes that it sustains one or more pollinator species which the European Red Lists classify as being threatened with extinction.

⁸⁰ See CORINE Land Cover nomenclature conversion to Land Cover Classification system (<https://land.copernicus.eu/user-corner/technical-library/corine-land-cover-nomenclature-guidelines/html>) and CORINE Land Cover (CLC) inventory (CORINE Land Cover — Copernicus Land Monitoring Service).

⁸¹ Directive (EU) 2020/2184 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 16 December 2020 on the quality of water intended for human consumption (OJ L 435, 23.12.2020, p. 1).

Or. en

Amendment 744

Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław Kalinowski, Joanna Kopcińska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 16

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(16) ‘sensitive area’ means any of the following:

deleted

(a) an area used by the general public, such as a public park or garden, recreation or sports grounds, or a public path;

(b) an area used predominantly by a vulnerable group as defined in Article 3(14) of Regulation (EC) No 1107/ 2009;

(c) human settlements (community in which people live and work), defined as the most up to date CORINE (Coordination of information on the Environment) system maintained by the EEA Land Cover Level 1 classification (Artificial Surfaces) (excluding Level 2 – 1.2: Industrial, commercial and transport units and Level 2 – 1.3: Mine, dump and construction sites)⁸⁰ ;

(d) an urban area covered by a watercourse or water feature;

(e) non-productive areas as defined under the EU standards on good agricultural and environmental condition of land (GAEC), GAEC standard 8 listed in Annex III to Regulation (EU) 2021/2115.

(f) an ecologically sensitive area, which means any of the following:

(i) any protected area under Directive 2000/60/EC, including possible safeguard zones as well as modifications of those areas following the risk assessment results for drinking water abstraction points under Directive (EU) 2020/2184 of the European Parliament and of the Council⁸¹ ;

(ii) sites of Community importance in the list referred to in Article 4(2) of Directive 92/43/EEC and the special areas of conservation designated in accordance with Article 4(4) of that Directive, and special protection areas classified pursuant to Article 4 of Directive 2009/147/EC, and any other national, regional, or local protected area reported by the Member States to the Nationally designated protected areas inventory

(CDDA);

(iii) any area for which the monitoring of pollinator species carried out in accordance with Article 17(1), point (f), of Regulation xxx/xxx [reference to adopted act to be inserted] establishes that it sustains one or more pollinator species which the European Red Lists classify as being threatened with extinction.

⁸⁰ See CORINE Land Cover nomenclature conversion to Land Cover Classification system (<https://land.copernicus.eu/user-corner/technical-library/corine-land-cover-nomenclature-guidelines/html>) and CORINE Land Cover (CLC) inventory (CORINE Land Cover — Copernicus Land Monitoring Service).

⁸¹ Directive (EU) 2020/2184 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 16 December 2020 on the quality of water intended for human consumption (OJ L 435, 23.12.2020, p. 1).

Or. en

Justification

See Ams. to Art. 18

Amendment 745
Edina Tóth

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 16

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(16) ‘sensitive area’ means any of the following: **deleted**

(a) an area used by the general public, such as a public park or garden, recreation or sports grounds, or a public

path;

(b) an area used predominantly by a vulnerable group as defined in Article 3(14) of Regulation (EC) No 1107/ 2009;

(c) human settlements (community in which people live and work), defined as the most up to date CORINE (Coordination of information on the Environment) system maintained by the EEA Land Cover Level 1 classification (Artificial Surfaces) (excluding Level 2 – 1.2: Industrial, commercial and transport units and Level 2 – 1.3: Mine, dump and construction sites)⁸⁰ ;

(d) an urban area covered by a watercourse or water feature;

(e) non-productive areas as defined under the EU standards on good agricultural and environmental condition of land (GAEC), GAEC standard 8 listed in Annex III to Regulation (EU) 2021/2115.

(f) an ecologically sensitive area, which means any of the following:

(i) any protected area under Directive 2000/60/EC, including possible safeguard zones as well as modifications of those areas following the risk assessment results for drinking water abstraction points under Directive (EU) 2020/2184 of the European Parliament and of the Council⁸¹ ;

(ii) sites of Community importance in the list referred to in Article 4(2) of Directive 92/43/EEC and the special areas of conservation designated in accordance with Article 4(4) of that Directive, and special protection areas classified pursuant to Article 4 of Directive 2009/147/EC, and any other national, regional, or local protected area reported by the Member States to the Nationally designated protected areas inventory (CDDA);

(iii) any area for which the monitoring

of pollinator species carried out in accordance with Article 17(1), point (f), of Regulation xxx/xxx [reference to adopted act to be inserted] establishes that it sustains one or more pollinator species which the European Red Lists classify as being threatened with extinction.

⁸⁰ See CORINE Land Cover nomenclature conversion to Land Cover Classification system (<https://land.copernicus.eu/user-corner/technical-library/corine-land-cover-nomenclature-guidelines/html>) and CORINE Land Cover (CLC) inventory (CORINE Land Cover — Copernicus Land Monitoring Service).

⁸¹ Directive (EU) 2020/2184 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 16 December 2020 on the quality of water intended for human consumption (OJ L 435, 23.12.2020, p. 1).

Or. en

Amendment 746

Franc Bogovič, Marlene Mortler, Ljudmila Novak

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 16

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(16) ‘sensitive area’ means any of the following: **deleted**

(a) an area used by the general public, such as a public park or garden, recreation or sports grounds, or a public path;

(b) an area used predominantly by a vulnerable group as defined in Article 3(14) of Regulation (EC) No 1107/ 2009;

(c) human settlements (community in which people live and work), defined as

*the most up to date CORINE
(Coordination of information on the
Environment) system maintained by the
EEA Land Cover Level 1 classification
(Artificial Surfaces) (excluding Level 2 –
1.2: Industrial, commercial and transport
units and Level 2 – 1.3: Mine, dump and
construction sites)⁸⁰ ;*

*(d) an urban area covered by a
watercourse or water feature;*

*(e) non-productive areas as defined
under the EU standards on good
agricultural and environmental condition
of land (GAEC), GAEC standard 8 listed
in Annex III to Regulation (EU)
2021/2115.*

*(f) an ecologically sensitive area,
which means any of the following:*

*(i) any protected area under Directive
2000/60/EC, including possible safeguard
zones as well as modifications of those
areas following the risk assessment results
for drinking water abstraction points
under Directive (EU) 2020/2184 of the
European Parliament and of the
Council⁸¹ ;*

*(ii) sites of Community importance in
the list referred to in Article 4(2) of
Directive 92/43/EEC and the special areas
of conservation designated in accordance
with Article 4(4) of that Directive, and
special protection areas classified
pursuant to Article 4 of Directive
2009/147/EC, and any other national,
regional, or local protected area reported
by the Member States to the Nationally
designated protected areas inventory
(CDDA);*

*(iii) any area for which the monitoring
of pollinator species carried out in
accordance with Article 17(1), point (f), of
Regulation xxx/xxx [reference to adopted
act to be inserted] establishes that it
sustains one or more pollinator species
which the European Red Lists classify as
being threatened with extinction.*

⁸⁰ See *CORINE Land Cover nomenclature conversion to Land Cover Classification system* (<https://land.copernicus.eu/user-corner/technical-library/corine-land-cover-nomenclature-guidelines/html>) and *CORINE Land Cover (CLC) inventory* (CORINE Land Cover — Copernicus Land Monitoring Service).

⁸¹ Directive (EU) 2020/2184 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 16 December 2020 on the quality of water intended for human consumption (OJ L 435, 23.12.2020, p. 1).

Or. en

Justification

See justification of the amendment to the new Article 17a.

Amendment 747

Jan Huitema, Emma Wiesner, Ondřej Knotek, Martin Hlaváček, Nils Torvalds, Billy Kelleher, Asger Christensen

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 16 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

(16) ‘sensitive area’ means **any of** the following:

Amendment

(16) ‘sensitive area’ means **a 'sensitive area' as defined by the Member State as part of its national action plan, in such a way that the general public, vulnerable groups and ecologically sensitive areas are appropriately protected. The definition shall be made in such a way that** the following **types of areas are appropriately protected:**

Or. en

Amendment 748

Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Lena Düpont, Jens Gieseke, Angelika Niebler, Andreas Schwab, Ralf Seekatz, David McAllister, Christine Schneider, Stefan Berger, Sabine Verheyen, Rainer Wieland, Monika Hohlmeier, Michael Gahler, Christian Doleschal, Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Daniel Caspary, Herbert Dorfmann

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 16 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

a) **ein von der Allgemeinheit
genutztes Gebiet, z. B. einen öffentlichen
Park oder Garten, Freizeit- oder
Sportplätze oder einen öffentlichen Weg;**

entfällt

Or. de

Amendment 749

Peter Liese

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 16 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(a) **an area** used by the general public,
such as **a public park or garden**, recreation
or sports grounds, **or a public path**;

(a) **areas** used by the general public **or
by vulnerable groups as defined in Article
3 of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009**, such
as public **parks and gardens, sports and
recreation grounds, school grounds and
children's playgrounds and areas in the
close vicinity of healthcare facilities**;

Or. en

Amendment 750

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjärd

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 16 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(a) ***an area*** used by the general public, such as ***a public park or garden***, recreation ***or sports grounds, or a public path***;

(a) ***areas*** used by the general public ***or by vulnerable groups as defined in Article 3(14) of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009***, such as public ***parks and gardens, sports and*** recreation grounds, ***school grounds and children's playgrounds and in the close vicinity of healthcare facilities***;

Or. en

Amendment 751

Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Peter Lundgren, Hermann Tertsch, Margarita de la Pisa Carrión, Mazaly Aguilar, Evžen Tošenovský, Sergio Berlato, Jorge Buxadé Villalba, Eugen Jurzyca, Pietro Fiocchi, Charlie Weimers, Johan Nissinen

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 16 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(a) an area used by the general public, such as a public park or garden, recreation ***or sports grounds***, or a public path;

(a) an area used by the general public, such as a public park or garden, recreation ***ground*** or a public path; ***sports grounds shall not be considered to be sensitive areas for the purposes of this Regulation***;

Or. en

Amendment 752

Esther de Lange

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 16 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(a) ***an area used by the general public, such as*** a public park or garden, recreation or sports grounds, or ***a public path***;

(a) a public park or garden, recreation or sports grounds, or ***public paths frequently used by the general public, except for public paths clearly located in agricultural areas***;

Justification

The current definition of sensitive areas has a huge impact on strongly urbanised / densely populated areas. Especially in agricultural areas with a lot of smaller public paths. Besides, we should make this a limitative list.

Amendment 753

Jessica Polfjärd

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 16 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) an area used by the general public, such as a public park or garden, recreation or sports grounds, or a public path;

Amendment

(a) an area used by, ***and freely accessible to***, the general public, such as a public park or garden, recreation or sports grounds, or a public path;

Or. en

Amendment 754

Dan-Ștefan Motreanu, Carmen Avram, Marian-Jean Marinescu, Daniel Buda

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 16 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) ***an*** area used by the general public, such as a public park or garden, recreation ***or sports*** grounds, or a public path;

Amendment

(a) ***a freely accessible*** area used by the general public, such as a public park or garden, recreation grounds, or a public path;

Or. en

Amendment 755

Jan Huitema, Emma Wiesner, Erik Poulsen, Asger Christensen, Billy Kelleher

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 16 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(a) **an area** used by the general public, such as a public park or garden, recreation or sports grounds, or a public path;

(a) **areas** used by the general public, such as a public park or garden, recreation or sports grounds, or a public path;

Or. en

Amendment 756

Ondřej Knotek, Martin Hlaváček

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 16 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(a) an area used by the general public, such as a public park or garden, recreation **or sports** grounds, or a public path;

(a) an area used by the general public, such as a public park or garden, recreation grounds, or a public path;

Or. en

Amendment 757

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjärd

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 16 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) **an area used predominantly by a vulnerable group as defined in Article 3(14) of Regulation (EC) No 1107/ 2009;**

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 758

Peter Liese

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 16 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) an area used predominantly by a vulnerable group as defined in Article 3(14) of Regulation (EC) No 1107/ 2009;

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 759

Biljana Borzan

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 16 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) an area used predominantly by a vulnerable group *as defined in Article 3(14) of Regulation (EC) No 1107/ 2009;*

(b) an area used predominantly by a vulnerable group; *‘vulnerable groups’ means a group of people needing specific consideration when assessing the acute and chronic health effects of plant protection products. These include all women of child-bearing years, the unborn, infants and children until the age of 18, the elderly, cronically ill people and workers and residents subject to chemical pesticides exposure;*

Or. en

Amendment 760

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 16 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) an area used predominantly by a vulnerable group *as defined in Article 3(14) of Regulation (EC) No 1107/ 2009;*

(b) an area used predominantly by a vulnerable group;

Amendment 761
Jessica Polfjärd

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 16 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) an area ***used*** predominantly ***by*** a vulnerable group as defined in Article 3(14) of Regulation (EC) No 1107/ 2009;

Amendment

(b) an area predominantly ***used by, and freely accessible to,*** a vulnerable group as defined in Article 3(14) of Regulation (EC) No 1107/ 2009;

Or. en

Amendment 762
Dan-Ștefan Motreanu, Carmen Avram, Marian-Jean Marinescu, Daniel Buda

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 16 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) ***an*** area used predominantly by a vulnerable group as defined in Article 3(14) of Regulation (EC) No 1107/ 2009;

Amendment

(b) ***a freely accessible*** area used predominantly by a vulnerable group as defined in Article 3(14) of Regulation (EC) No 1107/ 2009;

Or. en

Amendment 763
Jan Huitema, Emma Wiesner, Erik Poulsen, Asger Christensen, Billy Kelleher

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 16 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) ***an area*** used predominantly by a vulnerable group as defined in Article 3(14) of Regulation (EC) No 1107/ 2009;

Amendment

(b) ***areas*** used predominantly by a vulnerable group as defined in Article 3(14) of Regulation (EC) No 1107/ 2009;

Amendment 764

Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Lena Düpont, Jens Gieseke, Angelika Niebler, Andreas Schwab, Ralf Seekatz, David McAllister, Christine Schneider, Stefan Berger, Sabine Verheyen, Rainer Wieland, Monika Hohlmeier, Michael Gahler, Christian Doleschal, Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Alexander Bernhuber, Daniel Caspary, Herbert Dorfmann, Simone Schmiedtbauer

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 16 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

c) *menschliche Siedlungen (Umfeld, in dem Menschen leben und arbeiten), definiert als die aktuellste Bodenbedeckungsebene 1 (Bebaute Flächen) der Nomenklatur CORINE (von der EUA betriebenes System zur Koordinierung von Informationen über die Umwelt) (ausgenommen Ebene 2–1.2: Industrie-, Gewerbe- und Verkehrsflächen sowie Ebene 2–1.3: Abbauf Flächen, Deponien und Baustellen)⁸⁰ ;* **entfällt**

⁸⁰ Vgl. Konversion der CORINE-Nomenklatur der Bodenbedeckungen in das Klassifikationssystem der Bodenbedeckungen (https://land.copernicus.eu/eagle/files/eagle-related-projects/pt_clc-conversion-to-fao-lccs3_dec2010) und Bestandsaufnahme der Bodenbedeckung im Rahmen von CORINE (CLC inventory) (CORINE Land Cover — Copernicus-Landüberwachungsdienst).

Amendment 765

Jan Huitema, Emma Wiesner, Erik Poulsen, Asger Christensen, Billy Kelleher

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 16 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(c) **human settlements (community in which people live and work), defined as the most up to date CORINE (Coordination of information on the Environment) system maintained by the EEA Land Cover Level 1 classification (Artificial Surfaces) (excluding Level 2 – 1.2: Industrial, commercial and transport units and Level 2 – 1.3: Mine, dump and construction sites)⁸⁰ ;** **deleted**

⁸⁰ See CORINE Land Cover nomenclature conversion to Land Cover Classification system (<https://land.copernicus.eu/user-corner/technical-library/corine-land-cover-nomenclature-guidelines/html>) and CORINE Land Cover (CLC) inventory (CORINE Land Cover — Copernicus Land Monitoring Service).

Or. en

Justification

If Member States would define sensitive areas to adequately protect the general public and vulnerable groups, this reference is not necessary anymore.

Amendment 766

Dan-Ștefan Motreanu, Carmen Avram, Marian-Jean Marinescu, Daniel Buda

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 16 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(c) **human settlements (community in which people live and work), defined as the most up to date CORINE (Coordination of information on the Environment) system maintained by the** **deleted**

EEA Land Cover Level 1 classification (Artificial Surfaces) (excluding Level 2 – 1.2: Industrial, commercial and transport units and Level 2 – 1.3: Mine, dump and construction sites)⁸⁰ ;

⁸⁰ See CORINE Land Cover nomenclature conversion to Land Cover Classification system (<https://land.copernicus.eu/user-corner/technical-library/corine-land-cover-nomenclature-guidelines/html>) and CORINE Land Cover (CLC) inventory (CORINE Land Cover — Copernicus Land Monitoring Service).

Or. en

Amendment 767
Peter Liese

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 16 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(c) human settlements (community in which people live and work), defined as the most up to date CORINE (Coordination of information on the Environment) system maintained by the EEA Land Cover Level 1 classification (Artificial Surfaces) (excluding Level 2 – 1.2: Industrial, commercial and transport units and Level 2 – 1.3: Mine, dump and construction sites)⁸⁰ ; **deleted**

⁸⁰ See CORINE Land Cover nomenclature conversion to Land Cover Classification system (<https://land.copernicus.eu/user-corner/technical-library/corine-land-cover-nomenclature-guidelines/html>) and CORINE Land Cover (CLC) inventory (CORINE Land Cover — Copernicus

Land Monitoring Service).

Or. en

Amendment 768

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjärd

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 16 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(c) human settlements (community in which people live and work), defined as the most up to date CORINE (Coordination of information on the Environment) system maintained by the EEA Land Cover Level 1 classification (Artificial Surfaces) (excluding Level 2 – 1.2: Industrial, commercial and transport units and Level 2 – 1.3: Mine, dump and construction sites)⁸⁰ ; **deleted**

⁸⁰ See CORINE Land Cover nomenclature conversion to Land Cover Classification system (<https://land.copernicus.eu/user-corner/technical-library/corine-land-cover-nomenclature-guidelines/html>) and CORINE Land Cover (CLC) inventory (CORINE Land Cover — Copernicus Land Monitoring Service).

Or. en

Amendment 769

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 16 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

(c) human settlements (community in which people live and work), defined as the most up to date CORINE (Coordination of information on the Environment) system maintained by the EEA Land Cover Level 1 classification (Artificial Surfaces) **(excluding Level 2 – 1.2: Industrial, commercial and transport units and Level 2 – 1.3: Mine, dump and construction sites)⁸⁰** ;

⁸⁰ See CORINE Land Cover nomenclature conversion to Land Cover Classification system (<https://land.copernicus.eu/user-corner/technical-library/corine-land-cover-nomenclature-guidelines/html>) and CORINE Land Cover (CLC) inventory (CORINE Land Cover — Copernicus Land Monitoring Service).

Amendment

(c) human settlements (community in which people live and work), defined as the most up to date CORINE (Coordination of information on the Environment) system maintained by the EEA Land Cover Level 1 classification (Artificial Surfaces);

⁸⁰ See CORINE Land Cover nomenclature conversion to Land Cover Classification system (<https://land.copernicus.eu/user-corner/technical-library/corine-land-cover-nomenclature-guidelines/html>) and CORINE Land Cover (CLC) inventory (CORINE Land Cover — Copernicus Land Monitoring Service).

Or. en

Amendment 770
Christophe Hansen

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 16 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

(c) human settlements (community in which people live and work), defined as the most up to date CORINE (Coordination of information on the Environment) system maintained by the EEA Land Cover Level 1 classification (Artificial Surfaces) **(excluding Level 2 – 1.2: Industrial, commercial and transport units and Level 2 – 1.3: Mine, dump and construction sites)⁸⁰** ;

⁸⁰ See CORINE Land Cover nomenclature

Amendment

(c) human settlements (community in which people live and work), defined as the most up to date CORINE (Coordination of information on the Environment) system maintained by the EEA Land Cover Level 1 classification (Artificial Surfaces)

⁸⁰ See CORINE Land Cover nomenclature

conversion to Land Cover Classification system (<https://land.copernicus.eu/user-corner/technical-library/corine-land-cover-nomenclature-guidelines/html>) and CORINE Land Cover (CLC) inventory (CORINE Land Cover — Copernicus Land Monitoring Service).

conversion to Land Cover Classification system (<https://land.copernicus.eu/user-corner/technical-library/corine-land-cover-nomenclature-guidelines/html>) and CORINE Land Cover (CLC) inventory (CORINE Land Cover — Copernicus Land Monitoring Service).

Or. en

Amendment 771

Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 16 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

(c) human settlements (community in which people live and work), defined as the most up to date CORINE (Coordination of information on the Environment) system maintained by the EEA Land Cover Level 1 classification (Artificial Surfaces) **(excluding Level 2 – 1.2: Industrial, commercial and transport units and Level 2 – 1.3: Mine, dump and construction sites)**⁸⁰ ;

⁸⁰ See CORINE Land Cover nomenclature conversion to Land Cover Classification system (<https://land.copernicus.eu/user-corner/technical-library/corine-land-cover-nomenclature-guidelines/html>) and CORINE Land Cover (CLC) inventory (CORINE Land Cover — Copernicus Land Monitoring Service).

Amendment

(c) human settlements (community in which people live and work, **including kitchen and private garden**), defined as the most up to date CORINE (Coordination of information on the Environment) system maintained by the EEA Land Cover Level 1 classification (Artificial Surfaces)⁸⁰ ;

⁸⁰ See CORINE Land Cover nomenclature conversion to Land Cover Classification system (<https://land.copernicus.eu/user-corner/technical-library/corine-land-cover-nomenclature-guidelines/html>) and CORINE Land Cover (CLC) inventory (CORINE Land Cover — Copernicus Land Monitoring Service).

Or. en

Amendment 772

Martin Hojsík, Michal Wiezik, María Soraya Rodríguez Ramos, Frédérique Ries

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 16 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

(c) human settlements (community in which people live and work), defined as the most up to date CORINE (Coordination of information on the Environment) system maintained by the EEA Land Cover Level 1 classification (Artificial Surfaces) (excluding Level 2 – **1.2: Industrial, commercial and transport units and Level 2 – 1.3: Mine, dump and construction sites**)⁸⁰ ;

⁸⁰ See CORINE Land Cover nomenclature conversion to Land Cover Classification system (<https://land.copernicus.eu/user-corner/technical-library/corine-land-cover-nomenclature-guidelines/html>) and CORINE Land Cover (CLC) inventory (CORINE Land Cover — Copernicus Land Monitoring Service).

Amendment

(c) human settlements (community in which people live and work), defined as the most up to date CORINE (Coordination of information on the Environment) system maintained by the EEA Land Cover Level 1 classification (Artificial Surfaces) (excluding Level 2 – 1.3: Mine, dump and construction sites)⁸⁰ ;

⁸⁰ See CORINE Land Cover nomenclature conversion to Land Cover Classification system (<https://land.copernicus.eu/user-corner/technical-library/corine-land-cover-nomenclature-guidelines/html>) and CORINE Land Cover (CLC) inventory (CORINE Land Cover — Copernicus Land Monitoring Service).

Or. en

Justification

Several European railway companies have already use alternatives to pesticides such as hot water or robots to regulate vegetation along the tracks. The railways should therefore not be excluded from the definition of sensitive areas due to the potential threat to the quality of groundwater and surface waters, but also impact on the people living in the vicinity of the railway, particularly in densely populated areas.

Amendment 773

Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Lena Düpont, Jens Gieseke, Angelika Niebler, Andreas Schwab, Ralf Seekatz, David McAllister, Christine Schneider, Stefan Berger, Sabine Verheyen, Rainer Wieland, Monika Hohlmeier, Michael Gahler, Christian Doleschal, Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Daniel Caspary, Herbert Dorfmann

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 16 – point d

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

d) *ein städtisches Gebiet, das von einem Wasserlauf oder einer Wasserfläche bedeckt ist;*

entfällt

Or. de

Amendment 774
Jessica Polfjärd

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 16 – point d

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(d) an urban area covered by a watercourse or water feature;

(d) an urban area ***that is freely accessible and*** covered by a watercourse or water feature;

Or. en

Amendment 775
Dan-Ştefan Motreanu, Carmen Avram, Marian-Jean Marinescu, Daniel Buda

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 16 – point d

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(d) ***an*** urban area covered by a watercourse or water feature;

(d) ***a freely accessible*** urban area covered by a watercourse or water feature;

Or. en

Amendment 776
Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Lena Düpont, Jens Gieseke, Angelika Niebler, Andreas Schwab, Ralf Seekatz, David McAllister, Christine Schneider, Stefan Berger, Sabine Verheyen, Rainer Wieland, Monika Hohlmeier, Michael Gahler, Christian Doleschal, Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Alexander Bernhuber, Daniel Caspary, Herbert Dorfmann, Simone Schmiedtbauer

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 16 – point e

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

e) nichtproduktive Flächen im Sinne der EU-Standards für den guten landwirtschaftlichen und ökologischen Zustand von Flächen (GLÖZ) – GLÖZ-Standard Nr. 8 gemäß Anhang III der Verordnung (EU) 2021/2115; entfällt

Or. de

Amendment 777

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjärd

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 16 – point e

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(e) non-productive areas as defined under the EU standards on good agricultural and environmental condition of land (GAEC), GAEC standard 8 listed in Annex III to Regulation (EU) 2021/2115. deleted

Or. en

Amendment 778

Christophe Hansen

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 16 – point e

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(e) non-productive areas as defined under the EU standards on good agricultural and environmental condition of land (GAEC), GAEC standard 8 listed in Annex III to Regulation (EU) deleted

2021/2115.

Or. en

Justification

Already regulated in current legislation, best to avoid cross-references

Amendment 779

Peter Liese

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 16 – point e

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(e) non-productive areas as defined under the EU standards on good agricultural and environmental condition of land (GAEC), GAEC standard 8 listed in Annex III to Regulation (EU) 2021/2115. ~~deleted~~

Or. en

Amendment 780

Silvia Sardone

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 16 – point e

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(e) non-productive areas as defined under the EU standards on good agricultural and environmental condition of land (GAEC), GAEC standard 8 listed in Annex III to Regulation (EU) 2021/2115. ~~deleted~~

Or. en

Amendment 781

Dan-Ștefan Motreanu, Carmen Avram, Marian-Jean Marinescu, Daniel Buda

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 16 – point e

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(e) non-productive areas as defined under the EU standards on good agricultural and environmental condition of land (GAEC), GAEC standard 8 listed in Annex III to Regulation (EU) 2021/2115. *deleted*

Or. en

Amendment 782

Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Lena Düpont, Jens Gieseke, Angelika Niebler, Andreas Schwab, Ralf Seekatz, David McAllister, Christine Schneider, Stefan Berger, Sabine Verheyen, Rainer Wieland, Monika Hohlmeier, Michael Gahler, Christian Doleschal, Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Alexander Bernhuber, Daniel Caspary, Herbert Dorfmann, Simone Schmiedtbauer

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 16 – point f

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

f) ein ökologisch empfindliches Gebiet wie etwa: *entfällt*

i) ein Schutzgebiet gemäß der Richtlinie 2000/60/EG, einschließlich etwaiger Schutzgebiete gemäß der Richtlinie (EU) 2020/2184 des Europäischen Parlaments und des Rates⁸¹ sowie Änderungen dieser Gebiete aufgrund der Ergebnisse der Risikobewertung für Trinkwasserentnahmestellen;

ii) Gebiete von gemeinschaftlicher Bedeutung auf der Liste nach Artikel 4 Absatz 2 der Richtlinie 92/43/EWG, gemäß Artikel 4 Absatz 4 der genannten Richtlinie ausgewiesene besondere Schutzgebiete und gemäß Artikel 4 der

Richtlinie 2009/147/EG als besondere Schutzgebiete eingestufte Gebiete sowie alle sonstigen nationalen, regionalen oder lokalen Schutzgebiete, die von den Mitgliedstaaten an das Verzeichnis der nationalen Schutzgebiete (CDDA) gemeldet wurden;

iii) ein Gebiet, in dem durch die Überwachung von Bestäuberarten gemäß Artikel 17 Absatz 1 Buchstabe f der Verordnung xxx/xxx [Verweis auf den erlassenen Rechtsakt einfügen] festgestellt wird, dass es eine oder mehrere Bestäuberarten beherbergt, die nach den europäischen Roten Listen als vom Aussterben bedroht eingestuft sind;

⁸¹ Richtlinie (EU) 2020/2184 des Europäischen Parlaments und des Rates vom 16. Dezember 2020 über die Qualität von Wasser für den menschlichen Gebrauch (ABl. L 435 vom 23.12.2020, S. 1).

Or. de

**Amendment 783
Peter Liese**

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 16 – point f**

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(f) an ecologically sensitive area, which means any of the following: ***deleted***

(i) any protected area under Directive 2000/60/EC, including possible safeguard zones as well as modifications of those areas following the risk assessment results for drinking water abstraction points under Directive (EU) 2020/2184 of the European Parliament and of the Council⁸¹ ;

(ii) sites of Community importance in the list referred to in Article 4(2) of Directive 92/43/EEC and the special areas of conservation designated in accordance with Article 4(4) of that Directive, and special protection areas classified pursuant to Article 4 of Directive 2009/147/EC, and any other national, regional, or local protected area reported by the Member States to the Nationally designated protected areas inventory (CDDA);

(iii) any area for which the monitoring of pollinator species carried out in accordance with Article 17(1), point (f), of Regulation xxx/xxx [reference to adopted act to be inserted] establishes that it sustains one or more pollinator species which the European Red Lists classify as being threatened with extinction.

⁸¹ Directive (EU) 2020/2184 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 16 December 2020 on the quality of water intended for human consumption (OJ L 435, 23.12.2020, p. 1).

Or. en

Justification

Areas designated for the improvement of biodiversity provide important space for flora and fauna. However, farming in these areas has created a symbiosis with many animals and plants that is beneficial for biodiversity. For example, in the protected area Hellwegbörde you can find many ground nesting birds. Prohibiting the use of plant protection products in this area would require farmers to switch to mechanic means of crop protection and thus destroying the originally safe space for the birds nesting on the ground. The provision of this Article would thus actually have a negative impact on biodiversity.

Amendment 784

Jan Huitema, Emma Wiesner, Erik Poulsen, Asger Christensen, Billy Kelleher

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 16 – point f – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(f) **an** ecologically sensitive **area**,
which means any of the following:

(f) ecologically sensitive **areas**, which
means any of the following:

Or. en

Amendment 785

Peter Liese

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 16 – point f – point i

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(i) **any protected area under Directive 2000/60/EC, including possible safeguard zones as well as modifications of those areas following the risk assessment results for drinking water abstraction points under Directive (EU) 2020/2184 of the European Parliament and of the Council⁸¹ ;** **deleted**

⁸¹ Directive (EU) 2020/2184 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 16 December 2020 on the quality of water intended for human consumption (OJ L 435, 23.12.2020, p. 1).

Or. en

Amendment 786

Silvia Sardone

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 16 – point f – point i

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(i) **any protected area under Directive 2000/60/EC, including possible safeguard zones as well as modifications of those** **deleted**

**areas following the risk assessment results
for drinking water abstraction points
under Directive (EU) 2020/2184 of the
European Parliament and of the
Council⁸¹ ;**

**⁸¹ Directive (EU) 2020/2184 of the
European Parliament and of the Council
of 16 December 2020 on the quality of
water intended for human consumption
(OJ L 435, 23.12.2020, p. 1).**

Or. en

**Amendment 787
Esther de Lange**

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 16 – point f – point i**

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

**(i) any protected area under Directive
2000/60/EC, including possible safeguard
zones as well as modifications of those
areas following the risk assessment results
for drinking water abstraction points
under Directive (EU) 2020/2184 of the
European Parliament and of the
Council⁸¹ ;** **deleted**

**⁸¹ Directive (EU) 2020/2184 of the
European Parliament and of the Council
of 16 December 2020 on the quality of
water intended for human consumption
(OJ L 435, 23.12.2020, p. 1).**

Or. en

Justification

The protection of drinking water is sufficiently covered by existing legislation.

Amendment 788

Dan-Ștefan Motreanu, Carmen Avram, Marian-Jean Marinescu, Daniel Buda

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 16 – point f – point i

Text proposed by the Commission

(i) any protected area under Directive 2000/60/EC, including possible safeguard zones as well as modifications of those areas following the risk assessment results for drinking water abstraction points under Directive (EU) 2020/2184 of the European Parliament and of the Council⁸¹ ;

⁸¹ Directive (EU) 2020/2184 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 16 December 2020 on the quality of water intended for human consumption (OJ L 435, 23.12.2020, p. 1).

Amendment

(i) any ***freely accessible*** protected area under ***Annex IV of*** Directive 2000/60/EC, ***excluding those designated pursuant Annex IV 1 part (iv)*** including possible safeguard zones as well as modifications of those areas following the risk assessment results for drinking water abstraction points under Directive (EU) 2020/2184 of the European Parliament and of the Council⁸¹ ;

⁸¹ Directive (EU) 2020/2184 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 16 December 2020 on the quality of water intended for human consumption (OJ L 435, 23.12.2020, p. 1).

Or. en

Amendment 789

Ulrike Müller, Emma Wiesner, Andreas Glück

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 16 – point f – point i

Text proposed by the Commission

(i) any protected area under Directive 2000/60/EC, including possible safeguard zones as well as modifications of those areas following the risk assessment results for drinking water abstraction points under Directive (EU) 2020/2184 of the European Parliament and of the Council⁸¹ ;

⁸¹ Directive (EU) 2020/2184 of the

Amendment

(i) any protected area under Directive 2000/60/EC, including possible safeguard zones as well as modifications of those areas following the risk assessment results for drinking water abstraction points under Directive (EU) 2020/2184 of the European Parliament and of the Council⁸¹, ***excluding those designated pursuant Annex IV 1 part (iv) of Directive 2000/60/EC;***

⁸¹ Directive (EU) 2020/2184 of the

European Parliament and of the Council of 16 December 2020 on the quality of water intended for human consumption (OJ L 435, 23.12.2020, p. 1).

European Parliament and of the Council of 16 December 2020 on the quality of water intended for human consumption (OJ L 435, 23.12.2020, p. 1).

Or. en

Justification

Nitrate-sensitive areas cannot be considered as sensitive areas within the scope of this Regulation and therefore should be excluded.

Amendment 790

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjärd

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 16 – point f – point i

Text proposed by the Commission

(i) **any** protected area under Directive 2000/60/EC, including possible safeguard zones as well as modifications of those areas following the risk assessment results for drinking water abstraction points under Directive (EU) 2020/2184 of the European Parliament and of the Council⁸¹ ;

⁸¹ Directive (EU) 2020/2184 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 16 December 2020 on the quality of water intended for human consumption (OJ L 435, 23.12.2020, p. 1).

Amendment

(i) protected area under Directive 2000/60/EC, ***excluding those designated pursuant Annex IV part (iv) to that Directive, and*** including possible safeguard zones as well as modifications of those areas following the risk assessment results for drinking water abstraction points under Directive (EU) 2020/2184 of the European Parliament and of the Council⁸¹ ;

⁸¹ Directive (EU) 2020/2184 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 16 December 2020 on the quality of water intended for human consumption (OJ L 435, 23.12.2020, p. 1).

Or. en

Amendment 791

Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Peter Lundgren, Hermann Tertsch, Margarita de la Pisa Carrión, Mazaly Aguilar, Evžen Tošenovský, Sergio Berlato, Jorge Buxadé Villalba, Eugen Jurzyca, Pietro Fiocchi, Charlie Weimers, Alexander Bernhuber, Johan Nissinen

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 16 – point f – point i

Text proposed by the Commission

(i) any protected area under Directive 2000/60/EC, including possible safeguard zones as well as modifications of those areas following the risk assessment results for drinking water abstraction points under Directive (EU) 2020/2184 of the European Parliament and of the Council⁸¹ ;

⁸¹ Directive (EU) 2020/2184 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 16 December 2020 on the quality of water intended for human consumption (OJ L 435, 23.12.2020, p. 1).

Amendment

(i) any protected area under **Annex IV of** Directive 2000/60/EC, **excluding those designated pursuant Annex IV 1 part (iv) and** including possible safeguard zones as well as modifications of those areas following the risk assessment results for drinking water abstraction points under Directive (EU) 2020/2184 of the European Parliament and of the Council⁸¹ ;

⁸¹ Directive (EU) 2020/2184 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 16 December 2020 on the quality of water intended for human consumption (OJ L 435, 23.12.2020, p. 1).

Or. en

Amendment 792

Jan Huitema, Emma Wiesner, Nils Torvalds, Billy Kelleher, Asger Christensen

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 16 – point f – point i

Text proposed by the Commission

(i) **any protected area under** Directive 2000/60/EC, **including possible safeguard zones as well as modifications of those areas following the risk assessment results for drinking water abstraction points under** Directive (EU) 2020/2184 of the European Parliament and of the Council⁸¹ ;

⁸¹ Directive (EU) 2020/2184 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 16 December 2020 on the quality of water intended for human consumption (OJ L 435, 23.12.2020, p. 1).

Amendment

(i) **areas, to be defined and designated by Member States with the aim to ensure that the objectives of** Directive 2000/60/EC **and** Directive (EU) 2020/2184 of the European Parliament and of the Council⁸¹ **are met;**

⁸¹ Directive (EU) 2020/2184 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 16 December 2020 on the quality of water intended for human consumption (OJ L 435, 23.12.2020, p. 1).

Amendment 793
Sylvia Limmer

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 16 – point f – point ii

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

ii) Gebiete von gemeinschaftlicher Bedeutung auf der Liste nach Artikel 4 Absatz 2 der Richtlinie 92/43/EWG, gemäß Artikel 4 Absatz 4 der genannten Richtlinie ausgewiesene besondere Schutzgebiete und gemäß Artikel 4 der Richtlinie 2009/147/EG als besondere Schutzgebiete eingestufte Gebiete sowie alle sonstigen nationalen, regionalen oder lokalen Schutzgebiete, die von den Mitgliedstaaten an das Verzeichnis der nationalen Schutzgebiete (CDDA) gemeldet wurden; **entfällt**

Or. de

Amendment 794
Silvia Sardone

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 16 – point f – point ii

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(ii) sites of Community importance in the list referred to in Article 4(2) of Directive 92/43/EEC and the special areas of conservation designated in accordance with Article 4(4) of that Directive, and special protection areas classified pursuant to Article 4 of Directive 2009/147/EC, and any other national, regional, or local protected area reported by the Member States to the Nationally designated protected areas inventory **deleted**

(CDDA);

Or. en

Amendment 795

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjärd

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 16 – point f – point ii

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(ii) sites of Community importance in the list referred to in Article 4(2) of Directive 92/43/EEC and the special areas of conservation designated in accordance with Article 4(4) of that Directive, and special protection areas classified pursuant to Article 4 of Directive 2009/147/EC, and any other national, regional, or local protected area reported by the Member States to the Nationally designated protected areas inventory (CDDA); **deleted**

Or. en

Amendment 796

Peter Liese

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 16 – point f – point ii

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(ii) sites of Community importance in the list referred to in Article 4(2) of Directive 92/43/EEC and the special areas of conservation designated in accordance with Article 4(4) of that Directive, and special protection areas classified pursuant to Article 4 of Directive 2009/147/EC, and any other national, regional, or local protected area reported **deleted**

by the Member States to the Nationally designated protected areas inventory (CDDA);

Or. en

Amendment 797

Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Peter Lundgren, Hermann Tertsch, Margarita de la Pisa Carrión, Mazaly Aguilar, Evžen Tošenovský, Sergio Berlato, Jorge Buxadé Villalba, Eugen Jurzyca, Charlie Weimers, Johan Nissinen

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 16 – point f – point ii

Text proposed by the Commission

(ii) sites of Community importance in the list referred to in Article 4(2) of Directive 92/43/EEC and the special areas of conservation designated in accordance with Article 4(4) of that Directive, and special protection areas classified pursuant to Article 4 of Directive 2009/147/EC, and any other national, regional, or local protected area reported by the Member States to the Nationally designated protected areas inventory (CDDA);

Amendment

(ii) sites of Community importance in the list referred to in Article 4(2) of Directive 92/43/EEC and the special areas of conservation designated in accordance with Article 4(4) of that Directive, and special protection areas classified pursuant to Article 4 of Directive 2009/147/EC, and any other national, regional, or local protected area reported by the Member States to the Nationally designated protected areas inventory (CDDA), **where the conservation objectives relate to nature, biodiversity, or habitat protection, with use of the less harmful pesticides.**

Or. en

Justification

The European Commission's proposal on the definition of sensitive areas is so strict that, combined with overly wide buffer strips, it would result in a virtual ban on the use of plant protection products in most of the EU's farmed area. A number of scientific studies warn of the impact of a 50% reduction in pesticides at EU level, which could lead to a 12% to 50% drop in production, depending on the commodity and region. With a complete ban on the use of plant protection products in most of the EU, if the strict definition of sensitive areas is maintained, the impact would be even more catastrophic. Given the current geopolitical situation and the need to ensure food security in the European Union, such radical proposals are neither realistic nor safe.

Amendment 798

Ulrike Müller, Emma Wiesner, Andreas Glück

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 16 – point f – point ii

Text proposed by the Commission

(ii) sites of Community importance in the list referred to in Article 4(2) of Directive 92/43/EEC and the special areas of conservation designated in accordance with Article 4(4) of that Directive, and special protection areas classified pursuant to Article 4 of Directive 2009/147/EC, **and any other national, regional, or local protected area reported by the Member States to the Nationally designated protected areas inventory (CDDA);**

Amendment

(ii) sites of Community importance in the list referred to in Article 4(2) of Directive 92/43/EEC and the special areas of conservation designated in accordance with Article 4(4) of that Directive, and special protection areas classified pursuant to Article 4 of Directive 2009/147/EC;

Or. en

Justification

CDDAs cannot be considered generally as sensitive areas within the scope of this Regulation and therefore should be excluded.

Amendment 799

Jan Huitema, Emma Wiesner, Erik Poulsen, Nils Torvalds, Billy Kelleher, Asger Christensen

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 16 – point f – point ii

Text proposed by the Commission

(ii) sites of Community importance in the list referred to in Article 4(2) of Directive 92/43/EEC and the special areas of conservation designated in accordance with Article 4(4) of that Directive, and special protection areas classified pursuant to Article 4 of Directive 2009/147/EC, and any other national, regional, or local protected area reported by the Member States to the Nationally designated protected areas inventory (CDDA);

Amendment

(ii) sites of Community importance in the list referred to in Article 4(2) of Directive 92/43/EEC and the special areas of conservation designated in accordance with Article 4(4) of that Directive, and special protection areas classified pursuant to Article 4 of Directive 2009/147/EC, and **if the Member State considers it necessary for their protection**, any other national, regional, or local protected area reported by the Member States to the Nationally

designated protected areas inventory
(CDDA);

Or. en

Amendment 800

Ondřej Knotek, Martin Hlaváček

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 16 – point f – point iii

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(iii) any area for which the monitoring of pollinator species carried out in accordance with Article 17(1), point (f), of Regulation xxx/xxx [reference to adopted act to be inserted] establishes that it sustains one or more pollinator species which the European Red Lists classify as being threatened with extinction. **deleted**

Or. en

Amendment 801

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjård

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 16 – point f – point iii

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(iii) any area for which the monitoring of pollinator species carried out in accordance with Article 17(1), point (f), of Regulation xxx/xxx [reference to adopted act to be inserted] establishes that it sustains one or more pollinator species which the European Red Lists classify as being threatened with extinction. **deleted**

Or. en

Amendment 802

Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Peter Lundgren, Hermann Tertsch, Margarita de la Pisa Carrión, Mazaly Aguilar, Evžen Tošenovský, Sergio Berlato, Jorge Buxadé Villalba, Eugen Jurzyca, Pietro Fiocchi, Charlie Weimers, Alexander Bernhuber, Johan Nissinen

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 16 – point f – point iii

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(iii) any area for which the monitoring of pollinator species carried out in accordance with Article 17(1), point (f), of Regulation xxx/xxx [reference to adopted act to be inserted] establishes that it sustains one or more pollinator species which the European Red Lists classify as being threatened with extinction. **deleted**

Or. en

Amendment 803

Peter Liese

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 16 – point f – point iii

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(iii) any area for which the monitoring of pollinator species carried out in accordance with Article 17(1), point (f), of Regulation xxx/xxx [reference to adopted act to be inserted] establishes that it sustains one or more pollinator species which the European Red Lists classify as being threatened with extinction. **deleted**

Or. en

Amendment 804

Jan Huitema, Emma Wiesner, Erik Poulsen, Asger Christensen, Billy Kelleher

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 16 – point f – point iii

Text proposed by the Commission

(iii) **any area** for which the monitoring of pollinator species carried out in accordance with Article 17(1), point (f), of Regulation xxx/xxx [reference to adopted act to be inserted] establishes that it sustains one or more pollinator species which the European Red Lists classify as being threatened with extinction.

Amendment

(iii) **areas** for which the monitoring of pollinator species carried out in accordance with Article 17(1), point (f), of Regulation xxx/xxx [reference to adopted act to be inserted] establishes that it sustains one or more pollinator species which the European Red Lists classify as being threatened with extinction, **and for which the use of chemical plant protection products in those areas is expected to negatively affect these species;**

Or. en

Amendment 805

Mathilde Androuët

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 16 – point f – point iii

Text proposed by the Commission

iii) toute zone pour laquelle la surveillance des espèces de pollinisateurs effectuée conformément à l'article 17, paragraphe 1, point f), du règlement xxx/xxx [référence à l'acte adopté à insérer] établit **qu'elle assure** la subsistance d'une ou de plusieurs espèces de pollinisateurs **que les listes rouges européennes classent** parmi les espèces menacées d'extinction;

Amendment

iii) toute zone pour laquelle la surveillance des espèces de pollinisateurs effectuée conformément à l'article 17, paragraphe 1, point f), du règlement xxx/xxx [référence à l'acte adopté à insérer] établit **de manière mesurable scientifiquement qu'elle contribue** à la subsistance d'une ou de plusieurs espèces de pollinisateurs parmi les espèces menacées d'extinction **selon les dispositions de classement de chaque Etat membre;**

Or. fr

Justification

La détermination des zones de surveillance et de l'opportunité d'utiliser des produits phytopharmaceutiques sont du ressort des Etats membres.

Amendment 806
Stanislav Polčák

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 19

Text proposed by the Commission

19) „povrchovými vodami“ útvar povrchové vody ve smyslu čl. 2 **bodě** 1 směrnice 2000/60/ES;

Amendment

19) „povrchovými vodami“ útvar povrchové vody ve smyslu čl. 2 **bodu** 1 směrnice 2000/60/ES;

Or. cs

Amendment 807
Stanislav Polčák

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 20

Text proposed by the Commission

20) „podzemními vodami“ útvar podzemní vody ve smyslu čl. 2 **bodě** 2 směrnice 2000/60/ES;

Amendment

20) „podzemními vodami“ útvar podzemní vody ve smyslu čl. 2 **bodu** 2 směrnice 2000/60/ES;

Or. cs

Amendment 808
Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 21

Text proposed by the Commission

(21) ‘risk indicator’ means a measurement indicating the relative change in risks for human health or the environment associated with the use of **plant protection products**, and calculated in accordance with the methodology set out in Annex VI;

Amendment

(21) ‘risk indicator’ means a measurement indicating the relative change in risks for human **and animal** health, **beneficial and non-target organisms** or the environment associated with the use of **pesticides**, and calculated in accordance with the methodology set out in Annex VI;

Amendment 809
Mathilde Androuët

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 21

Text proposed by the Commission

21) «indicateur de risque»: une mesure indiquant l'évolution relative des risques pour la santé humaine ou l'environnement associés à l'utilisation de produits phytopharmaceutiques, et calculée conformément à la méthode exposée à l'annexe VI;

Amendment

21) «indicateur de risque»: une mesure indiquant l'évolution relative des risques pour la santé humaine ou l'environnement associés à l'utilisation de produits phytopharmaceutiques, et calculée **scientifiquement** conformément à la méthode exposée à l'annexe VI;

Or. fr

Amendment 810
Biljana Borzan

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 22

Text proposed by the Commission

(22) 'non-chemical methods' means alternatives to chemical plant protection products;

Amendment

(22) 'non-chemical methods' means alternatives to chemical plant protection products **based on agronomic techniques, as well as physical, mechanical or biological pest control methods. They exclude the use of genomic techniques.**

Or. en

Amendment 811
Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan, Delara Burkhardt

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 22

Text proposed by the Commission

(22) ‘non-chemical methods’ means ***alternatives*** to chemical plant protection products;

Amendment

(22) ‘non-chemical methods’ means ***alternative methods*** to chemical plant protection products ***based on agronomic techniques, and physical, mechanical or biological pest control methods; They exclude the use of genomic techniques.***

Or. en

Amendment 812
Sirpa Pietikäinen

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 22

Text proposed by the Commission

(22) ‘non-chemical methods’ means alternatives to chemical plant protection products;

Amendment

(22) ‘non-chemical methods’ means alternatives to chemical plant protection products ***based on agronomic techniques, as well as physical, mechanical or biological pest control methods. They exclude the use of genomic techniques.***

Or. en

Amendment 813
Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 22

Text proposed by the Commission

(22) ‘non-chemical methods’ means alternatives to chemical plant protection products;

Amendment

(22) ‘non-chemical methods’ means alternatives to chemical plant protection products, ***excluding the use of genomic techniques either in the plant or in the intervention method;***

Or. en

Amendment 814
Esther de Lange

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 22

Text proposed by the Commission

(22) ‘non-chemical methods’ means alternatives to chemical plant protection products;

Amendment

(22) ‘non-chemical methods’ means alternatives to chemical plant protection products, ***including those based on the use of synthetic pheromones and semiochemicals;***

Or. en

Amendment 815
Mathilde Androuët

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 22

Text proposed by the Commission

22) «méthodes non chimiques»: les méthodes de substitution aux produits phytopharmaceutiques chimiques;

Amendment

22) «méthodes non chimiques»: les méthodes de substitution aux produits phytopharmaceutiques chimiques ***dont la fiabilité a été techniquement et économiquement prouvée;***

Or. fr

Amendment 816
Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Peter Lundgren, Hermann Tertsch, Margarita de la Pisa Carrión, Mazaly Aguilar, Evžen Tošenovský, Sergio Berlato, Jorge Buxadé Villalba, Eugen Jurzyca, Pietro Fiocchi, Charlie Weimers, Alexander Bernhuber, Johan Nissinen

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 22

Text proposed by the Commission

(22) ‘**non-chemical** methods’ means alternatives to **chemical** plant protection products;

Amendment

(22) ‘**non-synthetic** methods’ means alternatives to **synthetic** plant protection products;

Or. en

Justification

We believe that any list-making, whether positive or negative, will only lead to a reduction in research and innovation. The demonstrable harmfulness of the substances and any use not in accordance with the safety and technical data sheets must also be taken into account. Safety for the environment and human health is not determined by the nature of the substance and its production process, or how it is obtained, but how it is used. Therefore, quantitative reduction and the principle of synthetic/non-synthetic separation is not the correct approach. Moreover, everything is 'chemical', including salt (NaCl), sugar and oxygen, which, in addition, are also poisonous to the human body if used incorrectly and/or in an inappropriate dosage.

Amendment 817

Esther de Lange

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 23

Text proposed by the Commission

(23) ‘biological control’ means the control of organisms harmful to plants or plant products using natural means of biological origin or substances identical to them, such as micro-organisms, semiochemicals, **extracts from plant products as defined in Article 3(6) of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009**, or invertebrate macro-organisms.

Amendment

(23) ‘biological control’ means the control of organisms harmful to plants or plant products using natural means of biological origin or substances identical to them, such as micro-organisms, semiochemicals, **natural substances^{1a}** or invertebrate macro-organisms.

1a “Natural substance” means a substance that consists of one or more components that originate from nature, including but not limited to: plants, algae/microalgae, animals, minerals, bacteria, fungi, proteins, peptides, enzymes, RNA, protozoans, viruses, viroids, and mycoplasmas. Natural substances can either be sourced from nature or synthesized comprising

exclusively naturally occurring amino acids or nucleotides. This definition excludes semiochemicals and microbials

Or. en

Justification

The definition of biological control is too narrow and excludes certain ‘safe’ alternatives to chemical pesticides. Should be broadened.

Amendment 818

Jan Huitema, Emma Wiesner, Erik Poulsen, Asger Christensen, Billy Kelleher

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 23

Text proposed by the Commission

(23) ‘biological control’ means the control of organisms harmful to plants or plant products using natural means of biological origin or substances identical to them, such as micro-organisms, semiochemicals, extracts from plant products as defined in Article 3(6) of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009, **or** invertebrate macro-organisms.

Amendment

(23) ‘biological control’ means the control of organisms harmful to plants or plant products using natural means of biological origin or substances identical to them, such as micro-organisms, semiochemicals, extracts from plant products as defined in Article 3(6) of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009, invertebrate macro-organisms **and other natural substances that may contain components that originate from nature, such as algae, bacteria, viruses, viroids, micoplasmas, fungi, proteins, peptides, enzymes, RNA, and protozoans;**

Or. en

Amendment 819

Sylvia Limmer

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 23

Text proposed by the Commission

23. **„biologische Bekämpfung“**

Amendment

23. **bei der „biologischen**

bezeichnet die Bekämpfung der Schadorganismen von Pflanzen und Pflanzenerzeugnissen, bei der natürliche Mittel biologischen Ursprungs oder ihnen identische Stoffe verwendet werden, wie etwa Mikroorganismen, Semiochemikalien, Extrakte aus Pflanzenerzeugnissen im Sinne von Artikel 3 Nummer 6 der Verordnung (EG) Nr. 1107/2009 oder wirbellose Makroorganismen.

Bekämpfung“ **finden Organismen Verwendung, die als natürliche Feinde der unerwünschten Art bekannt sind. Es kann sich dabei um Viren, Bakterien, Lebewesen oder Biomoleküle handeln.**

Or. de

Amendment 820
Ulrike Müller, Emma Wiesner, Andreas Glück

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 23

Text proposed by the Commission

(23) ‘biological control’ means the control of organisms harmful to plants or plant products using natural means of biological origin or substances identical to them, such as micro-organisms, semiochemicals, extracts from plant products as defined in Article 3(6) of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009, or invertebrate macro-organisms.

Amendment

(23) ‘biological control’ means the control of organisms harmful to plants or plant products using natural means of biological origin or substances identical to them, such as micro-organisms, semiochemicals, **peptide- and protein-based products including enzymes and antibodies, RNA, hormones, dead cells and fermentation products**, extracts from plant products as defined in Article 3(6) of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009, or invertebrate macro-organisms.

Or. en

Justification

Due to the continuing limited availability of biological control products, investments in their development are urgently needed. In order to provide greater investment certainty and hence attract more investments, it is appropriate to mention promising categories of biological control agents explicitly.

Amendment 821

Eric Andrieu

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 23

Text proposed by the Commission

23) «protection biologique»: la protection contre les organismes nuisibles aux végétaux ou aux produits végétaux à l'aide de moyens naturels d'origine biologique ou de substances identiques à ceux-ci, tels les micro-organismes, les substances sémiochimiques, les extraits de produits végétaux au sens de l'article 3, point 6, du règlement (CE) n° 1107/2009 ou les macro-organismes invertébrés.

Amendment

23) «protection biologique»: la protection contre les organismes nuisibles aux végétaux ou aux produits végétaux à l'aide de moyens naturels d'origine biologique ou de substances identiques à ceux-ci, tels les micro-organismes, les substances sémiochimiques **définis au considérant 7 du règlement (UE) n°2017/1432**, les extraits de produits végétaux au sens de l'article 3, point 6, du règlement (CE) n° 1107/2009, **et les autres substances naturelles** ou les macro-organismes invertébrés.

Or. fr

Amendment 822

Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 23

Text proposed by the Commission

(23) 'biological control' means the control of organisms harmful to plants or plant products using natural means of biological origin or substances identical to them, such as **micro-organisms**, semiochemicals, extracts from plant products as defined in Article 3(6) of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009, or invertebrate macro-organisms.

Amendment

(23) 'biological control' means the control of organisms harmful to plants or plant products using natural means of biological origin or substances identical to them, such as semiochemicals, extracts from plant **protection** products as defined in Article 3(6) of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009, **and other natural substances, such as microorganisms, substances of mineral and animal origin** or invertebrate macro-organisms;

Or. en

Amendment 823
Pernille Weiss

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 23

Text proposed by the Commission

(23) ‘biological control’ means the control of organisms harmful to plants or plant products using natural means of biological origin or substances identical to them, such as micro-organisms, semiochemicals, ***extracts from plant products as defined in Article 3(6) of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009***, or invertebrate macro-organisms.

Amendment

(23) ‘biological control’ means the control of organisms harmful to plants or plant products using natural means of biological origin or substances identical to them, such as micro-organisms, semiochemicals, ***natural substances***, or invertebrate macro-organisms;

Or. en

Justification

Please see new definition of 'Natural substance'

Amendment 824
Pascal Canfin, Catherine Chabaud, Martin Hojsík, Max Orville

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 23

Text proposed by the Commission

(23) ‘biological control’ means the control of organisms harmful to plants or plant products using natural means of biological origin or substances identical to them, such as micro-organisms, semiochemicals, extracts from plant products as defined in Article 3(6) of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009, or invertebrate macro-organisms.

Amendment

(23) ‘biological control’ means the control of organisms harmful to plants or plant products using natural means of biological origin or substances identical to them, such as micro-organisms, semiochemicals, extracts from plant products as defined in Article 3(6) of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009, ***and other natural substances*** or invertebrate macro-organisms.

Or. en

Amendment 825
Pernille Weiss

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 23 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(23 a) 'Natural substance' means a substance that consists of one or more components that originate from nature, including but not limited to plants, algae and microalgae, animals, minerals, bacteria, fungi, proteins, peptides, enzymes, RNA, protozoans, viruses, viroids, and mycoplasmas (all of which can either be sourced from nature or synthesized comprising exclusively naturally occurring amino acids or nucleotides), excluding semiochemicals and microbials;

Or. en

Amendment 826
Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjärd

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 23 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(23 a) 'Crop protection' means a variety of measures and activities, all of which pursue the goal of preventing or reducing damage to cultivated plants. Plant protection is therefore a prerequisite and at the same time an integral part of efficient and sustainable plant and food production.

Or. en

Amendment 827
Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 23 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(23 a) 'preventative measure' means prevention by removing conditions that attract or favour pests and diseases, such as food, water, and shelter, relying much on agronomical measures. The use of chemical plant protection products cannot be considered as a preventative measure;

Or. en

Amendment 828
Dolors Montserrat

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 23 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(23 a) "low drifts application techniques" are those techniques allowing a controlled exchange of material and energy and preventing the release of phytosanitary products into the environment, such as endotherapy application techniques or others with similar characteristics.

Or. en

Amendment 829
Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 23 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(23 a) (b) "advertising" means any form of commercial communications with the aim or direct or indirect effect of promoting a chemical pesticide;

Or. en

Amendment 830

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 23 b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(23 b) 'substantiated concern' means a duly motivated claim based on objective and verifiable information regarding non-compliance with the present Regulation and which may require the intervention of competent authorities;

Or. en

Amendment 831

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjärd

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

"Intervention" means any action taken before, during or after a pest, disease or its symptoms, or other factors adversely affecting quality and/or yield are detected.

Or. en

Amendment 832
Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 23 b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(23 b) ‘intervention’ means any measure that is taken after the pest or disease or its symptoms are detected;

Or. en

Amendment 833
Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 23 c (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(23 c) ‘Low Input Farming Systems’ means systems designed to optimise the management and use of internal production inputs and to minimise the use of external production inputs, such as purchased fertilisers and plant protection products, wherever and whenever feasible and practicable, to lower production costs, to avoid pollution of surface and groundwater, to reduce synthetic plant protection product residues in food, to reduce farmer’s overall risk, and to increase both short- and long- term farm profitability;

Or. en

Amendment 834
Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan, Günther Sidl

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 23 d (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(23 d) 'occupational disease' means a health condition or disorder that is caused or developed following the use of or the exposure to plant protection products in a work environment;

Or. en

Amendment 835

Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan, Günther Sidl

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 23 e (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(23 e) 'health surveillance' means the assessment of an individual worker to determine the state of health of that individual, as related to exposure to specific chemical plant protection products at work. In certain circumstances health surveillance can take place across the residents of an agricultural area as well;

Or. en

Amendment 836

Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan, Günther Sidl

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 23 f (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(23 f) 'environmental monitoring of residues of plant protection products' means quantification and toxicity assessment of residues from plant protection products in the crop fields and/or the surrounding environments,

including water resources (surface water, groundwater and drinking water), soil, air, and biota. It also includes the assessment of non-target areas (e.g. cities and nature reserves) to draw conclusions on the degree of long-range transport of plant protection products;

Or. en

Amendment 837

Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 23 g (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(23 g) ‘advertisement’ means a means of promoting the sale or use of plant protection products (to anyone other than the authorisation holder, the person placing the plant protection product on the market and their agents) by printed or electronic media;

Or. en

Amendment 838

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjärd

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

‘preventative measure related to sustainable use of plant protection products’ means measures that aim to keep the soil and plants healthy and to strengthen the plants' resistance to pathogens or not to create conditions that are favorable for fine pathogen

infestation.

Or. en

Amendment 839

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjärd

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1 b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

‘competent authority’ means any authority or authorities of a Member State responsible for carrying out the tasks established under this Regulation;

Or. en

Amendment 840

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjärd

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1 c (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

‘occupational disease’ means work-related health problems and illnesses which can be caused, worsened or jointly caused by working conditions. This includes physical and psychosocial health problems as defined in Regulation (EC) No 1338/2008.

Or. en

Amendment 841

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič,

**Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis,
Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjård**

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 1 d (new)**

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

‘preparations’ means mixtures or solutions composed of two or more substances intended for use as a plant protection product or as an adjuvant;

Or. en

Amendment 842

**Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič,
Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis,
Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjård**

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 1 e (new)**

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

‘Packaging for plant protection products’ means containers, vessels or anything else referring to direct packaging of the plant protection products and is in direct contact with the plant protection product.

Or. en

Amendment 843

Jan Huitema, Emma Wiesner, Ulrike Müller, Erik Poulsen, Susana Solís Pérez, Asger Christensen, Billy Kelleher

**Proposal for a regulation
Chapter I a (new)**

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

**CHAPTER IA
CONDITIONALITY FOR
APPLICATION OF REDUCTION**

TARGETS

Article 3a - Conditionality for application of reduction targets.

1. From ... [OP: please insert the date = the first day in the month following 12 months after the date of entry into force of this Regulation] the Commission shall annually evaluate the progress towards the achieving the Union target for increasing the overall sales of low risk and biological control products as established under Chapter Ib. If progress towards achieving this target is insufficient, the reduction targets for chemical plant protection products as established under Chapter II shall not apply.

2. The legislation for plants produced by certain new genomic techniques as announced in the Commission in the Work Programme of 2023 shall be adopted without delay. If this legislation is not adopted by 2027, the reduction targets for chemical plant protection products as established under Chapter II shall not apply.

Or. en

Justification

The overall objective of this regulation should not only be to use plant protection products in a sustainable manner, but also to ensure that crop producers have sufficient tools available to properly protect crops against pests and diseases. A reduction in the sales of chemical plant protection products is only beneficial if crop failures can continue to be prevented. Therefore, the reduction targets should be conditional on the availability of alternative measures for plant protection. ive environmental impact of plant protection measures instead of only focusing on a reduction in the sales of chemical products.

Amendment 844

Jan Huitema, Emma Wiesner, Erik Poulsen, Susana Solís Pérez, Billy Kelleher, Asger Christensen

Proposal for a regulation Chapter I b (new)

CHAPTER IB

TARGETS FOR INCREASING THE OVERALL SALES OF LOW RISK AND BIOLOGICAL CONTROL PLANT PROTECTION PRODUCTS

***Article 3b - Union 2030 target for
increasing the overall sales of low risk
and biological control plant protection
products***

***1. By ... [OP: please insert the date = the
first day in the month following 6 months
after the date of entry into force of this
Regulation] the Commission shall set a
Union 2030 target for increasing the
overall sales of low risk and biological
control plant protection products (referred
to as Union 2030 replacement target).***

***2. Following consultation of stakeholders
and based on the best available science,
the Commission shall set the target under
paragraph 1 at a level to ensure that by
2030, the overall availability and use of
plant protection products is not reduced
significantly. The targets shall be set from
a baseline period of the 3 calendar years
preceding the entry into force of this
regulation.***

***3. The Commission is empowered to adopt
delegated acts in accordance with Article
40 to supplement this regulation with a
methodology for calculating the Union
2030 replacement target and the chosen
level for the Union 2030 replacement
target.***

***Article 3c - Member State 2030 targets for
increasing the overall sales of low risk
and biological control plant protection
products***

***1. Member States shall adopt and reach
national targets for increasing the overall
sales of low risk and biological control
plant protection products as part of their
national action plan in line with article 4***

(4) (referred to as the 'national 2030 replacement target').

2. The Commission shall assess the level of the national 2030 targets as set under paragraph 1 of this article of all Member States and verify whether they are set at a level to achieve the Union 2030 replacement target.

3. If the combined national 2030 replacement targets of all Member States are not set at a level that will achieve the Union replacement target, the Commission shall recommend that one or more Member States increase the level of their national replacement target in order to achieve the Union 2030 replacement target. The Commission shall make any such recommendation public.

4. Within one month of receiving the recommendation referred to in paragraph 3, a Member State shall take one of the following actions:

(a) adjust its national 2030 replacement targets as recommended by the Commission and include the adjusted targets in its national action plan together with the Commission recommendation;

(b) provide justifications for not adjusting its national 2030 replacement target as recommended by the Commission, and include the justifications for such decision in its national action plan together with the Commission recommendation.

Article 3d - Measures by the Commission to prevent delays in authorisation

1. The Commission shall continue to assess the need for additional funding for the training and employment of regulatory staff within EFSA and the Commission, to prevent delays in the authorisation process for low-risk and biological plant protection products and to reach the Union 2030 replacement target.

2. The Commission shall publish guidance documents to further clarify and

facilitate the approval of low risk and biological control products under Regulation 1107/2009.

3. By 2027, the Commission shall issue a report evaluating the effectiveness of the approval procedure for biological control products. That report shall, where appropriate, be accompanied by a legislative proposal setting out a specific framework for the authorisation of biological control products.

Or. en

Amendment 845

Jan Huitema, Emma Wiesner, Erik Poulsen, Billy Kelleher, Asger Christensen

Proposal for a regulation

Chapter II – title

Text proposed by the Commission

II REDUCTION TARGETS FOR
CHEMICAL PLANT PROTECTION
PRODUCTS

Amendment

REDUCTION TARGETS FOR ***THE
RISK OF*** CHEMICAL PLANT
PROTECTION PRODUCTS ***AND THE
INTEGRAL ENVIRONMENTAL
IMPACT OF PLANT PROTECTION
MEASURES***

Or. en

Amendment 846

Sylvia Limmer

Proposal for a regulation

Chapter II – title

Text proposed by the Commission

II ***REDUKTIONSZIELE FÜR
CHEMISCHE
PFLANZENSCHUTZMITTEL***

Amendment

***ZIELE FÜR
PFLANZENSCHUTZMITTEL***

Or. de

Amendment 847

Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Lena Düpont, Peter Jahr, Jens Gieseke, Angelika Niebler, Andreas Schwab, Ralf Seekatz, David McAllister, Christine Schneider, Stefan Berger, Sabine Verheyen, Rainer Wieland, Monika Hohlmeier, Michael Gahler, Christian Doleschal, Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Daniel Caspary, Herbert Dorfmann

Proposal for a regulation

Article 4 – title

Text proposed by the Commission

Reduktionsziele der Union bis **2030** für chemische Pflanzenschutzmittel

Amendment

Reduktionsziele der Union bis ... **[Amt für Veröffentlichungen: bitte das Datum einfügen – 10 Jahre nach dem Geltungsbeginn dieser Verordnung]** für chemische Pflanzenschutzmittel

Or. de

Justification

Ein längerer Zeitraum ist für die vorgesehene Reduktion von Pflanzenschutzmitteln nötig, um Planungssicherheit zu gewährleisten.

Amendment 848

Pascal Canfin, Catherine Chabaud, Max Orville

Proposal for a regulation

Article 4 – title

Text proposed by the Commission

Union 2030 reduction targets for chemical plant protection products

Amendment

Union 2030 reduction targets for chemical plant protection products, **replacement of chemical plant protection products and uptake of relevant agronomics practices**

Or. en

Amendment 849

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation
Article 4 – title

Text proposed by the Commission

Union 2030 reduction targets for chemical
plant protection products

Amendment

Union 2030 reduction targets **and 2035**
phase-out target for chemical **pesticides**

Or. en

Amendment 850
Sylvia Limmer

Proposal for a regulation
Article 4 – title

Text proposed by the Commission

Reduktionsziele der Union bis 2030 für
chemische Pflanzenschutzmittel

Amendment

Ziele für Pflanzenschutzmittel

Or. de

Amendment 851
Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič,
Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis,
Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjård

Proposal for a regulation
Article 4 – title

Text proposed by the Commission

Union **2030** reduction targets for chemical
plant protection products

Amendment

Union **2035** reduction targets for chemical
plant protection products

Or. en

Amendment 852
Esther de Lange

Proposal for a regulation
Article 4 – title

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Union **2030** reduction targets for chemical plant protection products

Union **2035** reduction targets for chemical plant protection products

Or. en

Amendment 853

Dan-Ștefan Motreanu, Carmen Avram, Marian-Jean Marinescu, Daniel Buda

Proposal for a regulation

Article 4 – title

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Union **2030** reduction targets for chemical plant protection products

Union **2035** reduction targets for chemical plant protection products

Or. en

Amendment 854

Peter Liese

Proposal for a regulation

Article 4 – title

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Union **2030** reduction targets for chemical plant protection products

Union reduction targets for chemical plant protection products

Or. en

Justification

Since the time frame for the reduction requirements is changed, the name of Union and national reduction targets is changed throughout the text.

Amendment 855

Sylvia Limmer

Proposal for a regulation
Article 4 – title

Text proposed by the Commission

Reduktionsziele der Union **bis 2030 für chemische** Pflanzenschutzmittel

Amendment

Reduktionsziele der Union **für** Pflanzenschutzmittel

Or. de

Amendment 856

Jan Huitema, Emma Wiesner, Ulrike Müller, Erik Poulsen, Asger Christensen, Billy Kelleher

Proposal for a regulation
Article 4 – paragraph -1 (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

-1. The entry into force of the targets listed in this article is pending the fulfillment of the conditions listed in Chapter Ia.

Or. en

Amendment 857

Sylvia Limmer

Proposal for a regulation
Article 4 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(1) Jeder Mitgliedstaat trägt durch den Erlass und die Erreichung nationaler Ziele gemäß Artikel 5 dazu bei, bis 2030 im Vergleich zum Durchschnitt der Jahre 2015, 2016 und 2017 eine unionsweite Verringerung um 50 % sowohl von Verwendung und Risiko von chemischen Pflanzenschutzmitteln (im Folgenden „Reduktionsziel 1 der Union bis 2030“) als auch der Verwendung gefährlicherer Pflanzenschutzmittel (im Folgenden

(1) Oberste Priorität ist die Gewährleistung der Ernährungssicherheit innerhalb der EU und innerhalb der einzelnen Mitgliedsstaaten. Dies ist umso wichtiger, da es gilt, eine Verlagerung der landwirtschaftlichen Produktion in Drittstaaten mit deutlich weniger umfangreichen und wissenschaftlich fundierten Prüfverfahren von Pflanzenschutzmitteln und deutlich

„Reduktionsziel 2 der Union bis 2030“) zu erreichen (im Folgenden zusammengefasst: „Reduktionsziele der Union bis 2030“).

geringeren Sicherheitsstandards zu verhindern. Damit verbunden wäre unweigerlich eine deutlich erhöhte Gefährdung der Umwelt, wenn Pflanzenschutzmittel zur Anwendung kommen, die in der EU keine Zulassung haben als auch das Verbraucherrisiko massiv ansteigen, weil über die Ernährung mit in der EU verbotenen Substanzen nicht kalkulierbare Gesundheitsrisiken entstehen. Daher müssen die bisher bewährten Zulassungsverfahren beibehalten und unter Maßgabe der neuesten wissenschaftlichen Erkenntnisse überarbeitet werden. Im Vordergrund steht dabei immer eine individuelle Risikobewertung der als Pflanzenschutzmittel eingesetzten Wirkstoffe. Die Risiko-Nutzen-Analyse ist dabei auch abhängig von unterschiedlichen klimatischen Bedingungen, landwirtschaftlicher Praxis und Umgebung, den Anbaumethoden sowie den hauptsächlichen Feldfrüchten in den einzelnen Mitgliedsstaaten. Dies entspricht auch der neuen GAP-Strategie mit der Hinwendung zu mehr individueller Ausgestaltungsmöglichkeit der Mitgliedsländer. Die Förderung von Wirkstoffen in der Land- und Fortwirtschaft mit größerer Anwendungssicherheit, geringerer Resistenzbildung und Rückständen sowie Umweltsicherheit bei gleichzeitigem Wirkerhalt muss das Ziel sein. Pauschale Reduktionsziele von Pflanzenschutzmittel sind daher nicht zielführend.

Or. de

Amendment 858

Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Peter Lundgren, Hermann Tertsch, Margarita de la Pisa Carrión, Mazaly Aguilar, Evžen Tošenovský, Sergio Berlato, Jorge Buxadé Villalba, Eugen Jurzyca, Pietro Fiocchi, Charlie Weimers, Johan Nissinen

Proposal for a regulation
Article 4 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Each Member State shall contribute, through the adoption and achievement of national targets in accordance with Article 5 to achieving by 2030 **a 50 %** Union-wide reduction of both the use and risk of chemical plant protection products ('Union 2030 reduction target 1') and the use of more hazardous plant protection products ('Union 2030 reduction target 2'), compared to the average of the years **2015, 2016 and 2017** (collectively referred to as 'the Union 2030 reduction targets').

Amendment

1. Each Member State shall contribute, through the adoption and achievement of national targets in accordance with Article 5 to achieving by 2030 **an** Union-wide reduction of both the use and risk of chemical plant protection products ('Union 2030 reduction target 1') and the use of more hazardous plant protection products ('Union 2030 reduction target 2'). ***The reduction should be at least 10% in countries that used less active substances per hectare than the EU average by 2020 (Farm to Fork publication), compared to the average of the years 2011, 2012 and 2013 (collectively referred to as 'the Union 2030 reduction targets'). The reduction should be at least 35% in countries that used more active substances per hectare than the EU average by 2020 (Farm to Fork publication), compared to the average of the years 2011, 2012 and 2013 (collectively referred to as 'the Union 2030 reduction targets'). After 2030, there should then be an assessment of the impact of the reduction on food security, the self-sufficiency of European agriculture and the competitiveness of European farmers. On the basis of this evaluation of the number and the amount of used alternatives and its impact to nature and economy in a comparative perspective with reduced substances according to this regulation/directive, further measures could then be taken.***

Or. en

Justification

There are not enough alternative plant protection products on the market, the approval process is too long and the available alternatives are not effective enough. In many cases, the alternatives available so far are not nearly as effective, leading to situations where, instead of a one-off application of a few micrograms of an active substance that is effective against only

one pest species, alternative active substances must be applied at doses more than 100 times higher, which must be applied repeatedly, and which kill all insects present in the fields at the time of spraying, rather than targeting only the problematic pest directly. Such practices also have a detrimental effect on biodiversity conservation. The aim of the new rules should not be a headlong reduction as much as possible, but a realistic adjustment of the rules to take account of the complexity of the situation, to maintain the viability of farmers, to ensure food security and to strengthen sustainable agricultural production in the EU. We therefore believe that a gradual 10% reduction in pesticides over the next seven years, in countries that used less active substances per hectare than the EU average by 2020 (Farm to Fork publication), followed by an assessment of the impacts in terms of all three pillars of sustainability, is a more sustainable and safer way forward, both for farmers and production, and for biodiversity.

Amendment 859
Mathilde Androuët

Proposal for a regulation
Article 4 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Chaque État membre contribue, en **adoptant et en atteignant** des objectifs nationaux conformément à l'article 5, à réduire de **50 %** à l'échelle de l'Union, **en** 2030 au plus **tard**, tant l'utilisation des produits phytopharmaceutiques chimiques et les risques qui y sont associés (ci-après l'«objectif de réduction 1 de l'Union pour 2030») que l'utilisation des produits phytopharmaceutiques plus dangereux (ci-après l'«objectif de réduction 2 de l'Union pour 2030») par rapport à la moyenne des années 2015, 2016 et 2017 (**collectivement dénommés ci-après les «objectifs de réduction de l'Union pour 2030»**).

Amendment

1. Chaque État membre contribue, en **définissant** des objectifs nationaux conformément à l'article 5, à réduire de **manière progressive et substantielle** à l'échelle de l'Union **à l'horizon** 2030 au plus **tôt**, tant l'utilisation des produits phytopharmaceutiques chimiques et les risques qui y sont associés (ci-après l'«objectif de réduction 1 de l'Union pour 2030») que l'utilisation des produits phytopharmaceutiques plus dangereux (ci-après l'«objectif de réduction 2 de l'Union pour 2030») par rapport à la moyenne des années 2015, 2016 et 2017. **La période de référence de 2015 à 2017 devra faire l'objet d'une pondération préalable pour prendre en compte les bouleversements issus de la crise du COVID 19, du nouveau contexte géopolitique et des risques de pénurie alimentaire mondiale. La valeur cible de réduction sera calculée à partir d'une étude d'impact menée dans les Etats membres visant à recenser les méthodes de lutte intégrée contre les ennemis des cultures dont l'efficacité a été prouvée techniquement et**

économiquement. La réduction sera proportionnelle au pourcentage de méthodes disponibles pour remplacer l'utilisation des produits phytopharmaceutiques avec une cible de réduction de 50 % à l'horizon 2030 au plus tôt si elle est compatible avec le maintien de la souveraineté alimentaire des Etats membres. Dans le cas contraire, la cible de réduction devra être réduite et la date de réalisation repoussée au-delà de 2030.

Or. fr

Justification

La trajectoire doit être progressive et réaliste pour permettre la réalisation des objectifs de réduction.

Amendment 860
Esther de Lange

Proposal for a regulation
Article 4 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Each Member State shall contribute, through the adoption and achievement of national targets in accordance with Article 5 to achieving by **2030 a 50 %** Union-wide reduction of both the use and risk of chemical plant protection products ('Union **2030** reduction target 1') and the use of more hazardous plant protection products ('Union **2030** reduction target 2'), compared to the average of the years **2015, 2016 and 2017** (collectively referred to as 'the Union **2030** reduction targets').

Amendment

1. Each Member State shall contribute, through the adoption and achievement of national targets in accordance with Article 5 to achieving by **2035 a 40 %** Union-wide reduction of both the use and risk of chemical plant protection products ('Union **2035** reduction target 1') and the use of more hazardous plant protection products ('Union **2035** reduction target 2'), compared to the average of the years **2010-2020** (collectively referred to as 'the Union **2035** reduction targets').

The percentage in the first paragraph will be increased by ten percentage points to 50% after the following conditions have been met:

- the EU-wide approval of sufficient new

breeding techniques that make crops more resilient and thus less dependent on chemical plant protection products;

- the availability of sufficient low risk or alternative non-chemical plant protection products’;

- an thorough impact assessment to be carried out by 2028 proving that the 50% reduction target can be met without jeopardising food security and affordability in Europe.

Or. en

Amendment 861

Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Peter Lundgren, Hermann Tertsch, Margarita de la Pisa Carrión, Mazaly Aguilar, Evžen Tošenovský, Sergio Berlato, Jorge Buxadé Villalba, Eugen Jurzyca, Charlie Weimers, Alexander Bernhuber, Johan Nissinen

Proposal for a regulation Article 4 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Each Member State shall contribute, through the ***adoption and achievement of national targets*** in accordance with Article 5 to ***achieving*** by 2030 a 50 % Union-wide reduction of both the use and risk of chemical plant protection products (‘Union 2030 reduction target 1’) and the use of more hazardous plant protection products (‘Union 2030 reduction target 2’), compared to the average of the years 2015, 2016 and 2017 (collectively referred to as ‘the Union 2030 reduction targets’).

Amendment

1. Each Member State shall contribute, through the ***actions set out*** in accordance with Article 5 to ***approaching*** by 2030 a 50 % Union-wide reduction of both the use and risk of chemical plant protection products (‘Union 2030 reduction target 1’) and the use of more hazardous plant protection products (‘Union 2030 reduction target 2’), compared to the average of the years 2015, 2016 and 2017 (collectively referred to as ‘the Union 2030 reduction targets’). ***When new data on PPP use becomes available via the SAIO, two separate trends, one for conventional and one for organic agriculture shall be published at EU and MS levels.***

Plant breeding and seed production are exempt from the overall reduction targets, as they contribute to the overall objectives of the Regulation through the marketing of resistant varieties and to assure the

Amendment 862

Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Lena Düpont, Peter Jahr, Jens Gieseke, Angelika Niebler, Andreas Schwab, Ralf Seekatz, David McAllister, Christine Schneider, Stefan Berger, Sabine Verheyen, Rainer Wieland, Monika Hohlmeier, Michael Gahler, Christian Doleschal, Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Daniel Caspary, Herbert Dorfmann

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 4 – paragraph 1**

Text proposed by the Commission

(1) Jeder Mitgliedstaat trägt durch den Erlass und die Erreichung nationaler Ziele gemäß Artikel 5 dazu bei, bis **2030** im Vergleich zum Durchschnitt der Jahre **2015, 2016 und 2017** eine unionsweite Verringerung **um 50 %** sowohl von Verwendung und Risiko von chemischen Pflanzenschutzmitteln (im Folgenden „Reduktionsziel 1 der Union bis **2030**“) als auch der Verwendung gefährlicherer Pflanzenschutzmittel (im Folgenden „Reduktionsziel 2 der Union bis **2030**“) zu erreichen (im Folgenden zusammengefasst: „Reduktionsziele der Union bis **2030**“).

Amendment

(1) Jeder Mitgliedstaat trägt durch den Erlass und die Erreichung nationaler Ziele gemäß Artikel 5 dazu bei, bis ... **[Amt für Veröffentlichungen: bitte das Datum einfügen – 10 Jahre nach dem Geltungsbeginn dieser Verordnung]**, im Vergleich zum Durchschnitt der Jahre **2011, 2012 und 2013** eine unionsweite Verringerung sowohl von Verwendung und Risiko von chemischen Pflanzenschutzmitteln (im Folgenden „Reduktionsziel 1 der Union bis ... **[Amt für Veröffentlichungen: bitte das Datum einfügen – 10 Jahre nach dem Geltungsbeginn dieser Verordnung]**“) als auch der Verwendung gefährlicherer Pflanzenschutzmittel (im Folgenden „Reduktionsziel 2 der Union bis ... **[Amt für Veröffentlichungen: bitte das Datum einfügen – 10 Jahre nach dem Geltungsbeginn dieser Verordnung]**“) zu erreichen (im Folgenden zusammengefasst: „Reduktionsziele der Union bis ... **[Amt für Veröffentlichungen: bitte das Datum einfügen – 10 Jahre nach dem Geltungsbeginn dieser Verordnung]**“).

Justification

Ein längerer Zeitraum ist für die vorgesehene Reduktion von Pflanzenschutzmitteln nötig, um Planungssicherheit zu gewährleisten. Die Festlegung des Ausgangspunkts 2011 - 2013 für die Risikoreduktion wurde in der Farm-to Fork-Strategie festgelegt. Sie wurde im Nachhinein von der EU-Kommission nochmals auf 2015 – 2017 verschoben. Der Zeitraum 2011- 2013 ist als Ausgangspunkt festgelegt, da die EU-Pflanzenschutzverordnung (EG) 1107/2009 ab diesem Zeitpunkt ihre Wirkung entfaltet. Die Referenzjahre "2015-2017" soll im gesamten Text, Erwägungsgründen und Anhängen durch die Jahre "2011-2013" ersetzt werden. Die Referenz zur Reduktion von "50%" soll im gesamten Text, Erwägungsgründen und Anhängen gelöscht werden.

Amendment 863

Martin Hojsik, Michal Wiezik, María Soraya Rodríguez Ramos, Róza Thun und Hohenstein, Frédérique Ries

Proposal for a regulation**Article 4 – paragraph 1***Text proposed by the Commission*

1. Each Member State shall contribute, through the adoption and achievement of national targets in accordance with Article 5 to achieving by 2030 a 50 % Union-wide reduction of both the use and risk of chemical plant protection products ('Union 2030 reduction target 1') and the use of more hazardous plant protection products ('Union 2030 reduction target 2'), compared to the average of the years 2015, 2016 and 2017 (collectively referred to as 'the Union 2030 reduction targets').

Amendment

1. Each Member State shall contribute, through the adoption and achievement of national targets in accordance with Article 5 to achieving by 2030 a **mandatory** 50 % Union-wide reduction of both the use and risk of chemical plant protection products ('Union 2030 reduction target 1') and the use of more hazardous plant protection products ('Union 2030 reduction target 2'), compared to the average of the years 2015, 2016 and 2017 (collectively referred to as 'the Union 2030 reduction targets'), **including by observing integrated pest management hierarchy in its legislation and policies.**

Justification

Incorporating IPM hierarchy in the Article 4 serves to promote alternative pest management control to chemicals plant protection products that should according to the IPM serve as a last resort.

Amendment 864
Sirpa Pietikäinen

Proposal for a regulation
Article 4 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Each Member State shall contribute, through the adoption and achievement of national targets in accordance with Article 5 to achieving by 2030 a **50** % Union-wide reduction of both the use and risk of chemical plant protection products ('Union 2030 reduction target 1') and the use of more hazardous plant protection products ('Union 2030 reduction target 2'), compared to the average of the years 2015, 2016 and 2017 (collectively referred to as 'the Union 2030 reduction targets').

Amendment

1. Each Member State shall contribute, through the adoption and achievement of national targets in accordance with Article 5 to achieving by 2030 a **80** % Union-wide reduction of both the use and risk of chemical plant protection products ('Union 2030 reduction target 1') and the use of more hazardous plant protection products ('Union 2030 reduction target 2'), compared to the average of the years 2015, 2016 and 2017 (collectively referred to as 'the Union 2030 reduction targets'). **By 2035, a 100% reduction of all chemical plant protection products shall be reached.**

Or. en

Amendment 865
Dolors Montserrat, Juan Ignacio Zoido Álvarez

Proposal for a regulation
Article 4 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Each Member State shall contribute, through the adoption and achievement of national targets in accordance with Article 5 to achieving by 2030 a 50 % Union-wide reduction of **both the use and risk of chemical plant protection products ('Union 2030 reduction target 1')** and the use of more hazardous plant protection products ('Union 2030 reduction target 2'), compared to the average of the years 2015,

Amendment

1. Each Member State shall contribute, through the adoption and achievement of national targets in accordance with Article 5 to achieving by 2030 a 50 % Union-wide reduction of the use of more hazardous plant protection products ('Union 2030 reduction target 2'), compared to the average of the years 2015, 2016 and 2017 (collectively referred to as 'the Union 2030 reduction targets').

2016 and 2017 (collectively referred to as ‘the Union 2030 reduction targets’).

Or. en

Amendment 866

Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan, Günther Sidl, Delara Burkhardt

Proposal for a regulation

Article 4 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Each Member State shall contribute, through the adoption and achievement of national targets in accordance with Article 5 to achieving by 2030 **a** 50 % Union-wide reduction of **both** the use and risk of chemical plant protection products (‘Union 2030 reduction target 1’) and the use of more hazardous plant protection products (‘Union 2030 reduction target 2’), compared to the average of the years **2015, 2016 and 2017** (collectively referred to as ‘the Union 2030 reduction targets’).

Amendment

1. Each Member State shall contribute, through the adoption and achievement of national targets in accordance with Article 5 to achieving by 2030 **an at least** 50 % Union-wide reduction of the use and risk of chemical plant protection products (‘Union 2030 reduction target 1’) and **a 80% Union-wide reduction of** the use of more hazardous plant protection products (‘Union 2030 reduction target 2’), compared to the average of the years **2018, 2019 and 2020** (collectively referred to as ‘the Union 2030 reduction targets’).

Or. en

Amendment 867

Peter Liese

Proposal for a regulation

Article 4 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Each Member State shall contribute, through the adoption and achievement of national targets in accordance with Article 5 to achieving **by 2030** a 50 % Union-wide reduction of both the use and risk of chemical plant protection products (‘Union **2030** reduction

Amendment

1. Each Member State shall contribute, through the adoption and achievement of national targets in accordance with Article 5 to achieving a 50 % Union-wide reduction of both the use and risk of chemical plant protection products (‘Union reduction target 1’) and

target 1') and the use of more hazardous plant protection products ('Union **2030** reduction target 2'), compared to the average of the years **2015, 2016 and 2017** (collectively referred to as 'the Union **2030** reduction targets').

the use of more hazardous plant protection products ('Union reduction target 2') **until 10 years after entry into force of this Regulation**, compared to the average of the years **2013, 2014 and 2015** (collectively referred to as 'the Union reduction targets').

Or. en

Amendment 868

Michèle Rivasi, Claude Gruffat, Jutta Paulus

Proposal for a regulation

Article 4 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Each Member State shall contribute, through the adoption and achievement of national targets in accordance with Article 5 to achieving by 2030 a **50 %** Union-wide reduction of **both the use and risk of** chemical plant protection products ('**Union 2030** reduction **target 1**') **and** the use of more hazardous plant protection products ('Union 2030 reduction target 2'), compared to the average of the years 2015, 2016 and 2017 (collectively referred to as 'the Union 2030 reduction targets').

Amendment

1. Each Member State shall contribute, through the adoption and achievement of national targets in accordance with Article 5 to achieving by 2030 a **80 %** Union-wide reduction of **the area cultivated with arable crops and permanent crops treated with** chemical plant protection products **and a 100% reduction of** the use of more hazardous plant protection products ('Union 2030 reduction target 2'), compared to the average of the years 2015, 2016 and 2017 (collectively referred to as 'the Union 2030 reduction targets').

Or. en

Justification

Referring the reduction target to the area (rather than sales volumes) can facilitate a phasing out of pesticides on a crop by crop basis (as described in the report Lars Neumeister: Locked-In-pesticides (2022), Chapter 7: https://www.foodwatch.org/fileadmin/-INT/pesticides/2022-06-30_Pesticides_Report_foodwatch.pdf

Amendment 869

Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław Kalinowski, Joanna Kopcińska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

Proposal for a regulation
Article 4 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Each Member State shall contribute, through the **adoption and achievement of national targets** in accordance with Article 5 to **achieving** by 2030 a 50 % **Union-wide** reduction of both the use and risk of chemical plant protection products ('Union 2030 reduction target 1') and the use of more hazardous plant protection products ('Union 2030 reduction target 2'), compared to the average of the years 2015, 2016 and 2017 (**collectively referred to as** 'the Union 2030 reduction targets').

Amendment

1. Each Member State shall contribute, through the **actions set out** in accordance with Article 5 to **approaching** by 2030 a 50 % **Union - wide** reduction of both the use and risk of chemical plant protection products ('Union 2030 reduction target 1') and the use of more hazardous plant protection products ('Union 2030 reduction target 2'), compared to the average of the years 2015, 2016 and 2017 ('the Union 2030 reduction targets').

Or. en

Amendment 870
Ulrike Müller, Andreas Glück

Proposal for a regulation
Article 4 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Each Member State shall contribute, through the adoption **and achievement** of national targets in accordance with Article 5 to achieving by 2030 a 50 % Union-wide reduction of both the use and risk of chemical plant protection products ('Union 2030 reduction target 1') and the use of more hazardous plant protection products ('Union 2030 reduction target 2'), compared to the average of the years **2015, 2016 and 2017** (collectively referred to as 'the Union 2030 reduction targets').

Amendment

1. Each Member State shall contribute, through the adoption of national targets **and best efforts to their achievement** in accordance with Article 5 to achieving by 2030 a 50 % Union-wide reduction of both the use and risk of chemical plant protection products ('Union 2030 reduction target 1') and the use of more hazardous plant protection products ('Union 2030 reduction target 2'), compared to the average of the years **2011, 2012 and 2013** (collectively referred to as 'the Union 2030 reduction targets').

(This amendment applies throughout the text with regard to the replacement of the baseline (new baseline: average of the

years 2011, 2012 and 2013). Adopting it will necessitate corresponding changes throughout.)

Or. en

Justification

The Regulation should not punish Member States that already have taken measures and achieved substantial reductions. The baseline should be adjusted to reflect historical achievements more fairly. Further, the use of plant protection products is highly depended on fluctuating environmental and climatic conditions outside of the control of Member States and users. Hence, the achievement of targets cannot be guaranteed. Obligations of means are more appropriate than obligations of ends.

Amendment 871

Dan-Ștefan Motreanu, Carmen Avram, Marian-Jean Marinescu, Daniel Buda

Proposal for a regulation

Article 4 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Each Member State shall contribute, through the adoption and achievement of national **targets** in accordance with Article 5 **to achieving by 2030** a 50 % Union-wide reduction of both the use and risk of chemical plant protection products ('Union **2030** reduction target 1') and the use of more hazardous plant protection products ('Union **2030** reduction target 2'), compared to the average of the years 2015, 2016 and 2017 (collectively referred to as 'the Union **2030** reduction targets').

Amendment

1. Each Member State shall contribute, through the adoption and achievement of national **contributions** in accordance with Article 5 **towards approaching by 2035** a 50 % Union-wide reduction of both the use and risk of chemical plant protection products ('Union **2035** reduction target 1') and the use of more hazardous plant protection products ('Union **2035** reduction target 2'), compared to the average of the years 2015, 2016 and 2017 (collectively referred to as 'the Union **2035** reduction targets').

Or. en

Amendment 872

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjärd

Proposal for a regulation

Article 4 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

1. Each Member State **shall contribute, through the adoption and achievement of national targets** in accordance **with** Article 5 to **achieving by 2030** a 50 % Union-wide reduction of both the use and risk of chemical plant protection products ('Union **2030** reduction target 1') and the use of more hazardous plant protection products ('Union **2030** reduction target 2'), compared to the average of the years **2015, 2016 and 2017** (collectively referred to as 'the Union **2030** reduction targets').

1. Each Member State **contributes** in accordance **to the measures laid down in** Article 5 to **achieve by 2035** a 50 % Union-wide reduction of both the use and risk of chemical plant protection products ('Union **2035** reduction target 1') and the use of more hazardous plant protection products ('Union **2035** reduction target 2'), compared to the average of the years **2011 until 2018** (collectively referred to as 'the Union **2035** reduction targets').

Or. en

Amendment 873

Christine Schneider, Ralf Seekatz, Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Sven Simon, Angelika Niebler, Monika Hohlmeier, Christian Doleschal, Franc Bogovič, Marlene Mortler, Daniel Caspary, Rainer Wieland, Sabine Verheyen, Christophe Hansen

Proposal for a regulation
Article 4 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

1. Each Member State shall contribute, through the adoption and achievement of national targets in accordance with Article 5 to achieving by 2030 a 50 % Union-wide reduction of both the use and risk of chemical plant protection products ('Union 2030 reduction target 1') and the use of more hazardous plant protection products ('Union 2030 reduction target 2'), compared to the average of the years **2015, 2016 and 2017** (collectively referred to as 'the Union 2030 reduction targets').

1. Each Member State shall contribute, through the adoption and achievement of national targets in accordance with Article 5 to achieving by 2030 a 50 % Union-wide reduction of both the use and risk of chemical plant protection products ('Union 2030 reduction target 1') and the use of more hazardous plant protection products ('Union 2030 reduction target 2'), compared to the average of the years **2011, 2012 and 2013** (collectively referred to as 'the Union 2030 reduction targets').

Or. en

Justification

Significant savings in plant protection products have already been achieved in previous years. These should be rewarded, not penalized.

Amendment 874

Silvia Sardone

Proposal for a regulation

Article 4 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Each Member State shall contribute, through the adoption and achievement of national targets in accordance with Article 5 to achieving by 2030 a 50 % Union-wide reduction of both the use and risk of chemical plant protection products ('Union 2030 reduction target 1') and the use of more hazardous plant protection products ('Union 2030 reduction target 2'), compared to the average of the years **2015, 2016 and 2017** (collectively referred to as 'the Union 2030 reduction targets').

Amendment

1. Each Member State shall contribute, through the adoption and achievement of national targets in accordance with Article 5 to achieving by 2030 a 50 % Union-wide reduction of both the use and risk of chemical plant protection products ('Union 2030 reduction target 1') and the use of more hazardous plant protection products ('Union 2030 reduction target 2'), compared to the average of the years **2009, 2010 and 2011** (collectively referred to as 'the Union 2030 reduction targets').

Or. en

Amendment 875

Franc Bogovič, Marlene Mortler, Ljudmila Novak

Proposal for a regulation

Article 4 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Each Member State shall contribute, through the adoption and achievement of national targets in accordance with Article 5 to achieving by 2030 a **50** % Union-wide reduction of both the use and risk of chemical plant protection products ('Union 2030 reduction target 1') and the use of more hazardous

Amendment

1. Each Member State shall contribute, through the adoption and achievement of national targets in accordance with Article 5 to achieving by 2030 a **30** % Union-wide reduction of both the use and risk of chemical plant protection products ('Union 2030 reduction target 1') and the use of more hazardous

plant protection products ('Union 2030 reduction target 2'), compared to the average of the years 2015, 2016 and 2017 (collectively referred to as 'the Union 2030 reduction targets').

plant protection products ('Union 2030 reduction target 2'), compared to the average of the years 2015, 2016 and 2017 (collectively referred to as 'the Union 2030 reduction targets').

Or. en

Justification

The European Parliament in its resolution of 20 October 2021 on a Farm to Fork Strategy for a fair, healthy and environmentally-friendly food system avoided setting a numerical Union-wide binding target for the reduction of pesticide use. The 50 % reduction target for the use of chemical plant protection products in the Farm to Fork Strategy has been set without any substantial assessments on the impacts of such reduction on EU's food security, food affordability and the economical and social status of European farmers. The proposed 30 % Union 2030 reduction target is therefore much more realistic and would have substantially less negative impacts on EU's agricultural production.

Amendment 876

Ondřej Knotek, Martin Hlaváček

Proposal for a regulation

Article 4 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Each Member State shall contribute, through the adoption and achievement of national targets in accordance with Article 5 to achieving by 2030 a **50** % Union-wide reduction of both the use and risk of chemical plant protection products ('Union 2030 reduction target 1') and the use of more hazardous plant protection products ('Union 2030 reduction target 2'), compared to the average of the years **2015, 2016 and 2017** (collectively referred to as 'the Union 2030 reduction targets').

Amendment

1. Each Member State shall contribute, through the adoption and achievement of national targets in accordance with Article 5 to achieving by 2030 a **30** % Union-wide reduction of both the use and risk of chemical plant protection products ('Union 2030 reduction target 1') and the use of more hazardous plant protection products ('Union 2030 reduction target 2'), compared to the average of the years **2011, 2012 and 2013** (collectively referred to as 'the Union 2030 reduction targets').

Or. en

Amendment 877

Inese Vaidere

Proposal for a regulation
Article 4 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Each Member State shall contribute, through the ***adoption and achievement of national targets*** in accordance with Article 5 to achieving by 2030 a 50 % Union-wide reduction of both the use and risk of chemical plant protection products ('Union 2030 reduction target 1') and the use of more hazardous plant protection products ('Union 2030 reduction target 2'), compared to the average of the years 2015, 2016 and 2017 (collectively referred to as 'the Union 2030 reduction targets').

Amendment

1. Each Member State shall contribute, through the ***actions set out*** in accordance with Article 5 to achieving by 2030 a 50 % Union-wide reduction of both the use and risk of chemical plant protection products ('Union 2030 reduction target 1') and the use of more hazardous plant protection products ('Union 2030 reduction target 2'), compared to the average of the years 2015, 2016 and 2017 (collectively referred to as 'the Union 2030 reduction targets').

Or. en

Amendment 878

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation
Article 4 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Each Member State shall contribute, through the adoption and achievement of national targets in accordance with Article 5 to achieving by 2030 a **50** % Union-wide reduction of both the use and risk of chemical ***plant protection products*** ('Union 2030 reduction target 1') ***and the use of more hazardous plant protection products*** ('Union 2030 reduction target 2'), compared to the average of the years **2015, 2016 and 2017** (collectively referred to as 'the Union 2030 reduction targets').

Amendment

1. Each Member State shall contribute, through the adoption and achievement of national targets in accordance with Article 5 to achieving by 2030 a **80** % Union-wide reduction of both the use and risk of chemical ***pesticides*** ('Union 2030 reduction target 1'), compared to the average of the years **2017, 2018 and 2019, and a 100% reduction of the use of the most hazardous pesticides** ('Union 2030 reduction target 2') (collectively referred to as 'the Union 2030 reduction targets').

(This amendment applies throughout the text, this concerns the targets as well as the reference years)

Amendment 879

Jan Huitema, Emma Wiesner, Erik Poulsen, Billy Kelleher, Asger Christensen

Proposal for a regulation

Article 4 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Each Member State shall contribute, through the adoption and achievement of national targets in accordance with Article 5 **to** achieving by 2030 a 50 % Union-wide reduction of both the use and risk of chemical plant protection products ('Union 2030 reduction target 1') and the use of more hazardous plant protection products ('Union 2030 reduction target 2'), compared to the average of the years **2015, 2016 and 2017 (collectively referred to as 'the Union 2030 reduction targets')**.

Amendment

1. Each Member State shall contribute, through the adoption and achievement of national targets in accordance with **either Article 4a or** Article 5, achieving by 2030 a 50 % Union-wide reduction of both the use and risk of chemical plant protection products ('Union 2030 reduction target 1') and the use of more hazardous plant protection products ('Union 2030 reduction target 2'), compared to the average of the years **2013, 2014 and 2015; or**

Amendment 880

Pietro Fiocchi

Proposal for a regulation

Article 4 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Ciascuno Stato membro contribuisce, attraverso l'adozione e il conseguimento di obiettivi nazionali conformemente all'articolo 5, a ridurre del 50 %, entro il **2030** e a livello dell'Unione, l'uso e il rischio dei prodotti fitosanitari chimici ("obiettivo di riduzione dell'Unione 1 per il 2030") e l'uso dei prodotti fitosanitari più pericolosi ("obiettivo di riduzione dell'Unione 2 per il 2030") rispetto alla media degli anni 2015, 2016 e

Amendment

1. Ciascuno Stato membro contribuisce, attraverso l'adozione e il conseguimento di obiettivi nazionali conformemente all'articolo 5, a ridurre del 50 %, entro il **2035** e a livello dell'Unione, l'uso e il rischio dei prodotti fitosanitari chimici ("obiettivo di riduzione dell'Unione 1 per il 2030") e l'uso dei prodotti fitosanitari più pericolosi ("obiettivo di riduzione dell'Unione 2 per il 2030") rispetto alla media degli anni 2015, 2016 e

2017 (indicati collettivamente come "obiettivi di riduzione dell'Unione per il 2030").

2017 (indicati collettivamente come "obiettivi di riduzione dell'Unione per il 2030").

Or. it

Amendment 881
Stanislav Polčák

Proposal for a regulation
Article 4 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Přijetím a plněním vnitrostátních cílů v souladu s článkem 5 každý členský stát přispěje k tomu, aby **bylo** do roku 2030 v celé Unii o 50 % **omezeno** používání chemických přípravků na ochranu rostlin **a sníženo riziko s nimi spojené** (dále jen „unijní cíl omezení do roku 2030 č. 1“) **a** používání nebezpečnějších přípravků na ochranu rostlin (dále jen „unijní cíl omezení do roku 2030 č. 2“) ve srovnání s průměrem za roky 2015, 2016 a 2017 (společně dále jen „unijní cíle omezení do roku 2030“).

Amendment

1. Přijetím a plněním vnitrostátních cílů v souladu s článkem 5 každý členský stát přispěje k tomu, aby **byla** do roku 2030 v celé Unii o 50 % **snížena jak** používání **a riziko** chemických přípravků na ochranu rostlin (dále jen „unijní cíl omezení do roku 2030 č. 1“), **tak** používání nebezpečnějších přípravků na ochranu rostlin (dále jen „unijní cíl omezení do roku 2030 č. 2“) ve srovnání s průměrem za roky 2015, 2016 a 2017 (společně dále jen „unijní cíle omezení do roku 2030“).

Or. cs

Amendment 882
Andreas Glück

Proposal for a regulation
Article 4 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Each Member State shall contribute, through the adoption **and achievement** of national targets in accordance with Article 5 to achieving by 2030 a 50 % Union-wide reduction of both the use and risk of chemical plant protection products (‘Union 2030 reduction

Amendment

1. Each Member State shall contribute, through the adoption of national targets in accordance with Article 5 to achieving by 2030 a 50 % Union-wide reduction of both the use and risk of chemical plant protection products (‘Union 2030 reduction target 1’) and the use of

target 1') and the use of more hazardous plant protection products ('Union 2030 reduction target 2'), compared to the average of the years **2015, 2016 and 2017** (collectively referred to as 'the Union 2030 reduction targets').

more hazardous plant protection products ('Union 2030 reduction target 2'), compared to the average of the years **2011, 2012 and 2013** (collectively referred to as 'the Union 2030 reduction targets').

(This change of the baseline should apply throughout the text. Adopting it will necessitate corresponding changes throughout)

Or. en

Justification

The achievement of national targets cannot be guaranteed for a variety of reasons, e.g. changing conditions due to climate change, and should therefore not be binding in order to ensure food security. Progress that has already been made since the implementation of Harmonised Risk Indicator 1 should be considered and thus the baseline needs to be adapted to the initial time period of 2011-2013.

Amendment 883

Ulrike Müller, Jan Huitema, Emma Wiesner, Andreas Glück

Proposal for a regulation

Article 4 – paragraph 1 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

1 a. The achievability of the targets referred to in Paragraph 1 is strongly dependent on the availability of effective alternative methods of plant protection, particularly including new low-risk plant protection products, non-chemical methods and biological control products. The approval of active substances under Regulation 1107/2009 is a significant obstacle to the market introduction of these new products, as procedures are lengthy, legal deadlines often not met and guidelines and data requirements not matching the specific characteristics of biological control products, leading to excessive burden, costs and delays. To facilitate the achievement of the targets of this regulation, the Commission shall take

the following measures to accelerate the approval periods under Regulation 1107/2009:

(a) adopt new data requirements to facilitate the approval of biological control products including semiochemicals, extracts from plant-products, peptide- and protein-based products including enzymes and antibodies, RNA, hormones, dead cell and fermentation products under Regulation 1107/2009 by 01.01.2027;

(b) establish a fast-track approval process for low-risk and biological control products under Regulation 1107/2009 by 01.01.2027;

(c) use Article 30(3) of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 to extend the time limit for provisional authorisations to accelerate the availability of biological controls;

(d) ensure that competent authorities have sufficient budget, staff and expertise to carry out tasks relevant under points (a) and (b);

(e) assess measures of Member States under Article 8 Paragraph 1 (ha(new)) and submit recommendations to Member States where measures are not sufficient to support the goals of this paragraph;

(f) assess if measures taken under this paragraph sufficiently facilitate the availability of effective alternatives, or if this would be achieved more effectively in the long term by a new dedicated framework for the approval and authorisation for biological control products and to report to the Council and Parliament by 01.01.2029, including a legislative proposal if appropriate.

Or. en

(Point (d) links to a corresponding amendment on Article 8 Paragraph 1 (ha(new)).)

Justification

ad a): The Commission adopted new data requirements for microorganisms in August 2022. While this is very welcome, further guidelines are urgently needed to facilitate the approval of other categories of biological control agents. ad b): The European Parliament previously has called for the establishment of a fast-track procedure, see Paragraph 9 of European Parliament resolution of 20 October 2021 on a farm to fork strategy for a fair, healthy and environmentally-friendly food system (2020/2260(INI))

Amendment 884

Sylvia Limmer

Proposal for a regulation

Article 4 – paragraph 1 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(1 a) Jeder Mitgliedsstaat trägt durch geeignete landwirtschaftliche Anbaumethoden und der Unterstützung des integrierten Pflanzenschutzes sowie der Förderung von Wirkstoffen in der Land- und Fortwirtschaft mit größerer Anwendungssicherheit, geringerer Resistenzbildung und Rückständen sowie Umweltsicherheit bei gleichzeitigem Wirkerhalt dazu bei, dass es zu einer weiteren Reduktion des geringen Restrisikos bei der Anwendung von in der EU und in den Mitgliedsstaaten zugelassenen Wirkstoffen und Pflanzenschutzmitteln kommt.

Or. de

Amendment 885

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation

Article 4 – paragraph 1 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

1 a. Each Member State shall contribute, through the adoption and

achievement of national targets in accordance with Article 5 to achieving by 2035 a full Union-wide phase-out of the use risk of all chemical pesticides ('Union 2035 phase-out target'.

Or. en

Amendment 886

Michèle Rivasi

Proposal for a regulation

Article 4 – paragraph 1 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

1 a. By 2035, no more chemical plant protection products shall be used (100% reduction).

Or. en

Amendment 887

Sylvia Limmer

Proposal for a regulation

Article 4 – paragraph 1 b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(1 b) Die Fortschritte bestehen damit in erster Linie in der Zulassung von neuartigen Wirkstoffen. In zweiter Linie in der Reduktion von Pflanzenschutzmitteln pro Ertragseinheit, wobei allerdings der direkte Vergleich aufgrund unterschiedlicher Risikofaktoren aufgrund direkter und indirekter Umweltauswirkung, Rückstandsbildung oder Wirkweise nicht immer gegeben ist.

Or. de

Amendment 888
Sylvia Limmer

Proposal for a regulation
Article 4 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(2) *Die Fortschritte auf dem Weg zur Erreichung der Reduktionsziele der Union bis 2030 werden jährlich von der Kommission anhand der Methodik nach Anhang I berechnet.* **entfällt**

Or. de

Amendment 889
Martin Hojsík, Michal Wiezik, María Soraya Rodríguez Ramos, Róza Thun und Hohenstein, Frédérique Ries

Proposal for a regulation
Article 4 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

2. Progress towards achieving the Union 2030 reduction targets shall be calculated annually by the Commission in accordance with the methodology set out in Annex I.

2. Progress towards achieving the Union 2030 **mandatory** reduction targets shall be calculated annually by the Commission in accordance with the methodology set out in Annex I **and will be underpinned by setting intermediary targets in order to achieve the overall ambition.**

Or. en

Justification

The introduction of intermediary targets would ensure compliance with the calls of the Parliament, namely the call for specific milestones as adopted in P9_TA(2021)0277.

Amendment 890
Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation
Article 4 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. Progress towards achieving the Union 2030 reduction targets shall be calculated annually by the Commission in accordance with the methodology set out in Annex I.

Amendment

2. Progress towards achieving the Union 2030 reduction targets **and the Union 2035 phase-out target** shall be calculated annually by the Commission in accordance with the methodology set out in Annex I.

Or. en

Amendment 891
Mathilde Androuët

Proposal for a regulation
Article 4 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. Les progrès accomplis en vue de la réalisation des objectifs de réduction de l'Union **pour 2030** sont calculés chaque année par la Commission conformément à la méthode exposée à l'annexe I.

Amendment

2. Les progrès accomplis en vue de la réalisation des objectifs de réduction de l'Union sont calculés chaque année par **les Etats membres et communiqués** à la Commission conformément à la méthode exposée à l'annexe I.

Or. fr

Amendment 892
Esther de Lange

Proposal for a regulation
Article 4 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. Progress towards achieving the Union **2030** reduction targets shall be calculated annually by the Commission in accordance with the methodology set out in Annex I.

Amendment

2. Progress towards achieving the Union **2035** reduction targets shall be calculated annually by the Commission in accordance with the methodology set out in Annex I.

Amendment 893

Dan-Ștefan Motreanu, Carmen Avram, Marian-Jean Marinescu, Daniel Buda

Proposal for a regulation

Article 4 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. Progress towards achieving the Union **2030** reduction targets shall be calculated annually by the Commission in accordance with the methodology set out in Annex I.

Amendment

2. Progress towards achieving the Union **2035** reduction targets shall be calculated annually by the Commission in accordance with the methodology set out in Annex I.

Amendment 894

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjård

Proposal for a regulation

Article 4 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. Progress towards achieving the Union **2030** reduction targets shall be calculated annually by the Commission in accordance with the methodology set out in Annex I.

Amendment

2. Progress towards achieving the Union **2035** reduction targets shall be calculated annually by the Commission in accordance with the methodology set out in Annex I.

Amendment 895

Peter Liese

Proposal for a regulation

Article 4 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. Progress towards achieving the Union **2030** reduction targets shall be calculated annually by the Commission in accordance with the methodology set out in Annex I.

Amendment

2. Progress towards achieving the Union reduction targets shall be calculated annually by the Commission in accordance with the methodology set out in Annex I.

Or. en

Amendment 896
Andreas Glück

Proposal for a regulation
Article 4 – paragraph 2 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

2 a. The Commission shall establish new calculation methods for the indicators of the reduction targets. 3 years after the regulation enters into force, the mandatory reporting requirements will provide significantly more data on the use of plant protection products. With this data, it will be possible to set evidence-based, crop-specific targets that can lead to a sustainable reduction in the use of plant protection products. These targets shall be achievable for all farms, taking into account geographical and environmental conditions.

Or. en

Justification

The flat reduction target of the Harmonized Risk Indicator 1 neglects crop-specific requirements and includes the risk that certain farmers will be particularly restricted and therefore either convert to other crops or give up their farm entirely. The goal of promoting biodiversity is thus not helped, as it result in the cultivation of low-maintenance mono-cultures. Until the Commission has developed a new indicator, the Harmonised Risk Indicator 1 should remain as the basis.

Amendment 897
Andreas Glück

Proposal for a regulation
Article 4 – paragraph 2 b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

2 b. The national reduction targets do not need to be met if the Commission does not establish a fast-track procedure for the approval of plant protection products within the 3 years after the entry into force of this Regulation.

Or. en

Justification

Currently, the average time for the approval of new plant protection products exceeds the maximum duration of 3,5 years defined in Regulation 1107/2009. In order to achieve the national reduction targets, farmers will need to be provided with more alternatives. This could be realised via the implementation of a fast track procedure.

Amendment 898
Jan Huitema, Emma Wiesner, Erik Poulsen, Billy Kelleher, Asger Christensen

Proposal for a regulation
Article 4 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article 4 a

Development of an integral environmental indicator for plant protection measures

1. Member States may develop, in close cooperation with stakeholders and relevant authorities and based on the best available science, one or more indicators for the integral assessment of the environmental impact of plant protection measures. These indicators may take into account:

a) The dosage, frequency, composition and application method of the plant

protection products used;

b) The measures that professional users apply to reduce the environmental impact of plant protection products;

c) The exposure of soil, water and air to plant protection products;

d) The measured impact on human health, pollinators, insects, birds and mammals.

2. Member States fulfil their obligations under Articles 5, 6 and 7 by achieving by 2030 at least a 50% reduction in the environmental impact of plant protection measures based on indicators adopted under this article.

3. Member States choosing to apply paragraph 2 of this article, shall include in their national action plans a proposal for baseline reference years and a justification for their choice.

4. The Commission shall review the proposals made by a Member State under this article as part of the national action plan.

Or. en

Justification

The overall objective of this regulation should be to reduce the environmental impact of plant protection measures. Reduction in the use of chemical plant protection products is only one of multiple measures that can contribute to achieving this goal. Considering that there is currently not enough data at Union level to properly assess the overall environmental impact of plant protection measures, the harmonised risk indicators based on the sales plant protection products are used. However, some Member States have and will continue to develop indicators for the integral assessment of environmental impact of plant protection measures. Member States should be able to fulfill their obligations for national reduction targets by setting and reaching targets on the basis of these new indicators. Member States should be able to include in their national action plan a methodology, baseline reference year and set target, to be approved by the Commission.

Amendment 899

Michèle Rivasi, Jutta Paulus

Proposal for a regulation
Article 4 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article 4 a

Union 2030 ecological refuge areas

In order to improve the diversity of species adapted to agricultural landscapes, Member States shall ensure, by 2030, that 10 % of the total agricultural land (excluding permanent grassland) at the regional level is not treated with any chemical plant protection products. These areas can include flower strips, fields with increased seed row spacing and less seeds per area, or fallow land, inter alia.

Or. en

Amendment 900
Sylvia Limmer

Proposal for a regulation
Article 5

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

[...]

entfällt

Or. de

Amendment 901
Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław Kalinowski, Joanna Kopcińska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

Proposal for a regulation
Article 5

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

[...]

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 902

Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Lena Düpont, Peter Jahr, Jens Gieseke, Angelika Niebler, Andreas Schwab, Ralf Seekatz, David McAllister, Christine Schneider, Stefan Berger, Sabine Verheyen, Rainer Wieland, Monika Hohlmeier, Michael Gahler, Christian Doleschal, Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Daniel Caspary, Herbert Dorfmann

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – title

Text proposed by the Commission

Reduktionsziele der Mitgliedstaaten bis
2030 für chemische Pflanzenschutzmittel

Amendment

Reduktionsziele der Mitgliedstaaten bis ...
**[Amt für Veröffentlichungen: bitte das
Datum einfügen – 10 Jahre nach dem
Geltungsbeginn dieser Verordnung]** für
chemische Pflanzenschutzmittel

Or. de

Justification

*Ein längerer Zeitraum ist für die vorgesehene Reduktion von Pflanzenschutzmitteln nötig, um
Planungssicherheit zu gewährleisten.*

Amendment 903

Dan-Ștefan Motreanu, Carmen Avram, Marian-Jean Marinescu, Daniel Buda

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – title

Text proposed by the Commission

Member States **2030** reduction targets for
chemical plant protection products

Amendment

Member States **2035 reduction
contributions towards the EU-wide**
reduction targets for chemical plant
protection products

Or. en

Amendment 904

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation
Article 5 – title

Text proposed by the Commission

Member States 2030 reduction targets for chemical plant protection products

Amendment

Member States 2030 reduction targets **and 2035 phase-out target** for chemical plant protection products

Or. en

Amendment 905
Esther de Lange

Proposal for a regulation
Article 5 – title

Text proposed by the Commission

Member States **2030** reduction targets for chemical plant protection products

Amendment

Member States **2035** reduction targets for chemical plant protection products

Or. en

Amendment 906
Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjärd

Proposal for a regulation
Article 5 – title

Text proposed by the Commission

Member States **2030** reduction targets for chemical plant protection products

Amendment

Member States **2035** reduction targets for chemical plant protection products

Or. en

Amendment 907
Peter Liese

Proposal for a regulation
Article 5 – title

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Member States **2030** reduction targets for chemical plant protection products

Member States reduction targets for chemical plant protection products

Or. en

Amendment 908
Mathilde Androuët

Proposal for a regulation
Article 5 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Chaque État membre inscrit, le ... [Office des publications, veuillez insérer la date: 6 mois après la date de mise en application du présent règlement] au plus tard, des objectifs nationaux dans sa législation nationale afin de parvenir, **en** 2030 au plus **tard**, à une réduction conforme aux objectifs fixés au présent article, par rapport à la moyenne des années 2015, 2016 et 2017, à savoir:

Chaque État membre inscrit, le ... [Office des publications, veuillez insérer la date: 6 mois après la date de mise en application du présent règlement] au plus tard, des objectifs nationaux dans sa législation nationale afin de parvenir **à l'horizon** 2030 au plus **tôt** à une réduction conforme aux objectifs fixés au présent article, par rapport à la moyenne des années 2015, 2016 et 2017 **qui devra faire l'objet d'une pondération préalable pour prendre en compte les bouleversements issus de la crise du COVID 19, du nouveau contexte géopolitique et des risques de pénurie alimentaire mondiale**, à savoir :

Or. fr

Amendment 909
Jan Huitema, Emma Wiesner, Erik Poulsen, Billy Kelleher, Asger Christensen

Proposal for a regulation
Article 5 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

By ... [OP: please insert the date – 6

By ... [OP: please insert the date – 6

months after the date of application of this Regulation] each Member State shall adopt national targets in its national legislation to achieve by 2030 a reduction set in accordance with this Article, from the average of the years **2015, 2016 and 2017**, of the following:

months after the date of application of this Regulation] each Member State shall **choose to meet the targets as established under Article 4a to reach a 50% reduction of the environmental impact of plant protection products or shall choose to** adopt national targets in its national legislation to achieve by 2030 a reduction set in accordance with this Article, from the average of the years **2013, 2014 and 2015**, of the following:

Or. en

Amendment 910

Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Lena Düpont, Peter Jahr, Jens Gieseke, Angelika Niebler, Andreas Schwab, Ralf Seekatz, David McAllister, Christine Schneider, Stefan Berger, Sabine Verheyen, Rainer Wieland, Monika Hohlmeier, Michael Gahler, Christian Doleschal, Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Daniel Caspary, Herbert Dorfmann

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

Bis zum ... [Amt für Veröffentlichungen: bitte das Datum einfügen – 6 Monate nach dem Geltungsbeginn dieser Verordnung] erlässt jeder Mitgliedstaat nationale Ziele in Form nationaler Rechtsvorschriften, um bis **2030** eine gemäß diesem Artikel festgelegte Reduktion gegenüber dem Durchschnitt der Jahre **2015, 2016 und 2017** in folgenden Punkten zu **erreichen**:

Amendment

Bis zum ... [Amt für Veröffentlichungen: bitte das Datum einfügen – 12 Monate nach dem Geltungsbeginn dieser Verordnung] erlässt jeder Mitgliedstaat nationale Ziele in Form nationaler Rechtsvorschriften, um bis ... **[Amt für Veröffentlichungen: bitte das Datum einfügen – 10 Jahre nach dem Geltungsbeginn dieser Verordnung]**, eine gemäß diesem Artikel festgelegte Reduktion gegenüber dem Durchschnitt der Jahre **2011, 2012 und 2013** in folgenden Punkten zu **ermöglichen**:

Or. de

Justification

Ein längerer Zeitraum ist für die vorgesehene Reduktion von Pflanzenschutzmitteln nötig, um Planungssicherheit zu gewährleisten. Die Festlegung des Ausgangspunkts 2011 - 2013 für die Risikoreduktion wurde in der Farm-to Fork-Strategie festgelegt. Sie wurde im Nachhinein von

der EU-Kommission nochmals auf 2015 – 2017 verschoben. Der Zeitraum 2011- 2013 ist als Ausgangspunkt festgelegt, da die EU-Pflanzenschutzverordnung (EG) 1107/2009 ab diesem Zeitpunkt ihre Wirkung entfaltet.

Amendment 911

Peter Liese

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

By ... [OP: please insert the date – 6 months after the date of application of this Regulation] each Member State shall adopt national targets in its national legislation to achieve **by 2030** a reduction set in accordance with this Article, from the average of the years **2015, 2016 and 2017**, of the following:

Amendment

By ... [OP: please insert the date – 6 months after the date of application of this Regulation] each Member State shall adopt national targets in its national legislation to achieve a reduction **until 10 years after the entry into force of this Regulation** set in accordance with this Article, from the average of the years **2013, 2014 and 2015**, of the following:

Or. en

Amendment 912

Jessica Polfjärd

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

By ... [OP: please insert the date – 6 months after the date of application of this Regulation] each Member State shall adopt national targets **in its national legislation** to achieve by 2030 a reduction set in accordance with this Article, **from the average of the years 2015, 2016 and 2017**, of the following:

Amendment

By ... [OP: please insert the date – 6 months after the date of application of this Regulation] each Member State shall adopt national targets to achieve by 2030 a reduction set in accordance with this Article of the following:

Or. en

Amendment 913

Inese Vaidere

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

By ... [OP: please insert the date – 6 months after the date of application of this Regulation] each Member State shall **adopt national targets** in its national **legislation to achieve by 2030 a reduction set in accordance with this Article, from the average of the years 2015, 2016 and 2017, of the following:**

Amendment

By ... [OP: please insert the date – **18** months after the date of application of this Regulation] each Member State shall **describe** in its national **action plan actions to be taken to contribute to the Union 2030 reduction targets:**

Or. en

Amendment 914

Martin Hojsik, Michal Wiezik, María Soraya Rodríguez Ramos, Róza Thun und Hohenstein, Frédérique Ries

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

By ... [OP: please insert the date – 6 months after the date of application of this Regulation] each Member State shall adopt national targets in its national legislation to achieve by 2030 a reduction set in accordance with this Article, from the average of the years 2015, 2016 and 2017, of the following:

Amendment

By ... [OP: please insert the date – 6 months after the date of application of this Regulation] each Member State shall adopt **mandatory** national targets, **including intermediary targets**, in its national legislation to achieve by 2030 a reduction set in accordance with this Article, from the average of the years 2015, 2016 and 2017, of the following:

Or. en

Amendment 915

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjärd

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

By ... [OP: please insert the date – 6 months after the date of application of this Regulation] each Member State shall **adopt national targets** in its national **legislation** to achieve **by 2030 a reduction set in accordance with this Article, from the average of the years 2015, 2016 and 2017, of the following:**

Amendment

By ... [OP: please insert the date – **18** months after the date of application of this Regulation] each Member State shall **describe** in its national **action plan the measures that contribute** to achieve **the Union** reduction **targets by 2035.**

Or. en

Amendment 916

Edina Tóth

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

By ... [**OP:** please insert the date – 6 months after the date of application of this Regulation] each Member State shall **adopt national targets** in its national **legislation** to achieve **by 2030 a reduction set in accordance with this Article, from the average of the years 2015, 2016 and 2017, of the following:**

Amendment

By ... [please insert the date – **18** months after the date of application of this Regulation] each Member State shall **describe** in its National **Action Plan actions to be taken in order to contribute to approaching to Union 2030** reduction targets.

Or. en

Amendment 917

Franc Bogovič, Marlene Mortler, Ljudmila Novak, Alexander Bernhuber

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

By ... [OP: please insert the date – 6 months after the date of application of this

Amendment

By ... [OP: please insert the date – 6 months after the date of application of this

Regulation] each Member State shall adopt national targets in its national **legislation** to achieve by 2030 a reduction set in accordance with this Article, from the average of the years 2015, 2016 and 2017, of the following:

Regulation] each Member State shall adopt national targets in its national **guidelines in its National action plan** to achieve by 2030 a reduction set in accordance with this Article, from the average of the years 2015, 2016 and 2017, of the following:

Or. en

Amendment 918

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

By ... [OP: please insert the date – 6 months after the date of application of this Regulation] each Member State shall adopt national targets in its national legislation to achieve by 2030 a reduction set in accordance with this Article, from the average of the years 2015, 2016 and 2017, of the following:

Amendment

By ... [OP: please insert the date – 6 months after the date of application of this Regulation] each Member State shall adopt national targets in its national legislation to achieve by 2030 a reduction **and by 2035 a phase-out** set in accordance with this Article, from the average of the years 2015, 2016 and 2017, of the following:

Or. en

Amendment 919

Esther de Lange

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

By ... [OP: please insert the date – 6 months after the date of application of this Regulation] each Member State shall adopt national targets in its national legislation to achieve by **2030** a reduction set in accordance with this Article, from the average of the years **2015, 2016 and 2017**, of the following:

Amendment

By ... [OP: please insert the date – 6 months after the date of application of this Regulation] each Member State shall adopt national targets in its national legislation **or National Action Plan** to achieve by **2035** a reduction set in accordance with this Article, from the average of the years **2010-2020**, of the following:

Amendment 920

Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan, Günther Sidl, Delara Burkhardt

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

By ... [OP: please insert the date – 6 months after the **date of application** of this Regulation] each Member State shall adopt national targets in its national legislation to achieve by 2030 a reduction set in accordance with this Article, from the average of the years **2015, 2016 and 2017**, of the following:

Amendment

By ... [OP: please insert the date – 6 months after the **entry into force** of this Regulation] each Member State shall adopt **legally binding** national targets in its national legislation to achieve by 2030 a reduction set in accordance with this Article, from the average of the years **2018, 2019 and 2020**, of the following:

Amendment 921

Silvia Sardone

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

Entro il ... [OP: inserire la data - **sei** mesi dopo la data di applicazione del presente regolamento] ciascuno Stato membro adotta, nel proprio diritto interno, obiettivi nazionali per conseguire entro il 2030 una riduzione, stabilita conformemente al presente articolo, rispetto alla media degli anni **2015, 2016 e 2017**:

Amendment

Entro il ... [OP: inserire la data - **12** mesi dopo la data di applicazione del presente regolamento] ciascuno Stato membro adotta, nel proprio diritto interno, obiettivi nazionali per conseguire entro il 2030 una riduzione, stabilita conformemente al presente articolo, rispetto alla media degli anni **dal 2009 al 2011, dei seguenti**:

Justification

Bisogna considerare come data d'inizio il 2009 in quanto è stata introdotta la DIRETTIVA 2009/128/CE DEL PARLAMENTO EUROPEO E DEL CONSIGLIO del 21 ottobre 2009 che istituisce un quadro per l'azione comunitaria ai fini dell'utilizzo sostenibile dei pesticidi. La

data del 2011 invece è da considerare in quanto gli Stati membri mettono in vigore le disposizioni legislative, regolamentari e amministrative necessarie per conformarsi alla presente direttiva entro il 14 dicembre 2011.

Amendment 922

Ulrike Müller, Emma Wiesner, Andreas Glück

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

By ... [OP: please insert the date – 6 months after the date of application of this Regulation] each Member State shall adopt national targets in its national legislation to **achieve** by 2030 a reduction set in accordance with this Article, from the average of the years 2015, 2016 and 2017, of the following:

Amendment

By ... [OP: please insert the date – 12 months after the date of application of this Regulation] each Member State shall adopt national targets in its national legislation to **aim at achieving** by 2030 a reduction set in accordance with this Article, from the average of the years 2015, 2016 and 2017, of the following:

Or. en

Justification

The use of plant protection products is highly depended on fluctuating environmental and climatic conditions outside of the control of Member States and users. Hence, the achievement of targets cannot be guaranteed. Obligations of means are more appropriate than obligations of ends.

Amendment 923

Dan-Ștefan Motreanu, Carmen Avram, Marian-Jean Marinescu, Daniel Buda

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

By ... [OP: please insert the date – 6 months after the date of application of this Regulation] each Member State shall adopt national **targets** in its national legislation to achieve by **2030** a reduction set in accordance with this Article, from the average of the years 2015, 2016 and 2017,

Amendment

By ... [OP: please insert the date – 6 months after the date of application of this Regulation] each Member State shall adopt national **contributions** in its national legislation to achieve by **2035** a reduction set in accordance with this Article, from the average of the years 2015, 2016 and

of the following:

2017, of the following:

Or. en

Amendment 924

Robert Roos, Rob Rooken

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

Uiterlijk op ... [PB: gelieve de datum in te voegen, d.w.z. **zes** maanden na de datum van toepassing van deze verordening] stelt elke lidstaat in zijn interne recht nationale doelen vast om in de periode tot 2030 een overeenkomstig dit artikel bepaalde vermindering ten opzichte van het gemiddelde van de jaren 2015, 2016 en 2017 te bereiken voor:

Amendment

Uiterlijk op ... [PB: gelieve de datum in te voegen, d.w.z. **twalf** maanden na de datum van toepassing van deze verordening] stelt elke lidstaat in zijn interne recht nationale doelen vast om in de periode tot 2030 een overeenkomstig dit artikel bepaalde vermindering ten opzichte van het gemiddelde van de jaren 2015, 2016 en 2017 te bereiken voor:

Or. nl

Amendment 925

Ondřej Knotek, Martin Hlaváček

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

By ... [OP: please insert the date – 6 months after the date of application of this Regulation] each Member State shall adopt national targets in its national legislation to achieve by 2030 a reduction set in accordance with this Article, from the average of the years **2015, 2016 and 2017**, of the following:

Amendment

By ... [OP: please insert the date – 6 months after the date of application of this Regulation] each Member State shall adopt national targets in its national legislation to achieve by 2030 a reduction set in accordance with this Article, from the average of the years **2011, 2012 and 2013**, of the following:

Or. en

Amendment 926

Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Peter Lundgren, Hermann Tertsch, Margarita de la Pisa Carrión, Mazaly Aguilar, Evžen Tošenovský, Sergio Berlato, Jorge Buxadé Villalba, Eugen Jurzyca, Pietro Fiocchi, Charlie Weimers, Johan Nissinen

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

By ... [OP: please insert the date – 6 months after the date of application of this Regulation] each Member State shall adopt national targets in its national legislation to achieve by 2030 a reduction set in accordance with this Article, from the average of the years **2015, 2016 and 2017**, of the following:

Amendment

By ... [OP: please insert the date – 6 months after the date of application of this Regulation] each Member State shall adopt national targets in its national legislation to achieve by 2030 a reduction set in accordance with this Article, from the average of the years **2011, 2012 and 2013**, of the following:

Or. en

Amendment 927

Alessandra Moretti

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

Entro il ... [OP: inserire la data - sei mesi dopo la data di applicazione del presente regolamento] ciascuno Stato membro adotta, nel proprio diritto interno, obiettivi nazionali per conseguire entro il 2030 una riduzione, stabilita conformemente al presente articolo, rispetto alla media degli anni **2015, 2016 e 2017**:

Amendment

Entro il ... [OP: inserire la data - sei mesi dopo la data di applicazione del presente regolamento] ciascuno Stato membro adotta, nel proprio diritto interno, obiettivi nazionali per conseguire entro il 2030 una riduzione, stabilita conformemente al presente articolo, rispetto alla media degli anni **dal 2011 al 2020**:

Or. it

Amendment 928

Christine Schneider, Ralf Seekatz, Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Sven Simon, Angelika Niebler, Monika Hohlmeier, Christian Doleschal, Franc Bogovič, Marlene Mortler, Daniel Caspary, Rainer Wieland, Sabine Verheyen, Christophe Hansen

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

By ... [OP: please insert the date – 6 months after the date of application of this Regulation] each Member State shall adopt national targets in its national legislation to achieve by 2030 a reduction set in accordance with this Article, from the average of the years **2015, 2016 and 2017**, of the following:

Amendment

By ... [OP: please insert the date – 6 months after the date of application of this Regulation] each Member State shall adopt national targets in its national legislation to achieve by 2030 a reduction set in accordance with this Article, from the average of the years **2011 to 2013**, of the following:

Or. en

Amendment 929

Edina Tóth

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) the use and risk of chemical plant protection products as defined in Annex I ('national 2030 reduction target 1');

deleted

Amendment

Or. en

Amendment 930

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjärd

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) the use and risk of chemical plant protection products as defined in Annex I ('national 2030 reduction target 1');

deleted

Amendment

Or. en

Amendment 931

Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Lena Düpont, Peter Jahr, Jens Gieseke, Angelika Niebler, Andreas Schwab, Ralf Seekatz, David McAllister, Christine Schneider, Stefan Berger, Sabine Verheyen, Rainer Wieland, Monika Hohlmeier, Michael Gahler, Christian Doleschal, Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Daniel Caspary, Herbert Dorfmann

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

a) Verwendung und Risiko chemischer Pflanzenschutzmittel im Sinne des Anhangs I (im Folgenden „nationales **Reduktionsziel** 1 bis 2030“);

Amendment

a) Verwendung und Risiko chemischer Pflanzenschutzmittel im Sinne des Anhangs I **mit einer Flexibilität von 10%** (im Folgenden „nationales **Korridorziel** 1 bis ... **[Amt für Veröffentlichungen: bitte das Datum einfügen – 10 Jahre nach dem Geltungsbeginn dieser Verordnung]**“);

Or. de

Justification

Ein „Korridorziel“ bezeichnet einen Zielbereich, welcher den Mitgliedstaaten eine Flexibilität von 10% bis zum Erreichen des von der Kommission vorgeschlagenen festgelegten nationalen Reduktionsziels gibt. Korridorziele können im Vergleich zu Pauschalzielen dem jährlich variierenden Schädlingsbefall und Klimabedingungen Rechnung tragen. "Nationale Reduktionsziele" soll im gesamten Text, Erwägungsgründen und Anhängen durch "nationale Korridorziele" ersetzt werden.

Amendment 932

Dan-Ștefan Motreanu, Carmen Avram, Marian-Jean Marinescu, Daniel Buda

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) the use and risk of chemical plant protection products as defined in Annex I ('national 2030 reduction **target** 1');

Amendment

(a) the use and risk of chemical plant protection products as defined in Annex I ('national 2035 reduction **contribution** 1');

Or. en

Amendment 933
Esther de Lange

Proposal for a regulation
Article 5 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(a) the use and risk of chemical plant protection products as defined in Annex I ('national **2030** reduction target 1');

(a) the use and risk of chemical plant protection products as defined in Annex I ('national **2035** reduction target 1');

Or. en

Amendment 934
Peter Liese

Proposal for a regulation
Article 5 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(a) the use and risk of chemical plant protection products as defined in Annex I ('national **2030** reduction target 1');

(a) the use and risk of chemical plant protection products as defined in Annex I ('national reduction target 1');

Or. en

Amendment 935
Edina Tóth

Proposal for a regulation
Article 5 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) the use of more hazardous plant protection products as defined in Annex I ('national 2030 reduction target 2').

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 936

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjård

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) the use of more hazardous plant protection products as defined in Annex I ('national 2030 reduction target 2'). **deleted**

Or. en

Amendment 937

Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Lena Düpont, Peter Jahr, Jens Gieseke, Angelika Niebler, Andreas Schwab, Ralf Seekatz, David McAllister, Christine Schneider, Stefan Berger, Sabine Verheyen, Rainer Wieland, Monika Hohlmeier, Michael Gahler, Christian Doleschal, Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Daniel Caspary, Herbert Dorfmann

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

b) Verwendung gefährlicherer Pflanzenschutzmittel im Sinne des Anhangs I (im Folgenden „nationales **Reduktionsziel** 2 bis 2030“).

b) Verwendung gefährlicherer Pflanzenschutzmittel im Sinne des Anhangs I **mit einer Flexibilität von 10%** (im Folgenden „nationales **Korridorziel** 2 bis ... [Amt für Veröffentlichungen: bitte das Datum einfügen – 10 Jahre nach dem Geltungsbeginn dieser Verordnung]“).

Or. de

Justification

Ein „Korridorziel“ bezeichnet einen Zielbereich, welcher den Mitgliedstaaten eine Flexibilität von 10% bis zum Erreichen des von der Kommission vorgeschlagenen festgelegten nationalen Reduktionsziels gibt. Korridorziele können im Vergleich zu Pauschalzielen dem jährlich variierenden Schädlingsbefall und Klimabedingungen Rechnung tragen. "Nationale Reduktionsziele" soll im gesamten Text, Erwägungsgründen und Anhängen durch "nationale Korridorziele" ersetzt werden.

Amendment 938

Dan-Ştefan Motreanu, Carmen Avram, Marian-Jean Marinescu, Daniel Buda

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) the use of more hazardous plant protection products as defined in Annex I (‘national **2030** reduction **target 2**’).

(b) the use of more hazardous plant protection products as defined in Annex I (‘national **2035** reduction **contribution 2**’).

Or. en

Amendment 939

Esther de Lange

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) the use of more hazardous plant protection products as defined in Annex I (‘national **2030** reduction target 2’).

(b) the use of more hazardous plant protection products as defined in Annex I (‘national **2035** reduction target 2’).

Or. en

Amendment 940

Peter Liese

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) the use of more hazardous plant protection products as defined in Annex I (‘national **2030** reduction target 2’).

(b) the use of more hazardous plant protection products as defined in Annex I (‘national reduction target 2’).

Or. en

Amendment 941

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point b a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b a) the use and risk of chemical pesticides as defined in Annex I ('national 2035 phase-out target')

Or. en

Amendment 942

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjård

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Member States should determine the measures referred to in paragraph 1 based on sound scientific and statistical principles, taking following into account:

(a) the principles of integrated pest management

(b) the availability of economically and technically reasonable non-chemical measures as an alternative to chemical treatment

(c) Expansion of techniques that help to apply crop protection products more precisely and in a more targeted manner, such as precision farming instruments, e.g. point sprayers, band sprayers, robotics, use of drones, etc.

(d) Structure of the crops and expected changes in the acreage of the crops due to climate change,

(e) harmful organisms present on the territory of the Member State concerned,

(f) Change or expected change in pest profile between 2017 and 2035, e.g. Occurrence, spread and development of new pests or changes in the biology of the pests or changed resistance status of the pests,

(g) Increase or expected increase between 2017 and 2035 in the use of chemical plant protection products to meet requirements related to the control of invasive species or Union quarantine pests,

(h) Increase or expected increase between 2017 and 2035 in the use of chemical crop protection products to meet import requirements from third countries,

(i) food security requirements,

(j) Reduction potential, understood as the degree of reduction in the use of certain plant protection products that does not lead to a reduction in the production of individual crops,

(k) already achieved reduction in the use or risk of chemical plant protection products or the use of the more hazardous plant protection products since 2011

(l) differences in the use of plant protection products between the Member State concerned and the average value of the European Union

(m) Percentage of active ingredients used in the total amount that are permitted for use in organic production,

(n) Differences in the use of active ingredients per unit area against the same pest in organic and conventional farming,

(o) Active substances that are not used in primary production and may distort statistics on the use and risk of plant protection products.

Or. en

Amendment 943
Edina Tóth

Proposal for a regulation
Article 5 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Member State should set actions, referred to in paragraph 1, based on sound scientific and statistical principles, taking into account, when appropriate:

- (a) IPM principles,***
- (b) availability of economically and technically justified non-chemical measures, alternative to chemical treatment,***
- (c) crop structure and expected changes in crop structure due to climate changes,***
- (d) pests present at territory of Member State in question,***
- (e) change or expected change between 2017 and 2030 in the profile of pests, such as new pests occurrence or changes in pests biology, or changing pest resistance status,***
- (f) increase or expected increase between 2017 and 2030 in the use of chemical plant protection products to comply with requirements relating to control of invasive species or Union quarantine pests,***
- (g) increase or expected increase between 2017 and 2030 in the use of chemical plant protection products to comply with import requirements of third countries,***
- (h) food security needs,***
- (i) reduction potential understood as a degree of reduction of the use of particular plant protection products, which will not reduce the production of individual crops,***

(j) already achieved reduction of the use or risk of chemical plant protection products, or the use of the more hazardous plant protection products, since 2011,

(k) difference in the use of plant protection products per utilised agricultural area between the Member State in question and European Union average value,

(l) proportion of active substances used in the total quantity that are authorised for use in organic production,

(m) differences in the use of active substances per area unit against the same pest in organic and conventional farming,

(n) active substances that are not used in the primary production and may cause a distortion to the statistics regarding the use and risk of plant protection products.

Or. en

Amendment 944

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjärd

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

For the purposes of this Regulation, the two national reduction targets listed in points (a) and (b) of the first subparagraph, are collectively referred to as the ‘national 2030 reduction targets’.

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 945

Mathilde Androuët

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Aux fins du présent règlement, les deux objectifs de réduction nationaux énumérés au premier alinéa, points a) et b), sont collectivement dénommés les «objectifs de réduction nationaux pour 2030».

Amendment

Aux fins du présent règlement, les deux objectifs de réduction nationaux énumérés au premier alinéa, points a) et b), sont collectivement dénommés les «objectifs de réduction nationaux pour 2030 ***au plus tôt***» ***si elle est compatible avec le maintien de la souveraineté alimentaire des Etats membres. Dans le cas contraire, la cible de réduction devra être réduite et la date de réalisation repoussée au-delà de 2030.***

Or. fr

Amendment 946

Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Lena Düpont, Peter Jahr, Jens Gieseke, Angelika Niebler, Andreas Schwab, Ralf Seekatz, David McAllister, Christine Schneider, Stefan Berger, Sabine Verheyen, Rainer Wieland, Monika Hohlmeier, Michael Gahler, Christian Doleschal, Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Daniel Caspary, Herbert Dorfmann

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Für die Zwecke dieser Verordnung werden die beiden in Unterabsatz 1 Buchstaben a und b aufgeführten nationalen Reduktionsziele zusammengefasst als „nationale Reduktionsziele bis **2030**“ bezeichnet.

Amendment

Für die Zwecke dieser Verordnung werden die beiden in Unterabsatz 1 Buchstaben a und b aufgeführten nationalen Reduktionsziele zusammengefasst als „nationale Reduktionsziele bis ... ***[Amt für Veröffentlichungen: bitte das Datum einfügen – 10 Jahre nach dem Geltungsbeginn dieser Verordnung]***“ bezeichnet.

Or. de

Justification

Ein längerer Zeitraum ist für die vorgesehene Reduktion von Pflanzenschutzmitteln nötig, um Planungssicherheit zu gewährleisten.

Amendment 947

Dan-Ştefan Motreanu, Carmen Avram, Marian-Jean Marinescu, Daniel Buda

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

For the purposes of this Regulation, the two national reduction **targets** listed in points (a) and (b) of the first subparagraph, are collectively referred to as the ‘national **2030** reduction **targets**’.

Amendment

For the purposes of this Regulation, the two national reduction **contributions** listed in points (a) and (b) of the first subparagraph, are collectively referred to as the ‘national **2035** reduction **contributions**’.

Or. en

Amendment 948

Esther de Lange

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

For the purposes of this Regulation, the two national reduction targets listed in points (a) and (b) of the first subparagraph, are collectively referred to as the ‘national **2030** reduction targets’.

Amendment

For the purposes of this Regulation, the two national reduction targets listed in points (a) and (b) of the first subparagraph, are collectively referred to as the ‘national **2035** reduction targets’.

Or. en

Amendment 949

Peter Liese

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

For the purposes of this Regulation, the two national reduction targets listed in

Amendment

For the purposes of this Regulation, the two national reduction targets listed in

points (a) and (b) of the first subparagraph, are collectively referred to as the ‘national **2030** reduction targets’.

points (a) and (b) of the first subparagraph, are collectively referred to as the ‘national reduction targets’.

Or. en

Amendment 950

Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Lena Düpont, Peter Jahr, Jens Gieseke, Angelika Niebler, Andreas Schwab, Ralf Seekatz, David McAllister, Christine Schneider, Stefan Berger, Sabine Verheyen, Rainer Wieland, Monika Hohlmeier, Michael Gahler, Christian Doleschal, Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Daniel Caspary, Herbert Dorfmann

Proposal for a regulation Article 5 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

(2) Die Fortschritte jedes Mitgliedstaats auf dem Weg zur Erreichung der nationalen **Reduktionsziele bis 2030** werden jährlich von der Kommission anhand der Methodik nach Anhang I berechnet.

Amendment

(2) Die Fortschritte jedes Mitgliedstaats auf dem Weg zur Erreichung der nationalen **Korridorsziele bis ... [Amt für Veröffentlichungen: bitte das Datum einfügen – 10 Jahre nach dem Geltungsbeginn dieser Verordnung]** werden jährlich von der Kommission anhand der Methodik nach Anhang I berechnet.

Or. de

Justification

Ein längerer Zeitraum ist für die vorgesehene Reduktion von Pflanzenschutzmitteln nötig, um Planungssicherheit zu gewährleisten.

Amendment 951

Mathilde Androuët

Proposal for a regulation Article 5 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. Les progrès accomplis par chaque État membre vers la réalisation des

Amendment

2. Les progrès accomplis par chaque État membre vers la réalisation des

objectifs de réduction nationaux ***pour 2030*** sont calculés annuellement par la Commission conformément à la méthode exposée à l'annexe I.

objectifs de réduction nationaux ***à l'horizon 2030 au plus tôt*** sont calculés annuellement par ***les Etats membres et communiqués à*** la Commission conformément à la méthode exposée à l'annexe I.

Or. fr

Amendment 952

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation Article 5 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. The progress of each Member State towards achieving the national 2030 reduction targets shall be calculated annually by the Commission in accordance with the methodology set out in Annex I.

Amendment

2. The progress of each Member State towards achieving the national 2030 reduction targets ***and the 2035 phase-out target*** shall be calculated annually by the Commission in accordance with the methodology set out in Annex I.

Or. en

Amendment 953

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjård

Proposal for a regulation Article 5 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. The ***progress*** of each Member State towards achieving the ***national 2030*** reduction targets shall be calculated annually by the Commission in accordance with the methodology set out in Annex I.

Amendment

2. The ***contribution*** of each Member State towards achieving the ***2035*** reduction targets ***of the Union*** shall be calculated annually by the Commission in accordance with the methodology set out in Annex I.

Or. en

Amendment 954

Dan-Ștefan Motreanu, Carmen Avram, Marian-Jean Marinescu, Daniel Buda

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. The progress of each Member State towards achieving the national **2030** reduction **targets** shall be calculated annually by the Commission in accordance with the methodology set out in Annex I.

Amendment

2. The progress of each Member State towards achieving the national **2035** reduction **contributions** shall be calculated annually by the Commission in accordance with the methodology set out in Annex I.

Or. en

Amendment 955

Inese Vaidere

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. The **progress** of each Member State towards achieving the **national** 2030 reduction targets shall be calculated annually by the Commission in accordance with the methodology set out in Annex I.

Amendment

2. The **contribution** of each Member State towards achieving the **Union** 2030 reduction targets shall be calculated annually by the Commission in accordance with the methodology set out in Annex I.

Or. en

Amendment 956

Esther de Lange

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. The progress of each Member State towards achieving the national **2030** reduction targets shall be calculated annually by the Commission in accordance with the methodology set out in Annex I.

Amendment

2. The progress of each Member State towards achieving the national **2035** reduction targets shall be calculated annually by the Commission in accordance with the methodology set out in Annex I.

Amendment 957

Peter Liese

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. The progress of each Member State towards achieving the national **2030** reduction targets shall be calculated annually by the Commission in accordance with the methodology set out in Annex I.

Amendment

2. The progress of each Member State towards achieving the national reduction targets shall be calculated annually by the Commission in accordance with the methodology set out in Annex I.

Amendment 958

Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Lena Düpont, Peter Jahr, Jens Gieseke, Angelika Niebler, Andreas Schwab, Ralf Seekatz, David McAllister, Christine Schneider, Stefan Berger, Sabine Verheyen, Rainer Wieland, Monika Hohlmeier, Michael Gahler, Christian Doleschal, Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Daniel Caspary, Herbert Dorfmann

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

(3) Die in Absatz 1 genannten Ziele sind von jedem Mitgliedstaat bis **2030** zu erreichen. Ein Mitgliedstaat, der bereits vor dem Jahr **2030** eines seiner nationalen Reduktionsziele bis **2030** erreicht, ist nicht verpflichtet, zusätzliche Reduktionsanstrengungen zu unternehmen. Er überwacht jährliche Schwankungen, um die im Hinblick auf dieses nationale Reduktionsziel für **2030** erreichten Fortschritte aufrechtzuerhalten.

Amendment

(3) Die in Absatz 1 genannten Ziele sind von jedem Mitgliedstaat bis ... **[Amt für Veröffentlichungen: bitte das Datum einfügen – 10 Jahre nach dem Geltungsbeginn dieser Verordnung]** zu erreichen. Ein Mitgliedstaat, der bereits vor dem Jahr ... **[Amt für Veröffentlichungen: bitte das Datum einfügen – 10 Jahre nach dem Geltungsbeginn dieser Verordnung]**, eines seiner nationalen Reduktionsziele bis ... **[Amt für Veröffentlichungen: bitte das Datum einfügen – 10 Jahre nach dem Geltungsbeginn dieser Verordnung]** erreicht, ist nicht verpflichtet, zusätzliche Reduktionsanstrengungen zu unternehmen.

Er überwacht jährliche Schwankungen, um die im Hinblick auf dieses nationale Reduktionsziel für ... **[Amt für Veröffentlichungen: bitte das Datum einfügen – 10 Jahre nach dem Geltungsbeginn dieser Verordnung]** erreichten Fortschritte aufrechtzuerhalten.

Or. de

Justification

Ein längerer Zeitraum ist für die vorgesehene Reduktion von Pflanzenschutzmitteln nötig, um Planungssicherheit zu gewährleisten.

Amendment 959

Jan Huitema, Emma Wiesner, Erik Poulsen, Billy Kelleher, Asger Christensen

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

3. Each Member State shall reach the targets referred to in paragraph 1 by 2030. A Member State that reaches the level of one of its 2030 national reduction targets before 2030 shall not be required to undertake additional reduction efforts. It shall monitor annual fluctuations in order to maintain the progress achieved in relation to that 2030 national reduction target.

Amendment

3. Each Member State shall reach the targets referred to in paragraph 1 by 2030 **or the targets established under Article 4a.** A Member State that reaches the level of one of its 2030 national reduction targets before 2030 shall not be required to undertake additional reduction efforts. It shall monitor annual fluctuations in order to maintain the progress achieved in relation to that 2030 national reduction target, **unless the Member State has chosen to fulfil the obligations of this paragraph by setting targets for reducing the environmental impact of plant protection measures under article 4a.**

Or. en

Amendment 960

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation
Article 5 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

3. Each Member State shall reach the targets referred to in paragraph 1 by 2030. ***A Member State that reaches the level of one of its 2030 national reduction targets before 2030 shall not be required to undertake additional reduction efforts. It shall monitor annual fluctuations in order to maintain the progress achieved in relation to that 2030 national reduction target.***

Amendment

3. Each Member State shall reach the targets referred to in paragraph 1 by 2030 ***and the target referred to in paragraph 1a in 2035.***

Or. en

Amendment 961

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjärd

Proposal for a regulation
Article 5 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

3. ***Each Member State shall reach the targets referred to in paragraph 1 by 2030.*** A Member State that reaches the level ***of one of its 2030 national*** reduction targets before 2030 shall not be required to undertake additional reduction efforts. ***It shall monitor annual fluctuations in order to maintain the progress achieved in relation to that 2030 national reduction target.***

Amendment

3. A Member State that reaches the level ***according to the calculations in Annex I, has made a sufficient contribution to the Union-wide of the 2035*** reduction targets before 2035 shall not be required to undertake additional reduction efforts.

Or. en

Amendment 962

Dan-Ștefan Motreanu, Carmen Avram, Marian-Jean Marinescu, Daniel Buda

Proposal for a regulation
Article 5 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

3. Each Member State shall **reach the** targets ***referred to*** in paragraph 1 by **2030**. A Member State **that** reaches the level of one of **its 2030** national reduction **targets** before **2030** shall not be required to undertake additional reduction efforts. It shall monitor annual fluctuations in order to maintain the progress achieved in relation to **that 2030 national reduction target**.

Amendment

3. Each Member State shall **aim to achieve the contribution to the Union** targets, **as defined** in paragraph 1 by **2035**. A Member State **whose contribution** reaches the level of one of **the Union 2035** national reduction **contributions** before **that year** shall not be required to undertake additional reduction efforts. It shall, **however, continue to** monitor annual fluctuations in order to maintain the progress achieved in relation to **contributing to the Union 2035 targets**.

Or. en

Amendment 963

Peter Liese

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

3. Each Member State shall reach the targets referred to in paragraph 1 **by 2030**. A Member State that reaches the level of one of its **2030** national reduction targets before **2030** shall not be required to undertake additional reduction efforts. It shall monitor annual fluctuations in order to maintain the progress achieved in relation to that **2030** national reduction target.

Amendment

3. Each Member State shall reach the targets referred to in paragraph 1 **until 10 years after the entry into force of this Regulation**. A Member State that reaches the level of one of its national reduction targets before **the end of this time period** shall not be required to undertake additional reduction efforts. It shall monitor annual fluctuations in order to maintain the progress achieved in relation to that national reduction target.

Or. en

Amendment 964

Ulrike Müller, Emma Wiesner, Andreas Glück

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

3. Each Member State shall reach the targets referred to in paragraph 1 by 2030. A Member State that reaches the level of one of its 2030 national reduction targets before 2030 shall not be required to undertake additional reduction efforts. It shall monitor annual fluctuations in order to maintain the progress achieved in relation to that 2030 national reduction target.

Amendment

3. Each Member State shall **put in place appropriate measures to** reach the targets referred to in paragraph 1 by 2030. A Member State that reaches the level of one of its 2030 national reduction targets before 2030 shall not be required to undertake additional reduction efforts. It shall monitor annual fluctuations in order to maintain the progress achieved in relation to that 2030 national reduction target.

Or. en

Justification

The use of plant protection products is highly depended on fluctuating environmental and climatic conditions outside of the control of Member States and users. Hence, the achievement of targets cannot be guaranteed. Obligations of means are more appropriate than obligations of ends.

Amendment 965

Martin Hojsik, Michal Wiezik, María Soraya Rodríguez Ramos, Róża Thun und Hohenstein, Frédérique Ries

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

3. Each Member State shall reach the targets referred to in paragraph 1 by 2030. A Member State that reaches the level of one of its 2030 national reduction targets before 2030 shall **not be required to undertake additional reduction efforts. It shall** monitor annual fluctuations in order to maintain the progress achieved in relation to that 2030 national reduction target.

Amendment

3. Each Member State shall reach the targets referred to in paragraph 1 by 2030. A Member State that reaches the level of one of its 2030 national reduction targets before 2030 shall monitor annual fluctuations in order to maintain the progress achieved in relation to that 2030 national reduction target.

Or. en

Justification

Member States should be encouraged in line with the European Citizens' Initiative (ECI) 'Save bees and farmers!' to reduce the use and risks of synthetic pesticides beyond the target set in this Regulation as the reduction targets alone are not goal of this Regulation.

Amendment 966

Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Peter Lundgren, Hermann Tertsch, Margarita de la Pisa Carrión, Mazaly Aguilar, Evžen Tošenovský, Sergio Berlato, Jorge Buxadé Villalba, Eugen Jurzyca, Charlie Weimers, Alexander Bernhuber, Johan Nissinen

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

3. Each Member State shall reach the targets referred to in paragraph 1 by 2030. A Member State that reaches the level of one of its 2030 national reduction targets before 2030 shall not be required to undertake additional reduction efforts. It shall monitor annual fluctuations in order to maintain the progress achieved in relation to that 2030 national reduction target.

Amendment

3. Each Member State shall ***aim and actively contribute to*** reach the targets referred to in paragraph 1 by 2030. A Member State that reaches the level of one of its 2030 national reduction targets before 2030 shall not be required to undertake additional reduction efforts. It shall monitor annual fluctuations in order to maintain the progress achieved in relation to that 2030 national reduction target.

Or. en

Amendment 967

Mathilde Androuët

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

3. Chaque État membre atteint les objectifs visés au paragraphe 1 ***en*** 2030 au plus ***tard***. Un État membre qui atteint le niveau de l'un de ses objectifs nationaux de réduction ***pour*** 2030 n'est pas tenu d'entreprendre des efforts de réduction supplémentaires. Il suit les fluctuations

Amendment

3. Chaque État membre atteint les objectifs visés au paragraphe 1 ***à l'horizon*** 2030 au plus ***tôt***. Un État membre qui atteint le niveau de l'un de ses objectifs nationaux de réduction ***à l'horizon*** 2030 n'est pas tenu d'entreprendre des efforts de réduction supplémentaires. Il suit les

annuelles afin de maintenir les progrès réalisés par rapport à cet objectif national de réduction pour 2030.

fluctuations annuelles afin de maintenir les progrès réalisés par rapport à cet objectif national de réduction pour 2030.

Or. fr

Amendment 968

Robert Roos, Rob Rooken

Proposal for a regulation Article 5 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

3. Elke lidstaat **bereikt de in lid 1 bedoelde doelen uiterlijk** in 2030. Een lidstaat die vóór 2030 een van zijn nationale reductiedoelstellingen voor 2030 bereikt, wordt niet verplicht extra reductie-inspanningen te leveren. Hij volgt de jaarlijkse schommelingen om de geboekte vooruitgang ten opzichte van die nationale reductiedoelstelling voor 2030 **te handhaven**.

Amendment

3. Elke lidstaat **streeft er naar de doeltellingen** in 2030 **te behalen**. Een lidstaat die vóór 2030 een van zijn nationale reductiedoelstellingen voor 2030 bereikt, wordt niet verplicht extra reductie-inspanningen te leveren. Hij volgt de jaarlijkse schommelingen om de geboekte vooruitgang ten opzichte van die nationale reductiedoelstelling voor 2030 **na te blijven streven**.

Or. nl

Amendment 969

Inese Vaidere

Proposal for a regulation Article 5 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

3. Each Member State shall reach the targets referred to in paragraph 1 by 2030. A Member State that reaches the level of one of its 2030 national reduction targets before 2030 shall not be required to undertake additional reduction efforts. It shall monitor annual fluctuations in order to maintain the progress achieved in relation to that 2030 national reduction

Amendment

3. Each Member State shall reach **towards** the targets referred to in paragraph 1 by 2030. A Member State that reaches the level of one of its 2030 national reduction targets before 2030 shall not be required to undertake additional reduction efforts. It shall monitor annual fluctuations in order to maintain the progress achieved in relation to that 2030 national reduction

target.

target.

Or. en

Amendment 970

Esther de Lange

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

3. Each Member State shall reach the targets referred to in paragraph 1 by **2030**. A Member State that reaches the level of one of its **2030** national reduction targets before **2030** shall not be required to undertake additional reduction efforts. It shall monitor annual fluctuations in order to maintain the progress achieved in relation to that 2030 national reduction target.

Amendment

3. Each Member State shall **aim to** reach the targets referred to in paragraph 1 by **2035**. A Member State that reaches the level of one of its **2035** national reduction targets before **2035** shall not be required to undertake additional reduction efforts. It shall monitor annual fluctuations in order to maintain the progress achieved in relation to that 2030 national reduction target.

Or. en

Amendment 971

Jan Huitema, Emma Wiesner, Erik Poulsen, Susana Solís Pérez, Billy Kelleher, Asger Christensen

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 3 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

3 a. If Member States do not reach the targets referred to in paragraph 1, they shall justify this in writing to the Commission. The non-fulfilment of the obligations to reach the targets set out in paragraph 1 is justified if it is caused by:

(a) the lack of available alternatives to chemical plant protection products to ensure that that agricultural production levels are maintained;

(b) a significant increase in the occurrence of pests and diseases caused by climate change, invasive species or other proven causes;

(c) measures needed to ensure the viability of the farming sector and to ensure food security and safety;

Or. en

Amendment 972
Robert Roos, Rob Rooker

Proposal for a regulation
Article 5 – paragraph 3 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

3 bis. De reductiedoelstellingen zijn een inspanningsverplichting en geen resultaatverplichting.

Or. nl

Amendment 973
Pietro Fiocchi

Proposal for a regulation
Article 5 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

4. Fatti salvi i paragrafi da 5 a 8, gli obiettivi di riduzione nazionali per il 2030 sono fissati a un livello tale da ottenere una riduzione, tra la media degli anni 2015, 2016 e 2017 e l'anno 2030 nello Stato membro interessato, che sia almeno pari al 50 %.

soppresso

Or. it

Amendment 974
Ondřej Knotek, Martin Hlaváček

Proposal for a regulation
Article 5 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

4. Subject to paragraphs 5 to 8, the national 2030 reduction targets shall be set at such level so as to achieve a reduction between the average of the years 2015, 2016 and 2017 and the year 2030 in the relevant Member State that at least equals 50%. **deleted**

Or. en

Amendment 975
Edina Tóth

Proposal for a regulation
Article 5 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

4. Subject to paragraphs 5 to 8, the national 2030 reduction targets shall be set at such level so as to achieve a reduction between the average of the years 2015, 2016 and 2017 and the year 2030 in the relevant Member State that at least equals 50%. **deleted**

Or. en

Amendment 976
Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjärd

Proposal for a regulation
Article 5 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

4. Subject to paragraphs 5 to 8, the national 2030 reduction targets shall be set at such level so as to achieve a reduction between the average of the years 2015, 2016 and 2017 and the year 2030 in the relevant Member State that at least equals 50%.

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 977
Mathilde Androuët

Proposal for a regulation
Article 5 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

4. Sous réserve des paragraphes 5 à 8, les objectifs de réduction nationaux pour 2030 sont fixés à un niveau permettant de parvenir en 2030 à une réduction d'au moins 50 % par rapport à la moyenne des années 2015, 2016 et 2017 dans l'État membre concerné.

4. Les objectifs de réduction nationaux à l'horizon 2030 au plus tôt sont fixés à un niveau permettant de parvenir à un objectif de réduction de 50 %, si il est compatible avec le maintien de la souveraineté alimentaire par rapport à la moyenne des années 2015, 2016 et 2017 dans l'État membre concerné ; cette moyenne devra faire l'objet d'une pondération préalable pour prendre en compte les bouleversements issus de la crise du COVID 19, du nouveau contexte géopolitique et des risques de pénurie alimentaire mondiale. Dans le cas contraire, la cible de réduction devra être réduite et la date de réalisation repoussée au-delà de 2030.

Or. fr

Amendment 978
Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Peter Lundgren, Hermann Tertsch, Margarita de la Pisa Carrión, Mazaly Aguilar, Evžen Tošenovský, Sergio Berlato, Jorge Buxadé Villalba, Eugen Jurzyca, Charlie Weimers, Johan Nissinen

Proposal for a regulation
Article 5 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

4. Subject to paragraphs 5 to 8, the national 2030 reduction targets shall be set at such level so as to achieve a reduction between the average of the years **2015, 2016 and 2017** and the year 2030 in the relevant Member State that at least equals **50%**.

Amendment

4. Subject to paragraphs 5 to 8, the national 2030 reduction targets shall be set at such level so as to achieve a reduction between the average of the years **2011, 2012 and 2013** and the year 2030 in the relevant Member State that at least equals **10% in countries that used less active substances per hectare than the EU average by 2020 and at least 35% in countries that used more active substances per hectare than the EU average by 2020 (the year of Farm to Fork publication)**.

Or. en

Amendment 979

Jan Huitema, Emma Wiesner, Erik Poulsen, Billy Kelleher, Asger Christensen

Proposal for a regulation
Article 5 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

4. Subject to paragraphs 5 to 8, the national 2030 reduction targets shall be set at such level so as to achieve a reduction between the average of the years **2015, 2016 and 2017** and the year 2030 in the relevant Member State that at least equals **50%**.

Amendment

4. Subject to paragraphs 5 to 8, the national 2030 reduction targets shall be set at such level so as to achieve a reduction between the average of the years **2013, 2014 and 2015** and the year 2030 in the relevant Member State that at least equals **50%, unless the Member State has chosen to fulfil the obligations of this paragraph by setting targets for reducing the environmental impact of plant protection measures under article 4a.**

Or. en

Amendment 980

Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan, Günther Sidl, Delara Burkhardt

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

4. Subject to paragraphs 5 to 8, the national 2030 reduction targets shall be set at such level so as to achieve a reduction between the average of the years **2015, 2016 and 2017** and the year 2030 in the relevant Member State that at least equals 50%.

Amendment

4. Subject to paragraphs 5 to 8, the national 2030 reduction targets shall be set at such level so as to achieve a reduction between the average of the years **2018, 2019 and 2020** and the year 2030 in the relevant Member State that at least equals 50% **of the use and risk of chemical plant protection products and 80 % of the use of more hazardous plant protection products.**

Or. en

Amendment 981

Esther de Lange

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

4. Subject to paragraphs 5 to 8, the national **2030** reduction targets shall be set at such level so as to achieve a reduction between the average of the years **2015, 2016 and 2017** and the year **2030** in the relevant Member State that at least equals 50%.

Amendment

4. Subject to paragraphs 5 to 8, the national **2035** reduction targets shall be set at such level so as to achieve a **volume** reduction between the average of the years **2010-2020** and the year **2035** in the relevant Member State that at least equals **40%, or a reduction of environmental impact by at least 40%, indicated by the Member State.**

Or. en

Amendment 982

Peter Liese

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

4. Subject to paragraphs 5 to 8, the national **2030** reduction targets shall be set at such level so as to achieve a reduction between the average of the years **2015, 2016 and 2017** and the **year 2030** in the relevant Member State that at least equals 50%.

Amendment

4. Subject to paragraphs 5 to 8, the national reduction targets shall be set at such level so as to achieve a reduction between the average of the years **2013, 2014 and 2015** and the **first year after the 10 years after the entry into force of this Regulation** in the relevant Member State that at least equals 50%.

Or. en

Amendment 983

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 5 – paragraph 4**

Text proposed by the Commission

4. Subject to paragraphs 5 to 8, the national 2030 reduction targets shall be set at such level so as to achieve a reduction between the average of the years **2015, 2016 and 2017** and the year 2030 in the relevant Member State that at least equals **50%**.

Amendment

4. Subject to paragraphs 5 to 8, the national 2030 reduction targets shall be set at such level so as to achieve a reduction between the average of the years **2017, 2018 and 2019** and the year 2030 in the relevant Member State that at least equals **80% and 100% for the most hazardous pesticides**.

Or. en

Amendment 984

Ulrike Müller, Emma Wiesner, Andreas Glück

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 5 – paragraph 4**

Text proposed by the Commission

4. Subject to paragraphs 5 to 8, the national 2030 reduction targets shall be set at such level so as to **achieve** a reduction between the average of the years 2015,

Amendment

4. Subject to paragraphs 5 to 8, the national 2030 reduction targets shall be set at such level so as to **allow for the achievement of** a reduction between the

2016 and 2017 and the year 2030 in the relevant Member State that at least equals 50%.

average of the years 2015, 2016 and 2017 and the year 2030 in the relevant Member State that at least equals 50%.

Or. en

Justification

The use of plant protection products is highly depended on fluctuating environmental and climatic conditions outside of the control of Member States and users. Hence, the achievement of targets cannot be guaranteed. Obligations of means are more appropriate than obligations of ends.

Amendment 985

Franz Bogovič, Marlene Mortler, Ljudmila Novak

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

4. Subject to paragraphs 5 to 8, the national 2030 reduction targets shall be set at such level so as to achieve a reduction between the average of the years 2015, 2016 and 2017 and the year 2030 in the relevant Member State that at least equals **50%**.

Amendment

4. Subject to paragraphs 5 to 8, the national 2030 reduction targets shall be set at such level so as to achieve a reduction between the average of the years 2015, 2016 and 2017 and the year 2030 in the relevant Member State that at least equals **30%**.

Or. en

Amendment 986

Robert Roos, Rob Rooken

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

4. Met inachtneming van de leden 5 tot en met 8 worden de nationale reductiedoelstellingen voor 2030 zodanig bepaald dat in de desbetreffende lidstaat tegen 2030 een vermindering van ten minste **50** % zal zijn behaald ten opzichte

Amendment

4. Met inachtneming van de leden 5 tot en met 8 worden de nationale reductiedoelstellingen voor 2030 zodanig bepaald dat in de desbetreffende lidstaat tegen 2030 een vermindering van ten minste **25** % zal zijn behaald ten opzichte

van het gemiddelde van de jaren 2015, 2016 en 2017.

van het gemiddelde van de jaren 2015, 2016 en 2017.

Or. nl

Amendment 987

Dan-Ștefan Motreanu, Carmen Avram, Marian-Jean Marinescu, Daniel Buda

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

4. Subject to paragraphs 5 to 8, the national **2030** reduction **targets** shall be set at such level so as to achieve a reduction between the average of the years 2015, 2016 and 2017 and the year **2030** in the relevant Member State that **at least equals** 50%.

Amendment

4. Subject to paragraphs 5 to 8, the national **2035** reduction **contributions** shall be set at such level so as to achieve a reduction between the average of the years 2015, 2016 and 2017 and the year **2035** in the relevant Member State that **up to** 50%.

Or. en

Amendment 988

Christine Schneider, Ralf Seekatz, Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Sven Simon, Angelika Niebler, Monika Hohlmeier, Christian Doleschal, Franc Bogovič, Marlene Mortler, Daniel Caspary, Rainer Wieland, Sabine Verheyen, Christophe Hansen

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

4. Subject to paragraphs 5 to 8, the national 2030 reduction targets shall be set at such level so as to achieve a reduction between the average of the years **2015, 2016 and 2017** and the year 2030 in the relevant Member State that at least equals 50%.

Amendment

4. Subject to paragraphs 5 to 8, the national 2030 reduction targets shall be set at such level so as to achieve a reduction between the average of the years **2011 to 2013** and the year 2030 in the relevant Member State that at least equals 50%.

Or. en

Amendment 989
Silvia Sardone

Proposal for a regulation
Article 5 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

4. Fatti salvi i paragrafi da 5 a 8, gli obiettivi di riduzione **nazionali** per il 2030 sono fissati a un livello tale da **ottenere** una riduzione, tra la media degli anni **2015, 2016 e 2017** e l'anno 2030 nello Stato membro interessato, **che sia** almeno **pari al 50 %**.

Amendment

4. Fatti salvi i paragrafi da 5 a 8, gli obiettivi **nazionali** di riduzione per il 2030 sono fissati a un livello tale da **conseguire** una riduzione tra la media degli anni **dal 2009 al 2011** e l'anno 2030 nello Stato membro interessato **pari** almeno **al 50%**.

Or. it

Justification

Bisogna considerare come data d'inizio il 2009 in quanto è stata introdotta la DIRETTIVA 2009/128/CE DEL PARLAMENTO EUROPEO E DEL CONSIGLIO del 21 ottobre 2009 che istituisce un quadro per l'azione comunitaria ai fini dell'utilizzo sostenibile dei pesticidi. La data del 2011 invece è da considerare in quanto gli Stati membri mettono in vigore le disposizioni legislative, regolamentari e amministrative necessarie per conformarsi alla presente direttiva entro il 14 dicembre 2011.

Amendment 990
Jessica Polfjärd

Proposal for a regulation
Article 5 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

4. **Subject to paragraphs 5 to 8**, the national 2030 reduction targets shall be set at such level so as to achieve a reduction **between the average of the years 2015, 2016 and 2017 and the year 2030** in the relevant Member State **that at least equals 50%**.

Amendment

4. The national 2030 reduction targets shall be set at such level so as to achieve a reduction in the relevant Member State **and that chemical plant protection products are only used where necessary and no viable alternatives exist**.

Or. en

Amendment 991

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjärd

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 4 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

4 a. In case:

(a) status of one or more of factors referred to in paragraph 2 has changed,

or (b) the need of use of more hazardous plant protection products has increased due to lack of alternatives,

or (c) the need of use of plant protection products has increased due to:

(i) effects arising from climate change, like occurrence of new pests, changes in plants physiology, changes in pests biology (i.e. changes in the number of generations during the growing season), changes in crop structure,

or (ii) changes in pest resistance status,

or (iii) occurrence of invasive species or Union quarantine pests,

or (iv) changes in import requirements of third countries,

or (v) structural changes such as changes in agriculture or land management or ownership,

or (d) the Member State foresees, that it will not archive national 2030 reduction target due to unpredictable reasons other than those referred to in letters a-c

the Member State is entitled to amend appropriately actions referred to in paragraph 1.

Or. en

Proposal for a regulation
Article 5 – paragraph 5

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

5. A Member State may reduce its national target for the use and risk of chemical plant protection products referred to in paragraph 4 to a percentage that is a mid-point between the figure related to intensity as laid down in the second subparagraph of this paragraph and the figure related to the use and risk as laid down in the third subparagraph of this paragraph. Where that percentage is higher than 50%, the Member State shall increase its national target to that percentage. **deleted**

The figure related to intensity shall be the following:

(a) 35% where a Member State's weighted intensity of use and risk of chemical plant protection products during the average of the years 2015, 2016 and 2017 is less than 70% of the Union average;

(b) 50% where a Member State's weighted intensity of use and risk of chemical plant protection products during the average of the years 2015, 2016 and 2017 is between 70% and 140% of the Union average;

(c) 65% where a Member State's weighted intensity of use and risk of chemical plant protection products during the average of the years 2015, 2016 and 2017 is more than 140% of the Union average.

The figure related to the use and risk shall be the following:

(a) where a Member State has achieved a greater reduction in the use and risk of chemical plant protection

products than the Union average between the average of the years 2011, 2012 and 2013 and the average of the years 2015, 2016 and 2017, a figure that is established by subtracting from 50% the difference between the reduction achieved and the Union average reduction;

(b) where a Member State has increased the use and risk of chemical plant protection products, or has made a smaller reduction than the Union average between the average of the years 2011, 2012 and 2013 and the average of the years 2015, 2016 and 2017, a figure that is established by adding to 50% the difference between the reduction or, as applicable, increase achieved and the Union average reduction, but without surpassing 70%.

For the purposes of this paragraph ‘weighted intensity of use and risk of chemical plant protection products’ means a value corresponding to the kilograms of chemical active substances in plant protection products sold per year in a Member State, weighted according to their hazard weightings as set out in row (iii) of the Table of Annex I, divided by the number of hectares of utilised agricultural area in that Member State.

Or. en

Amendment 993

Edina Tóth

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 5 – subparagraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

A Member State may reduce its national target for the use and risk of chemical plant protection products referred to in paragraph 4 to a percentage that is a mid-point between the figure related to **deleted**

intensity as laid down in the second subparagraph of this paragraph and the figure related to the use and risk as laid down in the third subparagraph of this paragraph. Where that percentage is higher than 50%, the Member State shall increase its national target to that percentage.

Or. en

Amendment 994

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 5 – subparagraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

A Member State may reduce its national target for the use and risk of chemical plant protection products referred to in paragraph 4 to a percentage that is a mid-point between the figure related to intensity as laid down in the second subparagraph of this paragraph and the figure related to the use and risk as laid down in the third subparagraph of this paragraph. Where that percentage is higher than 50%, the Member State shall increase its national target to that percentage.

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 995

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjård

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 5 – subparagraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

A Member State may reduce its national target for the use and risk of chemical plant protection products referred to in paragraph 4 to a percentage that is a mid-point between the figure related to intensity as laid down in the second subparagraph of this paragraph and the figure related to the use and risk as laid down in the third subparagraph of this paragraph. Where that percentage is higher than 50%, the Member State shall increase its national target to that percentage.

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 996
Mathilde Androuët

Proposal for a regulation
Article 5 – paragraph 5 – subparagraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Un État membre peut ramener son objectif national relatif à l'utilisation des produits phytopharmaceutiques chimiques et aux risques qui y sont associés visé au paragraphe 4 à un pourcentage équidistant du chiffre relatif à l'intensité visé au deuxième alinéa du présent paragraphe et du chiffre relatif à l'utilisation et au risque visé au troisième alinéa du présent paragraphe. ***Lorsque ce pourcentage est supérieur à 50 %, l'État membre porte son objectif national à ce pourcentage.***

Un État membre peut ramener son objectif national relatif à l'utilisation des produits phytopharmaceutiques chimiques et aux risques qui y sont associés visé au paragraphe 4 à un pourcentage équidistant du chiffre relatif à l'intensité visé au deuxième alinéa du présent paragraphe et du chiffre relatif à l'utilisation et au risque visé au troisième alinéa du présent paragraphe.

Les dispositions détaillées ci-après au deuxième et au troisième alinéa du présent paragraphe ne s'appliquent que si les objectifs de réduction nationaux à l'horizon 2030 sont compatibles avec le maintien de la souveraineté alimentaire; dans le cas contraire, la cible de réduction

devra être réduite et la date de réalisation repoussée au-delà de 2030.

Or. fr

Amendment 997

Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Peter Lundgren, Hermann Tertsch, Margarita de la Pisa Carrión, Mazaly Aguilar, Evžen Tošenovský, Sergio Berlato, Jorge Buxadé Villalba, Eugen Jurzyca, Charlie Weimers, Alexander Bernhuber, Johan Nissinen

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 5 – subparagraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

A Member State may reduce its ***national target*** for the use and risk of chemical plant protection products referred to in paragraph 4 to a percentage that is a mid-point between the figure related to intensity as laid down in the second subparagraph of this paragraph and the figure related to the use and risk as laid down in the third subparagraph of this paragraph. ***Where that percentage is higher than 50%, the Member State shall increase its national target to that percentage.***

Amendment

A Member State may reduce its ***contribution*** for the use and risk of chemical plant protection products referred to in paragraph 4 to a percentage that is a mid-point between the figure related to intensity as laid down in the second subparagraph of this paragraph and the figure related to the use and risk as laid down in the third subparagraph of this paragraph.

Or. en

Amendment 998

Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Lena Düpont, Peter Jahr, Jens Gieseke, Angelika Niebler, Andreas Schwab, Ralf Seekatz, David McAllister, Christine Schneider, Stefan Berger, Sabine Verheyen, Rainer Wieland, Monika Hohlmeier, Michael Gahler, Christian Doleschal, Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Daniel Caspary, Herbert Dorfmann

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 5 – subparagraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Ein Mitgliedstaat kann sein nationales Ziel für die Verwendung und das Risiko chemischer Pflanzenschutzmittel gemäß

Amendment

Ein Mitgliedstaat kann sein nationales Ziel für die Verwendung und das Risiko chemischer Pflanzenschutzmittel gemäß

Absatz 4 auf einen Prozentsatz herabsetzen, der einen Mittelwert zwischen dem in Unterabsatz 2 festgelegten Wert für die Intensität und dem in Unterabsatz 3 festgelegten Wert für die Verwendung und das Risiko darstellt. **Liegt dieser Prozentsatz über 50 %, so steigert der Mitgliedstaat sein nationales Ziel auf diesen Prozentsatz.**

Absatz 4 auf einen Prozentsatz herabsetzen, der einen Mittelwert zwischen dem in Unterabsatz 2 festgelegten Wert für die Intensität und dem in Unterabsatz 3 festgelegten Wert für die Verwendung und das Risiko darstellt.

Or. de



2022/0196(COD)

4.4.2023

AMENDMENTS

999 - 1366

Draft report
Sarah Wiener
(PE742.368v01-00)

proposal for a regulation of the European Parliament and of the Council on the sustainable use of plant protection products and amending Regulation (EU) 2021/2115

Proposal for a regulation
(COM(2022)0305 – C9-0207/2022 – 2022/0196(COD))

AM_Com_LegReport

Amendment 999

Dan-Ştefan Motreanu, Carmen Avram, Marian-Jean Marinescu, Daniel Buda

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 5 – subparagraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

A Member State may reduce its national **target** for the use and risk of chemical plant protection products referred to in paragraph 4 to a percentage that is a mid-point between the figure related to intensity as laid down in the second subparagraph of this paragraph and the figure related to the use and risk as laid down in the third subparagraph of this paragraph. **Where that percentage is higher than 50%, the Member State shall increase its national target to that percentage.**

Amendment

A Member State may reduce its national **contributions** for the use and risk of chemical plant protection products referred to in paragraph 4 to a percentage that is a mid-point between the figure related to intensity as laid down in the second subparagraph of this paragraph and the figure related to the use and risk as laid down in the third subparagraph of this paragraph.

Or. en

Amendment 1000

Rosanna Conte

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 5 – subparagraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Uno Stato membro può ridurre il proprio obiettivo nazionale relativo all'uso e al rischio dei prodotti fitosanitari chimici di cui al paragrafo 4 **ad una percentuale che sia un punto intermedio tra la cifra relativa all'intensità di cui al secondo comma del presente paragrafo e la cifra relativa all'uso e al rischio di cui al terzo comma del presente paragrafo. Se tale percentuale è superiore al 50 %, lo Stato membro aumenta il proprio obiettivo nazionale a tale percentuale.**

Amendment

Uno Stato membro può ridurre il proprio obiettivo nazionale relativo all'uso e al rischio dei prodotti fitosanitari chimici di cui al paragrafo 4, **se lo Stato membro in questione ha registrato una riduzione dell'uso e del rischio dei prodotti fitosanitari chimici superiore alla media dell'Unione tra la media egli anni 2011, 2012 e 2013 e la media degli anni 2015, 2016 e 2017. In questo caso la percentuale di riduzione si otterrà sottraendo dal 50% la differenza tra la riduzione registrata e la riduzione media a livello dell'Unione.**

Or. it

Amendment 1001
Esther de Lange

Proposal for a regulation
Article 5 – paragraph 5 – subparagraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

A Member State may reduce its national target for the use and risk of chemical plant protection products referred to in paragraph 4 to a percentage that is a mid-point between the figure related to intensity as laid down in the second subparagraph of this paragraph and the figure related to the use and risk as laid down in the third subparagraph of this paragraph. Where that percentage is higher than **50%**, the Member State shall increase its national target to that percentage.

Amendment

A Member State may reduce its national target for the use and risk of chemical plant protection products referred to in paragraph 4 to a percentage that is a mid-point between the figure related to intensity as laid down in the second subparagraph of this paragraph and the figure related to the use and risk as laid down in the third subparagraph of this paragraph. Where that percentage is higher than **40%**, the Member State shall increase its national target to that percentage.

Or. en

Amendment 1002
Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation
Article 5 – paragraph 5 – subparagraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

The figure related to intensity shall be the following:

(a) 35% where a Member State's weighted intensity of use and risk of chemical plant protection products during the average of the years 2015, 2016 and 2017 is less than 70% of the Union average;

(b) 50% where a Member State's weighted intensity of use and risk of chemical plant protection products during the average of the years 2015, 2016 and 2017 is between 70% and 140% of the

Amendment

deleted

Union average;

(c) 65% where a Member State's weighted intensity of use and risk of chemical plant protection products during the average of the years 2015, 2016 and 2017 is more than 140% of the Union average.

Or. en

Amendment 1003

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjärd

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 5 – subparagraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

The figure related to intensity shall be the following: **deleted**

(a) 35% where a Member State's weighted intensity of use and risk of chemical plant protection products during the average of the years 2015, 2016 and 2017 is less than 70% of the Union average;

(b) 50% where a Member State's weighted intensity of use and risk of chemical plant protection products during the average of the years 2015, 2016 and 2017 is between 70% and 140% of the Union average;

(c) 65% where a Member State's weighted intensity of use and risk of chemical plant protection products during the average of the years 2015, 2016 and 2017 is more than 140% of the Union average.

Or. en

Amendment 1004
Edina Tóth

Proposal for a regulation
Article 5 – paragraph 5 – subparagraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

The figure related to intensity shall be the following: ***deleted***

(a) 35% where a Member State's weighted intensity of use and risk of chemical plant protection products during the average of the years 2015, 2016 and 2017 is less than 70% of the Union average;

(b) 50% where a Member State's weighted intensity of use and risk of chemical plant protection products during the average of the years 2015, 2016 and 2017 is between 70% and 140% of the Union average;

(c) 65% where a Member State's weighted intensity of use and risk of chemical plant protection products during the average of the years 2015, 2016 and 2017 is more than 140% of the Union average.

Or. en

Amendment 1005
Rosanna Conte

Proposal for a regulation
Article 5 – paragraph 5 – subparagraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

La cifra relativa all'intensità è la seguente: ***soppresso***

a) 35 % se l'intensità ponderata di uso e rischio dei prodotti fitosanitari chimici di uno Stato membro durante la media degli anni 2015, 2016 e 2017 è

inferiore al 70 % della media dell'Unione;

b) 50 % se l'intensità ponderata di uso e rischio dei prodotti fitosanitari chimici di uno Stato membro durante la media degli anni 2015, 2016 e 2017 si situa tra il 70 % e il 140 % della media dell'Unione;

c) 65 % se l'intensità ponderata di uso e rischio dei prodotti fitosanitari chimici di uno Stato membro durante la media degli anni 2015, 2016 e 2017 è superiore al 140 % della media dell'Unione.

Or. it

Amendment 1006

Edina Tóth

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 5 – subparagraph 2 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(a) 35% where a Member State's weighted intensity of use and risk of chemical plant protection products during the average of the years 2015, 2016 and 2017 is less than 70% of the Union average; **deleted**

Or. en

Amendment 1007

Dan-Ștefan Motreanu, Carmen Avram, Marian-Jean Marinescu, Daniel Buda

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 5 – subparagraph 2 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(a) 35% where a Member State's weighted intensity of use and risk of chemical plant protection products during **deleted**

the average of the years 2015, 2016 and 2017 is less than 70% of the Union average;

Or. en

Amendment 1008

Ondřej Knotek, Martin Hlaváček

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 5 – subparagraph 2 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) **35%** where a Member State's weighted intensity of use and risk of chemical plant protection products during the average of the years **2015, 2016 and 2017** is less than **70% of** the Union average;

Amendment

(a) **at least 10%** where a Member State's weighted intensity of use and risk of chemical plant protection products during the average of the years **2011, 2012 and 2013** is less than the Union average;

Or. en

Amendment 1009

Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Peter Lundgren, Hermann Tertsch, Margarita de la Pisa Carrión, Mazaly Aguilar, Evžen Tošenovský, Sergio Berlato, Jorge Buxadé Villalba, Eugen Jurzyca, Pietro Fiocchi, Charlie Weimers, Johan Nissinen

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 5 – subparagraph 2 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) **35%** where a Member State's weighted intensity of use and risk of chemical plant protection products during the average of the years **2015, 2016 and 2017** is less than **70% of** the Union average;

Amendment

(a) **at least 10%** where a Member State's weighted intensity of use and risk of chemical plant protection products during the average of the years **2011, 2012 and 2013** is less than the Union average;

Or. en

Amendment 1010

Peter Liese

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 5 – subparagraph 2 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) 35% where a Member State's weighted intensity of use and risk of chemical plant protection products during the average of the years **2015, 2016 and 2017** is less than 70% of the Union average;

Amendment

(a) 35% where a Member State's weighted intensity of use and risk of chemical plant protection products during the average of the years **2013, 2014 and 2015** is less than 70% of the Union average;

Or. en

Amendment 1011

Jan Huitema, Emma Wiesner, Erik Poulsen, Billy Kelleher, Asger Christensen

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 5 – subparagraph 2 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) 35% where a Member State's weighted intensity of use and risk of chemical plant protection products during the average of the years **2015, 2016 and 2017** is less than 70% of the Union average;

Amendment

(a) 35% where a Member State's weighted intensity of use and risk of chemical plant protection products during the average of the years **2013, 2014 and 2015** is less than 70% of the Union average;

Or. en

Amendment 1012

Franc Bogovič, Marlene Mortler, Ljudmila Novak

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 5 – subparagraph 2 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) **35%** where a Member State's weighted intensity of use and risk of chemical plant protection products during

Amendment

(a) **20%** where a Member State's weighted intensity of use and risk of chemical plant protection products during

the average of the years 2015, 2016 and 2017 is less than 70% of the Union average;

the average of the years 2015, 2016 and 2017 is less than 70% of the Union average;

Or. en

Amendment 1013

Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Lena Düpont, Peter Jahr, Jens Gieseke, Angelika Niebler, Andreas Schwab, Ralf Seekatz, David McAllister, Christine Schneider, Stefan Berger, Sabine Verheyen, Rainer Wieland, Monika Hohlmeier, Michael Gahler, Christian Doleschal, Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Daniel Caspary, Herbert Dorfmann

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 5 – subparagraph 2 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

a) 35 %, wenn die gewichtete Intensität von Verwendung und Risiko chemischer Pflanzenschutzmittel in einem Mitgliedstaat im Durchschnitt der Jahre **2015, 2016 und 2017** weniger als 70 % des Unionsdurchschnitts beträgt;

a) 35 %, wenn die gewichtete Intensität von Verwendung und Risiko chemischer Pflanzenschutzmittel in einem Mitgliedstaat im Durchschnitt der Jahre **2011, 2012 und 2013** weniger als 70 % des Unionsdurchschnitts beträgt;

Or. de

Amendment 1014

Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan, Günther Sidl, Delara Burkhardt

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 5 – subparagraph 2 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(a) 35% where a Member State's weighted intensity of use and risk of chemical plant protection products during the average of the years **2015, 2016 and 2017** is less than 70% of the Union average;

(a) 35% where a Member State's weighted intensity of use and risk of chemical plant protection products during the average of the years **2018, 2019 and 2020** is less than 70% of the Union average;

Or. en

Amendment 1015

Christine Schneider, Ralf Seekatz, Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Sven Simon, Angelika Niebler, Monika Hohlmeier, Christian Doleschal, Franc Bogovič, Marlene Mortler, Daniel Caspary, Rainer Wieland, Sabine Verheyen, Christophe Hansen

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 5 – subparagraph 2 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) 35% where a Member State's weighted intensity of use and risk of chemical plant protection products during the average of the years **2015, 2016 and 2017** is less than 70% of the Union average;

Amendment

(a) 35% where a Member State's weighted intensity of use and risk of chemical plant protection products during the average of the years **2011 to 2013** is less than 70% of the Union average;

Or. en

Amendment 1016

Silvia Sardone

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 5 – subparagraph 2 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

a) 35 % se l'intensità ponderata **di uso e** rischio dei prodotti fitosanitari chimici **di uno Stato membro** durante la media degli anni **2015, 2016 e 2017** è inferiore al 70 % della media dell'Unione;

Amendment

a) 35% se l'intensità ponderata **dell'uso e del** rischio dei prodotti fitosanitari chimici durante la media degli anni **dal 2011 al 2020** è inferiore al 70% della media dell'Unione;

Or. it

Amendment 1017

Esther de Lange

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 5 – subparagraph 2 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) 35% where a Member State's weighted intensity of use and risk of

Amendment

(a) 35% where a Member State's weighted intensity of use and risk of

chemical plant protection products during the average of the years **2015, 2016 and 2017** is less than 70% of the Union average;

chemical plant protection products during the average of the years **2010-2020** is less than 70% of the Union average;

Or. en

Amendment 1018
Edina Tóth

Proposal for a regulation
Article 5 – paragraph 5 – subparagraph 2 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) 50% where a Member State's weighted intensity of use and risk of chemical plant protection products during the average of the years 2015, 2016 and 2017 is between 70% and 140% of the Union average;

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 1019
Ondřej Knotek, Martin Hlaváček

Proposal for a regulation
Article 5 – paragraph 5 – subparagraph 2 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) 50% where a Member State's weighted intensity of use and risk of chemical plant protection products during the average of the years 2015, 2016 and 2017 is between 70% and 140% of the Union average;

(b) at least 35% where a Member State's weighted intensity of use and risk of chemical plant protection products during the average of the years 2011, 2012 and 2013 is between 100% and 140% of the Union average;

Or. en

Amendment 1020
Dan-Ștefan Motreanu, Carmen Avram, Marian-Jean Marinescu, Daniel Buda

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 5 – subparagraph 2 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) **50%** where a Member State's weighted intensity of use and risk of chemical plant protection products during the average of the years 2015, 2016 and 2017 is between 70% and 140% of the Union average;

Amendment

(b) **at least 20%** where a Member State's weighted intensity of use and risk of chemical plant protection products during the average of the years 2015, 2016 and 2017 is between 70% and 140% of the Union average;

Or. en

Amendment 1021

Jan Huitema, Emma Wiesner, Erik Poulsen, Billy Kelleher, Asger Christensen

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 5 – subparagraph 2 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) 50% where a Member State's weighted intensity of use and risk of chemical plant protection products during the average of the years **2015, 2016 and 2017** is between 70% and 140% of the Union average;

Amendment

(b) 50% where a Member State's weighted intensity of use and risk of chemical plant protection products during the average of the years **2013, 2014 and 2015** is between 70% and 140% of the Union average;

Or. en

Amendment 1022

Peter Liese

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 5 – subparagraph 2 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) 50% where a Member State's weighted intensity of use and risk of chemical plant protection products during the average of the years **2015, 2016 and 2017** is between 70% and 140% of the

Amendment

(b) 50% where a Member State's weighted intensity of use and risk of chemical plant protection products during the average of the years **2013, 2014 and 2015** is between 70% and 140% of the

Union average;

Union average;

Or. en

Amendment 1023

Franc Bogovič, Marlene Mortler, Ljudmila Novak

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 5 – subparagraph 2 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) **50%** where a Member State's weighted intensity of use and risk of chemical plant protection products during the average of the years 2015, 2016 and 2017 is between 70% and 140% of the Union average;

Amendment

(b) **30%** where a Member State's weighted intensity of use and risk of chemical plant protection products during the average of the years 2015, 2016 and 2017 is between 70% and 140% of the Union average;

Or. en

Amendment 1024

Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan, Günther Sidl, Delara Burkhardt

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 5 – subparagraph 2 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) **50%** where a Member State's weighted intensity of use and risk of chemical plant protection products during the average of the years **2015, 2016 and 2017** is between 70% and 140% of the Union average;

Amendment

(b) **50%** where a Member State's weighted intensity of use and risk of chemical plant protection products during the average of the years **2018, 2019 and 2020** is between 70% and 140% of the Union average;

Or. en

Amendment 1025

Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Lena Düpont, Peter Jahr, Jens Gieseke, Angelika Niebler, Andreas Schwab, Ralf Seekatz, David McAllister, Christine Schneider, Stefan Berger, Sabine Verheyen, Rainer Wieland, Monika Hohlmeier, Michael Gahler, Christian Doleschal, Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Daniel Caspary, Herbert

Dorfmann

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 5 – subparagraph 2 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

b) 50 %, wenn die gewichtete Intensität von Verwendung und Risiko chemischer Pflanzenschutzmittel in einem Mitgliedstaat im Durchschnitt der Jahre **2015, 2016 und 2017** zwischen 70 % und 140 % des Unionsdurchschnitts beträgt;

Amendment

b) 50 %, wenn die gewichtete Intensität von Verwendung und Risiko chemischer Pflanzenschutzmittel in einem Mitgliedstaat im Durchschnitt der Jahre **2011, 2012 und 2013** zwischen 70 % und 140 % des Unionsdurchschnitts beträgt;

Or. de

Amendment 1026

Christine Schneider, Ralf Seekatz, Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Sven Simon, Angelika Niebler, Monika Hohlmeier, Christian Doleschal, Franc Bogovič, Marlene Mortler, Daniel Caspary, Rainer Wieland, Sabine Verheyen, Christophe Hansen

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 5 – subparagraph 2 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) 50% where a Member State's weighted intensity of use and risk of chemical plant protection products during the average of the years **2015, 2016 and 2017** is between 70% and 140% of the Union average;

Amendment

(b) 50% where a Member State's weighted intensity of use and risk of chemical plant protection products during the average of the years **2011 to 2013** is between 70% and 140% of the Union average;

Or. en

Amendment 1027

Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Peter Lundgren, Hermann Tertsch, Margarita de la Pisa Carrión, Mazaly Aguilar, Evžen Tošenovský, Sergio Berlato, Jorge Buxadé Villalba, Eugen Jurzyca, Pietro Fiocchi, Charlie Weimers, Johan Nissinen

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 5 – subparagraph 2 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) **50%** where a Member State's weighted intensity of use and risk of chemical plant protection products during the average of the years **2015, 2016 and 2017 is between 70% and 140% of the** Union average;

Amendment

(b) **at least 35%** where a Member State's weighted intensity of use and risk of chemical plant protection products during the average of the years **2011, 2012 and 2013 is more than** Union average;

Or. en

Amendment 1028
Esther de Lange

Proposal for a regulation
Article 5 – paragraph 5 – subparagraph 2 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) **50%** where a Member State's weighted intensity of use and risk of chemical plant protection products during the average of the years **2015, 2016 and 2017** is between 70% and 140% of the Union average;

Amendment

(b) **50%** where a Member State's weighted intensity of use and risk of chemical plant protection products during the average of the years **2010-2020** is between 70% and 140% of the Union average;

Or. en

Amendment 1029
Silvia Sardone

Proposal for a regulation
Article 5 – paragraph 5 – subparagraph 2 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

b) **50 %** se l'intensità ponderata **di uso e rischio dei** prodotti fitosanitari chimici di uno Stato membro **durante la** media degli anni **2015, 2016 e 2017 si situa** tra il **70 % e il 140 %** della media dell'Unione;

Amendment

b) **50%** se l'intensità ponderata **dell'uso e del** rischio **di** prodotti fitosanitari chimici di uno Stato membro **nella** media degli anni **dal 2011 al 2020 è compresa** tra il **70% e il 140%** della media dell'Unione;

Or. it

Amendment 1030
Edina Tóth

Proposal for a regulation
Article 5 – paragraph 5 – subparagraph 2 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(c) 65% where a Member State's weighted intensity of use and risk of chemical plant protection products during the average of the years 2015, 2016 and 2017 is more than 140% of the Union average. **deleted**

Or. en

Amendment 1031

Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Peter Lundgren, Hermann Tertsch, Margarita de la Pisa Carrión, Mazaly Aguilar, Evžen Tošenovský, Sergio Berlato, Jorge Buxadé Villalba, Eugen Jurzyca, Pietro Fiocchi, Charlie Weimers, Alexander Bernhuber, Johan Nissinen

Proposal for a regulation
Article 5 – paragraph 5 – subparagraph 2 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(c) 65% where a Member State's weighted intensity of use and risk of chemical plant protection products during the average of the years 2015, 2016 and 2017 is more than 140% of the Union average. **deleted**

Or. en

Amendment 1032

Jan Huitema, Emma Wiesner, Erik Poulsen, Billy Kelleher, Asger Christensen

Proposal for a regulation
Article 5 – paragraph 5 – subparagraph 2 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

(c) 65% where a Member State's weighted intensity of use and risk of chemical plant protection products during the average of the years **2015, 2016 and 2017** is more than 140% of the Union average.

Amendment

(c) 65% where a Member State's weighted intensity of use and risk of chemical plant protection products during the average of the years **2013, 2014 and 2015** is more than 140% of the Union average.

Or. en

Amendment 1033

Peter Liese

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 5 – subparagraph 2 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

(c) 65% where a Member State's weighted intensity of use and risk of chemical plant protection products during the average of the years **2015, 2016 and 2017** is more than 140% of the Union average.

Amendment

(c) 65% where a Member State's weighted intensity of use and risk of chemical plant protection products during the average of the years **2013, 2014 and 2015** is more than 140% of the Union average.

Or. en

Amendment 1034

Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan, Günther Sidl, Delara Burkhardt

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 5 – subparagraph 2 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

(c) 65% where a Member State's weighted intensity of use and risk of chemical plant protection products during the average of the years **2015, 2016 and 2017** is more than 140% of the Union average.

Amendment

(c) 65% where a Member State's weighted intensity of use and risk of chemical plant protection products during the average of the years **2018, 2019 and 2020** is more than 140% of the Union average.

Or. en

Amendment 1035

Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Lena Düpont, Peter Jahr, Jens Gieseke, Angelika Niebler, Andreas Schwab, Ralf Seekatz, David McAllister, Christine Schneider, Stefan Berger, Sabine Verheyen, Rainer Wieland, Monika Hohlmeier, Michael Gahler, Christian Doleschal, Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Daniel Caspary, Herbert Dorfmann

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 5 – subparagraph 2 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

c) 65 %, wenn die gewichtete Intensität von Verwendung und Risiko chemischer Pflanzenschutzmittel in einem Mitgliedstaat im Durchschnitt der Jahre **2015, 2016 und 2017** mehr als 140 % des Unionsdurchschnitts beträgt.

c) 65 %, wenn die gewichtete Intensität von Verwendung und Risiko chemischer Pflanzenschutzmittel in einem Mitgliedstaat im Durchschnitt der Jahre **2011, 2012 und 2013** mehr als 140 % des Unionsdurchschnitts beträgt.

Or. de

Amendment 1036

Franc Bogovič, Marlene Mortler, Ljudmila Novak

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 5 – subparagraph 2 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(c) **65%** where a Member State's weighted intensity of use and risk of chemical plant protection products during the average of the years 2015, 2016 and 2017 is more than 140% of the Union average.

(c) **40%** where a Member State's weighted intensity of use and risk of chemical plant protection products during the average of the years 2015, 2016 and 2017 is more than 140% of the Union average.

Or. en

Amendment 1037

Dan-Ștefan Motreanu, Carmen Avram, Marian-Jean Marinescu, Daniel Buda

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 5 – subparagraph 2 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

(c) 65% where a Member State's weighted intensity of use and risk of chemical plant protection products during the average of the years 2015, 2016 and 2017 is more than 140% of the Union average.

Amendment

(c) 65% where a Member State's weighted intensity of use and risk of chemical plant protection products during the average of the years 2015, 2016 and 2017 is more than 140% of the Union average.

Or. en

Amendment 1038

Ondřej Knotek, Martin Hlaváček

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 5 – subparagraph 2 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

(c) 65% where a Member State's weighted intensity of use and risk of chemical plant protection products during the average of the years **2015, 2016 and 2017** is more than 140% of the Union average.

Amendment

(c) 55% where a Member State's weighted intensity of use and risk of chemical plant protection products during the average of the years **2011, 2012 and 2013** is more than 140% of the Union average.

Or. en

Amendment 1039

Christine Schneider, Ralf Seekatz, Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Sven Simon, Angelika Niebler, Monika Hohlmeier, Christian Doleschal, Franc Bogovič, Marlene Mortler, Daniel Caspary, Rainer Wieland, Sabine Verheyen, Christophe Hansen

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 5 – subparagraph 2 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

(c) 65% where a Member State's weighted intensity of use and risk of chemical plant protection products during the average of the years **2015, 2016 and 2017** is more than 140% of the Union average.

Amendment

(c) 65% where a Member State's weighted intensity of use and risk of chemical plant protection products during the average of the years **2011 to 2013** is more than 140% of the Union average.

Amendment 1040
Esther de Lange

Proposal for a regulation
Article 5 – paragraph 5 – subparagraph 2 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

(c) 65% where a Member State's weighted intensity of use and risk of chemical plant protection products during the average of the years **2015, 2016 and 2017** is more than 140% of the Union average.

Amendment

(c) 65% where a Member State's weighted intensity of use and risk of chemical plant protection products during the average of the years **2010-2020** is more than 140% of the Union average.

Amendment 1041
Silvia Sardone

Proposal for a regulation
Article 5 – paragraph 5 – subparagraph 2 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

c) 65 % se l'intensità ponderata **di uso e** rischio **dei** prodotti fitosanitari chimici **di uno Stato membro** durante la media degli anni **2015, 2016 e 2017** è superiore al **140 %** della media dell'Unione.

Amendment

c) 65% se l'intensità ponderata **dell'uso e del** rischio **di** prodotti fitosanitari chimici durante la media degli anni **dal 2011 al 2020** è superiore al **140%** della media dell'Unione.

Amendment 1042
Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation
Article 5 – paragraph 5 – subparagraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

The figure related to the use and risk shall be the following: ***deleted***

(a) where a Member State has achieved a greater reduction in the use and risk of chemical plant protection products than the Union average between the average of the years 2011, 2012 and 2013 and the average of the years 2015, 2016 and 2017, a figure that is established by subtracting from 50% the difference between the reduction achieved and the Union average reduction;

(b) where a Member State has increased the use and risk of chemical plant protection products, or has made a smaller reduction than the Union average between the average of the years 2011, 2012 and 2013 and the average of the years 2015, 2016 and 2017, a figure that is established by adding to 50% the difference between the reduction or, as applicable, increase achieved and the Union average reduction, but without surpassing 70%.

Or. en

Amendment 1043

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjärd

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 5 – subparagraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

The figure related to the use and risk shall be the following: ***deleted***

(a) where a Member State has achieved a greater reduction in the use and risk of chemical plant protection products than the Union average between the average of the years 2011, 2012 and

2013 and the average of the years 2015, 2016 and 2017, a figure that is established by subtracting from 50% the difference between the reduction achieved and the Union average reduction;

(b) where a Member State has increased the use and risk of chemical plant protection products, or has made a smaller reduction than the Union average between the average of the years 2011, 2012 and 2013 and the average of the years 2015, 2016 and 2017, a figure that is established by adding to 50% the difference between the reduction or, as applicable, increase achieved and the Union average reduction, but without surpassing 70%.

Or. en

Amendment 1044
Edina Tóth

Proposal for a regulation
Article 5 – paragraph 5 – subparagraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

The figure related to the use and risk shall be the following: **deleted**

(a) where a Member State has achieved a greater reduction in the use and risk of chemical plant protection products than the Union average between the average of the years 2011, 2012 and 2013 and the average of the years 2015, 2016 and 2017, a figure that is established by subtracting from 50% the difference between the reduction achieved and the Union average reduction;

(b) where a Member State has increased the use and risk of chemical plant protection products, or has made a smaller reduction than the Union average between the average of the years 2011,

2012 and 2013 and the average of the years 2015, 2016 and 2017, a figure that is established by adding to 50% the difference between the reduction or, as applicable, increase achieved and the Union average reduction, but without surpassing 70%.

Or. en

Amendment 1045

Rosanna Conte

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 5 – subparagraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

La cifra relativa all'uso e al rischio è la seguente:

soppresso

a) se uno Stato membro ha registrato una riduzione dell'uso e del rischio dei prodotti fitosanitari chimici superiore alla media dell'Unione tra la media degli anni 2011, 2012 e 2013 e la media degli anni 2015, 2016 e 2017, una cifra ottenuta sottraendo dal 50 % la differenza tra la riduzione registrata e la riduzione media a livello dell'Unione;

b) se uno Stato membro ha registrato un aumento dell'uso e del rischio dei prodotti fitosanitari chimici o ha registrato una riduzione inferiore alla media dell'Unione tra la media degli anni 2011, 2012 e 2013 e la media degli anni 2015, 2016 e 2017, una cifra ottenuta sommando al 50 % la differenza tra la riduzione o, se del caso, l'aumento registrati e la riduzione media a livello dell'Unione, senza tuttavia superare il 70 %.

Or. it

Amendment 1046

Dan-Ştefan Motreanu, Carmen Avram, Marian-Jean Marinescu, Daniel Buda

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 5 – subparagraph 3 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(a) *where a Member State has achieved a greater reduction in the use and risk of chemical plant protection products than the Union average between the average of the years 2011, 2012 and 2013 and the average of the years 2015, 2016 and 2017, a figure that is established by subtracting from 50% the difference between the reduction achieved and the Union average reduction;* **deleted**

Or. en

Amendment 1047

Silvia Sardone

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 5 – subparagraph 3 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

a) se uno Stato membro ha **registrato** una riduzione dell'uso e del rischio dei prodotti fitosanitari chimici superiore alla media dell'Unione tra la media degli anni 2011, **2012 e 2013 e la media degli anni 2015, 2016 e 2017, una** cifra **ottenuta** sottraendo dal **50 %** la differenza tra la riduzione **registrata** e la riduzione media **a livello** dell'Unione;

a) se uno Stato membro ha **conseguito** una riduzione dell'uso e del rischio dei prodotti fitosanitari chimici superiore alla media dell'Unione tra la media degli anni **dal 2011 al 2020**, cifra **stabilita** sottraendo dal **50%** la differenza tra la riduzione **conseguita** e la riduzione media dell'Unione;

Or. it

Amendment 1048

Esther de Lange

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 5 – subparagraph 3 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) where a Member State has achieved a greater reduction in the use and risk of chemical plant protection products than the Union average between the average of the years **2011, 2012 and 2013 and the average of the years 2015, 2016 and 2017**, a figure that is established by subtracting from 50% the difference between the reduction achieved and the Union average reduction;

Amendment

(a) where a Member State has achieved a greater reduction in the use and risk of chemical plant protection products than the Union average between the average of the years **2010-2020**, a figure that is established by subtracting from 50% the difference between the reduction achieved and the Union average reduction;

Or. en

Amendment 1049

Christine Schneider, Ralf Seekatz, Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Sven Simon, Angelika Niebler, Monika Hohlmeier, Christian Doleschal, Franc Bogovič, Marlene Mortler, Daniel Caspary, Rainer Wieland, Sabine Verheyen, Christophe Hansen

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 5 – subparagraph 3 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) where a Member State has achieved a greater reduction in the use and risk of chemical plant protection products than the Union average between the average of the years 2011, **2012 and 2013 and the average of the years 2015, 2016 and 2017**, a figure that is established by subtracting from 50% the difference between the reduction achieved and the Union average reduction;

Amendment

(a) where a Member State has achieved a greater reduction in the use and risk of chemical plant protection products than the Union average between the average of the years 2011 **to 2013**, a figure that is established by subtracting from 50% the difference between the reduction achieved and the Union average reduction;

Or. en

Amendment 1050

Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Lena Düpont, Peter Jahr, Jens Gieseke, Angelika Niebler, Andreas Schwab, Ralf Seekatz, David McAllister, Christine Schneider, Stefan Berger, Sabine Verheyen, Rainer Wieland, Monika Hohlmeier, Michael Gahler,

Christian Doleschal, Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Daniel Caspary, Herbert Dorfmann

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 5 – subparagraph 3 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

a) Hat ein Mitgliedstaat eine Reduktion der Verwendung und des Risikos chemischer Pflanzenschutzmittel erreicht, die über dem Unionsdurchschnitt zwischen dem Durchschnitt der Jahre 2011, 2012 und 2013 **und dem Durchschnitt der Jahre 2015, 2016 und 2017** liegt, errechnet sich der Wert, indem die Differenz zwischen der erreichten Reduktion und der durchschnittlichen Reduktion in der Union von 50 % subtrahiert wird.

Amendment

a) Hat ein Mitgliedstaat eine Reduktion der Verwendung und des Risikos chemischer Pflanzenschutzmittel erreicht, die über dem Unionsdurchschnitt zwischen dem Durchschnitt der Jahre 2011, 2012 und 2013 liegt, errechnet sich der Wert, indem die Differenz zwischen der erreichten Reduktion und der durchschnittlichen Reduktion in der Union von 50 % subtrahiert wird.

Or. de

Amendment 1051

Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Peter Lundgren, Hermann Tertsch, Margarita de la Pisa Carrión, Mazaly Aguilar, Evžen Tošenovský, Sergio Berlato, Jorge Buxadé Villalba, Eugen Jurzyca, Charlie Weimers, Johan Nissinen

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 5 – subparagraph 3 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) where a Member State has achieved a greater reduction in the use and risk of chemical plant protection products than the Union average between the average of the years 2011, 2012 and 2013 and the average of the years **2015, 2016 and 2017**, a figure that is established by subtracting from 50% the difference between the reduction achieved and the Union average reduction;

Amendment

(a) where a Member State has achieved a greater reduction in the use and risk of chemical plant protection products than the Union average between the average of the years 2011, 2012 and 2013 and the average of the years **2018, 2019 and 2020**, a figure that is established by subtracting from 50% the difference between the reduction achieved and the Union average reduction;

Or. en

Amendment 1052

Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan, Günther Sidl, Delara Burkhardt

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 5 – subparagraph 3 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) where a Member State has achieved a greater reduction in the use and risk of chemical plant protection products than the Union average between the average of the years 2011, 2012 and 2013 and the average of the years **2015, 2016 and 2017**, a figure that is established by subtracting from 50% the difference between the reduction achieved and the Union average reduction;

Amendment

(a) where a Member State has achieved a greater reduction in the use and risk of chemical plant protection products than the Union average between the average of the years 2011, 2012 and 2013 and the average of the years **2018, 2019 and 2020**, a figure that is established by subtracting from 50% the difference between the reduction achieved and the Union average reduction;

Or. en

Amendment 1053

Jan Huitema, Emma Wiesner, Erik Poulsen, Billy Kelleher, Asger Christensen

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 5 – subparagraph 3 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) where a Member State has achieved a greater reduction in the use and risk of chemical plant protection products than the Union average between the average of the years 2011, 2012 and 2013 and the average of the years **2015, 2016 and 2017**, a figure that is established by subtracting from 50% the difference between the reduction achieved and the Union average reduction;

Amendment

(a) where a Member State has achieved a greater reduction in the use and risk of chemical plant protection products than the Union average between the average of the years 2011, 2012 and 2013 and the average of the years **2013, 2014 and 2015** a figure that is established by subtracting from 50% the difference between the reduction achieved and the Union average reduction;

Or. en

Amendment 1054

Ondřej Knotek, Martin Hlaváček

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 5 – subparagraph 3 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(a) where a Member State has achieved a greater reduction in the use and risk of chemical plant protection products than the Union average between the average of the years 2011, 2012 and 2013 and the average of the years **2015, 2016 and 2017**, a figure that is established by subtracting from **50% the difference between the reduction achieved and the Union average reduction**;

(a) where a Member State has achieved a greater reduction in the use and risk of chemical plant protection products than the Union average between the average of the years 2011, 2012 and 2013 and the average of the years **2018, 2019 and 2020**, a figure that is established by subtracting from **the levels applied according to paragraphs 5, subparagraph 2, points (b) and (c)**.

Or. en

Amendment 1055

Dan-Ștefan Motreanu, Carmen Avram, Marian-Jean Marinescu, Daniel Buda

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 5 – subparagraph 3 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) **where a Member State has increased the use and risk of chemical plant protection products, or has made a smaller reduction than the Union average between the average of the years 2011, 2012 and 2013 and the average of the years 2015, 2016 and 2017, a figure that is established by adding to 50% the difference between the reduction or, as applicable, increase achieved and the Union average reduction, but without surpassing 70%.**

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 1056

Ondřej Knotek, Martin Hlaváček

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 5 – subparagraph 3 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) where a Member State has increased the use and risk of chemical plant protection products, or has made a smaller reduction than the Union average between the average of the years 2011, 2012 and 2013 and the average of the years 2015, 2016 and 2017, a figure that is established by adding to 50% the difference between the reduction or, as applicable, increase achieved and the Union average reduction, but without surpassing 70%.

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 1057

Christine Schneider, Ralf Seekatz, Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Sven Simon, Angelika Niebler, Monika Hohlmeier, Christian Doleschal, Franc Bogovič, Marlene Mortler, Daniel Caspary, Rainer Wieland, Sabine Verheyen, Christophe Hansen

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 5 – subparagraph 3 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) where a Member State has increased the use and risk of chemical plant protection products, or has made a smaller reduction than the Union average between the average of the years 2011, 2012 and 2013 and the average of the years 2015, 2016 and 2017, a figure that is established by adding to 50% the difference between the reduction or, as applicable, increase achieved and the Union average reduction, but without surpassing 70%.

(b) where a Member State has increased the use and risk of chemical plant protection products, or has made a smaller reduction than the Union average between the average of the years 2011 to 2013 a figure that is established by adding to 50% the difference between the reduction or, as applicable, increase achieved and the Union average reduction.

Or. en

Amendment 1058

Silvia Sardone

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 5 – subparagraph 3 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

b) se uno Stato membro ha **registrato un aumento dell'uso e del rischio dei** prodotti fitosanitari chimici o ha **registrato** una riduzione inferiore alla media dell'Unione tra la media degli anni 2011, **2012 e 2013 e la media degli anni 2015, 2016 e 2017**, una cifra **ottenuta sommando al 50 %** la differenza tra la riduzione o, se del caso, l'aumento **registrati** e la riduzione media **a livello** dell'Unione, senza **tuttavia** superare il **70 %**.

Amendment

b) se uno Stato membro ha **aumentato l'uso e il rischio di** prodotti fitosanitari chimici o ha **effettuato** una riduzione inferiore alla media dell'Unione tra la media degli anni **dal 2011 al 2020**, una cifra **stabilita aggiungendo al 50%** la differenza tra la riduzione o, se del caso, l'aumento **conseguito** e la riduzione media dell'Unione, **ma** senza superare il **70%**.

Or. it

Amendment 1059

Esther de Lange

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 5 – subparagraph 3 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) where a Member State has increased the use and risk of chemical plant protection products, or has made a smaller reduction than the Union average between the average of the years **2011, 2012 and 2013 and the average of the years 2015, 2016 and 2017**, a figure that is established by adding to 50% the difference between the reduction or, as applicable, increase achieved and the Union average reduction, but without surpassing 70%.

Amendment

(b) where a Member State has increased the use and risk of chemical plant protection products, or has made a smaller reduction than the Union average between the average of the years **2010-2020**, a figure that is established by adding to 50% the difference between the reduction or, as applicable, increase achieved and the Union average reduction, but without surpassing 70%.

Or. en

Amendment 1060

Esther de Lange

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 5 – subparagraph 3 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) where a Member State has increased the use and risk of chemical plant protection products, or has made a smaller reduction than the Union average between the average of the years **2011, 2012 and 2013 and the average of the years 2015, 2016 and 2017**, a figure that is established by adding to 50% the difference between the reduction or, as applicable, increase achieved and the Union average reduction, but without surpassing 70%.

Amendment

(b) where a Member State has increased the use and risk of chemical plant protection products, or has made a smaller reduction than the Union average between the average of the years **2010-2020**, a figure that is established by adding to 50% the difference between the reduction or, as applicable, increase achieved and the Union average reduction, but without surpassing 70%.

Or. en

Amendment 1061

Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Lena Düpont, Peter Jahr, Jens Gieseke, Angelika Niebler, Andreas Schwab, Ralf Seekatz, David McAllister, Christine Schneider, Stefan Berger, Sabine Verheyen, Rainer Wieland, Monika Hohlmeier, Michael Gahler, Christian Doleschal, Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Daniel Caspary, Herbert Dorfmann

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 5 – subparagraph 3 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

b) hat sich in einem Mitgliedstaat die Verwendung und das Risiko chemischer Pflanzenschutzmittel erhöht oder wurde nur eine Reduktion erreicht, die unter dem Unionsdurchschnitt zwischen dem Durchschnitt der Jahre 2011, 2012 und 2013 **und dem Durchschnitt der Jahre 2015, 2016 und 2017** liegt, errechnet sich der Wert, indem die Differenz zwischen der erreichten Reduktion oder, sofern zutreffend, Erhöhung und der durchschnittlichen Reduktion in der Union zu 50 % hinzuaddiert wird, wobei der Wert von 70 % nicht überschritten wird.

Amendment

b) hat sich in einem Mitgliedstaat die Verwendung und das Risiko chemischer Pflanzenschutzmittel erhöht oder wurde nur eine Reduktion erreicht, die unter dem Unionsdurchschnitt zwischen dem Durchschnitt der Jahre 2011, 2012 und 2013 liegt, errechnet sich der Wert, indem die Differenz zwischen der erreichten Reduktion oder, sofern zutreffend, Erhöhung und der durchschnittlichen Reduktion in der Union zu 50 % hinzuaddiert wird, wobei der Wert von 70 % nicht überschritten wird.

Or. de

Amendment 1062

Jan Huitema, Emma Wiesner, Erik Poulsen, Billy Kelleher, Asger Christensen

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 5 – subparagraph 3 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) where a Member State has increased the use and risk of chemical plant protection products, or has made a smaller reduction than the Union average between the average of the years 2011, 2012 and 2013 and the average of the years **2015, 2016 and 2017**, a figure that is established by adding to 50% the difference between the reduction or, as applicable, increase achieved and the Union average reduction, but without surpassing 70%.

Amendment

(b) where a Member State has increased the use and risk of chemical plant protection products, or has made a smaller reduction than the Union average between the average of the years 2011, 2012 and 2013 and the average of the years **2013, 2014 and 2015**, a figure that is established by adding to 50% the difference between the reduction or, as applicable, increase achieved and the Union average reduction, but without surpassing 70%.

Or. en

Amendment 1063

Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan, Günther Sidl, Delara Burkhardt

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 5 – subparagraph 3 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) where a Member State has increased the use and risk of chemical plant protection products, or has made a smaller reduction than the Union average between the average of the years 2011, 2012 and 2013 and the average of the years **2015, 2016 and 2017**, a figure that is established by adding to 50% the difference between the reduction or, as applicable, increase achieved and the Union average reduction, **but without surpassing 70%.**

Amendment

(b) where a Member State has increased the use and risk of chemical plant protection products, or has made a smaller reduction than the Union average between the average of the years 2011, 2012 and 2013 and the average of the years **2018, 2019 and 2020**, a figure that is established by adding to 50% the difference between the reduction or, as applicable, increase achieved and the Union average reduction;

Or. en

Amendment 1064

Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Peter Lundgren, Hermann Tertsch, Margarita de la Pisa Carrión, Mazaly Aguilar, Evžen Tošenovský, Sergio Berlato, Jorge Buxadé Villalba, Eugen Jurzyca, Charlie Weimers, Johan Nissinen

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 5 – subparagraph 3 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) where a Member State has increased the use and risk of chemical plant protection products, or has made a smaller reduction than the Union average between the average of the years 2011, 2012 and 2013 and the average of the years **2015, 2016 and 2017**, a figure that is established by adding to 50% the difference between the reduction or, as applicable, increase achieved and the Union average reduction, **but without surpassing 70%.**

Amendment

(b) where a Member State has increased the use and risk of chemical plant protection products, or has made a smaller reduction than the Union average between the average of the years 2011, 2012 and 2013 and the average of the years **2018, 2019 and 2020**, a figure that is established by adding to 50% the difference between the reduction or, as applicable, increase achieved and the Union average reduction.

Or. en

Amendment 1065

Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Lena Düpont, Peter Jahr, Jens Gieseke, Angelika Niebler, Andreas Schwab, Ralf Seekatz, David McAllister, Christine Schneider, Stefan Berger, Sabine Verheyen, Rainer Wieland, Monika Hohlmeier, Michael Gahler, Christian Doleschal, Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Alexander Bernhuber, Daniel Caspary, Herbert Dorfmann, Simone Schmiedtbauer

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 5 – subparagraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

Für die Zwecke dieses Absatzes bezeichnet der Ausdruck „gewichtete Intensität von Verwendung und Risiko chemischer Pflanzenschutzmittel“ einen Wert, der den Kilogrammen chemischer Wirkstoffe in Pflanzenschutzmitteln entspricht, die pro Jahr in einem Mitgliedstaat verkauft werden, gewichtet nach ihren Gefahrgewichtungen gemäß Zeile iii der Tabelle in Anhang I, geteilt durch die Hektarzahl der

Amendment

entfällt

landwirtschaftlich genutzten Fläche in diesem Mitgliedstaat.

Or. de

Amendment 1066

Rosanna Conte

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 5 – subparagraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Ai fini del presente paragrafo, per "intensità ponderata di uso e rischio dei prodotti fitosanitari chimici" si intende un valore corrispondente ai chilogrammi di sostanze chimiche attive contenute nei prodotti fitosanitari venduti ogni anno in uno Stato membro, ponderati in base alle loro ponderazioni del pericolo di cui alla riga iii) della tabella dell'allegato I, diviso per il numero di ettari di superficie agricola utilizzata in tale Stato membro.

soppresso

Or. it

Amendment 1067

Edina Tóth

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 5 – subparagraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

For the purposes of this paragraph 'weighted intensity of use and risk of chemical plant protection products' means a value corresponding to the kilograms of chemical active substances in plant protection products sold per year in a Member State, weighted according to their hazard weightings as set out in row (iii) of the Table of Annex I, divided by the number of hectares of utilised

deleted

agricultural area in that Member State.

Or. en

Amendment 1068

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjärd

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 5 – subparagraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

For the purposes of this paragraph **deleted**
‘weighted intensity of use and risk of chemical plant protection products’ means a value corresponding to the kilograms of chemical active substances in plant protection products sold per year in a Member State, weighted according to their hazard weightings as set out in row (iii) of the Table of Annex I, divided by the number of hectares of utilised agricultural area in that Member State.

Or. en

Amendment 1069

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 5 – subparagraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

For the purposes of this paragraph **deleted**
‘weighted intensity of use and risk of chemical plant protection products’ means a value corresponding to the kilograms of chemical active substances in plant protection products sold per year in a Member State, weighted according to their hazard weightings as set out in row (iii) of the Table of Annex I, divided by the number of hectares of utilised

agricultural area in that Member State.

Or. en

Amendment 1070

Pascal Canfin, Martin Hojsík, Max Orville

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 5 – subparagraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

For the purposes of this paragraph ‘weighted intensity of use and risk of chemical plant protection products’ means a value corresponding to the kilograms of chemical active substances in plant protection products sold per year in a Member State, weighted according to their hazard weightings as set out in row (iii) of the Table of Annex I, divided by the number of hectares of utilised agricultural area in that Member State.

Amendment

For the purposes of this paragraph ‘weighted intensity of use and risk of chemical plant protection products’ means a value corresponding to the kilograms of chemical active substances in plant protection products sold per year in a Member State, ***standardized by the reference hectare application rate on the basis of the maximum dose per hectare in each application***, weighted according to their hazard weightings as set out in row (iii) of the Table of Annex I, divided by the number of hectares of utilised agricultural area in that Member State.

Or. en

Amendment 1071

Silvia Sardone

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 5 – subparagraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

Ai fini del presente paragrafo, per “intensità ponderata **di uso e** rischio dei prodotti fitosanitari chimici” si intende un valore corrispondente ai chilogrammi di sostanze chimiche attive contenute nei prodotti fitosanitari venduti ogni anno in uno Stato membro, **ponderati** in base **alle loro ponderazioni del pericolo** di cui alla

Amendment

Ai fini del presente paragrafo, per «intensità ponderata **dell'uso e del** rischio dei prodotti fitosanitari chimici» si intende un valore corrispondente ai chilogrammi di sostanze chimiche attive contenute nei prodotti fitosanitari venduti ogni anno in uno Stato membro, **ponderato** in base **alla ponderazione dei pericoli dei rischi** di cui

riga iii) della tabella dell'allegato I, **diviso per il numero di ettari di superficie agricola utilizzata in tale Stato membro.**

alla riga iii) della tabella dell'allegato I. **Tali ponderazioni saranno misurate mediante un insieme di indicatori di rischio armonizzati scientificamente giustificati e su misura per analizzare i dati di utilizzo,**

Or. it

Amendment 1072

Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan, Günther Sidl, Delara Burkhardt

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 5 – subparagraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

For the purposes of this paragraph ‘weighted intensity of use and risk of chemical plant protection products’ means a value corresponding to the kilograms of chemical active substances in plant protection products sold per year in a Member State, weighted according to their hazard weightings as set out in row (iii) of the Table of Annex I, divided by the number of hectares of utilised agricultural area in that Member State.

Amendment

For the purposes of this paragraph ‘weighted intensity of use and risk of chemical plant protection products’ means a value corresponding to the kilograms of chemical active substances in plant protection products sold per year in a Member State, **divided by the respective hectare application rates of the active substances and** weighted according to their hazard weightings as set out in row (iii) of the Table of Annex I, divided by the number of hectares of utilised agricultural area in that Member State.

Or. en

Amendment 1073

Dan-Ștefan Motreanu, Carmen Avram, Marian-Jean Marinescu, Daniel Buda

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 5 – subparagraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

For the purposes of this paragraph ‘weighted intensity of use and risk of chemical plant protection products’ means a value corresponding to the kilograms of

Amendment

For the purposes of this paragraph ‘weighted intensity of use and risk of chemical plant protection products’ means a value corresponding to the kilograms of

chemical active substances in plant protection products sold per year in a Member State, weighted according to their **hazard** weightings as set out in row (iii) of the Table of Annex I, divided by the number of hectares of utilised agricultural area in that Member State.

chemical active substances in plant protection products sold per year in a Member State, weighted according to their **risk** weightings as set out in row (iii) of the Table of Annex I, divided by the number of hectares of utilised agricultural area in that Member State.

Or. en

Amendment 1074

Edina Tóth

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 6

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

6. A Member State may reduce its national target for the use of the more hazardous plant protection products referred to in paragraph 4 to a percentage that is a mid-point between the figure related to intensity as laid down in the second subparagraph of this paragraph and the figure related to use as laid down in the third subparagraph of this paragraph. Where that percentage is higher than 50%, the Member State shall increase its national target to that percentage.

deleted

The figure related to intensity shall be the following:

(a) 35% where a Member State's intensity of use of the more hazardous plant protection products during the average of the years 2015, 2016 and 2017 is less than 70% of the Union average;

(b) 50% where a Member State's intensity of use of the more hazardous plant protection products during the average of the years 2015, 2016 and 2017 is between 70% and 140% of the Union average;

(c) 65% where a Member State's

intensity of use of the more hazardous plant protection products during the average of the years 2015, 2016 and 2017 is more than 140% of the Union average.

The figure related to the use shall be the following:

(a) where a Member State has achieved a greater reduction in the use of the more hazardous plant protection products than the Union average between the average of the years 2011, 2012 and 2013 and the average of the years 2015, 2016 and 2017, a figure that is established by subtracting from 50% the difference between the reduction achieved and the Union average reduction;

(b) where a Member State has increased the use of the more hazardous plant protection products, or has made a smaller reduction than the Union average between the average of the years 2011, 2012 and 2013 and the average of the years 2015, 2016 and 2017, a figure that is established by adding to 50% the difference between the reduction or, as applicable, increase achieved and the Union average reduction, but without surpassing 70%.

For the purposes of this paragraph ‘intensity of use of the more hazardous plant protection products’ means a value corresponding to the kilograms of chemical active substances in the more hazardous plant protection products sold per year in the Member State concerned divided by the number of hectares of utilised agricultural area in that Member State.

Or. en

Amendment 1075
Jessica Polfjärd

Proposal for a regulation
Article 5 – paragraph 6

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

6. A Member State may reduce its national target for the use of the more hazardous plant protection products referred to in paragraph 4 to a percentage that is a mid-point between the figure related to intensity as laid down in the second subparagraph of this paragraph and the figure related to use as laid down in the third subparagraph of this paragraph. Where that percentage is higher than 50%, the Member State shall increase its national target to that percentage. **deleted**

The figure related to intensity shall be the following:

(a) 35% where a Member State's intensity of use of the more hazardous plant protection products during the average of the years 2015, 2016 and 2017 is less than 70% of the Union average;

(b) 50% where a Member State's intensity of use of the more hazardous plant protection products during the average of the years 2015, 2016 and 2017 is between 70% and 140% of the Union average;

(c) 65% where a Member State's intensity of use of the more hazardous plant protection products during the average of the years 2015, 2016 and 2017 is more than 140% of the Union average.

The figure related to the use shall be the following:

(a) where a Member State has achieved a greater reduction in the use of the more hazardous plant protection products than the Union average between the average of the years 2011, 2012 and 2013 and the average of the years 2015, 2016 and 2017, a figure that is established by subtracting from 50% the difference

between the reduction achieved and the Union average reduction;

(b) where a Member State has increased the use of the more hazardous plant protection products, or has made a smaller reduction than the Union average between the average of the years 2011, 2012 and 2013 and the average of the years 2015, 2016 and 2017, a figure that is established by adding to 50% the difference between the reduction or, as applicable, increase achieved and the Union average reduction, but without surpassing 70%.

For the purposes of this paragraph ‘intensity of use of the more hazardous plant protection products’ means a value corresponding to the kilograms of chemical active substances in the more hazardous plant protection products sold per year in the Member State concerned divided by the number of hectares of utilised agricultural area in that Member State.

Or. en

Amendment 1076

Edina Tóth

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 6 – subparagraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

A Member State may reduce its national target for the use of the more hazardous plant protection products referred to in paragraph 4 to a percentage that is a mid-point between the figure related to intensity as laid down in the second subparagraph of this paragraph and the figure related to use as laid down in the third subparagraph of this paragraph. Where that percentage is higher than 50%, the Member State shall increase its

deleted

national target to that percentage.

Or. en

Amendment 1077

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjärd

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 6 – subparagraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

A Member State may reduce its national target for the use of the more hazardous plant protection products referred to in paragraph 4 to a percentage that is a mid-point between the figure related to intensity as laid down in the second subparagraph of this paragraph and the figure related to use as laid down in the third subparagraph of this paragraph. Where that percentage is higher than 50%, the Member State shall increase its national target to that percentage.

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 1078

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 6 – subparagraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

A Member State may reduce its national target for the use of the more hazardous plant protection products referred to in paragraph 4 to a percentage that is a mid-point between the figure related to intensity as laid down in the second subparagraph of this paragraph and the figure related to use as laid down in the third subparagraph of this paragraph.

deleted

Where that percentage is higher than 50%, the Member State shall increase its national target to that percentage.

Or. en

Amendment 1079
Rosanna Conte

Proposal for a regulation
Article 5 – paragraph 6 – subparagraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Uno Stato membro può ridurre il proprio obiettivo nazionale relativo all'uso dei prodotti fitosanitari più pericolosi di cui al paragrafo 4 ***ad una percentuale che sia un punto intermedio tra la cifra relativa all'intensità di cui al secondo comma del presente paragrafo e la cifra relativa all'uso di cui al terzo comma del presente paragrafo. Se tale percentuale è superiore al 50 %, lo Stato membro aumenta il proprio obiettivo nazionale a tale percentuale.***

Amendment

Uno Stato membro può ridurre il proprio obiettivo nazionale relativo all'uso dei prodotti fitosanitari più pericolosi di cui al paragrafo 4, ***se lo Stato membro in questione ha registrato una riduzione dell'uso e del rischio dei prodotti fitosanitari chimici più pericolosi superiore alla media dell'Unione tra la media egli anni 2011, 2012 e 2013 e la media degli anni 2015, 2016 e 2017. In questo caso la percentuale di riduzione si otterrà sottraendo dal 50% la differenza tra la riduzione registrata e la riduzione media a livello dell'Unione.***

Or. it

Amendment 1080

Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Lena Düpont, Jens Gieseke, Angelika Niebler, Andreas Schwab, Ralf Seekatz, David McAllister, Christine Schneider, Stefan Berger, Sabine Verheyen, Rainer Wieland, Monika Hohlmeier, Michael Gahler, Christian Doleschal, Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Daniel Caspary, Herbert Dorfmann

Proposal for a regulation
Article 5 – paragraph 6 – subparagraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Ein Mitgliedstaat kann sein nationales Ziel für die Verwendung der gefährlicheren Pflanzenschutzmittel gemäß Absatz 4 auf

Amendment

Ein Mitgliedstaat kann sein nationales Ziel für die Verwendung der gefährlicheren Pflanzenschutzmittel gemäß Absatz 4 auf

einen Prozentsatz herabsetzen, der einen Mittelwert zwischen dem in Unterabsatz 2 festgelegten Wert für die Intensität und dem in Unterabsatz 3 festgelegten Wert für die Verwendung darstellt. **Liegt dieser Prozentsatz über 50 %, so steigert der Mitgliedstaat sein nationales Ziel auf diesen Prozentsatz.**

einen Prozentsatz herabsetzen, der einen Mittelwert zwischen dem in Unterabsatz 2 festgelegten Wert für die Intensität und dem in Unterabsatz 3 festgelegten Wert für die Verwendung darstellt.

Or. de

Amendment 1081

Dan-Ştefan Motreanu, Carmen Avram, Marian-Jean Marinescu, Daniel Buda

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 6 – subparagraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

A Member State may reduce its national **target** for the use of the more hazardous plant protection products referred to in paragraph 4 to a percentage that is a mid-point between the figure related to intensity as laid down in the second subparagraph of this paragraph and the figure related to use as laid down in the third subparagraph of this paragraph. Where that percentage is higher than 50%, the Member State shall increase its national **target** to that percentage.

Amendment

A Member State may reduce its national **contributions** for the use of the more hazardous plant protection products referred to in paragraph 4 to a percentage that is a mid-point between the figure related to intensity as laid down in the second subparagraph of this paragraph and the figure related to use as laid down in the third subparagraph of this paragraph. Where that percentage is higher than 50%, the Member State shall increase its national **contributions** to that percentage.

Or. en

Amendment 1082

Robert Roos, Rob Rooker

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 6 – subparagraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Een lidstaat kan zijn nationale doelstelling voor het gebruik van de gevaarlijkere gewasbeschermingsmiddelen als bedoeld

Amendment

Een lidstaat kan zijn nationale doelstelling voor het gebruik van de gevaarlijkere gewasbeschermingsmiddelen als bedoeld

in lid 4 verlagen tot een percentage dat een middelpunt is tussen de in de tweede alinea van dit lid vastgestelde waarde voor de intensiteit en de in de derde alinea van dit lid vastgestelde waarde voor het gebruik. Indien dat percentage hoger is dan **50 %**, verhoogt de lidstaat zijn nationale streefcijfer tot dat percentage.

in lid 4 verlagen tot een percentage dat een middelpunt is tussen de in de tweede alinea van dit lid vastgestelde waarde voor de intensiteit en de in de derde alinea van dit lid vastgestelde waarde voor het gebruik. Indien dat percentage hoger is dan **25 %**, verhoogt de lidstaat zijn nationale streefcijfer tot dat percentage.

(Deze wijziging geldt voor de gehele wetstekst; goedkeuring ervan brengt technische aanpassingen in de gehele tekst mee.)

Or. nl

Amendment 1083

Franco Bogovič, Marlene Mortler, Ljudmila Novak

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 6 – subparagraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

A Member State may reduce its national target for the use of the more hazardous plant protection products referred to in paragraph 4 to a percentage that is a mid-point between the figure related to intensity as laid down in the second subparagraph of this paragraph and the figure related to use as laid down in the third subparagraph of this paragraph. Where that percentage is higher than **50%**, the Member State shall increase its national target to that percentage.

Amendment

A Member State may reduce its national target for the use of the more hazardous plant protection products referred to in paragraph 4 to a percentage that is a mid-point between the figure related to intensity as laid down in the second subparagraph of this paragraph and the figure related to use as laid down in the third subparagraph of this paragraph. Where that percentage is higher than **30%**, the Member State shall increase its national target to that percentage.

Or. en

Amendment 1084

Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan, Günther Sidl, Delara Burkhardt

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 6 – subparagraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

A Member State may reduce its national target for the use of the more hazardous plant protection products referred to in paragraph 4 to a percentage that is a mid-point between the figure related to intensity as laid down in the second subparagraph of this paragraph and the figure related to use as laid down in the third subparagraph of this paragraph. Where that percentage is higher than **50%**, the Member State shall increase its national target to that percentage.

Amendment

A Member State may reduce its national target for the use of the more hazardous plant protection products referred to in paragraph 4 to a percentage that is a mid-point between the figure related to intensity as laid down in the second subparagraph of this paragraph and the figure related to use as laid down in the third subparagraph of this paragraph. Where that percentage is higher than **80%**, the Member State shall increase its national target to that percentage.

Or. en

Amendment 1085

Stanislav Polčák

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 6 – subparagraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Členský stát může svůj vnitrostátní cíl týkající se používání **a rizika** nebezpečnějších přípravků na ochranu rostlin uvedený v odstavci 4 snížit na procento, které je střední hodnotou mezi údajem týkajícím se intenzity uvedeným ve druhém pododstavci tohoto odstavce a údajem týkajícím se použití uvedeným ve třetím pododstavci tohoto odstavce. Pokud je uvedené procento vyšší než 50 %, členský stát zvýší svůj vnitrostátní cíl na toto procento.

Amendment

Členský stát může svůj vnitrostátní cíl týkající se používání nebezpečnějších přípravků na ochranu rostlin uvedený v odstavci 4 snížit na procento, které je střední hodnotou mezi údajem týkajícím se intenzity uvedeným ve druhém pododstavci tohoto odstavce a údajem týkajícím se použití uvedeným ve třetím pododstavci tohoto odstavce. Pokud je uvedené procento vyšší než 50 %, členský stát zvýší svůj vnitrostátní cíl na toto procento.

Or. cs

Amendment 1086

Edina Tóth

Proposal for a regulation
Article 5 – paragraph 6 – subparagraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

The figure related to intensity shall be the following: ***deleted***

(a) 35% where a Member State's intensity of use of the more hazardous plant protection products during the average of the years 2015, 2016 and 2017 is less than 70% of the Union average;

(b) 50% where a Member State's intensity of use of the more hazardous plant protection products during the average of the years 2015, 2016 and 2017 is between 70% and 140% of the Union average;

(c) 65% where a Member State's intensity of use of the more hazardous plant protection products during the average of the years 2015, 2016 and 2017 is more than 140% of the Union average.

Or. en

Amendment 1087

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjärd

Proposal for a regulation
Article 5 – paragraph 6 – subparagraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

The figure related to intensity shall be the following: ***deleted***

(a) 35% where a Member State's intensity of use of the more hazardous plant protection products during the average of the years 2015, 2016 and 2017 is less than 70% of the Union average;

(b) 50% where a Member State's intensity of use of the more hazardous plant protection products during the

average of the years 2015, 2016 and 2017 is between 70% and 140% of the Union average;

(c) 65% where a Member State's intensity of use of the more hazardous plant protection products during the average of the years 2015, 2016 and 2017 is more than 140% of the Union average.

Or. en

Amendment 1088

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 6 – subparagraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

The figure related to intensity shall be the following: **deleted**

(a) 35% where a Member State's intensity of use of the more hazardous plant protection products during the average of the years 2015, 2016 and 2017 is less than 70% of the Union average;

(b) 50% where a Member State's intensity of use of the more hazardous plant protection products during the average of the years 2015, 2016 and 2017 is between 70% and 140% of the Union average;

(c) 65% where a Member State's intensity of use of the more hazardous plant protection products during the average of the years 2015, 2016 and 2017 is more than 140% of the Union average.

Or. en

Amendment 1089

Rosanna Conte

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 6 – subparagraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

La cifra relativa all'intensità è la seguente:

soppresso

a) 35 % se l'intensità di uso dei prodotti fitosanitari più pericolosi di uno Stato membro durante la media degli anni 2015, 2016 e 2017 è inferiore al 70 % della media dell'Unione;

b) 50 % se l'intensità di uso dei prodotti fitosanitari più pericolosi di uno Stato membro durante la media degli anni 2015, 2016 e 2017 si situa tra il 70 % e il 140 % della media dell'Unione;

c) 65 % se l'intensità di uso dei prodotti fitosanitari più pericolosi di uno Stato membro durante la media degli anni 2015, 2016 e 2017 è superiore al 140 % della media dell'Unione.

Or. it

Amendment 1090

Dan-Ştefan Motreanu, Carmen Avram, Marian-Jean Marinescu, Daniel Buda

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 6 – subparagraph 2 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(a) 35% where a Member State's intensity of use of the more hazardous plant protection products during the average of the years 2015, 2016 and 2017 is less than 70% of the Union average;

(a) *at least 10%* where a Member State's intensity of use of the more hazardous plant protection products during the average of the years 2015, 2016 and 2017 is less than 70% of the Union average;

Or. en

Amendment 1091

Ondřej Knotek, Martin Hlaváček

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 6 – subparagraph 2 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) **35%** where a Member State's intensity of use of the more hazardous plant protection products during the average of the years **2015, 2016 and 2017** is less than **70% of** the Union average;

Amendment

(a) **at least 10%** where a Member State's intensity of use of the more hazardous plant protection products during the average of the years **2011, 2012 and 2013** is less than the Union average;

Or. en

Amendment 1092

Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Peter Lundgren, Hermann Tertsch, Margarita de la Pisa Carrión, Mazaly Aguilar, Evžen Tošenovský, Sergio Berlato, Jorge Buxadé Villalba, Eugen Jurzyca, Pietro Fiocchi, Charlie Weimers, Johan Nissinen

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 6 – subparagraph 2 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) **35%** where a Member State's intensity of use of the more hazardous plant protection products during the average of the years **2015, 2016 and 2017** is less than **70% of** the Union average;

Amendment

(a) **at least 10%** where a Member State's intensity of use of the more hazardous plant protection products during the average of the years **2011, 2012 and 2013** is less than the Union average;

Or. en

Amendment 1093

Peter Liese

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 6 – subparagraph 2 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) **35%** where a Member State's intensity of use of the more hazardous plant protection products during the average of the years **2015, 2016 and 2017** is less than 70% of the Union average;

Amendment

(a) **35%** where a Member State's intensity of use of the more hazardous plant protection products during the average of the years **2013, 2014 and 2015** is less than 70% of the Union average;

Amendment 1094

Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Lena Düpont, Peter Jahr, Jens Gieseke, Angelika Niebler, Andreas Schwab, Ralf Seekatz, David McAllister, Christine Schneider, Stefan Berger, Sabine Verheyen, Rainer Wieland, Monika Hohlmeier, Michael Gahler, Christian Doleschal, Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Daniel Caspary, Herbert Dorfmann

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 6 – subparagraph 2 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

a) 35 %, wenn die Intensität der Verwendung der gefährlicheren Pflanzenschutzmittel in einem Mitgliedstaat im Durchschnitt der Jahre **2015, 2016 und 2017** weniger als 70 % des Unionsdurchschnitts beträgt;

Amendment

a) 35 %, wenn die Intensität der Verwendung der gefährlicheren Pflanzenschutzmittel in einem Mitgliedstaat im Durchschnitt der Jahre **2011, 2012 und 2013** weniger als 70 % des Unionsdurchschnitts beträgt;

Amendment 1095

Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan, Günther Sidl, Delara Burkhardt

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 6 – subparagraph 2 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) **35%** where a Member State's intensity of use of the more hazardous plant protection products during the average of the years **2015, 2016 and 2017** is less than 70% of the Union average;

Amendment

(a) **70%** where a Member State's intensity of use of the more hazardous plant protection products during the average of the years **2018, 2019 and 2020** is less than 70% of the Union average;

Amendment 1096

Jan Huitema, Emma Wiesner, Erik Poulsen, Billy Kelleher, Asger Christensen

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 6 – subparagraph 2 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) 35% where a Member State's intensity of use of the more hazardous plant protection products during the average of the years **2015, 2016 and 2017** is less than 70% of the Union average;

Amendment

(a) 35% where a Member State's intensity of use of the more hazardous plant protection products during the average of the years **2013, 2014 and 2015** is less than 70% of the Union average;

Or. en

Amendment 1097

Franc Bogovič, Marlene Mortler, Ljudmila Novak

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 6 – subparagraph 2 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) **35%** where a Member State's intensity of use of the more hazardous plant protection products during the average of the years 2015, 2016 and 2017 is less than 70% of the Union average;

Amendment

(a) **20%** where a Member State's intensity of use of the more hazardous plant protection products during the average of the years 2015, 2016 and 2017 is less than 70% of the Union average;

Or. en

Amendment 1098

Christine Schneider, Ralf Seekatz, Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Sven Simon, Angelika Niebler, Monika Hohlmeier, Christian Doleschal, Franc Bogovič, Marlene Mortler, Daniel Caspary, Rainer Wieland, Sabine Verheyen, Christophe Hansen

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 6 – subparagraph 2 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) 35% where a Member State's intensity of use of the more hazardous plant protection products during the average of the years **2015, 2016 and 2017** is less than 70% of the Union average;

Amendment

(a) 35% where a Member State's intensity of use of the more hazardous plant protection products during the average of the years **2011, 2012 and 2013** is less than 70% of the Union average;

Amendment 1099
Esther de Lange

Proposal for a regulation
Article 5 – paragraph 6 – subparagraph 2 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) 35% where a Member State's intensity of use of the more hazardous plant protection products during the average of the years **2015, 2016 and 2017** is less than 70% of the Union average;

Amendment

(a) 35% where a Member State's intensity of use of the more hazardous plant protection products during the average of the years **2010-2020** is less than 70% of the Union average;

Or. en

Amendment 1100
Silvia Sardone

Proposal for a regulation
Article 5 – paragraph 6 – subparagraph 2 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) 35% where a Member State's intensity of use of the more hazardous plant protection products during the average of the years **2015, 2016 and 2017** is less than 70% of the Union average;

Amendment

(a) 35% where a Member State's intensity of use of the more hazardous plant protection products during the average of the years **2011-2020** is less than 70% of the Union average;

Or. en

Amendment 1101
Ondřej Knotek, Martin Hlaváček

Proposal for a regulation
Article 5 – paragraph 6 – subparagraph 2 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) **50%** where a Member State's

Amendment

(b) **at least 35%** where a Member

intensity of use of the more hazardous plant protection products during the average of the years **2015, 2016 and 2017** is between **70%** and 140% of the Union average;

State's intensity of use of the more hazardous plant protection products during the average of the years **2011, 2012 and 2013** is between **100%** and 140% of the Union average;

Or. en

Amendment 1102

Dan-Ștefan Motreanu, Carmen Avram, Marian-Jean Marinescu, Daniel Buda

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 6 – subparagraph 2 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) **50%** where a Member State's intensity of use of the more hazardous plant protection products during the average of the years 2015, 2016 and 2017 is between 70% and 140% of the Union average;

Amendment

(b) **at least 20%** where a Member State's intensity of use of the more hazardous plant protection products during the average of the years 2015, 2016 and 2017 is between 70% and 140% of the Union average;

Or. en

Amendment 1103

Franc Bogovič, Marlene Mortler, Ljudmila Novak

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 6 – subparagraph 2 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) **50%** where a Member State's intensity of use of the more hazardous plant protection products during the average of the years 2015, 2016 and 2017 is between 70% and 140% of the Union average;

Amendment

(b) **30%** where a Member State's intensity of use of the more hazardous plant protection products during the average of the years 2015, 2016 and 2017 is between 70% and 140% of the Union average;

Or. en

Amendment 1104

Jan Huitema, Emma Wiesner, Erik Poulsen, Billy Kelleher, Asger Christensen

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 6 – subparagraph 2 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) 50% where a Member State's intensity of use of the more hazardous plant protection products during the average of the years **2015, 2016 and 2017** is between 70% and 140% of the Union average;

Amendment

(b) 50% where a Member State's intensity of use of the more hazardous plant protection products during the average of the years **2013, 2014 and 2015** is between 70% and 140% of the Union average;

Or. en

Amendment 1105

Peter Liese

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 6 – subparagraph 2 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) 50% where a Member State's intensity of use of the more hazardous plant protection products during the average of the years **2015, 2016 and 2017** is between 70% and 140% of the Union average;

Amendment

(b) 50% where a Member State's intensity of use of the more hazardous plant protection products during the average of the years **2013, 2014 and 2015** is between 70% and 140% of the Union average;

Or. en

Amendment 1106

Christine Schneider, Ralf Seekatz, Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Sven Simon, Angelika Niebler, Monika Hohlmeier, Christian Doleschal, Franc Bogovič, Marlene Mortler, Daniel Caspary, Rainer Wieland, Sabine Verheyen, Christophe Hansen

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 6 – subparagraph 2 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) 50% where a Member State's

Amendment

(b) 50% where a Member State's

intensity of use of the more hazardous plant protection products during the average of the years **2015, 2016 and 2017** is between 70% and 140% of the Union average;

intensity of use of the more hazardous plant protection products during the average of the years **2011, 2012 and 2013** is between 70% and 140% of the Union average;

Or. en

Amendment 1107

Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan, Günther Sidl, Delara Burkhardt

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 6 – subparagraph 2 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) **50%** where a Member State's intensity of use of the more hazardous plant protection products during the average of the years **2015, 2016 and 2017** is between 70% and 140% of the Union average;

Amendment

(b) **80%** where a Member State's intensity of use of the more hazardous plant protection products during the average of the years **2018, 2019 and 2020** is between 70% and 140% of the Union average;

Or. en

Amendment 1108

Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Lena Düpont, Peter Jahr, Jens Gieseke, Angelika Niebler, Andreas Schwab, Ralf Seekatz, David McAllister, Christine Schneider, Stefan Berger, Sabine Verheyen, Rainer Wieland, Monika Hohlmeier, Michael Gahler, Christian Doleschal, Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Daniel Caspary, Herbert Dorfmann

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 6 – subparagraph 2 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

b) 50 %, wenn die Intensität der Verwendung der gefährlicheren Pflanzenschutzmittel in einem Mitgliedstaat im Durchschnitt der Jahre **2015, 2016 und 2017** zwischen 70 % und 140 % des Unionsdurchschnitts beträgt;

Amendment

b) 50 %, wenn die Intensität der Verwendung der gefährlicheren Pflanzenschutzmittel in einem Mitgliedstaat im Durchschnitt der Jahre **2011, 2012 und 2013** zwischen 70 % und 140 % des Unionsdurchschnitts beträgt;

Or. de

Amendment 1109

Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Peter Lundgren, Hermann Tertsch, Margarita de la Pisa Carrión, Mazaly Aguilar, Evžen Tošenovský, Sergio Berlato, Jorge Buxadé Villalba, Eugen Jurzyca, Pietro Fiocchi, Charlie Weimers, Johan Nissinen

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 6 – subparagraph 2 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) **50%** where a Member State's intensity of use of the more hazardous plant protection products during the average of the years **2015, 2016 and 2017 is between 70% and 140% of** the Union average;

Amendment

(b) **at least 35%** where a Member State's intensity of use of the more hazardous plant protection products during the average of the years **2011, 2012 and 2013 is more than** the Union average;

Or. en

Amendment 1110

Esther de Lange

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 6 – subparagraph 2 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) 50% where a Member State's intensity of use of the more hazardous plant protection products during the average of the years **2015, 2016 and 2017** is between 70% and 140% of the Union average;

Amendment

(b) 50% where a Member State's intensity of use of the more hazardous plant protection products during the average of the years **2010-2020** is between 70% and 140% of the Union average;

Or. en

Amendment 1111

Esther de Lange

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 6 – subparagraph 2 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) 50% where a Member State's intensity of use of the more hazardous plant protection products during the average of the years **2015, 2016 and 2017** is between 70% and 140% of the Union average;

(b) 50% where a Member State's intensity of use of the more hazardous plant protection products during the average of the years **2010-2020** is between 70% and 140% of the Union average;

Or. en

Amendment 1112

Silvia Sardone

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 6 – subparagraph 2 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) 50% where a Member State's intensity of use of the more hazardous plant protection products during the average of the years **2015, 2016 and 2017** is between 70% and 140% of the Union average;

(b) 50% where a Member State's intensity of use of the more hazardous plant protection products during the average of the years **2011-2020** is between 70% and 140% of the Union average;

Or. en

Amendment 1113

Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Peter Lundgren, Hermann Tertsch, Margarita de la Pisa Carrión, Mazaly Aguilar, Evžen Tošenovský, Sergio Berlato, Jorge Buxadé Villalba, Eugen Jurzyca, Pietro Fiocchi, Charlie Weimers, Alexander Bernhuber, Johan Nissinen

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 6 – subparagraph 2 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(c) **65% where a Member State's intensity of use of the more hazardous plant protection products during the average of the years 2015, 2016 and 2017 is more than 140% of the Union average.**

deleted

Amendment 1114

Peter Liese

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 6 – subparagraph 2 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

(c) 65% where a Member State's intensity of use of the more hazardous plant protection products during the average of the years **2015, 2016 and 2017** is more than 140% of the Union average.

Amendment

(c) 65% where a Member State's intensity of use of the more hazardous plant protection products during the average of the years **2013, 2014 and 2015** is more than 140% of the Union average.

Or. en

Amendment 1115

Franč Bogovič, Marlene Mortler, Ljudmila Novak

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 6 – subparagraph 2 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

(c) **65%** where a Member State's intensity of use of the more hazardous plant protection products during the average of the years 2015, 2016 and 2017 is more than 140% of the Union average.

Amendment

(c) **40%** where a Member State's intensity of use of the more hazardous plant protection products during the average of the years 2015, 2016 and 2017 is more than 140% of the Union average.

Or. en

Amendment 1116

Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Lena Düpont, Peter Jahr, Jens Gieseke, Angelika Niebler, Andreas Schwab, Ralf Seekatz, David McAllister, Christine Schneider, Stefan Berger, Sabine Verheyen, Rainer Wieland, Monika Hohlmeier, Michael Gahler, Christian Doleschal, Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Daniel Caspary, Herbert Dorfmann

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 6 – subparagraph 2 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

c) 65 %, wenn die Intensität der Verwendung der gefährlicheren Pflanzenschutzmittel in einem Mitgliedstaat im Durchschnitt der Jahre **2015, 2016 und 2017** mehr als 140 % des Unionsdurchschnitts beträgt.

c) 65 %, wenn die Intensität der Verwendung der gefährlicheren Pflanzenschutzmittel in einem Mitgliedstaat im Durchschnitt der Jahre **2011, 2012 und 2013** mehr als 140 % des Unionsdurchschnitts beträgt.

Or. de

Amendment 1117

Christine Schneider, Ralf Seekatz, Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Sven Simon, Angelika Niebler, Monika Hohlmeier, Christian Doleschal, Franc Bogovič, Marlene Mortler, Daniel Caspary, Rainer Wieland, Sabine Verheyen, Christophe Hansen

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 6 – subparagraph 2 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(c) 65% where a Member State's intensity of use of the more hazardous plant protection products during the average of the years **2015, 2016 and 2017** is more than 140% of the Union average.

(c) 65% where a Member State's intensity of use of the more hazardous plant protection products during the average of the years **2011, 2012 and 2013** is more than 140% of the Union average.

Or. en

Amendment 1118

Ondřej Knotek, Martin Hlaváček

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 6 – subparagraph 2 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(c) **65%** where a Member State's intensity of use of the more hazardous plant protection products during the average of the years **2015, 2016 and 2017** is more than 140% of the Union average.

(c) **55%** where a Member State's intensity of use of the more hazardous plant protection products during the average of the years **2011, 2012 and 2013** is more than 140% of the Union average.

Or. en

Amendment 1119

Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan, Günther Sidl, Delara Burkhardt

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 6 – subparagraph 2 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

(c) 65% where a Member State's intensity of use of the more hazardous plant protection products during the average of the years **2015, 2016 and 2017** is more than 140% of the Union average.

Amendment

(c) **90%** where a Member State's intensity of use of the more hazardous plant protection products during the average of the years **2018, 2019 and 2020** is more than 140% of the Union average.

Or. en

Amendment 1120

Jan Huitema, Emma Wiesner, Erik Poulsen, Billy Kelleher, Asger Christensen

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 6 – subparagraph 2 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

(c) 65% where a Member State's intensity of use of the more hazardous plant protection products during the average of the **years 2015, 2016 and 2017** is more than 140% of the Union average.

Amendment

(c) 65% where a Member State's intensity of use of the more hazardous plant protection products during the average of the **years 2013, 2014 and 2015** is more than 140% of the Union average.

Or. en

Amendment 1121

Esther de Lange

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 6 – subparagraph 2 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

(c) 65% where a Member State's intensity of use of the more hazardous plant protection products during the

Amendment

(c) 65% where a Member State's intensity of use of the more hazardous plant protection products during the

average of the years **2015, 2016 and 2017** is more than 140% of the Union average.

average of the years **2010-2020** is more than 140% of the Union average.

Or. en

Amendment 1122

Silvia Sardone

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 6 – subparagraph 2 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(c) 65% where a Member State's intensity of use of the more hazardous plant protection products during the average of the years **2015, 2016 and 2017** is more than 140% of the Union average.

(c) 65% where a Member State's intensity of use of the more hazardous plant protection products during the average of the years **2011-2020** is more than 140% of the Union average.

Or. en

Amendment 1123

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjärd

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 6 – subparagraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

The figure related to the use shall be the following:

deleted

(a) where a Member State has achieved a greater reduction in the use of the more hazardous plant protection products than the Union average between the average of the years 2011, 2012 and 2013 and the average of the years 2015, 2016 and 2017, a figure that is established by subtracting from 50% the difference between the reduction achieved and the Union average reduction;

(b) where a Member State has increased the use of the more hazardous

plant protection products, or has made a smaller reduction than the Union average between the average of the years 2011, 2012 and 2013 and the average of the years 2015, 2016 and 2017, a figure that is established by adding to 50% the difference between the reduction or, as applicable, increase achieved and the Union average reduction, but without surpassing 70%.

Or. en

Amendment 1124

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 6 – subparagraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

The figure related to the use shall be the following: **deleted**

(a) where a Member State has achieved a greater reduction in the use of the more hazardous plant protection products than the Union average between the average of the years 2011, 2012 and 2013 and the average of the years 2015, 2016 and 2017, a figure that is established by subtracting from 50% the difference between the reduction achieved and the Union average reduction;

(b) where a Member State has increased the use of the more hazardous plant protection products, or has made a smaller reduction than the Union average between the average of the years 2011, 2012 and 2013 and the average of the years 2015, 2016 and 2017, a figure that is established by adding to 50% the difference between the reduction or, as applicable, increase achieved and the Union average reduction, but without surpassing 70%.

Amendment 1125
Edina Tóth

Proposal for a regulation
Article 5 – paragraph 6 – subparagraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

The figure related to the use shall be the following: ***deleted***

(a) where a Member State has achieved a greater reduction in the use of the more hazardous plant protection products than the Union average between the average of the years 2011, 2012 and 2013 and the average of the years 2015, 2016 and 2017, a figure that is established by subtracting from 50% the difference between the reduction achieved and the Union average reduction;

(b) where a Member State has increased the use of the more hazardous plant protection products, or has made a smaller reduction than the Union average between the average of the years 2011, 2012 and 2013 and the average of the years 2015, 2016 and 2017, a figure that is established by adding to 50% the difference between the reduction or, as applicable, increase achieved and the Union average reduction, but without surpassing 70%.

Or. en

Amendment 1126
Rosanna Conte

Proposal for a regulation
Article 5 – paragraph 6 – subparagraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

La cifra relativa all'uso è la seguente:

soppresso

a) se uno Stato membro ha registrato una riduzione dell'uso dei prodotti fitosanitari più pericolosi superiore alla media dell'Unione tra la media degli anni 2011, 2012 e 2013 e la media degli anni 2015, 2016 e 2017, una cifra ottenuta sottraendo dal 50 % la differenza tra la riduzione registrata e la riduzione media a livello dell'Unione;

b) se uno Stato membro ha registrato un aumento dell'uso dei prodotti fitosanitari più pericolosi o ha registrato una riduzione inferiore alla media dell'Unione tra la media degli anni 2011, 2012 e 2013 e la media degli anni 2015, 2016 e 2017, una cifra ottenuta sommando al 50 % la differenza tra la riduzione o, se del caso, l'aumento registrati e la riduzione media a livello dell'Unione, senza tuttavia superare il 70 %.

Or. it

Amendment 1127
Edina Tóth

Proposal for a regulation
Article 5 – paragraph 6 – subparagraph 3 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(a) where a Member State has achieved a greater reduction in the use of the more hazardous plant protection products than the Union average between the average of the years 2011, 2012 and 2013 and the average of the years 2015, 2016 and 2017, a figure that is established by subtracting from 50% the difference between the reduction achieved and the Union average reduction;

deleted

Amendment 1128
Esther de Lange

Proposal for a regulation
Article 5 – paragraph 6 – subparagraph 3 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) where a Member State has achieved a greater reduction in the use of the more hazardous plant protection products than the Union average between the average of the years **2011, 2012 and 2013 and the average of the years 2015, 2016 and 2017**, a figure that is established by subtracting from 50% the difference between the reduction achieved and the Union average reduction;

Amendment

(a) where a Member State has achieved a greater reduction in the use of the more hazardous plant protection products than the Union average between the average of the years **2010-2020**, a figure that is established by subtracting from 50% the difference between the reduction achieved and the Union average reduction;

Amendment 1129

Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Lena Düpont, Peter Jahr, Jens Gieseke, Angelika Niebler, Andreas Schwab, Ralf Seekatz, David McAllister, Christine Schneider, Stefan Berger, Sabine Verheyen, Rainer Wieland, Monika Hohlmeier, Michael Gahler, Christian Doleschal, Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Daniel Caspary, Herbert Dorfmann

Proposal for a regulation
Article 5 – paragraph 6 – subparagraph 3 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

a) Hat ein Mitgliedstaat eine Reduktion der Verwendung der gefährlicheren Pflanzenschutzmittel erreicht, die über dem Unionsdurchschnitt zwischen dem Durchschnitt der Jahre 2011, 2012 und 2013 **und dem Durchschnitt der Jahre 2015, 2016 und 2017** liegt, errechnet sich der Wert, indem die Differenz zwischen der erzielten Reduktion und der durchschnittlichen

Amendment

a) Hat ein Mitgliedstaat eine Reduktion der Verwendung der gefährlicheren Pflanzenschutzmittel erreicht, die über dem Unionsdurchschnitt zwischen dem Durchschnitt der Jahre 2011, 2012 und 2013 liegt, errechnet sich der Wert, indem die Differenz zwischen der erzielten Reduktion und der durchschnittlichen Reduktion in der Union von 50 % subtrahiert wird.

Reduktion in der Union von 50 %
subtrahiert wird.

Or. de

Amendment 1130

Christine Schneider, Ralf Seekatz, Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Sven Simon, Angelika Niebler, Monika Hohlmeier, Christian Doleschal, Franc Bogovič, Marlene Mortler, Daniel Caspary, Rainer Wieland, Sabine Verheyen, Christophe Hansen

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 6 – subparagraph 3 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) where a Member State has achieved a greater reduction in the use of the more hazardous plant protection products than the Union average between the average of the years 2011, 2012 and 2013 **and the average of the years 2015, 2016 and 2017**, a figure that is established by subtracting from 50% the difference between the reduction achieved and the Union average reduction;

Amendment

(a) where a Member State has achieved a greater reduction in the use of the more hazardous plant protection products than the Union average between the average of the years 2011, 2012 and 2013, a figure that is established by subtracting from 50% the difference between the reduction achieved and the Union average reduction;

Or. en

Amendment 1131

Jan Huitema, Emma Wiesner, Erik Poulsen, Billy Kelleher, Asger Christensen

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 6 – subparagraph 3 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) where a Member State has achieved a greater reduction in the use of the more hazardous plant protection products than the Union average between the average of the years 2011, 2012 and 2013 and the average of the years **2015, 2016 and 2017**, a figure that is established by subtracting from 50% the difference between the reduction achieved and the Union average

Amendment

(a) where a Member State has achieved a greater reduction in the use of the more hazardous plant protection products than the Union average between the average of the years 2011, 2012 and 2013 and the average of the years **2013, 2014 and 2015**, a figure that is established by subtracting from 50% the difference between the reduction achieved and the Union average

reduction;

reduction;

Or. en

Amendment 1132

Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan, Günther Sidl, Delara Burkhardt

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 6 – subparagraph 3 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) where a Member State has achieved a greater reduction in the use of the more hazardous plant protection products than the Union average between the average of the years 2011, 2012 and 2013 and the average of the years **2015, 2016 and 2017**, a figure that is established by subtracting from **50%** the difference between the reduction achieved and the Union average reduction;

Amendment

(a) where a Member State has achieved a greater reduction in the use of the more hazardous plant protection products than the Union average between the average of the years 2011, 2012 and 2013 and the average of the years **2018, 2019 and 2020**, a figure that is established by subtracting from **80%** the difference between the reduction achieved and the Union average reduction;

Or. en

Amendment 1133

Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Peter Lundgren, Hermann Tertsch, Margarita de la Pisa Carrión, Mazaly Aguilar, Evžen Tošenovský, Sergio Berlato, Jorge Buxadé Villalba, Eugen Jurzyca, Charlie Weimers, Johan Nissinen

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 6 – subparagraph 3 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) where a Member State has achieved a greater reduction in the use of the more hazardous plant protection products than the Union average between the average of the years 2011, 2012 **and** 2013 and the average of the years **2015, 2016 and 2017**, a figure that is established by subtracting from 50% the difference between the reduction achieved and the Union average

Amendment

(a) where a Member State has achieved a greater reduction in the use of the more hazardous plant protection products than the Union average between the average of the years 2011, 2012, 2013 and the average of the years **2018, 2019 and 2020**, a figure that is established by subtracting from 50% the difference between the reduction achieved and the Union average reduction;

reduction;

Or. en

Amendment 1134

Ondřej Knotek, Martin Hlaváček

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 6 – subparagraph 3 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) where a Member State has achieved a greater reduction in the use of the more hazardous plant protection products than the Union average between the average of the years 2011, 2012 and 2013 and the average of the years **2015, 2016 and 2017**, a figure that is established by subtracting from **50% the difference between the reduction achieved and the Union average reduction**;

Amendment

(a) where a Member State has achieved a greater reduction in the use of the more hazardous plant protection products than the Union average between the average of the years 2011, 2012 and 2013 and the average of the years **2018, 2019 and 2020**, a figure that is established by subtracting from **the levels applied in paragraph 6, subparagraph 2, points (b) and (c)**;

Or. en

Amendment 1135

Ondřej Knotek, Martin Hlaváček

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 6 – subparagraph 3 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) **where a Member State has increased the use of the more hazardous plant protection products, or has made a smaller reduction than the Union average between the average of the years 2011, 2012 and 2013 and the average of the years 2015, 2016 and 2017, a figure that is established by adding to 50% the difference between the reduction or, as applicable, increase achieved and the Union average reduction, but without**

Amendment

deleted

surpassing 70%.

Or. en

Amendment 1136

Edina Tóth

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 6 – subparagraph 3 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) where a Member State has increased the use of the more hazardous plant protection products, or has made a smaller reduction than the Union average between the average of the years 2011, 2012 and 2013 and the average of the years 2015, 2016 and 2017, a figure that is established by adding to 50% the difference between the reduction or, as applicable, increase achieved and the Union average reduction, but without surpassing 70%.

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 1137

Silvia Sardone

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 6 – subparagraph 3 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) where a Member State has increased the use of the more hazardous plant protection products, or has made a smaller reduction than the Union average between the average of the years 2011, 2012 and 2013 and the average of the years 2015, 2016 and 2017, a figure that is established by adding to 50% the difference between the reduction or, as applicable, increase achieved and the

(b) where a Member State has increased the use of the more hazardous plant protection products, or has made a smaller reduction than the Union average between the average of the years 2011 *al* 2020, a figure that is established by adding to 50% the difference between the reduction or, as applicable, increase achieved and the Union average reduction,

Union average reduction, but without surpassing 70%.

but without surpassing 70%.

Or. en

Amendment 1138
Esther de Lange

Proposal for a regulation
Article 5 – paragraph 6 – subparagraph 3 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) where a Member State has increased the use of the more hazardous plant protection products, or has made a smaller reduction than the Union average between the average of the years **2011, 2012 and 2013 and the average of the years 2015, 2016 and 2017**, a figure that is established by adding to 50% the difference between the reduction or, as applicable, increase achieved and the Union average reduction, but without surpassing 70%.

Amendment

(b) where a Member State has increased the use of the more hazardous plant protection products, or has made a smaller reduction than the Union average between the average of the years **2010-2020**, a figure that is established by adding to 50% the difference between the reduction or, as applicable, increase achieved and the Union average reduction, but without surpassing 70%.

Or. en

Amendment 1139
Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Lena Düpont, Peter Jahr, Jens Gieseke, Angelika Niebler, Andreas Schwab, Ralf Seekatz, David McAllister, Christine Schneider, Stefan Berger, Sabine Verheyen, Rainer Wieland, Monika Hohlmeier, Michael Gahler, Christian Doleschal, Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Daniel Caspary, Herbert Dorfmann

Proposal for a regulation
Article 5 – paragraph 6 – subparagraph 3 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

b) hat ein Mitgliedstaat die Verwendung der gefährlicheren Pflanzenschutzmittel erhöht oder nur eine Reduktion erreicht, die unter dem Unionsdurchschnitt zwischen dem

Amendment

b) hat ein Mitgliedstaat die Verwendung der gefährlicheren Pflanzenschutzmittel erhöht oder nur eine Reduktion erreicht, die unter dem Unionsdurchschnitt zwischen dem

Durchschnitt der Jahre 2011, 2012 und 2013 **und dem Durchschnitt der Jahre 2015, 2016 und 2017** liegt, errechnet sich der Wert, indem die Differenz zwischen der erreichten Reduktion oder, sofern zutreffend, der Erhöhung und der durchschnittlichen Reduktion in der Union zu 50 % hinzuaddiert wird, wobei der Wert von 70 % nicht überschritten wird.

Durchschnitt der Jahre 2011, 2012 und 2013 liegt, errechnet sich der Wert, indem die Differenz zwischen der erreichten Reduktion oder, sofern zutreffend, der Erhöhung und der durchschnittlichen Reduktion in der Union zu 50 % hinzuaddiert wird, wobei der Wert von 70 % nicht überschritten wird.

Or. de

Amendment 1140

Christine Schneider, Ralf Seekatz, Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Sven Simon, Angelika Niebler, Monika Hohlmeier, Christian Doleschal, Franc Bogovič, Marlene Mortler, Daniel Caspary, Rainer Wieland, Sabine Verheyen, Christophe Hansen

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 6 – subparagraph 3 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) where a Member State has increased the use of the more hazardous plant protection products, or has made a smaller reduction than the Union average between the average of the years 2011, 2012 and 2013 **and the average of the years 2015, 2016 and 2017**, a figure that is established by adding to 50% the difference between the reduction or, as applicable, increase achieved and the Union average reduction, but without surpassing 70%.

Amendment

(b) where a Member State has increased the use of the more hazardous plant protection products, or has made a smaller reduction than the Union average between the average of the years 2011, 2012 and 2013, a figure that is established by adding to 50% the difference between the reduction or, as applicable, increase achieved and the Union average reduction, but without surpassing 70%.

Or. en

Amendment 1141

Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Peter Lundgren, Hermann Tertsch, Margarita de la Pisa Carrión, Mazaly Aguilar, Evžen Tošenovský, Sergio Berlato, Jorge Buxadé Villalba, Eugen Jurzyca, Charlie Weimers, Johan Nissinen

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 6 – subparagraph 3 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) where a Member State has increased the use of the more hazardous plant protection products, or has made a smaller reduction than the Union average between the average of the years 2011, 2012 and 2013 and the average of the years 2015, 2016 and 2017, a figure that is established by adding to 50% the difference between the reduction or, as applicable, increase achieved and the Union average reduction, but without surpassing 70%.

Amendment

(b) where a Member State has increased the use of the more hazardous plant protection products, or has made a smaller reduction than the Union average between the average of the years 2011, 2012 and 2013 and the average of the years 2015, 2016 and 2017, a figure that is established by adding to 50% the difference between the reduction or, as applicable, increase achieved and the Union average reduction, but without surpassing 70%.

Or. en

Amendment 1142

Jan Huitema, Emma Wiesner, Erik Poulsen, Billy Kelleher, Asger Christensen

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 6 – subparagraph 3 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) where a Member State has increased the use of the more hazardous plant protection products, or has made a smaller reduction than the Union average between the average of the years 2011, 2012 and 2013 and the average of the years **2015, 2016 and 2017**, a figure that is established by adding to 50% the difference between the reduction or, as applicable, increase achieved and the Union average reduction, but without surpassing 70%.

Amendment

(b) where a Member State has increased the use of the more hazardous plant protection products, or has made a smaller reduction than the Union average between the average of the years 2011, 2012 and 2013 and the average of the years **2013, 2014 and 2015**, a figure that is established by adding to 50% the difference between the reduction or, as applicable, increase achieved and the Union average reduction, but without surpassing 70%.

Or. en

Amendment 1143

Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan, Günther Sidl, Delara Burkhardt

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 6 – subparagraph 3 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) where a Member State has increased the use of the more hazardous plant protection products, or has made a smaller reduction than the Union average between the average of the years 2011, 2012 and 2013 and the average of the years **2015, 2016 and 2017**, a figure that is established by adding to **50%** the difference between the reduction or, as applicable, increase achieved and the Union average reduction, **but without surpassing 70%**.

Amendment

(b) where a Member State has increased the use of the more hazardous plant protection products, or has made a smaller reduction than the Union average between the average of the years 2011, 2012 and 2013 and the average of the years **2018, 2019 and 2020**, a figure that is established by adding to **80%** the difference between the reduction or, as applicable, increase achieved and the Union average reduction;

Or. en

Amendment 1144

Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Lena Düpont, Jens Gieseke, Angelika Niebler, Andreas Schwab, Ralf Seekatz, David McAllister, Christine Schneider, Stefan Berger, Sabine Verheyen, Rainer Wieland, Monika Hohlmeier, Michael Gahler, Christian Doleschal, Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Alexander Bernhuber, Daniel Caspary, Herbert Dorfmann, Simone Schmiedtbauer

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 6 – subparagraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

Für die Zwecke dieses Absatzes bezeichnet der Ausdruck „Intensität der Verwendung der gefährlicheren Pflanzenschutzmittel“ einen Wert, der den Kilogrammen chemischer Wirkstoffe in den gefährlicheren Pflanzenschutzmitteln entspricht, die pro Jahr in dem betreffenden Mitgliedstaat verkauft werden, geteilt durch die Hektarzahl der landwirtschaftlich genutzten Fläche in diesem Mitgliedstaat.

Amendment

entfällt

Or. de

Amendment 1145
Rosanna Conte

Proposal for a regulation
Article 5 – paragraph 6 – subparagraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

*Ai fini del presente paragrafo, per
"intensità di uso dei prodotti fitosanitari
più pericolosi" si intende un valore
corrispondente ai chilogrammi di sostanze
chimiche attive presenti nei prodotti
fitosanitari più pericolosi venduti ogni
anno nello Stato membro interessato
diviso per il numero di ettari di superficie
agricola utilizzata in tale Stato membro.*

soppresso

Or. it

Amendment 1146
**Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič,
Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjärd**

Proposal for a regulation
Article 5 – paragraph 6 – subparagraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

*For the purposes of this paragraph
'intensity of use of the more hazardous
plant protection products' means a value
corresponding to the kilograms of
chemical active substances in the more
hazardous plant protection products sold
per year in the Member State concerned
divided by the number of hectares of
utilised agricultural area in that Member
State.*

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 1147
Edina Tóth

Proposal for a regulation
Article 5 – paragraph 6 – subparagraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

For the purposes of this paragraph ***deleted***
‘intensity of use of the more hazardous
plant protection products’ means a value
corresponding to the kilograms of
chemical active substances in the more
hazardous plant protection products sold
per year in the Member State concerned
divided by the number of hectares of
utilised agricultural area in that Member
State.

Or. en

Amendment 1148
Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation
Article 5 – paragraph 6 – subparagraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

For the purposes of this paragraph ***deleted***
‘intensity of use of the more hazardous
plant protection products’ means a value
corresponding to the kilograms of
chemical active substances in the more
hazardous plant protection products sold
per year in the Member State concerned
divided by the number of hectares of
utilised agricultural area in that Member
State.

Or. en

Amendment 1149
Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan, Günther Sidl, Delara Burkhardt

Proposal for a regulation
Article 5 – paragraph 6 – subparagraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

For the purposes of this paragraph ‘intensity of use of the more hazardous plant protection products’ means a value corresponding to the kilograms of chemical active substances in the more hazardous plant protection products sold per year in the Member State concerned divided by the number of hectares of utilised agricultural area in that Member State.

Amendment

For the purposes of this paragraph ‘intensity of use of the more hazardous plant protection products’ means a value corresponding to the kilograms of chemical active substances in the more hazardous plant protection products sold per year in the Member State concerned ***standardized by the mean, substance specific application rate of its representative uses, as evaluated in the approval procedure under Regulation (EC) 1107/2009, and*** divided by the number of hectares of utilised agricultural area in that Member State.

Or. en

Amendment 1150

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 7

Text proposed by the Commission

7. Member States with outermost regions, as listed in Article 349 of the Treaty, may take into account the specific needs of these regions as regards the use of plant protection products when adopting national 2030 reduction targets, due to the particular climatic conditions and crops in these regions.

Amendment

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 1151

Nathalie Colin-Oesterlé

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 7

Text proposed by the Commission

7. Les États membres ayant des régions ultrapériphériques énumérées à l'article 349 du traité peuvent tenir compte des besoins spécifiques de ces régions en ce qui concerne l'utilisation des produits phytopharmaceutiques lors de l'adoption des objectifs de réduction nationaux pour 2030, en raison des conditions climatiques et cultures particulières dans ces régions.

Amendment

7. Les États membres ayant des régions ultrapériphériques énumérées à l'article 349 du traité peuvent tenir compte des besoins spécifiques de ces régions en ce qui concerne l'utilisation des produits phytopharmaceutiques lors de l'adoption des objectifs de réduction nationaux pour 2030, en raison des conditions climatiques et cultures particulières dans ces régions **et de la taille de leur marché.**

Cette prise en compte passe notamment par le déploiement de nouvelles variétés issues des nouvelles techniques génomiques.

Or. fr

Amendment 1152

Max Orville

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 7

Text proposed by the Commission

7. Les États membres ayant des régions ultrapériphériques énumérées à l'article 349 du traité peuvent tenir compte des besoins spécifiques de ces régions en ce qui concerne l'utilisation des produits phytopharmaceutiques lors de l'adoption des objectifs de réduction nationaux pour 2030, en raison des conditions climatiques et cultures particulières dans ces régions.

Amendment

7. Les États membres ayant des régions ultrapériphériques énumérées à l'article 349 du traité peuvent tenir compte des besoins spécifiques de ces régions en ce qui concerne l'utilisation des produits phytopharmaceutiques lors de l'adoption des objectifs de réduction nationaux pour 2030, en raison des conditions climatiques et cultures particulières dans ces régions, ***en tenant compte également des risques et des effets de l'utilisation des produits phytopharmaceutiques sur la santé humaine et l'environnement.***

Or. fr

Amendment 1153

Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Lena Düpont, Peter Jahr, Jens Gieseke, Angelika Niebler, Andreas Schwab, Ralf Seekatz, David McAllister, Christine Schneider, Stefan Berger, Sabine Verheyen, Rainer Wieland, Monika Hohlmeier, Michael Gahler, Christian Doleschal, Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Daniel Caspary, Herbert Dorfmann

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 7

Text proposed by the Commission

(7) Die Mitgliedstaaten mit Gebieten in äußerster Randlage, die in Artikel 349 des Vertrags aufgeführt sind, können beim Erlass nationaler **Reduktionsziele bis 2030** den besonderen Bedürfnissen dieser Gebiete bei der Verwendung von Pflanzenschutzmitteln aufgrund der besonderen klimatischen Bedingungen und Kulturen in diesen Gebieten Rechnung tragen.

Amendment

(7) Die Mitgliedstaaten mit Gebieten in äußerster Randlage, die in Artikel 349 des Vertrags aufgeführt sind, können beim Erlass nationaler **Korridorziele bis ... [Amt für Veröffentlichungen: bitte das Datum einfügen – 10 Jahre nach dem Geltungsbeginn dieser Verordnung]** den besonderen Bedürfnissen dieser Gebiete bei der Verwendung von Pflanzenschutzmitteln aufgrund der besonderen klimatischen Bedingungen und Kulturen in diesen Gebieten Rechnung tragen.

Or. de

Amendment 1154

Michèle Rivasi

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 7

Text proposed by the Commission

7. Member States with outermost regions, as listed in Article 349 of the Treaty, may take into account the specific needs of these regions as regards the use of plant protection products when adopting national 2030 reduction targets, due to the particular climatic conditions and crops in these regions.

Amendment

7. Member States with outermost regions, as listed in Article 349 of the Treaty, may take into account the specific needs of these regions as regards the use of plant protection products when adopting national 2030 reduction targets, due to the particular climatic conditions and crops in these regions. ***This shall not lead to allowing lower targets in the outermost regions.***

Amendment 1155

Dan-Ștefan Motreanu, Carmen Avram, Marian-Jean Marinescu, Daniel Buda

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 7

Text proposed by the Commission

7. Member States **with** outermost regions, as listed in Article 349 of the Treaty, **may** take into account the specific needs of **these** regions as regards the use of plant protection products when adopting national **2030** reduction **targets**, due to the particular climatic conditions and crops in these regions.

Amendment

7. Member States' **territories**, **including the** outermost regions, as listed in Article 349 of the Treaty, **should be allowed in all cases to** take into account the specific needs of **their different** regions as regards the use of plant protection products when adopting national **2035** reduction **contributions**, due to the particular climatic conditions and crops in these regions.

Amendment 1156

Esther de Lange

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 7

Text proposed by the Commission

7. Member States with outermost regions, as listed in Article 349 of the Treaty, may take into account the specific needs of these regions as regards the use of plant protection products when adopting national **2030** reduction targets, due to the particular climatic conditions and crops in these regions.

Amendment

7. Member States with outermost regions, as listed in Article 349 of the Treaty, may take into account the specific needs of these regions as regards the use of plant protection products when adopting national **2035** reduction targets, due to the particular climatic conditions and crops in these regions.

Amendment 1157

Peter Liese

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 7

Text proposed by the Commission

7. Member States with outermost regions, as listed in Article 349 of the Treaty, may take into account the specific needs of these regions as regards the use of plant protection products when adopting national **2030** reduction targets, due to the particular climatic conditions and crops in these regions.

Amendment

7. Member States with outermost regions, as listed in Article 349 of the Treaty, may take into account the specific needs of these regions as regards the use of plant protection products when adopting national reduction targets, due to the particular climatic conditions and crops in these regions.

Or. en

Amendment 1158

Edina Tóth

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 7

Text proposed by the Commission

7. Member States with outermost regions, as listed in Article 349 of the Treaty, may take into account the specific needs of these regions as regards the use of plant protection products when adopting **national 2030 reduction targets**, due to the particular climatic conditions and crops in these regions.

Amendment

7. Member States with outermost regions, as listed in Article 349 of the Treaty, may take into account the specific needs of these regions as regards the use of plant protection products when adopting **actions referred to in paragraph 1**, due to the particular climatic conditions and crops in these regions.

Or. en

Amendment 1159

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Jorge Buxadé Villalba, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjärd

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 7

Text proposed by the Commission

7. Member States with outermost regions, as listed in Article 349 of the Treaty, may take into account the specific needs of these regions as regards the use of plant protection products when ***adopting national 2030*** reduction targets, due to the particular climatic conditions and crops in these regions.

Amendment

7. Member States with outermost regions, as listed in Article 349 of the Treaty, may take into account the specific needs of these regions as regards the use of plant protection products when ***setting 2035*** reduction targets, due to the particular climatic conditions and crops in these regions.

Or. en

Amendment 1160
Erik Poulsen

Proposal for a regulation
Article 5 – paragraph 8

Text proposed by the Commission

8. In no case may the application of paragraph 5, paragraph 6 and paragraph 7 result in either of the 2030 national reduction targets being lower than 35%.

Amendment

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 1161
Jessica Polfjärd

Proposal for a regulation
Article 5 – paragraph 8

Text proposed by the Commission

8. In no case may the application of paragraph 5, paragraph 6 and paragraph 7 result in either of the 2030 national reduction targets being lower than 35%.

Amendment

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 1162
Edina Tóth

Proposal for a regulation
Article 5 – paragraph 8

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

8. In no case may the application of paragraph 5, paragraph 6 and paragraph 7 result in either of the 2030 national reduction targets being lower than 35%. *deleted*

Or. en

Amendment 1163

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Jorge Buxadé Villalba, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjärd

Proposal for a regulation
Article 5 – paragraph 8

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

8. In no case may the application of paragraph 5, paragraph 6 and paragraph 7 result in either of the 2030 national reduction targets being lower than 35%. *deleted*

Or. en

Amendment 1164

Ulrike Müller, Emma Wiesner, Andreas Glück

Proposal for a regulation
Article 5 – paragraph 8

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

8. In no case may the application of paragraph 5, paragraph 6 and paragraph 7 result in either of the 2030 national reduction targets being lower than 35%. *deleted*

Justification

The Regulation should not punish Member States that already have taken measures and achieved substantial reductions by imposing additional reductions.

Amendment 1165

Franč Bogovič, Marlene Mortler, Ljudmila Novak, Alexander Bernhuber

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 8

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

8. In no case may the application of paragraph 5, paragraph 6 and paragraph 7 result in either of the 2030 national reduction targets being lower than 35%. *deleted*

Amendment 1166

Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan, Günther Sidl, Delara Burkhardt

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 8

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

8. In no case may the application of paragraph 5, paragraph 6 and paragraph 7 result in either of the 2030 national reduction targets being lower than 35%.

8. In no case may the application of paragraph 5, paragraph 6 and paragraph 7 result in either of the 2030 national reduction targets being lower than 35% *for the use and risk of chemical plant protection products and 70% for the use of the more hazardous chemical plant protection products.*

Amendment 1167

Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Lena Düpont, Peter Jahr, Jens Gieseke, Angelika

Niebler, Andreas Schwab, Ralf Seekatz, David McAllister, Christine Schneider, Stefan Berger, Sabine Verheyen, Rainer Wieland, Monika Hohlmeier, Michael Gahler, Christian Doleschal, Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Daniel Caspary, Herbert Dorfmann

Proposal for a regulation
Article 5 – paragraph 8

Text proposed by the Commission

(8) In keinem Fall darf die Anwendung von Absatz 5, Absatz 6 und Absatz 7 dazu führen, dass eines der nationalen Reduktionsziele für **2030** unter 35 % liegt.

Amendment

(8) In keinem Fall darf die Anwendung von Absatz 5, Absatz 6 und Absatz 7 dazu führen, dass eines der nationalen Reduktionsziele für ... **[Amt für Veröffentlichungen: bitte das Datum einfügen – 10 Jahre nach dem Geltungsbeginn dieser Verordnung]** unter 35 % liegt.

Or. de

Justification

Ein längerer Zeitraum ist für die vorgesehene Reduktion von Pflanzenschutzmitteln nötig, um Planungssicherheit zu gewährleisten.

Amendment 1168
Mathilde Androuët

Proposal for a regulation
Article 5 – paragraph 8

Text proposed by the Commission

8. L'application des paragraphes 5, 6 et 7 ne peut en aucun cas aboutir à ce que l'un des objectifs de réduction nationaux ***pour 2030*** soit inférieur à 35 %.

Amendment

8. L'application des paragraphes 5, 6 et 7 ne peut en aucun cas aboutir à ce que l'un des objectifs de réduction nationaux ***à l'horizon 2030 au plus tôt***, soit inférieur à 25 %.

Or. fr

Amendment 1169
Dan-Ștefan Motreanu, Carmen Avram, Marian-Jean Marinescu, Daniel Buda

Proposal for a regulation
Article 5 – paragraph 8

Text proposed by the Commission

8. In no case may the application of paragraph 5, paragraph 6 and paragraph 7 result in either of the **2030** national **reduction** targets being lower than **35%**.

Amendment

8. In no case may the application of paragraph 5, paragraph 6 and paragraph 7 result in either of the **2035** national **contribution** targets being lower than **10%**.

Or. en

Amendment 1170

Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Peter Lundgren, Hermann Tertsch, Margarita de la Pisa Carrión, Mazaly Aguilar, Evžen Tošenovský, Sergio Berlato, Jorge Buxadé Villalba, Eugen Jurzyca, Charlie Weimers, Johan Nissinen

Proposal for a regulation
Article 5 – paragraph 8

Text proposed by the Commission

8. In no case may the application of paragraph 5, paragraph 6 and paragraph 7 result in either of the 2030 national reduction targets being lower than **35%**.

Amendment

8. In no case may the application of paragraph 5, paragraph 6 and paragraph 7 result in either of the 2030 national reduction targets being lower than **10%**.

Or. en

Amendment 1171
Esther de Lange

Proposal for a regulation
Article 5 – paragraph 8

Text proposed by the Commission

8. In no case may the application of paragraph 5, paragraph 6 and paragraph 7 result in either of the **2030** national reduction targets being lower than 35%.

Amendment

8. In no case may the application of paragraph 5, paragraph 6 and paragraph 7 result in either of the **2035** national reduction targets being lower than 35%.

Or. en

Amendment 1172

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 8

Text proposed by the Commission

8. In no case may the application of paragraph 5, paragraph 6 and paragraph 7 result in either of the 2030 national reduction targets being lower than **35%**.

Amendment

8. In no case may the application of paragraph 5, paragraph 6 and paragraph 7 result in either of the 2030 national reduction targets being lower than **50%**.

Or. en

Amendment 1173

Pietro Fiocchi

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 8

Text proposed by the Commission

8. In nessun caso l'applicazione del paragrafo 5, del paragrafo 6 e del paragrafo 7 può comportare che uno degli obiettivi di riduzione nazionali per il 2030 sia inferiore al **35 %**.

Amendment

8. In nessun caso l'applicazione del paragrafo 5, del paragrafo 6 e del paragrafo 7 può comportare che uno degli obiettivi di riduzione nazionali per il 2030 sia inferiore al **17%**.

Or. it

Amendment 1174

Peter Liese

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 8

Text proposed by the Commission

8. In no case may the application of paragraph 5, paragraph 6 and paragraph 7 result in either of the **2030** national reduction targets being lower than 35%.

Amendment

8. In no case may the application of paragraph 5, paragraph 6 and paragraph 7 result in either of the national reduction targets being lower than 35%.

Or. en

Amendment 1175

Emma Wiesner, Jessica Polfjärd, Nils Torvalds, Erik Poulsen

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 8 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

8 a. As a way to derogate from paragraph 5 and paragraph 6, a Member State can set their national 2030 reduction targets to a minimum of 10 % for both the use and risk of chemical plant protection products referred to in paragraph 4 and for the use of the more hazardous plant protection products referred to in paragraph 4, if they can provide compelling evidence showing:

a) that the national average sales of plant production products (kg/ha) for the years 2015, 2016 and 2017 are less than 35 % of the EU average sales for the years 2015, 2016, 2017;

b) that a reduction on 35 % or more of the use and risk of chemical plant protection products referred to in paragraph 4 and for the use of the more hazardous plant protection products referred to in paragraph 4, harm the national agriculture production overall and for certain crops in such a way that an increase in the agricultural production is not likely.

Or. en

Justification

There are a few frontrunners in the EU, mainly in the Nordics, that over the past decades have made an extraordinary work in reducing the usage of plant protection production. These frontrunners use between 18 to 35 percent of the amount of the EU average. This regulation must acknowledge the work these Member States and farmers have done and therefore ease the burden for them.

Amendment 1176

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Jorge Buxadé Villalba, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjärd

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 9

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

9. *By ... [OP: please insert the date – 7 months after the date of application of this Regulation], each Member State shall communicate its national 2030 reduction targets to the Commission.* **deleted**

Or. en

Amendment 1177

Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Lena Düpont, Peter Jahr, Jens Gieseke, Angelika Niebler, Andreas Schwab, Ralf Seekatz, David McAllister, Christine Schneider, Stefan Berger, Sabine Verheyen, Rainer Wieland, Monika Hohlmeier, Michael Gahler, Christian Doleschal, Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Daniel Caspary, Herbert Dorfmann

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 9

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(9) Bis zum... [Amt für Veröffentlichungen: Bitte das Datum einfügen – 7 Monate nach dem Datum des Geltungsbeginns dieser Verordnung] teilt jeder Mitgliedstaat der Kommission seine nationalen **Reduktionsziele bis 2030** mit.

(9) Bis zum... [Amt für Veröffentlichungen: Bitte das Datum einfügen – 7 Monate nach dem Datum des Geltungsbeginns dieser Verordnung] teilt jeder Mitgliedstaat der Kommission seine nationalen **Korridorziele bis ... [Amt für Veröffentlichungen: bitte das Datum einfügen – 10 Jahre nach dem Geltungsbeginn dieser Verordnung]** mit.

Or. de

Justification

Ein längerer Zeitraum ist für die vorgesehene Reduktion von Pflanzenschutzmitteln nötig, um Planungssicherheit zu gewährleisten.

Amendment 1178

Martin Hojsík, Michal Wiezik, María Soraya Rodríguez Ramos, Róza Thun und Hohenstein, Frédérique Ries

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 9

Text proposed by the Commission

9. By ... [OP: please insert the date – 7 months after the date of application of this Regulation], each Member State shall communicate its national 2030 reduction targets to the Commission.

Amendment

9. By ... [OP: please insert the date – 7 months after the date of application of this Regulation], each Member State shall communicate its national ***intermediary and*** 2030 reduction targets to the Commission ***and to the public.***

Or. en

Amendment 1179

Dan-Ștefan Motreanu, Carmen Avram, Marian-Jean Marinescu, Daniel Buda

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 9

Text proposed by the Commission

9. By ... [OP: please insert the date – 7 months after the date of application of this Regulation], each Member State shall communicate its national **2030** reduction ***targets*** to the Commission.

Amendment

9. By ... [OP: please insert the date – 7 months after the date of application of this Regulation], each Member State shall communicate its national **2035** reduction ***contributions*** to the Commission.

Or. en

Amendment 1180

Edina Tóth

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 9

Text proposed by the Commission

9. By ... [OP: please insert the date –

Amendment

9. By ... [OP: please insert the date –

7 months after the date of application of this Regulation], each Member State shall communicate its national 2030 reduction targets to the Commission.

21 months after the date of application of this Regulation], each Member State shall communicate its national 2030 reduction targets to the Commission.

Or. en

Amendment 1181
Esther de Lange

Proposal for a regulation
Article 5 – paragraph 9

Text proposed by the Commission

9. By ... [OP: please insert the date – 7 months after the date of application of this Regulation], each Member State shall communicate its national **2030** reduction targets to the Commission.

Amendment

9. By ... [OP: please insert the date – 7 months after the date of application of this Regulation], each Member State shall communicate its national **2035** reduction targets to the Commission.

Or. en

Amendment 1182
Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation
Article 5 – paragraph 9

Text proposed by the Commission

9. By ... [OP: please insert the date – 7 months after the **date of application** of this Regulation], each Member State shall communicate its national 2030 reduction targets to the Commission.

Amendment

9. By ... [OP: please insert the date – 7 months after the **entry into force** of this Regulation], each Member State shall communicate its national 2030 reduction targets to the Commission.

Or. en

Amendment 1183
Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan, Delara Burkhardt

Proposal for a regulation
Article 5 – paragraph 9

Text proposed by the Commission

9. By ... [OP: please insert the date – 7 months after the **date of application** of this Regulation], each Member State shall communicate its national 2030 reduction targets to the Commission.

Amendment

9. By ... [OP: please insert the date – 6 months after the **entry into force** of this Regulation], each Member State shall communicate its national 2030 reduction targets to the Commission.

Or. en

Amendment 1184
Peter Liese

Proposal for a regulation
Article 5 – paragraph 9

Text proposed by the Commission

9. By ... [OP: please insert the date – 7 months after the date of application of this Regulation], each Member State shall communicate its national **2030** reduction targets to the Commission.

Amendment

9. By ... [OP: please insert the date – 7 months after the date of application of this Regulation], each Member State shall communicate its national reduction targets to the Commission.

Or. en

Amendment 1185
Mathilde Androuët

Proposal for a regulation
Article 5 – paragraph 10

Text proposed by the Commission

10. *Si un État membre n'adopte pas un objectif de réduction national pour 2030 le ... [Office des publications, veuillez insérer la date: 6 mois après la date de mise en application du présent règlement] au plus tard, cet objectif est réputé correspondre à une réduction de 50 % ou, si le pourcentage dépasse 50 %*

Amendment

supprimé

conformément au paragraphe 5 ou 6, de ce pourcentage plus élevé.

Or. fr

Amendment 1186

Jessica Polfjärd

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 10

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

10. If a Member State fails to adopt a national 2030 reduction target by ... [OJ: please insert the date –6 months after the date of application of this Regulation], that target shall be deemed to be either 50%; or, where the percentage would be above 50% in accordance with paragraph 5 or paragraph 6, that higher percentage. **deleted**

Or. en

Amendment 1187

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjärd

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 10

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

10. If a Member State fails to adopt a national 2030 reduction target by ... [OJ: please insert the date –6 months after the date of application of this Regulation], that target shall be deemed to be either 50%; or, where the percentage would be above 50% in accordance with paragraph 5 or paragraph 6, that higher percentage. **deleted**

Or. en

Amendment 1188

Franč Bogovič, Marlene Mortler, Ljudmila Novak, Alexander Bernhuber

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 10

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

10. If a Member State fails to adopt a national 2030 reduction target by ... [OJ: please insert the date –6 months after the date of application of this Regulation], that target shall be deemed to be either 50%; or, where the percentage would be above 50% in accordance with paragraph 5 or paragraph 6, that higher percentage.

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 1189

Jan Huitema, Emma Wiesner, Ulrike Müller, Erik Poulsen, Andreas Glück, Asger Christensen, Billy Kelleher

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 10

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

10. If a Member State fails to adopt a national 2030 reduction target by ... [OJ: please insert the date –6 months after the date of application of this Regulation], that target shall be deemed to be either 50%; or, where the percentage would be above 50% in accordance with paragraph 5 or paragraph 6, that higher percentage.

10. If a Member State fails to adopt a national 2030 reduction target by ... [OJ: please insert the date –6 months after the date of application of this Regulation], that target shall be deemed to be either 50%; or, where the percentage would be above 50% in accordance with paragraph 5 or paragraph 6, that higher percentage.

Member States may, after failing to adopt a national 2030 reduction target by ... [OJ: please insert the date –6 months after the date of application of this Regulation], adopt a new target in line with this article as part of a revised National Action Plan to be approved by the European Commission.

Amendment 1190

Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan, Günther Sidl, Delara Burkhardt

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 10

Text proposed by the Commission

10. If a Member State fails to adopt a national 2030 reduction target by ... [OJ: please insert the date –6 months after the **date of application** of this Regulation], that target shall be deemed to be either 50%; or, where the percentage would be above 50% in accordance with paragraph 5 or paragraph 6, that higher percentage.

Amendment

10. If a Member State fails to adopt a national 2030 reduction target by ... [OJ: please insert the date –6 months after the **entry into force** of this Regulation], that target shall be deemed to be either 50% **for the use and risk of chemical plant protection products and 80% for the use of more hazardous chemical plant protection products**; or, where the percentage would be above **respectively 50% and 80%** in accordance with paragraph 5 or paragraph 6, that higher percentage.

Amendment 1191

Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Lena Düpont, Peter Jahr, Jens Gieseke, Angelika Niebler, Andreas Schwab, Ralf Seekatz, David McAllister, Christine Schneider, Stefan Berger, Sabine Verheyen, Rainer Wieland, Monika Hohlmeier, Michael Gahler, Christian Doleschal, Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Daniel Caspary, Herbert Dorfmann

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 10

Text proposed by the Commission

(10) Hat ein Mitgliedstaat bis zum... [Amt für Veröffentlichungen: Bitte das Datum einfügen – 6 Monate nach dem Datum des Geltungsbeginns dieser Verordnung] kein nationales **Reduktionsziel bis 2030** erlassen, so gilt entweder ein Ziel von 50 % als festgelegt,

Amendment

(10) Hat ein Mitgliedstaat bis zum... [Amt für Veröffentlichungen: Bitte das Datum einfügen – 6 Monate nach dem Datum des Geltungsbeginns dieser Verordnung] kein nationales **Korridorziel bis ... [Amt für Veröffentlichungen: bitte das Datum einfügen – 10 Jahre nach dem**

oder, wenn der Prozentsatz gemäß Absatz 5 oder Absatz 6 über 50 % liegt, dieser höhere Prozentsatz.

Geltungsbeginn dieser Verordnung]
erlassen, so gilt entweder ein Ziel von 50 % als festgelegt, oder, wenn der Prozentsatz gemäß Absatz 5 oder Absatz 6 über 50 % liegt, dieser höhere Prozentsatz.

Or. de

Justification

Ein längerer Zeitraum ist für die vorgesehene Reduktion von Pflanzenschutzmitteln nötig, um Planungssicherheit zu gewährleisten.

Amendment 1192

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 5 – paragraph 10**

Text proposed by the Commission

10. If a Member State fails to adopt a national 2030 reduction target by ... [OJ: please insert the date –6 months after the ***date of application*** of this Regulation], that target shall be ***deemed to be either 50%; or, where the percentage would be above 50% in accordance with paragraph 5 or paragraph 6, that higher percentage.***

Amendment

10. If a Member State fails to adopt a national 2030 reduction target by ... [OJ: please insert the date –3 months after the ***entry into force*** of this Regulation], that target shall be ***80%***.

Or. en

Amendment 1193

Dan-Ștefan Motreanu, Carmen Avram, Marian-Jean Marinescu, Daniel Buda

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 5 – paragraph 10**

Text proposed by the Commission

10. If a Member State fails to adopt a national ***2030*** reduction ***target*** by ... [OJ: please insert the date –6 months after the date of application of this Regulation], that ***target*** shall be deemed to be ***either 50%;***

Amendment

10. If a Member State fails to adopt a national ***2035*** reduction ***contribution*** by ... [OJ: please insert the date –6 months after the date of application of this Regulation], that ***contribution*** shall be deemed to be ***at***

or, where the percentage would be above 50% in accordance with paragraph 5 or paragraph 6, that higher percentage.

least 10%;

Or. en

Amendment 1194

Martin Hojsík, Michal Wiezik, María Soraya Rodríguez Ramos, Róza Thun und Hohenstein, Frédérique Ries

Proposal for a regulation Article 5 – paragraph 10

Text proposed by the Commission

10. If a Member State fails to adopt a national 2030 reduction **target** by ... [OJ: please insert the date –6 months after the date of application of this Regulation], that target shall be deemed to be either 50%; or, where the percentage would be above 50% in accordance with paragraph 5 or paragraph 6, that higher percentage.

Amendment

10. If a Member State fails to adopt a national **intermediary and** 2030 reduction **targets** by ... [OJ: please insert the date –6 months after the date of application of this Regulation], that target shall be deemed to be either 50%; or, where the percentage would be above 50% in accordance with paragraph 5 or paragraph 6, that higher percentage.

Or. en

Amendment 1195

Ulrike Müller, Emma Wiesner, Andreas Glück

Proposal for a regulation Article 5 – paragraph 10

Text proposed by the Commission

10. If a Member State fails to adopt a national 2030 reduction target by ... [OJ: please insert the date –6 months after the date of application of this Regulation], that target shall be deemed to be either 50%; or, where the percentage would be above 50% in accordance with paragraph 5 or paragraph 6, that higher percentage.

Amendment

10. If a Member State fails to adopt a national 2030 reduction target by ... [OJ: please insert the date –12 months after the date of application of this Regulation], that target shall be deemed to be either 50%; or, where the percentage would be above 50% in accordance with paragraph 5 or paragraph 6, that higher percentage.

Or. en

Justification

Alignment with an amendment to Paragraph 1 of this Article.

Amendment 1196

Esther de Lange

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 10

Text proposed by the Commission

10. If a Member State fails to adopt a national **2030** reduction target by ... [OJ: please insert the date –6 months after the date of application of this Regulation], that target shall be deemed to be either **50%**; or, where the percentage would be above **50%** in accordance with paragraph 5 or paragraph 6, that higher percentage.

Amendment

10. If a Member State fails to adopt a national **2035** reduction target by ... [OJ: please insert the date –6 months after the date of application of this Regulation], that target shall be deemed to be either **40%**; or, where the percentage would be above **40%** in accordance with paragraph 5 or paragraph 6, that higher percentage.

Or. en

Amendment 1197

Peter Liese

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 10

Text proposed by the Commission

10. If a Member State fails to adopt a national **2030** reduction target by ... [OJ: please insert the date –6 months after the date of application of this Regulation], that target shall be deemed to be either 50%; or, where the percentage would be above 50% in accordance with paragraph 5 or paragraph 6, that higher percentage.

Amendment

10. If a Member State fails to adopt a national reduction target by ... [OJ: please insert the date –6 months after the date of application of this Regulation], that target shall be deemed to be either 50%; or, where the percentage would be above 50% in accordance with paragraph 5 or paragraph 6, that higher percentage.

Or. en

Amendment 1198

Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław Kalinowski, Joanna Kopcińska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article 5 a

***Member States contribution to Union
2030 reduction targets for chemical plant
protection products***

***1. By ... [please insert the date – 18
months after the date of application of
this Regulation] each Member State shall
describe in its National Action Plan
actions to be taken in order to contribute
to approaching to Union 2030 reduction
targets.***

***2. Member State should set actions,
referred to in paragraph 1, based on
sound scientific and statistical principles,
taking into account, when appropriate:***

(a) IPM principles,

***(b) availability of economically and
technically justified non-chemical
measures, alternative to chemical
treatment, (c) crop structure and expected
changes in crop structure due to climate
changes,***

***(d) pests present at territory of Member
State in question,***

***(e) change or expected change between
2017 and 2030 in the profile of pests, such
as new pests occurrence or changes in
pests biology, or changing pest resistance
status,***

***(f) increase or expected increase between
2017 and 2030 in the use of chemical
plant protection products to comply with
requirements relating to control of
invasive species or Union quarantine
pests,***

(g) increase or expected increase between 2017 and 2030 in the use of chemical plant protection products to comply with import requirements of third countries,

(h) food security needs,

(i) reduction potential understood as a degree of reduction of the use of particular plant protection products, which will not reduce the production of individual crops,

(j) already achieved reduction of the use or risk of chemical plant protection products, or the use of the more hazardous plant protection products, since 2011,

(k) difference in the use of plant protection products per utilised agricultural area between the Member State in question and European Union average value,

(l) proportion of active substances used in the total quantity that are authorised for use in organic production,

(m) differences in the use of active substances per area unit against the same pest in organic and conventional farming,

(n) active substances that are not used in the primary production and may cause a distortion to the statistics regarding the use and risk of plant protection products.

3. The contribution of each Member State towards approaching to the Union 2030 reduction targets shall be calculated annually in accordance with the methodology set out in Annex I.

4. In case:

(a) status of one or more of factors referred to in paragraph 2 has changed,
or

(b) the need of use of more hazardous plant protection products has increased due to lack of alternatives, or

(c) the need of use of plant protection products has increased due to: (i) effects

arising from climate change, like occurrence of new pests, changes in plants physiology, changes in pests biology (i.e. changes in the number of generations during the growing season), changes in crop structure, or (ii) changes in pest resistance status, or (iii) occurrence of invasive species or Union quarantine pests, or (iv) changes in import requirements of third countries, or (v) structural changes such as changes in agriculture or land management or ownership, or

(d) the Member State foresees, that it will not archive national 2030 reduction target due to unpredictable reasons other than those referred to in letters a-c the Member State is entitled to amend appropriately actions referred to in paragraph 1.

5. Member States with outermost regions, as listed in Article 349 of the Treaty, may take into account the specific needs of these regions as regards the use of plant protection products when adopting actions referred to in paragraph 1, due to the particular climatic conditions and crops in these regions.

6. By ... [please insert the date – 21 months after the date of application of this Regulation], each Member State shall communicate its actions, referred to in paragraph 1, to the Commission.

Or. en

Justification

The 50% reduction targets of the Farm to Fork Strategy have been set for the EU as a whole and there is no legal basis for transferring them to the MS level. The second indicator, concerning the reduction of the use of candidates for substitution (CfS), is particularly objectionable: its value depends in particular on the availability of alternatives to CfS (the granting and withdrawal at EU level of approvals for active substances). For this reason, this indicator, while it could be applied at EU level, should not be transferred to the level of Member States. The proposed solution, whereby the EC approves CfS for use in plant protection products, and then Member States have an obligation to limit their use, is contradictory. It is unclear, what would be the legal consequences of a Member State's failure to meet the aforementioned goals.

Amendment 1199

Pascal Canfin, Martin Hojsík, Catherine Chabaud, Marie-Pierre Vedrenne, Irène Tolleret, Jérémy Decerle, Max Orville

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article 5 a

Reciprocity clauses for imports

1. Maximum Residue Level for substances not authorized under Regulation 1107/2009 shall be set at the minimum detectable level allowed pursuant to Regulation 396/2005.

2. The Commission shall take action, based on relevant and objective data, in accordance with this Article, to address practices of circumvention of this Article. Practices of circumvention include situations where the level of residues is artificially lowered from a product, in order to access the EU market.

3. A Member State or any party affected by the situations described in paragraph 2 may notify the Commission. Where the Commission, taking into account the relevant data and reports, including when provided by the customs authorities of Member States, has sufficient reasons to believe that circumventions are occurring in one or more Member States, it is empowered to adopt delegated acts in accordance with Article 40 to supplement this Regulation in order to include modified tariffs for the concerned products.

Or. en

Amendment 1200

Pascal Canfin, Martin Hojsík, Catherine Chabaud, Marie-Pierre Vedrenne, Irène

Tolleret, Jérémy Decerle, Max Orville

Proposal for a regulation
Article 5 b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article 5 b

The production, storage, circulation and export to third countries of plant protection products containing active substances whose use is prohibited in the European Union under Regulation 1107/2009 is prohibited.

Or. en

Amendment 1201
Mathilde Androuët

Proposal for a regulation
Article 6

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

[...]

supprimé

Or. fr

Amendment 1202
Sylvia Limmer

Proposal for a regulation
Article 6

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

[...]

entfällt

Or. de

Amendment 1203
Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič,

Jorge Buxadé Villalba, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjärd

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 6**

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

[...]

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 1204

Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław Kalinowski, Joanna Kopcińska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 6**

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

[...]

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 1205

Christine Schneider, Ralf Seekatz, Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Sven Simon, Angelika Niebler, Monika Hohlmeier, Christian Doleschal, Franc Bogovič, Marlene Mortler, Daniel Caspary, Rainer Wieland, Sabine Verheyen, Christophe Hansen

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 6 – paragraph 1**

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

1. The Commission shall review the national 2030 reduction targets communicated to it in accordance with Article 5(9) and the information explaining any lowering of targets made in accordance with Article 5(5) or Article 5(6).

1. The Commission shall review ***and assess*** the national 2030 reduction targets communicated to it in accordance with Article 5(9) and the information explaining any lowering of targets made in accordance with Article 5(5) or Article 5(6) ***to verify whether their average equals at least 50%, to achieve the corresponding Union 2030 reduction target*** .

Amendment 1206

Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Lena Düpont, Peter Jahr, Jens Gieseke, Angelika Niebler, Andreas Schwab, Ralf Seekatz, David McAllister, Christine Schneider, Stefan Berger, Sabine Verheyen, Rainer Wieland, Monika Hohlmeier, Michael Gahler, Christian Doleschal, Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Daniel Caspary, Herbert Dorfmann

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 6 – paragraph 1**

Text proposed by the Commission

(1) Die Kommission überprüft die nationalen **Reduktionsziele bis 2030**, die ihr gemäß Artikel 5 Absatz 9 mitgeteilt wurden, sowie die Informationen zur Begründung einer etwaigen Verringerung der Ziele gemäß Artikel 5 Absatz 5 oder Artikel 5 Absatz 6.

Amendment

(1) Die Kommission überprüft die nationalen **Korridorziele bis ... [Amt für Veröffentlichungen: bitte das Datum einfügen – 10 Jahre nach dem Geltungsbeginn dieser Verordnung]**, die ihr gemäß Artikel 5 Absatz 9 mitgeteilt wurden, sowie die Informationen zur Begründung einer etwaigen Verringerung der Ziele gemäß Artikel 5 Absatz 5 oder Artikel 5 Absatz 6.

Or. de

Justification

Ein längerer Zeitraum ist für die vorgesehene Reduktion von Pflanzenschutzmitteln nötig, um Planungssicherheit zu gewährleisten.

Amendment 1207

Martin Hojsík, Michal Wiezik, María Soraya Rodríguez Ramos, Róza Thun und Hohenstein, Frédérique Ries

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 6 – paragraph 1**

Text proposed by the Commission

1. The Commission shall review the national 2030 reduction targets communicated to it in accordance with Article 5(9) and the information explaining

Amendment

1. The Commission shall review the **intermediate and** national 2030 reduction targets communicated to it in accordance with Article 5(9) and the information

any lowering of targets made in accordance with Article 5(5) or Article 5(6).

explaining any lowering of targets made in accordance with Article 5(5) or Article 5(6).

Or. en

Amendment 1208

Dan-Ștefan Motreanu, Carmen Avram, Marian-Jean Marinescu, Daniel Buda

Proposal for a regulation

Article 6 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. The Commission shall review the national **2030** reduction **targets** communicated to it in accordance with Article 5(9) and the information explaining any lowering of **targets** made in accordance with Article 5(5) or Article 5(6).

Amendment

1. The Commission shall review the national **2035** reduction **contributions** communicated to it in accordance with Article 5(9) and the information explaining any lowering of **contributions** made in accordance with Article 5(5) or Article 5(6).

Or. en

Amendment 1209

Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan, Delara Burkhardt

Proposal for a regulation

Article 6 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. The Commission shall review the national 2030 reduction targets communicated to it in accordance with Article 5(9) and the information explaining any lowering of targets made in accordance with Article 5(5) or Article 5(6).

Amendment

1. The Commission shall review **and assess** the national 2030 reduction targets communicated to it in accordance with Article 5(9) and the information explaining any lowering of targets made in accordance with Article 5(5) or Article 5(6).

Or. en

Amendment 1210

Mathilde Androuët

Proposal for a regulation
Article 6 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. **La Commission** évalue les objectifs de réduction nationaux pour 2030 **qui lui ont été communiqués** conformément à l'article 5, paragraphe 9, ainsi que les informations expliquant tout abaissement des objectifs opéré conformément à l'article 5, paragraphe 5, ou à l'article 5, paragraphe 6.

Amendment

1. **Chaque Etat membre** évalue les objectifs de réduction nationaux pour 2030 **et les communique à la Commission** conformément à l'article 5, paragraphe 9, ainsi que les informations expliquant tout abaissement des objectifs opéré conformément à l'article 5, paragraphe 5, ou à l'article 5, paragraphe 6.

Or. fr

Amendment 1211
Robert Roos, Rob Rooker

Proposal for a regulation
Article 6 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. De Commissie **beoordeelt** de nationale reductiedoelstellingen voor 2030 die haar zijn meegedeeld overeenkomstig artikel 5, lid 9, en de toelichting op een eventuele verlaging van doelstellingen overeenkomstig artikel 5, lid 5, of artikel 5, lid 6.

Amendment

1. De Commissie **neemt kennis van** de nationale reductiedoelstellingen voor 2030 die haar zijn meegedeeld overeenkomstig artikel 5, lid 9, en de toelichting op een eventuele verlaging van doelstellingen overeenkomstig artikel 5, lid 5, of artikel 5, lid 6.

Or. nl

Amendment 1212
Esther de Lange

Proposal for a regulation
Article 6 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. The Commission shall review the national **2030** reduction targets

Amendment

1. The Commission shall review the national **2035** reduction targets

communicated to it in accordance with Article 5(9) and the information explaining any lowering of targets made in accordance with Article 5(5) or Article 5(6).

communicated to it in accordance with Article 5(9) and the information explaining any lowering of targets made in accordance with Article 5(5) or Article 5(6).

Or. en

Amendment 1213
Peter Liese

Proposal for a regulation
Article 6 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. The Commission shall review the national **2030** reduction targets communicated to it in accordance with Article 5(9) and the information explaining any lowering of targets made in accordance with Article 5(5) or Article 5(6).

Amendment

1. The Commission shall review the national reduction targets communicated to it in accordance with Article 5(9) and the information explaining any lowering of targets made in accordance with Article 5(5) or Article 5(6).

Or. en

Amendment 1214
Mathilde Androuët

Proposal for a regulation
Article 6 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. *Lorsqu'elle conclut, sur la base des informations mises à sa disposition, que les objectifs de réduction nationaux pour 2030 communiqués par un État membre doivent être fixés à un niveau plus ambitieux, la Commission recommande à l'État membre, le ... [Office des publications, veuillez insérer la date: 1 an après la date de mise en application du présent règlement] au plus tard, d'augmenter le niveau de ses objectifs de réduction nationaux pour 2030. La Commission rend cette*

Amendment

supprimé

recommandation publique.

Or. fr

Amendment 1215

Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Lena Düpont, Peter Jahr, Jens Gieseke, Angelika Niebler, Andreas Schwab, Ralf Seekatz, David McAllister, Christine Schneider, Stefan Berger, Sabine Verheyen, Rainer Wieland, Monika Hohlmeier, Michael Gahler, Christian Doleschal, Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Daniel Caspary, Herbert Dorfmann

Proposal for a regulation

Article 6 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

(2) Gelangt die Kommission anhand der ihr übermittelten Informationen zu dem Ergebnis, dass der Zielwert der von einem Mitgliedstaat mitgeteilten nationalen **Reduktionsziele bis 2030** ehrgeiziger sein muss, so empfiehlt sie dem Mitgliedstaat bis zum... [Amt für Veröffentlichungen: bitte Datum einfügen – 1 Jahr nach Geltungsbeginn dieser Verordnung], seine nationalen **Reduktionsziele bis 2030** anzuheben. Die Kommission veröffentlicht diese Empfehlung.

Amendment

(2) Gelangt die Kommission anhand der ihr übermittelten Informationen zu dem Ergebnis, dass der Zielwert der von einem Mitgliedstaat mitgeteilten nationalen **Korridorziele bis ... [Amt für Veröffentlichungen: bitte das Datum einfügen – 10 Jahre nach dem Geltungsbeginn dieser Verordnung]** ehrgeiziger sein muss, so empfiehlt sie dem Mitgliedstaat bis zum... [Amt für Veröffentlichungen: bitte Datum einfügen – 1 Jahr nach Geltungsbeginn dieser Verordnung], seine nationalen **Korridorziele bis ... [Amt für Veröffentlichungen: bitte das Datum einfügen – 10 Jahre nach dem Geltungsbeginn dieser Verordnung]** anzuheben. Die Kommission veröffentlicht diese Empfehlung.

Or. de

Justification

Ein längerer Zeitraum ist für die vorgesehene Reduktion von Pflanzenschutzmitteln nötig, um Planungssicherheit zu gewährleisten.

Amendment 1216

Martin Hojsik, Michal Wiezik, María Soraya Rodríguez Ramos, Róza Thun und

Proposal for a regulation
Article 6 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. Where the Commission concludes, on the basis of the information made available to it, that the national 2030 reduction targets communicated by a Member State need to be set at a more ambitious level, it shall, by ... [OP: please insert the date – 1 year after the date of application of this Regulation], recommend that Member State to increase the level of its national 2030 reduction targets. The Commission shall make that recommendation public.

Amendment

2. Where the Commission concludes, on the basis of the information made available to it, that the ***national intermediary and*** national 2030 reduction targets communicated by a Member State need to be set at a more ambitious level, it shall, by ... [OP: please insert the date – 1 year after the date of application of this Regulation], recommend that Member State to increase the level of its national ***intermediary and*** 2030 reduction targets. The Commission shall make that recommendation public.

Or. en

Amendment 1217

Christine Schneider, Ralf Seekatz, Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Sven Simon, Angelika Niebler, Monika Hohlmeier, Christian Doleschal, Franc Bogovič, Marlene Mortler, Daniel Caspary, Rainer Wieland, Sabine Verheyen, Christophe Hansen

Proposal for a regulation
Article 6 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. ***Where the Commission concludes, on the basis of the information made available to it, that*** the national 2030 reduction targets ***communicated by a Member State need to be set at a more ambitious level, it*** shall, by ... [OP: please insert the date – 1 year after the date of application of this Regulation], recommend that Member ***State*** to increase the level of ***its*** national 2030 reduction targets. The Commission shall make that recommendation public.

Amendment

2. ***If the average of*** the national 2030 reduction targets ***of all Member States is lower than 50%, the Commission*** shall, by ... [OP: please insert the date – 12 months after the date of application of this Regulation], recommend that ***one or more*** Member ***States*** to increase the level of ***their*** national ***2030 reduction targets in order to achieve the Union*** 2030 reduction targets. The Commission shall make ***any such*** that recommendation public, ***including on the website referred to in Article 7.***

Amendment 1218

Dan-Ștefan Motreanu, Carmen Avram, Marian-Jean Marinescu, Daniel Buda

Proposal for a regulation

Article 6 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. Where the Commission concludes, on the basis of the information made available to it, that the national **2030** reduction **targets** communicated by a Member State need to be set at a more ambitious level, it shall, by ... [OP: please insert the date – 1 year after the date of application of this Regulation], recommend that Member State to increase the level of its national **2030** reduction **targets**. The Commission shall make that recommendation public.

Amendment

2. Where the Commission concludes, on the basis of the information made available to it, that the national **2035** reduction **contribution** communicated by a Member State need to be set at a more ambitious level, it shall, by ... [OP: please insert the date – 1 year after the date of application of this Regulation], recommend that Member State to increase the level of its national **2035** reduction **contribution**. The Commission shall make that recommendation public.

Amendment 1219

Esther de Lange

Proposal for a regulation

Article 6 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. Where the Commission concludes, on the basis of the information made available to it, that the national **2030** reduction targets communicated by a Member State need to be set at a more ambitious level, it shall, by ... [OP: please insert the date – 1 year after the date of application of this Regulation], recommend that Member State to increase the level of its national **2030** reduction targets. The Commission shall make that recommendation public.

Amendment

2. Where the Commission concludes, on the basis of the information made available to it, that the national **2035** reduction targets communicated by a Member State need to be set at a more ambitious level, it shall, by ... [OP: please insert the date – 1 year after the date of application of this Regulation], recommend that Member State to increase the level of its national **2035** reduction targets. The Commission shall make that recommendation public.

Amendment 1220

Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan, Delara Burkhardt

Proposal for a regulation

Article 6 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. Where the Commission concludes, on the basis of the information made available to it, that the national 2030 reduction targets communicated by a Member State need to be set at a more ambitious level, it shall, by ... [OP: please insert the date – 1 year after the **date of application** of this Regulation], recommend that Member State to increase the level of its national 2030 reduction targets. The Commission shall make that recommendation public.

Amendment

2. Where the Commission concludes, on the basis of the information made available to it, that the national 2030 reduction targets communicated by a Member State need to be set at a more ambitious level, it shall, by ... [OP: please insert the date – 1 year after the **entry into force** of this Regulation], recommend that Member State to increase the level of its national 2030 reduction targets. The Commission shall make that recommendation public.

Amendment 1221

Peter Liese

Proposal for a regulation

Article 6 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. Where the Commission concludes, on the basis of the information made available to it, that the national **2030** reduction targets communicated by a Member State need to be set at a more ambitious level, it shall, by ... [OP: please insert the date – 1 year after the date of application of this Regulation], recommend that Member State to increase the level of its national **2030** reduction targets. The Commission shall make that recommendation public.

Amendment

2. Where the Commission concludes, on the basis of the information made available to it, that the national reduction targets communicated by a Member State need to be set at a more ambitious level, it shall, by ... [OP: please insert the date – 1 year after the date of application of this Regulation], recommend that Member State to increase the level of its national reduction targets. The Commission shall make that recommendation public.

Amendment 1222

Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Lena Düpont, Peter Jahr, Jens Gieseke, Angelika Niebler, Andreas Schwab, Ralf Seekatz, David McAllister, Christine Schneider, Stefan Berger, Sabine Verheyen, Rainer Wieland, Monika Hohlmeier, Michael Gahler, Christian Doleschal, Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Daniel Caspary, Herbert Dorfmann

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 6 – paragraph 3**

Text proposed by the Commission

(3) Passt ein Mitgliedstaat seine nationalen **Reduktionsziele bis 2030** an die Empfehlung der Kommission an, so ändert er die in Form nationaler Rechtsvorschriften festgelegten nationalen Ziele gemäß Artikel 5 und nimmt die angepassten Ziele zusammen mit der Empfehlung der Kommission in seinen nationalen Aktionsplan auf.

Amendment

(3) Passt ein Mitgliedstaat seine nationalen **Korridorziele bis ... [Amt für Veröffentlichungen: bitte das Datum einfügen – 10 Jahre nach dem Geltungsbeginn dieser Verordnung]** an die Empfehlung der Kommission an, so ändert er die in Form nationaler Rechtsvorschriften festgelegten nationalen Ziele gemäß Artikel 5 und nimmt die angepassten Ziele zusammen mit der Empfehlung der Kommission in seinen nationalen Aktionsplan auf.

Justification

Ein längerer Zeitraum ist für die vorgesehene Reduktion von Pflanzenschutzmitteln nötig, um Planungssicherheit zu gewährleisten.

Amendment 1223

Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan, Delara Burkhardt

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 6 – paragraph 3**

Text proposed by the Commission

3. Where a Member State adjusts its national 2030 reduction targets as recommended by the Commission, it shall

Amendment

3. Where a Member State adjusts its national 2030 reduction targets as recommended by the Commission, it shall

amend the national targets set in its national legislation in accordance with Article 5 and include the adjusted targets in its national action plan together with the Commission's recommendation.

amend the national targets set in its national legislation in accordance with Article 5 and include the adjusted targets in its national action plan together with the Commission's recommendation ***within 3 months after receiving a Commission's recommendation.***

Or. en

Amendment 1224

Dan-Ştefan Motreanu, Carmen Avram, Marian-Jean Marinescu, Daniel Buda

Proposal for a regulation Article 6 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

3. Where a Member State adjusts its national **2030** reduction **targets** as recommended by the Commission, it shall amend the national **targets** set in its national legislation in accordance with Article 5 and include the adjusted **targets** in its national action plan together with the Commission's recommendation.

Amendment

3. Where a Member State adjusts its national **2035** reduction **contributions** as recommended by the Commission, it shall amend the national **contributions** set in its national legislation in accordance with Article 5 and include the adjusted **contributions** in its national action plan together with the Commission's recommendation.

Or. en

Amendment 1225

Martin Hojsik, Michal Wiezik, María Soraya Rodríguez Ramos, Róża Thun und Hohenstein, Frédérique Ries

Proposal for a regulation Article 6 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

3. Where a Member State adjusts its national 2030 reduction targets as recommended by the Commission, it shall amend the national targets set in its national legislation in accordance with Article 5 and include the adjusted targets in

Amendment

3. Where a Member State adjusts its national **intermediary and** 2030 reduction targets as recommended by the Commission, it shall amend the national targets set in its national legislation in accordance with Article 5 and include the

its national action plan together with the Commission's recommendation.

adjusted targets in its national action plan together with the Commission's recommendation.

Or. en

Amendment 1226
Esther de Lange

Proposal for a regulation
Article 6 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

3. Where a Member State adjusts its national **2030** reduction targets as recommended by the Commission, it shall amend the national targets set in its national legislation in accordance with Article 5 and include the adjusted targets in its national action plan together with the Commission's recommendation.

Amendment

3. Where a Member State adjusts its national **2035** reduction targets as recommended by the Commission, it shall amend the national targets set in its national legislation in accordance with Article 5 and include the adjusted targets in its national action plan together with the Commission's recommendation.

Or. en

Amendment 1227
Mathilde Androuët

Proposal for a regulation
Article 6 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

3. Lorsqu'un État membre adapte ses objectifs de réduction nationaux ***pour 2030 conformément à la*** recommandation de la Commission, il modifie les objectifs nationaux inscrits dans sa législation nationale conformément à l'article 5 et inclut les objectifs adaptés, ***ainsi que la recommandation de la Commission,*** dans son plan d'action national.

Amendment

3. Lorsqu'un État membre adapte ses objectifs de réduction nationaux ***à l'horizon 2030 au plus tôt à partir des*** recommandation de la Commission, il modifie les objectifs nationaux inscrits dans sa législation nationale conformément à l'article 5 et inclut les objectifs adaptés dans son plan d'action national.

Or. fr

Amendment 1228
Michèle Rivasi

Proposal for a regulation
Article 6 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

3. **Where a Member State adjusts its** national 2030 reduction targets as recommended by the Commission, **it shall** amend the national targets set in **its** national legislation in accordance with Article 5 and include the adjusted targets in **its** national action **plan** together with the Commission's recommendation.

Amendment

3. Member **States shall adjust their** national 2030 reduction targets as recommended by the Commission **and** amend the national targets set in **their** national legislation in accordance with Article 5 and include the adjusted targets in **their** national action **plans** together with the Commission's recommendation.

Or. en

Justification

(if adopted, deletion of the rest of the article)

Amendment 1229
Jessica Polfjärd

Proposal for a regulation
Article 6 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

3. Where a Member State adjusts its national 2030 reduction targets as recommended by the Commission, it shall amend the national targets **set in its national legislation** in accordance with Article 5 and include the adjusted targets in its national action plan together with the Commission's recommendation.

Amendment

3. Where a Member State adjusts its national 2030 reduction targets as recommended by the Commission, it shall amend the national targets in accordance with Article 5 and include the adjusted targets in its national action plan together with the Commission's recommendation.

Or. en

Amendment 1230

Peter Liese

Proposal for a regulation

Article 6 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

3. Where a Member State adjusts its national **2030** reduction targets as recommended by the Commission, it shall amend the national targets set in its national legislation in accordance with Article 5 and include the adjusted targets in its national action plan together with the Commission's recommendation.

Amendment

3. Where a Member State adjusts its national reduction targets as recommended by the Commission, it shall amend the national targets set in its national legislation in accordance with Article 5 and include the adjusted targets in its national action plan together with the Commission's recommendation.

Or. en

Amendment 1231

Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Lena Düpont, Peter Jahr, Jens Gieseke, Angelika Niebler, Andreas Schwab, Ralf Seekatz, David McAllister, Christine Schneider, Stefan Berger, Sabine Verheyen, Rainer Wieland, Monika Hohlmeier, Michael Gahler, Christian Doleschal, Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Daniel Caspary, Herbert Dorfmann

Proposal for a regulation

Article 6 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

(4) Entscheidet sich ein Mitgliedstaat gegen eine Anpassung seiner nationalen **Reduktionsziele bis 2030** an die Empfehlung der Kommission, so nimmt er die Begründung für diese Entscheidung zusammen mit dem Wortlaut der Empfehlung in seinen nationalen Aktionsplan auf.

Amendment

(4) Entscheidet sich ein Mitgliedstaat gegen eine Anpassung seiner nationalen **Korridorziele bis ... [Amt für Veröffentlichungen: bitte das Datum einfügen – 10 Jahre nach dem Geltungsbeginn dieser Verordnung]** an die Empfehlung der Kommission, so nimmt er die Begründung für diese Entscheidung zusammen mit dem Wortlaut der Empfehlung in seinen nationalen Aktionsplan auf.

Or. de

Justification

Ein längerer Zeitraum ist für die vorgesehene Reduktion von Pflanzenschutzmitteln nötig, um Planungssicherheit zu gewährleisten.

Amendment 1232

Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan, Delara Burkhardt

Proposal for a regulation

Article 6 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

4. Where a Member States decides not to adjust its national 2030 reduction targets, as recommended by the Commission, it shall include the justifications for such decision in its national action plan together with the text of the recommendation.

Amendment

4. Where a Member States decides not to adjust its national 2030 reduction targets, as recommended by the Commission, it shall include the justifications for such decision in its national action plan together with the text of the recommendation ***within 3 months after receiving a Commission's recommendation.***

Or. en

Amendment 1233

Mathilde Androuët

Proposal for a regulation

Article 6 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

4. Lorsqu'un État membre décide de ne pas adapter ses objectifs de réduction nationaux ***pour 2030 comme le recommande la Commission***, il inclut les justifications de cette décision, ***ainsi que le texte de la recommandation***, dans son plan d'action national.

Amendment

4. Lorsqu'un État membre décide de ne pas adapter ses objectifs de réduction nationaux ***à l'horizon 2030***, il inclut les justifications de cette décision dans son plan d'action national.

Or. fr

Amendment 1234

Martin Hojsik, Michal Wiezik, María Soraya Rodríguez Ramos, Róza Thun und Hohenstein, Frédérique Ries

Proposal for a regulation

Article 6 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

4. Where a Member States decides not to adjust its national 2030 reduction targets, as recommended by the Commission, it shall include the justifications for such decision in its national action plan together with the text of the recommendation.

Amendment

4. Where a Member States decides not to adjust its national 2030 reduction targets, as recommended by the Commission, it shall ***within two months*** include the justifications for such decision in its national action plan together with the text of the recommendation.

Or. en

Amendment 1235

Dan-Ștefan Motreanu, Carmen Avram, Marian-Jean Marinescu, Daniel Buda

Proposal for a regulation

Article 6 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

4. Where a Member States decides not to adjust its national **2030** reduction **targets**, as recommended by the Commission, it shall include the justifications for such decision in its national action plan together with the text of the recommendation.

Amendment

4. Where a Member States decides not to adjust its national **2035** reduction **contributions**, as recommended by the Commission, it shall include the justifications for such decision in its national action plan together with the text of the recommendation.

Or. en

Amendment 1236

Esther de Lange

Proposal for a regulation

Article 6 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

4. Where a Member States decides not to adjust its national **2030** reduction targets, as recommended by the Commission, it shall include the justifications for such decision in its national action plan together with the text of the recommendation.

Amendment

4. Where a Member States decides not to adjust its national **2035** reduction targets, as recommended by the Commission, it shall include the justifications for such decision in its national action plan together with the text of the recommendation.

Or. en

Amendment 1237

Peter Liese

Proposal for a regulation

Article 6 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

4. Where a Member States decides not to adjust its national **2030** reduction targets, as recommended by the Commission, it shall include the justifications for such decision in its national action plan together with the text of the recommendation.

Amendment

4. Where a Member States decides not to adjust its national reduction targets, as recommended by the Commission, it shall include the justifications for such decision in its national action plan together with the text of the recommendation.

Or. en

Amendment 1238

Mathilde Androuët

Proposal for a regulation

Article 6 – paragraph 5

Text proposed by the Commission

5. *Les États membres qui ont reçu une recommandation de la Commission visée au paragraphe 2 communiquent à la Commission les objectifs adaptés ou, selon le cas, la justification de leur décision de ne pas adapter les objectifs, le*

Amendment

supprimé

... [Office des publications, veuillez insérer la date: 18 mois après la date de mise en application du présent règlement] au plus tard.

Or. fr

Amendment 1239

Dan-Ștefan Motreanu, Carmen Avram, Marian-Jean Marinescu, Daniel Buda

Proposal for a regulation

Article 6 – paragraph 5

Text proposed by the Commission

5. Member States which have received a Commission recommendation referred to in paragraph 2 shall communicate the adjusted **targets**, or their justification for not adjusting them, as applicable, to the Commission by... [OP: please insert the date – 18 months after the date of application of this Regulation].

Amendment

5. Member States which have received a Commission recommendation referred to in paragraph 2 shall communicate the adjusted **contributions**, or their justification for not adjusting them, as applicable, to the Commission by... [OP: please insert the date – 18 months after the date of application of this Regulation].

Or. en

Amendment 1240

Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan, Delara Burkhardt

Proposal for a regulation

Article 6 – paragraph 5

Text proposed by the Commission

5. Member States which have received a **Commission** recommendation referred to in paragraph 2 shall communicate the adjusted targets, or their justification for not adjusting them, as applicable, to the Commission **by...** [OP: **please insert the date – 18 months after the date of application of this Regulation**].

Amendment

5. Member States which have received a **Commission's** recommendation referred to in paragraph 2 shall communicate the adjusted targets, or their justification for not adjusting them, as applicable, to the Commission **within 2 months after receiving a Commission's recommendation**.

Or. en

Amendment 1241
Mathilde Androuët

Proposal for a regulation
Article 6 – paragraph 6

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

6. *Après avoir évalué les niveaux des objectifs de réduction nationaux pour 2030 de tous les États membres, fixés conformément à l'article 5, la Commission vérifie si leur moyenne est au moins égale à 50 % afin d'atteindre l'objectif de réduction de l'Union pour 2030 correspondant.* **supprimé**

Or. fr

Amendment 1242
Jessica Polfjärd

Proposal for a regulation
Article 6 – paragraph 6

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

6. *Having assessed the level of national 2030 reduction targets of all Member States set in accordance with Article 5, the Commission shall verify whether their average at least equals 50% so as to achieve the corresponding Union 2030 reduction target.* **deleted**

Or. en

Amendment 1243

Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Peter Lundgren, Hermann Tertsch, Margarita de la Pisa Carrión, Mazaly Aguilar, Evžen Tošenovský, Sergio Berlato, Jorge Buxadé Villalba, Eugen Jurzyca, Pietro Fiocchi, Charlie Weimers, Alexander Bernhuber, Johan Nissinen

Proposal for a regulation
Article 6 – paragraph 6

Text proposed by the Commission

6. Having assessed the level of national 2030 reduction targets of all Member States set in accordance with Article 5, the Commission shall verify whether ***their average at least equals 50% so as to achieve the corresponding*** Union 2030 reduction target.

Amendment

6. Having assessed the level of national 2030 reduction targets of all Member States set in accordance with Article 5, the Commission shall verify whether. ***meeting these objectives will not jeopardise overall food security in the European Union, food sovereignty, the competitiveness and viability of European farmers, biodiversity and the environment and climate.***

Or. en

Amendment 1244
Ondřej Knotek, Martin Hlaváček

Proposal for a regulation
Article 6 – paragraph 6

Text proposed by the Commission

6. Having assessed the level of national 2030 reduction targets of all Member States set in accordance with Article 5, the Commission shall verify whether their average ***at least equals 50% so as to achieve the corresponding*** Union 2030 reduction target.

Amendment

6. Having assessed the level of national 2030 reduction targets of all Member States set in accordance with Article 5, the Commission shall verify whether their average ***corresponds to achieve the Union 2030 reduction target and whether the achievement of this objective will not have negative impact on EU food security and European farmers.***

Or. en

Amendment 1245
Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan

Proposal for a regulation
Article 6 – paragraph 6

Text proposed by the Commission

6. Having assessed the level of national 2030 reduction targets of all Member States set in accordance with Article 5, the Commission shall verify whether their average at least equals 50% so as to achieve the **corresponding** Union 2030 reduction **target**.

Amendment

6. Having assessed the level of national 2030 reduction targets of all Member States set in accordance with Article 5, the Commission shall verify whether their average at least equals 50% **and 80%** so as to achieve the **respective** Union 2030 reduction **targets 1 and 2 in accordance with Article 4 of this Regulation**.

Or. en

Amendment 1246
Esther de Lange

Proposal for a regulation
Article 6 – paragraph 6

Text proposed by the Commission

6. Having assessed the level of national **2030** reduction targets of all Member States set in accordance with Article 5, the Commission shall verify whether their average at least equals **50%** so as to achieve the corresponding Union **2030** reduction target.

Amendment

6. Having assessed the level of national **2035** reduction targets of all Member States set in accordance with Article 5, the Commission shall verify whether their average at least equals **40%** so as to achieve the corresponding Union **2035 volume reduction or environmental impact** reduction target.

Or. en

Amendment 1247

Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Lena Düpont, Peter Jahr, Jens Gieseke, Angelika Niebler, Andreas Schwab, Ralf Seekatz, David McAllister, Christine Schneider, Stefan Berger, Sabine Verheyen, Rainer Wieland, Monika Hohlmeier, Michael Gahler, Christian Doleschal, Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Daniel Caspary, Herbert Dorfmann

Proposal for a regulation
Article 6 – paragraph 6

Text proposed by the Commission

(6) Sobald die Kommission den Zielwert der gemäß Artikel 5 festgelegten nationalen **Reduktionsziele bis 2030** für alle Mitgliedstaaten bewertet hat, überprüft sie, **ob ihr Durchschnitt mindestens 50 % erreicht, sodass das entsprechende Reduktionsziel der Union für 2030 erreicht ist.**

Amendment

(6) Sobald die Kommission den Zielwert der gemäß Artikel 5 festgelegten nationalen **Korridorziele bis ... [Amt für Veröffentlichungen: bitte das Datum einfügen – 10 Jahre nach dem Geltungsbeginn dieser Verordnung]** für alle Mitgliedstaaten bewertet hat, überprüft sie **den resultierenden Durchschnittswert der EU-Reduktion.**

Or. de

Justification

Ein längerer Zeitraum ist für die vorgesehene Reduktion von Pflanzenschutzmitteln nötig, um Planungssicherheit zu gewährleisten. Die Erreichung nationaler Ziele für eine prozentuale Pflanzenschutzmittelreduktion, die nicht auf wissenschaftlicher Forschung basiert bzw. durch Folgenabschätzungen begründet sind, sollten nicht rechtlich vollstreckbar sein. Die Kommission sollte allerdings den EU-Durchschnittswert aus den nationalen Reduktionszielen ermitteln, um den gesamtheitlichen Fortschritt der Pflanzenschutzmittelreduktion zu messen.

Amendment 1248

Dan-Ștefan Motreanu, Carmen Avram, Marian-Jean Marinescu, Daniel Buda

Proposal for a regulation

Article 6 – paragraph 6

Text proposed by the Commission

6. Having assessed the level of national **2030** reduction **targets** of all Member States set in accordance with Article 5, the Commission shall verify whether their average **at least equals 50%** so as to achieve the corresponding Union **2030** reduction target.

Amendment

6. Having assessed the level of national **2035** reduction **contributions** of all Member States set in accordance with Article 5, the Commission shall verify whether their average **is sufficient** so as to achieve the corresponding Union **2035** reduction target.

Or. en

Amendment 1249

Franc Bogovič, Marlene Mortler, Ljudmila Novak

Proposal for a regulation
Article 6 – paragraph 6

Text proposed by the Commission

6. Having assessed the level of national 2030 reduction targets of all Member States set in accordance with Article 5, the Commission shall verify whether their average at least equals **50%** so as to achieve the corresponding Union 2030 reduction target.

Amendment

6. Having assessed the level of national 2030 reduction targets of all Member States set in accordance with Article 5, the Commission shall verify whether their average at least equals **30%** so as to achieve the corresponding Union 2030 reduction target.

Or. en

Amendment 1250

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation
Article 6 – paragraph 6

Text proposed by the Commission

6. Having assessed the level of national 2030 reduction targets of all Member States set in accordance with Article 5, the Commission shall verify whether their average at least equals **50%** so as to achieve the corresponding Union 2030 reduction target.

Amendment

6. Having assessed the level of national 2030 reduction targets of all Member States set in accordance with Article 5, the Commission shall verify whether their average at least equals **80%** so as to achieve the corresponding Union 2030 reduction target.

Or. en

Amendment 1251

Peter Liese

Proposal for a regulation
Article 6 – paragraph 6

Text proposed by the Commission

6. Having assessed the level of national **2030** reduction targets of all Member States set in accordance with Article 5, the Commission shall verify

Amendment

6. Having assessed the level of national reduction targets of all Member States set in accordance with Article 5, the Commission shall verify whether their

whether their average at least equals 50% so as to achieve the corresponding Union **2030** reduction target.

average at least equals 50% so as to achieve the corresponding Union reduction target.

Or. en

Amendment 1252

Jan Huitema, Emma Wiesner, Erik Poulsen, Billy Kelleher, Asger Christensen

Proposal for a regulation

Article 6 – paragraph 6

Text proposed by the Commission

6. Having assessed the level of national 2030 reduction targets of all Member States set in accordance with Article 5, the Commission shall verify whether their average at least equals 50% **so as to achieve the** corresponding Union 2030 reduction target.

Amendment

6. Having assessed the level of national 2030 reduction targets of all Member States set in accordance with Article 5, the Commission shall verify whether their average at least equals 50% corresponding **to the** Union 2030 reduction target.

Or. en

Amendment 1253

Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Lena Düpont, Jens Gieseke, Angelika Niebler, Andreas Schwab, Ralf Seekatz, David McAllister, Christine Schneider, Stefan Berger, Sabine Verheyen, Rainer Wieland, Monika Hohlmeier, Michael Gahler, Christian Doleschal, Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Alexander Bernhuber, Daniel Caspary, Herbert Dorfmann, Simone Schmiedtbauer

Proposal for a regulation

Article 6 – paragraph 7

Text proposed by the Commission

(7) Liegt der Durchschnitt der nationalen Reduktionsziele bis 2030 aller Mitgliedstaaten unter 50 %, empfiehlt die Kommission, dass ein Mitgliedstaat oder mehrere Mitgliedstaaten den Zielwert ihrer nationalen Reduktionsziele bis 2030 anheben, damit die Reduktionsziele der Union für 2030 erreicht werden. Die Kommission veröffentlicht eine derartige

Amendment

entfällt

Empfehlung.

Or. de

Amendment 1254

Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Peter Lundgren, Hermann Tertsch, Margarita de la Pisa Carrión, Mazaly Aguilar, Evžen Tošenovský, Sergio Berlato, Jorge Buxadé Villalba, Eugen Jurzyca, Pietro Fiocchi, Charlie Weimers, Alexander Bernhuber, Johan Nissinen

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 6 – paragraph 7**

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

7. If the average of national 2030 reduction targets of all Member States is lower than 50%, the Commission shall recommend that one or more Member States increase the level of their national 2030 reduction targets in order to achieve the Union 2030 reduction targets. The Commission shall make any such recommendation public. **deleted**

Or. en

Justification

The aim of the new rules should not be a headlong reduction as much as possible, but a realistic adjustment of the rules to take account of the complexity of the situation, to maintain the viability of farmers, to ensure food security and to strengthen sustainable agricultural production in the EU.

Amendment 1255

Jessica Polfjärd

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 6 – paragraph 7**

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

7. If the average of national 2030 reduction targets of all Member States is lower than 50%, the Commission shall **deleted**

recommend that one or more Member States increase the level of their national 2030 reduction targets in order to achieve the Union 2030 reduction targets. The Commission shall make any such recommendation public.

Or. en

Amendment 1256

Ondřej Knotek, Martin Hlaváček

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 6 – paragraph 7**

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

7. If the average of national 2030 reduction targets of all Member States is lower than 50%, the Commission shall recommend that one or more Member States increase the level of their national 2030 reduction targets in order to achieve the Union 2030 reduction targets. The Commission shall make any such recommendation public.

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 1257

Jan Huitema, Emma Wiesner, Ulrike Müller, Erik Poulsen, Asger Christensen, Billy Kelleher

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 6 – paragraph 7**

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

7. If the average of national 2030 reduction targets of all Member States is lower than 50%, the Commission shall recommend that one or more Member States increase the level of their national 2030 reduction targets in order to achieve the Union 2030 reduction targets. The

7. If the average of national 2030 reduction targets of all Member States is lower than 50%, the Commission shall recommend that one or more Member States increase the level of their national 2030 reduction targets in order to achieve the Union 2030 reduction targets. The

Commission shall make any such recommendation public.

Commission shall make any such recommendation public. ***Member States that have adopted and achieved their targets for the reduction of environmental impact of plant protection measures under Article 4a shall not receive recommendations to increase their reduction targets under article 5.***

Or. en

Amendment 1258
Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan

Proposal for a regulation
Article 6 – paragraph 7

Text proposed by the Commission

7. If the average of national 2030 reduction targets of all Member States is lower than 50%, the Commission shall ***recommend*** that one or more Member States increase the level of their national 2030 reduction targets in order to achieve the Union 2030 reduction targets. The Commission shall make any such ***recommendation*** public.

Amendment

7. If the average of national 2030 reduction targets of all Member States is lower than 50%, the Commission shall ***exercise its powers at Union level no later than 3 months after it issued its assessment and verification referred to in paragraph 6 to ensure*** that one or more Member States increase the level of their national 2030 reduction targets in order to achieve the Union 2030 reduction targets. The Commission shall make any such ***procedure*** public.

Or. en

Amendment 1259
Mathilde Androuët

Proposal for a regulation
Article 6 – paragraph 7

Text proposed by the Commission

7. Si la moyenne des objectifs de réduction nationaux ***pour*** 2030 de tous les États membres est inférieure à 50 %, la

Amendment

7. Si la moyenne des objectifs de réduction nationaux ***à l'horizon*** 2030 de tous les États membres est inférieure à 50

Commission **recommande** à un ou plusieurs États membres **d'augmenter le niveau de** leurs objectifs de réduction nationaux **pour 2030 afin que les objectifs de réduction de l'Union pour 2030 soient atteints. La Commission rend cette recommandation publique.**

%, la Commission **peut adresser des recommandations** à un ou plusieurs États membres **pour améliorer** leurs objectifs de réduction nationaux.

Or. fr

Amendment 1260

Dan-Ștefan Motreanu, Carmen Avram, Marian-Jean Marinescu, Daniel Buda

Proposal for a regulation Article 6 – paragraph 7

Text proposed by the Commission

7. If the average of national **2030** reduction **targets** of all Member States is lower than 50%, the Commission shall recommend that one or more Member States increase the level of their national **2030** reduction **targets** in order to **achieve** the Union **2030** reduction targets. The Commission shall make any such recommendation public.

Amendment

7. If the average of national **2035** reduction **contributions** of all Member States is lower than 50%, the Commission shall recommend that one or more Member States increase the level of their national **2035** reduction **contribution** in order to **approach** the Union **2035** reduction targets. The Commission shall make any such recommendation public.

Or. en

Amendment 1261

Martin Hojsik, Michal Wiezik, María Soraya Rodríguez Ramos, Róża Thun und Hohenstein, Frédérique Ries

Proposal for a regulation Article 6 – paragraph 7

Text proposed by the Commission

7. If the average of national 2030 reduction targets of all Member States is lower than 50%, the Commission shall **recommend that one or more Member States** increase the **level of their national 2030** reduction targets in order to achieve

Amendment

7. If the average of national 2030 reduction targets of all Member States is lower than 50%, the Commission shall **fairly** increase the reduction targets **of Member States** in order to achieve the Union 2030 reduction targets. The

the Union 2030 reduction targets. The Commission shall make any such **recommendation** public.

Commission shall make any such **decision** public.

Or. en

Amendment 1262

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation Article 6 – paragraph 7

Text proposed by the Commission

7. If the average of national 2030 reduction targets of all Member States is lower than **50%**, the Commission shall recommend that one or more Member States increase the level of their national 2030 reduction targets in order to achieve the Union 2030 reduction targets. The Commission shall make any such recommendation public.

Amendment

7. If the average of national 2030 reduction targets of all Member States is lower than **80%**, the Commission shall recommend that one or more Member States increase the level of their national 2030 reduction targets in order to achieve the Union 2030 reduction targets. The Commission shall make any such recommendation public.

Or. en

Amendment 1263

Esther de Lange

Proposal for a regulation Article 6 – paragraph 7

Text proposed by the Commission

7. If the average of national **2030** reduction targets of all Member States is lower than **50%**, the Commission shall recommend that one or more Member States increase the level of their national **2030** reduction targets in order to achieve the Union **2030** reduction targets. The Commission shall make any such recommendation public.

Amendment

7. If the average of national **2035** reduction targets of all Member States is lower than **40%**, the Commission shall recommend that one or more Member States increase the level of their national **2035** reduction targets in order to achieve the Union **2035** reduction targets. The Commission shall make any such recommendation public.

Or. en

Amendment 1264

Franc Bogovič, Marlene Mortler, Ljudmila Novak

Proposal for a regulation

Article 6 – paragraph 7

Text proposed by the Commission

7. If the average of national 2030 reduction targets of all Member States is lower than **50%**, the Commission shall recommend that one or more Member States increase the level of their national 2030 reduction targets in order to achieve the Union 2030 reduction targets. The Commission shall make any such recommendation public.

Amendment

7. If the average of national 2030 reduction targets of all Member States is lower than **30%**, the Commission shall recommend that one or more Member States increase the level of their national 2030 reduction targets in order to achieve the Union 2030 reduction targets. The Commission shall make any such recommendation public.

Or. en

Amendment 1265

Peter Liese

Proposal for a regulation

Article 6 – paragraph 7

Text proposed by the Commission

7. If the average of national **2030** reduction targets of all Member States is lower than 50%, the Commission shall recommend that one or more Member States increase the level of their national **2030** reduction targets in order to achieve the Union **2030** reduction targets. The Commission shall make any such recommendation public.

Amendment

7. If the average of national reduction targets of all Member States is lower than 50%, the Commission shall recommend that one or more Member States increase the level of their national reduction targets in order to achieve the Union reduction targets. The Commission shall make any such recommendation public.

Or. en

Amendment 1266

Mathilde Androuët

Proposal for a regulation
Article 6 – paragraph 8

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

8. Dans le mois qui suit la réception de la recommandation visée au paragraphe 7, l'État membre concerné prend l'une des mesures suivantes: **supprimé**

a) il adapte ses objectifs de réduction nationaux pour 2030 conformément à la recommandation de la Commission, modifie les objectifs nationaux fixés dans sa législation nationale conformément à l'article 5 et inclut les objectifs adaptés, ainsi que la recommandation de la Commission, dans son plan d'action national;

b) il fournit les justifications de sa décision de ne pas adapter ses objectifs de réduction nationaux pour 2030 comme le recommande la Commission et inclut les justifications de cette décision, ainsi que la recommandation de la Commission, dans son plan d'action national.

Or. fr

Amendment 1267

Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Lena Düpont, Jens Gieseke, Angelika Niebler, Andreas Schwab, Ralf Seekatz, David McAllister, Christine Schneider, Stefan Berger, Sabine Verheyen, Rainer Wieland, Monika Hohlmeier, Michael Gahler, Christian Doleschal, Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Alexander Bernhuber, Daniel Caspary, Herbert Dorfmann, Simone Schmiedtbauer

Proposal for a regulation
Article 6 – paragraph 8

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(8) Innerhalb eines Monats nach Erhalt der in Absatz 7 genannten Empfehlung leitet der Mitgliedstaat einen der folgenden Schritte ein: **entfällt**

a) Er passt seine nationalen Reduktionsziele bis 2030 an die

Empfehlung der Kommission an, ändert die in Form nationaler Rechtsvorschriften festgelegten nationalen Ziele gemäß Artikel 5 und nimmt die angepassten Ziele zusammen mit der Empfehlung der Kommission in seinen nationalen Aktionsplan auf.

b) er begründet, warum er seine nationalen Reduktionsziele bis 2030 nicht an die Empfehlung der Kommission angepasst hat und nimmt die Begründung für diese Entscheidung zusammen mit der Empfehlung in seinen nationalen Aktionsplan auf.

Or. de

Amendment 1268
Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan

Proposal for a regulation
Article 6 – paragraph 8

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

8. Within one month of receiving the recommendation referred to in paragraph 7, a Member State shall take one of the following actions: ***deleted***

(a) adjust its national 2030 reduction targets as recommended by the Commission, amend the national targets set in its national legislation in accordance with Article 5 and include the adjusted targets in its national action plan together with the Commission recommendation;

(b) provide justifications for not adjusting its national 2030 reduction targets as recommended by the Commission, and include the justifications for such decision in its national action plan together with the Commission recommendation.

Amendment 1269

Martin Hojsik, Michal Wiezik, María Soraya Rodríguez Ramos, Róza Thun und Hohenstein, Frédérique Ries

Proposal for a regulation

Article 6 – paragraph 8 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

8. Within one month of receiving the recommendation referred to in paragraph 7, a Member State shall ***take one of the following actions:***

Amendment

8. Within one month of receiving the recommendation referred to in paragraph 7, a Member State shall ***adjust its national 2030 reduction targets as proposed by the Commission, amend the national targets set in its national legislation in accordance with Article 5 and include the adjusted targets in its national action plan together with the Commission proposal;***

Amendment 1270

Ulrike Müller, Andreas Glück

Proposal for a regulation

Article 6 – paragraph 8 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

8. Within ***one month*** of receiving the recommendation referred to in paragraph 7, a Member State shall take one of the following actions:

Amendment

8. Within ***three months*** of receiving the recommendation referred to in paragraph 7, a Member State shall take one of the following actions:

Amendment 1271

Robert Roos, Rob Rooker

Proposal for a regulation

Article 6 – paragraph 8 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

8. Binnen **een maand** na ontvangst van de in lid 7 bedoelde aanbeveling treft een lidstaat een van de volgende maatregelen:

8. Binnen **zes maanden** na ontvangst van de in lid 7 bedoelde aanbeveling treft een lidstaat een van de volgende maatregelen:

Or. nl

Amendment 1272
Mathilde Androuët

Proposal for a regulation
Article 6 – paragraph 8 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

a) il adapte ses objectifs de réduction nationaux pour 2030 conformément à la recommandation de la Commission, modifie les objectifs nationaux fixés dans sa législation nationale conformément à l'article 5 et inclut les objectifs adaptés, ainsi que la recommandation de la Commission, dans son plan d'action national;

supprimé

Or. fr

Amendment 1273
Martin Hojsik, Michal Wiezik, María Soraya Rodríguez Ramos, Róza Thun und Hohenstein, Frédérique Ries

Proposal for a regulation
Article 6 – paragraph 8 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(a) adjust its national 2030 reduction targets as recommended by the Commission, amend the national targets set in its national legislation in accordance with Article 5 and include the adjusted targets in its national action plan

deleted

*together with the Commission
recommendation;*

Or. en

Amendment 1274

Dan-Ștefan Motreanu, Carmen Avram, Marian-Jean Marinescu, Daniel Buda

Proposal for a regulation

Article 6 – paragraph 8 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) adjust its national **2030** reduction **targets** as recommended by the Commission, amend the national **targets** set in its national legislation in accordance with Article 5 and include the adjusted targets in its national action plan together with the Commission recommendation;

Amendment

(a) adjust its national **2035** reduction **contributions** as recommended by the Commission, amend the national **contribution** set in its national legislation in accordance with Article 5 and include the adjusted targets in its national action plan together with the Commission recommendation;

Or. en

Amendment 1275

Esther de Lange

Proposal for a regulation

Article 6 – paragraph 8 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) adjust its national **2030** reduction targets as recommended by the Commission, amend the national targets set in its national legislation in accordance with Article 5 and include the adjusted targets in its national action plan together with the Commission recommendation;

Amendment

(a) adjust its national **2035** reduction targets as recommended by the Commission, amend the national targets set in its national legislation in accordance with Article 5 and include the adjusted targets in its national action plan together with the Commission recommendation;

Or. en

Amendment 1276
Jessica Polfjärd

Proposal for a regulation
Article 6 – paragraph 8 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) adjust its national 2030 reduction targets as recommended by the Commission, amend the national targets **set in its national legislation** in accordance with Article 5 and include the adjusted targets in its national action plan together with the Commission recommendation;

Amendment

(a) adjust its national 2030 reduction targets as recommended by the Commission, amend the national targets in accordance with Article 5 and include the adjusted targets in its national action plan together with the Commission recommendation;

Or. en

Amendment 1277
Peter Liese

Proposal for a regulation
Article 6 – paragraph 8 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) adjust its national **2030** reduction targets as recommended by the Commission, amend the national targets set in its national legislation in accordance with Article 5 and include the adjusted targets in its national action plan together with the Commission recommendation;

Amendment

(a) adjust its national reduction targets as recommended by the Commission, amend the national targets set in its national legislation in accordance with Article 5 and include the adjusted targets in its national action plan together with the Commission recommendation;

Or. en

Amendment 1278
Mathilde Androuët

Proposal for a regulation
Article 6 – paragraph 8 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

b) il fournit les justifications de sa

Amendment

supprimé

décision de ne pas adapter ses objectifs de réduction nationaux pour 2030 comme le recommande la Commission et inclut les justifications de cette décision, ainsi que la recommandation de la Commission, dans son plan d'action national.

Or. fr

Amendment 1279

Martin Hojsik, Michal Wiezik, María Soraya Rodríguez Ramos, Róza Thun und Hohenstein, Frédérique Ries

Proposal for a regulation

Article 6 – paragraph 8 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) provide justifications for not adjusting its national 2030 reduction targets as recommended by the Commission, and include the justifications for such decision in its national action plan together with the Commission recommendation.	deleted

Or. en

Amendment 1280

Dan-Ştefan Motreanu, Carmen Avram, Marian-Jean Marinescu, Daniel Buda

Proposal for a regulation

Article 6 – paragraph 8 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) provide justifications for not adjusting its national 2030 reduction targets as recommended by the Commission, and include the justifications for such decision in its national action plan together with the Commission recommendation.	(b) provide justifications for not adjusting its national 2035 reduction contributions as recommended by the Commission, and include the justifications for such decision in its national action plan together with the Commission recommendation.

Or. en

Amendment 1281
Esther de Lange

Proposal for a regulation
Article 6 – paragraph 8 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) provide justifications for not adjusting its national **2030** reduction targets as recommended by the Commission, and include the justifications for such decision in its national action plan together with the Commission recommendation.

Amendment

(b) provide justifications for not adjusting its national **2035** reduction targets as recommended by the Commission, and include the justifications for such decision in its national action plan together with the Commission recommendation.

Or. en

Amendment 1282
Peter Liese

Proposal for a regulation
Article 6 – paragraph 8 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) provide justifications for not adjusting its national **2030** reduction targets as recommended by the Commission, and include the justifications for such decision in its national action plan together with the Commission recommendation.

Amendment

(b) provide justifications for not adjusting its national reduction targets as recommended by the Commission, and include the justifications for such decision in its national action plan together with the Commission recommendation.

Or. en

Amendment 1283
Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation
Article 6 – paragraph 8 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

8 a. *If, after this review and adjustment process, the Commission concludes that the achieving of the 2030 Union reduction targets is still at risk, the Commission shall exercise its powers at Union level to ensure that the targets in the respective Member States are set in a way as to meet the requirements of this Regulation.*

Or. en

Amendment 1284

Martin Hojsik, Michal Wiezik, María Soraya Rodríguez Ramos, Róza Thun und Hohenstein, Frédérique Ries

Proposal for a regulation

Article 6 – paragraph 8 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

8 a. *Commission shall exercise its powers at Union level, if Member States do not meet their obligations in accordance to paragraph 8, in order to ensure that the overall targets of this Regulation are met.*

Or. en

Amendment 1285

Sylvia Limmer

Proposal for a regulation

Article 7

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Artikel 7

entfällt

Veröffentlichung der Entwicklungen der Reduktionsziele der Union und der nationalen Reduktionsziele bis 2030

durch die Kommission

(1) Bis zum 31. August eines jeden Kalenderjahres veröffentlicht die Kommission auf einer Website die durchschnittlichen Entwicklungen der Fortschritte auf dem Weg zur Erreichung der Reduktionsziele der Union bis 2030. Diese Entwicklungen werden als Differenz zwischen dem Durchschnitt der Jahre 2015-2017 und dem 20 Monate vor der Veröffentlichung endenden Jahr berechnet. Die Entwicklungen werden nach der in Anhang I dargelegten Methode berechnet.

(2) Die Kommission aktualisiert die in Absatz 1 genannte Website mindestens einmal jährlich.

(3) Bis zum 31. August eines jeden Kalenderjahres veröffentlicht die Kommission Informationen über die Entwicklungen der Fortschritte der einzelnen Mitgliedstaaten auf dem Weg zur Erreichung der nationalen Reduktionsziele bis 2030. Diese Entwicklungen werden als Differenz zwischen dem Durchschnitt der Jahre 2015-2017 und dem 20 Monate vor der Veröffentlichung endenden Jahr berechnet. Die Entwicklungen werden auf der in Absatz 1 genannten Website nach der in Anhang I dargelegten Methode berechnet.

Or. de

Amendment 1286

Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Lena Düpont, Peter Jahr, Jens Gieseke, Angelika Niebler, Andreas Schwab, Ralf Seekatz, David McAllister, Christine Schneider, Stefan Berger, Sabine Verheyen, Rainer Wieland, Monika Hohlmeier, Michael Gahler, Christian Doleschal, Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Daniel Caspary, Herbert Dorfmann

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 7 – title**

Text proposed by the Commission

Veröffentlichung der Entwicklungen der **Reduktionsziele** der Union und der nationalen **Reduktionsziele bis 2030** durch die Kommission

Amendment

Veröffentlichung der Entwicklungen der **Reduktion** der Union und der nationalen **Korridorziele bis ... [Amt für Veröffentlichungen: bitte das Datum einfügen – 10 Jahre nach dem Geltungsbeginn dieser Verordnung]** durch die Kommission

Or. de

Justification

Ein längerer Zeitraum ist für die vorgesehene Reduktion von Pflanzenschutzmitteln nötig, um Planungssicherheit zu gewährleisten.

Amendment 1287

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation

Article 7 – title

Text proposed by the Commission

Publication of Union and national 2030 reduction targets trends by the Commission

Amendment

Publication of Union and national 2030 reduction targets trends **and Union and national 2035 phase-out targets** by the Commission

Or. en

Amendment 1288

Dan-Ștefan Motreanu, Carmen Avram, Marian-Jean Marinescu, Daniel Buda

Proposal for a regulation

Article 7 – title

Text proposed by the Commission

Publication of Union and national **2030** reduction targets trends by the Commission

Amendment

Publication of Union and national **2035** reduction targets **and national 2035 reduction contributions** trends by the Commission

Amendment 1289

Esther de Lange

Proposal for a regulation

Article 7 – title

Text proposed by the Commission

Publication of Union and national **2030**
reduction targets trends by the Commission

Amendment

Publication of Union and national **2035**
reduction targets trends by the Commission

Or. en

Amendment 1290

Peter Liese

Proposal for a regulation

Article 7 – title

Text proposed by the Commission

Publication of Union and national **2030**
reduction targets trends by the Commission

Amendment

Publication of Union and national
reduction targets trends by the Commission

Or. en

Amendment 1291

**Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič,
Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis,
Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjård**

Proposal for a regulation

Article 7 – title

Text proposed by the Commission

Publication of Union **and national 2030**
reduction targets trends by the Commission

Amendment

Publication of Union **2035** reduction
targets trends by the Commission

Or. en

Amendment 1292

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation

Article 7 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. By 31 August of each calendar year, the Commission shall publish on a website the average trends in progress towards achieving the Union 2030 reduction targets. These trends shall be calculated as the difference between the average of the years 2015-2017 and the year ending 20 months prior to the publication. The trends shall be calculated in accordance with the methodology set out in Annex I.

Amendment

1. By 31 August of each calendar year, the Commission shall publish on a website the average trends in progress towards achieving the Union 2030 reduction targets **and the Union 2035 phase-out target**. These trends shall be calculated as the difference between the average of the years 2015-2017 and the year ending 20 months prior to the publication. The trends shall be calculated in accordance with the methodology set out in Annex I, **and provide insight in the progress of reducing pesticide use in the 15 main crops**.

Or. en

Amendment 1293

Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Lena Düpont, Peter Jahr, Jens Gieseke, Angelika Niebler, Andreas Schwab, Ralf Seekatz, David McAllister, Christine Schneider, Stefan Berger, Sabine Verheyen, Rainer Wieland, Monika Hohlmeier, Michael Gahler, Christian Doleschal, Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Daniel Caspary, Herbert Dorfmann

Proposal for a regulation

Article 7 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

(1) Bis zum 31. August eines jeden Kalenderjahres veröffentlicht die Kommission auf einer Website die durchschnittlichen Entwicklungen der Fortschritte auf dem Weg zur **Erreichung der Reduktionsziele** der Union bis 2030. Diese Entwicklungen werden als Differenz zwischen dem Durchschnitt der Jahre

Amendment

(1) Bis zum 31. August eines jeden Kalenderjahres veröffentlicht die Kommission auf einer Website die durchschnittlichen Entwicklungen der Fortschritte auf dem Weg zur **Reduktion** der Union bis ... **[Amt für Veröffentlichungen: bitte das Datum einfügen – 10 Jahre nach dem**

2015-2017 und dem 20 Monate vor der Veröffentlichung endenden Jahr berechnet. Die Entwicklungen werden nach der in Anhang I dargelegten Methode berechnet.

Geltungsbeginn dieser Verordnung]. Diese Entwicklungen werden als Differenz zwischen dem Durchschnitt der Jahre **2011-2013** und dem 20 Monate vor der Veröffentlichung endenden Jahr berechnet. Die Entwicklungen werden nach der in Anhang I dargelegten Methode berechnet.

Or. de

Justification

Ein längerer Zeitraum ist für die vorgesehene Reduktion von Pflanzenschutzmitteln nötig, um Planungssicherheit zu gewährleisten.

Amendment 1294

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Jorge Buxadé Villalba, Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjård

Proposal for a regulation Article 7 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. By 31 **August** of each calendar year, the Commission shall publish on a website the average trends in progress towards achieving the Union **2030** reduction targets. ***These trends shall be calculated as the difference between the average of the years 2015-2017 and the year ending 20 months prior to the publication.*** The trends shall be calculated in accordance with the methodology set out in Annex I.

Amendment

1. By 31 **December** of each calendar year, the Commission shall publish on a website the average **annual** trends in progress ***of the Union and each Member State*** towards achieving the Union **2035** reduction targets. The trends shall be calculated in accordance with the methodology set out in Annex I ***and the annual development of the quantity sold is also shown. Member States have the opportunity to comment on the developments achieved and these comments must be clearly visible.***

Or. en

Amendment 1295

Christine Schneider, Ralf Seekatz, Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Sven Simon, Angelika Niebler, Monika Hohlmeier, Christian Doleschal, Franc Bogovič, Marlene Mortler, Daniel Caspary, Rainer Wieland, Sabine Verheyen, Christophe Hansen

Proposal for a regulation
Article 7 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. By 31 August of each calendar year, the Commission shall publish on a website the average trends in progress towards achieving the Union 2030 reduction targets. These trends shall be calculated as the difference between the average of the years **2015-2017** and the year ending 20 months prior to the publication. The trends shall be calculated in accordance with the methodology set out in Annex I.

Amendment

1. By 31 August of each calendar year, the Commission shall publish on a website the average trends in progress towards achieving the Union 2030 reduction targets. These trends shall be calculated as the difference between the average of the years **2011-2013** and the year ending 20 months prior to the publication. The trends shall be calculated in accordance with the methodology set out in Annex I.

Or. en

Amendment 1296
Esther de Lange

Proposal for a regulation
Article 7 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. By 31 August of each calendar year, the Commission shall publish on a website the average trends in progress towards achieving the Union **2030** reduction targets. These trends shall be calculated as the difference between the average of the years **2015-2017** and the year ending 20 months prior to the publication. The trends shall be calculated in accordance with the methodology set out in Annex I.

Amendment

1. By 31 August of each calendar year, the Commission shall publish on a website the average trends in progress towards achieving the Union **2035** reduction targets. These trends shall be calculated as the difference between the average of the years **2010-2020** and the year ending 20 months prior to the publication. The trends shall be calculated in accordance with the methodology set out in Annex I.

Or. en

Amendment 1297
Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan

Proposal for a regulation
Article 7 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. By 31 August of each calendar year, the Commission shall publish on a website the average trends in progress towards achieving the Union 2030 reduction targets. These trends shall be calculated as the difference between the average of the years **2015-2017** and the year ending 20 months prior to the publication. The trends shall be calculated in accordance with the methodology set out in Annex I.

Amendment

1. By 31 August of each calendar year, the Commission shall publish on a website the average trends in progress towards achieving the Union 2030 reduction targets. These trends shall be calculated as the difference between the average of the years **2018-2020** and the year ending 20 months prior to the publication. The trends shall be calculated in accordance with the methodology set out in Annex I.

Or. en

Amendment 1298

Jan Huitema, Emma Wiesner, Erik Poulsen, Billy Kelleher, Asger Christensen

Proposal for a regulation
Article 7 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. By 31 August of each calendar year, the Commission shall publish on a website the average trends in progress towards achieving the Union 2030 reduction targets. These trends shall be calculated as the difference between the average of the years 2015-**2017** and the year ending 20 months prior to the publication. The trends shall be calculated in accordance with the methodology set out in Annex I.

Amendment

1. By 31 August of each calendar year, the Commission shall publish on a website the average trends in progress towards achieving the Union 2030 reduction targets. These trends shall be calculated as the difference between the average of the years **2013**-2015 and the year ending 20 months prior to the publication. The trends shall be calculated in accordance with the methodology set out in Annex I.

Or. en

Amendment 1299

Ondřej Knotek, Martin Hlaváček

Proposal for a regulation
Article 7 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. By 31 August of each calendar year, the Commission shall publish on a website the average trends in progress towards achieving the Union 2030 reduction targets. These trends shall be calculated as the difference between the average of the years **2015-2017** and the year ending 20 months prior to the publication. The trends shall be calculated in accordance with the methodology set out in Annex I.

Amendment

1. By 31 August of each calendar year, the Commission shall publish on a website the average trends in progress towards achieving the Union 2030 reduction targets. These trends shall be calculated as the difference between the average of the years **2011-2013** and the year ending 20 months prior to the publication. The trends shall be calculated in accordance with the methodology set out in Annex I.

Or. en

Amendment 1300

Dan-Ștefan Motreanu, Carmen Avram, Marian-Jean Marinescu, Daniel Buda

Proposal for a regulation
Article 7 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. By 31 August of each calendar year, the Commission shall publish on a website the average trends in progress towards achieving the Union **2030** reduction targets. These trends shall be calculated as the difference between the average of the years 2015-2017 and the year ending 20 months prior to the publication. The trends shall be calculated in accordance with the methodology set out in Annex I.

Amendment

1. By 31 August of each calendar year, the Commission shall publish on a website the average trends in progress towards achieving the Union **2035** reduction targets. These trends shall be calculated as the difference between the average of the years 2015-2017 and the year ending 20 months prior to the publication. The trends shall be calculated in accordance with the methodology set out in Annex I.

Or. en

Amendment 1301
Peter Liese

Proposal for a regulation
Article 7 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. By 31 August of each calendar year, the Commission shall publish on a website the average trends in progress towards achieving the Union **2030** reduction targets. These trends shall be calculated as the difference between the average of the years 2015-**2017** and the year ending 20 months prior to the publication. The trends shall be calculated in accordance with the methodology set out in Annex I.

Amendment

1. By 31 August of each calendar year, the Commission shall publish on a website the average trends in progress towards achieving the Union reduction targets. These trends shall be calculated as the difference between the average of the years **2013**-2015 and the year ending 20 months prior to the publication. The trends shall be calculated in accordance with the methodology set out in Annex I.

Or. en

Amendment 1302

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjärd

Proposal for a regulation
Article 7 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

3. *By 31 August of each calendar year, the Commission shall publish information for each Member State on trends in progress towards achieving the national 2030 reduction targets. These trends shall be calculated as the difference between the average of the years 2015-2017 and the year ending 20 months prior to the publication. The trends shall be calculated in accordance with the methodology set out in Annex I, on the website referred to in paragraph 1.*

Amendment

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 1303

Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław

Proposal for a regulation
Article 7 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

3. By 31 August of each calendar year, the Commission shall publish information for each Member State on trends in progress towards achieving the national 2030 reduction targets. These trends shall be calculated as the difference between the average of the years 2015-2017 and the year ending 20 months prior to the publication. The trends shall be calculated in accordance with the methodology set out in Annex I, on the website referred to in paragraph 1.

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 1304

Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Lena Düpont, Peter Jahr, Jens Gieseke, Angelika Niebler, Andreas Schwab, Ralf Seekatz, David McAllister, Christine Schneider, Stefan Berger, Sabine Verheyen, Rainer Wieland, Monika Hohlmeier, Michael Gahler, Christian Doleschal, Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Daniel Caspary, Herbert Dorfmann

Proposal for a regulation
Article 7 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(3) Bis zum 31. August eines jeden Kalenderjahres veröffentlicht die Kommission Informationen über die Entwicklungen der Fortschritte der einzelnen Mitgliedstaaten auf dem Weg zur Erreichung der nationalen **Reduktionsziele bis 2030**. Diese Entwicklungen werden als Differenz zwischen dem Durchschnitt der Jahre **2015-2017** und dem 20 Monate vor der Veröffentlichung endenden Jahr berechnet. Die Entwicklungen werden auf der in Absatz 1 genannten Website nach der in Anhang I dargelegten Methode

(3) Bis zum 31. August eines jeden Kalenderjahres veröffentlicht die Kommission Informationen über die Entwicklungen der Fortschritte der einzelnen Mitgliedstaaten auf dem Weg zur Erreichung der nationalen **Korridorziele bis ... [Amt für Veröffentlichungen: bitte das Datum einfügen – 10 Jahre nach dem Geltungsbeginn dieser Verordnung]**. Diese Entwicklungen werden als Differenz zwischen dem Durchschnitt der Jahre **2011-2013** und dem 20 Monate vor der Veröffentlichung endenden Jahr berechnet.

berechnet.

Die Entwicklungen werden auf der in Absatz 1 genannten Website nach der in Anhang I dargelegten Methode berechnet.

Or. de

Justification

Ein längerer Zeitraum ist für die vorgesehene Reduktion von Pflanzenschutzmitteln nötig, um Planungssicherheit zu gewährleisten.

Amendment 1305

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation

Article 7 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

3. By 31 August of each calendar year, the Commission shall publish information for each Member State on trends in progress towards achieving the national 2030 reduction targets. These trends shall be calculated as the difference between the average of the years 2015-2017 and the year ending 20 months prior to the publication. The trends shall be calculated in accordance with the methodology set out in Annex I, on the website referred to in paragraph 1.

Amendment

3. By 31 August of each calendar year, the Commission shall publish information for each Member State on trends in progress towards achieving the national 2030 reduction targets **and the Union 2035 phase-out target**. These trends shall be calculated as the difference between the average of the years 2015-2017 and the year ending 20 months prior to the publication. The trends shall be calculated in accordance with the methodology set out in Annex I, on the website referred to in paragraph 1.

Or. en

Amendment 1306

Christine Schneider, Ralf Seekatz, Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Sven Simon, Angelika Niebler, Monika Hohlmeier, Christian Doleschal, Franc Bogovič, Marlene Mortler, Daniel Caspary, Rainer Wieland, Sabine Verheyen, Christophe Hansen

Proposal for a regulation

Article 7 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

3. By 31 August of each calendar year, the Commission shall publish information for each Member State on trends in progress towards achieving the national 2030 reduction targets. These trends shall be calculated as the difference between the average of the years **2015-2017** and the year ending 20 months prior to the publication. The trends shall be calculated in accordance with the methodology set out in Annex I, on the website referred to in paragraph 1.

Amendment

3. By 31 August of each calendar year, the Commission shall publish information for each Member State on trends in progress towards achieving the national 2030 reduction targets. These trends shall be calculated as the difference between the average of the years **2011-2013** and the year ending 20 months prior to the publication. The trends shall be calculated in accordance with the methodology set out in Annex I, **and published on** the website referred to in paragraph 1.

Or. en

Amendment 1307

Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan

Proposal for a regulation

Article 7 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

3. By 31 August of each calendar year, the Commission shall publish information for each Member State on trends in progress towards achieving the national 2030 reduction targets. These trends shall be calculated as the difference between the average of the years **2015-2017** and the year ending 20 months prior to the publication. The trends shall be calculated in accordance with the methodology set out in Annex I, on the website referred to in paragraph 1.

Amendment

3. By 31 August of each calendar year, the Commission shall publish information for each Member State on trends in progress towards achieving the national 2030 reduction targets. These trends shall be calculated as the difference between the average of the years **2018-2020** and the year ending 20 months prior to the publication. The trends shall be calculated in accordance with the methodology set out in Annex I, **and published** on the website referred to in paragraph 1.

Or. en

Amendment 1308

Dan-Ștefan Motreanu, Carmen Avram, Marian-Jean Marinescu, Daniel Buda

Proposal for a regulation
Article 7 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

3. By 31 August of each calendar year, the Commission shall publish information for each Member State on trends in progress towards achieving the national **2030** reduction **targets**. These trends shall be calculated as the difference between the average of the years 2015-2017 and the year ending 20 months prior to the publication. The trends shall be calculated in accordance with the methodology set out in Annex I, on the website referred to in paragraph 1.

Amendment

3. By 31 August of each calendar year, the Commission shall publish information for each Member State on trends in progress towards achieving the national **2035** reduction **contributions**. These trends shall be calculated as the difference between the average of the years 2015-2017 and the year ending 20 months prior to the publication. The trends shall be calculated in accordance with the methodology set out in Annex I, on the website referred to in paragraph 1.

Or. en

Amendment 1309

Jan Huitema, Emma Wiesner, Erik Poulsen, Billy Kelleher, Asger Christensen

Proposal for a regulation
Article 7 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

3. By 31 August of each calendar year, the Commission shall publish information for each Member State on trends in progress towards achieving the national 2030 reduction targets. These trends shall be calculated as the difference between the average of the years 2015-**2017** and the year ending 20 months prior to the publication. The trends shall be calculated in accordance with the methodology set out in Annex I, on the website referred to in paragraph 1.

Amendment

3. By 31 August of each calendar year, the Commission shall publish information for each Member State on trends in progress towards achieving the national 2030 reduction targets. These trends shall be calculated as the difference between the average of the years **2013**-2015 and the year ending 20 months prior to the publication. The trends shall be calculated in accordance with the methodology set out in Annex I, on the website referred to in paragraph 1.

Or. en

Amendment 1310

Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Peter Lundgren, Hermann Tertsch, Margarita de la Pisa Carrión, Mazaly Aguilar, Evžen Tošenovský, Sergio Berlato, Jorge Buxadé Villalba, Eugen Jurzyca, Pietro Fiocchi, Charlie Weimers, Alexander Bernhuber, Johan Nissinen

Proposal for a regulation

Article 7 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

3. By 31 August of each calendar year, the Commission shall publish information for each Member State on trends in progress towards achieving the national 2030 reduction targets. These trends shall be calculated as the difference between the average of the years **2015-2017** and the year ending 20 months prior to the publication. The trends shall be calculated in accordance with the methodology set out in Annex I, on the website referred to in paragraph 1.

Amendment

3. By 31 August of each calendar year, the Commission shall publish information for each Member State on trends in progress towards achieving the national 2030 reduction targets. These trends shall be calculated as the difference between the average of the years **2011-2013** and the year ending 20 months prior to the publication. The trends shall be calculated in accordance with the methodology set out in Annex I, on the website referred to in paragraph 1.

Or. en

Amendment 1311

Esther de Lange

Proposal for a regulation

Article 7 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

3. By 31 August of each calendar year, the Commission shall publish information for each Member State on trends in progress towards achieving the national **2030** reduction targets. These trends shall be calculated as the difference between the average of the years **2015-2017** and the year ending 20 months prior to the publication. The trends shall be calculated in accordance with the methodology set out in Annex I, on the website referred to in paragraph 1.

Amendment

3. By 31 August of each calendar year, the Commission shall publish information for each Member State on trends in progress towards achieving the national **2035** reduction targets. These trends shall be calculated as the difference between the average of the years **2010-2020** and the year ending 20 months prior to the publication. The trends shall be calculated in accordance with the methodology set out in Annex I, on the website referred to in paragraph 1.

Amendment 1312

Peter Liese

Proposal for a regulation

Article 7 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

3. By 31 August of each calendar year, the Commission shall publish information for each Member State on trends in progress towards achieving the national **2030** reduction targets. These trends shall be calculated as the difference between the average of the years 2015-**2017** and the year ending 20 months prior to the publication. The trends shall be calculated in accordance with the methodology set out in Annex I, on the website referred to in paragraph 1.

Amendment

3. By 31 August of each calendar year, the Commission shall publish information for each Member State on trends in progress towards achieving the national reduction targets. These trends shall be calculated as the difference between the average of the years **2013-**2015 and the year ending 20 months prior to the publication. The trends shall be calculated in accordance with the methodology set out in Annex I, on the website referred to in paragraph 1.

Amendment 1313

Martin Hojsík, Michal Wiezik, María Soraya Rodríguez Ramos, Róża Thun und Hohenstein, Frédérique Ries

Proposal for a regulation

Article 7 – paragraph 3 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

3 a. In order to measure progress and assess ecotoxicological risks, Commission will accompany the publication of trends referred to in paragraph 1 by results of annual in situ systematic environmental monitoring. Specifically following data will be published:

- results of standardized monitoring of pesticides and their residues via honeybees on adequate number of locations across Member States'

territories^{3a};

- results of standardized monitoring of pesticides and their residues via LUCAS Soil Pesticides module on adequate number of locations across Member States' territories^{3b}.

This EU-wide systematic and harmonized monitoring framework will be supported continuously by the Commission and Member States in order to measure progress in a clear, cost-effective manner.

^{3a} The monitoring will be based on the methodology developed via the Parliament's pilot project Insignia, which successfully used bee colonies as biomonitors and now implements the initiative via preparatory action Insignia Bee in all Member States. The monitoring will take into account seasonal variations in order to provide a solid yearly overview.

^{3b} LUCAS Soil Pesticides will be a complementary module to LUCAS monitoring of soil carbon stocks, using annual land use/cover area frame statistical survey, as established within the LULUCF Reg., Annex V, Par. 3: P9_TA(2023)0066. The monitoring will take into account seasonal variations in order to provide a solid yearly overview.

Or. en

Justification

The monitoring framework and its embedding into National Action Plans, has been requested by the Parliament within its resolution: P9_TA(2019)0104. Systematic approach, offered by such a framework (including Insignia Bee and LUCAS), should be chosen as a more cost-effective solution in comparison to eventual individual ad hoc monitoring efforts, which can be limited in space or objective. A coordinated monitoring approach will, furthermore, generate comparable data.

**Amendment 1314
Eric Andrieu**

Proposal for a regulation
Article 7 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article 7 a

Trade reciprocity

The Commission shall include in the trade agreements it negotiates binding commitment targets for the use of plant protection products, in particular for the more hazardous plant protection products. The import tolerance on maximum residue limit on pesticides forbidden in European Union for human health of loss of biodiversity reasons should be deleted on a case-by-case basis by the end of 2025.

Or. en

Amendment 1315

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Jorge Buxadé Villalba, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjärd

Proposal for a regulation
Chapter III – title

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

III NATIONAL ACTION PLANS

**NATIONAL ACTION PLANS AND
PROVISION OF INFORMATION**

Or. en

Amendment 1316

Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan

Proposal for a regulation
Article 8 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

By ... [OP: please insert the date – 18

By ... [OP: please insert the date – 18

months after the ***date of application*** of this Regulation] each Member State shall draft and publish on a website a national action plan ***containing*** the following information:

months after the ***entry into force*** of this Regulation] each Member State shall draft and publish on a website a national action plan ***to set up their quantitative objectives, targets, measures and timetables in order to reduce the use of plant protection products and their risks for human health and the environment, protect farmers and farm workers, and to encourage the development and introduction of integrated pest management and of alternative approaches or techniques. National Action Plans shall contain, at least,*** the following information:

Or. en

Amendment 1317

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation

Article 8 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

By ... [OP: please insert the date – **18** months after the ***date of application*** of this Regulation] each Member State shall draft and publish on a website a national action plan containing the following information:

Amendment

By ... [OP: please insert the date – **12** months after the ***entry into force*** of this Regulation] each Member State shall draft and publish on a website a ***legally binding*** national action plan containing the following information:

Or. en

Amendment 1318

Pascal Canfin, Martin Hojsík, Catherine Chabaud, Max Orville

Proposal for a regulation

Article 8 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

By ... [OP: please insert the date – **18** months after the date of application of this Regulation] each Member State shall draft

Amendment

By ... [OP: please insert the date – **12** months after the date of application of this Regulation] each Member State shall draft

and publish on a website a national action plan containing the following information:

and publish on a website a national action plan containing the following information:

Or. en

Amendment 1319

Sylvia Limmer

Proposal for a regulation

Article 8 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

Bis zum ... [Amt für Veröffentlichungen: bitte Datum einfügen – **18** Monate nach Geltungsbeginn dieser Verordnung] erstellt und veröffentlicht jeder Mitgliedstaat auf einer Website einen nationalen Aktionsplan mit folgenden Informationen:

Amendment

Bis zum ... [Amt für Veröffentlichungen: bitte Datum einfügen – **36** Monate nach Geltungsbeginn dieser Verordnung] erstellt und veröffentlicht jeder Mitgliedstaat auf einer Website einen nationalen Aktionsplan mit folgenden Informationen:

Or. de

Amendment 1320

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjård

Proposal for a regulation

Article 8 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

By ... [OP: please insert the date – **18** months after the date of application of this Regulation] each Member State shall draft and publish on a website a national action plan containing the following information:

Amendment

By ... [OP: please insert the date – **24** months after the date of application of this Regulation] each Member State shall draft and publish on a website a national action plan containing the following information:

Or. en

Amendment 1321

Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Lena Düpont, Peter Jahr, Jens Gieseke, Angelika Niebler, Andreas Schwab, Ralf Seekatz, David McAllister, Christine Schneider, Stefan

Berger, Sabine Verheyen, Rainer Wieland, Monika Hohlmeier, Michael Gahler, Christian Doleschal, Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Alexander Bernhuber, Daniel Caspary, Herbert Dorfmann, Simone Schmiedtbauer

Proposal for a regulation

Article 8 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

a) *die gemäß Kapitel II erlassenen nationalen Reduktionsziele bis 2030;* **entfällt**

Or. de

Amendment 1322

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjärd

Proposal for a regulation

Article 8 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(a) the *national 2030* reduction targets *adopted in accordance with Chapter II;*

(a) the *quantitative targets, actions and timetables intended to contribute to the achievement of the Union-wide* reduction targets *for 2035 that fall within the scope of the Regulation;*

Or. en

Amendment 1323

Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław Kalinowski, Joanna Kopcińska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

Proposal for a regulation

Article 8 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(a) *the national 2030* reduction targets *adopted* in accordance with Chapter II;

(a) *(a) the actions foreseen in order to contribute to approaching to Union 2030* reduction targets in accordance with Chapter II;

Amendment 1324

Martin Hojsik, Michal Wiezik, María Soraya Rodríguez Ramos, Róza Thun und Hohenstein, Frédérique Ries

Proposal for a regulation

Article 8 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) the national 2030 reduction targets adopted in accordance with Chapter II;

Amendment

(a) the national **binding** 2030 reduction targets adopted in accordance with Chapter II;

Or. en

Amendment 1325

Dan-Ştefan Motreanu, Carmen Avram, Marian-Jean Marinescu, Daniel Buda

Proposal for a regulation

Article 8 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) the national **2030** reduction **targets** adopted in accordance with Chapter II;

Amendment

(a) the national **2035** reduction **contributions** adopted in accordance with Chapter II;

Or. en

Amendment 1326

Sylvia Limmer

Proposal for a regulation

Article 8 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

a) die gemäß Kapitel II erlassenen nationalen Reduktionsziele bis **2030**;

Amendment

a) die gemäß Kapitel II erlassenen nationalen Reduktionsziele bis **2035**;

Or. de

Amendment 1327
Esther de Lange

Proposal for a regulation
Article 8 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(a) the national **2030** reduction targets adopted in accordance with Chapter II;

(a) the national **2035** reduction targets adopted in accordance with Chapter II;

Or. en

Amendment 1328
Peter Liese

Proposal for a regulation
Article 8 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(a) the national **2030** reduction targets adopted in accordance with Chapter II;

(a) the national reduction targets adopted in accordance with Chapter II;

Or. en

Amendment 1329
Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjård

Proposal for a regulation
Article 8 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) **information related to national 2030 reduction targets as set out in Article 9;**

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 1330

Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Lena Düpont, Peter Jahr, Jens Gieseke, Angelika Niebler, Andreas Schwab, Ralf Seekatz, David McAllister, Christine Schneider, Stefan Berger, Sabine Verheyen, Rainer Wieland, Monika Hohlmeier, Michael Gahler, Christian Doleschal, Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Alexander Bernhuber, Daniel Caspary, Herbert Dorfmann, Simone Schmiedtbauer

Proposal for a regulation

Article 8 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

b) Informationen über die nationalen Reduktionsziele bis 2030 gemäß Artikel 9; **entfällt**

Or. de

Amendment 1331

Martin Hojsik, Michal Wiezik, María Soraya Rodríguez Ramos, Róza Thun und Hohenstein, Frédérique Ries

Proposal for a regulation

Article 8 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) information related to national 2030 reduction targets as set out in Article 9;

(b) information related to national **intermediary and** 2030 reduction targets as set out in Article 9;

Or. en

Amendment 1332

Dan-Ștefan Motreanu, Carmen Avram, Marian-Jean Marinescu, Daniel Buda

Proposal for a regulation

Article 8 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) information related to national **2030** reduction **targets** as set out in Article 9;

(b) information related to national **2035** reduction **contributions** as set out in Article 9;

Or. en

Amendment 1333
Esther de Lange

Proposal for a regulation
Article 8 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) information related to national **2030** reduction targets as set out in Article 9;

(b) information related to national **2035** reduction targets as set out in Article 9;

Or. en

Amendment 1334
Sylvia Limmer

Proposal for a regulation
Article 8 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

b) Informationen über die nationalen Reduktionsziele bis **2030** gemäß Artikel 9;

b) Informationen über die nationalen Reduktionsziele bis **2035** gemäß Artikel 9;

Or. de

Amendment 1335
Peter Liese

Proposal for a regulation
Article 8 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) information related to national **2030** reduction targets as set out in Article 9;

(b) information related to national reduction targets as set out in Article 9;

Or. en

Amendment 1336
Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław

Kalinowski, Joanna Kopcińska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

Proposal for a regulation

Article 8 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) information related to ***national 2030 reduction targets*** as set out in Article 9;

(b) ***(b)*** information related to ***the actions*** as set out in Article 9;

Or. en

Amendment 1337

Jan Huitema, Emma Wiesner, Erik Poulsen, Billy Kelleher, Asger Christensen

Proposal for a regulation

Article 8 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point b a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b a) If the Member states chooses to adopt targets for reducing the environmental impact of plant protection measures in line with article 4a, Member states shall include in their plans:

(i) scientific justifications on the development and functioning of the proposed indicator or indicator to be used on national level;

(ii) the proposed baseline reference years and a justification on why this reference year is to be used;

Or. en

Amendment 1338

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation

Article 8 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point b a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b a) information related to the national 2035 phase-out target in accordance with Chapter II;

Or. en

Amendment 1339

Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Lena Düpont, Jens Gieseke, Angelika Niebler, Andreas Schwab, Ralf Seekatz, David McAllister, Christine Schneider, Stefan Berger, Sabine Verheyen, Rainer Wieland, Monika Hohlmeier, Michael Gahler, Christian Doleschal, Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Alexander Bernhuber, Daniel Caspary, Herbert Dorfmann, Simone Schmiedtbauer

Proposal for a regulation

Article 8 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

c) detaillierte Angaben zu den geplanten Fortschritten bei den in Anhang II Teil 2 aufgeführten Elementen, die für die Durchführung dieser Verordnung relevant sind; **entfällt**

Or. de

Amendment 1340

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjärd

Proposal for a regulation

Article 8 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(c) details of planned progress in relation to the elements relevant for the implementation of this Regulation listed in Part 2 of Annex II; **deleted**

Or. en

Amendment 1341

Jan Huitema, Emma Wiesner, Billy Kelleher, Asger Christensen

Proposal for a regulation

Article 8 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point c a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(c a) A definition for sensitive areas meeting the requirements in Article 3(16) and in accordance with Article 18 of this regulation;

Or. en

Amendment 1342

Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Lena Düpont, Peter Jahr, Jens Gieseke, Angelika Niebler, Andreas Schwab, Ralf Seekatz, David McAllister, Christine Schneider, Stefan Berger, Sabine Verheyen, Rainer Wieland, Monika Hohlmeier, Michael Gahler, Christian Doleschal, Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Alexander Bernhuber, Daniel Caspary, Herbert Dorfmann, Simone Schmiedtbauer

Proposal for a regulation

Article 8 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point d

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

d) ein Link zu den relevanten Teilen von gemäß der Verordnung (EU) 2021/2115 erstellten GAP-Strategieplänen, in denen Pläne für eine Ausweitung der ökologisch/biologisch bewirtschafteten landwirtschaftlichen Nutzfläche festgelegt sind und ausgeführt wird, wie diese Pläne zur Erreichung des Ziels beitragen werden, dass bis 2030 25 % der landwirtschaftlichen Nutzfläche ökologisch/biologisch bewirtschaftet werden sollen, wie dies in der Mitteilung der Kommission an das Europäische Parlament, den Rat, den Europäischen Wirtschafts- und Sozialausschuss und den Ausschuss der Regionen „Vom Hof auf den Tisch — eine Strategie für ein faires, gesundes und umweltfreundliches

entfällt

Lebensmittelsystem“⁸⁴ festgelegt ist;

⁸⁴ Mitteilung der Kommission an das Europäische Parlament, den Rat, den Europäischen Wirtschafts- und Sozialausschuss und den Ausschuss der Regionen „Vom Hof auf den Tisch“ – eine Strategie für ein faires, gesundes und umweltfreundliches Lebensmittelsystem (COM(2020) 381 final).

Or. de

Amendment 1343
Sylvia Limmer

Proposal for a regulation
Article 8 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point d

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

d) ein Link zu den relevanten Teilen von gemäß der Verordnung (EU) 2021/2115 erstellten GAP-Strategieplänen, in denen Pläne für eine Ausweitung der ökologisch/biologisch bewirtschafteten landwirtschaftlichen Nutzfläche festgelegt sind und ausgeführt wird, wie diese Pläne zur Erreichung des Ziels beitragen werden, dass bis 2030 25 % der landwirtschaftlichen Nutzfläche ökologisch/biologisch bewirtschaftet werden sollen, wie dies in der Mitteilung der Kommission an das Europäische Parlament, den Rat, den Europäischen Wirtschafts- und Sozialausschuss und den Ausschuss der Regionen „Vom Hof auf den Tisch — eine Strategie für ein faires, gesundes und umweltfreundliches Lebensmittelsystem“⁸⁴ festgelegt ist; **entfällt**

⁸⁴ Mitteilung der Kommission an das Europäische Parlament, den Rat, den Europäischen Wirtschafts- und Sozialausschuss und den Ausschuss der

***Regionen „Vom Hof auf den Tisch“ –
eine Strategie für ein faires, gesundes und
umweltfreundliches Lebensmittelsystem
(COM(2020) 381 final).***

Or. de

Amendment 1344

**Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič,
Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjärd**

Proposal for a regulation

Article 8 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point d

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(d) a link to the relevant parts of CAP strategic plans, drawn-up in accordance with Regulation (EU) 2021/2115, which set out plans for an increase in the utilised agricultural area engaged in organic farming and how the plans will contribute to achieving the target set out in the Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions A Farm to Fork Strategy for a fair, healthy and environmentally-friendly food system⁸⁴ of having 25% of the utilised agricultural area devoted to organic farming by 2030; **deleted**

⁸⁴ ***Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions A Farm to Fork Strategy for a fair, healthy and environmentally-friendly food system (COM/2020/381 final).***

Or. en

Amendment 1345

Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław Kalinowski, Joanna Kopcińska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

Proposal for a regulation

Article 8 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point d

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(d) a link to the relevant parts of CAP strategic plans, drawn-up in accordance with Regulation (EU) 2021/2115, which set out plans for an increase in the utilised agricultural area engaged in organic farming and how the plans will contribute to achieving the target set out in the Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions A Farm to Fork Strategy for a fair, healthy and environmentally-friendly food system⁸⁴ of having 25% of the utilised agricultural area devoted to organic farming by 2030; **deleted**

⁸⁴ *Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions A Farm to Fork Strategy for a fair, healthy and environmentally-friendly food system (COM/2020/381 final).*

Or. en

Amendment 1346

Ulrike Müller, Andreas Glück

Proposal for a regulation

Article 8 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point d

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(d) a link to the relevant parts of CAP strategic plans, drawn-up in accordance

(d) a link to the relevant parts of CAP strategic plans, drawn-up in accordance

with Regulation (EU) 2021/2115, **which set out plans for an increase in the utilised agricultural area engaged in organic farming and how the plans will contribute to achieving the target set out in the Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions A Farm to Fork Strategy for a fair, healthy and environmentally-friendly food system⁸⁴ of having 25% of the utilised agricultural area devoted to organic farming by 2030;**

with Regulation (EU) 2021/2115;

⁸⁴ **Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions A Farm to Fork Strategy for a fair, healthy and environmentally-friendly food system (COM/2020/381 final).**

Or. en

Justification

Organic farming is one approach to low-input farming, but should not be considered a silver bullet for the achievement of the goals of this Regulation. It is not apparent how a focus on organic farming over other practices that contribute to volume- and risk-reduction helps to validate the quality of national action plans. Further, the target of achieving 25% organic farming is an indicative target not set in legislation. Therefore, Member States should not be legally obliged to link national action plans to the target.

Amendment 1347

Esther de Lange

Proposal for a regulation

Article 8 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point d

Text proposed by the Commission

(d) a link to the relevant parts of CAP strategic plans, drawn-up in accordance with Regulation (EU) 2021/2115, **which set out plans for an increase in the**

Amendment

(d) a link to the relevant parts of CAP strategic plans, drawn-up in accordance with Regulation (EU) 2021/2115;

utilised agricultural area engaged in organic farming and how the plans will contribute to achieving the target set out in the Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions A Farm to Fork Strategy for a fair, healthy and environmentally-friendly food system⁸⁴ of having 25% of the utilised agricultural area devoted to organic farming by 2030;

⁸⁴ Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions A Farm to Fork Strategy for a fair, healthy and environmentally-friendly food system (COM/2020/381 final).

⁸⁴ Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions A Farm to Fork Strategy for a fair, healthy and environmentally-friendly food system (COM/2020/381 final).

Or. en

Amendment 1348

Franco Bogovič, Marlene Mortler, Ljudmila Novak, Alexander Bernhuber

Proposal for a regulation

Article 8 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point d

Text proposed by the Commission

(d) a link to the relevant parts of CAP strategic plans, drawn-up in accordance with Regulation (EU) 2021/2115, which set out plans for an increase in the utilised agricultural area engaged in organic farming ***and how the plans will contribute to achieving the target set out in the Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions A Farm to Fork Strategy for a fair, healthy and environmentally-friendly food system⁸⁴ of having 25% of the utilised agricultural area devoted to***

Amendment

(d) a link to the relevant parts of CAP strategic plans, drawn-up in accordance with Regulation (EU) 2021/2115, which set out plans for an increase in the utilised agricultural area engaged in organic farming;

organic farming by 2030;

⁸⁴ Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions A Farm to Fork Strategy for a fair, healthy and environmentally-friendly food system (COM/2020/381 final).

⁸⁴ Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions A Farm to Fork Strategy for a fair, healthy and environmentally-friendly food system (COM/2020/381 final).

Or. en

Justification

The Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions A Farm to Fork Strategy for a fair, healthy and environmentally-friendly food system is not a binding legislative proposal. Referring to its objectives regarding the utilized agricultural area devoted to organic farming by 2030 should therefore be excluded from this article.

Amendment 1349

Jan Huitema, Emma Wiesner, Nils Torvalds, Billy Kelleher, Asger Christensen

Proposal for a regulation

Article 8 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point d

Text proposed by the Commission

(d) a link to the relevant parts of CAP strategic plans, drawn-up in accordance with Regulation (EU) 2021/2115, which set out plans for an increase in the utilised agricultural area engaged in organic farming and how the plans will contribute to achieving ***the target set out in the Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions A Farm to Fork Strategy for a fair, healthy and environmentally-friendly food system⁸⁴ of having 25% of the utilised agricultural area devoted to organic farming by 2030;***

Amendment

(d) a link to the relevant parts of CAP strategic plans, drawn-up in accordance with Regulation (EU) 2021/2115, which set out plans for an increase in the utilised agricultural area engaged in organic farming and how the plans will contribute to achieving ***such an increase.***

⁸⁴ Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions A Farm to Fork Strategy for a fair, healthy and environmentally-friendly food system (COM/2020/381 final).

⁸⁴ Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions A Farm to Fork Strategy for a fair, healthy and environmentally-friendly food system (COM/2020/381 final).

Or. en

Amendment 1350

Martin Hojsik, Michal Wiezik, María Soraya Rodríguez Ramos, Róża Thun und Hohenstein, Frédérique Ries

Proposal for a regulation

Article 8 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point d

Text proposed by the Commission

(d) a link to the relevant parts of CAP strategic plans, drawn-up in accordance with Regulation (EU) 2021/2115, which set out plans for an increase in the utilised agricultural area engaged in organic farming and how the plans will contribute to achieving the target set out in the Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions A Farm to Fork Strategy for a fair, healthy and environmentally-friendly food system⁸⁴ of having 25% of the utilised agricultural area devoted to organic farming by 2030;

⁸⁴ Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions A Farm to Fork Strategy for a fair, healthy and environmentally-friendly food system

Amendment

(d) a link to the relevant parts of CAP strategic plans, drawn-up in accordance with Regulation (EU) 2021/2115, which set out plans for an increase in the utilised agricultural area engaged in organic farming and how the plans will contribute to achieving the target set out in the Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions A Farm to Fork Strategy for a fair, healthy and environmentally-friendly food system⁸⁴ of having 25% of the utilised agricultural area devoted to organic farming by 2030, ***and which, in addition, need to strengthen and roll-out impartial and independent farm advisory services specifically in order to facilitate correct implementation of integrated pest management hierarchy;***

⁸⁴ Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions A Farm to Fork Strategy for a fair, healthy and environmentally-friendly food system

Justification

Article 15 of Regulation (EU) 2021/2115 on Farm Advisory Services aims to facilitate the implementation of Directive 2009/128/EC, which has the integrated pest management principles as core.

Amendment 1351

Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Peter Lundgren, Hermann Tertsch, Margarita de la Pisa Carrión, Mazaly Aguilar, Evžen Tošenovský, Sergio Berlato, Jorge Buxadé Villalba, Eugen Jurzyca, Charlie Weimers, Alexander Bernhuber, Johan Nissinen

Proposal for a regulation

Article 8 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point d

Text proposed by the Commission

(d) a link to the relevant parts of CAP strategic plans, drawn-up in accordance with Regulation (EU) 2021/2115, which set out plans for an increase in the utilised agricultural area engaged in organic farming and how the plans will contribute to achieving the target set out in the Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions A Farm to Fork Strategy for a fair, healthy and environmentally-friendly food system⁸⁴ of having 25% of the utilised agricultural area devoted to organic farming by 2030;

⁸⁴ Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions A Farm to Fork Strategy for a fair, healthy and environmentally-friendly food system (COM/2020/381 final).

Amendment

(d) a link to the relevant parts of CAP strategic plans, drawn-up in accordance with Regulation (EU) 2021/2115, which set out plans for an increase in the utilised agricultural area engaged in organic farming and how the plans will contribute to achieving the target set out in the Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions A Farm to Fork Strategy for a fair, healthy and environmentally-friendly food system⁸⁴ of having 25% of the utilised agricultural area devoted to organic farming by 2030, ***without compromising the viability of the rest of sustainable productive methods existing and applied in the EU territories;***

⁸⁴ Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions A Farm to Fork Strategy for a fair, healthy and environmentally-friendly food system (COM/2020/381 final).

Justification

For a diverse, competitive and high-quality agricultural productions, all production methods should have the right to exist. Plus, through the application of IPM both organic and conventional farmers must prioritise the use of low-risk products and it is in their interests to reduce their inputs. However, the lack of proper tools and the scarce number of effective plant protection products currently in the market make those farmers need to repeat more than the usual the number of applications, which increases the volume used of certain active substances (chemical or not). Considering the approach of “one-size-fits-all” is not the right approach for the Commission.

Amendment 1352

Dan-Ștefan Motreanu, Carmen Avram, Marian-Jean Marinescu, Daniel Buda

Proposal for a regulation

Article 8 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point d

Text proposed by the Commission

(d) a link to the relevant parts of CAP strategic plans, drawn-up in accordance with Regulation (EU) 2021/2115, which set out plans for an increase in the utilised agricultural area engaged in organic farming and how the plans will contribute to achieving the target set out in the Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions A Farm to Fork Strategy for a fair, healthy and environmentally-friendly food system⁸⁴ of having 25% of the utilised agricultural area devoted to organic farming by 2030;

⁸⁴ Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions A Farm to Fork Strategy for a fair, healthy and environmentally-friendly food system

Amendment

(d) a link to the relevant parts of CAP strategic plans, drawn-up in accordance with Regulation (EU) 2021/2115, which set out plans for an increase in the utilised agricultural area engaged in organic farming and how the plans will contribute to achieving the target set out in the Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions A Farm to Fork Strategy for a fair, healthy and environmentally-friendly food system⁸⁴ of having 25% of the utilised agricultural area devoted to organic farming by 2030 ***without compromising the viability of the rest of sustainable productive methods existing and applied in EU territories;***

⁸⁴ Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions A Farm to Fork Strategy for a fair, healthy and environmentally-friendly food system

Amendment 1353

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation

Article 8 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point d

Text proposed by the Commission

(d) a link to the relevant parts of CAP strategic plans, drawn-up in accordance with Regulation (EU) 2021/2115, which set out plans for an increase in the utilised agricultural area engaged in organic farming and how the plans will contribute to achieving the target set out in the Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions A Farm to Fork Strategy for a fair, healthy and environmentally-friendly food system⁸⁴ of having 25% of the utilised agricultural area devoted to organic farming by 2030;

⁸⁴ Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions A Farm to Fork Strategy for a fair, healthy and environmentally-friendly food system (COM/2020/381 final).

Amendment

(d) a link to the relevant parts of CAP strategic plans, drawn-up in accordance with Regulation (EU) 2021/2115, which set out plans for an increase in the utilised agricultural area engaged in organic farming and how the plans will contribute to achieving the target set out in the Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions A Farm to Fork Strategy for a fair, healthy and environmentally-friendly food system⁸⁴ of having **at least** 25% of the utilised agricultural area devoted to organic farming by 2030;

⁸⁴ Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions A Farm to Fork Strategy for a fair, healthy and environmentally-friendly food system (COM/2020/381 final).

Amendment 1354

Martin Hojsik, Michal Wiezik, María Soraya Rodríguez Ramos, Róza Thun und Hohenstein, Frédérique Ries

Proposal for a regulation

Article 8 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point d a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(d a) Information on substances, frequency and volume of use of chemical plant protection products used by the rail infrastructure operators, including railways and tramways, on Member States territories and planned measures to increase the use of safe alternatives to synthetic herbicides, specifically in densely inhabited areas, based on a specific timeline;

Or. en

Justification

Railway operators should be incentivized to look for safer alternatives to harmful systemic non-selective synthetic herbicides. Good practices which exist across Member States, should be shared and promoted, while ensuring the overall safety on railways and tramways.

Amendment 1355

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation

Article 8 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point e

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(e) a list of application equipment in professional use to which the Member State applies different inspection requirements in accordance with Article 32(1); **deleted**

Or. en

Amendment 1356

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjärd

Proposal for a regulation

Article 8 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point e

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(e) a list of application equipment in professional use to which the Member State applies different inspection requirements in accordance with Article 32(1); **deleted**

Or. en

Amendment 1357

Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław Kalinowski, Joanna Kopcińska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

Proposal for a regulation

Article 8 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point f

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(f) information on the estimated annual amounts of plant protection products illegally used or seized via antifraud operations during the preceding 3 years and any planned related measures; **deleted**

Or. en

Amendment 1358

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjärd

Proposal for a regulation

Article 8 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point f

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(f) information on the estimated annual amounts of plant protection products illegally used or seized via antifraud operations during the preceding 3 years and any planned related **deleted**

measures;

Or. en

Amendment 1359

Sarah Wiener

Proposal for a regulation

Article 8 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point f a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(f a) planned and adopted measures aiming to phase-out of voluntary marketing standards for fruit and vegetables in food retail, which go beyond legal requirements and incentivise the use of pesticides for primarily cosmetic reasons. Such measures should be accompanied by consumer awareness campaigns to ensure acceptance of possible changes in the appearance of agricultural products resulting from the phase-out of such voluntary marketing standards in food retail.

Or. en

Justification

Voluntary marketing standards set by the food retail industry that go beyond the legally established marketing standards often include unnecessarily high cosmetic standards for agricultural products. This can result in higher use of inputs like fertilisers and pesticides at farm-level, to the detriment of the environment, the climate and with added risk to human health, while providing no additional benefits in terms of nutrition or quality of foodstuffs. See e.g. https://www.umweltbundesamt.de/sites/default/files/medien/479/publikationen/texte_72-2020_umwelt-_und_klimarelevante_qualitaetsstandards_des_leh_fin.pdf https://www.umweltbundesamt.de/sites/default/files/medien/479/publikationen/2022_uba_fb_mehr_natuerlichkeit_obst_gemuese_auf2_bf.pdf

Amendment 1360

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjård

Proposal for a regulation

Article 8 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point f a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(f a) Member States may adopt measures tailored to the specific needs related to the specific climatic conditions and crops in the regions.

Or. en

Amendment 1361

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjård

Proposal for a regulation

Article 8 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point f b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(f b) Information on the estimated annual quantities of plant protection products used illegally or seized as part of anti-fraud measures over the past three years and any planned measures in this regard;

Or. en

Amendment 1362

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjård

Proposal for a regulation

Article 8 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point f c (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(f c) The provisions of this regulation do not prevent Member States from implementing further targets, targets or

Amendment 1363
Mathilde Androuët

Proposal for a regulation
Article 8 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point g

Text proposed by the Commission

g) les mesures nationales visant à encourager l'utilisation de méthodes non chimiques par les utilisateurs professionnels au moyen d'incitations financières, conformément à la législation de l'Union en matière d'aides d'État;

Amendment

g) les mesures nationales visant à encourager l'utilisation de méthodes non chimiques par les utilisateurs professionnels au moyen d'incitations financières ***qui compensent en totalité la réduction de productivité qui en résulte,*** conformément à la législation de l'Union en matière d'aides d'État, ***afin de ne pas pénaliser les agriculteurs déjà durement touchés par les conséquences de la situation géopolitique mondiale.***

Amendment 1364
Jan Huitema, Emma Wiesner, Ulrike Müller, Erik Poulsen, Nils Torvalds, Andreas Glück, Asger Christensen, Billy Kelleher

Proposal for a regulation
Article 8 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point g

Text proposed by the Commission

(g) national measures for encouraging the use of non-chemical methods by professional users through financial incentives, in accordance with Union legislation on State aid;

Amendment

(g) national measures for encouraging the use of non-chemical ***methods and a reduction of the environmental impact of chemical*** methods by professional users through financial incentives, in accordance with Union legislation on State aid;

Amendment 1365

Ulrike Müller, Jan Huitema, Emma Wiesner, Andreas Glück

Proposal for a regulation

Article 8 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point g

Text proposed by the Commission

(g) national measures for encouraging the use of non-chemical methods by professional users through financial incentives, in accordance with Union legislation on State aid;

Amendment

(g) national measures for encouraging the use of non-chemical methods **and digital and precision-agriculture applications** by professional users through financial incentives, in accordance with Union legislation on State aid;

Or. en

Justification

Digital and precision-agriculture can help to reduce the volume of plant protection products required in application significantly and should be included in incentive programmes.

Amendment 1366

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation

Article 8 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point g

Text proposed by the Commission

(g) national measures for encouraging the use of non-chemical methods by professional users through financial incentives, in accordance with Union legislation on State aid;

Amendment

(g) national measures for encouraging the use of **agro-ecological and organic prevention methods and** non-chemical methods by professional users through financial incentives, in accordance with Union legislation on State aid;

Or. en



2022/0196(COD)

4.4.2023

AMENDMENTS

1367 - 1758

Draft report
Sarah Wiener
(PE742.368v01-00)

proposal for a regulation of the European Parliament and of the Council on the sustainable use of plant protection products and amending Regulation (EU) 2021/2115

Proposal for a regulation
(COM(2022)0305 – C9-0207/2022 – 2022/0196(COD))

AM_Com_LegReport

Amendment 1367

Esther de Lange

Proposal for a regulation

Article 8 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point g

Text proposed by the Commission

(g) national measures for encouraging the use of non-chemical methods by professional users ***through financial incentives, in accordance with Union legislation on State aid***;

Amendment

(g) national measures for encouraging the use of non-chemical methods by professional users;

Or. en

Amendment 1368

Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław Kalinowski, Joanna Kopcińska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

Proposal for a regulation

Article 8 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point g

Text proposed by the Commission

(g) national measures for encouraging the use of non-chemical methods by professional users ***through financial incentives, in accordance with Union legislation on State aid***;

Amendment

(g) national measures for encouraging the use of non-chemical methods by professional users;

Or. en

Amendment 1369

Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan

Proposal for a regulation

Article 8 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point g

Text proposed by the Commission

(g) national measures for encouraging the use of non-chemical methods by professional users through financial incentives, in accordance with Union

Amendment

(g) national measures for encouraging the use of non-chemical methods ***and low-input farming systems*** by professional users through financial incentives, in

legislation on State aid;

accordance with Union legislation on State aid;

Or. en

Amendment 1370

Jan Huitema, Emma Wiesner, Ulrike Müller, Erik Poulsen, Andreas Glück, Asger Christensen, Billy Kelleher

Proposal for a regulation

Article 8 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point g a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(g a) national measures for incentivising a reduction of the environmental impact of the chemical plant protection products that will continue to be used;

Or. en

Amendment 1371

Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan

Proposal for a regulation

Article 8 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point h

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(h) planned and adopted measures to support, **or** ensure through binding requirements laid down in national law, innovation and the development and use of non-chemical pest control methods;

(h) planned and adopted measures to support, **and** ensure through binding requirements laid down in national law **the reduction of the dependency on chemical plant protection products**, innovation and the development and use of non-chemical pest control methods, **as well as timelines for the implementation of these measures**;

Or. en

Amendment 1372

Ulrike Müller, Jan Huitema, Emma Wiesner, Andreas Glück

Proposal for a regulation

Article 8 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point h

Text proposed by the Commission

(h) planned and adopted measures to support, or ensure through binding requirements laid down in national law, innovation and the development and use of non-chemical pest control methods;

Amendment

(h) planned and adopted measures to support, or ensure through binding requirements laid down in national law, innovation and the development and use of non-chemical pest control methods, **digital and precision-agriculture applications and innovative breeding techniques to improve access to resilient varieties;**

Or. en

Justification

Digital and precision-agriculture and resilient new varieties can help to reduce the volume of plant protection products required in application significantly and should be included in innovation support programmes.

Amendment 1373

Jan Huitema, Emma Wiesner, Ulrike Müller, Erik Poulsen, Andreas Glück, Asger Christensen, Billy Kelleher

Proposal for a regulation

Article 8 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point h

Text proposed by the Commission

(h) planned and adopted measures to support, or ensure through binding requirements laid down in national law, innovation and the development and use of non-chemical pest control methods;

Amendment

(h) planned and adopted measures to support, or ensure through binding requirements laid down in national law, innovation and the development and use of non-chemical pest control methods **and methods to reduce the environmental impact of chemical methods;**

Or. en

Amendment 1374

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation

Article 8 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point h

Text proposed by the Commission

(h) planned and adopted measures to support, **or** ensure through binding requirements laid down in national law, innovation and the development and use of non-chemical pest control methods;

Amendment

(h) planned and adopted measures to support **and** ensure through binding requirements laid down in national law, innovation and the development and use of **preventive, agro-ecological and organic methods as well as** non-chemical pest control methods;

Or. en

Amendment 1375

Inese Vaidere

Proposal for a regulation

Article 8 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point h

Text proposed by the Commission

(h) planned and adopted measures to support, **or ensure through binding requirements laid down in national law,** innovation and the development and use of non-chemical pest control methods;

Amendment

(h) planned and adopted measures to support innovation and the development and use of non-chemical pest control methods;

Or. en

Amendment 1376

Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław Kalinowski, Joanna Kopcińska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

Proposal for a regulation

Article 8 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point h

Text proposed by the Commission

(h) planned and adopted measures to support, **or ensure through binding requirements laid down in national law,** innovation and the development and use of non-chemical pest control methods;

Amendment

(h) planned and adopted measures to support innovation and the development and use of non-chemical pest control methods;

Amendment 1377
Esther de Lange

Proposal for a regulation
Article 8 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point h

Text proposed by the Commission

(h) planned and adopted measures to support, or ensure ***through binding requirements laid down in national law***, innovation and the development and use of non-chemical pest control methods;

Amendment

(h) planned and adopted measures to support, or ensure, innovation and the development and use of non-chemical pest control methods;

Or. en

Amendment 1378
Franc Bogovič, Marlene Mortler, Ljudmila Novak, Alexander Bernhuber

Proposal for a regulation
Article 8 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point h

Text proposed by the Commission

(h) planned and adopted measures to support, or ensure through ***binding requirements laid down in national law***, innovation and the development and use of non-chemical pest control methods;

Amendment

(h) planned and adopted measures to support, or ensure through ***national guidelines in the National action plan***, innovation and the development and use of non-chemical pest control methods;

Or. en

Justification

The amendment removes the reference to the binding requirements in national law and replaces it with the national guidelines, providing more flexibility to the Member States.

Amendment 1379
Jessica Polfjärd

Proposal for a regulation

Article 8 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point h

Text proposed by the Commission

(h) planned and adopted measures to support, or ensure through **binding** requirements laid down in national law, innovation and the development and use of non-chemical pest control methods;

Amendment

(h) planned and adopted measures to support, or ensure through requirements laid down in national law, innovation and the development and use of non-chemical pest control methods;

Or. en

Amendment 1380

Ulrike Müller, Jan Huitema, Emma Wiesner, Andreas Glück

Proposal for a regulation

Article 8 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point h a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(h a) planned and adopted measures to contribute to the acceleration of the market introduction of low-risk plant protection products, non-chemical methods of plant protection and biological control products where applicable on national level, particularly as regards ensuring sufficient levels of expertise, staff and budget to meet legal deadlines where Member State competent authorities serve as rapporteur in the approval of active substances and legal deadlines for the authorisation of plant protection products, as well as measures to improve the functioning of the system of mutual recognition under Regulation 1107/2009;

Or. en

(Point (ha) links to a corresponding amendment on Article 4 Paragraph 1a(new), point (d).)

Justification

Inability to meet legal deadlines under Regulation 1107/2009 by national competent authorities and unwillingness to use mutual recognition consistently have been identified as

significant reasons for delays in approval and authorisation procedures under Regulation 1107/2009. This should be tackled as a priority to facilitate market access of alternatives.

Amendment 1381

Michèle Rivasi, Benoît Biteau

Proposal for a regulation

Article 8 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point h a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(h a) national measures for fostering the involvement of buyers of agricultural commodities as defined in Directive 2019/633EC in the implementation of the reduction targets, including measures to develop new value chains and new commercialisation opportunities to farmers in view of supporting the implementation of integrated pest management notably crop rotation and diversification;

Or. en

Amendment 1382

Jan Huitema, Emma Wiesner, Ulrike Müller, Erik Poulsen, Nils Torvalds, Andreas Glück, Asger Christensen, Billy Kelleher

Proposal for a regulation

Article 8 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point h a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(h a) planned and adopted measures to support and develop innovations in plant breeding with the aim of developing crops that are more resistant to pests;

Or. en

Amendment 1383

Jan Huitema, Emma Wiesner, Ulrike Müller, Erik Poulsen, Nils Torvalds, Asger

Christensen, Billy Kelleher

Proposal for a regulation

Article 8 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point h b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(h b) planned and adopted programmes targeted at the supply chain of agricultural products aiming to ensure that farmers can receive a price premium for their products based on their reduced environmental impact of plant protection measures;

Or. en

Amendment 1384

Martin Hojsik, Michal Wiezik, María Soraya Rodríguez Ramos, Róza Thun und Hohenstein, Frédérique Ries

Proposal for a regulation

Article 8 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point i

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(i) other planned and adopted measures to support, or ensure through binding requirements laid down in national law, the sustainable use of plant protection products in line with integrated pest management principles, including those contained in crop-specific rules as set out in Article 15(1).

(i) ***description of how the Member State ensures that all professional users implement the general principles of integrated pest management hierarchy, including*** other planned and adopted measures to support, or ensure through binding requirements laid down in national law, the sustainable use of plant protection products in line with integrated pest management principles, including those contained in crop-specific rules as set out in Article 15(1).

Or. en

Justification

The European Court of Auditors in its special report of May 2020 on "Sustainable use of plant protection products: limited progress in measuring and reducing risks" recommends to improve enforcement of IPM by checking that the Member States convert the general IPM principles into practical and measurable criteria and that they verify these criteria at farm

level. See: <https://op.europa.eu/webpub/eca/special-reports/pesticides-5-2020/en/#:~:text=IPM%20is%20an%20important%20part,became%20mandatory%20in%2020145.&text=Source%3A%20ECA%2C%20based%20on%20Annex,support%20more%20sustainable%20pest%20control>.

Amendment 1385

Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan

Proposal for a regulation

Article 8 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point i

Text proposed by the Commission

(i) other planned and adopted measures to support, **or** ensure through binding requirements laid down in national law, the sustainable use of plant protection products in line with integrated pest management principles, including those contained in crop-specific rules as set out in Article 15(1).

Amendment

(i) other planned and adopted measures to support, **and** ensure through binding requirements laid down in national law, the sustainable use of plant protection products in line with integrated pest management principles ***in accordance with Article 13***, including those contained in crop-specific rules as set out in Article 15(1), ***as well as annual budget planning ensuring sufficient financial resources for implementing integrated pest management;***

Or. en

Amendment 1386

Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław Kalinowski, Joanna Kopcińska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

Proposal for a regulation

Article 8 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point i

Text proposed by the Commission

(i) other planned and adopted measures to support, ***or ensure through binding requirements laid down in national law***, the sustainable use of plant protection products in line with integrated pest management principles, ***including those contained in crop-specific rules as set out in Article 15(1).***

Amendment

(i) other planned and adopted measures to support the sustainable use of plant protection products in line with integrated pest management principles.

Amendment 1387

Christine Schneider, Ralf Seekatz, Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Sven Simon, Angelika Niebler, Monika Hohlmeier, Christian Doleschal, Franc Bogovič, Marlene Mortler, Daniel Caspary, Rainer Wieland, Sabine Verheyen, Alexander Bernhuber, Christophe Hansen

Proposal for a regulation

Article 8 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point i

Text proposed by the Commission

(i) ***other*** planned and adopted measures to support, ***or ensure through binding requirements laid down in national law, the sustainable use of plant protection products in line with*** integrated pest management principles, ***including those contained in crop-specific rules as set out in Article 15(1).***

Amendment

(i) planned and adopted measures to support ***the uptake of the principles of*** integrated pest management principles ***in accordance with Article 13 at farm level.***

Justification

Professional users are qualified and trained to use the best measure for plant protection. They will choose and prefer the measures of the IPM as long as they are the best ones. Member States need to invest more in advisory systems and research to make the uptake to the principles of integrated pest management more attractive. Otherwise it will be on the professional users disadvantage to make use of IPM.

Amendment 1388

Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Lena Düpont, Jens Gieseke, Angelika Niebler, Andreas Schwab, Ralf Seekatz, David McAllister, Christine Schneider, Stefan Berger, Sabine Verheyen, Rainer Wieland, Monika Hohlmeier, Michael Gahler, Christian Doleschal, Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Alexander Bernhuber, Daniel Caspary, Herbert Dorfmann, Simone Schmiedtbauer

Proposal for a regulation

Article 8 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point i

Text proposed by the Commission

i) sonstige geplante und erlassene

Amendment

i) sonstige geplante und erlassene

Maßnahmen, mit denen die nachhaltige Verwendung von Pflanzenschutzmitteln im Einklang mit den – ***auch in den kulturspezifischen Vorschriften gemäß Artikel 15 Absatz 1 festgelegten*** – Grundsätzen des integrierten Pflanzenschutzes, gefördert oder auch durch verbindliche, im nationalen Recht festgelegte Anforderungen gewährleistet wird.

Maßnahmen, mit denen die nachhaltige Verwendung von Pflanzenschutzmitteln im Einklang mit den Grundsätzen des integrierten Pflanzenschutzes, gefördert oder auch durch verbindliche, im nationalen Recht festgelegte Anforderungen gewährleistet wird.

Or. de

Amendment 1389
Inese Vaidere

Proposal for a regulation
Article 8 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point i

Text proposed by the Commission

(i) other planned and adopted measures to support, ***or ensure through binding requirements laid down in national law***, the sustainable use of plant protection products in line with integrated pest management principles, including those contained in crop-specific ***rules*** as set out in Article 15(1).

Amendment

(i) other planned and adopted measures to support the sustainable use of plant protection products in line with integrated pest management principles, including those contained in crop-specific ***guidelines*** as set out in Article 15(1).

Or. en

Amendment 1390
Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation
Article 8 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point i

Text proposed by the Commission

(i) other planned and adopted measures to support, ***or ensure through binding requirements laid down in national law***, the ***sustainable use of plant protection products in line with*** integrated

Amendment

(i) other planned and adopted measures to support ***and ensure through binding requirements laid down in national law***, the integrated pest management principles, including those contained in

pest management principles, including those contained in crop-specific rules as set out in Article 15(1).

crop-specific rules as set out in Article 15(1).

Or. en

Amendment 1391
Esther de Lange

Proposal for a regulation
Article 8 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point i

Text proposed by the Commission

(i) other planned and adopted measures to support, or ensure ***through binding requirements laid down in national law***, the sustainable use of plant protection products in line with integrated pest management principles, including those contained in crop-specific rules as set out in Article 15(1).

Amendment

(i) other planned and adopted measures to support, or ensure, the sustainable use of plant protection products in line with integrated pest management principles, including those contained in crop-specific rules as set out in Article 15(1).

Or. en

Amendment 1392
Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjård

Proposal for a regulation
Article 8 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point i

Text proposed by the Commission

(i) other planned and adopted measures to support, or ensure through binding requirements laid down in national law, the sustainable use of plant protection products in line with integrated pest management ***principles***, including those contained in crop-specific rules as set out in Article 15(1).

Amendment

(i) other planned and adopted measures to support, or ensure through binding requirements laid down in national law, the sustainable use of plant protection products in line with integrated pest management ***guidelines***, including those contained in crop-specific rules as set out in Article 15(1).

Or. en

Amendment 1393

Franč Bogovič, Marlene Mortler, Ljudmila Novak, Alexander Bernhuber

Proposal for a regulation

Article 8 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point i

Text proposed by the Commission

(i) other planned and adopted measures to support, or ensure through ***binding requirements laid down in national law***, the sustainable use of plant protection products in line with integrated pest management principles, including those contained in crop-specific rules as set out in Article 15(1).

Amendment

(i) other planned and adopted measures to support, or ensure through national ***guidelines***, the sustainable use of plant protection products in line with integrated pest management principles, including those contained in crop-specific rules as set out in Article 15(1).

Or. en

Justification

The amendment removes the reference to the binding requirements in national law and replaces it with the national guidelines, providing more flexibility to the Member States.

Amendment 1394

Jessica Polfjård

Proposal for a regulation

Article 8 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point i

Text proposed by the Commission

(i) other planned and adopted measures to support, or ensure through ***binding*** requirements laid down in national law, the sustainable use of plant protection products in line with integrated pest management principles, including those contained in crop-specific rules as set out in Article 15(1).

Amendment

(i) other planned and adopted measures to support, or ensure through requirements laid down in national law, the sustainable use of plant protection products in line with integrated pest management principles, including those contained in crop-specific rules as set out in Article 15(1).

Or. en

Amendment 1395

Martin Hojsík, Michal Wiezik, María Soraya Rodríguez Ramos, Róża Thun und Hohenstein, Frédérique Ries

Proposal for a regulation

Article 8 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point i a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(i a) measures which are necessary to properly implement Union-wide harmonized monitoring framework for pesticides and their residues in the environment on national level; results of such standartized annual monitoring performed on adequate number of sites across territory of the respective Member State to ensure representativeness, to measure progress and assess ecotoxicological risks, namely:

- results of systematic and standartised monitoring of pesticides and their residues via honeybees^{1a} ;***
- results of systematic and standartised monitoring of pesticides and their resicues via LUCAS Soil Pesticide module^{1b}.***

^{1a} The monitoring shall be based on the methodology developed via the Parliament's pilot project Insignia, which successfully uses bee colonies as biomonitors and now implements the initiative via preparatory action in all Member States. The monitoring will take into account seasonal variations in order to provide a solid yearly overview.

^{1b} Annual LUCAS Soil Pesticides will be a complementary module to LUCAS monitoring of soil carbon stocks, using annual land use/cover area frame statistical survey, as established within the LULUCF Reg., Annex V, Par. 3: P9_TA(2023)0066. The monitoring will take into account seasonal variations in order to provide a solid yearly overview.

Justification

The inclusion of monitoring in the National Action Plan was requested by the Parliament in its adopted Resolution: P9_TA(2019)0104. As recognized by the Parliament in the same resolution: the Commission and Member States should prioritize standardised and systematic monitoring approach as it is more cost-effective than individual ad hoc initiatives, delivers comparable results, enabling swifter evaluation of the effectiveness of relevant EU and national policies.

Amendment 1396

Jan Huitema, Emma Wiesner, Ulrike Müller, Erik Poulsen, Nils Torvalds, Andreas Glück, Asger Christensen, Billy Kelleher

Proposal for a regulation**Article 8 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point i a (new)**

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(i a) An assessment of the functioning of its national competent authority as designated under Article 75 of Regulation 1107/2009, and following that assessment, planned and adopted measures to improve the authorisation procedure for plant protection products, and in particular to improve of the authorisation for low-risk and biological plant protection products;

Or. en

Amendment 1397

Martin Häusling

Proposal for a regulation**Article 8 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point i a (new)**

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(i a) other planned and adopted measures to support the uptake of agroecological and low input systems, with a view to replacing the use of chemical plant protection products; those

measures shall include information and education campaigns on their efficacy and benefits to professional users;

Or. en

Amendment 1398

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation

Article 8 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point i a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(i a) progress in substituting the most hazardous chemicals, including the comparative assessments that are planned in accordance with article 50 of Regulation (EU) 1107/2009;

Or. en

Amendment 1399

Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan

Proposal for a regulation

Article 8 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point i a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(i a) planned and adopted measures in the setting up of an independent advisory system in accordance with Article 26;

Or. en

Amendment 1400

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation

Article 8 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point i b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(i b) planned and adopted measures to effectively reduce emissions from the use of chemical pesticides to soil, water and air;

Or. en

Amendment 1401

Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan

Proposal for a regulation

Article 8 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point i b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(i b) information on the public campaigns and awareness raising in place in accordance with Article 27;

Or. en

Amendment 1402

Martin Häusling

Proposal for a regulation

Article 8 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point i b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(i b) measures to mitigate drift on neighbouring crops, including those under organic farming,

Or. en

Amendment 1403

Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan

Proposal for a regulation

Article 8 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point i c (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(i c) planned and adopted measures for the designation of a public competent authority for health responsible to establish a system for the reporting, recognition and fair compensation of diseases resulting from the use of and/or the exposure to plant protection products in accordance with Article XX [insert number of corresponding new article];

Or. en

Amendment 1404

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation

Article 8 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point i c (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(i c) planned and adopted measures to monitor residues and metabolites from the use of chemical pesticides in soil, ground- and surfacewater, air and bio-monitoring of residues in humans and animals;

Or. en

Amendment 1405

Martin Häusling, Jutta Paulus

Proposal for a regulation

Article 8 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point i c (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(i c) plans for identifying the main reasons leading to citizens' and environmental exposure and to surface and groundwater contamination, as well as air and soil pollution;

Amendment 1406
Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan

Proposal for a regulation
Article 8 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point i d (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(i d) planned and adopted measures for the health surveillance of farmers and farm workers using chemical plant protection products in accordance with Article XX [insert number of corresponding new article];

Or. en

Amendment 1407
Michèle Rivasi, Jutta Paulus

Proposal for a regulation
Article 8 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point i d (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(i d) data on the utilized agricultural area (excluding permanent grassland) not treated with pesticides in accordance with Article 4a (new), and milestones for reaching the target;

Or. en

Amendment 1408
Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation
Article 8 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point i d (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(i d) planned and adopted fiscal measures to ensure the rapid reduction and phase-out of chemical pesticides, including an effective pesticide tax;

Or. en

Amendment 1409

Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan

Proposal for a regulation

Article 8 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point i e (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(i e) planned and adopted measures for the comprehensive environmental monitoring of chemical plant protection product residues in water resources, groundwater, soil, air/dust and biota among others in accordance with Article XX [insert number of corresponding new article];

Or. en

Amendment 1410

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation

Article 8 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point i e (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(i e) additional planned and adopted measures to ensure as well as monitor the proper protection of the public, notably rural residents and professional users to the hazards of pesticide exposure;

Or. en

Amendment 1411

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation

Article 8 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point i f (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(i f) planned and adopted measures to effectively protect rural residents and bystanders against exposure to chemical pesticides and measures to monitor their health, including epidemiological studies and effective follow-up with appropriate health care in rural communities;

Or. en

Amendment 1412

Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan

Proposal for a regulation

Article 8 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point i f (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(i f) information regarding the establishment of extended producer responsibility schemes in accordance with Article XX [insert number of corresponding new article], and the costs covered by each producers;

Or. en

Amendment 1413

Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan

Proposal for a regulation

Article 8 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point i g (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(i g) other measures to favour the uptake on integrated pest management, low-input farming systems, and non-chemical measures by farmers, including information and education campaigns on their efficiency and benefits to professional users;

Or. en

Amendment 1414

Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan

Proposal for a regulation

Article 8 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point i h (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(i h) electronic registration of integrated pest management measures put in place by farmers, their communication at European level and publication by Eurostat according to the Regulation (EU) 2021/0020;

Or. en

Amendment 1415

Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan

Proposal for a regulation

Article 8 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point i i (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(i i) control and monitoring mechanisms in place as well as rules on penalties applicable to infringements of this Regulation in accordance with Article 38;

Or. en

Amendment 1416
Martin Häusling

Proposal for a regulation
Article 8 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Each Member State shall submit to the Commission a proposal of its national action plan. The Commission shall assess the proposed national action plan as regards its completeness, its consistency and coherence with the general principles of Union law, with this Regulation and the delegated and implementing acts adopted pursuant to it. The Commission's evaluation shall be supported by a committee of independent experts. Depending on the results of this assessment, the Commission may address observations to the Member States within three months of the date of submission. The Member State shall provide to the Commission all necessary additional information and, where appropriate, revise the proposed plan. The Commission shall approve the proposed national action plan provided that the necessary information has been submitted and the Plan is compatible with this Regulation as well as the delegated and implementing acts adopted pursuant to them. The approval of each national action plan shall take place no later than six months following its submission by the Member State concerned.

Or. en

Justification

(in analogy to Regulation 2021/2115, Article 118: Approval of the CAP Strategic Plans)

Amendment 1417
Stanislav Polčák

Proposal for a regulation
Article 8 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

Každý členský stát přezkoumá svůj národní akční plán nejméně každé tři roky od prvního zveřejnění. Na základě přezkumu **může** členský stát svůj národní akční plán **změnit**. Členské státy zveřejní **pozměněná** znění svých národních akčních plánů a neprodleně poskytnou **pozměněné** národní akční plány Komisi.

Amendment

Každý členský stát přezkoumá svůj národní akční plán nejméně každé tři roky od prvního zveřejnění. **Jsou-li pro to** na základě přezkumu **důvody**, členský stát svůj národní akční plán **odpovídajícím způsobem upraví**. Členské státy zveřejní **upravená** znění svých národních akčních plánů a neprodleně poskytnou **upravené** národní akční plány Komisi.

Or. cs

Amendment 1418
Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław Kalinowski, Joanna Kopcińska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

Proposal for a regulation
Article 8 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

Each Member State shall review its national action plan at least every 3 years from the first publication. As a result of the review a Member State may amend its national action plan. Member States shall publish amended versions of their national action plans and shall provide amended national action plans to the Commission without delay.

Amendment

Each Member State shall review its national action plan at least every 5 years from the first publication. As a result of the review a Member State may amend its national action plan. Member States shall publish amended versions of their national action plans and shall provide amended national action plans to the Commission without delay.

Or. en

Amendment 1419
Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation**Article 8 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 3***Text proposed by the Commission*

Each Member State shall review its national action plan at least every 3 years from the first publication. As a result of the review a Member State may amend its national action plan. Member States shall publish amended versions of their national action plans and shall provide amended national action plans to the Commission without delay.

Amendment

Each Member State shall review its national action plan at least every 2 years from the first publication. As a result of the review a Member State may amend its national action plan. Member States shall publish amended versions of their national action plans and shall provide amended national action plans to the Commission without delay.

Or. en

Amendment 1420

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjärd

Proposal for a regulation**Article 8 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 3***Text proposed by the Commission*

Each Member State shall review its national action plan at least every 3 years from the first publication. As a result of the review a Member State may amend its national action plan. Member States shall publish amended versions of their national action plans and shall provide amended national action plans to the Commission without delay.

Amendment

Each Member State shall review its national action plan at least every 5 years from the first publication. As a result of the review a Member State may amend its national action plan. Member States shall publish amended versions of their national action plans and shall provide amended national action plans to the Commission without delay.

Or. en

Amendment 1421

Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Lena Düpont, Jens Gieseke, Angelika Niebler, Andreas Schwab, Ralf Seekatz, David McAllister, Christine Schneider, Stefan Berger, Sabine Verheyen, Rainer Wieland, Monika Hohlmeier, Michael Gahler, Christian Doleschal, Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Alexander Bernhuber, Daniel Caspary, Herbert Dorfmann, Simone Schmiedtbauer

Proposal for a regulation
Article 8 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Die aktualisierten Fassungen der nationalen Aktionspläne, die bis zum Jahr 2030 einschließlich veröffentlicht werden, enthalten die in Unterabsatz 1 Buchstaben a bis i aufgeführten Informationen.

entfällt

Or. de

Amendment 1422
Peter Liese

Proposal for a regulation
Article 8 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

The updated versions of national action plans published until and including **2030** shall contain the information listed in the first subparagraph, points (a) to (i).

The updated versions of national action plans published until and including **the first year 10 years after the entry into force of this Regulation** shall contain the information listed in the first subparagraph, points (a) to (i).

Or. en

Amendment 1423
Dan-Ștefan Motreanu, Carmen Avram, Marian-Jean Marinescu, Daniel Buda

Proposal for a regulation
Article 8 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

The updated versions of national action plans published until and including **2030** shall contain the information listed in the first subparagraph, points (a) to (i).

The updated versions of national action plans published until and including **2035** shall contain the information listed in the first subparagraph, points (a) to (i).

Or. en

Amendment 1424
Esther de Lange

Proposal for a regulation
Article 8 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

The updated versions of national action plans published until and including **2030** shall contain the information listed in the first subparagraph, points (a) to (i).

Amendment

The updated versions of national action plans published until and including **2035** shall contain the information listed in the first subparagraph, points (a) to (i).

Or. en

Amendment 1425
Sylvia Limmer

Proposal for a regulation
Article 8 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

Die aktualisierten Fassungen der nationalen Aktionspläne, die bis zum Jahr **2030** einschließlich veröffentlicht werden, enthalten die in Unterabsatz 1 Buchstaben a bis i aufgeführten Informationen.

Amendment

Die aktualisierten Fassungen der nationalen Aktionspläne, die bis zum Jahr **2035** einschließlich veröffentlicht werden, enthalten die in Unterabsatz 1 Buchstaben a bis i aufgeführten Informationen.

Or. de

Amendment 1426
Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjård

Proposal for a regulation
Article 8 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

The updated versions of national action plans published **until and including 2030**

Amendment

The updated versions of national action plans published shall contain the

shall contain the information listed in the first subparagraph, points (a) to (i).

information listed in the first subparagraph, points (a) to (i).

Or. en

Amendment 1427

Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan

Proposal for a regulation

Article 8 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

The updated versions of national action plans published until and including 2030 shall contain the information listed in the first subparagraph, **points (a) to (i)**.

Amendment

The updated versions of national action plans published until and including 2030 shall contain the information listed in the first subparagraph.

Or. en

Amendment 1428

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation

Article 8 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

The updated versions of national action plans published until and including 2030 shall contain the information listed in the first subparagraph, **points (a) to (i)**.

Amendment

The updated versions of national action plans published until and including 2030 shall contain the information listed in the first subparagraph.

Or. en

Amendment 1429

Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Lena Düpont, Jens Gieseke, Angelika Niebler, Andreas Schwab, Ralf Seekatz, David McAllister, Christine Schneider, Stefan Berger, Sabine Verheyen, Rainer Wieland, Monika Hohlmeier, Michael Gahler, Christian Doleschal, Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Alexander Bernhuber, Daniel Caspary, Herbert Dorfmann, Simone Schmiedtbauer

Proposal for a regulation
Article 8 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 5

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Die aktualisierten Fassungen der nationalen Aktionspläne, die nach 2030 veröffentlicht werden, enthalten die in Unterabsatz 1 Buchstaben c bis i aufgeführten Informationen.

entfällt

Or. de

Amendment 1430

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjärd

Proposal for a regulation
Article 8 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 5

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

The updated versions of national action plans published after 2030 shall contain the information listed in the first subparagraph, points (c) to (i).

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 1431

Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan

Proposal for a regulation
Article 8 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 5

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

The updated versions of national action plans published after 2030 shall contain the information listed in the first subparagraph, points (c) to ***(i)***.

The updated versions of national action plans published after 2030 shall contain the information listed in the first subparagraph, points (c) to ***([insert letter of the last item of paragraph 1 as amended])***.

Or. en

Amendment 1432
Peter Liese

Proposal for a regulation
Article 8 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 5

Text proposed by the Commission

The updated versions of national action plans published after **2030** shall contain the information listed in the first subparagraph, points (c) to (i).

Amendment

The updated versions of national action plans published after ***the year indicated in the previous subparagraph*** shall contain the information listed in the first subparagraph, points (c) to (i).

Or. en

Amendment 1433
Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation
Article 8 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 5

Text proposed by the Commission

The updated versions of national action plans published after 2030 shall contain the information listed in the first subparagraph, points **(c) to (i)**.

Amendment

The updated versions of national action plans published after 2030 shall contain the information listed in the first subparagraph, points **(ba) to (ix)**.

Or. en

Amendment 1434
Esther de Lange

Proposal for a regulation
Article 8 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 5

Text proposed by the Commission

The updated versions of national action plans published after **2030** shall contain the information listed in the first subparagraph, points (c) to (i).

Amendment

The updated versions of national action plans published after **2035** shall contain the information listed in the first subparagraph, points (c) to (i).

Amendment 1435

Dan-Ştefan Motreanu, Carmen Avram, Marian-Jean Marinescu, Daniel Buda

Proposal for a regulation

Article 8 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 5

Text proposed by the Commission

The updated versions of national action plans published after **2030** shall contain the information listed in the first subparagraph, points (c) to (i).

Amendment

The updated versions of national action plans published after **2035** shall contain the information listed in the first subparagraph, points (c) to (i).

Amendment 1436

Sylvia Limmer

Proposal for a regulation

Article 8 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 5

Text proposed by the Commission

Die aktualisierten Fassungen der nationalen Aktionspläne, die nach **2030** veröffentlicht werden, enthalten die in Unterabsatz 1 Buchstaben c bis i aufgeführten Informationen.

Amendment

Die aktualisierten Fassungen der nationalen Aktionspläne, die nach **2035** veröffentlicht werden, enthalten die in Unterabsatz 1 Buchstaben c bis i aufgeführten Informationen.

Amendment 1437

Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Lena Düpont, Jens Gieseke, Angelika Niebler, Andreas Schwab, Ralf Seekatz, David McAllister, Christine Schneider, Stefan Berger, Sabine Verheyen, Rainer Wieland, Monika Hohlmeier, Michael Gahler, Christian Doleschal, Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Alexander Bernhuber, Daniel Caspary, Herbert Dorfmann, Simone Schmiedtbauer

Proposal for a regulation

Article 8 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(2) Jeder Mitgliedstaat führt vor der Annahme oder Änderung seines nationalen Aktionsplans ein öffentliches Konsultationsverfahren im Einklang mit den Anforderungen der Richtlinie 2001/42/EG des Europäischen Parlaments und des Rates⁸⁵ durch.

entfällt

⁸⁵ **Richtlinie 2001/42/EG des Europäischen Parlaments und des Rates vom 27. Juni 2001 über die Prüfung der Umweltauswirkungen bestimmter Pläne und Programme (ABl. L 197 vom 21.7.2001, S. 30).**

Or. de

Amendment 1438

Maria Angela Danzi

on behalf of the Committee on the Environment, Public Health and Food Safety

Proposal for a regulation

Article 8 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

2. Ciascuno Stato membro procede a una consultazione pubblica prima dell'adozione o della modifica del suo piano d'azione nazionale, conformemente alle prescrizioni della direttiva 2001/42/CE del Parlamento europeo e del Consiglio⁸⁵.

2. **2.** Ciascuno Stato membro procede a una consultazione pubblica prima **dell'elaborazione**, dell'adozione o della modifica del suo piano d'azione nazionale **e garantisce che i portatori di interessi, in particolare gli utilizzatori professionali di piccole dimensioni e le loro organizzazioni di rappresentanza, e le autorità pertinenti, nonché il pubblico, abbiano la possibilità di prendervi parte**, conformemente **alla direttiva 2003/35/CE oppure, se del caso**, alle prescrizioni della direttiva 2001/42/CE del Parlamento europeo e del Consiglio⁸⁵. **I contributi alla consultazione pubblica sono resi pubblici in forma integrale.**

⁸⁵ Direttiva 2001/42/CE del Parlamento europeo e del Consiglio, del 27 giugno 2001, concernente la valutazione degli effetti di determinati piani e programmi sull'ambiente (GU L 197 del 21.7.2001, pag. 30).

⁸⁵ Direttiva 2001/42/CE del Parlamento europeo e del Consiglio, del 27 giugno 2001, concernente la valutazione degli effetti di determinati piani e programmi sull'ambiente (GU L 197 del 21.7.2001, pag. 30).

Or. it

Amendment 1439
Pietro Fiocchi

Proposal for a regulation
Article 8 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. Ciascuno Stato membro procede a una consultazione pubblica prima dell'adozione o della modifica del suo piano d'azione nazionale, conformemente alle prescrizioni della direttiva 2001/42/CE del Parlamento europeo e del Consiglio⁸⁵.

⁸⁵ Direttiva 2001/42/CE del Parlamento europeo e del Consiglio, del 27 giugno 2001, concernente la valutazione degli effetti di determinati piani e programmi sull'ambiente (GU L 197 del 21.7.2001, pag. 30).

Amendment

2. Ciascuno Stato membro procede a una consultazione pubblica prima **dell'elaborazione**, dell'adozione o della modifica del suo piano d'azione nazionale **e garantisce che i portatori di interessi, in particolare gli utilizzatori professionali di piccole dimensioni e le loro organizzazioni di rappresentanza, e le autorità pertinenti, nonché il pubblico, abbiano la possibilità di prendervi parte**, conformemente **alla direttiva 2003/35/CE oppure, se del caso**, alle prescrizioni della direttiva 2001/42/CE del Parlamento europeo e del Consiglio. **I contributi alla consultazione pubblica sono resi pubblici in forma integrale.**

⁸⁵ Direttiva 2001/42/CE del Parlamento europeo e del Consiglio, del 27 giugno 2001, concernente la valutazione degli effetti di determinati piani e programmi sull'ambiente (GU L 197 del 21.7.2001, pag. 30).

Or. it

Amendment 1440

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation

Article 8 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. Each Member State shall carry out a public consultation process prior to the adoption or modification of its national action plan in accordance with the requirements of Directive 2001/42/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council⁸⁵.

⁸⁵ Directive 2001/42/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 27 June 2001 on the assessment of the effects of certain plans and programmes on the environment (OJ L 197, 21.7.2001, p. 30).

Amendment

2. Each Member State shall carry out a public consultation process prior to the adoption or modification of its national action plan in accordance with the requirements of Directive 2001/42/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council⁸⁵. ***All the contributions to this public consultation shall be made public, and a justification on if and how the consultations have been incorporated shall be added.***

⁸⁵ Directive 2001/42/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 27 June 2001 on the assessment of the effects of certain plans and programmes on the environment (OJ L 197, 21.7.2001, p. 30).

Or. en

Amendment 1441

Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan

Proposal for a regulation

Article 8 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. Each Member State shall carry out a public consultation process ***prior to the adoption*** or modification of its national action plan in accordance with the requirements of Directive 2001/42/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council⁸⁵.

Amendment

2. Each Member State shall carry out a public consultation process ***and ensure that relevant stakeholders and authorities and the general public have the opportunity to participate in the elaboration*** or modification of its national action plan in accordance with the requirements of Directive ***2003/35/EC^{1a} or, if relevant, Directive*** 2001/42/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council⁸⁵.

⁸⁵ Directive 2001/42/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 27 June 2001 on the assessment of the effects of certain plans and programmes on the environment (OJ L 197, 21.7.2001, p. 30).

^{1a} **Directive 2003/35/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 26 May 2003 providing for public participation in respect of the drawing up of certain plans and programmes relating to the environment and amending with regard to public participation and access to justice Council Directives 85/337/EEC and 96/61/EC (OJ L 156, 25.06.2003, p. 17 - 25)**

⁸⁵ Directive 2001/42/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 27 June 2001 on the assessment of the effects of certain plans and programmes on the environment (OJ L 197, 21.7.2001, p. 30).

Or. en

Amendment 1442

Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław Kalinowski, Joanna Kopcińska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

Proposal for a regulation Article 8 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. Each Member State shall carry out a public consultation process prior to the adoption or modification of its national action plan ***in accordance with the requirements of Directive 2001/42/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council***⁸⁵.

⁸⁵ Directive 2001/42/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 27 June 2001 on the assessment of the effects of certain plans and programmes on the environment (OJ L 197, 21.7.2001, p. 30).

Amendment

2. Each Member State shall carry out a public consultation process prior to the adoption or modification of its national action plan.

⁸⁵ Directive 2001/42/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 27 June 2001 on the assessment of the effects of certain plans and programmes on the environment (OJ L 197, 21.7.2001, p. 30).

Or. en

Amendment 1443

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjård

Proposal for a regulation

Article 8 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. Each Member State **shall** carry out a public consultation process prior to the adoption or modification of its national action plan in accordance with the requirements of Directive 2001/42/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council⁸⁵.

⁸⁵ Directive 2001/42/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 27 June 2001 on the assessment of the effects of certain plans and programmes on the environment (OJ L 197, 21.7.2001, p. 30).

Amendment

2. Each Member State **may** carry out a public consultation process prior to the adoption or modification of its national action plan in accordance with the requirements of Directive 2001/42/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council⁸⁵.

⁸⁵ Directive 2001/42/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 27 June 2001 on the assessment of the effects of certain plans and programmes on the environment (OJ L 197, 21.7.2001, p. 30).

Or. en

Amendment 1444

Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Lena Düpont, Jens Gieseke, Angelika Niebler, Andreas Schwab, Ralf Seekatz, David McAllister, Christine Schneider, Stefan Berger, Sabine Verheyen, Rainer Wieland, Monika Hohlmeier, Michael Gahler, Christian Doleschal, Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Alexander Bernhuber, Daniel Caspary, Herbert Dorfmann, Simone Schmiedtbauer

Proposal for a regulation

Article 8 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

(3) Die nationalen Aktionspläne enthalten eine Zusammenfassung des vor ihrer Annahme durchgeführten öffentlichen Konsultationsverfahrens und eine Liste der für ihre Umsetzung zuständigen Behörden.

Amendment

entfällt

Or. de

Amendment 1445

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjård

Proposal for a regulation

Article 8 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

3. National action plans shall contain a summary of the public consultation process carried out before their adoption and list authorities responsible for their implementation.

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 1446

Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan

Proposal for a regulation

Article 8 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

3. National action plans shall contain a summary of the public consultation process carried out before their adoption and list authorities responsible for their implementation.

3. National action plans shall contain a summary of the public consultation process carried out before their adoption, *how these contributions have been taken into account*, and list authorities responsible for their implementation.

Or. en

Amendment 1447

Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan

Proposal for a regulation

Article 8 – paragraph 3 a (new)

3 a. National action plans shall be consistent with the minimum health and safety requirements at the workplace, as laid down by, inter alia, Council Directive 89/391/EEC^{1a}, Council Directive 89/656/EEC^{1b}, Council Directive 98/24/EC^{1c}, Directive 2004/37/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council^{1d} and Directive 2009/104/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council^{1e}.

^{1a} Council Directive 89/391/EEC of 12 June 1989 on the introduction of measures to encourage improvements in the safety and health of workers at work (OJ L 183, 29.06.1989, p. 1-8)

^{1b} Council Directive 89/656/EEC of 30 November 1989 on the minimum health and safety requirements for the use by workers of personal protective equipment at the workplace (third individual directive within the meaning of Article 16 (1) of Directive 89/391/EEC) (OJ L 393, 30.12.1989, p. 18)

^{1c} Council Directive 98/24/EC of 7 April 1998 on the protection of the health and safety of workers from the risks related to chemical agents at work (fourteenth individual Directive within the meaning of Article 16(1) of Directive 89/391/EEC) (OJ L 131, 05.05.1998, p. 11-23)

^{1d} Directive 2004/37/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 29 April 2004 on the protection of workers from the risks related to exposure to carcinogens or mutagens at work (Sixth individual Directive within the meaning of Article 16(1) of Council Directive 89/391/EEC) (OJ L 158, 30.4.2004, p. 50)

^{1e} Directive 2009/104/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 16 September 2009 concerning the minimum

safety and health requirements for the use of work equipment by workers at work (second individual Directive within the meaning of Article 16(1) of Directive 89/391/EEC) (OJ L 260, 3.10.2009, p. 5)

Or. en

Amendment 1448

Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Lena Düpont, Jens Gieseke, Angelika Niebler, Andreas Schwab, Ralf Seekatz, David McAllister, Christine Schneider, Stefan Berger, Sabine Verheyen, Rainer Wieland, Monika Hohlmeier, Michael Gahler, Christian Doleschal, Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Alexander Bernhuber, Daniel Caspary, Herbert Dorfmann, Simone Schmiedtbauer

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 8 – paragraph 4**

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(4) Die nationalen Aktionspläne stehen sowohl mit den Plänen der Mitgliedstaaten, die gemäß den Richtlinien 91/676/EWG, 92/43/EWG, 2000/60/EG, 2008/50/EG, 2009/147/EG und (EU) 2016/2284 sowie der Verordnung xxx/xxx über die Wiederherstellung der Natur [Verweis auf den erlassenen Rechtsakt einfügen] erstellt wurden, als auch mit den GAP-Strategieplänen im Einklang, die gemäß der Verordnung (EU) 2021/2115 erstellt wurden, und in ihnen ist zu erläutern, inwiefern der nationale Aktionsplan mit diesen Plänen im Einklang steht.

entfällt

Or. de

Amendment 1449

Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 8 – paragraph 4**

Text proposed by the Commission

4. National action plans shall be consistent with the plans of Member States drawn-up in accordance with Directives 91/676/EEC, 92/43/EEC, 2000/60/EC, 2008/50/EC, 2009/147/EC and (EU) 2016/2284 and Regulation xxx/xxx on nature restoration [reference to adopted act to be inserted], be consistent with the CAP Strategic Plans drawn-up in accordance with Regulation (EU) 2021/2115 and shall contain explanations how the national action plan is consistent with those plans.

Amendment

4. National action plans shall be consistent with the plans of Member States drawn-up in accordance with Directives 91/676/EEC, 92/43/EEC, 2000/60/EC, 2008/50/EC, 2009/147/EC and (EU) 2016/2284, ***post-use monitoring in line with Regulation (EC) 1107/2009, Directive xxx/xxx on soil health law [reference to adopted act to be inserted],*** and Regulation xxx/xxx on nature restoration [reference to adopted act to be inserted], be consistent with the CAP Strategic Plans drawn-up in accordance with Regulation (EU) 2021/2115 and shall contain explanations how the national action plan is consistent with those plans ***and how it supports the achievement of the goals and thresholds for the concentration limits inter alia for plant protection products and their metabolites set out in those plans.***

Or. en

Amendment 1450

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjärd

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 8 – paragraph 4**

Text proposed by the Commission

4. National action plans shall be consistent with the plans of Member States drawn-up in accordance with Directives ***91/676/EEC***, 92/43/EEC, 2000/60/EC, 2008/50/EC, 2009/147/EC and (EU) 2016/2284 ***and Regulation xxx/xxx on nature restoration [reference to adopted act to be inserted]***, be consistent with the CAP Strategic Plans drawn-up in accordance with Regulation (EU)

Amendment

4. National action plans shall be consistent with the plans of Member States drawn-up in accordance with Directives , 92/43/EEC, 2000/60/EC, 2008/50/EC, 2009/147/EC and (EU) 2016/2284 be consistent with the CAP Strategic Plans drawn-up in accordance with Regulation (EU) 2021/2115.

2021/2115 *and shall contain explanations how the national action plan is consistent with those plans.*

Or. en

Amendment 1451

Martin Hojsík, Michal Wieszik, María Soraya Rodríguez Ramos, Róža Thun und Hohenstein, Frédérique Ries

Proposal for a regulation Article 8 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

4. National action plans shall be consistent with the plans of Member States drawn-up in accordance with Directives 91/676/EEC, 92/43/EEC, 2000/60/EC, 2008/50/EC, 2009/147/EC and (EU) 2016/2284 and Regulation xxx/xxx on nature restoration [reference to adopted act to be inserted], be consistent with the CAP Strategic Plans drawn-up in accordance with Regulation (EU) 2021/2115 **and** shall contain explanations how the national action plan is consistent with those plans.

Amendment

4. National action plans shall be consistent with the plans of Member States drawn-up in accordance with Directives 91/676/EEC, 92/43/EEC, 2000/60/EC, 2008/50/EC, 2009/147/EC and (EU) 2016/2284 and Regulation xxx/xxx on nature restoration [reference to adopted act to be inserted] **to restore damaged ecosystems and species**, be consistent with the CAP Strategic Plans drawn-up in accordance with Regulation (EU) 2021/2115, shall contain explanations how the national action plan is consistent with those plans **and shall identify where implementation of sectoral legislation hampers reaching of the targets of this Regulation.**

Or. en

Justification

Should e.g. national CAP strategic plans be slowing down or hampering the reaching of targets of this regulation, a cross-service and self-reflecting process should be initialized, resulting in the reform of such plans.

Amendment 1452

Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław Kalinowski, Joanna Kopcińska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

Proposal for a regulation
Article 8 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

4. National action plans shall be consistent with the plans of Member States drawn-up in accordance with Directives 91/676/EEC, 92/43/EEC, 2000/60/EC, 2008/50/EC, 2009/147/EC and (EU) 2016/2284 and Regulation xxx/xxx on nature restoration [reference to adopted act to be inserted], be consistent with the CAP Strategic Plans drawn-up in accordance with Regulation (EU) 2021/2115 **and shall contain explanations how the national action plan is consistent with those plans.**

Amendment

4. National action plans shall be consistent with the plans of Member States drawn-up in accordance with Directives 91/676/EEC, 92/43/EEC, 2000/60/EC, 2008/50/EC, 2009/147/EC and (EU) 2016/2284 and Regulation xxx/xxx on nature restoration [reference to adopted act to be inserted], be consistent with the CAP Strategic Plans drawn-up in accordance with Regulation (EU) 2021/2115.

Or. en

Amendment 1453

Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Peter Lundgren, Hermann Tertsch, Margarita de la Pisa Carrión, Mazaly Aguilar, Evžen Tošenovský, Sergio Berlato, Jorge Buxadé Villalba, Eugen Jurzyca, Pietro Fiocchi, Charlie Weimers, Johan Nissinen

Proposal for a regulation
Article 8 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

4. National action plans shall be consistent with the plans of Member States drawn-up in accordance with Directives 91/676/EEC, 92/43/EEC, 2000/60/EC, 2008/50/EC, 2009/147/EC and (EU) 2016/2284 and Regulation xxx/xxx on nature restoration [reference to adopted act to be inserted], be consistent with the CAP Strategic Plans drawn-up in accordance with Regulation (EU) 2021/2115 **and shall contain explanations how the national action plan is consistent with those plans.**

Amendment

4. National action plans shall be consistent with the plans of Member States drawn-up in accordance with Directives 91/676/EEC, 92/43/EEC, 2000/60/EC, 2008/50/EC, 2009/147/EC and (EU) 2016/2284 and Regulation xxx/xxx on nature restoration [reference to adopted act to be inserted], be consistent with the CAP Strategic Plans drawn-up in accordance with Regulation (EU) 2021/2115 .

Or. en

Amendment 1454
Eric Andrieu

Proposal for a regulation
Article 8 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

4. National action plans shall be consistent with the plans of Member States drawn-up in accordance with Directives 91/676/EEC, 92/43/EEC, 2000/60/EC, 2008/50/EC, 2009/147/EC and (EU) 2016/2284 and Regulation xxx/xxx on nature restoration [reference to adopted act to be inserted], **be consistent with the CAP Strategic Plans drawn-up in accordance with Regulation (EU) 2021/2115 and shall contain explanations how the national action plan is consistent with those plans.**

Amendment

4. National action plans shall be consistent with the plans of Member States drawn-up in accordance with Directives 91/676/EEC, 92/43/EEC, 2000/60/EC, 2008/50/EC, 2009/147/EC and (EU) 2016/2284 and Regulation xxx/xxx on nature restoration [reference to adopted act to be inserted] **and may lead, if needed, to a revision of the Common Agricultural Policy (“CAP”) Strategic Plans in accordance to article 120 of Regulation (EU) 2021/2115 of the European Parliament and of the Council, in order to align the different plans.**

Or. en

Amendment 1455
Stanislav Polčák

Proposal for a regulation
Article 8 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

4. Národní akční plány musí být v souladu s plány členských států vypracovanými **v souladu se směrnici** 91/676/EHS, 92/43/EHS, 2000/60/ES, 2008/50/ES, 2009/147/ES a (EU) 2016/2284 a **nařízením** xxx/xxx o obnově přírody [vložit odkaz na přijatý akt], musí být v souladu se strategickými plány SZP vypracovanými **v souladu s nařízením** (EU) 2021/2115 a musí obsahovat vysvětlení souladu národního akčního plánu s uvedenými plány.

Amendment

4. Národní akční plány musí být v souladu s plány členských států vypracovanými **na základě směrnice** 91/676/EHS, 92/43/EHS, 2000/60/ES, 2008/50/ES, 2009/147/ES a (EU) 2016/2284 a **nařízení** xxx/xxx o obnově přírody [vložit odkaz na přijatý akt], musí být v souladu se strategickými plány SZP vypracovanými **na základě nařízení** (EU) 2021/2115 a musí obsahovat vysvětlení souladu národního akčního plánu s uvedenými plány.

Or. cs

Amendment 1456

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation

Article 8 – paragraph 5

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

5. Member States with outermost regions may take measures tailored to these regions in their national action plans taking into account the particular needs related to the specific climatic conditions and crops in these regions.

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 1457

Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan

Proposal for a regulation

Article 8 – paragraph 5

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

5. Member States with outermost regions *may take* measures tailored to these regions *in their national action plans taking* into account the particular needs related to the specific climatic conditions and crops in these regions.

5. Member States with outermost regions *shall include in their national action plans a territorial action plan for each of these regions, containing* measures tailored to these regions, *to take* into account the particular needs related to the specific climatic conditions and crops in these regions. *Such territorial action plans shall include the information relating to these tailored measures as listed in paragraph 1, points (c) to (insert letter of the last item of paragraph 1 as amended)).*

Or. en

Amendment 1458

Max Orville

Proposal for a regulation
Article 8 – paragraph 5

Text proposed by the Commission

5. Les États membres ayant des régions ultrapériphériques peuvent prévoir des mesures adaptées à ces régions dans leurs plans d'action nationaux en tenant compte des besoins particuliers liés aux conditions climatiques et aux cultures spécifiques dans ces régions.

Amendment

5. Les États membres ayant des régions ultrapériphériques peuvent prévoir des mesures adaptées à ces régions dans leurs plans d'action nationaux en tenant compte des besoins particuliers liés aux conditions climatiques et aux cultures spécifiques dans ces régions ***et des risques et des effets de l'utilisation des produits phytopharmaceutiques sur la santé humaine et l'environnement.***

Or. fr

Amendment 1459
Michèle Rivasi

Proposal for a regulation
Article 8 – paragraph 5

Text proposed by the Commission

5. Member States with outermost regions may take measures tailored to these regions in their national action plans taking into account the particular needs related to the specific climatic conditions and crops in these regions.

Amendment

5. Member States with outermost regions may take measures tailored to these regions in their national action plans taking into account the particular needs related to the specific climatic conditions and crops in these regions. ***Those measures shall not lead to allowing lower targets in the outermost regions.***

Or. en

Amendment 1460
Pascal Canfin, Martin Hojsík, Catherine Chabaud, Max Orville

Proposal for a regulation
Article 8 – paragraph 5 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

5 a. Member States shall ensure that agriculture secondary school and college curricula within their territory fully includes the provisions of Article 13 on Integrated Pest Management in order to ensure the wide uptake of non chemical practices and the achievement of the objective of this Regulation.

Or. en

Amendment 1461
Eric Andrieu

Proposal for a regulation
Article 8 – paragraph 5 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

5 a. Member States may implement a cap-and-trade scheme with a global threshold corresponding to the national reduction trajectory, at distributor or end-user level, in order to reward those who achieve a reduction greater than the average.

Or. en

Amendment 1462
Sylvia Limmer

Proposal for a regulation
Article 9

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

[...]

entfällt

Or. de

Amendment 1463

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjärd

Proposal for a regulation

Article 9 – title

Text proposed by the Commission

Information on **national 2030** reduction targets in national action plans

Amendment

Provision of further Information on **2035** reduction targets in **accordance with the adoption of** national action plans

Or. en

Amendment 1464

Dan-Ștefan Motreanu, Carmen Avram, Marian-Jean Marinescu, Daniel Buda

Proposal for a regulation

Article 9 – title

Text proposed by the Commission

Information on national **2030** reduction **targets** in national action plans

Amendment

Information on national **2035** reduction **contributions** in national action plans

Or. en

Amendment 1465

Esther de Lange

Proposal for a regulation

Article 9 – title

Text proposed by the Commission

Information on national **2030** reduction targets in national action plans

Amendment

Information on national **2035** reduction targets in national action plans

Or. en

Amendment 1466

Peter Liese

Proposal for a regulation

Article 9 – title

Text proposed by the Commission

Information on national **2030** reduction targets in national action plans

Amendment

Information on national reduction targets in national action plans

Or. en

Amendment 1467

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjård

Proposal for a regulation

Article 9 – title

Text proposed by the Commission

Information on **national 2030** reduction targets in national action plans

Amendment

Information on **2035** reduction targets in national action plans

Or. en

Amendment 1468

Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Lena Düpont, Jens Gieseke, Angelika Niebler, Andreas Schwab, Ralf Seekatz, David McAllister, Christine Schneider, Stefan Berger, Sabine Verheyen, Rainer Wieland, Monika Hohlmeier, Michael Gahler, Christian Doleschal, Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Alexander Bernhuber, Daniel Caspary, Herbert Dorfmann, Simone Schmiedtbauer

Proposal for a regulation

Article 9 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

(1) Die bis zum Jahr 2030 einschließlich veröffentlichten nationalen Aktionspläne enthalten alle folgenden Informationen über die nationalen Reduktionsziele bis 2030:

Amendment

entfällt

a) *eine Liste, die mindestens jene fünf Wirkstoffe umfasst, welche die Entwicklung der Reduktion von Verwendung und Risiko chemischer Pflanzenschutzmittel am stärksten beeinflussen, und die auch die Verwendung der gefährlicheren Pflanzenschutzmittel umfasst, die anhand der Methodik gemäß Anhang I in den drei Jahren vor Erlass des nationalen Aktionsplans ermittelt wurden;*

b) *eine Liste der Kulturen, bei denen die einzelnen Wirkstoffe gemäß Buchstabe a am häufigsten verwendet werden, und die Anzahl der behandelten Hektare jeder Kultur;*

c) *eine Liste der Schädlinge, gegen die die Wirkstoffe gemäß Buchstabe a auf den Kulturen gemäß Buchstabe b verwendet werden;*

d) *für jeden der Schädlinge gemäß Buchstabe c eine Liste der verwendeten oder bis 2030 wahrscheinlich verfügbaren nichtchemischen Methoden.*

Or. de

Amendment 1469

Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław Kalinowski, Joanna Kopcińska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

Proposal for a regulation Article 9 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

1. National action plans published until and including 2030 shall include all of the following information related to the national 2030 reduction targets: *deleted*

(a) a list of at least the 5 active substances that most strongly influence the trend in the reduction in the use and risk of chemical plant protection products, and of the use of the more hazardous

plant protection products, as determined by applying the methodology set out in Annex I, during the 3 years preceding the adoption of the national action plan;

(b) a list of the crops on which each of the active substances referred to in point (a) are most widely used and the number of hectares of each crop treated;

(c) a list of pests against which the active substances referred to in point (a) are used on the crops referred to in point (b);

(d) for each of the pests referred to in point (c), a list of non-chemical methods used or likely to be available by 2030.

Or. en

Justification

The proposed text of art. 9 would cause heavy administrative burden. Publishing data on specific active substances legally traded on the market and ways to restrict their use raises questions in the context of freedom of competition, without clear criteria for their selection. Collecting data on each non-chemical method being alternative to the use of the 5 selected active substances most relevant to the values of the indicators is not feasible. For some methods there are no available data sources. In most cases, the reason for the limited use of non-chemical methods is that they are less effective than chemical ones. We should focus on the sustainable use of plant protection products, not on increase of the sales of any products.

Amendment 1470

Edina Tóth

Proposal for a regulation

Article 9 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

1. National action plans published until and including 2030 shall include all of the following information related to the national 2030 reduction targets: ***deleted***

(a) a list of at least the 5 active substances that most strongly influence the trend in the reduction in the use and risk of chemical plant protection products,

and of the use of the more hazardous plant protection products, as determined by applying the methodology set out in Annex I, during the 3 years preceding the adoption of the national action plan;

(b) a list of the crops on which each of the active substances referred to in point (a) are most widely used and the number of hectares of each crop treated;

(c) a list of pests against which the active substances referred to in point (a) are used on the crops referred to in point (b);

(d) for each of the pests referred to in point (c), a list of non-chemical methods used or likely to be available by 2030.

Or. en

Amendment 1471

Peter Liese

Proposal for a regulation

Article 9 – paragraph 1 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

1. National action plans published until and including **2030** shall include all of the following information related to the national **2030** reduction targets:

Amendment

1. National action plans published until and including ***the first year after 10 years after the entry into force of this Regulation*** shall include all of the following information related to the national reduction targets:

Or. en

Amendment 1472

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjärd

Proposal for a regulation

Article 9 – paragraph 1 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

1. National action plans **published until and including 2030 shall include all of** the following information **related to the national 2030 reduction targets**:

Amendment

1. **The information on the national reduction targets until 2035 in accordance with the adoption of the** national action plans **contains** the following information:

Or. en

Amendment 1473

Esther de Lange

Proposal for a regulation

Article 9 – paragraph 1 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

1. National action plans published until and including **2030** shall include all of the following information related to the national **2030** reduction targets:

Amendment

1. National action plans published until and including **2035** shall include all of the following information related to the national **2035** reduction targets:

Or. en

Amendment 1474

Dan-Ștefan Motreanu, Carmen Avram, Marian-Jean Marinescu, Daniel Buda

Proposal for a regulation

Article 9 – paragraph 1 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

1. National action plans published until and including **2030** shall include all of the following information related to the national **2030 reduction targets**:

Amendment

1. National action plans published until and including **2035** shall include all of the following information related to the national **2035 contributions**:

Or. en

Amendment 1475

Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Lena Düpont, Jens Gieseke, Angelika Niebler, Andreas Schwab, Ralf Seekatz, David McAllister, Christine Schneider, Stefan Berger, Sabine

Verheyen, Rainer Wieland, Monika Hohlmeier, Michael Gahler, Christian Doleschal, Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Alexander Bernhuber, Daniel Caspary, Herbert Dorfmann, Simone Schmiedtbauer

Proposal for a regulation

Article 9 – paragraph 1 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

a) *eine Liste, die mindestens jene fünf Wirkstoffe umfasst, welche die Entwicklung der Reduktion von Verwendung und Risiko chemischer Pflanzenschutzmittel am stärksten beeinflussen, und die auch die Verwendung der gefährlicheren Pflanzenschutzmittel umfasst, die anhand der Methodik gemäß Anhang I in den drei Jahren vor Erlass des nationalen Aktionsplans ermittelt wurden;*

entfällt

Or. de

Amendment 1476

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation

Article 9 – paragraph 1 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(a) a list of at least the **5** active substances that most strongly influence the trend in the reduction in the use and risk of chemical **plant protection products**, and of the use of the **more** hazardous **plant protection products**, as determined by applying the methodology set out in Annex I, during the 3 years preceding the adoption of the national action plan;

(a) a list of at least the **15** active substances that most strongly influence the trend in the reduction in the use and risk of chemical **pesticides**, and of the use of the **most** hazardous **pesticides**, as determined by applying the methodology set out in Annex I, during the 3 years preceding the adoption of the national action plan, **as well as the number and content of the comparative assessments that have been performed in accordance with Article 50 of Regulation 1107/2009 prior to the authorisation of these hazardous pesticides;**

Or. en

Amendment 1477

Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan

Proposal for a regulation

Article 9 – paragraph 1 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) a list of at least the **5** active substances that most strongly influence the trend in the reduction in the use and risk of chemical plant protection products, and **of** the use of the more hazardous plant protection products, as determined by applying the methodology set out in Annex I, during the 3 years preceding the adoption of the national action plan;

Amendment

(a) a list of at least the **10** active substances **and their metabolites** that most strongly influence the trend in the reduction in the use and risk of chemical plant protection products, and **a list of at least the 10 active substances and their metabolites that most strongly influence the trend in** the use of the more hazardous plant protection products, as determined by applying the methodology set out in Annex I, during the 3 years preceding the adoption of the national action plan;

Or. en

Amendment 1478

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjärd

Proposal for a regulation

Article 9 – paragraph 1 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) a list of at least the 5 active substances that most strongly influence the trend in the reduction in the use and risk of chemical plant protection products, and of the use of the more hazardous plant protection products, as determined by applying the methodology set out in Annex I, during the 3 years preceding the adoption of the national action plan;

Amendment

(a) a list of at least the 5 active substances that most strongly influence the trend in the reduction in the use and risk of chemical plant protection products, and of the use of the more hazardous plant protection products, as determined by applying the methodology set out in Annex I, during the 5 years preceding the adoption of the national action plan;

Or. en

Amendment 1479

Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Lena Düpont, Jens Gieseke, Angelika Niebler, Andreas Schwab, Ralf Seekatz, David McAllister, Christine Schneider, Stefan Berger, Sabine Verheyen, Rainer Wieland, Monika Hohlmeier, Michael Gahler, Christian Doleschal, Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Alexander Bernhuber, Daniel Caspary, Herbert Dorfmann, Simone Schmiedtbauer

Proposal for a regulation

Article 9 – paragraph 1 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

b) eine Liste der Kulturen, bei denen die einzelnen Wirkstoffe gemäß Buchstabe a am häufigsten verwendet werden, und die Anzahl der behandelten Hektare jeder Kultur; entfällt

Or. de

Amendment 1480

Franc Bogovič, Marlene Mortler, Ljudmila Novak, Alexander Bernhuber

Proposal for a regulation

Article 9 – paragraph 1 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) a list of the crops on which each of the active substances referred to in point (a) are most widely used and the number of hectares of each crop treated; deleted

Or. en

Justification

The CAP already imposes the implementation of rotation and diversification of crops. Crop protection cannot be isolated from other crops and on the farm system.

Amendment 1481

Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan

Proposal for a regulation

Article 9 – paragraph 1 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) a list of the crops on which each of the active substances referred to in point (a) are most widely used and the number of hectares of each crop treated;

Amendment

(b) a list of the crops on which each of the active substances referred to in point (a) are most widely used and the number of hectares of each crop treated, ***the crops on the list must be representative in terms of plant protection products use and risk, and economic importance covering at least 10 crop groups;***

Or. en

Amendment 1482

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjärd

Proposal for a regulation

Article 9 – paragraph 1 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) a list of the crops on which each of the active substances referred to in point (a) are most widely used and the number of hectares of each crop treated;

Amendment

(b) a list of the crops on which each of the active substances referred to in point (a) are most widely used and the number of hectares of each crop treated ***and a reference to the culture-specific guidelines referred to in Article 15(1), if existing;***

Or. en

Amendment 1483

Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Lena Düpont, Jens Gieseke, Angelika Niebler, Andreas Schwab, Ralf Seekatz, David McAllister, Christine Schneider, Stefan Berger, Sabine Verheyen, Rainer Wieland, Monika Hohlmeier, Michael Gahler, Christian Doleschal, Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Alexander Bernhuber, Daniel Caspary, Herbert Dorfmann, Simone Schmiedtbauer

Proposal for a regulation

Article 9 – paragraph 1 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

c) **eine Liste der Schädlinge, gegen die die Wirkstoffe gemäß Buchstabe a auf den Kulturen gemäß Buchstabe b verwendet werden;** **entfällt**

Or. de

Amendment 1484

Franz Bogovič, Marlene Mortler, Ljudmila Novak, Alexander Bernhuber

Proposal for a regulation

Article 9 – paragraph 1 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(c) a list of pests against which the active substances referred to in point (a) are used on the crops **referred to in point (b);**

(c) a list of pests against which the active substances referred to in point (a) are used on the crops **concerned;**

Or. en

Amendment 1485

Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Lena Düpont, Jens Gieseke, Angelika Niebler, Andreas Schwab, Ralf Seekatz, David McAllister, Christine Schneider, Stefan Berger, Sabine Verheyen, Rainer Wieland, Monika Hohlmeier, Michael Gahler, Christian Doleschal, Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Alexander Bernhuber, Daniel Caspary, Herbert Dorfmann, Simone Schmiedtbauer

Proposal for a regulation

Article 9 – paragraph 1 – point d

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

d) **für jeden der Schädlinge gemäß Buchstabe c eine Liste der verwendeten oder bis 2030 wahrscheinlich verfügbaren nichtchemischen Methoden.** **entfällt**

Or. de

Amendment 1486

Christine Schneider, Ralf Seekatz, Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Sven Simon, Angelika Niebler, Monika Hohlmeier, Christian Doleschal, Franc Bogovič, Marlene Mortler, Daniel Caspary, Rainer Wieland, Sabine Verheyen, Alexander Bernhuber, Christophe Hansen

Proposal for a regulation

Article 9 – paragraph 1 – point d

Text proposed by the Commission

(d) for each of the pests referred to in point (c), a list of non-chemical methods ***used or likely to be available by 2030.***

Amendment

(d) for each of the pests referred to in point (c), a list of ***available*** non-chemical ***or low-risk*** methods ***and the most useful combinations of these indicating their level of efficiency and level of performance in comparison to other chemical methods.***

Or. en

Justification

Performance monitoring and success control must be part of non-chemical methods to increase their widespread acceptance and implementation.

Amendment 1487

Mathilde Androuët

Proposal for a regulation

Article 9 – paragraph 1 – point d

Text proposed by the Commission

d) pour chacun des ennemis des cultures visés au point c), une liste des méthodes non chimiques utilisées ou susceptibles d'être disponibles à l'horizon 2030.

Amendment

d) pour chacun des ennemis des cultures visés au point c), une liste des méthodes non chimiques utilisées ou susceptibles d'être disponibles à l'horizon 2030 ***au plus tôt et dont la fiabilité a été prouvée techniquement et économiquement.***

Or. fr

Amendment 1488
Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan

Proposal for a regulation
Article 9 – paragraph 1 – point d

Text proposed by the Commission

(d) for each of the pests referred to in point (c), a list of non-chemical methods ***used or likely to be*** available ***by 2030***.

Amendment

(d) for each of the pests referred to in point (c), a list of non-chemical methods available ***and the most effective combination of these as well as the one in use on their territory;***

Or. en

Amendment 1489
Ulrike Müller, Jan Huitema, Emma Wiesner, Andreas Glück

Proposal for a regulation
Article 9 – paragraph 1 – point d

Text proposed by the Commission

(d) for each of the pests referred to in point (c), a list of non-chemical methods used or likely to be available by 2030.

Amendment

(d) for each of the pests referred to in point (c), a list of non-chemical methods used or likely to be available by 2030 ***and a list of current or expected lack of alternatives.***

Or. en

Justification

A lack of alternative products is one of the main obstacles to achieving the goals of this Regulation. Gaps in the toolbox therefore should be identified systematically as well.

Amendment 1490
Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation
Article 9 – paragraph 1 – point d

Text proposed by the Commission

(d) for each of the pests referred to in point (c), a list of non-chemical methods used or likely to be available by 2030.

Amendment

(d) for each of the pests referred to in point (c), a list of **preventive and** non-chemical **strategies and** methods used or likely to be available by 2030.

Or. en

Amendment 1491

Franč Bogovič, Marlene Mortler, Ljudmila Novak, Alexander Bernhuber

Proposal for a regulation

Article 9 – paragraph 1 – point d

Text proposed by the Commission

(d) for each of the pests referred to in point (c), a list of non-chemical methods used or likely to be available by 2030.

Amendment

(d) for each of the pests referred to in point (c), a list of non-chemical methods used or likely to be available **and effective** by 2030.

Or. en

Amendment 1492

Jan Huitema, Emma Wiesner, Ulrike Müller, Erik Poulsen, Nils Torvalds, Andreas Glück, Asger Christensen, Billy Kelleher

Proposal for a regulation

Article 9 – paragraph 1 – point d

Text proposed by the Commission

(d) for each of the pests referred to in point (c), a list of non-chemical methods used or likely to be available by 2030.

Amendment

(d) for each of the pests referred to in point (c), a list of non-chemical **and low risk** methods used or likely to be available by 2030.

Or. en

Amendment 1493

Esther de Lange

Proposal for a regulation

Article 9 – paragraph 1 – point d

Text proposed by the Commission

(d) for each of the pests referred to in point (c), a list of non-chemical methods used or likely to be available by **2030**.

Amendment

(d) for each of the pests referred to in point (c), a list of non-chemical methods used or likely to be available by **2035**.

Or. en

Amendment 1494

Dan-Ştefan Motreanu, Carmen Avram, Marian-Jean Marinescu, Daniel Buda

Proposal for a regulation

Article 9 – paragraph 1 – point d

Text proposed by the Commission

(d) for each of the pests referred to in point (c), a list of non-chemical methods used or likely to be available by **2030**.

Amendment

(d) for each of the pests referred to in point (c), a list of non-chemical methods used or likely to be available by **2035**.

Or. en

Amendment 1495

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjård

Proposal for a regulation

Article 9 – paragraph 1 – point d

Text proposed by the Commission

(d) ***for each of the pests referred to in point (c), a list of non-chemical methods used or likely to be available by 2030.***

Amendment

(d) ***Information on the availability of biological control agents and other alternative measures***

Or. en

Amendment 1496

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjärd

Proposal for a regulation

Article 9 – paragraph 1 – point d a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(d a) a list of information on professionally used application devices for which the Member State applies different control requirements in accordance with Article 32(1);

Or. en

Amendment 1497

Pascal Canfin, Martin Hojsík, Catherine Chabaud, Max Orville

Proposal for a regulation

Article 9 – paragraph 1 – point d a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(d a) for each of the non-chemical methods referred to in point (d) a financial scheme to ensure full availability and uptake for professional users.

Or. en

Amendment 1498

Sarah Wiener

Proposal for a regulation

Article 9 – paragraph 1 – point d a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(d a) The information referred to in points (a) to (d) shall be adapted based on the results of the monitoring as referred to

in Article 33a (new).

Or. en

Justification

Monitoring (referred to in Art 33a added in the draft report) will help identifying the most influential active substances, and crops and pests accordingly.

Amendment 1499

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjård

Proposal for a regulation

Article 9 – paragraph 1 – point d b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(d b) a link to the relevant parts of the CAP Strategic Plans established pursuant to Regulation (EU) 2021/2115, which include plans for increasing the utilized agricultural area for organic farming

Or. en

Amendment 1500

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjård

Proposal for a regulation

Article 9 – paragraph 1 – point d c (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(d c) Member States' contribution to the Union-wide reduction targets for 2035 using the calculation methodology set out in [...].

Or. en

Amendment 1501

Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Lena Düpont, Jens Gieseke, Angelika Niebler, Andreas Schwab, Ralf Seekatz, David McAllister, Christine Schneider, Stefan Berger, Sabine Verheyen, Rainer Wieland, Monika Hohlmeier, Michael Gahler, Christian Doleschal, Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Alexander Bernhuber, Daniel Caspary, Herbert Dorfmann, Simone Schmiedtbauer

Proposal for a regulation

Article 9 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(2) Für jede nichtchemische Methode gemäß Absatz 1 Buchstabe d enthalten die nationalen Aktionspläne alle folgenden Informationen: **entfällt**

a) den geschätzten Umfang ihrer Verwendung auf der Grundlage von Daten über den Verkauf von Pflanzenschutzmitteln, Erhebungen und Experteneinschätzungen in den drei Kalenderjahren vor Erlass des nationalen Aktionsplans, zusammen mit einem nationalen Richtziel für die Steigerung ihres Einsatzes bis 2030 und einer Liste potenzieller Hindernisse für die Erreichung dieses Anstiegs;

b) eine Liste der vom Mitgliedstaat und von anderen Akteuren gegen diese potenziellen Hindernisse gemäß Buchstabe a einzuleitenden Maßnahmen und anderen Schritte mit einem detaillierten Zeitplan mit Zwischenschritten und mit Angabe der Behörden, die für die einzelnen von dem Mitgliedstaat einzuleitenden Schritte zuständig sind.

Or. de

Amendment 1502

Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław Kalinowski, Joanna Kopcińska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

Proposal for a regulation

Article 9 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

2. For each non-chemical method listed in accordance with paragraph 1, point (d), national action plans shall indicate all of the following: **deleted**

(a) the estimated scale of its use, based on data on the sale of plant protection products, surveys and expert judgement, during the 3 calendar years preceding the adoption of the national action plan, together with a national indicative target for increasing its use by 2030 and a list of potential obstacles to achieving this increase;

(b) a list of measures and other actions to be taken by the Member State and by other actors to address the potential obstacles referred to in point (a), with a detailed timeline of intermediary steps and the authorities responsible for each of the steps to be taken by the Member State.

Or. en

Justification

See above, paragraph 1

Amendment 1503
Edina Tóth

Proposal for a regulation
Article 9 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

2. For each non-chemical method listed in accordance with paragraph 1, point (d), national action plans shall indicate all of the following: **deleted**

(a) the estimated scale of its use, based on data on the sale of plant protection products, surveys and expert judgement,

during the 3 calendar years preceding the adoption of the national action plan, together with a national indicative target for increasing its use by 2030 and a list of potential obstacles to achieving this increase;

(b) a list of measures and other actions to be taken by the Member State and by other actors to address the potential obstacles referred to in point (a), with a detailed timeline of intermediary steps and the authorities responsible for each of the steps to be taken by the Member State.

Or. en

Amendment 1504

Franc Bogovič, Marlene Mortler, Ljudmila Novak, Alexander Bernhuber

Proposal for a regulation

Article 9 – paragraph 2 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

2. For each non-chemical method listed in accordance with paragraph 1, point (d), national action plans shall indicate **all** of the **following**:

Amendment

2. For each non-chemical method listed in accordance with paragraph 1, point (d), national action plans shall indicate ***a list of measures and other actions to be taken by the Member State and by other actors to address the potential obstacles, with a detailed timeline of intermediary steps and the authorities responsible for each of the steps to be taken by the Member State.***

Or. en

Amendment 1505

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjärd

Proposal for a regulation

Article 9 – paragraph 2 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

2. ***For each non-chemical method listed in accordance with paragraph 1, point (d), national action plans shall indicate all of the following:***

Amendment

2. ***After the publication of the national action plan, the information referred to in Article 9(1)(a) to (dc) shall be published on a website and communicated to the Commission.***

Or. en

Amendment 1506

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation

Article 9 – paragraph 2 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

2. For each non-chemical method listed in accordance with paragraph 1, point (d), national action plans shall indicate all of the following:

Amendment

2. For each ***preventive and*** non-chemical ***strategy and*** method listed in accordance with paragraph 1, point (d), national action plans shall indicate all of the following:

Or. en

Amendment 1507

Jan Huitema, Emma Wiesner, Ulrike Müller, Erik Poulsen, Nils Torvalds, Asger Christensen, Billy Kelleher

Proposal for a regulation

Article 9 – paragraph 2 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

2. For each non-chemical method listed in accordance with paragraph 1, point (d), national action plans shall indicate all of the following:

Amendment

2. For each non-chemical ***and low risk*** method listed in accordance with paragraph 1, point (d), national action plans shall indicate all of the following:

Or. en

Amendment 1508

Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Lena Düpont, Jens Gieseke, Angelika Niebler, Andreas Schwab, Ralf Seekatz, David McAllister, Christine Schneider, Stefan Berger, Sabine Verheyen, Rainer Wieland, Monika Hohlmeier, Michael Gahler, Christian Doleschal, Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Alexander Bernhuber, Daniel Caspary, Herbert Dorfmann, Simone Schmiedtbauer

Proposal for a regulation

Article 9 – paragraph 2 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

a) *den geschätzten Umfang ihrer Verwendung auf der Grundlage von Daten über den Verkauf von Pflanzenschutzmitteln, Erhebungen und Experteneinschätzungen in den drei Kalenderjahren vor Erlass des nationalen Aktionsplans, zusammen mit einem nationalen Richtziel für die Steigerung ihres Einsatzes bis 2030 und einer Liste potenzieller Hindernisse für die Erreichung dieses Anstiegs;* ***entfällt***

Or. de

Amendment 1509

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjärd

Proposal for a regulation

Article 9 – paragraph 2 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(a) *the estimated scale of its use, based on data on the sale of plant protection products, surveys and expert judgement, during the 3 calendar years preceding the adoption of the national action plan, together with a national indicative target for increasing its use by 2030 and a list of potential obstacles to achieving this increase;* ***deleted***

Amendment 1510

Franč Bogovič, Marlene Mortler, Ljudmila Novak, Alexander Bernhuber

Proposal for a regulation

Article 9 – paragraph 2 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(a) *the estimated scale of its use, based on data on the sale of plant protection products, surveys and expert judgement, during the 3 calendar years preceding the adoption of the national action plan, together with a national indicative target for increasing its use by 2030 and a list of potential obstacles to achieving this increase;* **deleted**

Amendment 1511

Dan-Ștefan Motreanu, Carmen Avram, Marian-Jean Marinescu, Daniel Buda

Proposal for a regulation

Article 9 – paragraph 2 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(a) the estimated scale of its use, based on data on the sale of plant protection products, surveys and expert judgement, during the 3 calendar years preceding the adoption of the national action plan, together with a national indicative target for increasing its use by **2030** and a list of potential obstacles to achieving this increase;

(a) the estimated scale of its use, based on data on the sale of plant protection products, surveys and expert judgement, during the 3 calendar years preceding the adoption of the national action plan, together with a national indicative target for increasing its use by **2035** and a list of potential obstacles to achieving this increase;

Amendment 1512

Esther de Lange

Proposal for a regulation

Article 9 – paragraph 2 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) the estimated scale of its use, based on data on the sale of plant protection products, surveys and expert judgement, during the 3 calendar years preceding the adoption of the national action plan, together with a national indicative target for increasing its use by **2030** and a list of potential obstacles to achieving this increase;

Amendment

(a) the estimated scale of its use, based on data on the sale of plant protection products, surveys and expert judgement, during the 3 calendar years preceding the adoption of the national action plan, together with a national indicative target for increasing its use by **2035** and a list of potential obstacles to achieving this increase;

Or. en

Amendment 1513

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation

Article 9 – paragraph 2 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) the estimated scale of its use, based on data on the sale of **plant protection products**, surveys and expert judgement, during the 3 calendar years preceding the adoption of the national action plan, together with a national **indicative** target for increasing its use by 2030 and a list of potential obstacles to achieving this increase;

Amendment

(a) the estimated scale of its use, based on data on the sale of **biological control**, surveys and expert judgement, during the 3 calendar years preceding the adoption of the national action plan, together with a national target for increasing its use by 2030 and a list of potential obstacles to achieving this increase;

Or. en

Amendment 1514

Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Lena Düpont, Jens Gieseke, Angelika Niebler, Andreas Schwab, Ralf Seekatz, David McAllister, Christine Schneider, Stefan Berger, Sabine Verheyen, Rainer Wieland, Monika Hohlmeier, Michael Gahler, Christian Doleschal, Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Alexander Bernhuber, Daniel Caspary, Herbert

Dorfmann, Simone Schmiedtbauer

Proposal for a regulation

Article 9 – paragraph 2 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

b) eine Liste der vom Mitgliedstaat und von anderen Akteuren gegen diese potenziellen Hindernisse gemäß Buchstabe a einzuleitenden Maßnahmen und anderen Schritte mit einem detaillierten Zeitplan mit Zwischenschritten und mit Angabe der Behörden, die für die einzelnen von dem Mitgliedstaat einzuleitenden Schritte zuständig sind. **entfällt**

Or. de

Amendment 1515

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjärd

Proposal for a regulation

Article 9 – paragraph 2 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) a list of measures and other actions to be taken by the Member State and by other actors to address the potential obstacles referred to in point (a), with a detailed timeline of intermediary steps and the authorities responsible for each of the steps to be taken by the Member State. **deleted**

Or. en

Amendment 1516

Franc Bogovič, Marlene Mortler, Ljudmila Novak, Alexander Bernhuber

Proposal for a regulation

Article 9 – paragraph 2 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) a list of measures and other actions to be taken by the Member State and by other actors to address the potential obstacles referred to in point (a), with a detailed timeline of intermediary steps and the authorities responsible for each of the steps to be taken by the Member State. **deleted**

Or. en

Amendment 1517

Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Lena Düpont, Jens Gieseke, Angelika Niebler, Andreas Schwab, Ralf Seekatz, David McAllister, Christine Schneider, Stefan Berger, Sabine Verheyen, Rainer Wieland, Monika Hohlmeier, Michael Gahler, Christian Doleschal, Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Alexander Bernhuber, Daniel Caspary, Herbert Dorfmann, Simone Schmiedtbauer

Proposal for a regulation

Article 9 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(3) In Bezug auf mindestens jene fünf Kulturen, welche die Entwicklung von Verwendung und Risiko chemischer Pflanzenschutzmittel sowie die Entwicklung der Verwendung der gefährlicheren Pflanzenschutzmittel in den drei Jahren vor Erlass des nationalen Aktionsplans – wie anhand der Methodik gemäß Anhang I ermittelt – am stärksten beeinflussten, enthält der nationale Aktionsplan alle folgenden Informationen: **entfällt**

a) den Prozentsatz aller in den drei Kalenderjahren vor Erlass des nationalen Aktionsplans auf diesen Kulturen verwendeten Pflanzenschutzmittel, bei denen es sich um eine biologische Bekämpfung handelte, sowie die

nationalen Richtziele für die Erhöhung dieses Prozentsatzes bis 2030 und eine Liste der potenziellen Hindernisse für die Erreichung dieses höheren Prozentsatzes;

b) eine Liste der vom Mitgliedstaat und von anderen Akteuren gegen diese potenziellen Hindernisse gemäß Buchstabe a einzuleitenden Maßnahmen und anderen Schritte mit einem detaillierten Zeitplan mit Zwischenschritten und mit Angabe der Behörden, die für die einzelnen von dem Mitgliedstaat einzuleitenden Schritte zuständig sind.

Or. de

Amendment 1518

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjärd

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 9 – paragraph 3**

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

3. In relation to at least the 5 crops that most strongly influenced the trend in the use and risk of chemical plant protection products, and the trend in the use of the more hazardous plant protection products, as determined by applying the methodology set out in Annex I, during the 3 years preceding the adoption of the national action plan, the national action plan shall indicate all of the following:

deleted

(a) the percentage of all plant protection products used on those crops which were biological controls during the 3 calendar years preceding the adoption of the national action plan, together with the national indicative targets for increasing that percentage by 2030 and a list of the potential obstacles to achieving

that increased percentage;

(b) a list of measures and other actions to be taken by the Member State and by other actors to address the potential obstacles referred to in point (a), with a detailed timeline of intermediary steps and the authorities responsible for each step to be taken by the Member State.

Or. en

Amendment 1519

Franz Bogovič, Marlene Mortler, Ljudmila Novak, Alexander Bernhuber

Proposal for a regulation

Article 9 – paragraph 3 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

3. In relation to **at least the 5** crops that most strongly influenced the trend in the use and risk of chemical plant protection products, and the trend in the use of the more hazardous plant protection products, as determined by applying the methodology set out in Annex I, during the 3 years preceding the adoption of the national action plan, the national action plan shall indicate **all of the following**:

Amendment

3. In relation to **the** crops that most strongly influenced the trend in the use and risk of chemical plant protection products, and the trend in the use of the more hazardous plant protection products, as determined by applying the methodology set out in Annex I, during the 3 years preceding the adoption of the national action plan, the national action plan shall indicate **a list of measures and other actions to be taken by the Member State and by other actors to address the potential obstacles, with a timeline and the authorities responsible.**

Or. en

Amendment 1520

Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan

Proposal for a regulation

Article 9 – paragraph 3 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

3. In relation to at least the **5** crops that most strongly influenced the trend in the use and risk of chemical plant protection products, and the trend in the use of the more hazardous plant protection products, as determined by applying the methodology set out in Annex I, during the 3 years preceding the adoption of the national action plan, the national action plan shall indicate all of the following:

Amendment

3. In relation to at least the **10** crops that most strongly influenced the trend in the use and risk of chemical plant protection products, and **to at least the 10 crops that are representative and most strongly influenced** the trend in the use of the more hazardous plant protection products, as determined by applying the methodology set out in Annex I, during the 3 years preceding the adoption of the national action plan, the national action plan shall indicate all of the following:

Or. en

Amendment 1521

Edina Tóth

Proposal for a regulation

Article 9 – paragraph 3 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

3. In relation to at least the 5 crops that most strongly influenced the trend in the use and risk of chemical plant protection products, **and the trend in the use of the more hazardous plant protection products**, as determined by applying the methodology set out in Annex I, during the 3 years preceding the adoption of the national action plan, the national action plan shall indicate all of the following:

Amendment

3. In relation to at least the 5 crops that most strongly influenced the trend in the use and risk of chemical plant protection products as determined by applying the methodology set out in Annex I, during the 3 years preceding the adoption of the national action plan, the national action plan shall indicate all of the following:

Or. en

Amendment 1522

Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław Kalinowski, Joanna Kopcińska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

Proposal for a regulation

Article 9 – paragraph 3 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

3. In relation to at least the 5 crops that most strongly influenced the trend in the use and risk of chemical **plant protection products**, **and the trend in the use of the more hazardous** plant protection products, as determined by applying the methodology set out in Annex I, during the 3 years preceding the adoption of the national action plan, the national action plan shall indicate all of the following:

Amendment

3. **1.** In relation to at least the 5 crops that most strongly influenced the trend in the use and risk of chemical plant protection products, as determined by applying the methodology set out in Annex I, during the 3 years preceding the adoption of the national action plan, the national action plan shall indicate all of the following:

Or. en

Amendment 1523

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation

Article 9 – paragraph 3 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

3. In relation to at least the **5** crops that most strongly influenced the trend in the use and risk of chemical **plant protection products**, and the trend in the use of the **more** hazardous **plant protection products**, as determined by applying the methodology set out in Annex I, during the 3 years preceding the adoption of the national action plan, the national action plan shall indicate all of the following:

Amendment

3. In relation to at least the **15** crops that most strongly influenced the trend in the use and risk of chemical **pesticides**, and the trend in the use of the **most** hazardous **pesticides**, as determined by applying the methodology set out in Annex I, during the 3 years preceding the adoption of the national action plan, the national action plan shall indicate all of the following:

Or. en

Amendment 1524

Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Lena Düpont, Jens Gieseke, Angelika Niebler, Andreas Schwab, Ralf Seekatz, David McAllister, Christine Schneider, Stefan Berger, Sabine Verheyen, Rainer Wieland, Monika Hohlmeier, Michael Gahler, Christian Doleschal, Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Alexander Bernhuber, Daniel Caspary, Herbert Dorfmann, Simone Schmiedtbauer

Proposal for a regulation
Article 9 – paragraph 3 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

a) den Prozentsatz aller in den drei Kalenderjahren vor Erlass des nationalen Aktionsplans auf diesen Kulturen verwendeten Pflanzenschutzmittel, bei denen es sich um eine biologische Bekämpfung handelte, sowie die nationalen Richtziele für die Erhöhung dieses Prozentsatzes bis 2030 und eine Liste der potenziellen Hindernisse für die Erreichung dieses höheren Prozentsatzes; **entfällt**

Or. de

Amendment 1525

Franco Bogović, Marlene Mortler, Ljudmila Novak, Alexander Bernhuber

Proposal for a regulation
Article 9 – paragraph 3 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(a) the percentage of all plant protection products used on those crops which were biological controls during the 3 calendar years preceding the adoption of the national action plan, together with the national indicative targets for increasing that percentage by 2030 and a list of the potential obstacles to achieving that increased percentage; **deleted**

Or. en

Amendment 1526

Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław Kalinowski, Joanna Kopcińska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

Proposal for a regulation
Article 9 – paragraph 3 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) the percentage of all plant protection products used on those crops which were biological controls during the 3 calendar years preceding the adoption of the national action plan, ***together with the national indicative targets for increasing that percentage by 2030*** and a list of the potential obstacles to achieving that increased percentage;

Amendment

(a) the percentage of all plant protection products used on those crops which were biological controls during the 3 calendar years preceding the adoption of the national action plan and a list of the potential obstacles to achieving that increased percentage;

Or. en

Amendment 1527

Edina Tóth

Proposal for a regulation

Article 9 – paragraph 3 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) the percentage of all plant protection products used on those crops which were biological controls during the 3 calendar years preceding the adoption of the national action plan, ***together with the national indicative targets for increasing that percentage by 2030*** and a list of the potential obstacles to ***achieving that increased percentage***;

Amendment

(a) the percentage of all plant protection products used on those crops which were biological controls during the 3 calendar years preceding the adoption of the national action plan and a list of the potential obstacles to ***reduce the use of chemical plant protection products***;

Or. en

Amendment 1528

Esther de Lange

Proposal for a regulation

Article 9 – paragraph 3 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) the percentage of all plant protection products used on those crops

Amendment

(a) the percentage of all plant protection products used on those crops

which were biological controls during the 3 calendar years preceding the adoption of the national action plan, together with the national indicative targets for increasing that percentage by **2030** and a list of the potential obstacles to achieving that increased percentage;

which were biological controls during the 3 calendar years preceding the adoption of the national action plan, together with the national indicative targets for increasing that percentage by **2035** and a list of the potential obstacles to achieving that increased percentage;

Or. en

Amendment 1529

Dan-Ştefan Motreanu, Carmen Avram, Marian-Jean Marinescu, Daniel Buda

Proposal for a regulation

Article 9 – paragraph 3 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) the percentage of all plant protection products used on those crops which were biological controls during the 3 calendar years preceding the adoption of the national action plan, together with the national indicative targets for increasing that percentage by **2030** and a list of the potential obstacles to achieving that increased percentage;

Amendment

(a) the percentage of all plant protection products used on those crops which were biological controls during the 3 calendar years preceding the adoption of the national action plan, together with the national indicative targets for increasing that percentage by **2035** and a list of the potential obstacles to achieving that increased percentage;

Or. en

Amendment 1530

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation

Article 9 – paragraph 3 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) the percentage of all plant protection products used on those crops which were biological controls during the 3 calendar years preceding the adoption of the national action plan, together with the national **indicative** targets for increasing that percentage by 2030 and a list of the

Amendment

(a) the percentage of all plant protection products used on those crops which were biological controls during the 3 calendar years preceding the adoption of the national action plan, together with the national **binding** targets for increasing that percentage by 2030 and a list of the

potential obstacles to achieving that increased percentage;

potential obstacles to achieving that increased percentage;

Or. en

Amendment 1531

Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Lena Düpont, Jens Gieseke, Angelika Niebler, Andreas Schwab, Ralf Seekatz, David McAllister, Christine Schneider, Stefan Berger, Sabine Verheyen, Rainer Wieland, Monika Hohlmeier, Michael Gahler, Christian Doleschal, Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Alexander Bernhuber, Daniel Caspary, Herbert Dorfmann, Simone Schmiedtbauer

Proposal for a regulation

Article 9 – paragraph 3 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

b) eine Liste der vom Mitgliedstaat und von anderen Akteuren gegen diese potenziellen Hindernisse gemäß Buchstabe a einzuleitenden Maßnahmen und anderen Schritte mit einem detaillierten Zeitplan mit Zwischenschritten und mit Angabe der Behörden, die für die einzelnen von dem Mitgliedstaat einzuleitenden Schritte zuständig sind.

entfällt

Or. de

Amendment 1532

Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław Kalinowski, Joanna Kopcińska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

Proposal for a regulation

Article 9 – paragraph 3 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) a list of measures and other actions to be taken by the Member State *and by other actors* to address the potential obstacles referred to in point (a), *with a detailed timeline of intermediary steps and the authorities responsible for each step to*

(b) a list of measures and other actions to be taken by the Member State to address the potential obstacles referred to in point (a).

be taken by the Member State.

Or. en

Amendment 1533

Edina Tóth

Proposal for a regulation

Article 9 – paragraph 3 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) a list of measures and other actions to be taken by the Member State **and by other actors** to address the potential obstacles referred to in point (a), **with a detailed timeline of intermediary steps and the authorities responsible for each step to be taken by the Member State.**

(b) a list of measures and other actions to be taken by the Member State to address the potential obstacles referred to in point (a).

Or. en

Amendment 1534

Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Lena Düpont, Jens Gieseke, Angelika Niebler, Andreas Schwab, Ralf Seekatz, David McAllister, Christine Schneider, Stefan Berger, Sabine Verheyen, Rainer Wieland, Monika Hohlmeier, Michael Gahler, Christian Doleschal, Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Alexander Bernhuber, Daniel Caspary, Herbert Dorfmann, Simone Schmiedtbauer

Proposal for a regulation

Article 9 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(4) **Die nationalen Aktionspläne enthalten auch nationale Richtziele für die Erhöhung des prozentualen Anteils von Pflanzenschutzmitteln, bei denen es sich nicht um chemische Pflanzenschutzmittel handelt, am Gesamtverkauf, wobei die drei Kalenderjahre vor Erlass des nationalen Aktionsplans als Bezugszeitraum gelten.**

entfällt

Or. de

Amendment 1535
Edina Tóth

Proposal for a regulation
Article 9 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

4. National action plans shall also include national indicative targets for increasing the percentage of overall sales of plant protection products which are not chemical plant protection products from a baseline period of the 3 calendar years preceding the adoption of the national action plan. **deleted**

Or. en

Amendment 1536
Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław Kalinowski, Joanna Kopcińska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

Proposal for a regulation
Article 9 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

4. National action plans shall also include national indicative targets for increasing the percentage of overall sales of plant protection products which are not chemical plant protection products from a baseline period of the 3 calendar years preceding the adoption of the national action plan. **deleted**

Or. en

Amendment 1537
Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjärd

Proposal for a regulation
Article 9 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

4. National action plans shall also include national indicative targets for increasing the percentage of overall sales of plant protection products which are not chemical plant protection products from a baseline period of the 3 calendar years preceding the adoption of the national action plan.

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 1538

Martin Hojsík, Michal Wiezik, María Soraya Rodríguez Ramos, Frédérique Ries

Proposal for a regulation
Article 9 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

4. National action plans shall also include national indicative targets for increasing the percentage of overall sales of plant protection products which are not chemical plant protection products from a baseline period of the 3 calendar years preceding the adoption of the national action plan.

4. National action plans shall also include national indicative targets for increasing the percentage of overall sales of *safe* plant protection products which are not chemical plant protection products, *including by establishment of regulatory prioritization of biological control agents*, from a baseline period of the 3 calendar years preceding the adoption of the national action plan.

Or. en

Amendment 1539

Pascal Canfin, Catherine Chabaud, Max Orville

Proposal for a regulation
Article 9 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

4. National action plans shall also include national indicative targets for increasing the percentage of overall sales **of** plant protection products which are not chemical plant protection products from a baseline period of the 3 calendar years preceding the adoption of the national action plan.

Amendment

4. National action plans shall also include national indicative targets for increasing the percentage of overall sales **and uses of biological control products, and other** plant protection products which are not chemical plant protection products from a baseline period of the 3 calendar years preceding the adoption of the national action plan.

Or. en

Amendment 1540

Eric Andrieu

Proposal for a regulation

Article 9 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

4. National action plans shall also include national indicative targets for increasing the percentage of overall sales of **plant protection** products **which are not** chemical plant protection products from a baseline period of the 3 calendar years preceding the adoption of the national action plan.

Amendment

4. National action plans shall also include national indicative targets for increasing the percentage of overall sales of **biological control** products, **and other non-chemical methods with a view to replacing** chemical plant protection products from a baseline period of the 3 calendar years preceding the adoption of the national action plan.

Or. en

Amendment 1541

Jan Huitema, Emma Wiesner, Erik Poulsen, Billy Kelleher, Asger Christensen

Proposal for a regulation

Article 9 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

4. National action plans shall also include national **indicative** targets for

Amendment

4. National action plans shall also include national targets for increasing the

increasing the **percentage of** overall sales of **plant protection products which are not chemical** plant protection products from a baseline period of the 3 calendar years preceding the adoption of the national action plan.

overall sales of **low risk and biological control** plant protection products from a baseline period of the 3 calendar years preceding the adoption of the national action plan. **These targets shall be set in line with Chapter IB of this regulation.**

Or. en

Amendment 1542

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation Article 9 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

4. National action plans shall also include national **indicative** targets for increasing the percentage of overall sales of **plant protection products** which **are not** chemical **plant protection products** from a baseline period of the 3 calendar years preceding the adoption of the national action plan.

Amendment

4. National action plans shall also include national **binding** targets for increasing the percentage of overall sales of **biological control** which **replace** chemical **pesticides**, from a baseline period of the 3 calendar years preceding the adoption of the national action plan.

Or. en

Amendment 1543

Eric Andrieu

Proposal for a regulation Article 9 – paragraph 4 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

4 a. To contribute to the national indicative target for increasing the overall use of biological control products, the following option should be considered :

1. By way of derogation from Article 29(1)(a) of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009, Member States may authorise for a provisional period not exceeding 3

years, the placing on the market of plant protection products containing a biological active substance not yet approved, provided that: (a) these are biological active substances according to the definition in Article 3(23); and (b) pursuant to Article 9 of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 the dossier on the biological active substance is admissible in relation to the proposed uses; and (c) the Member State concludes that the biological active substance can satisfy the requirements of Article 4(2) and (3) of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 and that the plant protection product may be expected to satisfy the requirements of Article 29(1)(b) to (h) of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009.

In such cases the Member State shall immediately inform the other Member States and the Commission of its assessment of the dossier and of the terms of the authorisation, giving at least the information provided for in Article 57 (1) of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009.

If the Commission decides not to approve the new biological active substance, the competent authorities which granted the provisional authorisation or the Commission shall cancel that authorization

Where a decision on the approval of the new biological active substance has not yet been adopted by the Commission when the period of three years expires, the Member States which granted the provisional authorisation, or the Commission, may extend the provisional authorisation for a period not exceeding one year, provided that there are good reasons to believe that the biological active substance will satisfy the conditions laid down in Article 4(2) and (3) of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009. Member States which extend the provisional authorisation shall inform the other Member States and the Commission of

such action.

2. The Commission may permit the extension of the use on one crop against one pest/disease to all other crops where the same pest/disease occurs without the addition of upfront efficacy data for biological control product under Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009. To contribute to the national indicative target for increasing the overall use of biological control products, Member States shall apply a priority lane for biological control products as defined in Article 3 (23) in the application procedure for the approval of an active substance in accordance with Article 7 Subsection 2 of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009.

3. Member States shall apply a priority lane for biological control products as defined in Article 3 (23) in the application procedure for the approval of an active substance in accordance with Article 7 Subsection 2 of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009.

Or. en

Amendment 1544
Michèle Rivasi, Benoît Biteau

Proposal for a regulation
Article 9 – paragraph 4 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

4 a. National action plans shall also include national indicative targets for increasing crop rotation and diversification from a baseline period of the 3 calendar years preceding the adoption of the national action plan.

Or. en

Amendment 1545

Pascal Canfin, Martin Hojsík, Max Orville

Proposal for a regulation

Article 9 – paragraph 4 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

4 a. National plan shall include a 2030 target of its share of UUA covered by reduction contract as defined in Article 9bis consistent with their national reduction target.

Or. en

Amendment 1546

Pascal Canfin, Martin Hojsík, Max Orville

Proposal for a regulation

Article 9 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article 9 a

Reduction contract for professional users

1. Each Member States shall propose a reduction contract for professional users, which fast-track financial support and relevant IPM training to professional users which fulfills the requirements set in points (2) and (3).

2 The reduction contract shall be in line with the national objective of pesticide reduction as set out in Article 4 and shall take into account the local specificities of each area where a professional user operates. The financial support to be provided to professional users within the framework of the contract shall be based on the IPM hierarchy as defined in Article 13.

3. Reduction contract cannot propose a reduction target lower than the one set out in Article 4.

Amendment 1547

Sylvia Limmer

Proposal for a regulation

Article 10

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Artikel 10

entfällt

***Jährliche Fortschritts- und
Durchführungsberichte***

(1) Bis zum 31. August jedes Jahres, frühestens jedoch am [Amt für Veröffentlichungen: bitte Datum einfügen – 30 Monate nach Geltungsbeginn dieser Verordnung], legt jeder Mitgliedstaat der Kommission einen jährlichen Fortschritts- und Durchführungsbericht mit den in Anhang II aufgeführten Informationen vor.

(2) Der jährliche Fortschritts- und Durchführungsbericht enthält Folgendes:

a) alle Entwicklungen der Fortschritte auf dem Weg zur Erreichung der nationalen Reduktionsziele bis 2030 gemäß Anhang II Teil 1, berechnet nach der in Anhang I dargelegten Methode als Differenz zwischen dem Durchschnitt der Jahre 2015-2017 und dem Jahr, das 20 Monate vor der Veröffentlichung endet;

b) alle Entwicklungen der Fortschritte auf dem Weg zur Erreichung der nationalen Richtziele gemäß Artikel 9 Absatz 2 Buchstabe a, Artikel 9 Absatz 3 Buchstabe a und Artikel 9 Absatz 4, die jährlich als Differenz zwischen dem Umfang der Verwendung in den drei Kalenderjahren vor Erlass des nationalen Aktionsplans gemäß Artikel 9 Absatz 1 und dem Kalenderjahr, das 20 Monate vor der Veröffentlichung des jeweiligen jährlichen Fortschritts- und Durchführungsberichts endet, berechnet

werden;

c) *alle anderen quantitativen Daten gemäß Anhang II Teil 2 in Bezug auf die Durchführung dieser Verordnung;*

d) *das Ergebnis der gemäß Artikel 36 Absatz 1 durchgeführten Bewertung der Ergebnisse der einzelnen harmonisierten Risikoindikatoren;*

e) *alle Entwicklungen der Fortschritte bei der Ausweitung der ökologisch/biologisch bewirtschafteten landwirtschaftlichen Nutzfläche gemäß Artikel 8 Absatz 1 Buchstabe d.*

(3) *Jeder Mitgliedstaat veröffentlicht seinen jährlichen Fortschritts- und Durchführungsbericht auf einer Website und unterrichtet die Kommission darüber.*

(4)

Die Kommission kann einen Mitgliedstaat auffordern, weitere Angaben in seinen jährlichen Fortschritts- und Durchführungsbericht aufzunehmen.

Innerhalb von zwei Monaten nach Erhalt der Aufforderung der Kommission kommt der betreffende Mitgliedstaat der Aufforderung nach und veröffentlicht seine Antwort auf der in Absatz 3 genannten Website.

(5) *Die Kommission veröffentlicht die jährlichen Fortschritts- und Durchführungsberichte der Mitgliedstaaten auf einer Website.*

(6) *Die Kommission ist befugt, gemäß Artikel 40 delegierte Rechtsakte zur Änderung des Anhangs II zwecks Berücksichtigung von Daten zu erlassen, die für die nachhaltige Verwendung von Pflanzenschutzmitteln relevant sind.*

Or. de

Amendment 1548

Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław

Proposal for a regulation
Article 10

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article 10

deleted

**Annual progress and implementation
reports**

1. By 31 August every year, but not sooner than [OP: please insert the date – 30 months after the date of application of this Regulation], each Member State shall submit to the Commission an annual progress and implementation report containing the information listed in Annex II.

2. The annual progress and implementation report shall include:

(a) all trends in progress towards achieving the national 2030 reduction targets as set out in Part 1 of Annex II, calculated in accordance with the methodology set out in Annex I as the difference between the average of the years 2015-2017 and the year ending 20 months prior to the publication;

(b) all trends in progress towards achieving national indicative targets set out in Article 9(2), point (a), Article 9(3), point (a), and Article 9(4)), calculated annually as the difference between the extent of use in the 3 calendar years preceding the adoption of the national action plan in accordance with Article 9(1) and the calendar year ending 20 months prior to the publication of the relevant annual progress and implementation report;

(c) all other quantitative data in relation to implementation of this Regulation as set out in Part 2 of Annex II;

(d) the outcome of the evaluation of the results of each harmonised risk

indicator carried out in accordance with Article 36(1);

(e) all trends in progress towards achieving an increase in the utilised agricultural area under organic farming referred to in Article 8(1), point (d).

3. Each Member State shall publish its annual progress and implementation report on a website and inform the Commission thereof.

4.

The Commission may request a Member State to include further details in its annual progress and implementation report.

Within 2 months of receipt of the Commission's request, the Member State concerned shall respond to the request and shall publish its response on the website referred to in paragraph 3.

5. The Commission shall publish annual progress and implementation reports of the Member States on a website.

6. The Commission is empowered to adopt delegated acts in accordance with Article 40 amending Annex II in order to take into account data relevant to the sustainable use of plant protection products.

Or. en

Justification

Publishing trends is already covered by art. 7. The remaining provisions cause administrative burden and create excessive powers for the EC.

Amendment 1549

Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Lena Düpont, Jens Gieseke, Angelika Niebler, Andreas Schwab, Ralf Seekatz, David McAllister, Christine Schneider, Stefan Berger, Sabine Verheyen, Rainer Wieland, Monika Hohlmeier, Michael Gahler, Christian Doleschal, Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Alexander Bernhuber, Daniel Caspary, Herbert Dorfmann, Simone Schmiedtbauer

Proposal for a regulation
Article 10 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(1) Bis zum 31. August jedes Jahres, frühestens jedoch am [Amt für Veröffentlichungen: bitte Datum einfügen – 30 Monate nach Geltungsbeginn dieser Verordnung], legt jeder Mitgliedstaat der Kommission einen jährlichen Fortschritts- und Durchführungsbericht mit den in Anhang II aufgeführten Informationen vor.

entfällt

Or. de

Amendment 1550
Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan

Proposal for a regulation
Article 10 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

1. By 31 August every year, **but not sooner than** [OP: please insert the date – 30 months after the **date of application** of this Regulation], each Member State shall submit to the Commission an annual progress and implementation report containing the information listed in Annex II.

1. By 31 August every year, **starting from** [OP: please insert the date – 30 months after the **entry into force** of this Regulation], each Member State shall submit to the Commission an annual progress and implementation report containing **any changes with regards to the information listed in Article 8(1), and** the information listed in Annex II.

Or. en

Amendment 1551
Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjård

Proposal for a regulation
Article 10 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. By 31 **August** every **year**, but not sooner than [OP: please insert the date – 30 months after the date of application of this Regulation], each Member State shall submit to the Commission an annual progress and implementation report containing the information listed in Annex II.

Amendment

1. By 31 **December** every **two years**, but not sooner than [OP: please insert the date – 30 months after the date of application of this Regulation], each Member State shall submit to the Commission an annual progress and implementation report containing the information listed in Annex II.

Or. en

Amendment 1552

Franc Bogovič, Marlene Mortler, Ljudmila Novak, Alexander Bernhuber

Proposal for a regulation

Article 10 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. By 31 August every **year**, but not sooner than [OP: please insert the date – 30 months after the date of application of this Regulation], each Member State shall submit to the Commission **an annual** progress and implementation report containing the information listed in Annex II.

Amendment

1. By 31 August every **two years**, but not sooner than [OP: please insert the date – 30 months after the date of application of this Regulation], each Member State shall submit to the Commission **a** progress and implementation report containing the information listed in Annex II.

Or. en

Justification

Annual progress and implementation reports present a substantial administrative burden for the Member States, therefore it should be replaced with the bi-annual report.

Amendment 1553

Stanislav Polčák

Proposal for a regulation

Article 10 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Do 31. srpna každého roku, avšak **ne dříve než dne** [Úřad pro publikace: vložte datum – 30 měsíců ode dne použitelnosti tohoto nařízení] každý členský stát předloží Komisi výroční zprávu o pokroku a provádění obsahující informace uvedené v příloze II.

Amendment

1. Do 31. srpna každého roku, avšak **počínaje** [Úřad pro publikace: vložte datum – 30 měsíců ode dne použitelnosti tohoto nařízení] každý členský stát předloží Komisi výroční zprávu o pokroku a provádění obsahující informace uvedené v příloze II.

Or. cs

Amendment 1554

Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Lena Düpont, Jens Gieseke, Angelika Niebler, Andreas Schwab, Ralf Seekatz, David McAllister, Christine Schneider, Stefan Berger, Sabine Verheyen, Rainer Wieland, Monika Hohlmeier, Michael Gahler, Christian Doleschal, Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Alexander Bernhuber, Daniel Caspary, Herbert Dorfmann, Simone Schmiedtbauer

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 10 – paragraph 2**

Text proposed by the Commission

(2) Der jährliche Fortschritts- und Durchführungsbericht enthält Folgendes:

a) alle Entwicklungen der Fortschritte auf dem Weg zur Erreichung der nationalen Reduktionsziele bis 2030 gemäß Anhang II Teil 1, berechnet nach der in Anhang I dargelegten Methode als Differenz zwischen dem Durchschnitt der Jahre 2015-2017 und dem Jahr, das 20 Monate vor der Veröffentlichung endet;

b) alle Entwicklungen der Fortschritte auf dem Weg zur Erreichung der nationalen Richtziele gemäß Artikel 9 Absatz 2 Buchstabe a, Artikel 9 Absatz 3 Buchstabe a und Artikel 9 Absatz 4, die jährlich als Differenz zwischen dem Umfang der Verwendung in den drei Kalenderjahren vor Erlass des nationalen Aktionsplans gemäß Artikel 9 Absatz 1 und dem Kalenderjahr, das 20 Monate vor der Veröffentlichung des jeweiligen

Amendment

entfällt

jährlichen Fortschritts- und Durchführungsberichts endet, berechnet werden;

c) alle anderen quantitativen Daten gemäß Anhang II Teil 2 in Bezug auf die Durchführung dieser Verordnung;

d) das Ergebnis der gemäß Artikel 36 Absatz 1 durchgeführten Bewertung der Ergebnisse der einzelnen harmonisierten Risikoindikatoren;

e) alle Entwicklungen der Fortschritte bei der Ausweitung der ökologisch/biologisch bewirtschafteten landwirtschaftlichen Nutzfläche gemäß Artikel 8 Absatz 1 Buchstabe d.

Or. de

Amendment 1555

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjärd

Proposal for a regulation

Article 10 – paragraph 2 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

2. The **annual** progress and implementation report shall include:

Amendment

2. The progress and implementation report shall include:

Or. en

Amendment 1556

Franc Bogovič, Marlene Mortler, Ljudmila Novak, Alexander Bernhuber

Proposal for a regulation

Article 10 – paragraph 2 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

2. The **annual** progress and implementation report shall include:

Amendment

2. The progress and implementation report shall include:

Amendment 1557

Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Lena Düpont, Jens Gieseke, Angelika Niebler, Andreas Schwab, Ralf Seekatz, David McAllister, Christine Schneider, Stefan Berger, Sabine Verheyen, Rainer Wieland, Monika Hohlmeier, Michael Gahler, Christian Doleschal, Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Alexander Bernhuber, Daniel Caspary, Herbert Dorfmann, Simone Schmiedtbauer

Proposal for a regulation

Article 10 – paragraph 2 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

a) alle Entwicklungen der Fortschritte auf dem Weg zur Erreichung der nationalen Reduktionsziele bis 2030 gemäß Anhang II Teil 1, berechnet nach der in Anhang I dargelegten Methode als Differenz zwischen dem Durchschnitt der Jahre 2015-2017 und dem Jahr, das 20 Monate vor der Veröffentlichung endet;

entfällt

Amendment 1558

Dan-Ştefan Motreanu, Carmen Avram, Marian-Jean Marinescu, Daniel Buda

Proposal for a regulation

Article 10 – paragraph 2 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(a) all trends in progress towards achieving the national **2030 reduction **targets** as set out in Part 1 of Annex II, calculated in accordance with the methodology set out in Annex I as the difference between the average of the years 2015-2017 and the year ending 20 months prior to the publication;**

(a) all trends in progress towards achieving the national **2035 reduction **contributions** as set out in Part 1 of Annex II, calculated in accordance with the methodology set out in Annex I as the difference between the average of the years 2015-2017 and the year ending 20 months prior to the publication;**

Amendment 1559

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjård

Proposal for a regulation

Article 10 – paragraph 2 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) all trends in progress towards achieving the **national 2030** reduction targets as set out in Part 1 of Annex II, calculated in accordance with the methodology set out in Annex I as the difference between the average of the years **2015-2017** and the year ending 20 months prior to the publication;

Amendment

(a) all trends in progress towards achieving the **Union wide 2035** reduction targets as set out in Part 1 of Annex II, calculated in accordance with the methodology set out in Annex I as the difference between the average of the years **2011-2018** and the year ending 20 months prior to the publication;

Or. en

Amendment 1560

Esther de Lange

Proposal for a regulation

Article 10 – paragraph 2 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) all trends in progress towards achieving the national **2030** reduction targets as set out in Part 1 of Annex II, calculated in accordance with the methodology set out in Annex I as the difference between the average of the years **2015-2017** and the year ending 20 months prior to the publication;

Amendment

(a) all trends in progress towards achieving the national **2035** reduction targets as set out in Part 1 of Annex II, calculated in accordance with the methodology set out in Annex I as the difference between the average of the years **2010-2020** and the year ending 20 months prior to the publication;

Or. en

Amendment 1561

Jan Huitema, Emma Wiesner, Erik Poulsen, Billy Kelleher, Asger Christensen

Proposal for a regulation

Article 10 – paragraph 2 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) all trends in progress towards achieving the national 2030 reduction targets as set out in Part 1 of Annex II, calculated in accordance with the methodology set out in Annex I as the difference between the average of the years 2015-**2017** and the year ending 20 months prior to the publication;

Amendment

(a) all trends in progress towards achieving the national 2030 reduction targets as set out in Part 1 of Annex II, calculated in accordance with the methodology set out in Annex I as the difference between the average of the years **2013**-2015 and the year ending 20 months prior to the publication;

Or. en

Amendment 1562

Ondřej Knotek, Martin Hlaváček

Proposal for a regulation

Article 10 – paragraph 2 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) all trends in progress towards achieving the national 2030 reduction targets as set out in Part 1 of Annex II, calculated in accordance with the methodology set out in Annex I as the difference between the average of the years **2015-2017** and the year ending 20 months prior to the publication;

Amendment

(a) all trends in progress towards achieving the national 2030 reduction targets as set out in Part 1 of Annex II, calculated in accordance with the methodology set out in Annex I as the difference between the average of the years **2011-2013** and the year ending 20 months prior to the publication;

Or. en

Amendment 1563

Christine Schneider, Ralf Seekatz, Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Sven Simon, Angelika Niebler, Monika Hohlmeier, Christian Doleschal, Franc Bogovič, Marlene Mortler, Daniel Caspary, Rainer Wieland, Sabine Verheyen, Christophe Hansen

Proposal for a regulation

Article 10 – paragraph 2 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) all trends in progress towards achieving the national 2030 reduction

Amendment

(a) all trends in progress towards achieving the national 2030 reduction

targets as set out in Part 1 of Annex II, calculated in accordance with the methodology set out in Annex I as the difference between the average of the years **2015-2017** and the year ending 20 months prior to the publication;

targets as set out in Part 1 of Annex II, calculated in accordance with the methodology set out in Annex I as the difference between the average of the years **2011-2013** and the year ending 20 months prior to the publication;

Or. en

Amendment 1564

Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Peter Lundgren, Hermann Tertsch, Margarita de la Pisa Carrión, Mazaly Aguilar, Evžen Tošenovský, Sergio Berlato, Jorge Buxadé Villalba, Eugen Jurzyca, Pietro Fiocchi, Charlie Weimers, Johan Nissinen

Proposal for a regulation

Article 10 – paragraph 2 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) all trends in progress towards achieving the national 2030 reduction targets as set out in Part 1 of Annex II, calculated in accordance with the methodology set out in Annex I as the difference between the average of the years **2015-2017** and the year ending 20 months prior to the publication;

Amendment

(a) all trends in progress towards achieving the national 2030 reduction targets as set out in Part 1 of Annex II, calculated in accordance with the methodology set out in Annex I as the difference between the average of the years **2011-2013** and the year ending 20 months prior to the publication;

Or. en

Amendment 1565

Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan

Proposal for a regulation

Article 10 – paragraph 2 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) all trends in progress towards achieving the national 2030 reduction targets as set out in Part 1 of Annex II, calculated in accordance with the methodology set out in Annex I as the difference between the average of the years **2015-2017** and the year ending **20** months

Amendment

(a) all trends in progress towards achieving the national 2030 reduction targets as set out in Part 1 of Annex II, calculated in accordance with the methodology set out in Annex I as the difference between the average of the years **2018-2019** and the year ending **8** months

prior to the publication;

prior to the publication;

Or. en

Amendment 1566

Peter Liese

Proposal for a regulation

Article 10 – paragraph 2 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) all trends in progress towards achieving the national **2030** reduction targets as set out in Part 1 of Annex II, calculated in accordance with the methodology set out in Annex I as the difference between the average of the years 2015-**2017** and the year ending 20 months prior to the publication;

Amendment

(a) all trends in progress towards achieving the national reduction targets as set out in Part 1 of Annex II, calculated in accordance with the methodology set out in Annex I as the difference between the average of the years **2013**-2015 and the year ending 20 months prior to the publication;

Or. en

Amendment 1567

Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Lena Düpont, Jens Gieseke, Angelika Niebler, Andreas Schwab, Ralf Seekatz, David McAllister, Christine Schneider, Stefan Berger, Sabine Verheyen, Rainer Wieland, Monika Hohlmeier, Michael Gahler, Christian Doleschal, Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Alexander Bernhuber, Daniel Caspary, Herbert Dorfmann, Simone Schmiedtbauer

Proposal for a regulation

Article 10 – paragraph 2 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

b) alle Entwicklungen der Fortschritte auf dem Weg zur Erreichung der nationalen Richtziele gemäß Artikel 9 Absatz 2 Buchstabe a, Artikel 9 Absatz 3 Buchstabe a und Artikel 9 Absatz 4, die jährlich als Differenz zwischen dem Umfang der Verwendung in den drei Kalenderjahren vor Erlass des nationalen Aktionsplans gemäß Artikel 9 Absatz 1 und dem Kalenderjahr, das 20 Monate vor

Amendment

entfällt

der Veröffentlichung des jeweiligen jährlichen Fortschritts- und Durchführungsberichts endet, berechnet werden;

Or. de

Amendment 1568

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjård

Proposal for a regulation

Article 10 – paragraph 2 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) all trends in progress towards achieving national indicative targets set out in Article 9(2), point (a), Article 9(3), point (a), and Article 9(4)), calculated annually as the difference between the extent of use in the 3 calendar years preceding the adoption of the national action plan in accordance with Article 9(1) and the calendar year ending 20 months prior to the publication of the relevant annual progress and implementation report; **deleted**

Or. en

Amendment 1569

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjård

Proposal for a regulation

Article 10 – paragraph 2 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) all trends in progress towards achieving *national indicative* targets set out in Article 9(2), point (a), Article 9(3), **(b) all trends in progress towards achieving *quantitative* targets *and measures* set out in Article 9(2), point (a),**

point (a), and Article 9(4)), ***calculated annually as the difference between the extent of use in the 3 calendar years preceding the adoption of the national action plan in accordance with Article 9(1) and the calendar year ending 20 months prior to the publication of the relevant annual progress and implementation report;***

Article 9(3), point (a), and Article **8(3) a-**
d;

Or. en

Amendment 1570
Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan

Proposal for a regulation
Article 10 – paragraph 2 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) all trends in progress towards achieving national indicative targets set out in Article 9(2), point (a), Article 9(3), point (a), and Article 9(4)), calculated annually as the difference between the extent of use in the 3 calendar years preceding the adoption of the national action plan in accordance with Article 9(1) and the calendar year ending **20** months prior to the publication of the relevant annual progress and implementation report;

Amendment

(b) all trends in progress towards achieving national indicative targets set out in Article 9(2), point (a), Article 9(3), point (a), and Article 9(4)), calculated annually as the difference between the extent of use in the 3 calendar years preceding the adoption of the national action plan in accordance with Article 9(1) and the calendar year ending **8** months prior to the publication of the relevant annual progress and implementation report;

Or. en

Amendment 1571
Franc Bogovič, Marlene Mortler, Ljudmila Novak, Alexander Bernhuber

Proposal for a regulation
Article 10 – paragraph 2 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) all trends in progress towards achieving national indicative targets set out in Article 9(2), point (a), Article 9(3), point

Amendment

(b) all trends in progress towards achieving national indicative targets set out in Article 9(2), point (a), Article 9(3), point

(a), and Article 9(4)), calculated annually as the difference between the extent of use in the 3 calendar years preceding the adoption of the national action plan in accordance with Article 9(1) and the calendar year ending 20 months prior to the publication of the relevant **annual** progress and implementation report;

(a), and Article 9(4)), calculated annually as the difference between the extent of use in the 3 calendar years preceding the adoption of the national action plan in accordance with Article 9(1) and the calendar year ending 20 months prior to the publication of the relevant progress and implementation report;

Or. en

Amendment 1572

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation

Article 10 – paragraph 2 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) all trends in progress towards achieving national **indicative** targets set out in Article 9(2), point (a), Article 9(3), point (a), and Article 9(4)), calculated annually as the difference between the extent of use in the 3 calendar years preceding the adoption of the national action plan in accordance with Article 9(1) and the calendar year ending 20 months prior to the publication of the relevant annual progress and implementation report;

Amendment

(b) all trends in progress towards achieving national targets set out in Article 9(2), point (a), Article 9(3), point (a), and Article 9(4)), calculated annually as the difference between the extent of use in the 3 calendar years preceding the adoption of the national action plan in accordance with Article 9(1) and the calendar year ending 20 months prior to the publication of the relevant annual progress and implementation report;

Or. en

Amendment 1573

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjård

Proposal for a regulation

Article 10 – paragraph 2 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

(c) **all other quantitative data in relation to implementation of this**

Amendment

deleted

Regulation as set out in Part 2 of Annex II;

Or. en

Amendment 1574

Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Lena Düpont, Jens Gieseke, Angelika Niebler, Andreas Schwab, Ralf Seekatz, David McAllister, Christine Schneider, Stefan Berger, Sabine Verheyen, Rainer Wieland, Monika Hohlmeier, Michael Gahler, Christian Doleschal, Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Alexander Bernhuber, Daniel Caspary, Herbert Dorfmann, Simone Schmiedtbauer

Proposal for a regulation

Article 10 – paragraph 2 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

**c) *alle anderen quantitativen Daten
gemäß Anhang II Teil 2 in Bezug auf die
Durchführung dieser Verordnung;*** ***entfällt***

Or. de

Amendment 1575

Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan

Proposal for a regulation

Article 10 – paragraph 2 – point c a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

***(c a) for Member States with outermost
regions, all other quantitative data in
relation to implementation of this
Regulation in these regions as set out in
Part 2 of Annex II;***

Or. en

Amendment 1576

Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Lena Düpont, Jens Gieseke, Angelika Niebler, Andreas Schwab, Ralf Seekatz, David McAllister, Christine Schneider, Stefan Berger, Sabine Verheyen, Rainer Wieland, Monika Hohlmeier, Michael Gahler, Christian Doleschal,

Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Alexander Bernhuber, Daniel Caspary, Herbert Dorfmann, Simone Schmiedtbauer

Proposal for a regulation

Article 10 – paragraph 2 – point d

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

d) das Ergebnis der gemäß Artikel 36 Absatz 1 durchgeführten Bewertung der Ergebnisse der einzelnen harmonisierten Risikoindikatoren; *entfällt*

Or. de

Amendment 1577

Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Lena Düpont, Jens Gieseke, Angelika Niebler, Andreas Schwab, Ralf Seekatz, David McAllister, Christine Schneider, Stefan Berger, Sabine Verheyen, Rainer Wieland, Monika Hohlmeier, Michael Gahler, Christian Doleschal, Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Alexander Bernhuber, Daniel Caspary, Herbert Dorfmann, Simone Schmiedtbauer

Proposal for a regulation

Article 10 – paragraph 2 – point e

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

e) alle Entwicklungen der Fortschritte bei der Ausweitung der ökologisch/biologisch bewirtschafteten landwirtschaftlichen Nutzfläche gemäß Artikel 8 Absatz 1 Buchstabe d. *entfällt*

Or. de

Amendment 1578

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Jorge Buxadé Villalba, Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjärd

Proposal for a regulation

Article 10 – paragraph 2 – point e

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(e) all trends in progress towards achieving an increase in the utilised agricultural area under organic farming referred to in Article 8(1), point (d). **deleted**

Or. en

Amendment 1579

Ulrike Müller, Jan Huitema, Andreas Glück

Proposal for a regulation

Article 10 – paragraph 2 – point e

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(e) all trends in progress towards achieving an increase in the utilised agricultural area under organic farming referred to in Article 8(1), point (d).

(e) progress regarding measures referred to in Article 8(1), point (g), (h), (ha(new)) and (i).

(Point (ha(new)) corresponds to an amendment on Article 8 Paragraph 1.)

Or. en

Justification

A focus on organic farming over other practices that contribute to volume- and risk-reduction does not help to validate the quality of national action plans. The target of achieving 25% organic farming is an indicative target not set in legislation. Member States should not be legally obliged to link national action plans to the target. Member States' efforts to support the uptake and incentivise the development of innovation, as well as to improving the functioning of the approval and authorisation process are more relevant as indicators of the success of their national action plans.

Amendment 1580

Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Lena Düpont, Jens Gieseke, Angelika Niebler, Andreas Schwab, Ralf Seekatz, David McAllister, Christine Schneider, Stefan Berger, Sabine Verheyen, Rainer Wieland, Monika Hohlmeier, Michael Gahler, Christian Doleschal, Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Alexander Bernhuber, Daniel Caspary, Herbert Dorfmann, Simone Schmiedtbauer

Proposal for a regulation
Article 10 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(3) Jeder Mitgliedstaat veröffentlicht seinen jährlichen Fortschritts- und Durchführungsbericht auf einer Website und unterrichtet die Kommission darüber.

entfällt

Or. de

Amendment 1581

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjärd

Proposal for a regulation
Article 10 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

3. Each Member State shall publish its annual progress and implementation report on a website and inform the Commission thereof.

3. **After submission to the European Commission**, each Member State shall publish its annual progress and implementation report on a website and inform the Commission thereof.

Or. en

Amendment 1582

Franc Bogovič, Marlene Mortler, Ljudmila Novak, Alexander Bernhuber

Proposal for a regulation
Article 10 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

3. Each Member State shall publish its **annual** progress and implementation report on a website and inform the Commission thereof.

3. Each Member State shall publish its progress and implementation report on a website and inform the Commission thereof.

Or. en

Amendment 1583

Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Lena Düpont, Jens Gieseke, Angelika Niebler, Andreas Schwab, Ralf Seekatz, David McAllister, Christine Schneider, Stefan Berger, Sabine Verheyen, Rainer Wieland, Monika Hohlmeier, Michael Gahler, Christian Doleschal, Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Alexander Bernhuber, Daniel Caspary, Herbert Dorfmann, Simone Schmiedtbauer

Proposal for a regulation

Article 10 – paragraph 4 – subparagraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Die Kommission kann einen Mitgliedstaat auffordern, weitere Angaben in seinen jährlichen Fortschritts- und Durchführungsbericht aufzunehmen. ***entfällt***

Or. de

Amendment 1584

Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Peter Lundgren, Hermann Tertsch, Margarita de la Pisa Carrión, Mazaly Aguilar, Evžen Tošenovský, Sergio Berlato, Jorge Buxadé Villalba, Eugen Jurzyca, Pietro Fiocchi, Charlie Weimers, Alexander Bernhuber, Johan Nissinen

Proposal for a regulation

Article 10 – paragraph 4 – subparagraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

The Commission may request a Member State to include further details in its annual progress and implementation report. ***deleted***

Or. en

Amendment 1585

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjård

Proposal for a regulation

Article 10 – paragraph 4 – subparagraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

The Commission may request a Member State to include further details in its annual progress and implementation report. ***deleted***

Or. en

Amendment 1586
Mathilde Androuët

Proposal for a regulation
Article 10 – paragraph 4 – subparagraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

La Commission peut demander à un État membre d'étoffer son rapport annuel sur les progrès et l'exécution. ***supprimé***

Or. fr

Amendment 1587
Franco Bogovič, Marlene Mortler, Ljudmila Novak, Alexander Bernhuber

Proposal for a regulation
Article 10 – paragraph 4 – subparagraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

The Commission may request a Member State to include further details in its ***annual*** progress and implementation report.

The Commission may request a Member State to include further details in its progress and implementation report.

Or. en

Amendment 1588
Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Lena Düpont, Jens Gieseke, Angelika Niebler, Andreas Schwab, Ralf Seekatz, David McAllister, Christine Schneider, Stefan Berger, Sabine Verheyen, Rainer Wieland, Monika Hohlmeier, Michael Gahler, Christian Doleschal, Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Alexander Bernhuber, Daniel Caspary, Herbert

Dorfmann, Simone Schmiedtbauer

Proposal for a regulation

Article 10 – paragraph 4 – subparagraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Innerhalb von zwei Monaten nach Erhalt der Aufforderung der Kommission kommt der betreffende Mitgliedstaat der Aufforderung nach und veröffentlicht seine Antwort auf der in Absatz 3 genannten Website. ***entfällt***

Or. de

Amendment 1589

Alexandr Vondra, Hermann Tertsch, Margarita de la Pisa Carrión, Mazaly Aguilar, Evžen Tošenovský, Sergio Berlato, Jorge Buxadé Villalba, Eugen Jurzyca, Pietro Fiocchi, Charlie Weimers, Alexander Bernhuber, Johan Nissinen

Proposal for a regulation

Article 10 – paragraph 4 – subparagraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Within 2 months of receipt of the Commission's request, the Member State concerned shall respond to the request and shall publish its response on the website referred to in paragraph 3. ***deleted***

Or. en

Amendment 1590

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjärd

Proposal for a regulation

Article 10 – paragraph 4 – subparagraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Within 2 months of receipt of the Commission's request, the Member State ***deleted***

*concerned shall respond to the request
and shall publish its response on the
website referred to in paragraph 3.*

Or. en

Amendment 1591
Mathilde Androuët

Proposal for a regulation
Article 10 – paragraph 4 – subparagraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

*L'État membre concerné répond à la
demande de la Commission dans un délai
de deux mois à compter de la réception de
la demande et publie sa réponse sur le site
web visé au paragraphe 3.*

supprimé

Or. fr

Amendment 1592
Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan

Proposal for a regulation
Article 10 – paragraph 4 – subparagraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Within 2 months of receipt of the
Commission's request, the Member State
concerned shall respond to the request and
shall publish *its response* on the website
referred to in paragraph 3.

Within 2 months of receipt of the
Commission's request, the Member State
concerned shall respond to the request and
shall publish *the requested details* on the
website referred to in paragraph 3.

Or. en

Amendment 1593
**Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Lena Düpont, Jens Gieseke, Angelika Niebler, Andreas
Schwab, Ralf Seekatz, David McAllister, Christine Schneider, Stefan Berger, Sabine
Verheyen, Rainer Wieland, Monika Hohlmeier, Michael Gahler, Christian Doleschal,
Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Alexander Bernhuber, Daniel Caspary, Herbert**

Dorfmann, Simone Schmiedtbauer

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 10 – paragraph 5**

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(5) **Die Kommission veröffentlicht die jährlichen Fortschritts- und Durchführungsberichte der Mitgliedstaaten auf einer Website.** **entfällt**

Or. de

Amendment 1594

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjärd

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 10 – paragraph 5**

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

5. The Commission shall publish **annual** progress and implementation reports of the Member States on a website.

5. The Commission shall publish progress and implementation reports of the Member States on a website.

Or. en

Amendment 1595

Franc Bogovič, Marlene Mortler, Ljudmila Novak

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 10 – paragraph 5**

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

5. The Commission shall publish **annual** progress and implementation reports of the Member States on a website.

5. The Commission shall publish progress and implementation reports of the Member States on a website.

Or. en

Amendment 1596

Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Lena Düpont, Jens Gieseke, Angelika Niebler, Andreas Schwab, Ralf Seekatz, David McAllister, Christine Schneider, Stefan Berger, Sabine Verheyen, Rainer Wieland, Monika Hohlmeier, Michael Gahler, Christian Doleschal, Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Alexander Bernhuber, Daniel Caspary, Herbert Dorfmann, Simone Schmiedtbauer

Proposal for a regulation

Article 10 – paragraph 6

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(6) *Die Kommission ist befugt, gemäß Artikel 40 delegierte Rechtsakte zur Änderung des Anhangs II zwecks Berücksichtigung von Daten zu erlassen, die für die nachhaltige Verwendung von Pflanzenschutzmitteln relevant sind.* **entfällt**

Or. de

Amendment 1597

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk

Proposal for a regulation

Article 10 – paragraph 6

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

6. The Commission is empowered to adopt **delegated** acts in accordance with Article 40 amending Annex II in order to take into account data relevant to the sustainable use of plant protection products.

6. The Commission is empowered to adopt **implementing** acts in accordance with Article 40 amending Annex II in order to take into account data relevant to the sustainable use of plant protection products.

Or. en

Amendment 1598

Martin Hojsík, Michal Wiezik, María Soraya Rodríguez Ramos, Róza Thun und Hohenstein, Frédérique Ries

Proposal for a regulation
Article 10 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article 10 a

Access to justice

- 1. Member States shall ensure that members of the public, in accordance with national law, that have a sufficient interest or that maintain the impairment of a right, have access to a review procedure before a court of law, or an independent and impartial body established by law, to challenge the substantive or procedural legality of all decisions, acts or omissions concerning national reduction targets and national action plans and any failures to act of the competent authorities.***
- 2. Member States shall determine what constitutes a sufficient interest and impairment of a right, consistently with the objective of providing the public with wide access to justice. For the purposes of paragraph 1, any non-governmental organization promoting environmental protection and meeting any requirements under national law shall be deemed to have rights capable of being impaired and their interest shall be deemed sufficient.***
- 3. To have standing to participate in the review procedure shall not be conditional on the role that the member of the public concerned played during a participatory phase of the decision-making procedures related to establishment of national reduction targets or national action plans.***
- 4. The review procedures shall be fair, equitable, timely and free of charge or not prohibitively expensive, and shall provide adequate and effective redress mechanisms and remedies, including injunctive relief where necessary.***
- 5. Member States shall ensure that practical information is made available to***

the public on access to the administrative and judicial review procedures referred to in this Article.

Or. en

Justification

The amendment on Access to justice follows other sectoral legislation to which provisions on access to justice were inserted to improve its enforceability (e.g. Air Quality Directive or LULUCF).

Amendment 1599

Sylvia Limmer

Proposal for a regulation

Article 11

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

[...]

entfällt

Or. de

Amendment 1600

Franc Bogovič, Marlene Mortler, Ljudmila Novak, Alexander Bernhuber

Proposal for a regulation

Article 11 – title

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Commission's analysis of ***annual*** progress and implementation reports

Commission's analysis of progress and implementation reports

Or. en

Amendment 1601

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Jorge Buxadé Villalba, Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjärd

Proposal for a regulation
Article 11 – title

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Commission's analysis of **annual** progress and implementation reports

Commission's analysis of progress and implementation reports

Or. en

Amendment 1602

Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Lena Düpont, Jens Gieseke, Angelika Niebler, Andreas Schwab, Ralf Seekatz, David McAllister, Christine Schneider, Stefan Berger, Sabine Verheyen, Rainer Wieland, Monika Hohlmeier, Michael Gahler, Christian Doleschal, Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Alexander Bernhuber, Daniel Caspary, Herbert Dorfmann, Simone Schmiedtbauer

Proposal for a regulation
Article 11 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(1) Bis zum... [Amt für Veröffentlichungen: bitte Datum einfügen – 2 Jahre nach Geltungsbeginn dieser Verordnung] und danach alle zwei Jahre bis 2030 veröffentlicht die Kommission auf einer Website eine Analyse folgender Punkte:

entfällt

a) der Entwicklungen der Fortschritte auf dem Weg zur Verwirklichung der Reduktionsziele der Union bis 2030;

b) der Fortschritte der Mitgliedstaaten auf dem Weg zur Erreichung der nationalen Reduktionsziele bis 2030.

Or. de

Amendment 1603

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjärd

Proposal for a regulation

Article 11 – paragraph 1 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

1. By ... [OP: please insert the date – 2 years after the date of application of this Regulation], and every 2 years thereafter until **2030**, the Commission shall publish on a website an analysis of:

Amendment

1. By ... [OP: please insert the date – 2 years after the date of application of this Regulation], and every 2 years thereafter until **2035**, the Commission shall publish on a website **of the Commission** an analysis of:

Or. en

Amendment 1604

Dan-Ștefan Motreanu, Carmen Avram, Marian-Jean Marinescu, Daniel Buda

Proposal for a regulation

Article 11 – paragraph 1 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

1. By ... [OP: please insert the date – 2 years after the date of application of this Regulation], and every 2 years thereafter until **2030**, the Commission shall publish on a website an analysis of:

Amendment

1. By ... [OP: please insert the date – 2 years after the date of application of this Regulation], and every 2 years thereafter until **2035**, the Commission shall publish on a website an analysis of:

Or. en

Amendment 1605

Esther de Lange

Proposal for a regulation

Article 11 – paragraph 1 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

1. By ... [OP: please insert the date – 2 years after the date of application of this Regulation], and every 2 years thereafter until **2030**, the Commission shall publish on a website an analysis of:

Amendment

1. By ... [OP: please insert the date – 2 years after the date of application of this Regulation], and every 2 years thereafter until **2035**, the Commission shall publish on a website an analysis of:

Amendment 1606

Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan

Proposal for a regulation

Article 11 – paragraph 1 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

1. By ... [OP: please insert the date – 2 years after the ***date of application*** of this Regulation], and every 2 years thereafter until 2030, the Commission shall publish on a website an analysis of:

Amendment

1. By ... [OP: please insert the date – 2 years after the ***entry into force*** of this Regulation], and every 2 years thereafter until 2030, the Commission shall publish on a website an analysis of:

Amendment 1607

Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Lena Düpont, Jens Gieseke, Angelika Niebler, Andreas Schwab, Ralf Seekatz, David McAllister, Christine Schneider, Stefan Berger, Sabine Verheyen, Rainer Wieland, Monika Hohlmeier, Michael Gahler, Christian Doleschal, Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Alexander Bernhuber, Daniel Caspary, Herbert Dorfmann, Simone Schmiedtbauer

Proposal for a regulation

Article 11 – paragraph 1 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

a) ***der Entwicklungen der Fortschritte auf dem Weg zur Verwirklichung der Reduktionsziele der Union bis 2030;***

Amendment

entfällt

Amendment 1608

Martin Hojsík, Michal Wiezik, María Soraya Rodríguez Ramos, Frédérique Ries

Proposal for a regulation

Article 11 – paragraph 1 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(a) the trends in progress towards the Union 2030 reduction targets;

(a) the trends in progress towards the Union 2030 reduction targets, ***uptake of biological control agents and compliance with the integrated pest management hierarchy***;

Or. en

Amendment 1609

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjård

Proposal for a regulation

Article 11 – paragraph 1 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(a) the trends in progress towards the Union **2030** reduction targets;

(a) the trends in progress towards the Union **2035** reduction targets;

Or. en

Amendment 1610

Esther de Lange

Proposal for a regulation

Article 11 – paragraph 1 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(a) the trends in progress towards the Union **2030** reduction targets;

(a) the trends in progress towards the Union **2035** reduction targets;

Or. en

Amendment 1611

Dan-Ștefan Motreanu, Carmen Avram, Marian-Jean Marinescu, Daniel Buda

Proposal for a regulation

Article 11 – paragraph 1 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(a) the trends in progress towards the Union **2030** reduction targets;

(a) the trends in progress towards the Union **2035** reduction targets;

Or. en

Amendment 1612

Peter Liese

Proposal for a regulation

Article 11 – paragraph 1 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(a) the trends in progress towards the Union **2030** reduction targets;

(a) the trends in progress towards the Union reduction targets;

Or. en

Amendment 1613

Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Lena Düpont, Jens Gieseke, Angelika Niebler, Andreas Schwab, Ralf Seekatz, David McAllister, Christine Schneider, Stefan Berger, Sabine Verheyen, Rainer Wieland, Monika Hohlmeier, Michael Gahler, Christian Doleschal, Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Alexander Bernhuber, Daniel Caspary, Herbert Dorfmann, Simone Schmiedtbauer

Proposal for a regulation

Article 11 – paragraph 1 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

b) der Fortschritte der Mitgliedstaaten auf dem Weg zur Erreichung der nationalen Reduktionsziele bis 2030.

entfällt

Or. de

Amendment 1614

Dan-Ștefan Motreanu, Carmen Avram, Marian-Jean Marinescu, Daniel Buda

Proposal for a regulation

Article 11 – paragraph 1 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) Member States' progress towards achieving the national **2030** reduction **targets**.

Amendment

(b) Member States' progress towards achieving the national **2035** reduction **contributions**.

Or. en

Amendment 1615

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjård

Proposal for a regulation

Article 11 – paragraph 1 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) Member States' **progress** towards achieving the **national 2030** reduction targets.

Amendment

(b) Member States' **contribution** towards achieving the **Union-wide 2035** reduction targets.

Or. en

Amendment 1616

Esther de Lange

Proposal for a regulation

Article 11 – paragraph 1 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) Member States' progress towards achieving the national **2030** reduction targets.

Amendment

(b) Member States' progress towards achieving the national **2035** reduction targets.

Or. en

Amendment 1617

Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław Kalinowski, Joanna Kopcińska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

Proposal for a regulation

Article 11 – paragraph 1 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) Member States’ **progress towards** achieving the **national** 2030 reduction targets.

Amendment

(b) **(b)** Member States’ **contributions** **in** achieving the **Union** 2030 reduction targets.

Or. en

Amendment 1618

Peter Liese

Proposal for a regulation

Article 11 – paragraph 1 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) Member States’ progress towards achieving the national **2030** reduction targets.

Amendment

(b) Member States’ progress towards achieving the national reduction targets.

Or. en

Amendment 1619

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation

Article 11 – paragraph 1 – point b a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b a) the trends in progress towards the Union 2035 phase-out target;

Or. en

Amendment 1620

Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław Kalinowski, Joanna Kopcińska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

Proposal for a regulation

Article 11 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

2. As from ... [OP: please insert the date – 4 years after the date of application of this Regulation], the Commission shall include in the analysis referred to in paragraph 1 an analysis of the information to be provided by Member States in accordance with Article 10(2), points (a), (b) and (c). deleted

Or. en

Amendment 1621

Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Lena Düpont, Jens Gieseke, Angelika Niebler, Andreas Schwab, Ralf Seekatz, David McAllister, Christine Schneider, Stefan Berger, Sabine Verheyen, Rainer Wieland, Monika Hohlmeier, Michael Gahler, Christian Doleschal, Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Alexander Bernhuber, Daniel Caspary, Herbert Dorfmann, Simone Schmiedtbauer

Proposal for a regulation

Article 11 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(2) Ab dem [Amt für Veröffentlichungen: bitte Datum einfügen – 4 Jahre nach Geltungsbeginn dieser Verordnung] nimmt die Kommission in die Analyse gemäß Absatz 1 auch eine Analyse der von den Mitgliedstaaten gemäß Artikel 10 Absatz 2 Buchstaben a, b und c bereitzustellenden Informationen auf. entfällt

Or. de

Amendment 1622
Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan

Proposal for a regulation
Article 11 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. As from ... [OP: please insert the date – 4 years after the **date of application** of this Regulation], the Commission shall include in the analysis referred to in paragraph 1 an analysis of the information to be provided by Member States in accordance with Article 10(2), points (a), (b) and (c).

Amendment

2. As from ... [OP: please insert the date – 4 years after the **entry into force** of this Regulation], the Commission shall include in the analysis referred to in paragraph 1 an analysis of the information to be provided by Member States in accordance with Article 10(2), points (a), (b) and (c).

Or. en

Amendment 1623
Mathilde Androuët

Proposal for a regulation
Article 11 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

3. *À la suite de l'analyse visée au paragraphe 2, la Commission peut adresser une recommandation à tout État membre pour l'inviter à prendre l'une des mesures suivantes:*

a) adopter des mesures supplémentaires;

b) élever le niveau de l'un ou l'autre des objectifs indicatifs nationaux fixés conformément à l'article 9, paragraphe 2, point a), à l'article 9, paragraphe 3, point a), et à l'article 9, paragraphe 4.

Amendment

supprimé

Or. fr

Amendment 1624
Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław

Kalinowski, Joanna Kopcińska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

Proposal for a regulation
Article 11 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

3. Following the analysis referred to in paragraph 2, the Commission may make a recommendation to a Member State to take any of the following actions: **deleted**

- (a) take additional measures;**
- (b) increase the level of ambition of any of national indicative target set out in Article 9(2), point (a), Article 9(3), point (a), and Article 9(4).**

Or. en

Amendment 1625

Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Lena Düpont, Jens Gieseke, Angelika Niebler, Andreas Schwab, Ralf Seekatz, David McAllister, Christine Schneider, Stefan Berger, Sabine Verheyen, Rainer Wieland, Monika Hohlmeier, Michael Gahler, Christian Doleschal, Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Alexander Bernhuber, Daniel Caspary, Herbert Dorfmann, Simone Schmiedtbauer

Proposal for a regulation
Article 11 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(3) Aufgrund der in Absatz 2 genannten Analyse kann die Kommission einem Mitgliedstaat empfehlen, einen der folgenden Schritte einzuleiten: **entfällt**

- a) Einleitung zusätzlicher Maßnahmen;**
- b) Anhebung eines der nationalen Richtziele gemäß Artikel 9 Absatz 2 Buchstabe a, Artikel 9 Absatz 3 Buchstabe a und Artikel 9 Absatz 4.**

Or. de

Amendment 1626

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Jorge Buxadé Villalba, Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjärd

Proposal for a regulation

Article 11 – paragraph 3 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

3. Following the analysis referred to in paragraph 2, the Commission may make a recommendation to a Member State to take ***any of the following actions***:

3. Following the analysis referred to in paragraph 2, the Commission may make a recommendation to a Member State to take ***additional measures***.

Or. en

Amendment 1627

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Jorge Buxadé Villalba, Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjärd

Proposal for a regulation

Article 11 – paragraph 3 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(a) take additional measures;

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 1628

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Jorge Buxadé Villalba, Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjärd

Proposal for a regulation

Article 11 – paragraph 3 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) increase the level of ambition of any of national indicative target set out in Article 9(2), point (a), Article 9(3), point (a), and Article 9(4).

deleted

Amendment 1629

Jessica Polfjärd

Proposal for a regulation

Article 11 – paragraph 3 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) increase the level of ambition of any of national indicative target set out in Article 9(2), point (a), Article 9(3), point (a), and Article 9(4).

Amendment

(b) increase **or decrease** the level of ambition of any of national indicative target set out in Article 9(2), point (a), Article 9(3), point (a), and Article 9(4).

Or. en

Amendment 1630

Michèle Rivasi, Benoît Biteau

Proposal for a regulation

Article 11 – paragraph 3 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

3 a. By... [OP please insert the date = first day of the month following 12 months after the date of entry into force of this Regulation], the Commission shall present a report elaborating ideas on how to involve buyers of agricultural commodities as defined in Directive 2019/633EC in the implementation of the reduction targets. Those findings shall be considered in the recommendations referred to in paragraph 3.

Or. en

Amendment 1631

Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Lena Düpont, Jens Gieseke, Angelika Niebler, Andreas Schwab, Ralf Seekatz, David McAllister, Christine Schneider, Stefan Berger, Sabine Verheyen, Rainer Wieland, Monika Hohlmeier, Michael Gahler, Christian Doleschal,

Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Alexander Bernhuber, Daniel Caspary, Herbert Dorfmann, Simone Schmiedtbauer

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 11 – paragraph 4**

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(4) *Hat ein Mitgliedstaat von der Kommission gemäß Absatz 3 eine Empfehlung erhalten, zusätzliche Maßnahmen einzuleiten, legt er in seinem darauf folgenden jährlichen Fortschritts- und Durchführungsbericht eine der folgenden Informationen vor:* **entfällt**

- a) *eine Beschreibung der als Reaktion auf die Empfehlung eingeleiteten Maßnahmen;***
- b) *die Begründung, warum er der Empfehlung der Kommission nicht nachgekommen ist.***

Or. de

**Amendment 1632
Mathilde Androuët**

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 11 – paragraph 4**

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

4. *Tout État membre qui a reçu une recommandation de la Commission l’invitant à adopter des mesures supplémentaires conformément au paragraphe 3 fournit l’un des éléments d’information suivants dans son rapport annuel ultérieur sur les progrès et l’exécution:* **supprimé**

- a) *une description des mesures prises en réponse à la recommandation;***
- b) *les raisons de ne pas donner suite à la recommandation de la Commission.***

Amendment 1633

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjärd

Proposal for a regulation

Article 11 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

4. A Member State that has received a recommendation from the Commission to take additional measures in accordance with paragraph 3 shall provide one of the following pieces of information in its subsequent annual progress and implementation report: **deleted**

(a) a description of measures taken as a response to the recommendation;

(b) the reasons for not following the Commission's recommendation.

Or. en

Amendment 1634

Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław Kalinowski, Joanna Kopcińska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

Proposal for a regulation

Article 11 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

4. A Member State that has received a recommendation from the Commission to take additional measures in accordance with paragraph 3 shall provide one of the following pieces of information in its subsequent annual progress and implementation report: **deleted**

(a) a description of measures taken as a response to the recommendation;

(b) the reasons for not following the Commission's recommendation.

Or. en

Amendment 1635

Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Peter Lundgren, Hermann Tertsch, Margarita de la Pisa Carrión, Mazaly Aguilar, Evžen Tošenovský, Sergio Berlato, Jorge Buxadé Villalba, Eugen Jurzyca, Pietro Fiocchi, Charlie Weimers, Alexander Bernhuber, Johan Nissinen

Proposal for a regulation

Article 11 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

4. A Member State that has received a recommendation from the Commission to take additional measures in accordance with paragraph 3 shall provide one of the following pieces of information in its subsequent annual progress and implementation report: **deleted**

(a) a description of measures taken as a response to the recommendation;

(b) the reasons for not following the Commission's recommendation.

Or. en

Amendment 1636

Jessica Polfjärd

Proposal for a regulation

Article 11 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

4. A Member State that has received a recommendation from the Commission to take additional measures in accordance with paragraph 3 shall provide one of the following pieces of information in its subsequent annual progress and **deleted**

implementation report:

(a) a description of measures taken as a response to the recommendation;

(b) the reasons for not following the Commission's recommendation.

Or. en

Amendment 1637

Martin Hojsik, Michal Wiezik, María Soraya Rodríguez Ramos, Róza Thun und Hohenstein, Frédérique Ries

Proposal for a regulation

Article 11 – paragraph 4 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

4. A Member State that has received a recommendation from the Commission to take additional measures in accordance with paragraph 3 shall ***provide one of the following pieces of information in its subsequent annual progress and implementation report:***

4. A Member State that has received a recommendation from the Commission to take additional measures in accordance with paragraph 3 shall ***implement such recommendation by 12 months.***

Or. en

Justification

A clear and sufficiently long deadline should be set in order to minimize the possibility of non-compliance.

Amendment 1638

Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan

Proposal for a regulation

Article 11 – paragraph 4 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

4. A Member State that has received a recommendation from the Commission to take additional measures in accordance with paragraph 3 shall provide ***one of the***

4. A Member State that has received a recommendation from the Commission to take additional measures in accordance with paragraph 3 shall provide in its

following pieces of information in its subsequent annual progress and implementation report:

subsequent annual progress and implementation report ***a description of measures taken as a response to the recommendation.***

Or. en

Amendment 1639

Franč Bogovič, Marlene Mortler, Ljudmila Novak, Alexander Bernhuber

Proposal for a regulation

Article 11 – paragraph 4 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

4. A Member State that has received a recommendation from the Commission to take additional measures in accordance with paragraph 3 shall provide one of the following pieces of information in its subsequent ***annual*** progress and implementation report:

Amendment

4. A Member State that has received a recommendation from the Commission to take additional measures in accordance with paragraph 3 shall provide one of the following pieces of information in its subsequent progress and implementation report:

Or. en

Amendment 1640

Martin Hojsík, Michal Wiezik, María Soraya Rodríguez Ramos, Róza Thun und Hohenstein, Frédérique Ries

Proposal for a regulation

Article 11 – paragraph 4 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) a description of measures taken as a response to the recommendation;

Amendment

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 1641

Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan

Proposal for a regulation
Article 11 – paragraph 4 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(a) a description of measures taken as a response to the recommendation; **deleted**

Or. en

Amendment 1642
Martin Hojsik, Michal Wiezik, María Soraya Rodríguez Ramos, Róza Thun und Hohenstein, Frédérique Ries

Proposal for a regulation
Article 11 – paragraph 4 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) the reasons for not following the Commission's recommendation. **deleted**

Or. en

Amendment 1643
Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan

Proposal for a regulation
Article 11 – paragraph 4 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) the reasons for not following the Commission's recommendation. **deleted**

Or. en

Amendment 1644
Mathilde Androuët

Proposal for a regulation
Article 11 – paragraph 5

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

- 5. Tout État membre qui a reçu une recommandation de la Commission conformément au paragraphe 3, point b) en vue d'élever le niveau d'un objectif indicatif national énoncé à l'article 9, paragraphe 2, point a), à l'article 9, paragraphe 3, point a), ou à l'article 9, paragraphe 4, prend l'une des mesures suivantes:**
- a) il adapte le niveau de l'objectif visé conformément à la recommandation en modifiant son plan d'action national dans un délai de six mois à compter de la réception de la recommandation;**
- b) il indique les raisons de ne pas suivre la recommandation de la Commission dans son rapport annuel ultérieur sur les progrès et l'exécution.**
- supprimé**

Or. fr

Amendment 1645

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Jorge Buxadé Villalba, Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjärd

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 11 – paragraph 5**

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

- 5. A Member State that has received a recommendation from the Commission in accordance with paragraph 3, point (b), to increase the level of ambition of a national indicative target set out in Article 9(2), point (a), Article 9(3), point (a), or Article 9(4) shall take one of the following actions:**
- (a) change the level of the relevant target as set out in the recommendation by amending its national action plan within 6 months after receiving the**
- deleted**

recommendation;

(b) provide reasons for not following the Commission's recommendation in its subsequent annual progress and implementation report.

Or. en

Amendment 1646

Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław Kalinowski, Joanna Kopcińska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

Proposal for a regulation Article 11 – paragraph 5

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

5. A Member State that has received a recommendation from the Commission in accordance with paragraph 3, point (b), to increase the level of ambition of a national indicative target set out in Article 9(2), point (a), Article 9(3), point (a), or Article 9(4) shall take one of the following actions:

(a) change the level of the relevant target as set out in the recommendation by amending its national action plan within 6 months after receiving the recommendation;

(b) provide reasons for not following the Commission's recommendation in its subsequent annual progress and implementation report.

Or. en

Amendment 1647

Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Lena Düpont, Jens Gieseke, Angelika Niebler, Andreas Schwab, Ralf Seekatz, David McAllister, Christine Schneider, Stefan Berger, Sabine Verheyen, Rainer Wieland, Monika Hohlmeier, Michael Gahler, Christian Doleschal, Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Alexander Bernhuber, Daniel Caspary, Herbert Dorfmann, Simone Schmiedtbauer

Proposal for a regulation
Article 11 – paragraph 5

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(5) Hat ein Mitgliedstaat von der Kommission gemäß Absatz 3 Buchstabe b die Empfehlung erhalten, ein nationales Richtziel gemäß Artikel 9 Absatz 2 Buchstabe a, Artikel 9 Absatz 3 Buchstabe a und Artikel 9 Absatz 4 anzuheben, leitet er einen der folgenden Schritte ein:

entfällt

a) Er hebt, wie in der Empfehlung dargelegt, das betreffende Ziel an, indem er binnen sechs Monaten nach Erhalt der Empfehlung seinen nationalen Aktionsplan ändert;

b) er begründet in seinem darauf folgenden jährlichen Fortschritts- und Durchführungsbericht, warum er der Empfehlung der Kommission nicht nachgekommen ist.

Or. de

Amendment 1648
Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan

Proposal for a regulation
Article 11 – paragraph 5 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

5. A Member State that has received a recommendation from the Commission in accordance with paragraph 3, point (b), to increase the level of ambition of a national indicative target set out in Article 9(2), point (a), Article 9(3), point (a), or Article 9(4) shall **take one** of the **following actions**:

5. A Member State that has received a recommendation from the Commission in accordance with paragraph 3, point (b), to increase the level of ambition of a national indicative target set out in Article 9(2), point (a), Article 9(3), point (a), or Article 9(4) shall **change the level** of the **relevant target as set out in the recommendation by amending its national action plan within 6 months after receiving the recommendation**.

Amendment 1649

Martin Hojsik, Michal Wiezik, María Soraya Rodríguez Ramos, Róza Thun und Hohenstein, Frédérique Ries

Proposal for a regulation

Article 11 – paragraph 5 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

5. A Member State that has received a recommendation from the Commission in accordance with paragraph 3, point (b), to increase the level of ambition of a national indicative target set out in Article 9(2), point (a), Article 9(3), point (a), or Article 9(4) shall **take one of the following actions:**

Amendment

5. A Member State that has received a recommendation from the Commission in accordance with paragraph 3, point (b), to increase the level of ambition of a national indicative target set out in Article 9(2), point (a), Article 9(3), point (a), or Article 9(4) shall **implement such recommendation and adapt its national plan by 12 months.**

Or. en

Justification

A clear and sufficiently long deadline should be set in order to minimize the possibility of non-compliance.

Amendment 1650

Franč Bogovič, Marlene Mortler, Ljudmila Novak

Proposal for a regulation

Article 11 – paragraph 5 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

5. A Member State that has received a recommendation from the Commission in accordance with paragraph 3, point (b), to increase the level of ambition of a national indicative target set out in Article 9(2), **point (a), Article 9(3), point (a), or Article 9(4)** shall take one of the following actions:

Amendment

5. A Member State that has received a recommendation from the Commission in accordance with paragraph 3, point (b), to increase the level of ambition of a national indicative target set out in Article 9(4) shall take one of the following actions:

Or. en

Amendment 1651
Jessica Polfjärd

Proposal for a regulation
Article 11 – paragraph 5 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

5. A Member State that has received a recommendation from the Commission in accordance with paragraph 3, point (b), to increase the level of ambition of a national indicative target set out in Article 9(2), point (a), Article 9(3), point (a), or Article 9(4) shall take one of the following actions:

Amendment

5. A Member State that has received a recommendation from the Commission in accordance with paragraph 3, point (b), to increase **or decrease** the level of ambition of a national indicative target set out in Article 9(2), point (a), Article 9(3), point (a), or Article 9(4) shall take one of the following actions:

Or. en

Amendment 1652
Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan

Proposal for a regulation
Article 11 – paragraph 5 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) change the level of the relevant target as set out in the recommendation by amending its national action plan within 6 months after receiving the recommendation;

Amendment

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 1653
Martin Hojsik, Michal Wiezik, María Soraya Rodríguez Ramos, Róza Thun und Hohenstein, Frédérique Ries

Proposal for a regulation
Article 11 – paragraph 5 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(a) *change the level of the relevant target as set out in the recommendation by amending its national action plan within 6 months after receiving the recommendation;* **deleted**

Or. en

Amendment 1654

Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Lena Düpont, Jens Gieseke, Angelika Niebler, Andreas Schwab, Ralf Seekatz, David McAllister, Christine Schneider, Stefan Berger, Sabine Verheyen, Rainer Wieland, Monika Hohlmeier, Michael Gahler, Christian Doleschal, Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Alexander Bernhuber, Daniel Caspary, Herbert Dorfmann, Simone Schmiedtbauer

Proposal for a regulation

Article 11 – paragraph 5 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

a) *Er hebt, wie in der Empfehlung dargelegt, das betreffende Ziel an, indem er binnen sechs Monaten nach Erhalt der Empfehlung seinen nationalen Aktionsplan ändert;* **entfällt**

Or. de

Amendment 1655

Jessica Polfjärd

Proposal for a regulation

Article 11 – paragraph 5 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(a) change the level of the relevant target as set out in the recommendation by amending its national action plan **within 6 months** after receiving the recommendation;

(a) change the level of the relevant target as set out in the recommendation by amending its national action plan after receiving the recommendation;

Amendment 1656

Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Lena Düpont, Jens Gieseke, Angelika Niebler, Andreas Schwab, Ralf Seekatz, David McAllister, Christine Schneider, Stefan Berger, Sabine Verheyen, Rainer Wieland, Monika Hohlmeier, Michael Gahler, Christian Doleschal, Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Alexander Bernhuber, Daniel Caspary, Herbert Dorfmann, Simone Schmiedtbauer

Proposal for a regulation

Article 11 – paragraph 5 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

**b) er begründet in seinem darauf
folgenden jährlichen Fortschritts- und
Durchführungsbericht, warum er der
Empfehlung der Kommission nicht
nachgekommen ist.** **entfällt**

Amendment 1657

**Martin Hojsík, Michal Wiezik, María Soraya Rodríguez Ramos, Róza Thun und
Hohenstein, Frédérique Ries**

Proposal for a regulation

Article 11 – paragraph 5 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

**(b) provide reasons for not following
the Commission's recommendation in its
subsequent annual progress and
implementation report.** **deleted**

Amendment 1658

Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan

Proposal for a regulation

Article 11 – paragraph 5 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) provide reasons for not following the Commission's recommendation in its subsequent annual progress and implementation report.

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 1659

Jessica Polfjärd

Proposal for a regulation

Article 11 – paragraph 5 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) provide reasons for not following the Commission's recommendation in its subsequent annual progress and implementation report.

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 1660

Franc Bogovič, Marlene Mortler, Ljudmila Novak, Alexander Bernhuber

Proposal for a regulation

Article 11 – paragraph 5 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) provide reasons for not following the Commission's recommendation in its subsequent *annual* progress and implementation report.

(b) provide reasons for not following the Commission's recommendation in its subsequent progress and implementation report.

Or. en

Amendment 1661

Pascal Canfin, Martin Hojsík, Catherine Chabaud, Max Orville

Proposal for a regulation
Article 11 – paragraph 5 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

5 a. When the Commission assesses that the elements provided by Member States under paragraph 5(b) are not satisfactory, it shall take appropriate measures, including withholding payments under Regulation 2021/2115.

Or. en

Amendment 1662

Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Lena Düpont, Jens Gieseke, Angelika Niebler, Andreas Schwab, Ralf Seekatz, David McAllister, Christine Schneider, Stefan Berger, Sabine Verheyen, Rainer Wieland, Monika Hohlmeier, Michael Gahler, Christian Doleschal, Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Alexander Bernhuber, Daniel Caspary, Herbert Dorfmann, Simone Schmiedtbauer

Proposal for a regulation
Article 11 – paragraph 6

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(6) Gelangt die Kommission anhand ihrer Analyse der jährlichen Fortschritts- und Durchführungsberichte zu dem Ergebnis, dass die erzielten Fortschritte nicht ausreichen, um gemeinsam die Reduktionsziele der Union bis 2030 zu verwirklichen, schlägt sie Maßnahmen vor und übt ihre sonstigen Befugnisse auf Unionsebene aus, um die gemeinsame Verwirklichung dieser Ziele zu gewährleisten. Bei diesen Maßnahmen wird berücksichtigt, wie ambitioniert die Beiträge der Mitgliedstaaten zu den Reduktionszielen der Union bis 2030 in den von ihnen erlassenen nationalen Reduktionszielen bis 2030 ausgefallen sind.

entfällt

Or. de

Amendment 1663

Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław Kalinowski, Joanna Kopcińska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

Proposal for a regulation

Article 11 – paragraph 6

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

6. *Where, on the basis of its analysis of the annual progress and implementation reports, the Commission concludes that the progress achieved is insufficient for the collective achievement of the Union 2030 reduction targets, it shall propose measures and exercise its other powers at Union level in order to ensure the collective achievement of those targets. Such measures shall take into consideration the level of ambition of contributions to the Union 2030 reduction targets by Member States set out in the national 2030 reduction targets adopted by them.* **deleted**

Or. en

Amendment 1664

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjård

Proposal for a regulation

Article 11 – paragraph 6

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

6. Where, on the basis of its analysis of the **annual** progress and implementation reports, the Commission concludes that the **progress achieved is insufficient** for the **collective** achievement of the Union **2030** reduction targets, **it shall** propose measures **and exercise its other powers at Union level** in order to ensure the collective achievement of those targets. **Such measures shall take into consideration the**

6. Where, on the basis of its analysis of the progress and implementation reports, the Commission concludes that the **contribution** for the achievement of the Union **2035** reduction targets **is insufficient, it may** propose measures in order to ensure the collective achievement of those targets.

level of ambition of contributions to the Union 2030 reduction targets by Member States set out in the national 2030 reduction targets adopted by them.

Or. en

Amendment 1665

Dan-Ştefan Motreanu, Carmen Avram, Marian-Jean Marinescu, Daniel Buda

Proposal for a regulation

Article 11 – paragraph 6

Text proposed by the Commission

6. Where, on the basis of its analysis of the annual progress and implementation reports, the Commission concludes that the progress achieved is insufficient for the collective achievement of the Union **2030** reduction targets, it shall propose measures and exercise its other powers at Union level in order to ensure the collective achievement of those targets. Such measures shall take into consideration the level of ambition of contributions to the Union **2030** reduction targets by Member States set out in the national **2030** reduction **targets** adopted by them.

Amendment

6. Where, on the basis of its analysis of the annual progress and implementation reports, the Commission concludes that the progress achieved is insufficient for the collective achievement of the Union **2035** reduction targets, it shall propose measures and exercise its other powers at Union level in order to ensure the collective achievement of those targets. Such measures shall take into consideration the level of ambition of contributions to the Union **2035** reduction targets by Member States set out in the national **2035** reduction **contributions** adopted by them.

Or. en

Amendment 1666

Esther de Lange

Proposal for a regulation

Article 11 – paragraph 6

Text proposed by the Commission

6. Where, on the basis of its analysis of the annual progress and implementation reports, the Commission concludes that the progress achieved is insufficient for the collective achievement of the Union **2030**

Amendment

6. Where, on the basis of its analysis of the annual progress and implementation reports, the Commission concludes that the progress achieved is insufficient for the collective achievement of the Union **2035**

reduction targets, it shall propose measures and exercise its other powers at Union level in order to ensure the collective achievement of those targets. Such measures shall take into consideration the level of ambition of contributions to the Union 2030 reduction targets by Member States set out in the national **2030** reduction targets adopted by them.

reduction targets, it shall propose measures and exercise its other powers at Union level in order to ensure the collective achievement of those targets. Such measures shall take into consideration the level of ambition of contributions to the Union 2030 reduction targets by Member States set out in the national **2035** reduction targets adopted by them.

Or. en

Amendment 1667

Franz Bogovič, Marlene Mortler, Ljudmila Novak, Alexander Bernhuber

Proposal for a regulation

Article 11 – paragraph 6

Text proposed by the Commission

6. Where, on the basis of its analysis of the **annual** progress and implementation reports, the Commission concludes that the progress achieved is insufficient for the collective achievement of the Union 2030 reduction targets, it shall propose measures and exercise its other powers at Union level in order to ensure the collective achievement of those targets. Such measures shall take into consideration the level of ambition of contributions to the Union 2030 reduction targets by Member States set out in the national 2030 reduction targets adopted by them.

Amendment

6. Where, on the basis of its analysis of the progress and implementation reports, the Commission concludes that the progress achieved is insufficient for the collective achievement of the Union 2030 reduction targets, it shall propose measures and exercise its other powers at Union level in order to ensure the collective achievement of those targets. Such measures shall take into consideration the level of ambition of contributions to the Union 2030 reduction targets by Member States set out in the national 2030 reduction targets adopted by them.

Or. en

Amendment 1668

Peter Liese

Proposal for a regulation

Article 11 – paragraph 6

Text proposed by the Commission

6. Where, on the basis of its analysis of the annual progress and implementation reports, the Commission concludes that the progress achieved is insufficient for the collective achievement of the Union **2030** reduction targets, it shall propose measures and exercise its other powers at Union level in order to ensure the collective achievement of those targets. Such measures shall take into consideration the level of ambition of contributions to the Union **2030** reduction targets by Member States set out in the national **2030** reduction targets adopted by them.

Amendment

6. Where, on the basis of its analysis of the annual progress and implementation reports, the Commission concludes that the progress achieved is insufficient for the collective achievement of the Union reduction targets, it shall propose measures and exercise its other powers at Union level in order to ensure the collective achievement of those targets. Such measures shall take into consideration the level of ambition of contributions to the Union reduction targets by Member States set out in the national reduction targets adopted by them.

Or. en

Amendment 1669

Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Lena Düpont, Jens Gieseke, Angelika Niebler, Andreas Schwab, Ralf Seekatz, David McAllister, Christine Schneider, Stefan Berger, Sabine Verheyen, Rainer Wieland, Monika Hohlmeier, Michael Gahler, Christian Doleschal, Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Alexander Bernhuber, Daniel Caspary, Herbert Dorfmann, Simone Schmiedtbauer

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 11 – paragraph 7**

Text proposed by the Commission

(7) *Bis zum ... [Amt für Veröffentlichungen: bitte Datum einfügen – 5 Jahre nach Geltungsbeginn dieser Verordnung] legt die Kommission dem Europäischen Parlament und dem Rat einen Bericht über die jährlichen Fortschritts- und Durchführungsberichte vor.*

Amendment

entfällt

Or. de

Amendment 1670

Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan

Proposal for a regulation
Article 11 – paragraph 7

Text proposed by the Commission

7. By ... **[OP: please insert the date – 5 years from the date of application of this Regulation]**, the Commission shall submit a report on annual progress and implementation reports to the European Parliament and the Council.

Amendment

7. By **31 December 2031**, the Commission shall submit a report on annual progress and implementation reports to the European Parliament and the Council.

Or. en

Amendment 1671

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation
Article 11 – paragraph 7

Text proposed by the Commission

7. By ... [OP: please insert the date – 5 years from the date of application of this Regulation], the Commission shall submit a report on annual progress and implementation reports to the European Parliament and the Council.

Amendment

7. By ... [OP: please insert the date – 3 years from the date of application of this Regulation] **and every three years thereafter**, the Commission shall submit a report on annual progress and implementation reports to the European Parliament and the Council.

Or. en

Amendment 1672

Franč Bogovič, Marlene Mortler, Ljudmila Novak, Alexander Bernhuber

Proposal for a regulation
Article 11 – paragraph 7

Text proposed by the Commission

7. By ... [OP: please insert the date – 5 years from the date of application of this Regulation], the Commission shall submit a report on **annual** progress and

Amendment

7. By ... [OP: please insert the date – 5 years from the date of application of this Regulation], the Commission shall submit a report on progress and implementation

implementation reports to the European Parliament and the Council.

reports to the European Parliament and the Council.

Or. en

Amendment 1673

Martin Hojsík, Michal Wiezik, María Soraya Rodríguez Ramos, Róza Thun und Hohenstein, Frédérique Ries

Proposal for a regulation

Article 11 – paragraph 7 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

7 a. No later than six months after the entry into force of [a future legislation of the European Parliament and the Council on Soil Health Law], the Commission shall submit a report to the European Parliament and to the Council assessing the consistency of this Regulation with the targets set out in that legislation. The report shall be accompanied, where appropriate, by legislative proposals to amend this Regulation.

Or. en

Amendment 1674

Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan, Günther Sidl

Proposal for a regulation

Article 11 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article 11 a

Access to justice

1. Member States shall ensure that members of the public, in accordance with national law, that have a sufficient interest or that maintain the impairment of a right, have access to a review procedure before a court of law, or an

independent and impartial body established by law, to challenge the substantive or procedural legality of the national action plans and any failures to act of the competent authorities, regardless of the role members of the public have played during the process for preparing and establishing the national action plan.

2. Member States shall determine what constitutes a sufficient interest and impairment of a right, consistently with the objective of providing the public with wide access to justice. For the purposes of paragraph 1, any non-governmental organization promoting environmental protection and meeting any requirements under national law shall be deemed to have rights capable of being impaired and their interest shall be deemed sufficient.

3. Review procedures referred to in paragraph 1 shall be fair, equitable, timely and free of charge or not prohibitively expensive, and shall provide adequate and effective remedies, including injunctive relief where necessary.

4. Member States shall ensure that practical information is made available to the public on access to the administrative and judicial review procedures referred to in this Article.

Or. en

Amendment 1675
Sirpa Pietikäinen

Proposal for a regulation
Article 11 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article 11 a
Access to justice

1. Member States shall ensure that members of the public, in accordance with the Aarhus Convention, that have a sufficient interest or that maintain the impairment of a right, have access to a review procedure before a court of law, or an independent and impartial body established by law, to challenge the substantive or procedural legality of the national action plans and any failures to act of the competent authorities, regardless of the role members of the public have played during the process for preparing and establishing the national action plan.

2. The Aarhus Convention determines what constitutes a sufficient interest and impairment of a right, consistently with the objective of providing the public with wide access to justice. For the purposes of paragraph 1, any non-governmental organization promoting environmental protection and meeting any requirements under national law shall be deemed to have rights capable of being impaired and their interest shall be deemed sufficient.

3. Review procedures referred to in paragraph 1 shall be fair, equitable, timely and free of charge or not prohibitively expensive, and shall provide adequate and effective remedies, including injunctive relief where necessary.

4. Member States shall ensure that practical information is made available to the public on access to the administrative and judicial review procedures referred to in this Article.

Or. en

Amendment 1676
Pascal Canfin, Max Orville

***Obligations of economic operators
making pesticides available on the EU
market***

Article 1 - Transformation Plans

1. Manufacturers as defined in Article 3(8b) placing plant protection products on the single market shall establish a transformation plan within one year after the entry into force of this regulation containing relevant information on how the company transforms its business model within the EU to consistently contribute to the objective laid down in Article 2 of this Regulation, in particular as regards the availability of non-chemical alternatives to pesticides and as regards the uptake of Integrated Pest Management as defined in Article 13.

2. Distributors as defined in Article 3(8b) selling products containing plant protection products on the single market shall establish a transformation plan within one year after the entry into force of this regulation containing information on how their sourcing and commercial activities consistently contribute to the objectives laid down in Article 2 of this Regulation.

3. Economic operators as defined in Article 3(8a) whose commercial activities imply the use of plant protection products shall establish a transformation plan within one year after the entry into force of this regulation containing information on how their commercial activities and sales of own-brands products consistently contribute to the objectives laid down in Article 2 of this Regulation.

4. If a manufacturer, a distributor or a company along the value chain does not present its transformation plan within 2 years after the date set out in the previous

paragraph, the placing on the market of their products is on hold until it fulfills the requirements of this Article.

Or. en

Amendment 1677

Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan, Günther Sidl

Proposal for a regulation

Article 12 – paragraph -1 (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

-1. The following integrated pest management hierarchy shall apply as a priority order in legislation and policy related to the management of plant pests:

(a) preventive measures such as agronomic practices; improvement of soil quality and health, and biodiversity;

(b) monitoring, forecasting, warning systems;

(c) non-chemical methods such as mechanical, physical, natural, or biological control

(d) curative measures using low-risk plant protection products which are approved or deemed to be approved under Article 22 of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009, and which are listed in Part D of the Annex to Implementing Regulation (EU) No 540/2011;

(e) curative measures using selective plant protection products which are not listed as candidates for substitution in accordance with Article 24 of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009;

(f) curative measures using selective plant protection products listed as candidates for substitution in accordance with Article 24 of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 and listed in Part E of the Annex to Implementing Regulation (EU) No

540/2011, or that are listed in the Annex to Implementing Regulation (EU) 2015/408.

Or. en

Amendment 1678

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation

Article 12 – paragraph -1 (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

-1. Non-professional users shall not use chemical pesticides.

Or. en

Amendment 1679

Esther de Lange

Proposal for a regulation

Article 12 – paragraph 1 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

1. Professional users shall apply integrated pest management as follows:

1. Professional users shall apply integrated pest management ***when cultivating at least one crop of the five crops having the highest pesticide use per hectare at Member State level. The application of IPM shall be*** as follows:

Or. en

Amendment 1680

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation

Article 12 – paragraph 1 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Professional users shall apply integrated pest management as follows:

Amendment

1. Professional users shall **be obliged to** apply integrated pest management, **and shall do so** as follows:

Or. en

Amendment 1681

Sylvia Limmer

Proposal for a regulation

Article 12 – paragraph 1 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

(1) Von beruflichen Verwendern ist der integrierte Pflanzenschutz wie folgt anzuwenden:

Amendment

(1) Von beruflichen Verwendern ist der integrierte Pflanzenschutz **sofern möglich** wie folgt anzuwenden:

Or. de

Amendment 1682

Dan-Ştefan Motreanu, Carmen Avram, Marian-Jean Marinescu, Daniel Buda

Proposal for a regulation

Article 12 – paragraph 1 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Professional users **shall** apply integrated pest management as follows:

Amendment

1. Professional users **may** apply integrated pest management as follows:

Or. en

Amendment 1683

Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Lena Düpont, Jens Gieseke, Angelika Niebler, Andreas Schwab, Ralf Seekatz, David McAllister, Christine Schneider, Stefan Berger, Sabine Verheyen, Rainer Wieland, Monika Hohlmeier, Michael Gahler, Christian Doleschal, Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Alexander Bernhuber, Daniel Caspary, Herbert Dorfmann, Simone Schmiedtbauer

Proposal for a regulation

Article 12 – paragraph 1 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

a) durch Anwendung **von Artikel 13**, wenn der Mitgliedstaat, in dem sie tätig sind, für die betreffende Kultur und Fläche keine kulturspezifischen Vorschriften gemäß Artikel 15 erlassen hat;

Amendment

a) durch Anwendung **des Rahmens zum integrierten Pflanzenschutz**, wenn der Mitgliedstaat, in dem sie tätig sind, für die betreffende Kultur und Fläche keine kulturspezifischen Vorschriften gemäß Artikel 15 erlassen hat;

Or. de

Amendment 1684

Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław Kalinowski, Joanna Kopcińska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

Proposal for a regulation

Article 12 – paragraph 1 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) by **applying** Article 13 where no crop-specific **rules** have been adopted for the relevant crop and area in accordance with Article 15 by the Member State in which they operate;

Amendment

(a) by **considering** Article 13 where no crop-specific **guidelines** have been adopted for the relevant crop and area in accordance with Article 15 by the Member State in which they operate;

Or. en

Amendment 1685

Christophe Hansen

Proposal for a regulation

Article 12 – paragraph 1 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) by applying Article 13 where no crop-specific **rules** have been adopted for the relevant crop and area in accordance with Article 15 by the Member State in which they operate;

Amendment

(a) by applying Article 13 where no crop-specific **guidelines** have been adopted for the relevant crop and area in accordance with Article 15 by the Member State in which they operate;

Amendment 1686
Inese Vaidere

Proposal for a regulation
Article 12 – paragraph 1 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) by applying Article 13 where no crop-specific **rules** have been adopted for the relevant crop and area in accordance with Article 15 by the Member State in which they operate;

Amendment

(a) by applying Article 13 where no crop-specific **guidelines** have been adopted for the relevant crop and area in accordance with Article 15 by the Member State in which they operate;

Or. en

Amendment 1687
Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjård

Proposal for a regulation
Article 12 – paragraph 1 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) by applying Article 13 where no crop-specific **rules** have been adopted for the relevant crop and area in accordance with Article 15 by the Member State in which they operate;

Amendment

(a) by applying Article 13 where no crop-specific **guidelines** have been adopted for the relevant crop and area in accordance with Article 15 by the Member State in which they operate;

Or. en

Amendment 1688
Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Lena Düpont, Jens Gieseke, Angelika Niebler, Andreas Schwab, Ralf Seekatz, David McAllister, Christine Schneider, Stefan Berger, Sabine Verheyen, Rainer Wieland, Monika Hohlmeier, Michael Gahler, Christian Doleschal, Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Alexander Bernhuber, Daniel Caspary, Herbert Dorfmann, Simone Schmiedtbauer

Proposal for a regulation
Article 12 – paragraph 1 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

b) durch Anwendung der kulturspezifischen Vorschriften, die der Mitgliedstaat, in dem sie tätig sind, für die betreffende Kultur und Fläche gemäß Artikel 15 erlassen hat **und durch Einleitung der Schritte gemäß Artikel 13 Absatz 8.**

Amendment

b) durch Anwendung der kulturspezifischen Vorschriften, die der Mitgliedstaat, in dem sie tätig sind, für die betreffende Kultur und Fläche gemäß Artikel 15 erlassen hat.

Or. de

Amendment 1689
Sylvia Limmer

Proposal for a regulation
Article 12 – paragraph 1 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

b) durch Anwendung der kulturspezifischen Vorschriften, die der Mitgliedstaat, in dem sie tätig sind, für die betreffende Kultur und Fläche gemäß Artikel 15 erlassen hat **und durch Einleitung der Schritte gemäß Artikel 13 Absatz 8.**

Amendment

b) durch Anwendung der kulturspezifischen Vorschriften, die der Mitgliedstaat, in dem sie tätig sind, für die betreffende Kultur und Fläche gemäß Artikel 15 erlassen hat.

Or. de

Amendment 1690
Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław Kalinowski, Joanna Kopcińska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

Proposal for a regulation
Article 12 – paragraph 1 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) by **applying** crop-specific **rules** adopted by the Member State in which they operate for the relevant crop and area in

Amendment

(b) by **considering** crop-specific **guidelines** adopted by the Member State in which they operate for the relevant crop

accordance with Article 15 and performing the actions set out in Article 13(8).

and area in accordance with Article 15 and performing the actions set out in Article 13(8).

Or. en

Amendment 1691
Inese Vaidere

Proposal for a regulation
Article 12 – paragraph 1 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) by applying crop-specific **rules** adopted by the Member State in which they operate for the relevant crop and area in accordance with Article 15 and performing the actions set out in Article 13(8).

Amendment

(b) by applying crop-specific **guidelines** adopted by the Member State in which they operate for the relevant crop and area in accordance with Article 15 and performing the actions set out in Article 13(8).

Or. en

Amendment 1692
Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Jorge Buxadé Villalba, Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjärd

Proposal for a regulation
Article 12 – paragraph 1 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) by **applying** crop-specific **rules** adopted by the Member State in which they operate for the relevant crop and area in accordance with Article 15 **and performing the actions set out in Article 13(8).**

Amendment

(b) by **taking into account the** crop-specific **guidelines** adopted by the Member State in which they operate for the relevant crop and area in accordance with Article 15.

Or. en

Amendment 1693

Sylvia Limmer

Proposal for a regulation

Article 12 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(2) Eine Beratung durch Berater steht mit den anwendbaren kulturspezifischen Vorschriften und dem integrierten Pflanzenschutz im Einklang. **entfällt**

Or. de

Amendment 1694

Maria Arena

Proposal for a regulation

Article 12 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

2. Advisors shall provide advice that is consistent with the applicable crop-specific rules and with integrated pest management.

2. Advisors shall provide advice that is consistent with the applicable crop-specific rules and with integrated pest management ***as defined in this legislation. Advisors must be independent, this is, have no commercial or private interests in the chemical plant protection product industry. They must be able to prove sufficient knowledge on integrated pest management and ecology.***

Or. en

Amendment 1695

Martin Hojsík, Michal Wiezik, María Soraya Rodríguez Ramos, Róza Thun und Hohenstein, Frédérique Ries

Proposal for a regulation

Article 12 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. Advisors shall provide advice that is consistent with the applicable crop-specific rules and with integrated pest management.

Amendment

2. Advisors shall provide advice that is ***impartial, independent and*** consistent with the applicable crop-specific rules and with integrated pest management.

Or. en

Amendment 1696

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation

Article 12 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. Advisors shall provide advice that is consistent with the applicable crop-specific rules and with integrated pest management.

Amendment

2. Advisors shall provide advice that ***is independent and*** is consistent with the applicable crop-specific rules and with integrated pest management.

Or. en

Amendment 1697

Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław Kalinowski, Joanna Kopcińska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

Proposal for a regulation

Article 12 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. Advisors shall provide advice that is consistent with the applicable crop-specific ***rules*** and with integrated pest management.

Amendment

2. Advisors shall provide advice that is consistent with the applicable crop-specific ***guidelines*** and with integrated pest management.

Or. en

Justification

According to the definition “integrated pest management” means careful consideration of all available means that discourage the development of populations of harmful organisms, while

keeping the use of chemical ppps to levels that are economically and ecologically justified and minimise risks to human health and the environment”. It means that it is not possible to change IPM principles into simple “yes/no” requirements – there are too many possible scenarios that could happen at farm level..

Amendment 1698

Inese Vaidere

Proposal for a regulation

Article 12 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. Advisors shall provide advice that is consistent with the applicable crop-specific **rules** and with integrated pest management.

Amendment

2. Advisors shall provide advice that is consistent with the applicable crop-specific **guidelines** and with integrated pest management.

Or. en

Amendment 1699

Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Lena Düpont, Jens Gieseke, Angelika Niebler, Andreas Schwab, Ralf Seekatz, David McAllister, Christine Schneider, Stefan Berger, Sabine Verheyen, Rainer Wieland, Monika Hohlmeier, Michael Gahler, Christian Doleschal, Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Alexander Bernhuber, Daniel Caspary, Herbert Dorfmann, Simone Schmiedtbauer

Proposal for a regulation

Article 12 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

(2) Eine Beratung durch Berater steht mit den anwendbaren kulturspezifischen **Vorschriften** und dem integrierten Pflanzenschutz im Einklang.

Amendment

(2) Eine Beratung durch Berater steht mit den anwendbaren kulturspezifischen **Leitlinien** und dem integrierten Pflanzenschutz im Einklang.

Or. de

Amendment 1700

Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan, Günther Sidl

Proposal for a regulation
Article 12 – paragraph 2 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

2 a. Member States shall establish or support the establishment of necessary conditions for the implementation of integrated pest management in accordance with paragraphs -1, 1, and 2. In particular, they shall offer technical integrated pest management support to professional users to ensure they have at their disposal information and tools for pest monitoring and decision making, early diagnosis systems, as well as advisory services on integrated pest management.

Or. en

Amendment 1701
Martin Häusling, Michèle Rivasi, Jutta Paulus

Proposal for a regulation
Article 12 – paragraph 2 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

2 a. The cultivation of crop varieties that have been developed to be tolerant to a specific herbicide is not in accordance with the integrated pest management approach and should therefore not be permitted.

Or. en

Amendment 1702
Eric Andrieu

Proposal for a regulation
Article 12 – paragraph 2 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

2 a. The cultivation of crop varieties that have been developed to be tolerant to a specific herbicide is not in accordance with the integrated pest management approach and should therefore not be promoted.

Or. en

Amendment 1703

Franc Bogovič, Marlene Mortler, Ljudmila Novak

Proposal for a regulation

Article 12 – paragraph 2 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

2 a. Integrated pest management shall be mandatory for professional users, whose size of agricultural holdings is above the average size of the agricultural holding in the Member State.

Or. en

Justification

The amendment proposes more flexibility to the Member States in terms of the mandatory IPM introduction under this regulation. IPM should therefore be mandatory for holdings above the average size of the holding in the Member State, which are economically stronger and as such more able to bear additional costs of the IPM certification.

Amendment 1704

Franc Bogovič, Marlene Mortler, Ljudmila Novak

Proposal for a regulation

Article 12 – paragraph 2 b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

2 b. Member States may reduce the threshold from the paragraph 2a with

setting the minimum thresholds of hectares of arable land and land under permanent crops per crop type.

Or. en

Justification

Additionally to the previous amendment, Member States should be able to put other minimum thresholds for the mandatory IPM application with setting the minimum thresholds of hectares of arable land and land under permanent crops per crop type.

Amendment 1705

Sylvia Limmer

Proposal for a regulation

Article 13 – title

Text proposed by the Commission

Pflichten der beruflichen Verwender ***und der Berater*** in Bezug auf integrierten Pflanzenschutz

Amendment

Pflichten der beruflichen Verwender ***bei der Anwendung von Pflanzenschutzmitteln und*** in Bezug auf integrierten Pflanzenschutz

Or. de

Amendment 1706

Jan Huitema, Emma Wiesner, Ulrike Müller, Erik Poulsen, Nils Torvalds, Andreas Glück, Asger Christensen, Billy Kelleher

Proposal for a regulation

Article 13 – title

Text proposed by the Commission

Obligations of professional users and advisors related to integrated pest management

Amendment

Guidelines on integrated pest management

Or. en

Amendment 1707

Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Lena Düpont, Jens Gieseke, Angelika Niebler, Andreas Schwab, Ralf Seekatz, David McAllister, Christine Schneider, Stefan Berger, Sabine Verheyen, Rainer Wieland, Monika Hohlmeier, Michael Gahler, Christian Doleschal, Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Alexander Bernhuber, Daniel Caspary, Herbert Dorfmann, Simone Schmiedtbauer

Proposal for a regulation

Article 13 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(1) Berufliche Verwender wenden zunächst Maßnahmen an, die keine Verwendung chemischer Pflanzenschutzmittel zur Verhütung oder Vernichtung von Schadorganismen erfordern, bevor sie auf die Anwendung chemischer Pflanzenschutzmittel zurückgreifen.

entfällt

Or. de

Amendment 1708

Jan Huitema, Emma Wiesner, Ulrike Müller, Erik Poulsen, Nils Torvalds, Andreas Glück, Asger Christensen, Billy Kelleher

Proposal for a regulation

Article 13 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

1. Professional users shall first **apply** measures that do not require the use of chemical plant protection products for the prevention or suppression of harmful organisms before resorting to application of chemical plant protection products.

1. **Professional users shall apply integrated pest management as defined in Article 3 (15), meaning that they will take careful consideration of all available means that discourage the development of populations of harmful organisms, while keeping the use of chemical plant protection products to levels that are economically and ecologically justified and minimise risks to human health and the environment.**

In that regard, professional users shall first **consider** measures that do not require the use of chemical plant protection products

for the prevention or suppression of harmful organisms before resorting to application of chemical plant protection products.

Or. en

Amendment 1709

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation

Article 13 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Professional users shall ***first*** apply measures that ***do not require the use of chemical plant protection products for the prevention or suppression*** of harmful organisms ***before resorting to application of chemical*** plant protection ***products***.

Amendment

1. Professional users shall apply ***strategies and*** measures that ***aim to prevent the occurrence of hazardous pests, and they shall monitor the occurrence of*** harmful organisms. ***When monitoring results indicate the necessity of*** plant protection ***measures, sustainable biological, physical and other non-chemical methods can be used. The use of chemical pesticides is only ever allowed as last resort, based on demonstratable evidence, and shall be used in such as was as to minimise the effects on human and animal health, beneficial and non-target organisms and the environment.***

Or. en

Amendment 1710

Christine Schneider, Ralf Seekatz, Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Sven Simon, Angelika Niebler, Monika Hohlmeier, Christian Doleschal, Franc Bogovič, Marlene Mortler, Daniel Caspary, Rainer Wieland, Sabine Verheyen, Alexander Bernhuber, Christophe Hansen

Proposal for a regulation

Article 13 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Professional users shall first apply

Amendment

1. ***Professional users shall always***

measures that do not require the use of chemical plant protection products for the **prevention or** suppression of harmful organisms **before resorting to application of** chemical plant protection products.

apply non-chemical preventative measures, such as appropriate agronomic practices. When interventions are needed, professional users shall first apply measures that do not require the use of chemical plant protection products for the suppression of harmful organisms, **following the integrated pest management hierarchy.** Chemical plant protection products **can be applied, if no other non-chemical preventative measure or combination of such measures has proven successful or if no curative measures are available.**

Or. en

Justification

Chemical methods can be part of preventative measures if there are no other means of preventive or curative protection. This is especially true for permanent crops like the vine.

Amendment 1711

Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan, Günther Sidl

Proposal for a regulation Article 13 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Professional users shall first apply measures that do not require the use of chemical plant protection products for the prevention or suppression of harmful organisms before resorting to application of chemical plant protection products.

Amendment

1. **Professional users shall apply the integrated pest management hierarchy as defined in Article 12. Preventative measures shall always be implemented first. When interventions are needed,** professional users shall first apply **intervention** measures that do not require the use of chemical plant protection products for the prevention or suppression of harmful organisms before resorting to application of chemical plant protection products.

Or. en

Amendment 1712
Jessica Polfjärd

Proposal for a regulation
Article 13 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. ***Professional users shall first apply*** measures that do not require the use of chemical plant protection products for the prevention or suppression of harmful organisms ***before resorting to application of chemical plant protection products.***

Amendment

1. ***Provided that*** measures that do not require the use of chemical plant protection products ***are economically viable and are as effective as the relevant chemical plant protection products, professional users shall, before restoring to such chemical plant protection products, prioritise the application of measures that do not require the use of chemical plant production products*** for the prevention or suppression of harmful organisms.

Or. en

Amendment 1713

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjärd

Proposal for a regulation
Article 13 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Professional users ***shall*** first ***apply*** measures that do not require the use of chemical plant protection products for the prevention or suppression of harmful organisms before resorting to application of chemical plant protection products.

Amendment

1. Professional users first ***define which farm management objectives are pursued (e.g. no-till, minimum tillage, conservation tillage, carbon farming models, organic farming, etc.) and, within this framework, may consider*** measures that do not require the use of chemical plant protection products for the prevention or suppression of harmful organisms before resorting to application of chemical plant protection products.

Or. en

Amendment 1714

Martin Hojsík, Michal Wiezik, María Soraya Rodríguez Ramos, Róza Thun und Hohenstein, Frédérique Ries

Proposal for a regulation

Article 13 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Professional users shall first apply measures that do not require the use of chemical plant protection products for the prevention or suppression of harmful organisms before resorting to application of chemical plant protection products.

Amendment

1. Professional users shall ***follow the integrated pest management hierarchy and*** first apply measures that do not require the use of chemical plant protection products for the prevention or suppression of harmful organisms before resorting, ***as a last option,*** to application of chemical plant protection products.

Or. en

Amendment 1715

Sylvia Limmer

Proposal for a regulation

Article 13 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

(1) Berufliche Verwender ***wenden*** zunächst Maßnahmen an, die keine Verwendung ***chemischer Pflanzenschutzmittel*** zur Verhütung ***oder Vernichtung*** von Schadorganismen erfordern, ***bevor sie auf die Anwendung chemischer Pflanzenschutzmittel zurückgreifen.***

Amendment

(1) ***Sofern möglich, wenden*** berufliche Verwender zunächst Maßnahmen an, die keine Verwendung ***von Pflanzenschutzmitteln*** zur Verhütung von Schadorganismen erfordern.

Or. de

Amendment 1716

Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław Kalinowski, Joanna Kopcińska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

Proposal for a regulation

Article 13 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Professional users shall first apply measures that do not require the use of chemical plant protection products for the prevention or suppression of harmful organisms before resorting to application of chemical plant protection products.

Amendment

1. Professional users shall, ***if available and reasonable***, first apply measures that do not require the use of chemical plant protection products for the prevention or suppression of harmful organisms before resorting to application of chemical plant protection products.

Or. en

Amendment 1717

Edina Tóth

Proposal for a regulation

Article 13 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Professional users shall first apply measures that do not require the use of chemical plant protection products for the prevention or suppression of harmful organisms before resorting to application of chemical plant protection products.

Amendment

1. Professional users, ***if available and reasonable***, shall first apply measures that do not require the use of chemical plant protection products for the prevention or suppression of harmful organisms before resorting to application of chemical plant protection products.

Or. en

Amendment 1718

Christophe Hansen

Proposal for a regulation

Article 13 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Professional users shall first ***apply*** measures that do not require the use of chemical plant protection products for the prevention or suppression of harmful organisms before resorting to application of chemical plant protection products.

Amendment

1. Professional users shall first ***take into consideration*** measures that do not require the use of chemical plant protection products for the prevention or suppression of harmful organisms before resorting to application of chemical plant protection

products.

Or. en

Amendment 1719

Esther de Lange

Proposal for a regulation

Article 13 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Professional users shall first apply measures that do not require the use of chemical plant protection products for the prevention or suppression of harmful organisms before resorting to application of chemical plant protection products.

Amendment

1. Professional users shall, **where possible**, first apply measures that do not require the use of chemical plant protection products for the prevention or suppression of harmful organisms before resorting to application of chemical plant protection products.

Or. en

Amendment 1720

Ulrike Müller, Emma Wiesner, Andreas Glück

Proposal for a regulation

Article 13 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Professional users shall first **apply** measures that do not require the use of chemical plant protection products for the prevention or suppression of harmful organisms before resorting to application of chemical plant protection products.

Amendment

1. Professional users shall first **consider** measures that do not require the use of chemical plant protection products for the prevention or suppression of harmful organisms before resorting to application of chemical plant protection products.

Or. en

Justification

IPM is a valuable guideline that assists farmers in the choice of appropriate instruments in pest prevention and treatment. Making all steps of the hierarchy binding however does not adequately reflect differences in local conditions and might prevent farmers from taking

required action to prevent crop loss or failure in due time. IPM therefore should maintain a guideline character.

Amendment 1721

Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Peter Lundgren, Hermann Tertsch, Margarita de la Pisa Carrión, Mazaly Aguilar, Evžen Tošenovský, Sergio Berlato, Jorge Buxadé Villalba, Eugen Jurzyca, Pietro Fiocchi, Charlie Weimers, Alexander Bernhuber, Johan Nissinen

Proposal for a regulation

Article 13 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Professional users shall first **apply** measures that do not require the use of chemical plant protection products for the prevention or suppression of harmful organisms before resorting to application of chemical plant protection products.

Amendment

1. Professional users shall first **consider** measures that do not require the use of chemical plant protection products for the prevention or suppression of harmful organisms before resorting to application of chemical plant protection products.

Or. en

Amendment 1722

Dan-Ștefan Motreanu, Carmen Avram, Marian-Jean Marinescu, Daniel Buda

Proposal for a regulation

Article 13 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Professional users shall first **apply** measures that do not require the use of chemical plant protection products for the prevention or suppression of harmful organisms before resorting to application of chemical plant protection products.

Amendment

1. Professional users shall first **consider** measures that do not require the use of chemical plant protection products for the prevention or suppression of harmful organisms before resorting to application of chemical plant protection products.

Or. en

Amendment 1723

Franč Bogovič, Marlene Mortler, Ljudmila Novak, Alexander Bernhuber

Proposal for a regulation

Article 13 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Professional users shall **first apply** measures that do not require the use of chemical plant protection products for the prevention or suppression of harmful organisms before resorting to application of chemical plant protection products.

Amendment

1. Professional users shall **favour** measures that do not require the use of chemical plant protection products for the prevention or suppression of harmful organisms before resorting to application of chemical plant protection products.

Or. en

Justification

Restricting the use of chemical control only to the stage when other control means are exhausted would incur serious risk of yield reduction and crop failure. Using chemical control as a last resort would in most cases come too late to prevent serious crop damage. Weed control is mostly done during the early growth stages of the weeds, therefore waiting for weed population to reach levels which negatively impact crop yield is not economically sustainable and it may result in even more chemical PPP use during the later stage compared to the chemical PPP use during the early stage of the weed growth.

Amendment 1724

Pascal Canfin, Catherine Chabaud, Max Orville

Proposal for a regulation

Article 13 – paragraph 1 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

1 a. By order of priority, professional users shall use the following hierarchy in legislation and policy related to the management of plant pests:

(a) Agronomic practices: crop rotation including nitrogen-fixing crop, choosing the appropriate tolerant crop to pest attacks, intercropping, conservation tillage, direct sowing, etc.;

(b) Monitoring, forecasting, warning systems;

- (c) Mechanical, physical, natural or biological control;*
- (e) Chemical control with low-risk plant protection products;*
- (f) Chemical control with plant protection products others than the candidates for substitution;*
- (g) Chemical control with candidates for substitution;*

Or. en

Amendment 1725

Franc Bogovič, Marlene Mortler, Ljudmila Novak, Alexander Bernhuber

Proposal for a regulation Article 13 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

2. *A professional user's records referred to in Article 14(1) shall demonstrate that he or she has considered all of the following options:* ***deleted***

- crop rotation,***
- use of modern cultivation techniques, including stale seedbed technique, sowing dates and densities, under-sowing, intercropping, conservation tillage, pruning and direct sowing,***
- use of resistant or tolerant cultivars and high quality or certified seed and planting material,***
- use of balanced fertilisation, liming and irrigation or drainage practices,***
- preventing the spreading of harmful organisms by hygiene measures, including regular cleansing of machinery and equipment,***
- protection and enhancement of important beneficial organisms, including***

beneficial plant protection measures or the utilisation of ecological infrastructures inside and outside production sites,

— *pest exclusion by use of protected structures, nets and other physical barriers.*

Where a professional user has not applied a measure listed in the first subparagraph of this paragraph, the records referred to in Article 14(1) shall contain reasons thereof.

Or. en

Justification

The drafted provisions cause a heavy administrative burden both for professional users.

Amendment 1726

Pascal Canfin, Catherine Chabaud, Max Orville

Proposal for a regulation

Article 13 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

A professional user's records referred to in Article 14(1) shall demonstrate that he or she has considered all of the following options:

deleted

— *crop rotation,*

— *use of modern cultivation techniques, including stale seedbed technique, sowing dates and densities, under-sowing, intercropping, conservation tillage, pruning and direct sowing,*

— *use of resistant or tolerant cultivars and high quality or certified seed and planting material,*

— *use of balanced fertilisation, liming and irrigation or drainage practices,*

— *preventing the spreading of harmful organisms by hygiene measures, including regular cleansing of machinery and equipment,*

— *protection and enhancement of important beneficial organisms, including beneficial plant protection measures or the utilisation of ecological infrastructures inside and outside production sites,*

— *pest exclusion by use of protected structures, nets and other physical barriers.*

Or. en

Amendment 1727

Jan Huitema, Emma Wiesner, Nils Torvalds, Billy Kelleher, Asger Christensen

Proposal for a regulation

Article 13 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

A professional user's records referred to in Article 14(1) shall demonstrate that he or she has considered **all** of the following options:

Amendment

A professional user's records referred to in Article 14(1) shall demonstrate that he or she has considered **measures to improve soil and plant health, which may include** of the following options:

Or. en

Amendment 1728

Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Peter Lundgren, Hermann Tertsch, Margarita de la Pisa Carrión, Mazaly Aguilar, Evžen Tošenovský, Sergio Berlato, Jorge Buxadé Villalba, Eugen Jurzyca, Charlie Weimers, Alexander Bernhuber, Johan Nissinen

Proposal for a regulation

Article 13 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

A professional user's records referred to in Article 14(1) **shall demonstrate** that he or

Amendment

A professional user's records referred to in Article 14(1) **is expected** that he or she has

she has considered all of the **following** options:

considered all of the **applicable** options **from the list below, before resorting to synthetic pesticides:**

Or. en

Amendment 1729

Ulrike Müller, Emma Wiesner, Andreas Glück

Proposal for a regulation

Article 13 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

A professional *user's records referred to in Article 14(1)* shall demonstrate that he or she has considered all of the following options:

Measures to be considered by professional include:

Or. en

Justification

IPM is a valuable guideline that assists farmers in the choice of appropriate instruments in pest prevention and treatment. Making all steps of the hierarchy binding however does not adequately reflect differences in local conditions and might prevent farmers from taking required action to prevent crop loss or failure in due time. IPM therefore should maintain a guideline character. Obligations to keep records on reasons not to chose certain instruments would put undue administrative burden on farmers and is an inappropriate expression of systematic mistrust in the profession.

Amendment 1730

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Jorge Buxadé Villalba, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjärd

Proposal for a regulation

Article 13 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

A professional *user's records referred to in Article 14(1)* shall demonstrate that he or she has considered all of the following options:

A professional *user* shall *consider* options such as:

Amendment 1731

Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan, Delara Burkhardt

Proposal for a regulation

Article 13 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

A professional user's records referred to in Article 14(1) shall demonstrate that he or she has considered all of the following **options**:

Amendment

A professional user's records referred to in Article 14(1) shall demonstrate that he or she has considered all of the following ***measures and applied the relevant ones***:

Amendment 1732

Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Lena Düpont, Jens Gieseke, Angelika Niebler, Andreas Schwab, Ralf Seekatz, David McAllister, Christine Schneider, Stefan Berger, Sabine Verheyen, Rainer Wieland, Monika Hohlmeier, Michael Gahler, Christian Doleschal, Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Daniel Caspary, Herbert Dorfmann

Proposal for a regulation

Article 13 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

Die Aufzeichnungen eines beruflichen Verwenders gemäß Artikel 14 Absatz 1 geben darüber Aufschluss, dass ***er*** alle folgenden Optionen in Betracht gezogen ***hat***:

Amendment

Berufliche Verwender verwenden ein betriebliches Konzept zum integrierten Pflanzenschutz, welches darüber Aufschluss ***gibt***, dass alle folgenden Optionen in Betracht gezogen ***sind***:

Amendment 1733

Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław Kalinowski, Joanna Kopcińska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

Proposal for a regulation

Article 13 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

A professional user's **records referred to in Article 14(1)** shall **demonstrate that he or she has considered all of** the following options:

Amendment

A professional user's, **if applicable**, shall **consider** the following **IPM principles**:

Or. en

Amendment 1734

Esther de Lange

Proposal for a regulation

Article 13 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

A professional user's records referred to in Article 14(1) shall demonstrate that he or she has considered **all of the following** options:

Amendment

A professional user's records referred to in Article 14(1) shall demonstrate that he or she has considered **alternative** options, **such as**:

Or. en

Amendment 1735

Sirpa Pietikäinen

Proposal for a regulation

Article 13 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

A professional user's records referred to in Article 14(1) shall demonstrate that he or she has **considered** all of the following options:

Amendment

A professional user's records referred to in Article 14(1) shall demonstrate that he or she has **employed** all of the following options:

Or. en

Amendment 1736

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation

Article 13 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

A professional user's records referred to in Article 14(1) shall demonstrate that ***he or she has*** considered all of the following options:

Amendment

A professional user's records referred to in Article 14(1) shall demonstrate that ***they have*** considered all of the following options:

Or. en

Amendment 1737

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation

Article 13 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1 – indent -1 (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

- ***Measures to establish, maintain and restore biodiversity which protect and enhance important beneficial organisms,***

Or. en

Amendment 1738

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation

Article 13 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1 – indent -1 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

- ***a provision of at least 10% of ecological infrastructures under high diversity landscape features, such as flower strips or hedge and tree rows,***

Or. en

Amendment 1739

Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan, Delara Burkhardt

Proposal for a regulation

Article 13 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1 – indent 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

— crop rotation,

— crop rotation ***of at least five years
or four years with leguminous,***

Or. en

Amendment 1740

Martin Hojsik, Michal Wiezik, María Soraya Rodríguez Ramos, Frédérique Ries

Proposal for a regulation

Article 13 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1 – indent 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

— crop rotation,

— crop rotation ***of at least four years,***

Or. en

Amendment 1741

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation

Article 13 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1 – indent 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

— crop rotation,

— ***wide and long-term*** crop rotation,

Or. en

Amendment 1742

Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Peter Lundgren, Hermann Tertsch, Margarita de la Pisa Carrión, Mazaly Aguilar, Evžen Tošenovský, Sergio Berlato, Jorge Buxadé Villalba, Eugen Jurzyca, Pietro Fiocchi, Charlie Weimers, Alexander Bernhuber, Johan Nissinen

Proposal for a regulation

Article 13 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1 – indent 1 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

- ***Use of methods of capture of harmful organisms through biotechnical systems based on sexual attraction of males***

Or. en

Amendment 1743

Eric Andrieu

Proposal for a regulation

Article 13 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1 – indent 1 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

- ***enhanced crop diversity, including the mixing of different varieties in the same field, intercropping or polyculture,***

Or. en

Amendment 1744

Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan

Proposal for a regulation

Article 13 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1 – indent 1 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

- ***enhanced crop diversity, including the mixing of different varieties, intercropping or polyculture,***

Or. en

Amendment 1745

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation

Article 13 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1 – indent 1 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

- **enhanced crop diversity, including the mixing of different varieties, intercropping or polyculture,**

Or. en

Amendment 1746

Sylvia Limmer

Proposal for a regulation

Article 13 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1 – indent 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

— **Anwendung moderner Kultivierungsverfahren, einschließlich Unkrautbekämpfung im abgesetzten Saatbett vor der Saat/Pflanzung, Aussaattermine und -dichte, Untersaat, Zwischenfruchtbau, konservierende Bodenbearbeitung, Schnitt und Direktsaat);** **entfällt**

Or. de

Amendment 1747

Esther de Lange

Proposal for a regulation

Article 13 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1 – indent 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

— use of modern cultivation techniques, **including stale seedbed technique, sowing dates and densities, under-sowing, intercropping, conservation tillage, pruning and direct sowing,**

— use of modern cultivation techniques,

Or. en

Amendment 1748

Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan, Delara Burkhardt

Proposal for a regulation

Article 13 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1 – indent 2

Text proposed by the Commission

— use of modern cultivation techniques, including stale seedbed technique, sowing dates and densities, under-sowing, intercropping, conservation tillage, pruning and direct sowing,

Amendment

— use of modern cultivation techniques, including stale seedbed technique, sowing dates and densities, under-sowing, intercropping, conservation tillage, pruning and direct sowing, ***with preference being given to appropriate herbicide-free methods where available,***

Or. en

Amendment 1749

Eric Andrieu

Proposal for a regulation

Article 13 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1 – indent 2

Text proposed by the Commission

— use of ***modern*** cultivation techniques, including stale seedbed technique, sowing dates ***and*** densities, under-sowing, intercropping, conservation tillage, pruning and direct sowing,

Amendment

— use of ***agroecological*** cultivation techniques, including stale seedbed technique, ***appropriate*** sowing dates, densities ***and spacing***, under-sowing, intercropping, ***non-chemical*** conservation tillage, pruning and direct sowing,

Or. en

Amendment 1750

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjärd

Proposal for a regulation

Article 13 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1 – indent 2

Text proposed by the Commission

— use of modern cultivation techniques, including ***stale seedbed technique***, sowing dates and densities, under-sowing, intercropping, ***conservation*** tillage, ***pruning*** and direct sowing,

Amendment

— use of modern cultivation techniques, including sowing dates and densities, under-sowing, intercropping, tillage and direct sowing,

Or. en

Amendment 1751

Esther de Lange

Proposal for a regulation

Article 13 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1 – indent 2 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

- ***the use of new breeding techniques to enhance crop resilience,***

Or. en

Amendment 1752

Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Peter Lundgren, Hermann Tertsch, Margarita de la Pisa Carrión, Mazaly Aguilar, Evžen Tošenovský, Sergio Berlato, Jorge Buxadé Villalba, Eugen Jurzyca, Charlie Weimers, Alexander Bernhuber, Johan Nissinen

Proposal for a regulation

Article 13 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1 – indent 2 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

- ***Use of low-risk plant protection products***

Or. en

Amendment 1753

Esther de Lange

Proposal for a regulation

Article 13 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1 – indent 2 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

- ***Use of low-risk plant protection products,***

Or. en

Amendment 1754

Christine Schneider, Ralf Seekatz, Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Sven Simon, Angelika Niebler, Monika Hohlmeier, Christian Doleschal, Franc Bogovič, Marlene Mortler, Daniel Caspary, Rainer Wieland, Sabine Verheyen, Alexander Bernhuber, Christophe Hansen

Proposal for a regulation

Article 13 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1 – indent 3

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

— use of resistant or tolerant cultivars and high quality or certified seed and planting material,

— use of ***well-adapted and robust varieties***, resistant or tolerant cultivars ***provided they are available, marketable and deliver high quality produce***, and high quality or certified seed and planting material,

Or. en

Justification

New, resistant or tolerant cultivars and their produce need to be accepted by the consumer. Otherwise, it is not economically sustainable to cultivate those varieties.

Amendment 1755

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation

Article 13 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1 – indent 3

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

— use of resistant or tolerant cultivars and high quality or certified seed and

— use of ***well adapted and robust***, resistant or tolerant ***non-GMO*** cultivars

planting material,

and high quality or certified seed and
planting material,

Or. en

Amendment 1756

Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan, Delara Burkhardt

Proposal for a regulation

Article 13 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1 – indent 3

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

— use of resistant or tolerant cultivars
and high quality or certified seed and
planting material,

— use of ***adapted and*** resistant or
tolerant cultivars and high quality or
certified seed and planting material,

Or. en

Amendment 1757

**Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič,
Jorge Buxadé Villalba, Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar
Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjård**

Proposal for a regulation

Article 13 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1 – indent 3

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

— use of resistant or tolerant cultivars
and high quality ***or certified*** seed and
planting material,

— use of resistant or tolerant cultivars
and high quality seed and planting
material,

Or. en

Amendment 1758

**Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Peter Lundgren, Hermann Tertsch, Margarita
de la Pisa Carrión, Mazaly Aguilar, Evžen Tošenovský, Sergio Berlato, Jorge Buxadé
Villalba, Eugen Jurzyca, Charlie Weimers, Alexander Bernhuber, Johan Nissinen**

Proposal for a regulation

Article 13 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1 – indent 3 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

- ***Use of non-synthetic alternatives to conventional plant protection products, including those authorized under Regulation 2018/848***

Or. en



2022/0196(COD)

4.4.2023

AMENDMENTS

1759 - 2139

Draft report
Sarah Wiener
(PE742.368v01-00)

proposal for a regulation of the European Parliament and of the Council on the sustainable use of plant protection products and amending Regulation (EU) 2021/2115

Proposal for a regulation
(COM(2022)0305 – C9-0207/2022 – 2022/0196(COD))

AM_Com_LegReport

Amendment 1759
Sylvia Limmer

Proposal for a regulation
Article 13 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1 – indent 5

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

— **Vorbeugung gegen die Ausbreitung von Schadorganismen durch Hygienemaßnahmen, auch durch regelmäßiges Reinigen der Maschinen und Geräte;** **entfällt**

Or. de

Amendment 1760
Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan

Proposal for a regulation
Article 13 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1 – indent 6

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

— protection and enhancement of important beneficial organisms, including beneficial plant protection measures **or** the utilisation of ecological infrastructures inside and outside production sites,

— protection and enhancement of important beneficial organisms, including beneficial plant protection measures **as well as** the utilisation of ecological infrastructures **covering at least 10% of the UUA** inside and outside production sites,

Or. en

Amendment 1761
Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Jorge Buxadé Villalba, Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjård

Proposal for a regulation
Article 13 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1 – indent 6

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

— protection and enhancement of important beneficial organisms, including

— protection and enhancement of important beneficial organisms, including

beneficial plant protection measures or the utilisation of ecological infrastructures
inside and outside production sites,

beneficial plant protection measures or the utilisation of ecological infrastructures,

Or. en

Amendment 1762

Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Peter Lundgren, Hermann Tertsch, Margarita de la Pisa Carrión, Mazaly Aguilar, Evžen Tošenovský, Sergio Berlato, Jorge Buxadé Villalba, Eugen Jurzyca, Pietro Fiocchi, Charlie Weimers, Alexander Bernhuber, Johan Nissinen

Proposal for a regulation

Article 13 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1 – indent 7 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

- *Where feasible, digital and precision farming technologies able to provide professional users with support in implementing the options listed in Art 14(2), with specific regard to crop rotation, seed selection, habitat location and potential, optimised fertilisation based on soil data.*

Or. en

Amendment 1763

Silvia Sardone

Proposal for a regulation

Article 13 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1 – indent 7 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

- *- metodi di cattura di organismi nocivi basati sull'utilizzo di semiochimici;*
- *uso di prodotti fitosanitari a basso rischio*

Or. it

Amendment 1764
Esther de Lange

Proposal for a regulation

Article 13 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1 – indent 7 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

- *pest exclusion by methods of capture of harmful organisms through biotechnical systems.*

Or. en

Amendment 1765

Jan Huitema, Emma Wiesner, Ulrike Müller, Erik Poulsen, Andreas Glück, Asger Christensen, Billy Kelleher

Proposal for a regulation

Article 13 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1 – indent 7 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

- *use of biological control agents;*

Or. en

Amendment 1766

Sylvia Limmer

Proposal for a regulation

Article 13 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

- *biologische Schädlingsbekämpfung*

Or. de

Amendment 1767

Silvia Sardone

Proposal for a regulation

Article 13 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

***Qualora un utilizzatore professionale non
abbia applicato una misura elencata al
primo comma del presente paragrafo, i
registri di cui all'articolo 14, paragrafo 1,
ne indicano le ragioni.*** **soppresso**

Or. it

Amendment 1768

Ulrike Müller, Jan Huitema, Emma Wiesner, Andreas Glück

Proposal for a regulation

Article 13 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

***Where a professional user has not applied
a measure listed in the first subparagraph
of this paragraph, the records referred to
in Article 14(1) shall contain reasons
thereof.*** **deleted**

Or. en

Justification

Obligations to keep records on reasons not to chose certain instruments would put undue administrative burden on farmers and is an inappropriate expression of systematic mistrust in the profession.

Amendment 1769

**Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič,
Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis,
Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjärd**

Proposal for a regulation

Article 13 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Where a professional user has not applied a measure listed in the first subparagraph of this paragraph, the records referred to in Article 14(1) shall contain reasons thereof. ***deleted***

Or. en

Amendment 1770

Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław Kalinowski, Joanna Kopcińska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

Proposal for a regulation

Article 13 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Where a professional user has not applied a measure listed in the first subparagraph of this paragraph, the records referred to in Article 14(1) shall contain reasons thereof. ***deleted***

Or. en

Amendment 1771

Sylvia Limmer

Proposal for a regulation

Article 13 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Hat ein beruflicher Verwender eine in Unterabsatz 1 aufgeführte Maßnahme nicht angewandt, so ist dies in den in Artikel 14 Absatz 1 genannten Aufzeichnungen zu begründen. ***entfällt***

Or. de

Amendment 1772
Michèle Rivasi, Benoît Biteau

Proposal for a regulation
Article 13 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Where a professional user has not applied a measure listed in the first subparagraph of this paragraph, the records referred to in Article 14(1) shall contain reasons thereof.

Amendment

Where a professional user has not applied a measure listed in the first subparagraph of this paragraph, the records referred to in Article 14(1) shall contain reasons thereof ***including possible non-agronomic reasons such as agricultural product commercialisation barriers.***

Or. en

Amendment 1773
Stanislav Polčák

Proposal for a regulation
Article 13 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Pokud profesionální uživatel neuplatnil opatření uvedené v prvním pododstavci tohoto odstavce, musí záznamy podle čl. 14 odst. 1 obsahovat důvody tohoto ***kroku.***

Amendment

Pokud profesionální uživatel neuplatnil opatření uvedené v prvním pododstavci tohoto odstavce, musí záznamy podle čl. 14 odst. 1 obsahovat důvody tohoto ***uplatnění.***

Or. cs

Amendment 1774
Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Lena Düpont, Jens Gieseke, Angelika Niebler, Andreas Schwab, Ralf Seekatz, David McAllister, Christine Schneider, Stefan Berger, Sabine Verheyen, Rainer Wieland, Monika Hohlmeier, Michael Gahler, Christian Doleschal, Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Daniel Caspary, Herbert Dorfmann

Proposal for a regulation
Article 13 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Hat ein beruflicher Verwender eine in Unterabsatz 1 aufgeführte Maßnahme nicht **angewandt**, so ist dies **in den in Artikel 14 Absatz 1 genannten Aufzeichnungen** zu begründen.

Amendment

Hat ein beruflicher Verwender eine in Unterabsatz 1 aufgeführte Maßnahme nicht **im Konzept aufgegriffen**, so ist dies zu begründen. **Das Konzept ist alle drei Jahre zu erneuern.**

Or. de

Amendment 1775
Sylvia Limmer

Proposal for a regulation
Article 13 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

(3) Berufliche Verwender überwachen Schadorganismen mittels geeigneter Methoden und Instrumente. Diese Methoden und Instrumente umfassen mindestens eines der folgenden Elemente:

- a) Beobachtungen vor Ort;**
- b) wissenschaftlich fundierte Warn-, Prognose- und Früherkennungssysteme, sofern möglich;**
- c) die Inanspruchnahme einer Beratung durch fachlich qualifizierte Berater.**

Amendment

entfällt

Or. de

Amendment 1776
Esther de Lange

Proposal for a regulation
Article 13 – paragraph 3 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

3. Professional users shall monitor harmful organisms by appropriate methods

Amendment

3. Professional users shall monitor harmful organisms by appropriate methods

and tools. ***Such methods and tools shall include at least one of the following:***

and tools.

Or. en

Amendment 1777

Martin Hojsík, Michal Wiezik, María Soraya Rodríguez Ramos, Róza Thun und Hohenstein, Frédérique Ries

Proposal for a regulation

Article 13 – paragraph 3 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

3. Professional users shall monitor harmful organisms by appropriate methods and tools. Such methods and tools shall include at least one of the following:

Amendment

3. Professional users shall ***regularly*** monitor harmful organisms by appropriate methods and tools. Such methods and tools shall include at least one of the following:

Or. en

Amendment 1778

Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan

Proposal for a regulation

Article 13 – paragraph 3 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

3. Professional users shall monitor harmful organisms by appropriate methods and tools. Such methods and tools shall include ***at least one of*** the following:

Amendment

3. Professional users shall monitor harmful organisms by appropriate methods and tools. Such methods and tools shall include the following:

Or. en

Amendment 1779

Esther de Lange

Proposal for a regulation

Article 13 – paragraph 3 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(a) ***observations in the field;*** ***deleted***

Or. en

Amendment 1780

Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan

Proposal for a regulation

Article 13 – paragraph 3 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(a) observations in the field; (a) observations ***and monitoring*** in the field;

Or. en

Amendment 1781

Esther de Lange

Proposal for a regulation

Article 13 – paragraph 3 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) ***scientifically sound warning, forecasting and early diagnosis systems, where feasible;*** ***deleted***

Or. en

Amendment 1782

Esther de Lange

Proposal for a regulation

Article 13 – paragraph 3 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(c) ***the use of advice from*** ***deleted***

professionally qualified advisors.

Or. en

Amendment 1783

Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan

Proposal for a regulation

Article 13 – paragraph 3 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

(c) the use of advice from
professionally qualified advisors.

Amendment

(c) the use of advice from
professionally qualified, ***independent***
advisors ***in accordance with Article 26;***

Or. en

Amendment 1784

**Martin Hojsik, Michal Wiezik, María Soraya Rodríguez Ramos, Róza Thun und
Hohenstein, Frédérique Ries**

Proposal for a regulation

Article 13 – paragraph 3 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

(c) the use of advice from
professionally qualified advisors.

Amendment

(c) the use of advice from
professionally qualified, ***impartial and
independent*** advisors.

Or. en

Amendment 1785

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation

Article 13 – paragraph 3 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

(c) the use of advice from
professionally qualified advisors.

Amendment

(c) the use of advice from
professionally qualified ***independent***

advisors.

Or. en

Amendment 1786
Sylvia Limmer

Proposal for a regulation
Article 13 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(4) Berufliche Verwender wenden die biologische Bekämpfung sowie physikalische und andere nichtchemische Methoden an. Berufliche Verwender dürfen chemische Verfahren nur dann anwenden, wenn sie erforderlich sind, um eine Bekämpfung der Schadorganismen bis auf ein annehmbares Maß zu erreichen, nachdem alle anderen nichtchemischen Methoden gemäß den Absätzen 1, 2 und 3 ausgeschöpft sind und eine der folgenden Bedingungen erfüllt ist:

a) Die Ergebnisse der Überwachung von Schadorganismen zeigen anhand dokumentierter Beobachtungen, dass aufgrund des Auftretens einer hinreichend großen Anzahl von Schadorganismen chemische Pflanzenschutzmaßnahmen rechtzeitig durchgeführt werden müssen.

b) Wurde dies durch ein Entscheidungshilfesystem oder durch einen Berater, der die Voraussetzungen gemäß Artikel 23 erfüllt, gerechtfertigt, entscheidet der berufliche Verwender im Wege einer dokumentierten Entscheidung, aus Präventionsgründen chemische Verfahren des Pflanzenschutzes anzuwenden.

entfällt

Or. de

Amendment 1787

Jan Huitema, Emma Wiesner, Ulrike Müller, Erik Poulsen, Nils Torvalds, Andreas Glück, Asger Christensen, Billy Kelleher

Proposal for a regulation

Article 13 – paragraph 4 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

4. Professional users shall use biological controls, physical and other non-chemical methods. Professional users may only use chemical methods if they are necessary to achieve acceptable levels of harmful organism control after all other non-chemical methods as set out in paragraphs 1, 2 and 3 have been exhausted **and where any of the following conditions has been satisfied:**

Amendment

4. Professional users shall, **where necessary**, use biological controls, physical and other non-chemical methods. Professional users may only use chemical methods if they are necessary to achieve acceptable levels of harmful organism control after all other non-chemical methods as set out in paragraphs 1, 2 and 3 have been exhausted **or are considered not to be the most effective measures to meet the principles of integrated pest management as defined in Article 3 (15), or if the use of chemical methods is considered to be economically and ecologically justified.**

Or. en

Amendment 1788

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Jorge Buxadé Villalba, Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjärd

Proposal for a regulation

Article 13 – paragraph 4 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

4. Professional users shall use **biological controls, physical and other non-chemical methods. Professional users may only use chemical methods if they are necessary to achieve acceptable levels of harmful organism control after all other non-chemical methods as set out in paragraphs 1, 2 and 3 have been exhausted and where any of the following**

Amendment

4. Professional users shall use **the best suited control method following integrated pest management principles and taking into account** the following conditions: :

conditions *has been satisfied*:

Or. en

Amendment 1789

Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław Kalinowski, Joanna Kopcińska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

Proposal for a regulation

Article 13 – paragraph 4 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

4. Professional users shall use biological controls, physical and other non-chemical methods. Professional users may only use chemical methods if they are necessary to achieve acceptable levels of harmful organism ***control after all other non-chemical methods as set out in paragraphs 1, 2 and 3 have been exhausted and*** where ***any of the following conditions has been satisfied***:

Amendment

4. Professional users shall use biological controls, physical and other non-chemical methods. Professional users may only use chemical methods if they are necessary to achieve acceptable levels of harmful organism, ***taking into account, where appropriate***:

Or. en

Amendment 1790

Martin Hojsík, Michal Wieszik, María Soraya Rodríguez Ramos, Róza Thun und Hohenstein, Frédérique Ries

Proposal for a regulation

Article 13 – paragraph 4 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

4. Professional users shall ***use*** biological controls, ***physical*** and other non-chemical methods. Professional users may only use chemical methods if they are necessary to achieve acceptable levels of harmful organism control after all other non-chemical methods as set out in paragraphs 1, 2 and 3 have been exhausted and where any of the following conditions

Amendment

4. Professional users shall ***observe integrated pest management hierarchy, including physical methods, use of*** biological controls and other non-chemical methods. Professional users may only use chemical methods if they are necessary to achieve acceptable levels of harmful organism control after all other non-chemical methods as set out in paragraphs 1, 2 and 3 have been exhausted and where

has been satisfied:

any of the following conditions has been satisfied:

Or. en

Amendment 1791

Franz Bogovič, Marlene Mortler, Ljudmila Novak, Alexander Bernhuber

Proposal for a regulation

Article 13 – paragraph 4 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

4. Professional users shall use biological controls, physical and other non-chemical methods. Professional users may **only** use chemical methods if they are necessary to achieve acceptable levels of harmful organism control after all other non-chemical methods as set out in paragraphs 1, 2 and 3 have been **exhausted** and where any of the following conditions has been satisfied:

Amendment

4. Professional users shall use biological controls, physical and other non-chemical methods **when available, efficient and affordable**. Professional users may use chemical methods if they are necessary to achieve acceptable levels of harmful organism control after all other non-chemical methods as set out in paragraphs 1, 2 and 3 have been **taken into account and analysed** and where any of the following conditions has been satisfied:

Or. en

Amendment 1792

Esther de Lange

Proposal for a regulation

Article 13 – paragraph 4 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

4. Professional users shall use biological controls, physical and other non-chemical methods. Professional users may only use chemical methods if they are necessary to achieve acceptable levels of harmful organism control after all other non-chemical methods as set out in paragraphs 1, 2 and 3 have been exhausted **and where any of the following conditions**

Amendment

4. Professional users shall use biological controls, physical and other non-chemical methods. Professional users may only use chemical methods if they are necessary to achieve acceptable levels of harmful organism control after all other non-chemical methods as set out in paragraphs 1, 2 and 3 have been exhausted.

has been satisfied:

Or. en

Amendment 1793

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation

Article 13 – paragraph 4 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

4. Professional users shall use biological controls, physical and other non-chemical methods. Professional users may only use chemical methods if they **are** necessary to achieve acceptable levels of harmful organism control after all other non-chemical methods as set out in paragraphs 1, 2 and 3 have been exhausted and where **any of** the following conditions **has** been satisfied:

Amendment

4. Professional users shall use biological controls, physical and other non-chemical methods. Professional users may only use chemical methods if they **have been proven to be absolutely** necessary to achieve acceptable levels of harmful organism control after all other non-chemical methods as set out in paragraphs 1, 2 and 3 have been exhausted and where the following conditions **have** been satisfied:

Or. en

Amendment 1794

Christine Schneider, Ralf Seekatz, Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Sven Simon, Angelika Niebler, Monika Hohlmeier, Christian Doleschal, Franc Bogovič, Marlene Mortler, Daniel Caspary, Rainer Wieland, Sabine Verheyen, Alexander Bernhuber, Christophe Hansen

Proposal for a regulation

Article 13 – paragraph 4 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

4. Professional users shall use biological controls, physical and other non-chemical methods. Professional users may only use chemical methods if **they are necessary to achieve** acceptable levels of harmful organism control after all other non-chemical methods as set out in paragraphs 1, 2 and 3 have been exhausted

Amendment

4. Professional users shall use biological controls, physical and other non-chemical methods. Professional users may only use chemical methods if **the aim of achieving** acceptable levels of harmful organism control **would not be reached** after all other non-chemical methods as set out in paragraphs 1, 2 and 3 have been

and where any of the following conditions has been satisfied:

exhausted and where any of the following conditions has been satisfied:

Or. en

Amendment 1795

Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Lena Düpont, Jens Gieseke, Angelika Niebler, Andreas Schwab, Ralf Seekatz, David McAllister, Christine Schneider, Stefan Berger, Sabine Verheyen, Rainer Wieland, Monika Hohlmeier, Michael Gahler, Christian Doleschal, Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Alexander Bernhuber, Daniel Caspary, Herbert Dorfmann, Simone Schmiedtbauer

Proposal for a regulation

Article 13 – paragraph 4 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

(4) Berufliche Verwender wenden die biologische Bekämpfung sowie physikalische und andere nichtchemische Methoden an. Berufliche Verwender **dürfen** chemische Verfahren nur dann **anwenden**, wenn sie erforderlich sind, um eine Bekämpfung der Schadorganismen bis auf ein annehmbares Maß zu erreichen, **nachdem** alle anderen nichtchemischen Methoden **gemäß den Absätzen 1, 2 und 3** ausgeschöpft sind **und** eine der folgenden Bedingungen erfüllt ist:

Amendment

(4) Berufliche Verwender wenden die biologische Bekämpfung sowie physikalische und andere nichtchemische Methoden an. Berufliche Verwender **wenden** chemische Verfahren nur dann **an**, wenn sie erforderlich sind, um eine Bekämpfung der Schadorganismen bis auf ein annehmbares Maß zu erreichen, **wenn** alle anderen nichtchemischen Methoden ausgeschöpft sind **oder** eine der folgenden Bedingungen erfüllt ist:

Or. de

Amendment 1796

Ulrike Müller, Emma Wiesner, Andreas Glück

Proposal for a regulation

Article 13 – paragraph 4 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

4. Professional users shall use biological controls, physical and other non-chemical methods. Professional users may only use chemical methods if they are necessary to achieve acceptable levels of

Amendment

4. Professional users shall use biological controls, physical and other non-chemical methods **where appropriate**. Professional users may only use chemical methods if they are necessary to achieve

harmful organism control ***after all other non-chemical methods as set out*** in paragraphs 1, 2 and 3 ***have been exhausted*** and where any of the following conditions has been satisfied:

acceptable levels of harmful organism control in ***accordance with*** paragraphs 1, 2 and 3 and where any of the following conditions has been satisfied:

Or. en

Justification

IPM is a valuable guideline that assists farmers in the choice of appropriate instruments in pest prevention and treatment. Making all steps of the hierarchy binding however does not adequately reflect differences in local conditions and might prevent farmers from taking required action to prevent crop loss or failure in due time. IPM therefore should maintain a guideline character.

Amendment 1797

Jan Huitema, Emma Wiesner, Ulrike Müller, Erik Poulsen, Andreas Glück, Asger Christensen, Billy Kelleher

Proposal for a regulation

Article 13 – paragraph 4 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(a) the results of monitoring of harmful organisms show, based on recorded observation, that chemical plant protection measures need to be applied in a timely manner because of the presence of a sufficiently high number of harmful organisms.

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 1798

Esther de Lange

Proposal for a regulation

Article 13 – paragraph 4 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(a) the results of monitoring of harmful organisms show, based on

deleted

recorded observation, that chemical plant protection measures need to be applied in a timely manner because of the presence of a sufficiently high number of harmful organisms.

Or. en

Amendment 1799

Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław Kalinowski, Joanna Kopcińska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

Proposal for a regulation

Article 13 – paragraph 4 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) the results of monitoring of harmful organisms *show, based on recorded observation, that chemical plant protection measures need to be applied in a timely manner because of the presence of a sufficiently high number of harmful organisms.*

Amendment

(a) the results of monitoring of harmful organisms.

Or. en

Amendment 1800

Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Peter Lundgren, Hermann Tertsch, Margarita de la Pisa Carrión, Mazaly Aguilar, Evžen Tošenovský, Sergio Berlato, Jorge Buxadé Villalba, Eugen Jurzyca, Charlie Weimers, Alexander Bernhuber, Johan Nissinen

Proposal for a regulation

Article 13 – paragraph 4 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) the results of monitoring of harmful organisms show, *based on recorded observation*, that chemical plant protection measures need to be applied in a timely manner because of the presence of *a sufficiently high number of* harmful organisms.

Amendment

(a) the results of monitoring of harmful organisms *or scientifically documented agronomic local situations* show that chemical plant protection measures need to be applied in a timely manner because of the presence of harmful organisms *which surpasses economic thresholds.*

Amendment 1801

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjärd

Proposal for a regulation

Article 13 – paragraph 4 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) the **results of** monitoring of harmful organisms show, **based on recorded observation**, that chemical plant protection measures need to be applied in a timely manner because of the presence of a sufficiently high number of harmful organisms.

Amendment

(a) the **know-how and** monitoring of harmful organisms show, that chemical plant protection measures need to be applied in a timely manner because of the presence of a sufficiently high number of harmful organisms.

Or. en

Amendment 1802

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation

Article 13 – paragraph 4 – point a a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(a a) the chemical pesticide is applied in such a way that it will only impact the targeted harmful organism;

Or. en

Amendment 1803

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation

Article 13 – paragraph 4 – point a b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(a b) Treatment of propagation materials and of soil with chemical pesticides is not allowed.

Or. en

Amendment 1804

Esther de Lange

Proposal for a regulation

Article 13 – paragraph 4 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) where justified by a decision-support system, or by an advisor who meets the conditions laid down in Article 23, the professional user decides, by way of a recorded decision, to use chemical plant protection products methods for preventative reasons.

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 1805

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation

Article 13 – paragraph 4 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) where justified by a decision-support system, or by an advisor who meets the conditions laid down in Article 23, the professional user decides, by way of a recorded decision, to use chemical plant protection products methods for preventative reasons.

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 1806

Jan Huitema, Emma Wiesner, Ulrike Müller, Erik Poulsen, Andreas Glück, Asger Christensen, Billy Kelleher

Proposal for a regulation

Article 13 – paragraph 4 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) where justified by a decision-support system, or by an advisor who meets the conditions laid down in Article 23, the professional user decides, by way of a recorded decision, to use chemical plant protection products methods for preventative reasons. **deleted**

Or. en

Amendment 1807

Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław Kalinowski, Joanna Kopcińska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

Proposal for a regulation

Article 13 – paragraph 4 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) where justified by a decision-support system, or by an advisor who meets the conditions laid down in Article 23, the professional user decides, by way of a recorded decision, to use chemical plant protection products methods for preventative reasons. **(b) indication of a decision-support system.**

Or. en

Amendment 1808

Martin Hojsík, Michal Wieszik, María Soraya Rodríguez Ramos, Róza Thun und Hohenstein, Frédérique Ries

Proposal for a regulation
Article 13 – paragraph 4 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) where justified by a decision-support system, or by an advisor who meets the conditions laid down in Article 23, **the professional user decides**, by way of a recorded **decision**, to use chemical plant protection products methods for preventative reasons.

Amendment

(b) where justified by a decision-support system, or by an advisor who meets the conditions laid down in Article 23, by way of a recorded **request for exceptional application** to use chemical plant protection products methods for preventative reasons, **and after approval of competent authority, taking into account also previous farming practices of the professional user.**

Or. en

Justification

Preventative use of chemical plant protection products should be allowed on strictly exceptional basis, and so should be subject to the decision of competent authorities. Those should, on their side, make sure that professional users understand that derogations for preventative use of chemical plant protection products are no long-term solutions to be used repetitively.

Amendment 1809
Ulrike Müller, Andreas Glück

Proposal for a regulation
Article 13 – paragraph 4 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) where justified by a decision-support system, or by an advisor who meets the conditions laid down in Article 23, the professional user decides, by way of a recorded decision, to use chemical plant protection products methods for preventative reasons.

Amendment

(b) where **it can be reasonably expected that preventative use would require less input than symptomatic treatment**, justified by a decision-support system, or by an advisor who meets the conditions laid down in Article 23, the professional user decides, by way of a recorded decision, to use chemical plant protection products methods for preventative reasons.

Or. en

Amendment 1810

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjärd

Proposal for a regulation

Article 13 – paragraph 4 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) where ***justified by a decision-support system, or by an advisor who meets the conditions laid down in Article 23***, the professional user ***decides, by way of a recorded decision***, to use chemical plant protection products methods for preventative reasons.

Amendment

(b) where ***necessary***, the professional user ***may decide*** to use chemical plant protection products methods for preventative reasons.

Or. en

Amendment 1811

Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Lena Düpont, Jens Gieseke, Angelika Niebler, Andreas Schwab, Ralf Seekatz, David McAllister, Christine Schneider, Stefan Berger, Sabine Verheyen, Rainer Wieland, Monika Hohlmeier, Michael Gahler, Christian Doleschal, Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Alexander Bernhuber, Daniel Caspary, Herbert Dorfmann, Simone Schmiedtbauer

Proposal for a regulation

Article 13 – paragraph 4 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

b) Wurde dies durch ein Entscheidungshilfesystem oder durch einen Berater, ***der die Voraussetzungen gemäß Artikel 23 erfüllt***, gerechtfertigt, entscheidet der berufliche Verwender im Wege einer dokumentierten Entscheidung, aus Präventionsgründen chemische Verfahren des Pflanzenschutzes anzuwenden.

Amendment

b) Wurde dies durch ein Entscheidungshilfesystem oder durch einen Berater gerechtfertigt, entscheidet der berufliche Verwender im Wege einer dokumentierten Entscheidung, aus Präventionsgründen chemische Verfahren des Pflanzenschutzes anzuwenden.

Or. de

Amendment 1812

Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Peter Lundgren, Hermann Tertsch, Margarita de la Pisa Carrión, Mazaly Aguilar, Evžen Tošenovský, Sergio Berlato, Jorge Buxadé Villalba, Eugen Jurzyca, Charlie Weimers, Alexander Bernhuber, Johan Nissinen

Proposal for a regulation

Article 13 – paragraph 4 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) where justified by a decision-support system, or by an advisor who meets the conditions laid down in Article 23, the professional user decides, **by way of a recorded decision**, to use chemical plant protection products methods for preventative reasons.

Amendment

(b) where justified by a decision-support system, or by an advisor who meets the conditions laid down in Article 23 **or by filed observation**, the professional user decides to use chemical plant protection products methods for preventative reasons.

Or. en

Amendment 1813

Franc Bogovič, Marlene Mortler, Ljudmila Novak, Alexander Bernhuber

Proposal for a regulation

Article 13 – paragraph 4 – point b a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b a) where justified by a decision-support system, including historical records on disease monitoring, or by an advisor who meets the conditions laid down in Article 23, the professional user decides, by way of recorded decision, to use seed treatment among other anticipative methods.

Or. en

Justification

Seed treatment is a sustainable IMP practice because it allows to use lower doses of PPPs during the later stages of the plant growth. Therefore it should be enlisted among the anticipative methods of IMP.

Amendment 1814

Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław Kalinowski, Joanna Kopcińska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

Proposal for a regulation

Article 13 – paragraph 4 – point b a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b a) Advices provided by an advisor.

Or. en

Amendment 1815

Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Lena Düpont, Jens Gieseke, Angelika Niebler, Andreas Schwab, Ralf Seekatz, David McAllister, Christine Schneider, Stefan Berger, Sabine Verheyen, Rainer Wieland, Monika Hohlmeier, Michael Gahler, Christian Doleschal, Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Alexander Bernhuber, Daniel Caspary, Herbert Dorfmann, Simone Schmiedtbauer

Proposal for a regulation

Article 13 – paragraph 5

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

**(5) Berufliche Verwender wenden *entfällt*
Pflanzenschutzmittel an, die die
Schadorganismen so zielartenspezifisch
wie möglich bekämpfen und die
geringsten Nebenwirkungen für die
menschliche Gesundheit,
Nichtzielorganismen und die Umwelt
haben.**

Or. de

Amendment 1816

Dolors Montserrat, Juan Ignacio Zoido Álvarez

Proposal for a regulation

Article 13 – paragraph 5

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

5. Professional users shall apply plant 5. Professional users shall *prioritise*

protection products that are as specific as possible to control the harmful organisms and have the least side effects on human health, non-target organisms and the environment.

and apply plant protection products that are as specific as possible **and with as little drift as possible, such as endotherapy**, to control the harmful organisms and have the least side effects on human health, non-target organisms and the environment.

Or. en

Amendment 1817
Esther de Lange

Proposal for a regulation
Article 13 – paragraph 5

Text proposed by the Commission

5. Professional users shall apply plant protection products that are as specific as possible to control the harmful organisms and have the least side effects on human health, non-target organisms and the environment.

Amendment

5. Professional users shall apply plant protection products that are as specific as possible to control the harmful organisms and have the least side effects on human health, non-target organisms and the environment, **including by taking all possible measures to prevent emission to water**.

Or. en

Amendment 1818
Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjärd

Proposal for a regulation
Article 13 – paragraph 5

Text proposed by the Commission

5. Professional users shall apply plant protection products that are **as specific as possible to control the harmful organisms and have the least side effects on human health, non-target organisms and the environment**.

Amendment

5. Professional users shall apply plant protection products that are **economically and ecologically justifiable**.

Or. en

Amendment 1819

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation

Article 13 – paragraph 5

Text proposed by the Commission

5. Professional users shall apply **plant protection products that** are as specific as possible to control the harmful organisms and have the least side effects on human health, non-target organisms and the environment.

Amendment

5. Professional users shall apply **pesticides that have the lowest risk profile and** are as specific as possible to control the harmful organisms and have the least side effects on human **and animal** health, **beneficial and** non-target organisms and the environment.

Or. en

Amendment 1820

Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław Kalinowski, Joanna Kopcińska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

Proposal for a regulation

Article 13 – paragraph 5 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

5 a. When selecting a plant protection product, professional users should take into account its selectivity and potential impact on human health, non-target organisms and the environment, as well as local circumstances and anti-resistance strategy.

Or. en

Amendment 1821

Christine Schneider, Ralf Seekatz, Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Sven Simon, Angelika Niebler, Monika Hohlmeier, Christian Doleschal, Franc Bogovič, Marlene Mortler, Daniel Caspary, Rainer Wieland, Sabine Verheyen, Alexander Bernhuber, Christophe Hansen

Proposal for a regulation

Article 13 – paragraph 6 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

6. Professional users shall keep the use of chemical plant protection products and other forms of intervention to levels that do not exceed the levels that are absolutely necessary to control the harmful organisms and that do not increase the risk for development of resistance in populations of harmful organisms. Where possible, professional users shall use the following measures:

Amendment

6. Professional users shall keep the use of chemical plant protection products and other forms of intervention to levels that do not exceed the levels that are absolutely necessary to control the harmful organisms and that do not increase the risk for development of resistance in populations of harmful organisms. Where possible ***and if all other integrated pest management means would have proven unsuccessful,*** professional users shall use the following measures ***when applying plant protection products:***

Or. en

Amendment 1822

Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Peter Lundgren, Hermann Tertsch, Margarita de la Pisa Carrión, Mazaly Aguilar, Evžen Tošenovský, Sergio Berlato, Jorge Buxadé Villalba, Eugen Jurzyca, Charlie Weimers, Alexander Bernhuber, Johan Nissinen

Proposal for a regulation

Article 13 – paragraph 6 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

6. Professional users shall keep the use of chemical plant protection products and other forms of intervention to levels that do not exceed the levels that are absolutely necessary to control the harmful organisms and that do not increase the risk for development of resistance in populations of harmful organisms. Where possible, professional users shall use the following measures:

Amendment

6. Professional users shall keep the use of chemical plant protection products and other forms of intervention to levels that do not exceed the levels that are absolutely necessary to control the harmful organisms and that do not increase the risk for development of resistance in populations of harmful organisms. Where possible, professional users shall use the following measures, ***if allowed and by national legislations on the registration and placing on the market of plant protection products:***

Or. en

Amendment 1823
Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan

Proposal for a regulation
Article 13 – paragraph 6 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

6. Professional users shall keep the use of chemical plant protection products and other forms of intervention to levels that do not exceed the levels that are absolutely necessary to control the harmful organisms and that do not increase the risk for development of resistance in populations of harmful organisms. Where possible, professional users shall use the following measures:

Amendment

6. Professional users shall keep the use of chemical plant protection products and other forms of intervention to levels that do not exceed the levels that are absolutely necessary to control the harmful organisms and that do not increase the risk for development of resistance in populations of harmful organisms. Where possible, professional users shall use the following measures ***when applying chemical plant protection products:***

Or. en

Amendment 1824
Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation
Article 13 – paragraph 6 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

6. Professional users shall keep the use of chemical ***plant protection products*** and other forms of intervention to levels that do not exceed the levels that are absolutely necessary to control the harmful organisms and that do not increase the risk for development of resistance in populations of harmful organisms. ***Where possible,*** professional users shall use the following measures:

Amendment

6. Professional users shall keep the use of chemical ***pesticides*** and other forms of intervention to levels that do not exceed the levels that are absolutely necessary to control the harmful organisms and that do not increase the risk for development of resistance in populations of harmful organisms. Professional users shall use the following measures:

Or. en

Amendment 1825

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjärd

Proposal for a regulation

Article 13 – paragraph 6 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

6. Professional users shall keep the use of chemical plant protection products and other forms of intervention to levels that do not exceed the levels that are absolutely necessary to control the harmful organisms and that do not increase the risk for development of resistance in populations of harmful organisms. Where possible, professional users ***shall use the following*** measures:

Amendment

6. Professional users shall keep the use of chemical plant protection products and other forms of intervention to levels that do not exceed the levels that are absolutely necessary to control the harmful organisms and that do not increase the risk for development of resistance in populations of harmful organisms. Where possible, professional users ***may use*** measures ***such as***:

Or. en

Amendment 1826

Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan

Proposal for a regulation

Article 13 – paragraph 6 – point -a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(-a) use of selective plant protection products;

Or. en

Amendment 1827

Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Lena Düpont, Jens Gieseke, Angelika Niebler, Andreas Schwab, Ralf Seekatz, David McAllister, Christine Schneider, Stefan Berger, Sabine Verheyen, Rainer Wieland, Monika Hohlmeier, Michael Gahler, Christian Doleschal, Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Alexander Bernhuber, Daniel Caspary, Herbert Dorfmann, Simone Schmiedtbauer

Proposal for a regulation

Article 13 – paragraph 6 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

**b) reduzierte Anzahl der
Anwendungen;**

entfällt

Or. de

Amendment 1828

Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Lena Düpont, Jens Gieseke, Angelika Niebler, Andreas Schwab, Ralf Seekatz, David McAllister, Christine Schneider, Stefan Berger, Sabine Verheyen, Rainer Wieland, Monika Hohlmeier, Michael Gahler, Christian Doleschal, Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Alexander Bernhuber, Daniel Caspary, Herbert Dorfmann, Simone Schmiedtbauer

Proposal for a regulation

Article 13 – paragraph 6 – point d

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

d) kleinräumige Anwendung.

entfällt

Or. de

Amendment 1829

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation

Article 13 – paragraph 6 – point d a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(d a) drift reduction measures

Or. en

Amendment 1830

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation

Article 13 – paragraph 6 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

6 a. Professional users shall not use the following practices, as they are not in compliance with Integrated Pest Management:

(a) Prophylactic use of pesticides, inter alia as seed coating, pesticide granules and soil treatments;

(b) Use of genetically modified organisms, including organisms developed using new genomic techniques;

(c) Pesticides making use of the mechanisms of RiboNucleic Acid interference (RNAi);

(d) Pesticides which have been banned or explicitly not-approved for use in the EU;

Or. en

Amendment 1831

Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Peter Lundgren, Hermann Tertsch, Mazaly Aguilar, Evžen Tošenovský, Sergio Berlato, Jorge Buxadé Villalba, Eugen Jurzyca, Charlie Weimers, Alexander Bernhuber, Johan Nissinen

Proposal for a regulation

Article 13 – paragraph 6 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

6 a. Optimal timing of application.

Or. en

Amendment 1832

Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Lena Düpont, Jens Gieseke, Angelika Niebler, Andreas Schwab, Ralf Seekatz, David McAllister, Christine Schneider, Stefan Berger, Sabine Verheyen, Rainer Wieland, Monika Hohlmeier, Michael Gahler, Christian Doleschal, Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Alexander Bernhuber, Daniel Caspary, Herbert Dorfmann, Simone Schmiedtbauer

Proposal for a regulation

Article 13 – paragraph 7 – subparagraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Umfasst eine Pflanzenschutzmaßnahme die wiederholte Verwendung von
Pflanzenschutzmitteln, ***so sind*** von den
beruflichen Verwendern
Pflanzenschutzmittel mit unterschiedlichen
Wirkungsweisen zu verwenden.

Amendment

Sofern verfügbar, sind
Pflanzenschutzmitteln von den beruflichen
Verwendern Pflanzenschutzmittel mit
unterschiedlichen Wirkungsweisen zu
verwenden.

Or. de

Amendment 1833

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation

Article 13 – paragraph 7 – subparagraph 2 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

***Where the risk of resistance against a
plant protection measure is known and
has led to repeated application of
chemical pesticides, the professional user
shall in the next growing season apply
crop-rotation and other agro-ecological
strategies to ensure that no further
application of chemical pesticides is
needed.***

Or. en

Amendment 1834

Sylvia Limmer

Proposal for a regulation

Article 13 – paragraph 8

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

***(8) Die beruflichen Verwender führen
alle folgenden Maßnahmen durch:***

entfällt

a) *Prüfung und Dokumentation, inwieweit die angewandten Pflanzenschutzmaßnahmen erfolgreich waren, anhand der Aufzeichnungen über die Verwendung von Pflanzenschutzmitteln und andere Interventionen sowie die Überwachung von Schadorganismen;*

b) *Anwendung der Informationen, die bei der Durchführung der Maßnahmen gemäß Buchstabe a gewonnen wurden, im Zuge des Entscheidungsprozesses über künftige Interventionen.*

Or. de

Amendment 1835

Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Lena Düpont, Jens Gieseke, Angelika Niebler, Andreas Schwab, Ralf Seekatz, David McAllister, Christine Schneider, Stefan Berger, Sabine Verheyen, Rainer Wieland, Monika Hohlmeier, Michael Gahler, Christian Doleschal, Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Alexander Bernhuber, Daniel Caspary, Herbert Dorfmann, Simone Schmiedtbauer

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 13 – paragraph 8**

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(8) Die beruflichen Verwender führen alle folgenden Maßnahmen durch: *entfällt*

a) *Prüfung und Dokumentation, inwieweit die angewandten Pflanzenschutzmaßnahmen erfolgreich waren, anhand der Aufzeichnungen über die Verwendung von Pflanzenschutzmitteln und andere Interventionen sowie die Überwachung von Schadorganismen;*

b) *Anwendung der Informationen, die bei der Durchführung der Maßnahmen gemäß Buchstabe a gewonnen wurden, im Zuge des Entscheidungsprozesses über künftige Interventionen.*

Amendment 1836

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjärd, Margarita de la Pisa Carrión

Proposal for a regulation

Article 13 – paragraph 8

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

8. Professional users shall perform all of the following actions: **deleted**

(a) check and document the level of success of the applied plant protection measures on the basis of the records on the use of plant protection products and other interventions, and the monitoring of harmful organisms;

(b) apply the information obtained by performing the actions referred to in point (a) as part of the decision-making process regarding future interventions.

Amendment 1837

Silvia Sardone

Proposal for a regulation

Article 13 – paragraph 8 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

8. Gli utilizzatori professionali intraprendono tutte le azioni seguenti:

8. Gli utilizzatori professionali verificano, sulla base dei dati relativi all'utilizzo dei prodotti fitosanitari e delle altre misure fitosanitarie applicate, nonché sulla base del monitoraggio degli organismi nocivi, il grado di successo delle misure fitosanitarie applicate ed utilizza le informazioni ottenute nell'ambito del processo decisionale

relativo agli interventi futuri.

Or. it

Amendment 1838

Christine Schneider, Ralf Seekatz, Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Sven Simon, Angelika Niebler, Monika Hohlmeier, Christian Doleschal, Franc Bogovič, Marlene Mortler, Daniel Caspary, Rainer Wieland, Sabine Verheyen, Alexander Bernhuber

Proposal for a regulation

Article 13 – paragraph 8 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

8. Professional users shall perform all of the following actions:

8. Professional users ***or their advisers*** shall perform all of the following actions:

Or. en

Amendment 1839

Silvia Sardone

Proposal for a regulation

Article 13 – paragraph 8 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

a) ***controllano e documentano il livello di efficacia delle misure fitosanitarie applicate sulla base dei dati relativi all'utilizzo dei prodotti fitosanitari e ad altri interventi, nonché sulla base del monitoraggio degli organismi nocivi;***

soppresso

Or. it

Amendment 1840

Silvia Sardone

Proposal for a regulation

Article 13 – paragraph 8 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

b) applicano le informazioni ottenute eseguendo le azioni di cui alla lettera a) nell'ambito del processo decisionale relativo agli interventi futuri.

soppresso

Or. it

Amendment 1841

Michèle Rivasi, Claude Gruffat, Jutta Paulus

Proposal for a regulation

Article 13 – paragraph 8 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

8 a. The following practices are not in accordance with the integrated pest management approach and should therefore not be permitted:

- Treating seeds with chemical pesticides, or using chemical pesticide granules when sowing**
- Use of soil fumigants and other soil treatments**
- Calendar-based pesticide spraying**
- The application of tank mixes of different pesticides**
- Aerial spraying of chemical plant protection products.**

Or. en

Amendment 1842

Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Peter Lundgren, Hermann Tertsch, Mazaly Aguilar, Evžen Tošenovský, Sergio Berlato, Jorge Buxadé Villalba, Eugen Jurzyca, Charlie Weimers, Alexander Bernhuber, Johan Nissinen

Proposal for a regulation

Article 13 – paragraph 9

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

9. The Commission is empowered to adopt delegated acts in accordance with Article 40 amending this Article in order to take into account technical progress and scientific developments. *deleted*

Or. en

Justification

In the name of simplification and enhancing transparency of the negotiations, it is not appropriate to defer a number of key decisions to delegated acts.

Amendment 1843
Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan

Proposal for a regulation
Article 13 – paragraph 9

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

9. The Commission is empowered to adopt delegated acts in accordance with Article 40 amending this Article in order to take into account technical progress and scientific developments. *deleted*

Or. en

Amendment 1844
Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Jorge Buxadé Villalba, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjärd, Margarita de la Pisa Carrión

Proposal for a regulation
Article 13 – paragraph 9

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

9. The Commission is empowered to adopt delegated acts in accordance with Article 40 amending this Article in order *deleted*

*to take into account technical progress
and scientific developments.*

Or. en

Amendment 1845

**Martin Hojsík, Michal Wiezik, María Soraya Rodríguez Ramos, Róža Thun und
Hohenstein, Frédérique Ries**

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 13 – paragraph 9**

Text proposed by the Commission

9. The Commission is empowered to adopt delegated acts in accordance with Article 40 amending this Article in order to take into account technical progress and scientific developments.

Amendment

9. The Commission is empowered to adopt delegated acts in accordance with Article 40 amending this Article in order to take into account technical progress and scientific developments, ***in full line with the precautionary principle.***

Or. en

Amendment 1846

Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław Kalinowski, Joanna Kopcińska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 13 – paragraph 9**

Text proposed by the Commission

9. The Commission is empowered to adopt delegated acts in accordance with Article 40 amending ***this Article*** in order to take into account technical progress and scientific developments.

Amendment

9. The Commission is empowered to adopt delegated acts in accordance with Article 40 amending ***IPM principles listed in paragraph 2*** in order to take into account technical progress and scientific developments.

Or. en

Amendment 1847

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič,

**Jorge Buxadé Villalba, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk,
Jessica Polfjärd**

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 14**

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

[...]

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 1848

Franč Bogovič, Marlene Mortler, Ljudmila Novak, Alexander Bernhuber

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 14**

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

[...]

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 1849

**Christine Schneider, Ralf Seekatz, Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Sven Simon,
Angelika Niebler, Monika Hohlmeier, Christian Doleschal, Franč Bogovič, Marlene
Mortler, Daniel Caspary, Rainer Wieland, Sabine Verheyen, Alexander Bernhuber**

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 14**

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

[...]

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 1850

Sylvia Limmer

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 14 – title**

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Aufzeichnungen über
Präventivmaßnahmen **und Interventionen
für den Pflanzenschutz durch berufliche
Verwender** sowie **über Beratung zur
Verwendung** von Pflanzenschutzmitteln

Aufzeichnungen **der beruflichen
Anwender** über Präventivmaßnahmen
sowie **die Anwendung** von
Pflanzenschutzmitteln

Or. de

Amendment 1851

Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Lena Düpont, Jens Gieseke, Angelika Niebler, Andreas Schwab, Ralf Seekatz, David McAllister, Christine Schneider, Stefan Berger, Sabine Verheyen, Rainer Wieland, Monika Hohlmeier, Michael Gahler, Christian Doleschal, Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Alexander Bernhuber, Daniel Caspary, Herbert Dorfmann, Simone Schmiedtbauer

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 14 – paragraph 1**

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

**(1) Ergreift ein beruflicher Verwender
eine Präventivmaßnahme oder führt er
eine Intervention durch, so trägt er
folgende Informationen in das
elektronische Register für integrierten
Pflanzenschutz und die Verwendung von
Pflanzenschutzmitteln gemäß Artikel 16
ein, das das Gebiet abdeckt, in dem der
berufliche Verwender tätig ist:**

entfällt

**a) alle Präventivmaßnahmen oder
Interventionen und die Gründe für die
jeweilige Präventivmaßnahme oder
Intervention, einschließlich der
Ermittlung und Bewertung der Stärke des
Schädlingsbefalls, wenn der Mitgliedstaat,
in dem der berufliche Verwender tätig ist,
für die betreffende Kultur und Fläche
keine kulturspezifischen Vorschriften
erlassen hat;**

**b) alle Präventivmaßnahmen oder
Interventionen und die Gründe für die
jeweilige Präventivmaßnahme oder
Intervention, einschließlich der**

Ermittlung und Bewertung der Stärke des Schädlingsbefalls, unter Verweis auf messbare Kriterien, die in den anwendbaren kulturspezifischen Vorschriften festgelegt sind, wenn der Mitgliedstaat, in dem der berufliche Verwender tätig ist, für die betreffende Kultur und Fläche kulturspezifische Vorschriften erlassen hat;

Or. de

Amendment 1852

Jan Huitema, Emma Wiesner, Ulrike Müller, Erik Poulsen, Andreas Glück, Asger Christensen, Billy Kelleher

Proposal for a regulation

Article 14 – paragraph 1 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Where a professional user takes a preventative measure or performs an intervention, the professional user shall enter the following information in the electronic integrated pest management and plant protection product use register referred to in Article 16, which covers the area where the professional user operates:

Amendment

1. Where a professional user takes a preventative measure or performs an intervention, the professional user shall enter the following information in the electronic integrated pest management and plant protection product use register referred to in Article 16, which covers the area where the professional user operates, ***if this is deemed relevant information to demonstrate that the professional user has applied integrated pest management:***

Or. en

Amendment 1853

Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław Kalinowski, Joanna Kopcińska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

Proposal for a regulation

Article 14 – paragraph 1 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Where a professional user ***takes a***

Amendment

1. Where a professional user ***uses***

preventative **measure or performs an** intervention, the professional user shall enter the following information in the electronic integrated pest management and plant protection product use register referred to in Article 16, which covers the area where the professional user operates:

plant protection products as preventative **or** intervention **measure**, the professional user shall enter the following information in the electronic integrated pest management and plant protection product use register referred to in Article 16, which covers the area where the professional user operates:

Or. en

Amendment 1854

Sylvia Limmer

Proposal for a regulation

Article 14 – paragraph 1 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

(1) Ergreift ein beruflicher Verwender eine Präventivmaßnahme oder führt er eine Intervention durch, so **trägt er folgende** Informationen **in das elektronische Register** für integrierten Pflanzenschutz und die Verwendung von Pflanzenschutzmitteln gemäß Artikel 16 ein, das das Gebiet abdeckt, in dem der berufliche Verwender tätig ist:

Amendment

(1) Ergreift ein beruflicher Verwender eine Präventivmaßnahme oder führt er eine Intervention durch, so **erhebt er die** Informationen für integrierten Pflanzenschutz **laut Artikel 13** und die Verwendung von Pflanzenschutzmitteln gemäß Artikel 16 ein, das das Gebiet abdeckt, in dem der berufliche Verwender tätig ist:

Or. de

Amendment 1855

Sylvia Limmer

Proposal for a regulation

Article 14 – paragraph 1 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

a) **alle Präventivmaßnahmen oder Interventionen und die Gründe für die jeweilige Präventivmaßnahme oder Intervention, einschließlich der Ermittlung und Bewertung der Stärke des Schädlingsbefalls, wenn der Mitgliedstaat,**

Amendment

entfällt

*in dem der berufliche Verwender tätig ist,
für die betreffende Kultur und Fläche
keine kulturspezifischen Vorschriften
erlassen hat;*

Or. de

Amendment 1856
Esther de Lange

Proposal for a regulation
Article 14 – paragraph 1 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) any preventative measure or intervention and the reason for that preventative measure or intervention, including the identification and assessment of pest level, where no crop-specific rules have been adopted for the relevant crop and area by the Member State in which the professional user operates;

Amendment

(a) ***based on the IPM as laid down in the National Action Plan***, any preventative measure ***or combination of preventative measures*** or intervention and the reason for that preventative measure or intervention, including the identification and assessment of pest level, where no crop-specific rules have been adopted for the relevant crop and area by the Member State in which the professional user operates;

Or. en

Amendment 1857
Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan

Proposal for a regulation
Article 14 – paragraph 1 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) any preventative measure or intervention and the reason for that ***preventative measure or*** intervention, including the identification and assessment of pest level, where no crop-specific rules have been adopted for the relevant crop and area by the Member State in which the

Amendment

(a) any preventative measure ***or combination of preventative measures and the explanation for their choice***, or intervention and the reason for that intervention, including the identification and assessment of pest level, where no crop-specific rules have been adopted for the relevant crop and area by the Member

professional user operates;

State in which the professional user operates;

Or. en

Amendment 1858

Inese Vaidere

Proposal for a regulation

Article 14 – paragraph 1 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) any preventative measure or intervention and the reason for that preventative measure or intervention, including the identification and assessment of pest level, where no crop-specific **rules** have been adopted for the relevant crop and area by the Member State in which the professional user operates;

Amendment

(a) any preventative measure or intervention and the reason for that preventative measure or intervention, including the identification and assessment of pest level, where no crop-specific **guidelines** have been adopted for the relevant crop and area by the Member State in which the professional user operates;

Or. en

Amendment 1859

Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław Kalinowski, Joanna Kopcińska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

Proposal for a regulation

Article 14 – paragraph 1 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) any preventative measure or intervention and the reason for that preventative measure or intervention, including the identification and assessment of pest level, where no crop-specific **rules** have been adopted for the relevant crop and area by the Member State in which the professional user operates;

Amendment

(a) any preventative measure or intervention and the reason for that preventative measure or intervention, including the identification and assessment of pest level, where no crop-specific **guidelines** have been adopted for the relevant crop and area by the Member State in which the professional user operates;

Or. en

Amendment 1860
Sylvia Limmer

Proposal for a regulation
Article 14 – paragraph 1 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

b) alle Präventivmaßnahmen oder Interventionen und die Gründe für die jeweilige Präventivmaßnahme oder Intervention, einschließlich der Ermittlung und Bewertung der Stärke des Schädlingsbefalls, unter Verweis auf messbare Kriterien, die in den anwendbaren kulturspezifischen Vorschriften festgelegt sind, wenn der Mitgliedstaat, in dem der berufliche Verwender tätig ist, für die betreffende Kultur und Fläche kulturspezifische Vorschriften erlassen hat;

entfällt

Or. de

Amendment 1861
Esther de Lange

Proposal for a regulation
Article 14 – paragraph 1 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) any preventative measure or intervention and the reason for that preventative measure or intervention, including the identification and assessment of pest level, performed with a reference to measurable criteria set out in the applicable crop-specific rules where crop-specific rules have been adopted for the relevant crop and area by the Member State in which the professional user operates.

(b) **based on the IPM as laid down in the National Action Plan**, any preventative measure **or combination of preventative measures** or intervention and the reason for that preventative measure or intervention, including the identification and assessment of pest level, performed with a reference to measurable criteria set out in the applicable crop-specific rules where crop-specific rules have been adopted for the relevant crop and area by the Member State in which the professional user operates.

Or. en

Amendment 1862
Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan

Proposal for a regulation
Article 14 – paragraph 1 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) any preventative measure or intervention and the reason for that **preventative measure or** intervention, including the identification and assessment of pest level, performed with a reference to measurable criteria set out in the applicable crop-specific rules where crop-specific rules have been adopted for the relevant crop and area by the Member State in which the professional user operates.

Amendment

(b) any preventative measure **or combination of preventative measures and the explanation for their choice,** or intervention and the reason for that intervention, including the identification and assessment of pest level, performed with a reference to measurable criteria set out in the applicable crop-specific rules where crop-specific rules have been adopted for the relevant crop and area by the Member State in which the professional user operates;

Or. en

Amendment 1863
Inese Vaidere

Proposal for a regulation
Article 14 – paragraph 1 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) any preventative measure or intervention and the reason for that preventative measure or intervention, including the identification and assessment of pest level, performed with a reference to measurable criteria set out in the applicable crop-specific **rules** where crop-specific **rules** have been adopted for the relevant crop and area by the Member State in which the professional user operates.

Amendment

(b) any preventative measure or intervention and the reason for that preventative measure or intervention, including the identification and assessment of pest level, performed with a reference to measurable criteria set out in the applicable crop-specific **guidelines** where crop-specific **guidelines** have been adopted for the relevant crop and area by the Member State in which the professional user operates.

Or. en

Amendment 1864

Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław Kalinowski, Joanna Kopcińska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

Proposal for a regulation

Article 14 – paragraph 1 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) any preventative measure or intervention and the reason for that preventative measure or intervention, including the identification and assessment of pest level, performed with a reference to measurable criteria set out in the applicable crop-specific rules where crop-specific **rules** have been adopted for the relevant crop and area by the Member State in which the professional user operates.

Amendment

(b) any preventative measure or intervention and the reason for that preventative measure or intervention, including the identification and assessment of pest level, performed with a reference to measurable criteria set out in the applicable crop-specific rules where crop-specific **guidelines** have been adopted for the relevant crop and area by the Member State in which the professional user operates.

Or. en

Amendment 1865

Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan

Proposal for a regulation

Article 14 – paragraph 1 – point b a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b a) any preventative measure or combination of preventative measures and the explanation for their choice, or intervention and the reason for that intervention applied in non-agricultural areas.

Or. en

Amendment 1866

Jan Huitema, Emma Wiesner, Ulrike Müller, Erik Poulsen, Andreas Glück, Asger Christensen, Billy Kelleher

Proposal for a regulation
Article 14 – paragraph 1 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

1 a. Professional users may exclude some registration requirements referred to in paragraph 1, if it can be demonstrated to the competent authority of the Member State that sufficient preventative measures have been taken in line with the principles of integrated pest management.

Or. en

Amendment 1867

Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Lena Düpont, Jens Gieseke, Angelika Niebler, Andreas Schwab, Ralf Seekatz, David McAllister, Christine Schneider, Stefan Berger, Sabine Verheyen, Rainer Wieland, Monika Hohlmeier, Michael Gahler, Christian Doleschal, Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Alexander Bernhuber, Daniel Caspary, Herbert Dorfmann, Simone Schmiedtbauer

Proposal for a regulation
Article 14 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(2) Ein beruflicher Verwender erfasst im elektronischen Register für integrierten Pflanzenschutz und die Verwendung von Pflanzenschutzmitteln gemäß Artikel 16 den Namen seines Beraters sowie die Daten und den Inhalt der von ihm gemäß Artikel 26 Absatz 3 erhaltenen Beratung. Der berufliche Verwender stellt diese Aufzeichnungen der in Artikel 15 Absatz 2 genannten zuständigen Behörde auf Aufforderung zur Verfügung. **entfällt**

Or. de

Amendment 1868
Sylvia Limmer

Proposal for a regulation
Article 14 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

(2) Ein beruflicher **Verwender erfasst im** elektronischen Register **für integrierten Pflanzenschutz und die Verwendung von Pflanzenschutzmitteln gemäß Artikel 16 den Namen seines Beraters sowie die Daten und den Inhalt der von ihm gemäß Artikel 26 Absatz 3 erhaltenen Beratung. Der berufliche Verwender stellt diese Aufzeichnungen der in Artikel 15 Absatz 2 genannten zuständigen Behörde auf Aufforderung zur Verfügung.**

Amendment

(2) Ein beruflicher **Anwender dokumentiert seine fachlichen Kenntnisse und Fertigkeiten im Umgang mit Pflanzenschutzmitteln durch Beratung oder Schulungen der zuständigen Behörde entweder in einem** elektronischen Register **der entsprechenden Behörde oder durch analoge Dokumentation.**

Or. de

Amendment 1869

Dan-Ştefan Motreanu, Carmen Avram, Marian-Jean Marinescu, Daniel Buda

Proposal for a regulation
Article 14 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. A professional user shall enter an electronic record in the electronic integrated pest management and plant protection product use register, referred to in Article 16 of the name of its advisor and the dates and the content of the advice received from it in accordance with Article 26(3). The professional user shall make those records available to the competent authority referred to in Article 15(2) upon request.

Amendment

2. A professional user shall enter an electronic record in the electronic integrated pest management and plant protection product use register, referred to in Article 16 of the name of its advisor **or decision support system** and the dates and the content of the advice received from it in accordance with Article 26(3). The professional user shall make those records available to the competent authority referred to in Article 15(2) upon request.

Or. en

Justification

A decision support system provides farmers with a complex assessment of relevant factors, like: pedoclimatic conditions; pest pressure; intervention thresholds; biodiversity context; socio-economic factors; environmental protection goals; protection of operators and bystanders' health; safety recommendations; weather data etc. determining the appropriate,

sustainable use of crop protection means to achieve control and contain the impact of harmful organisms, pests and diseases.

Amendment 1870

Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan

Proposal for a regulation

Article 14 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. A professional user shall enter an electronic record in the electronic integrated pest management and plant protection product use register, referred to in Article 16 **of** the name of its advisor and the dates and the content of the advice received from it in accordance with Article 26(3). The professional user shall make those records available to the competent authority referred to in Article 15(2) upon request.

Amendment

2. A professional user shall enter an electronic record in the electronic integrated pest management and plant protection product use register, referred to in Article 16, **including** the name of its advisor and the dates and the content of the advice received from it in accordance with Article 26(3). The professional user shall make those records available to the competent authority referred to in Article 15(2) upon request.

Or. en

Amendment 1871

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation

Article 14 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. A professional user shall enter an electronic record in the electronic integrated pest management and plant protection product use register, referred to in Article 16 of the name of its advisor and the dates and the content of the advice received from it in accordance with Article 26(3). The professional user shall make those records available to the competent authority referred to in Article 15(2) **upon request.**

Amendment

2. A professional user shall enter an electronic record in the electronic integrated pest management and plant protection product use register, referred to in Article 16 of the name of its advisor and the dates and the content of the advice received from it in accordance with Article 26(3). The professional user shall make those records available to the competent authority referred to in Article 15(2).

Amendment 1872
Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan

Proposal for a regulation
Article 14 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

3. A professional user shall enter an electronic record of each application of a plant protection product **under** Article 67 of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 in the electronic integrated pest management and plant protection product use register referred to in Article 16. A professional user shall also enter an electronic record specifying whether the application was done by aerial or land-based equipment. In the case of aerial application, a professional user shall specify the type of equipment used.

Amendment

3. A professional user shall enter an electronic record of each application of a plant protection product **in accordance with** Article 67 of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 **and Article 16 of this Regulation** in the electronic integrated pest management and plant protection product use register referred to in Article 16. A professional user shall also enter an electronic record specifying whether the application was done by aerial or land-based equipment. In the case of aerial application, a professional user shall specify the type of equipment used.

Amendment 1873
Sylvia Limmer

Proposal for a regulation
Article 14 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

(3) Ein beruflicher Verwender ***gibt für*** jede Anwendung eines Pflanzenschutzmittels gemäß Artikel 67 der Verordnung (EG) Nr. 1107/2009 ***eine elektronische Aufzeichnung in das elektronische Register für integrierten Pflanzenschutz und die Verwendung von Pflanzenschutzmitteln gemäß Artikel 16 ein***. Ein beruflicher Verwender erfasst außerdem, ob die Anwendung mit

Amendment

(3) Ein beruflicher Verwender ***erfasst*** jede Anwendung eines Pflanzenschutzmittels gemäß Artikel 67 der Verordnung (EG) Nr. 1107/2009 ***entweder elektronisch bei der zuständigen Behörde oder durch analoge Aufzeichnungen. Diese sind mindestens drei Jahre aufzubewahren und der Behörde auf Aufforderung zur Verfügung zu stellen***. Ein beruflicher Verwender

Luftfahrzeugen oder Bodengeräten erfolgt ist. Bei Anwendungen mit Luftfahrzeugen gibt ein beruflicher Verwender die Art der verwendeten Geräte an.

erfasst außerdem, ob die Anwendung mit Luftfahrzeugen oder Bodengeräten erfolgt ist. Bei Anwendungen mit Luftfahrzeugen gibt ein beruflicher Verwender die Art der verwendeten Geräte an.

Or. de

Amendment 1874

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation

Article 14 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

3. A professional user shall enter an electronic record of each application of a **plant protection product** under Article 67 of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 in the electronic integrated pest management and plant protection product use register referred to in Article 16. A professional user shall also enter an electronic record specifying **whether the application was done by aerial or land-based equipment. In the case of aerial application, a professional user shall specify the type of equipment used.**

Amendment

3. A professional user shall enter an electronic record of each application of a **pesticide** under Article 67 of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 in the electronic integrated pest management and plant protection product use register referred to in Article 16. A professional user shall also enter an electronic record specifying **the exact amounts of the pesticide applied, the weather conditions during application and the exact location where the pesticide was applied.**

Or. en

Amendment 1875

Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Lena Düpont, Jens Gieseke, Angelika Niebler, Andreas Schwab, Ralf Seekatz, David McAllister, Christine Schneider, Stefan Berger, Sabine Verheyen, Rainer Wieland, Monika Hohlmeier, Michael Gahler, Christian Doleschal, Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Alexander Bernhuber, Daniel Caspary, Herbert Dorfmann, Simone Schmiedtbauer

Proposal for a regulation

Article 14 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

(3) Ein beruflicher Verwender gibt für

Amendment

(3) Ein beruflicher Verwender gibt für

jede Anwendung eines Pflanzenschutzmittels gemäß Artikel 67 der Verordnung (EG) Nr. 1107/2009 eine elektronische Aufzeichnung in das elektronische Register für **integrierten Pflanzenschutz und** die Verwendung von Pflanzenschutzmitteln gemäß Artikel 16 ein. Ein beruflicher Verwender erfasst außerdem, ob die Anwendung mit Luftfahrzeugen oder Bodengeräten erfolgt ist. Bei Anwendungen mit Luftfahrzeugen gibt ein beruflicher Verwender die Art der verwendeten Geräte an.

jede Anwendung eines Pflanzenschutzmittels gemäß Artikel 67 der Verordnung (EG) Nr. 1107/2009 eine elektronische Aufzeichnung in das elektronische Register für die Verwendung von Pflanzenschutzmitteln gemäß Artikel 16 ein. Ein beruflicher Verwender erfasst außerdem, ob die Anwendung mit Luftfahrzeugen oder Bodengeräten erfolgt ist. Bei Anwendungen mit Luftfahrzeugen gibt ein beruflicher Verwender die Art der verwendeten Geräte an.

Or. de

Amendment 1876
Sylvia Limmer

Proposal for a regulation
Article 14 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(4) Um eine einheitliche Struktur der Einträge zu gewährleisten, die von beruflichen Verwendern im elektronischen Register für integrierten Pflanzenschutz und die Verwendung von Pflanzenschutzmitteln gemäß den Absätzen 1, 2 und 3 vorzunehmen sind, kann die Kommission im Wege von Durchführungsrechtsakten ein Standardmuster für solche Einträge festlegen. Ein derartiges Muster enthält Eingabefelder für Aufzeichnungen, die gemäß Artikel 67 der Verordnung (EG) Nr. 1107/2009 geführt werden müssen, und erfordert die Verwendung einer individuellen Kennung. Diese Durchführungsrechtsakte werden gemäß dem in Artikel 41 Absatz 2 genannten Prüfverfahren erlassen.

entfällt

Or. de

Amendment 1877

Ulrike Müller, Emma Wiesner, Andreas Glück

Proposal for a regulation

Article 14 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

4. In order to ensure a uniform structure of the entries to be made by professional users in the electronic integrated pest management and plant protection product use register in accordance with paragraphs 1, 2 and 3, the Commission **may**, by means of implementing acts, adopt a standard template for such entries. Any such template shall include fields for inputting records that need to be kept in accordance with Article 67 of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 and shall require the use of a recognisable ID. Those implementing acts shall be adopted in accordance with the examination procedure referred to in Article 41(2).

Amendment

4. In order to ensure a uniform structure of the entries to be made by professional users in the electronic integrated pest management and plant protection product use register in accordance with paragraphs 1, 2 and 3, the Commission **shall**, by means of implementing acts, adopt a standard template for such entries. ***The Commission shall ensure that the standard template limits the additional administrative burden for professional users to a necessary minimum.*** Any such template shall include fields for inputting records that need to be kept in accordance with Article 67 of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 and shall require the use of a recognisable ID. Those implementing acts shall be adopted in accordance with the examination procedure referred to in Article 41(2) ***by [OP: please insert the date = the first day in the month following 1 month after the date of entry into force of this Regulation].***

Or. en

Justification

Data collection on agronomic practices is justified to strengthen the statistic basis for future policy measures. However, it is important to acknowledge that this will put additional administrative burden on farmers, which should be mitigated to the largest extend possible.

Amendment 1878

Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan

Proposal for a regulation

Article 14 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

4. In order to ensure a uniform structure of the entries to be made by professional users in the electronic integrated pest management and plant protection product use register in accordance with paragraphs 1, 2 and 3, the Commission **may**, by means of implementing acts, adopt a standard template for such entries. Any such template shall include fields for inputting records that need to be kept in accordance with Article 67 of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 and shall require the use of a recognisable ID. Those implementing acts shall be adopted in accordance with the examination procedure referred to in Article 41(2).

Amendment

4. In order to ensure a uniform structure of the entries to be made by professional users in the electronic integrated pest management and plant protection product use register in accordance with paragraphs 1, 2 and 3, the Commission **shall**, by means of implementing acts, adopt a standard template for such entries. Any such template shall include fields for inputting records that need to be kept in accordance with Article 67 of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 and **Article 16 of this Regulation and** shall require the use of a recognisable ID. Those implementing acts shall be adopted in accordance with the examination procedure referred to in Article 41(2).

Or. en

Amendment 1879

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation

Article 14 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

4. In order to ensure a uniform structure of the entries to be made by professional users in the electronic integrated pest management and plant protection product use register in accordance with paragraphs 1, 2 and 3, the Commission **may**, by means of implementing acts, adopt a standard template for such entries. Any such template shall include fields for inputting records that need to be kept in accordance with Article 67 of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 and shall require the use of a recognisable ID. Those implementing acts shall be adopted in accordance with the

Amendment

4. In order to ensure a uniform structure of the entries to be made by professional users in the electronic integrated pest management and plant protection product use register in accordance with paragraphs 1, 2 and 3, the Commission **shall**, by means of implementing acts, adopt a standard template for such entries. Any such template shall include fields for inputting records that need to be kept in accordance with Article 67 of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 and shall require the use of a recognisable ID. Those implementing acts shall be adopted in accordance with the

examination procedure referred to in
Article 41(2).

examination procedure referred to in
Article 41(2).

Or. en

Amendment 1880

Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Lena Düpont, Jens Gieseke, Angelika Niebler, Andreas Schwab, Ralf Seekatz, David McAllister, Christine Schneider, Stefan Berger, Sabine Verheyen, Rainer Wieland, Monika Hohlmeier, Michael Gahler, Christian Doleschal, Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Alexander Bernhuber, Daniel Caspary, Herbert Dorfmann, Simone Schmiedtbauer

Proposal for a regulation Article 14 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

(4) Um eine einheitliche Struktur der Einträge zu gewährleisten, die von beruflichen Verwendern im elektronischen Register für **integrierten Pflanzenschutz** und die Verwendung von Pflanzenschutzmitteln gemäß den Absätzen 1, 2 und 3 vorzunehmen sind, kann die Kommission im Wege von Durchführungsrechtsakten ein Standardmuster für solche Einträge festlegen. Ein derartiges Muster enthält Eingabefelder für Aufzeichnungen, die gemäß Artikel 67 der Verordnung (EG) Nr. 1107/2009 geführt werden müssen, und erfordert die Verwendung einer individuellen Kennung. Diese Durchführungsrechtsakte werden gemäß dem in Artikel 41 Absatz 2 genannten Prüfverfahren erlassen.

Amendment

(4) Um eine einheitliche Struktur der Einträge zu gewährleisten, die von beruflichen Verwendern im elektronischen Register für die Verwendung von Pflanzenschutzmitteln gemäß den Absätzen 1, 2 und 3 vorzunehmen sind, kann die Kommission im Wege von Durchführungsrechtsakten ein Standardmuster für solche Einträge festlegen. Ein derartiges Muster enthält Eingabefelder für Aufzeichnungen, die gemäß Artikel 67 der Verordnung (EG) Nr. 1107/2009 geführt werden müssen, und erfordert die Verwendung einer individuellen Kennung. Diese Durchführungsrechtsakte werden gemäß dem in Artikel 41 Absatz 2 genannten Prüfverfahren erlassen.

Or. de

Amendment 1881 Biljana Borzan

Proposal for a regulation Article 14 – paragraph 4 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

4 a. 4a. Member states shall in their national plans define rules for retail companies to implement the national reduction targets and crop-specific reduction goals. Retail companies shall in their contracts with farmers set IPM mandatory rules set at EU-and national-levels and crop-specific rules and reduction targets. Retail companies shall report yearly on the progress in reducing plant protection products and on the implementation of integrated pest management by their suppliers to the competent authority referred to in Article 15(2) and make those reports public, including on a website. Retailers shall indicate the presence of pesticides residues in their products, stating the active substance and the percentage of MRL. After the year of implementation of this regulation, maximum 3 synthetic pesticide residues in food and feed shall be allowed. By 2030, food and feed products shall be free of pesticide residues, with MRLs being reduced to the limit of detection. Efficient digitalization systems for retailers are needed for data tracking.

Or. en

**Amendment 1882
Mathilde Androuët**

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 14 – paragraph 4 a (new)**

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

4 bis. La Commission devra simplifier au maximum les démarches pour les utilisateurs professionnels.

Or. fr

Amendment 1883
Christophe Hansen

Proposal for a regulation
Article 15 – title

Text proposed by the Commission

Implementation of integrated pest
management using crop-specific **rules**

Amendment

Implementation of integrated pest
management using crop-specific **guidelines**

Or. en

Amendment 1884
Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič,
Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis,
Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjård

Proposal for a regulation
Article 15 – title

Text proposed by the Commission

Implementation of integrated pest
management using crop-specific **rules**

Amendment

Implementation of integrated pest
management using crop-specific **guidelines**

Or. en

Amendment 1885
Inese Vaidere

Proposal for a regulation
Article 15 – title

Text proposed by the Commission

Implementation of integrated pest
management using crop-specific **rules**

Amendment

Implementation of integrated pest
management using crop-specific **guidelines**

Or. en

Amendment 1886
Esther de Lange

Proposal for a regulation
Article 15 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Member States shall adopt agronomic requirements based on integrated pest management **controls** that must be adhered to when growing or storing a particular crop and are designed to ensure that chemical crop protection is only used after all other non-chemical methods have been exhausted and when a threshold for intervention is reached ('crop-specific rules'). The crop-specific rules shall implement the principles of integrated pest management, set out in Article 13, for the relevant crop **and be set out in a binding legal act.**

Amendment

1. Member States shall adopt agronomic requirements based on **the** integrated pest management **hierarchy** that must be adhered to when growing or storing a particular crop and are designed to ensure that chemical crop protection is only used after all other non-chemical methods have been exhausted and when a threshold for intervention is reached ('crop-specific rules') **or after all other non-chemical methods have been considered and the reasons for not applying any non-chemical method is recorded.** The crop-specific rules shall implement the principles of integrated pest management, set out in Article 13, for the relevant crop **having the highest chemical plant protection product use per hectare at Member State level as laid down in the National Action Plan.**

Or. en

Amendment 1887
Sylvia Limmer

Proposal for a regulation
Article 15 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

(1) Die Mitgliedstaaten **erlassen** agronomische Anforderungen auf der Grundlage von Kontrollen im Rahmen des integrierten Pflanzenschutzes, die beim Anbau oder bei der Lagerung einer bestimmten Kultur einzuhalten **und dafür konzipiert sind, sicherzustellen, dass der chemische Pflanzenschutz erst nach**

Amendment

(1) Die Mitgliedstaaten **können** agronomische Anforderungen auf der Grundlage von Kontrollen im Rahmen des integrierten Pflanzenschutzes **erlassen**, die beim Anbau oder bei der Lagerung einer bestimmten Kultur einzuhalten **sind**. In den kulturspezifischen Vorschriften werden die Grundsätze des integrierten

Ausschöpfung aller anderen nichtchemischen Methoden und nach Erreichen einer Interventionsschwelle angewendet wird (im Folgenden „kulturspezifische Vorschriften“). In den kulturspezifischen Vorschriften werden die Grundsätze des integrierten Pflanzenschutzes gemäß Artikel 13 für die betreffende Kultur umgesetzt, und sie werden in einem verbindlichen Rechtsakt festgelegt.

Pflanzenschutzes gemäß Artikel 13 für die betreffende Kultur umgesetzt, und sie werden in einem verbindlichen Rechtsakt festgelegt.

Or. de

Amendment 1888

Jan Huitema, Emma Wiesner, Ulrike Müller, Erik Poulsen, Andreas Glück, Asger Christensen, Billy Kelleher

Proposal for a regulation Article 15 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Member States shall adopt agronomic requirements based on integrated pest management controls that must be adhered to when growing or storing a particular crop and are designed to ensure that chemical crop protection is only used after all other non-chemical methods have been exhausted and when a threshold for intervention is reached ('crop-specific rules'). The crop-specific rules shall implement the principles of integrated pest management, set out in Article 13, for the relevant crop and be set out in a binding legal act.

Amendment

1. Member States shall adopt agronomic requirements based on integrated pest management controls that must be adhered to when growing or storing a particular crop and are designed to ensure that chemical crop protection is only used ***if they are considered to be the most effective measures to meet the principles of integrated pest management as defined in Article 3 (15) or*** after all other non-chemical methods have been exhausted and when a threshold for intervention is reached ('crop-specific rules'). The crop-specific rules shall implement the principles of integrated pest management, set out in Article 13, for the relevant crop and ***may*** be set out in a binding legal act.

Or. en

Amendment 1889
Christophe Hansen

Proposal for a regulation
Article 15 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Member States shall adopt agronomic requirements based on integrated pest management ***controls that must*** be adhered to when growing or storing a particular crop and are designed to ensure that chemical crop protection is only used ***after all other*** non-chemical methods ***have been exhausted and when a threshold for intervention is reached*** (*‘crop-specific rules’*). The crop-specific rules shall implement the principles of integrated pest management, ***set out in Article 13, for the relevant crop and be set out in a binding legal act.***

Amendment

1. Member States shall adopt agronomic requirements based on integrated pest management ***principles that should*** be adhered to when growing or storing a particular crop and are designed to ensure that chemical crop protection is only used ***if*** non-chemical methods ***do not allow for sufficient crop protection.*** The crop-specific rules shall implement the principles of integrated pest management.

Or. en

Amendment 1890
Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjård

Proposal for a regulation
Article 15 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Member States shall adopt agronomic requirements ***based on integrated pest management controls*** that must be adhered to when growing or storing a particular crop and are designed to ensure that chemical crop protection is only used after all other non-chemical methods have been ***exhausted and when a threshold for intervention is reached*** (*‘crop-specific rules’*). ***The crop-specific rules shall implement the principles of integrated pest management, set out in***

Amendment

1. Member States shall adopt agronomic requirements ***for the most important crops, depending on the cultivated area and for the most important regional climatically homogeneous area,*** that must be adhered to when growing or storing a particular crop and are designed to ensure that chemical crop protection is only used after all other non-chemical methods have been ***considered*** (*‘crop-specific guidelines’*).

Article 13, for the relevant crop and be set out in a binding legal act.

Or. en

Amendment 1891

Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Lena Düpont, Jens Gieseke, Angelika Niebler, Andreas Schwab, Ralf Seekatz, David McAllister, Christine Schneider, Stefan Berger, Sabine Verheyen, Rainer Wieland, Monika Hohlmeier, Michael Gahler, Christian Doleschal, Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Alexander Bernhuber, Daniel Caspary, Herbert Dorfmann, Simone Schmiedtbauer

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 15 – paragraph 1**

Text proposed by the Commission

(1) Die Mitgliedstaaten erlassen agronomische Anforderungen auf der Grundlage von Kontrollen im Rahmen des integrierten Pflanzenschutzes, die beim Anbau **oder bei der Lagerung** einer bestimmten Kultur einzuhalten und dafür konzipiert sind, sicherzustellen, dass der chemische Pflanzenschutz erst nach Ausschöpfung aller anderen nichtchemischen Methoden und nach Erreichen einer Interventionsschwelle angewendet wird (im Folgenden „kulturspezifische **Vorschriften**“). In den kulturspezifischen **Vorschriften** werden die Grundsätze des integrierten Pflanzenschutzes gemäß Artikel 13 für die betreffende Kultur **umgesetzt, und sie werden in einem verbindlichen Rechtsakt festgelegt.**

Amendment

(1) Die Mitgliedstaaten erlassen agronomische Anforderungen auf der Grundlage von Kontrollen im Rahmen des integrierten Pflanzenschutzes, die beim Anbau einer bestimmten Kultur einzuhalten und dafür konzipiert sind, sicherzustellen, dass der chemische Pflanzenschutz erst nach Ausschöpfung aller anderen nichtchemischen Methoden und nach Erreichen einer Interventionsschwelle angewendet wird (im Folgenden „kulturspezifische **Leitlinien**“). In den kulturspezifischen **Leitlinien** werden die Grundsätze des integrierten Pflanzenschutzes gemäß Artikel 13 für die betreffende Kultur **aufgeführt.**

Or. de

Amendment 1892

Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan, Günther Sidl

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 15 – paragraph 1**

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Member States shall adopt agronomic requirements ***based on integrated pest management controls*** that must be adhered to when growing or storing a particular crop and are designed to ensure that chemical crop protection is only used after all other non-chemical methods have been exhausted and when a threshold for intervention is reached ('crop-specific rules'). The crop-specific rules shall implement the principles of integrated pest management, set out in Article 13, for the relevant crop and be set out in a binding legal act.

Amendment

1. ***Based on the integrated pest management hierarchy as defined in Article 12***, Member States shall adopt agronomic requirements that must be adhered to when growing or storing a particular crop and are designed to ensure that chemical crop protection is only used after all other non-chemical methods have been exhausted and when a threshold for intervention is reached ('crop-specific rules'). The crop-specific rules shall implement the principles of integrated pest management, set out in Article 13, for the relevant crop and be set out in a binding legal act.

Or. en

Amendment 1893

Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław Kalinowski, Joanna Kopcińska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 15 – paragraph 1**

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Member States shall adopt agronomic ***requirements*** based on integrated pest management controls that must be ***adhered to*** when growing or storing a particular crop and are designed to ensure that chemical crop protection is only used after all other non-chemical methods have been exhausted and when a threshold for intervention is reached ('crop-specific rules'). The crop-specific rules shall implement the principles of integrated pest management, set out in Article 13, for the relevant crop ***and be set out in a binding legal act.***

Amendment

1. Member States shall adopt agronomic ***guidelines*** based on integrated pest management controls that must be ***followed*** when growing or storing a particular crop and are designed to ensure that chemical crop protection is only used after all other non-chemical methods have been exhausted and when a threshold for intervention is reached ('crop-specific rules'). The crop-specific rules shall implement the principles of integrated pest management, set out in Article 13, for the relevant crop.

Or. en

Amendment 1894

Christine Schneider, Ralf Seekatz, Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Sven Simon, Angelika Niebler, Monika Hohlmeier, Christian Doleschal, Franc Bogovič, Marlene Mortler, Daniel Caspary, Rainer Wieland, Sabine Verheyen, Alexander Bernhuber

Proposal for a regulation

Article 15 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Member States shall adopt agronomic requirements based on integrated pest management controls that must be adhered to when growing or storing a particular crop and are designed to ensure that chemical crop protection is only used after all other non-chemical methods have been exhausted and when a threshold for intervention is reached ('crop-specific rules'). The crop-specific rules shall implement the principles of integrated pest management, set out in Article 13, for the relevant crop **and be set out in a binding legal act.**

Amendment

1. Member States shall adopt agronomic requirements based on integrated pest management controls that must be adhered to when growing or storing a particular crop and are designed to ensure that chemical crop protection is only used after all other non-chemical methods have been exhausted and when a threshold for intervention is reached ('crop-specific rules'). The crop-specific rules shall implement the principles of integrated pest management, set out in Article 13, for the relevant crop.

Or. en

Amendment 1895

Martin Hojsik, Michal Wiezik, María Soraya Rodríguez Ramos, Róža Thun und Hohenstein, Frédérique Ries

Proposal for a regulation

Article 15 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Member States shall adopt agronomic requirements based on integrated pest management **controls** that must be adhered to when growing or storing a particular crop and are designed to ensure that chemical crop protection is only used after all other non-chemical methods have been exhausted and when a threshold for intervention is reached

Amendment

1. Member States shall adopt agronomic requirements based on integrated pest management **hierarchy** that must be adhered to when growing or storing a particular crop and are designed to ensure that chemical crop protection is only used after all other non-chemical methods have been exhausted and when a threshold for intervention is reached

(‘crop-specific rules’). The crop-specific rules shall implement the principles of integrated pest management, set out in Article 13, for the relevant crop and be set out in a binding legal act.

(‘crop-specific rules’). The crop-specific rules shall implement the principles of integrated pest management, set out in Article 13, for the relevant crop and be set out in a binding legal act.

Or. en

Amendment 1896

Ulrike Müller, Emma Wiesner, Andreas Glück

Proposal for a regulation

Article 15 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Member States shall adopt agronomic requirements based on integrated pest management controls that must be adhered to when growing or storing a particular crop and are designed to ensure that chemical crop protection is only used after all other non-chemical methods have been **exhausted** and when a threshold for intervention is reached (‘crop-specific rules’). The crop-specific rules shall implement the principles of integrated pest management, set out in Article 13, for the relevant crop and be set out in a binding legal act.

Amendment

1. Member States shall adopt agronomic requirements based on integrated pest management controls that must be adhered to when growing or storing a particular crop and are designed to ensure that chemical crop protection is only used after all other non-chemical methods have been **considered** and when a threshold for intervention is reached (‘crop-specific rules’). The crop-specific rules shall implement the principles of integrated pest management, set out in Article 13, for the relevant crop and be set out in a binding legal act.

Or. en

Justification

IPM is a valuable guideline that assists farmers in the choice of appropriate instruments in pest prevention and treatment. Making all steps of the hierarchy binding however does not adequately reflect differences in local conditions and might prevent farmers from taking required action to prevent crop loss or failure in due time. IPM therefore should maintain a guideline character.

Amendment 1897

Inese Vaidere

Proposal for a regulation
Article 15 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Member States shall adopt agronomic requirements based on integrated pest management **controls** that must be **adhered to** when growing or storing a particular crop and are designed to ensure that chemical crop protection is only used after all other non-chemical methods have been exhausted and when a threshold for intervention is reached ('crop-specific **rules**'). The crop-specific **rules** shall implement the principles of integrated pest management, set out in Article 13, for the relevant crop **and be set out in a binding legal act.**

Amendment

1. Member States shall adopt agronomic requirements based on integrated pest management **principles** that must be **followed** when growing or storing a particular crop and are designed to ensure that chemical crop protection is only used after all other non-chemical methods have been exhausted and when a threshold for intervention is reached ('crop-specific **guidelines**'). The crop-specific **guidelines** shall implement the principles of integrated pest management, set out in Article 13, for the relevant crop.

Or. en

Amendment 1898

Franc Bogovič, Marlene Mortler, Ljudmila Novak, Alexander Bernhuber

Proposal for a regulation
Article 15 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Member States shall adopt agronomic requirements based on integrated pest management controls that must **be adhered to** when growing or storing a particular crop and are designed to ensure that chemical crop protection is **only** used after all other non-chemical methods have been **exhausted and** when a threshold for intervention is reached ('crop-specific rules'). The crop-specific rules shall implement the principles of integrated pest management, set out in Article 13, for the relevant crop **and be set out in a binding legal act.**

Amendment

1. Member States shall adopt agronomic requirements based on integrated pest management controls that must **followed** to when growing or storing a particular crop and are designed to ensure that chemical crop protection is used after all other non-chemical methods have been **analysed or** when a threshold for intervention is **reached or about to be reached** ('crop-specific rules'). The crop-specific rules shall implement the principles of integrated pest management, set out in Article 13, for the relevant crop.

Or. en

Amendment 1899
Jessica Polfjård

Proposal for a regulation
Article 15 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Member States shall adopt agronomic requirements based on integrated pest management controls that must be adhered to when growing or storing a particular crop and are designed to ensure that chemical crop protection is **only** used after all other non-chemical methods have been **exhausted** and when a threshold for intervention is reached ('crop-specific rules'). The crop-specific rules shall implement the principles of integrated pest management, set out in Article 13, for the relevant crop **and be set out in a binding legal act**.

Amendment

1. Member States shall adopt agronomic requirements based on integrated pest management controls that must be adhered to when growing or storing a particular crop and are designed to ensure that chemical crop protection is used **where necessary and** after all other non-chemical methods have been **taken into account** and when a threshold for intervention is reached ('crop-specific rules'). The crop-specific rules shall implement the principles of integrated pest management, set out in Article 13, for the relevant crop.

Or. en

Amendment 1900

Dan-Ștefan Motreanu, Carmen Avram, Marian-Jean Marinescu, Daniel Buda

Proposal for a regulation
Article 15 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Member States shall adopt agronomic requirements based on integrated pest management controls that must be adhered to when growing or storing a particular crop and are designed to ensure that chemical crop protection is **only** used after all other non-chemical methods have been **exhausted** and when a threshold for intervention is reached ('crop-specific **rules**'). The crop-specific **rules** shall implement the principles of integrated pest management, set out in

Amendment

1. Member States shall adopt agronomic requirements based on integrated pest management controls that must be adhered to when growing or storing a particular crop and are designed to ensure that chemical crop protection is used **when needed** after all other non-chemical methods have been **considered** and when a threshold for intervention is reached ('crop-specific **guidelines**'). The crop-specific **guidelines** shall implement the principles of integrated pest

Article 13, for the relevant crop ***and be set out in a binding legal act.***

management, set out in Article 13, for the relevant crop.

Or. en

Amendment 1901

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk

Proposal for a regulation

Article 15 – paragraph 1 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

1 a. The crop-specific guidelines shall define:

(a) For which applicable climatically homogeneous area this has validity,

(b) The crop cultivation method must be defined, which can have conflicting goals with regard to crop protection, such as no-till, conservation tillage, carbon farming, organic farming, Demeter,

(c) The use of harvested goods and the qualitative characteristics that the harvest should achieve are to be determined.

Or. en

Amendment 1902

Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Lena Düpont, Jens Gieseke, Angelika Niebler, Andreas Schwab, Ralf Seekatz, David McAllister, Christine Schneider, Stefan Berger, Sabine Verheyen, Rainer Wieland, Monika Hohlmeier, Michael Gahler, Christian Doleschal, Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Alexander Bernhuber, Daniel Caspary, Herbert Dorfmann, Simone Schmiedtbauer

Proposal for a regulation

Article 15 – paragraph 1 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(1 a) Die Mitgliedstaaten schaffen geeignete Anreize, um die beruflichen

Verwender zur freiwilligen Umsetzung von kulturpflanzen- oder sektorspezifischen Leitlinien zum integrierten Pflanzenschutz zu bewegen. Öffentliche Stellen oder Organisationen, die bestimmte berufliche Verwender vertreten, können entsprechende Leitlinien aufstellen. Die Mitgliedstaaten nehmen in ihren nationalen Aktionsplänen auf die ihrer Ansicht nach maßgeblichen und geeigneten Leitlinien Bezug.

Or. de

Amendment 1903
Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan

Proposal for a regulation
Article 15 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. Each Member State shall designate a competent authority responsible for ensuring that the crop-specific rules are scientifically robust and comply with this Article.

Amendment

2. Each Member State shall designate a competent authority responsible for ensuring that the crop-specific rules are scientifically robust and comply with this Article. ***The competent authority shall be independent and free of conflict of interest.***

Or. en

Amendment 1904
Sylvia Limmer

Proposal for a regulation
Article 15 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

(2) Jeder Mitgliedstaat benennt eine zuständige Behörde, die dafür verantwortlich ist, dass die kulturspezifischen Vorschriften

Amendment

(2) Jeder Mitgliedstaat, ***der kulturspezifische Vorschriften erlassen will***, benennt eine zuständige Behörde, die dafür verantwortlich ist, dass die

wissenschaftlich fundiert sind und diesem Artikel entsprechen.

kulturspezifischen Vorschriften wissenschaftlich fundiert sind und diesem Artikel entsprechen.

Or. de

Amendment 1905
Inese Vaidere

Proposal for a regulation
Article 15 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. Each Member State shall designate a competent authority responsible for ensuring that the crop-specific **rules** are scientifically robust and comply with this Article.

Amendment

2. Each Member State shall designate a competent authority responsible for ensuring that the crop-specific **guidelines** are scientifically robust and comply with this Article.

Or. en

Amendment 1906
Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjård

Proposal for a regulation
Article 15 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. Each Member State shall designate a competent authority responsible for ensuring that the crop-specific **rules** are scientifically robust and comply with this Article.

Amendment

2. Each Member State shall designate a competent authority responsible for ensuring that the crop-specific **guidelines** are scientifically robust and comply with this Article.

Or. en

Amendment 1907
Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Lena Düpont, Jens Gieseke, Angelika Niebler, Andreas Schwab, Ralf Seekatz, David McAllister, Christine Schneider, Stefan Berger, Sabine

Verheyen, Rainer Wieland, Monika Hohlmeier, Michael Gahler, Christian Doleschal, Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Alexander Bernhuber, Daniel Caspary, Herbert Dorfmann, Simone Schmiedtbauer

Proposal for a regulation
Article 15 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

(2) Jeder Mitgliedstaat benennt eine zuständige Behörde, die dafür verantwortlich ist, dass die kulturspezifischen **Vorschriften** wissenschaftlich fundiert sind und diesem Artikel entsprechen.

Amendment

(2) Jeder Mitgliedstaat benennt eine zuständige Behörde, die dafür verantwortlich ist, dass die kulturspezifischen **Leitlinien** wissenschaftlich fundiert sind und diesem Artikel entsprechen.

Or. de

Amendment 1908

Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Lena Düpont, Jens Gieseke, Angelika Niebler, Andreas Schwab, Ralf Seekatz, David McAllister, Christine Schneider, Stefan Berger, Sabine Verheyen, Rainer Wieland, Monika Hohlmeier, Michael Gahler, Christian Doleschal, Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Alexander Bernhuber, Daniel Caspary, Herbert Dorfmann, Simone Schmiedtbauer

Proposal for a regulation
Article 15 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

(3) *Bis zum ... [Amt für Veröffentlichungen: bitte das Datum = den ersten Tag des Monats nach dem 24. Monat nach Inkrafttreten dieser Verordnung einfügen] hat jeder Mitgliedstaat wirksame und durchsetzbare kulturspezifische Vorschriften für die Kulturen eingeführt, deren Anbaufläche mindestens 90 % seiner landwirtschaftlich genutzten Fläche (ohne Haus- und Nutzgärten) ausmacht. Die Mitgliedstaaten legen den geografischen Anwendungsbereich dieser Vorschriften unter Berücksichtigung der betreffenden agronomischen Bedingungen fest, einschließlich der Bodentypen und Kulturarten und der vorherrschenden*

Amendment

entfällt

klimatischen Bedingungen.

Or. de

Amendment 1909

Sylvia Limmer

Proposal for a regulation

Article 15 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

**(3) Bis zum ... [Amt für
Veröffentlichungen: bitte das Datum =
den ersten Tag des Monats nach dem 24.
Monat nach Inkrafttreten dieser
Verordnung einfügen] hat jeder
Mitgliedstaat wirksame und durchsetzbare
kulturspezifische Vorschriften für die
Kulturen eingeführt, deren Anbaufläche
mindestens 90 % seiner landwirtschaftlich
genutzten Fläche (ohne Haus- und
Nutzgärten) ausmacht. Die
Mitgliedstaaten legen den geografischen
Anwendungsbereich dieser Vorschriften
unter Berücksichtigung der betreffenden
agronomischen Bedingungen fest,
einschließlich der Bodentypen und
Kulturarten und der vorherrschenden
klimatischen Bedingungen.**

entfällt

Or. de

Amendment 1910

Michèle Rivasi

Proposal for a regulation

Article 15 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

3. By ... [OP: please insert the date =
the first day in the month following 24
months after the date of entry into force of
this Regulation] each Member State shall

3. By ... [OP: please insert the date =
the first day in the month following 24
months after the date of entry into force of
this Regulation] each Member State shall

have in place effective and enforceable crop-specific rules, for crops covering an area that accounts for at least 90 % of its utilised agricultural area (excluding kitchen gardens). Member States shall determine the geographic scope of those rules taking account of relevant agronomic conditions, including, the type of soil and crops and the prevailing climatic conditions.

have in place effective and enforceable crop-specific rules, for crops covering an area that accounts for at least 90 % of its utilised agricultural area (excluding kitchen gardens **and permanent grassland**) **and ensuring that all crops with a high pesticide use intensity, such as permanent crops, fruit or vegetables and the most frequently cultivated arable crops are covered**. Member States shall determine the geographic scope of those rules taking account of relevant agronomic conditions, including, the type of soil and crops and the prevailing climatic conditions. **Crop-specific rules must aim at a step-by-step phase-out of chemical pesticides use for the respective crop.**

Or. en

Justification

Complements amendment 153 of the draft report.

Amendment 1911

Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan

Proposal for a regulation

Article 15 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

3. By ... [OP: please insert the date = the first day in the month following 24 months after the date of entry into force of this Regulation] each Member State shall have in place effective and enforceable crop-specific rules, for crops covering an area that accounts for at least 90 % of its utilised agricultural area (excluding kitchen gardens). Member States shall determine the geographic scope of those rules taking account of relevant agronomic conditions, including, the type of soil and crops and the prevailing climatic conditions.

Amendment

3. By ... [OP: please insert the date = the first day in the month following 24 months after the date of entry into force of this Regulation] each Member State shall have in place effective and enforceable crop-specific rules, for crops covering an area that accounts for at least 90 % of its utilised agricultural area (excluding kitchen gardens **and permanent grasslands**). Member States shall determine the geographic scope of those rules taking account of relevant agronomic conditions, including, the type of soil and crops and the prevailing climatic conditions, **while ensuring that all crops with a high plant**

protection product use intensity are covered.

Or. en

Amendment 1912

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation

Article 15 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

3. By ... [OP: please insert the date = the first day in the month following 24 months after the date of entry into force of this Regulation] each Member State shall have in place effective and enforceable crop-specific rules, for crops covering an area that accounts for at least 90 % of its utilised agricultural area (excluding kitchen gardens). Member States shall determine the geographic scope of those rules taking account of relevant agronomic conditions, including, the type of soil and crops and the prevailing climatic conditions.

Amendment

3. By ... [OP: please insert the date = the first day in the month following 24 months after the date of entry into force of this Regulation] each Member State shall have in place effective and enforceable crop-specific rules, for crops covering an area that accounts for at least 90 % of its utilised agricultural area (excluding kitchen gardens) ***and all crops with a significant share in the total usage of pesticides in that Member State.*** Member States shall determine the geographic scope of those rules taking account of relevant agronomic conditions, including, the type of soil and crops and the prevailing climatic conditions.

Or. en

Amendment 1913

Jan Huitema, Emma Wiesner, Ulrike Müller, Erik Poulsen, Andreas Glück, Asger Christensen, Billy Kelleher

Proposal for a regulation

Article 15 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

3. By ... [OP: please insert the date = the first day in the month following 24 months after the date of entry into force of this Regulation] each Member State shall

Amendment

3. By ... [OP: please insert the date = the first day in the month following 24 months after the date of entry into force of this Regulation] each Member State shall

have in place effective and enforceable crop-specific rules, for crops ***covering an area that accounts for at least 90 % of its utilised agricultural area (excluding kitchen gardens).*** Member States shall determine the geographic scope of those rules taking account of relevant agronomic conditions, including, the type of soil and crops and the prevailing climatic conditions.

have in place effective and enforceable crop-specific rules for ***the 5 crops that most strongly influenced the trend in the reduction in the use and risk of chemical plant protection products as established under the national action plan under Article 9.***

Or. en

Amendment 1914
Esther de Lange

Proposal for a regulation
Article 15 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

3. By ... [OP: please insert the date = the first day in the month following 24 months after the date of entry into force of this Regulation] each Member State shall have in place effective and enforceable crop-specific rules, for crops ***covering an area that accounts for at least 90 % of its utilised agricultural area (excluding kitchen gardens).*** Member States shall determine the geographic scope of those rules taking account of relevant agronomic conditions, including, the type of soil and crops and the prevailing climatic conditions.

Amendment

3. By ... [OP: please insert the date = the first day in the month following 24 months after the date of entry into force of this Regulation] each Member State shall have in place effective and enforceable crop-specific rules, for ***the 5 crops having the highest pesticide use per hectare, or using those plant protection products that most strongly strongly influence the trend in the reduction in the use and risk of chemical plant protection products or in the use of the more hazardous plant protection products, as laid down in the National Action Plan in accordance with article 9 paragraph 1(a).***

Or. en

Amendment 1915
Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjärd

Proposal for a regulation
Article 15 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

3. By ... [OP: please insert the date = the first day in the month following 24 months after the date of entry into force of this Regulation] each Member State shall have in place effective and **enforceable** crop-specific **rules**, for crops covering an area that accounts for at least **90** % of its utilised agricultural area (excluding kitchen gardens). Member States shall determine the geographic scope of those **rules** taking account of relevant agronomic conditions, including, the type of soil and crops and the prevailing climatic conditions.

Amendment

3. By ... [OP: please insert the date = the first day in the month following 24 months after the date of entry into force of this Regulation] each Member State shall have in place effective and **feasible** crop-specific **guidelines**, for crops covering an area that accounts for at least **75** % of its utilised agricultural area (excluding kitchen gardens). Member States shall determine the geographic scope of those **guidelines** taking account of relevant agronomic conditions, including, the type of soil and crops and the prevailing climatic conditions.

Or. en

Amendment 1916
Stanislav Polčák

Proposal for a regulation
Article 15 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

3. Do dne ... [Úřad pro publikace: vložte datum = první den v měsíci následujícím 24 měsíců ode dne vstupu tohoto nařízení v platnost] musí mít každý členský stát zavedena účinná a vymahatelná pravidla pro konkrétní plodiny v případě plodin, které pokrývají plochu představující alespoň 90 % obhospodařované zemědělské půdy daného členského státu (kromě domácích zahrad). Členské státy určí zeměpisnou oblast působnosti těchto pravidel s ohledem na příslušné agronomické podmínky, včetně typu půdy a plodin a převládajících klimatických podmínek.

Amendment

3. Do dne ... [Úřad pro publikace: vložte datum = první den v měsíci následujícím 24 měsíců ode dne vstupu tohoto nařízení v platnost] musí mít každý členský stát zavedena účinná a vymahatelná pravidla pro konkrétní plodiny v případě plodin, které **dohromady** pokrývají plochu představující alespoň 90 % obhospodařované zemědělské půdy daného členského státu (kromě domácích zahrad). Členské státy určí zeměpisnou oblast působnosti těchto pravidel s ohledem na příslušné agronomické podmínky, včetně typu půdy a plodin a převládajících klimatických podmínek.

Or. cs

Amendment 1917
Inese Vaidere

Proposal for a regulation
Article 15 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

3. By ... [OP: please insert the date = the first day in the month following 24 months after the date of entry into force of this Regulation] each Member State shall have in place effective and enforceable crop-specific **rules**, for crops covering an area that accounts for at least 90 % of its utilised agricultural area (excluding kitchen gardens). Member States shall determine the geographic scope of those **rules** taking account of relevant agronomic conditions, including, the type of soil and crops and the prevailing climatic conditions.

Amendment

3. By ... [OP: please insert the date = the first day in the month following 24 months after the date of entry into force of this Regulation] each Member State shall have in place effective and enforceable crop-specific **guidelines**, for crops covering an area that accounts for at least 90 % of its utilised agricultural area (excluding kitchen gardens). Member States shall determine the geographic scope of those **guidelines** taking account of relevant agronomic conditions, including, the type of soil and crops and the prevailing climatic conditions.

Or. en

Amendment 1918
Christophe Hansen

Proposal for a regulation
Article 15 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

3. By ... [OP: please insert the date = the first day in the month following 24 months after the date of entry into force of this Regulation] each Member State shall have in place effective and enforceable crop-specific **rules**, for crops covering an area that accounts for at least 90 % of its utilised agricultural area (excluding kitchen gardens). Member States shall determine the geographic scope of those rules taking account of relevant agronomic conditions, including, the type of soil and crops and

Amendment

3. By ... [OP: please insert the date = the first day in the month following 24 months after the date of entry into force of this Regulation] each Member State shall have in place effective and enforceable crop-specific **guidelines**, for crops covering an area that accounts for at least 90 % of its utilised agricultural area (excluding kitchen gardens). Member States shall determine the geographic scope of those rules taking account of relevant agronomic conditions, including, the type

the prevailing climatic conditions.

of soil and crops and the prevailing climatic conditions.

Or. en

Amendment 1919

Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław Kalinowski, Joanna Kopcińska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

Proposal for a regulation Article 15 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

3. By ... [OP: please insert the date = the first day in the month following **24 months** after the date of entry into force of this Regulation] each Member State shall have in place effective and enforceable crop-specific **rules**, for crops covering an area that accounts for at least 90 % of its utilised agricultural area (excluding kitchen gardens). Member States shall determine the geographic scope of those rules taking account of relevant agronomic conditions, including, the type of soil and crops and the prevailing climatic conditions.

Amendment

3. By ... [OP: please insert the date = the first day in the month following **5 years** after the date of entry into force of this Regulation] each Member State shall have in place effective and enforceable crop-specific **guidelines**, for crops covering an area that accounts for at least 90 % of its utilised agricultural area (excluding kitchen gardens). Member States shall determine the geographic scope of those rules taking account of relevant agronomic conditions, including, the type of soil and crops and the prevailing climatic conditions.

Or. en

Amendment 1920

Edina Tóth

Proposal for a regulation Article 15 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

3. By ... [OP: please insert the date = the first day in the month following **24 months** after the date of entry into force of this Regulation] each Member State shall have in place effective and enforceable crop-specific rules, for crops covering an area that accounts for at least 90 % of its

Amendment

3. By ... [OP: please insert the date = the first day in the month following **5 years** after the date of entry into force of this Regulation] each Member State shall have in place effective and enforceable crop-specific rules, for crops covering an area that accounts for at least 90 % of its

utilised agricultural area (excluding kitchen gardens). Member States shall determine the geographic scope of those rules taking account of relevant agronomic conditions, including, the type of soil and crops and the prevailing climatic conditions.

utilised agricultural area (excluding kitchen gardens). Member States shall determine the geographic scope of those rules taking account of relevant agronomic conditions, including, the type of soil and crops and the prevailing climatic conditions.

Or. en

Amendment 1921

Franc Bogovič, Marlene Mortler, Ljudmila Novak

Proposal for a regulation

Article 15 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

3. By ... [OP: please insert the date = the first day in the month following **24 months** after the date of entry into force of this Regulation] each Member State shall have in place effective **and enforceable** crop-specific rules, for crops covering an area that accounts for at least **90** % of its utilised agricultural area (excluding kitchen gardens). Member States shall determine the geographic scope of those rules taking account of relevant agronomic conditions, including, the type of soil and crops and the prevailing climatic conditions.

Amendment

3. By ... [OP: please insert the date = the first day in the month following **5 years** after the date of entry into force of this Regulation] each Member State shall have in place effective crop-specific rules, for crops covering an area that accounts for at least **75** % of its utilised agricultural area (excluding kitchen gardens). Member States shall determine the geographic scope of those rules taking account of relevant agronomic conditions, including, the type of soil and crops and the prevailing climatic conditions.

Or. en

Justification

Timetables should be realistic and take into account available resources.

Amendment 1922

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjård

Proposal for a regulation

Article 15 – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 1 (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Member States may extend the crop-specific guidelines to other types of crops at any time.

Or. en

Amendment 1923

Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Lena Düpont, Jens Gieseke, Angelika Niebler, Andreas Schwab, Ralf Seekatz, David McAllister, Christine Schneider, Stefan Berger, Sabine Verheyen, Rainer Wieland, Monika Hohlmeier, Michael Gahler, Christian Doleschal, Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Alexander Bernhuber, Daniel Caspary, Herbert Dorfmann, Simone Schmiedtbauer

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 15 – paragraph 4**

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(4) Mindestens neun Monate vor dem Zeitpunkt, an dem eine kulturspezifische Vorschrift nach nationalem Recht anwendbar wird, leitet der Mitgliedstaat alle folgenden Schritte ein:

entfällt

a) Publikation eines Entwurfs zwecks öffentlicher Konsultation;

b) transparente Berücksichtigung von Stellungnahmen der Interessenträger und der Öffentlichkeit zu dem Entwurf;

c) Übermittlung des Entwurfs an die Kommission unter Berücksichtigung der unter Buchstabe b genannten Stellungnahmen.

Or. de

Amendment 1924

Christine Schneider, Ralf Seekatz, Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Sven Simon, Angelika Niebler, Monika Hohlmeier, Christian Doleschal, Franc Bogovič, Marlene Mortler, Daniel Caspary, Rainer Wieland, Sabine Verheyen, Alexander Bernhuber

Proposal for a regulation
Article 15 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

- 4. At least 9 months prior to the point in time when a crop-specific rule becomes applicable under national law, the Member State shall perform all of the following actions:**
- (a) publish a draft for public consultation;**
- (b) take into account comments received from stakeholders and members of the public on the draft in a transparent manner;**
- (c) submit the draft that takes into account the comments as referred to in point (b) to the Commission.**
- deleted*

Or. en

Amendment 1925

Franč Bogovič, Marlene Mortler, Ljudmila Novak, Alexander Bernhuber

Proposal for a regulation
Article 15 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

- 4. At least 9 months prior to the point in time when a crop-specific rule becomes applicable under national law, the Member State shall perform all of the following actions:**
- (a) publish a draft for public consultation;**
- (b) take into account comments received from stakeholders and members of the public on the draft in a transparent manner;**
- (c) submit the draft that takes into account the comments as referred to in point (b) to the Commission.**
- deleted*

Justification

IPM guidelines should be based on scientific knowledge. The role of public consultation is not clear.

Amendment 1926

Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław Kalinowski, Joanna Kopcińska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

Proposal for a regulation

Article 15 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

4. At least 9 months prior to the point in time when a crop-specific rule becomes applicable under national law, the Member State shall perform all of the following actions: *deleted*

(a) publish a draft for public consultation;

(b) take into account comments received from stakeholders and members of the public on the draft in a transparent manner;

(c) submit the draft that takes into account the comments as referred to in point (b) to the Commission.

Amendment 1927

Christophe Hansen

Proposal for a regulation

Article 15 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

4. At least 9 months prior to the point in time when a crop-specific rule becomes applicable under national law, the *deleted*

Member State shall perform all of the following actions:

- (a) publish a draft for public consultation;***
- (b) take into account comments received from stakeholders and members of the public on the draft in a transparent manner;***
- (c) submit the draft that takes into account the comments as referred to in point (b) to the Commission.***

Or. en

Amendment 1928

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Jorge Buxadé Villalba, Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjärd

Proposal for a regulation

Article 15 – paragraph 4 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

4. At least 9 months prior to the point in time when a crop-specific ***rule becomes applicable under national law***, the Member State shall perform all of the following actions:

Amendment

4. At least 9 months prior to the point in time when a crop-specific ***guideline gets published***, the Member State shall perform all of the following actions:

Or. en

Amendment 1929

Jan Huitema, Emma Wiesner, Erik Poulsen, Asger Christensen, Billy Kelleher

Proposal for a regulation

Article 15 – paragraph 4 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

4. At least 9 months prior to the point in time when a crop-specific rule becomes applicable ***under national law***, the Member State shall perform all of the

Amendment

4. At least 9 months prior to the point in time when a crop-specific rule becomes applicable, the Member State shall perform

following actions:

all of the following actions:

Or. en

Amendment 1930

Inese Vaidere

Proposal for a regulation

Article 15 – paragraph 4 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

4. At least 9 months prior to the point in time when **a** crop-specific **rule becomes applicable under national law**, the Member State shall perform all of the following actions:

4. At least 9 months prior to the point in time when crop-specific **guidelines are introduced**, the Member State shall perform all of the following actions:

Or. en

Amendment 1931

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjård

Proposal for a regulation

Article 15 – paragraph 4 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(a) **publish a draft for public consultation;**

(a) **the crop-specific guidelines on a website ;**

Or. en

Amendment 1932

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjård

Proposal for a regulation

Article 15 – paragraph 4 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) take into account comments received from stakeholders and members of the public on the draft in a transparent manner;

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 1933

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Jorge Buxadé Villalba, Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjärd

Proposal for a regulation

Article 15 – paragraph 4 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(c) submit the draft that takes into account the comments as referred to in point (b) to the Commission.

(c) notify the Commission of the publication of crop-specific guidelines as referred to in point a.

Or. en

Amendment 1934

Sylvia Limmer

Proposal for a regulation

Article 15 – paragraph 5

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(5) Wird der Kommission ein Entwurf gemäß Absatz 4 Buchstabe c gemeldet, so kann sie innerhalb von sechs Monaten nach Erhalt des Entwurfs Einwände gegen dessen Annahme durch einen Mitgliedstaat erheben, wenn der Entwurf ihrer Auffassung nach nicht die Kriterien des Absatzes 6 erfüllt. Erhebt die Kommission Einwände, so nimmt der Mitgliedstaat den Entwurf erst an, nachdem er den Wortlaut so geändert hat,

entfällt

dass die in den Einwänden der Kommission festgestellten Mängel behoben sind. Reagiert die Kommission nicht gemäß diesem Absatz auf den Entwurf einer kulturspezifischen Vorschrift, greift dies etwaigen Maßnahmen oder Beschlüssen der Kommission nach anderen Rechtsakten der Union nicht vor.

Or. de

Amendment 1935

Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Lena Düpont, Jens Gieseke, Angelika Niebler, Andreas Schwab, Ralf Seekatz, David McAllister, Christine Schneider, Stefan Berger, Sabine Verheyen, Rainer Wieland, Monika Hohlmeier, Michael Gahler, Christian Doleschal, Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Alexander Bernhuber, Daniel Caspary, Herbert Dorfmann, Simone Schmiedtbauer

Proposal for a regulation Article 15 – paragraph 5

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(5) Wird der Kommission ein Entwurf gemäß Absatz 4 Buchstabe c gemeldet, so kann sie innerhalb von sechs Monaten nach Erhalt des Entwurfs Einwände gegen dessen Annahme durch einen Mitgliedstaat erheben, wenn der Entwurf ihrer Auffassung nach nicht die Kriterien des Absatzes 6 erfüllt. Erhebt die Kommission Einwände, so nimmt der Mitgliedstaat den Entwurf erst an, nachdem er den Wortlaut so geändert hat, dass die in den Einwänden der Kommission festgestellten Mängel behoben sind. Reagiert die Kommission nicht gemäß diesem Absatz auf den Entwurf einer kulturspezifischen Vorschrift, greift dies etwaigen Maßnahmen oder Beschlüssen der Kommission nach anderen Rechtsakten der Union nicht vor.

entfällt

Or. de

Amendment 1936

Christine Schneider, Ralf Seekatz, Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Sven Simon, Angelika Niebler, Monika Hohlmeier, Christian Doleschal, Franc Bogovič, Marlene Mortler, Daniel Caspary, Rainer Wieland, Sabine Verheyen, Alexander Bernhuber

Proposal for a regulation

Article 15 – paragraph 5

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

5. *Where the Commission is notified of a draft in accordance with paragraph 4, point (c), it may within 6 months of receipt of the draft object to its adoption by a Member State, if it considers that the draft does not comply with the criteria set out in paragraph 6. If the Commission objects, the Member State shall refrain from adopting the draft until it has amended the text so as to remedy the shortcomings identified in the Commission's objections. The absence of a reaction from the Commission in accordance with this paragraph to a draft crop-specific rule shall not prejudice any action or decision which might be taken by the Commission under other Union acts.* ***deleted***

Or. en

Amendment 1937

Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław Kalinowski, Joanna Kopcińska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

Proposal for a regulation

Article 15 – paragraph 5

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

5. *Where the Commission is notified of a draft in accordance with paragraph 4, point (c), it may within 6 months of receipt of the draft object to its adoption by a Member State, if it considers that the* ***deleted***

draft does not comply with the criteria set out in paragraph 6. If the Commission objects, the Member State shall refrain from adopting the draft until it has amended the text so as to remedy the shortcomings identified in the Commission's objections. The absence of a reaction from the Commission in accordance with this paragraph to a draft crop-specific rule shall not prejudice any action or decision which might be taken by the Commission under other Union acts.

Or. en

Justification

The Commission cannot question scientific knowledge. The proposed procedure does not enable MS to discuss with the Commission.

Amendment 1938
Christophe Hansen

Proposal for a regulation
Article 15 – paragraph 5

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

5. *Where the Commission is notified of a draft in accordance with paragraph 4, point (c), it may within 6 months of receipt of the draft object to its adoption by a Member State, if it considers that the draft does not comply with the criteria set out in paragraph 6. If the Commission objects, the Member State shall refrain from adopting the draft until it has amended the text so as to remedy the shortcomings identified in the Commission's objections. The absence of a reaction from the Commission in accordance with this paragraph to a draft crop-specific rule shall not prejudice any action or decision which might be taken by the Commission under other Union acts.* **deleted**

Amendment 1939

Franč Bogovič, Marlene Mortler, Ljudmila Novak, Alexander Bernhuber

Proposal for a regulation

Article 15 – paragraph 5

Text proposed by the Commission

5. Where the Commission is notified of a draft in accordance with paragraph 4, point (c), it may within 6 months of receipt of the draft ***object to its adoption by a*** Member State, if it considers that the draft does not comply with the criteria set out in paragraph 6. ***If the Commission objects, the Member State shall refrain from adopting the draft until it has amended the text so as to remedy the shortcomings identified in the Commission's objections. The absence of a reaction from the Commission in accordance with this paragraph to a draft crop-specific rule shall not prejudice any action or decision which might be taken by the Commission under other Union acts.***

Amendment

5. Where the Commission is notified of a draft in accordance with paragraph 4, point (c), it may within 6 months of receipt of the draft ***provide the*** Member State ***with additional guidelines***, if it considers that the draft does not comply with the criteria set out in paragraph 6.

Amendment 1940

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Pietro Fiocchi, Christine Schneider, Franč Bogovič, Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjård

Proposal for a regulation

Article 15 – paragraph 5

Text proposed by the Commission

5. Where the Commission is notified of ***a draft*** in accordance with paragraph 4, point (c), it may within 6 months of ***receipt*** of the ***draft object to its adoption by a*** Member State, ***if it considers that the draft***

Amendment

5. Where the Commission is notified of ***the crop-specific guidelines*** in accordance with paragraph 4, point (c), it may within ***1*** months of ***the publication*** of the ***crop-specific guidelines provide***

does not comply with the criteria set out in paragraph 6. If the Commission objects, the Member State shall refrain from adopting the draft until it has amended the text so as to remedy the shortcomings identified in the Commission's objections. The absence of a reaction from the Commission in accordance with this paragraph to a draft crop-specific rule shall not prejudice any action or decision which might be taken by the Commission under other Union acts.

recommendations to the Member State. If the Commission *submits a recommendation*, the Member State *may amend* the draft *as appropriate*.

Or. en

Amendment 1941

Jan Huitema, Emma Wiesner, Ulrike Müller, Erik Poulsen, Andreas Glück, Asger Christensen, Billy Kelleher

Proposal for a regulation Article 15 – paragraph 5

Text proposed by the Commission

5. Where the Commission is notified of a draft in accordance with paragraph 4, point (c), it may within 6 months of receipt of the draft object to its adoption by a Member State, if it considers that the draft does not comply with the criteria set out in paragraph 6. If the Commission objects, the Member State shall refrain from adopting the draft until it has amended the text so as to remedy the shortcomings identified in the Commission's objections.
The absence of a reaction from the Commission in accordance with this paragraph to a draft crop-specific rule shall not prejudice any action or decision which might be taken by the Commission under other Union acts.

Amendment

5. Where the Commission is notified of a draft in accordance with paragraph 4, point (c), it may within 6 months of receipt of the draft object to its adoption by a Member State, if it considers that the draft does not comply with the criteria set out in paragraph 6. If the Commission objects, the Member State shall refrain from adopting the draft until it has amended the text so as to remedy the shortcomings identified in the Commission's objections.

Or. en

Amendment 1942

Inese Vaidere

Proposal for a regulation

Article 15 – paragraph 5

Text proposed by the Commission

5. Where the Commission is notified of a draft in accordance with paragraph 4, point (c), it may within 6 months of receipt of the draft object to its adoption by a Member State, if it considers that the draft does not comply with the criteria set out in paragraph 6. If the Commission objects, the Member State shall refrain from adopting the draft until it has amended the text so as to remedy the shortcomings identified in the Commission's objections. The absence of a reaction from the Commission in accordance with this paragraph to **a** draft crop-specific **rule** shall not prejudice any action or decision which might be taken by the Commission under other Union acts.

Amendment

5. Where the Commission is notified of a draft in accordance with paragraph 4, point (c), it may within 6 months of receipt of the draft object to its adoption by a Member State, if it considers that the draft does not comply with the criteria set out in paragraph 6. If the Commission objects, the Member State shall refrain from adopting the draft until it has amended the text so as to remedy the shortcomings identified in the Commission's objections. The absence of a reaction from the Commission in accordance with this paragraph to draft crop-specific **guidelines** shall not prejudice any action or decision which might be taken by the Commission under other Union acts.

Or. en

Amendment 1943

Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław Kalinowski, Joanna Kopcińska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

Proposal for a regulation

Article 15 – paragraph 6

Text proposed by the Commission

6. ***The crop-specific rules shall convert the requirements of integrated pest management laid down in Article 13 into verifiable criteria by, among others, specifying the following:***

(a) the most economically significant harmful organisms affecting the crop;

(b) the non-chemical interventions involving cultural, physical and biological

Amendment

deleted

control which are effective against the harmful organisms referred to in point (a) and qualitative criteria or conditions under which these interventions are to be made;

(c) the low-risk plant protection products or alternatives to chemical plant protection products which are effective against the harmful organisms referred to in point (a) and qualitative criteria or conditions under which these interventions are to be made;

(d) chemical plant protection products that are not low-risk plant protection products and that are effective against the harmful organisms referred to in point (a) and qualitative criteria or conditions under which these interventions are to be made;

(e) the quantitative criteria or conditions under which chemical plant protection products may be used after all other means of control that do not require the use of chemical plant protection products have been exhausted;

(f) the measurable criteria or conditions under which more hazardous plant protection products may be used after all other means of control that do not require the use of chemical plant protection products have been exhausted.

(g) the obligation to record observations demonstrating that the relevant threshold value has been reached.

Or. en

Justification

Due to the frequent changes in the authorization of plant protection products, guidelines developed according to the proposed procedure would be useless or even misleading. On top, the qualitative criteria/conditions for many of the options proposed in paragraph 6 do not exist.

Amendment 1944

Martin Hojsík, Michal Wiezik, María Soraya Rodríguez Ramos, Frédérique Ries

Proposal for a regulation

Article 15 – paragraph 6 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

6. The crop-specific rules shall convert the requirements of integrated pest management laid down in Article 13 into verifiable criteria by, among others, specifying the following:

Amendment

6. The crop-specific rules shall convert ***the integrated pest management hierarchy defined in Article 3(15)*** and the requirements of integrated pest management laid down in Article 13 into verifiable criteria by, among others, specifying the following:

Or. en

Amendment 1945

Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan, Günther Sidl

Proposal for a regulation

Article 15 – paragraph 6 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

6. The crop-specific rules shall convert the requirements of integrated pest management laid down in Article 13 into verifiable criteria by, among others, specifying the following:

Amendment

6. The crop-specific rules shall convert ***the integrated pest management hierarchy as defined in Article 12*** and the requirements of integrated pest management laid down in Article 13 into verifiable criteria by, among others, specifying the following:

Or. en

Amendment 1946

Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Lena Düpont, Jens Gieseke, Angelika Niebler, Andreas Schwab, Ralf Seekatz, David McAllister, Christine Schneider, Stefan Berger, Sabine Verheyen, Rainer Wieland, Monika Hohlmeier, Michael Gahler, Christian Doleschal, Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Alexander Bernhuber, Daniel Caspary, Herbert Dorfmann, Simone Schmiedtbauer

Proposal for a regulation

Article 15 – paragraph 6 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

(6) In den kulturspezifischen **Vorschriften** werden die Anforderungen des integrierten Pflanzenschutzes gemäß Artikel 13 **in nachprüfbare Kriterien umgesetzt**; dazu wird unter anderem Folgendes **festgelegt**:

Amendment

(6) In den kulturspezifischen **Leitlinien** werden die Anforderungen des integrierten Pflanzenschutzes gemäß Artikel 13 **bezogen auf die einzelnen Kulturen aufgezeigt**; dazu wird unter anderem Folgendes **erläutert**:

Or. de

Amendment 1947
Christophe Hansen

Proposal for a regulation
Article 15 – paragraph 6 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

6. The crop-specific **rules** shall convert the requirements of integrated pest management laid down in Article 13 into verifiable criteria by, among others, specifying the following:

Amendment

6. The crop-specific **guidelines** shall convert the requirements of integrated pest management laid down in Article 13 into verifiable criteria by, among others, specifying the following:

Or. en

Amendment 1948
Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjård

Proposal for a regulation
Article 15 – paragraph 6 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

6. The crop-specific **rules** shall convert the requirements of integrated pest management laid down in Article 13 into verifiable criteria by, among others, specifying the following:

Amendment

6. The crop-specific **guidelines** shall convert the requirements of integrated pest management laid down in Article 13 into verifiable criteria by, among others, specifying the following:

Or. en

Amendment 1949
Inese Vaidere

Proposal for a regulation
Article 15 – paragraph 6 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

6. The crop-specific **rules shall** convert the requirements of integrated pest management laid down in Article 13 into verifiable criteria by, among others, specifying the following:

Amendment

6. The crop-specific **guidelines may** convert the requirements of integrated pest management laid down in Article 13 into verifiable criteria by, among others, specifying the following:

Or. en

Amendment 1950
Jan Huitema, Emma Wiesner, Ulrike Müller, Erik Poulsen, Nils Torvalds, Andreas Glück, Asger Christensen, Billy Kelleher

Proposal for a regulation
Article 15 – paragraph 6 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

6. The crop-specific rules **shall** convert the **requirements** of integrated pest management laid down in Article 13 into verifiable criteria by, among others, specifying the following:

Amendment

6. The crop-specific rules **may** convert the **principles** of integrated pest management laid down in Article 13 into verifiable criteria by, among others, specifying the following:

Or. en

Amendment 1951
Sylvia Limmer

Proposal for a regulation
Article 15 – paragraph 6 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

(6) **In den kulturspezifischen** Vorschriften **werden** die Anforderungen

Amendment

(6) **Erlässt ein Mitgliedsstaat** **kulturspezifische** Vorschriften, **um** die

des integrierten Pflanzenschutzes gemäß Artikel 13 **in nachprüfbare Kriterien umgesetzt; dazu wird unter anderem Folgendes festgelegt:**

Anforderungen des integrierten Pflanzenschutzes gemäß Artikel 13 **umzusetzen, soll dieser mindestens Folgendes enthalten: .**

Or. de

Amendment 1952
Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan

Proposal for a regulation
Article 15 – paragraph 6 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) the non-chemical interventions involving **cultural**, physical and biological control which are effective against the harmful organisms referred to in point (a) and qualitative criteria or conditions under which these interventions are to be made;

Amendment

(b) the **non-chemical preventative measures and** non-chemical interventions involving **agronomic practices**, physical and biological control, **and the combination of these**, which are **most** effective against the harmful organisms referred to in point (a) and qualitative criteria or conditions under which these interventions are to be made;

Or. en

Amendment 1953
Franč Bogovič, Marlene Mortler, Ljudmila Novak, Alexander Bernhuber

Proposal for a regulation
Article 15 – paragraph 6 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) the non-chemical interventions involving cultural, physical and biological control which are effective against the harmful organisms referred to in point (a) and qualitative criteria or conditions under which these interventions are to be made;

Amendment

(b) the non-chemical interventions involving cultural, physical and biological control which are **available, affordable, economically sustainable and** effective against the harmful organisms referred to in point (a) and qualitative criteria or conditions under which these interventions are to be made;

Or. en

Amendment 1954

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation

Article 15 – paragraph 6 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) the non-chemical interventions involving cultural, physical and biological control which are effective against the harmful organisms referred to in point (a) and qualitative criteria or conditions under which these interventions are to be made;

Amendment

(b) the non-chemical interventions involving cultural, physical and biological **prevention and control strategies and measures** which are effective against the harmful organisms referred to in point (a) and qualitative criteria or conditions under which these interventions are to be made;

Or. en

Amendment 1955

Sylvia Limmer

Proposal for a regulation

Article 15 – paragraph 6 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

b) **die nichtchemischen** Interventionen zur Schädlingsbekämpfung **durch Kulturen, physikalische und biologische Mittel**, die gegen die Schadorganismen gemäß Buchstabe a wirksam sind, und qualitative Kriterien oder Bedingungen, unter denen diese Interventionen erfolgen sollen;

Amendment

b) **alle** Interventionen **des integrierten Pflanzenschutzes** zur Schädlingsbekämpfung, die gegen die Schadorganismen gemäß Buchstabe a wirksam sind, und qualitative Kriterien oder Bedingungen, unter denen diese Interventionen erfolgen sollen;

Or. de

Amendment 1956

Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Lena Düpont, Jens Gieseke, Angelika Niebler, Andreas Schwab, Ralf Seekatz, David McAllister, Christine Schneider, Stefan Berger, Sabine Verheyen, Rainer Wieland, Monika Hohlmeier, Michael Gahler, Christian Doleschal, Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Alexander Bernhuber, Daniel Caspary, Herbert Dorfmann, Simone Schmiedtbauer

Proposal for a regulation
Article 15 – paragraph 6 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

b) die nichtchemischen Interventionen zur Schädlingsbekämpfung durch Kulturen, physikalische und biologische Mittel, die gegen die Schadorganismen gemäß Buchstabe a wirksam sind, und qualitative Kriterien oder Bedingungen, unter denen diese Interventionen erfolgen **sollen**;

Amendment

b) die nichtchemischen Interventionen zur Schädlingsbekämpfung durch Kulturen, physikalische und biologische Mittel, die gegen die Schadorganismen gemäß Buchstabe a wirksam sind, und qualitative Kriterien oder Bedingungen, unter denen diese Interventionen erfolgen **können**;

Or. de

Amendment 1957

Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Lena Düpont, Jens Gieseke, Angelika Niebler, Andreas Schwab, Ralf Seekatz, David McAllister, Christine Schneider, Stefan Berger, Sabine Verheyen, Rainer Wieland, Monika Hohlmeier, Michael Gahler, Christian Doleschal, Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Alexander Bernhuber, Daniel Caspary, Herbert Dorfmann, Simone Schmiedtbauer

Proposal for a regulation
Article 15 – paragraph 6 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

c) **die Pflanzenschutzmittel mit geringem Risiko oder Alternativen zu chemischen Pflanzenschutzmitteln, die gegen die Schadorganismen gemäß Buchstabe a wirksam sind, und qualitative Kriterien oder Bedingungen, unter denen diese Interventionen erfolgen sollen**;

Amendment

entfällt

Or. de

Amendment 1958
Sylvia Limmer

Proposal for a regulation
Article 15 – paragraph 6 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

c) die Pflanzenschutzmittel **mit geringem Risiko oder Alternativen zu chemischen Pflanzenschutzmitteln**, die gegen die Schadorganismen gemäß Buchstabe a wirksam sind, und qualitative Kriterien oder Bedingungen, unter denen diese Interventionen erfolgen sollen;

c) die Pflanzenschutzmittel, die gegen die Schadorganismen gemäß Buchstabe a wirksam sind und qualitative Kriterien oder Bedingungen, unter denen diese Interventionen erfolgen sollen;

Or. de

Amendment 1959

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjård

Proposal for a regulation

Article 15 – paragraph 6 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(c) the low-risk plant protection products or alternatives to chemical plant protection products which are **effective** against the harmful organisms referred to in point (a) and qualitative criteria or conditions under which these interventions are to be made;

(c) the low-risk plant protection products or alternatives to chemical plant protection products which are **authorised** against the harmful organisms referred to in point (a) and qualitative criteria or conditions under which these interventions are to be made;

Or. en

Amendment 1960

Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan, Delara Burkhardt

Proposal for a regulation

Article 15 – paragraph 6 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(c) the **low-risk** plant protection products or **alternatives to chemical** plant protection products which are effective against the harmful organisms referred to

(c) the **alternatives to chemical** plant protection products or **low-risk** plant protection products which are effective against the harmful organisms referred to

in point (a) and qualitative criteria or conditions under which these interventions are to be made;

in point (a) and qualitative criteria or conditions under which these interventions are to be made;

Or. en

Amendment 1961
Sylvia Limmer

Proposal for a regulation
Article 15 – paragraph 6 – point d

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

d) die chemischen Pflanzenschutzmittel, bei denen es sich nicht um Pflanzenschutzmittel mit geringem Risiko handelt und die gegen die Schadorganismen gemäß Buchstabe a wirksam sind, sowie qualitative Kriterien oder Bedingungen, unter denen diese Interventionen erfolgen sollen;

entfällt

Or. de

Amendment 1962

Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Lena Düpont, Jens Gieseke, Angelika Niebler, Andreas Schwab, Ralf Seekatz, David McAllister, Christine Schneider, Stefan Berger, Sabine Verheyen, Rainer Wieland, Monika Hohlmeier, Michael Gahler, Christian Doleschal, Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Alexander Bernhuber, Daniel Caspary, Herbert Dorfmann, Simone Schmiedtbauer

Proposal for a regulation
Article 15 – paragraph 6 – point d

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

d) die chemischen Pflanzenschutzmittel, bei denen es sich nicht um Pflanzenschutzmittel mit geringem Risiko handelt und die gegen die Schadorganismen gemäß Buchstabe a wirksam sind, sowie qualitative Kriterien oder Bedingungen, unter denen diese

entfällt

Interventionen erfolgen sollen;

Or. de

Amendment 1963

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjärd

Proposal for a regulation

Article 15 – paragraph 6 – point d

Text proposed by the Commission

(d) chemical plant protection products that are not low-risk plant protection products and that are **effective** against the harmful organisms referred to in point (a) and qualitative criteria or conditions under which these interventions are to be made;

Amendment

(d) chemical plant protection products that are not low-risk plant protection products and that are **authorised** against the harmful organisms referred to in point (a) and qualitative criteria or conditions under which these interventions are to be made;

Or. en

Amendment 1964

Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Lena Düpont, Jens Gieseke, Angelika Niebler, Andreas Schwab, Ralf Seekatz, David McAllister, Christine Schneider, Stefan Berger, Sabine Verheyen, Rainer Wieland, Monika Hohlmeier, Michael Gahler, Christian Doleschal, Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Alexander Bernhuber, Daniel Caspary, Herbert Dorfmann, Simone Schmiedtbauer

Proposal for a regulation

Article 15 – paragraph 6 – point e

Text proposed by the Commission

e) *die quantitativen Kriterien oder Bedingungen, unter denen chemische Pflanzenschutzmittel verwendet werden dürfen, nachdem alle anderen Bekämpfungsmethoden, die keine Verwendung chemischer Pflanzenschutzmittel erfordern, ausgeschöpft sind;*

Amendment

entfällt

Amendment 1965

Sylvia Limmer

Proposal for a regulation

Article 15 – paragraph 6 – point e

Text proposed by the Commission

e) die **quantitativen Kriterien oder** Bedingungen, unter denen **chemische Pflanzenschutzmittel verwendet** werden **dürfen, nachdem alle anderen Bekämpfungsmethoden, die keine Verwendung chemischer Pflanzenschutzmittel erfordern, ausgeschöpft sind;**

Amendment

e) die Bedingungen, unter denen **die Anwendung von Pflanzenschutz nur eingeschränkt angewandt** werden **darf**

Amendment 1966

Franc Bogovič, Marlene Mortler, Ljudmila Novak, Alexander Bernhuber

Proposal for a regulation

Article 15 – paragraph 6 – point e

Text proposed by the Commission

(e) the quantitative criteria or conditions under which chemical plant protection products may be used **after all other means of control that do not require the use of chemical plant protection products have been exhausted;**

Amendment

(e) the quantitative criteria or conditions under which chemical plant protection products may be used;

Amendment 1967

Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Peter Lundgren, Hermann Tertsch, Mazaly Aguilar, Evžen Tošenovský, Sergio Berlato, Jorge Buxadé Villalba, Eugen Jurzyca, Pietro Fiocchi, Charlie Weimers, Alexander Bernhuber, Johan Nissinen

Proposal for a regulation

Article 15 – paragraph 6 – point e

Text proposed by the Commission

(e) the quantitative criteria or conditions under which chemical plant protection products may be used ***after all other means of control that do not require the use of chemical plant protection products have been exhausted;***

Amendment

(e) the quantitative criteria or conditions under which chemical plant protection products may be used ***in accordance with the product label;***

Or. en

Amendment 1968

Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan

Proposal for a regulation

Article 15 – paragraph 6 – point e

Text proposed by the Commission

(e) the quantitative criteria or conditions under which chemical plant protection products may be used after all other means of control that do not require the use of chemical plant protection products have been ***exhausted;***

Amendment

(e) the ***threshold for intervention*** (quantitative criteria or conditions) under which chemical plant protection products may be used after all other means of control that do not require the use of chemical plant protection products have been ***implemented;***

Or. en

Amendment 1969

Christine Schneider, Ralf Seekatz, Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Sven Simon, Angelika Niebler, Monika Hohlmeier, Christian Doleschal, Franc Bogovič, Marlene Mortler, Daniel Caspary, Rainer Wieland, Sabine Verheyen, Alexander Bernhuber

Proposal for a regulation

Article 15 – paragraph 6 – point e

Text proposed by the Commission

(e) the quantitative criteria or conditions under which chemical plant protection products may be used after all

Amendment

(e) the quantitative criteria or conditions under which chemical plant protection products may be used after all

other means of control that do not require the use of chemical plant protection products have been exhausted;

applicable other means of control that do not require the use of chemical plant protection products have been exhausted;

Or. en

Amendment 1970

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjärd, Margarita de la Pisa Carrión

Proposal for a regulation

Article 15 – paragraph 6 – point e

Text proposed by the Commission

(e) the **quantitative** criteria or conditions under which chemical plant protection products may be used after all other means of control that do not require the use of chemical plant protection products have been exhausted;

Amendment

(e) the criteria or conditions under which chemical plant protection products may be used after all other means of control that do not require the use of chemical plant protection products have been exhausted;

Or. en

Amendment 1971

Sylvia Limmer

Proposal for a regulation

Article 15 – paragraph 6 – point f

Text proposed by the Commission

f) die messbaren Kriterien oder Bedingungen, unter denen gefährlichere Pflanzenschutzmittel verwendet werden dürfen, nachdem alle anderen Bekämpfungsmethoden, die keine Verwendung chemischer Pflanzenschutzmittel erfordern, ausgeschöpft sind;

Amendment

entfällt

Or. de

Amendment 1972

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjärd

Proposal for a regulation

Article 15 – paragraph 6 – point f

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(f) *the measurable criteria or conditions under which more hazardous plant protection products may be used after all other means of control that do not require the use of chemical plant protection products have been exhausted.*

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 1973

Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Peter Lundgren, Hermann Tertsch, Mazaly Aguilar, Evžen Tošenovský, Sergio Berlato, Jorge Buxadé Villalba, Eugen Jurzyca, Pietro Fiocchi, Charlie Weimers, Alexander Bernhuber, Johan Nissinen

Proposal for a regulation

Article 15 – paragraph 6 – point f

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(f) the **measurable** criteria or conditions under which more hazardous plant protection products may be used **after all other means of control that do not require the use of chemical plant protection products have been exhausted.**

(f) the criteria or conditions under which more hazardous plant protection products may be used **in accordance with the product label.**

Or. en

Amendment 1974

Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan

Proposal for a regulation

Article 15 – paragraph 6 – point f

Text proposed by the Commission

(f) the **measurable** criteria or conditions under which more hazardous plant protection products may be used after all other means of control that do not require the use of chemical plant protection products have been **exhausted**.

Amendment

(f) the **threshold for intervention (quantitative)** criteria or conditions) under which more hazardous plant protection products may be used after all other means of control that do not require the use of chemical plant protection products have been **implemented**.

Or. en

Amendment 1975

Franc Bogovič, Marlene Mortler, Ljudmila Novak, Alexander Bernhuber

Proposal for a regulation

Article 15 – paragraph 6 – point f

Text proposed by the Commission

(f) the measurable criteria or conditions under which more hazardous plant protection products may be used after all other means of control that do not require the use of chemical plant protection products have been **exhausted**.

Amendment

(f) the measurable criteria or conditions under which more hazardous plant protection products may be used after all other means of control that do not require the use of chemical plant protection products have been **taken into account and analysed**.

Or. en

Amendment 1976

Christine Schneider, Ralf Seekatz, Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Sven Simon, Angelika Niebler, Monika Hohlmeier, Christian Doleschal, Franc Bogovič, Marlene Mortler, Daniel Caspary, Rainer Wieland, Sabine Verheyen, Alexander Bernhuber

Proposal for a regulation

Article 15 – paragraph 6 – point f

Text proposed by the Commission

(f) the **measurable** criteria or conditions under which more hazardous plant protection products may be used after all other means of control that do not

Amendment

(f) the **quantitative** criteria or conditions under which more hazardous plant protection products may be used after all other **applicable** means of control that

require the use of chemical plant protection products have been exhausted.

do not require the use of chemical plant protection products have been exhausted.

Or. en

Amendment 1977

Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Lena Düpont, Jens Gieseke, Angelika Niebler, Andreas Schwab, Ralf Seekatz, David McAllister, Christine Schneider, Stefan Berger, Sabine Verheyen, Rainer Wieland, Monika Hohlmeier, Michael Gahler, Christian Doleschal, Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Alexander Bernhuber, Daniel Caspary, Herbert Dorfmann, Simone Schmiedtbauer

Proposal for a regulation

Article 15 – paragraph 6 – point f

Text proposed by the Commission

f) die messbaren Kriterien oder Bedingungen, unter denen gefährlichere Pflanzenschutzmittel verwendet werden **dürfen**, nachdem alle anderen Bekämpfungsmethoden, die keine Verwendung chemischer Pflanzenschutzmittel erfordern, ausgeschöpft sind;

Amendment

f) die messbaren Kriterien oder Bedingungen, unter denen gefährlichere Pflanzenschutzmittel verwendet werden, nachdem alle anderen Bekämpfungsmethoden, die keine Verwendung chemischer Pflanzenschutzmittel erfordern, ausgeschöpft sind;

Or. de

Amendment 1978

Sylvia Limmer

Proposal for a regulation

Article 15 – paragraph 6 – point g

Text proposed by the Commission

g) **die Verpflichtung zur Aufzeichnung von Beobachtungen, aus denen hervorgeht, dass der betreffende Schwellenwert erreicht wurde.**

Amendment

entfällt

Or. de

Amendment 1979

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjärd

Proposal for a regulation

Article 15 – paragraph 6 – point g

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(g) *the obligation to record observations demonstrating that the relevant threshold value has been reached.*

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 1980

Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Peter Lundgren, Hermann Tertsch, Mazaly Aguilar, Evžen Tošenovský, Sergio Berlato, Jorge Buxadé Villalba, Eugen Jurzyca, Pietro Fiocchi, Charlie Weimers, Alexander Bernhuber, Johan Nissinen

Proposal for a regulation

Article 15 – paragraph 6 – point g

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(g) the obligation to record observations demonstrating that the relevant threshold **value** has been reached.

(g) the obligation to record observations demonstrating that the relevant **pest infestation** threshold has been reached.

Or. en

Amendment 1981

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjärd

Proposal for a regulation

Article 15 – paragraph 7

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

7. Each Member State shall review its crop-specific **rules annually** and update them where necessary, including when it is

7. Each Member State shall review its crop-specific **guidelines every 3 years** and update them where necessary, including

needed to reflect changes in the availability of harmful organism control tools.

when it is needed to reflect changes in the availability of harmful organism control tools.

Or. en

Amendment 1982
Inese Vaidere

Proposal for a regulation
Article 15 – paragraph 7

Text proposed by the Commission

7. Each Member State shall review its crop-specific **rules** annually and update them where necessary, including when it is needed to reflect changes in the availability of harmful organism control tools.

Amendment

7. Each Member State shall review its crop-specific **guidelines** annually and update them where necessary, including when it is needed to reflect changes in the availability of harmful organism control tools.

Or. en

Amendment 1983

Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Lena Düpont, Jens Gieseke, Angelika Niebler, Andreas Schwab, Ralf Seekatz, David McAllister, Christine Schneider, Stefan Berger, Sabine Verheyen, Rainer Wieland, Monika Hohlmeier, Michael Gahler, Christian Doleschal, Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Alexander Bernhuber, Daniel Caspary, Herbert Dorfmann, Simone Schmiedtbauer

Proposal for a regulation
Article 15 – paragraph 7

Text proposed by the Commission

(7) Jeder Mitgliedstaat überprüft **jährlich** seine kulturspezifischen **Vorschriften** und aktualisiert sie falls nötig, auch wenn dies erforderlich ist, um Veränderungen bei der Verfügbarkeit von Instrumenten zur Bekämpfung von Schadorganismen Rechnung zu tragen.

Amendment

(7) Jeder Mitgliedstaat überprüft **alle 3 Jahre** seine kulturspezifischen **Leitlinien** und aktualisiert sie falls nötig, auch wenn dies erforderlich ist, um Veränderungen bei der Verfügbarkeit von Instrumenten zur Bekämpfung von Schadorganismen Rechnung zu tragen.

Or. de

Amendment 1984

Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław Kalinowski, Joanna Kopcińska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

Proposal for a regulation

Article 15 – paragraph 7

Text proposed by the Commission

7. Each Member State shall review its crop-specific rules ***annually*** and update them where necessary, including when it is needed to reflect changes in the availability of harmful organism control tools.

Amendment

7. Each Member State shall review its crop-specific rules ***regularly*** and update them where necessary, including when it is needed to reflect changes in the availability of harmful organism control tools.

Or. en

Amendment 1985

Franc Bogovič, Marlene Mortler, Ljudmila Novak, Alexander Bernhuber

Proposal for a regulation

Article 15 – paragraph 7

Text proposed by the Commission

7. Each Member State shall review its crop-specific rules ***annually*** and update them where necessary, including when it is needed to reflect changes in the availability of harmful organism control tools.

Amendment

7. Each Member State shall review its crop-specific rules ***regularly*** and update them where necessary, including when it is needed to reflect changes in the availability of harmful organism control tools.

Or. en

Justification

Revision of all IPM guidelines would be unjustified administrative burden. Provisions proposed by the Commission would need an increase of employment in administration without added value.

Amendment 1986

Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Lena Düpont, Jens Gieseke, Angelika Niebler, Andreas Schwab, Ralf Seekatz, David McAllister, Christine Schneider, Stefan Berger, Sabine Verheyen, Rainer Wieland, Monika Hohlmeier, Michael Gahler, Christian Doleschal,

Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Alexander Bernhuber, Daniel Caspary, Herbert Dorfmann, Simone Schmiedtbauer

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 15 – paragraph 8**

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(8) Ein Mitgliedstaat, der eine kulturspezifische Vorschrift aktualisieren will, leitet mindestens sechs Monate, bevor die Aktualisierung nach nationalem Recht anwendbar wird, folgende Schritte ein: **entfällt**

- a) Publikation eines Entwurfs der aktualisierten Vorschriften zwecks öffentlicher Konsultation;**
- b) transparente Berücksichtigung von Stellungnahmen der Interessenträger und der Öffentlichkeit zu dem Entwurf;**
- c) Übermittlung des Entwurfs an die Kommission unter Berücksichtigung der unter Buchstabe b genannten Stellungnahmen.**

Or. de

Amendment 1987

Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław Kalinowski, Joanna Kopcińska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 15 – paragraph 8**

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

- 8. A Member State that is planning to update a crop-specific rule shall, at least 6 months before the update becomes applicable under national law:** **deleted**
- (a) publish a draft of the updated rules for public consultation;**
 - (b) take into account comments received from stakeholders and members of the public on the draft in a transparent**

manner;

(c) submit the draft that takes into account the comments as referred to in point (b) to the Commission.

Or. en

Amendment 1988

Franč Bogovič, Marlene Mortler, Ljudmila Novak, Alexander Bernhuber

Proposal for a regulation

Article 15 – paragraph 8

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

8. A Member State that is planning to update a crop-specific rule shall, at least 6 months before the update becomes applicable under national law: *deleted*

(a) publish a draft of the updated rules for public consultation;

(b) take into account comments received from stakeholders and members of the public on the draft in a transparent manner;

(c) submit the draft that takes into account the comments as referred to in point (b) to the Commission.

Or. en

Justification

No longer valid due to the previous amendments.

Amendment 1989

Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Peter Lundgren, Hermann Tertsch, Mazaly Aguilar, Evžen Tošenovský, Sergio Berlato, Jorge Buxadé Villalba, Eugen Jurzyca, Pietro Fiocchi, Charlie Weimers, Alexander Bernhuber, Johan Nissinen

Proposal for a regulation

Article 15 – paragraph 8

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

- 8. A Member State that is planning to update a crop-specific rule shall, at least 6 months before the update becomes applicable under national law:** **deleted**
- (a) publish a draft of the updated rules for public consultation;**
- (b) take into account comments received from stakeholders and members of the public on the draft in a transparent manner;**
- (c) submit the draft that takes into account the comments as referred to in point (b) to the Commission.**

Or. en

Justification

Enormous administrative burden with near to zero value-added.

Amendment 1990
Christophe Hansen

Proposal for a regulation
Article 15 – paragraph 8

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

- 8. A Member State that is planning to update a crop-specific rule shall, at least 6 months before the update becomes applicable under national law:** **deleted**
- (a) publish a draft of the updated rules for public consultation;**
- (b) take into account comments received from stakeholders and members of the public on the draft in a transparent manner;**
- (c) submit the draft that takes into account the comments as referred to in point (b) to the Commission.**

Amendment 1991
Inese Vaidere

Proposal for a regulation
Article 15 – paragraph 8 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

8. A Member State that is planning to update **a** crop-specific **rule** shall, at least 6 months before the update becomes applicable under national law:

Amendment

8. A Member State that is planning to update crop-specific **guidelines** shall, at least 6 months before the update becomes applicable under national law:

Or. en

Amendment 1992
Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjärd, Margarita de la Pisa Carrión

Proposal for a regulation
Article 15 – paragraph 8 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

8. A Member State **that is planning to update a crop-specific rule** shall, **at least 6 months before the update becomes applicable under national law:**

Amendment

8. A Member State **shall make the updated guidelines publicly available on a website, and shall notify the Commission of such publication.**

Or. en

Amendment 1993
Jan Huitema, Emma Wiesner, Erik Poulsen, Asger Christensen, Billy Kelleher

Proposal for a regulation
Article 15 – paragraph 8 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

8. A Member State that is planning to

Amendment

8. A Member State that is planning to

update a crop-specific rule shall, at least 6 months before the update becomes applicable ***under national law***:

update a crop-specific rule shall, at least 6 months before the update becomes applicable;

Or. en

Amendment 1994

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjärd

Proposal for a regulation

Article 15 – paragraph 8 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(a) ***publish a draft of the updated rules for public consultation;***

(a) ***deleted***

Or. en

Amendment 1995

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjärd

Proposal for a regulation

Article 15 – paragraph 8 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) ***take into account comments received from stakeholders and members of the public on the draft in a transparent manner;***

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 1996

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjärd

Proposal for a regulation

Article 15 – paragraph 8 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(c) ***submit the draft that takes into account the comments as referred to in point (b) to the Commission.***

(c) ***deleted***

Or. en

Amendment 1997
Mathilde Androuët

Proposal for a regulation
Article 15 – paragraph 9

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

9. *Lorsqu'un projet lui est notifié conformément au paragraphe 8, la Commission peut, dans un délai de trois mois à compter de la réception du projet de mise à jour de la règle propre à une culture, s'opposer à son adoption par un État membre, si elle estime que le projet ne satisfait pas aux critères énoncés au paragraphe 6. Si la Commission a des objections au projet, l'État membre ne met pas à jour la règle propre à une culture avant d'avoir modifié le texte pour remédier aux lacunes relevées dans les objections de la Commission. L'absence de réaction de la Commission conformément au présent paragraphe à un projet de règle propre à une culture ne prive pas la Commission de la possibilité de prendre des mesures ou décisions en vertu d'autres actes de l'Union.*

supprimé

Or. fr

Amendment 1998

Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Lena Düpont, Jens Gieseke, Angelika Niebler, Andreas Schwab, Ralf Seekatz, David McAllister, Christine Schneider, Stefan Berger, Sabine Verheyen, Rainer Wieland, Monika Hohlmeier, Michael Gahler, Christian Doleschal, Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Alexander Bernhuber, Daniel Caspary, Herbert

Dorfmann, Simone Schmiedtbauer

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 15 – paragraph 9**

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(9) Wird der Kommission ein Entwurf gemäß Absatz 8 gemeldet, so kann sie innerhalb von drei Monaten nach Erhalt des Entwurfs Einwände gegen die Aktualisierung einer kulturspezifischen Vorschrift durch einen Mitgliedstaat erheben, wenn der Entwurf ihrer Auffassung nach nicht die Kriterien des Absatzes 6 erfüllt. Erhebt die Kommission Einwände, so aktualisiert der Mitgliedstaat die kulturspezifische Vorschrift erst, nachdem er den Wortlaut so geändert hat, dass die in den Einwänden der Kommission festgestellten Mängel behoben sind. Reagiert die Kommission nicht gemäß diesem Absatz auf den Entwurf einer kulturspezifischen Vorschrift, greift dies etwaigen Maßnahmen oder Beschlüssen der Kommission nach anderen Rechtsakten der Union nicht vor. **entfällt**

Or. de

**Amendment 1999
Sylvia Limmer**

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 15 – paragraph 9**

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(9) Wird der Kommission ein Entwurf gemäß Absatz 8 gemeldet, so kann sie innerhalb von drei Monaten nach Erhalt des Entwurfs Einwände gegen die Aktualisierung einer kulturspezifischen Vorschrift durch einen Mitgliedstaat erheben, wenn der Entwurf ihrer Auffassung nach nicht die Kriterien des **entfällt**

Absatzes 6 erfüllt. Erhebt die Kommission Einwände, so aktualisiert der Mitgliedstaat die kulturspezifische Vorschrift erst, nachdem er den Wortlaut so geändert hat, dass die in den Einwänden der Kommission festgestellten Mängel behoben sind. Reagiert die Kommission nicht gemäß diesem Absatz auf den Entwurf einer kulturspezifischen Vorschrift, greift dies etwaigen Maßnahmen oder Beschlüssen der Kommission nach anderen Rechtsakten der Union nicht vor.

Or. de

Amendment 2000

Jan Huitema, Emma Wiesner, Ulrike Müller, Erik Poulsen, Andreas Glück, Asger Christensen, Billy Kelleher

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 15 – paragraph 9**

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

<i>9. Where the Commission is notified of a draft under paragraph 8, it may within 3 months of receipt of the draft object to the updating of the crop-specific rule by a Member State, if it considers that the draft does not comply with the criteria set out in paragraph 6. If the Commission objects, the Member State shall refrain from updating the crop-specific rule until it has amended the text so as to remedy the shortcomings identified in the Commission's objections. The absence of a reaction from the Commission in accordance with this paragraph to a draft crop-specific rule shall not prejudice any action or decision which might be taken by the Commission under other Union acts.</i>	<i>deleted</i>

Or. en

Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław Kalinowski, Joanna Kopcińska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

Text proposed by the Commission

9. *Where the Commission is notified of a draft under paragraph 8, it may within 3 months of receipt of the draft object to the updating of the crop-specific rule by a Member State, if it considers that the draft does not comply with the criteria set out in paragraph 6. If the Commission objects, the Member State shall refrain from updating the crop-specific rule until it has amended the text so as to remedy the shortcomings identified in the Commission's objections. The absence of a reaction from the Commission in accordance with this paragraph to a draft crop-specific rule shall not prejudice any action or decision which might be taken by the Commission under other Union acts.*

Or. en

Proposal for a regulation

Article 15 – paragraph 9

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

9. Where the Commission is notified of a draft under paragraph 8, it may within 3 months of receipt of the draft object to the updating of the crop-specific rule by a Member State, if it considers that the draft does not comply with the criteria set out in paragraph 6. If the Commission objects, the Member State shall refrain from updating the crop-

specific rule until it has amended the text so as to remedy the shortcomings identified in the Commission's objections. The absence of a reaction from the Commission in accordance with this paragraph to a draft crop-specific rule shall not prejudice any action or decision which might be taken by the Commission under other Union acts.

Or. en

Amendment 2003

Franz Bogovič, Marlene Mortler, Ljudmila Novak, Alexander Bernhuber

Proposal for a regulation

Article 15 – paragraph 9

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

9. Where the Commission is notified of a draft under paragraph 8, it may within 3 months of receipt of the draft object to the updating of the crop-specific rule by a Member State, if it considers that the draft does not comply with the criteria set out in paragraph 6. If the Commission objects, the Member State shall refrain from updating the crop-specific rule until it has amended the text so as to remedy the shortcomings identified in the Commission's objections. The absence of a reaction from the Commission in accordance with this paragraph to a draft crop-specific rule shall not prejudice any action or decision which might be taken by the Commission under other Union acts.

deleted

Or. en

Justification

No longer valid due to the previous amendments.

Amendment 2004

Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Peter Lundgren, Hermann Tertsch, Mazaly Aguilar, Evžen Tošenovský, Sergio Berlato, Jorge Buxadé Villalba, Eugen Jurzyca, Pietro Fiocchi, Charlie Weimers, Alexander Bernhuber, Johan Nissinen

Proposal for a regulation

Article 15 – paragraph 9

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

9. *Where the Commission is notified of a draft under paragraph 8, it may within 3 months of receipt of the draft object to the updating of the crop-specific rule by a Member State, if it considers that the draft does not comply with the criteria set out in paragraph 6. If the Commission objects, the Member State shall refrain from updating the crop-specific rule until it has amended the text so as to remedy the shortcomings identified in the Commission's objections. The absence of a reaction from the Commission in accordance with this paragraph to a draft crop-specific rule shall not prejudice any action or decision which might be taken by the Commission under other Union acts.* **deleted**

Or. en

Justification

IDEM justification for deletion in paragraph 8

Amendment 2005

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjärd, Margarita de la Pisa Carrión

Proposal for a regulation

Article 15 – paragraph 9

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

9. Where the Commission is notified of **a draft** under paragraph 8, it may within

9. Where the Commission is notified of **the updated guidelines** under paragraph

3 months of receipt of **the draft object** to the **updating of the** crop-specific **rule by a Member State**, if it considers that the draft does not comply with the criteria set out in paragraph 6. **If the Commission objects, the Member State shall refrain from updating the crop-specific rule until it has amended the text so as to remedy the shortcomings identified in the Commission's objections. The absence of a reaction from the Commission in accordance with this paragraph to a draft crop-specific rule shall not prejudice any action or decision which might be taken by the Commission under other Union acts.**

8, it may within **1 month** of receipt of **such notification submit a proposal for amendment** to the **Member State regarding the updated** crop-specific **guideline**, if it considers that the draft does not comply with the criteria set out in paragraph 6.

Or. en

Amendment 2006
Inese Vaidere

Proposal for a regulation
Article 15 – paragraph 9

Text proposed by the Commission

9. Where the Commission is notified of a draft under paragraph 8, it may within 3 months of receipt of the draft object to the updating of the crop-specific **rule** by a Member State, if it considers that the draft does not comply with the criteria set out in paragraph 6. If the Commission objects, the Member State shall refrain from updating the crop-specific **rule** until it has amended the text so as to remedy the shortcomings identified in the Commission's objections. The absence of a reaction from the Commission in accordance with this paragraph to **a** draft crop-specific **rule** shall not prejudice any action or decision which might be taken by the Commission under other Union acts.

Amendment

9. Where the Commission is notified of a draft under paragraph 8, it may within 3 months of receipt of the draft object to the updating of the crop-specific **guidelines** by a Member State, if it considers that the draft does not comply with the criteria set out in paragraph 6. If the Commission objects, the Member State shall refrain from updating the crop-specific **guidelines** until it has amended the text so as to remedy the shortcomings identified in the Commission's objections. The absence of a reaction from the Commission in accordance with this paragraph to draft crop-specific **guidelines** shall not prejudice any action or decision which might be taken by the Commission under other Union acts.

Amendment 2007

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjärd, Margarita de la Pisa Carrión

Proposal for a regulation

Article 15 – paragraph 9 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

9 a. When the updated crop-specific guidelines have been published the professional users shall be recommended to use the updated crop-specific guidelines.

Amendment 2008

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjärd

Proposal for a regulation

Article 15 – paragraph 10

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

10. A Member State with significant climatic or agronomic differences between regions, **shall** adopt crop-specific **rules** for each of those regions.

10. A Member State with significant climatic or agronomic differences between regions, **may** adopt crop-specific **guidelines** for each of those regions **accordingly**.

Amendment 2009

Inese Vaidere

Proposal for a regulation

Article 15 – paragraph 10

Text proposed by the Commission

10. A Member State with significant climatic or agronomic differences between regions, shall adopt crop-specific **rules** for each of those regions.

Amendment

10. A Member State with significant climatic or agronomic differences between regions, shall adopt crop-specific **guidelines** for each of those regions.

Or. en

Amendment 2010

Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Lena Düpont, Jens Gieseke, Angelika Niebler, Andreas Schwab, Ralf Seekatz, David McAllister, Christine Schneider, Stefan Berger, Sabine Verheyen, Rainer Wieland, Monika Hohlmeier, Michael Gahler, Christian Doleschal, Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Alexander Bernhuber, Daniel Caspary, Herbert Dorfmann, Simone Schmiedtbauer

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 15 – paragraph 10**

Text proposed by the Commission

(10) Ein Mitgliedstaat mit erheblichen klimatischen oder agronomischen Unterschieden zwischen den Regionen erlässt für jede dieser Regionen eigene kulturspezifische **Vorschriften**.

Amendment

(10) Ein Mitgliedstaat mit erheblichen klimatischen oder agronomischen Unterschieden zwischen den Regionen erlässt für jede dieser Regionen eigene kulturspezifische **Leitlinien**.

Or. de

Amendment 2011

Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan, Delara Burkhardt

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 15 – paragraph 11**

Text proposed by the Commission

11. Each Member State shall publish all of its crop-specific rules on a single website.

Amendment

11. Each Member State shall publish all of its crop-specific rules on a single, **publicly accessible** website.

Or. en

Amendment 2012

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation

Article 15 – paragraph 11

Text proposed by the Commission

11. Each Member State shall publish all of its crop-specific rules on a single website.

Amendment

11. Each Member State shall publish all of its crop-specific rules on a single **public** website.

Or. en

Amendment 2013

Inese Vaidere

Proposal for a regulation

Article 15 – paragraph 11

Text proposed by the Commission

11. Each Member State shall publish all of its crop-specific **rules** on a single website.

Amendment

11. Each Member State shall publish all of its crop-specific **guidelines** on a single website.

Or. en

Amendment 2014

Christophe Hansen

Proposal for a regulation

Article 15 – paragraph 11

Text proposed by the Commission

11. Each Member State shall publish all of its crop-specific **rules** on a single website.

Amendment

11. Each Member State shall publish all of its crop-specific **guidelines** on a single website.

Or. en

Amendment 2015

Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Lena Düpont, Jens Gieseke, Angelika Niebler, Andreas Schwab, Ralf Seekatz, David McAllister, Christine Schneider, Stefan Berger, Sabine Verheyen, Rainer Wieland, Monika Hohlmeier, Michael Gahler, Christian Doleschal, Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Alexander Bernhuber, Daniel Caspary, Herbert Dorfmann, Simone Schmiedtbauer

Proposal for a regulation

Article 15 – paragraph 11

Text proposed by the Commission

(11) Jeder Mitgliedstaat veröffentlicht alle seine kulturspezifischen **Vorschriften** auf einer einzigen Website.

Amendment

(11) Jeder Mitgliedstaat veröffentlicht alle seine kulturspezifischen **Leitlinien** auf einer einzigen Website.

Or. de

Amendment 2016

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjård

Proposal for a regulation

Article 15 – paragraph 11

Text proposed by the Commission

11. Each Member State shall publish all of its crop-specific **rules on a single website**.

Amendment

11. Each Member State shall publish all of its crop-specific **guidelines**.

Or. en

Amendment 2017

Sylvia Limmer

Proposal for a regulation

Article 15 – paragraph 13

Text proposed by the Commission

(13) *Bis zum ... [Amt für Veröffentlichungen: bitte das Datum = den ersten Tag des Monats 7 Jahre nach*

Amendment

entfällt

dem Datum des Inkrafttretens dieser Verordnung einfügen] legt die Kommission dem Europäischen Parlament und dem Rat einen Bericht über den Erlass und die Durchsetzung kulturspezifischer Vorschriften in den Mitgliedstaaten und die Vereinbarkeit dieser Vorschriften mit Artikel 15 vor.

Or. de

Amendment 2018

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation Article 15 – paragraph 13

Text proposed by the Commission

13. By ... [OP: please insert the date = the first day of the month following 7 years after the date of entry into force of this Regulation], the Commission shall submit a report to the European Parliament and the Council on the adoption and enforcement of crop-specific rules in the Member States and the compliance of those rules with Article 15.

Amendment

13. By ... [OP: please insert the date = the first day of the month following 4 years after the date of entry into force of this Regulation], the Commission shall submit a report to the European Parliament and the Council on the adoption and enforcement of crop-specific rules in the Member States and the compliance of those rules with Article 15.

Or. en

Amendment 2019

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjärd

Proposal for a regulation Article 15 – paragraph 13

Text proposed by the Commission

13. By ... [OP: please insert the date = the first day of the month following 7 years after the date of entry into force of this Regulation], the Commission shall submit a report to the European Parliament and the

Amendment

13. By ... [OP: please insert the date = the first day of the month following 7 years after the date of entry into force of this Regulation], the Commission shall submit a report to the European Parliament and the

Council on the **adoption and enforcement of** crop-specific **rules** in the Member States and the compliance of those rules with Article 15.

Council on the **publication of the** crop-specific **guidelines** in the Member States and the compliance of those rules with Article 15.

Or. en

Amendment 2020
Inese Vaidere

Proposal for a regulation
Article 15 – paragraph 13

Text proposed by the Commission

13. By ... [OP: please insert the date = the first day of the month following 7 years after the date of entry into force of this Regulation], the Commission shall submit a report to the European Parliament and the Council on the adoption **and enforcement** of crop-specific **rules** in the Member States and the compliance of those rules with Article 15.

Amendment

13. By ... [OP: please insert the date = the first day of the month following 7 years after the date of entry into force of this Regulation], the Commission shall submit a report to the European Parliament and the Council on the adoption of crop-specific **guidelines** in the Member States and the compliance of those rules with Article 15.

Or. en

Amendment 2021

Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Lena Düpont, Jens Gieseke, Angelika Niebler, Andreas Schwab, Ralf Seekatz, David McAllister, Christine Schneider, Stefan Berger, Sabine Verheyen, Rainer Wieland, Monika Hohlmeier, Michael Gahler, Christian Doleschal, Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Alexander Bernhuber, Daniel Caspary, Herbert Dorfmann, Simone Schmiedtbauer

Proposal for a regulation
Article 15 – paragraph 13

Text proposed by the Commission

(13) Bis zum ... [Amt für Veröffentlichungen: bitte das Datum = den ersten Tag des Monats 7 Jahre nach dem Datum des Inkrafttretens dieser Verordnung einfügen] legt die Kommission dem Europäischen Parlament

Amendment

(13) Bis zum ... [Amt für Veröffentlichungen: bitte das Datum = den ersten Tag des Monats 7 Jahre nach dem Datum des Inkrafttretens dieser Verordnung einfügen] legt die Kommission dem Europäischen Parlament

und dem Rat einen Bericht über **den Erlass und die Durchsetzung** kulturspezifischer **Vorschriften** in den Mitgliedstaaten und die Vereinbarkeit dieser **Vorschriften** mit Artikel 15 vor.

und dem Rat einen Bericht über **die Vorlage der** kulturspezifischer **Leitlinien** in den Mitgliedstaaten und die Vereinbarkeit dieser **Leitlinien** mit Artikel 15 vor.

Or. de

Amendment 2022

Christine Schneider, Ralf Seekatz, Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Sven Simon, Angelika Niebler, Monika Hohlmeier, Christian Doleschal, Franc Bogovič, Marlene Mortler, Daniel Caspary, Rainer Wieland, Sabine Verheyen, Alexander Bernhuber

Proposal for a regulation Article 16

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

[...]

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 2023

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjärd

Proposal for a regulation Article 16

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

[...]

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 2024

Franc Bogovič, Marlene Mortler, Ljudmila Novak, Alexander Bernhuber

Proposal for a regulation Article 16

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

[...]

deleted

Or. en

Justification

Electronic integrated pest management and plant protection product use register represents an enormous administrative and financial burden both for farmers and national administrations, so it should be excluded from the regulation.

Amendment 2025

Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Lena Düpont, Jens Gieseke, Angelika Niebler, Andreas Schwab, Ralf Seekatz, David McAllister, Christine Schneider, Stefan Berger, Sabine Verheyen, Rainer Wieland, Monika Hohlmeier, Michael Gahler, Christian Doleschal, Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Alexander Bernhuber, Daniel Caspary, Herbert Dorfmann, Simone Schmiedtbauer

Proposal for a regulation

Article 16 – title

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Elektronisches Register für **den integrierten Pflanzenschutz und** die Verwendung von Pflanzenschutzmitteln

Elektronisches Register für die Verwendung von Pflanzenschutzmitteln

Or. de

Amendment 2026

Ulrike Müller, Emma Wiesner, Andreas Glück

Proposal for a regulation

Article 16 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Each Member State shall designate a competent authority or competent authorities to establish and maintain an electronic integrated pest management and plant protection product use register or registers.

Each Member State shall designate a competent authority or competent authorities to establish and maintain an electronic integrated pest management and plant protection product use register or registers. ***The competent authority shall***

ensure that the register provides an interface for record-keeping software used by farmers to allow for compliance with obligations under Article 14 by transferring electronic data records to avoid undue additional administrative burden.

Or. en

Justification

Data collection on agronomic practices is justified to strengthen the statistic basis for future policy measures. However, it is important to acknowledge that this will put additional administrative burden on farmers, which should be mitigated to the largest extend possible.

Amendment 2027

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjård

Proposal for a regulation

Article 16 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Each Member State shall designate a competent authority or competent authorities to establish and maintain an electronic ***integrated pest management*** **and** plant protection product use register or registers.

Amendment

Each Member State shall designate a competent authority or competent authorities to establish and maintain an electronic plant protection product use register or registers ***within 18 months of the entry into force of this regulation.***

Or. en

Amendment 2028

Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan

Proposal for a regulation

Article 16 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Each Member State shall designate a competent authority or competent authorities to establish and maintain an

Amendment

Each Member State shall designate a competent ***public health or environment*** authority or competent authorities to

electronic integrated pest management and plant protection product use register or registers.

establish and maintain an electronic integrated pest management and plant protection product use register or registers.

Or. en

Amendment 2029

Martin Hojsík, Michal Wiezik, María Soraya Rodríguez Ramos, Róza Thun und Hohenstein, Frédérique Ries

Proposal for a regulation

Article 16 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Each Member State shall designate **a** competent authority or competent authorities to establish and maintain an electronic integrated pest management and plant protection product use register or registers.

Amendment

Each Member State shall designate **an independent** competent authority or competent authorities to establish and maintain an electronic integrated pest management and plant protection product use register or registers.

Or. en

Amendment 2030

Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Lena Düpont, Jens Gieseke, Angelika Niebler, Andreas Schwab, Ralf Seekatz, David McAllister, Christine Schneider, Stefan Berger, Sabine Verheyen, Rainer Wieland, Monika Hohlmeier, Michael Gahler, Christian Doleschal, Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Alexander Bernhuber, Daniel Caspary, Herbert Dorfmann, Simone Schmiedtbauer

Proposal for a regulation

Article 16 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Jeder Mitgliedstaat benennt eine oder mehrere zuständige Behörden, die ein oder mehrere elektronische Register für **den integrierten Pflanzenschutz und** die Verwendung von Pflanzenschutzmitteln einrichten und pflegen.

Amendment

Jeder Mitgliedstaat benennt eine oder mehrere zuständige Behörden, die ein oder mehrere elektronische Register für die Verwendung von Pflanzenschutzmitteln einrichten und pflegen.

Or. de

Amendment 2031

Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław Kalinowski, Joanna Kopcińska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

Proposal for a regulation

Article 16 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Each Member State shall designate a competent authority or competent authorities to establish and maintain an electronic integrated pest management and plant protection product use register **or registers**.

Amendment

Each Member State shall designate a competent authority or competent authorities to establish and maintain an electronic integrated pest management and plant protection product use register.

Or. en

Amendment 2032

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Pietro Fiocchi, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjärd

Proposal for a regulation

Article 16 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

The professional user enters the following information in the electronic register for the use of plant protection products once a year:

(a) the organisation or the name of the company of its advisor and the date of the last independent advice given;

(b) the type of application technique;

(c) the commercial name of the plant protection product;

(d) the date of use of the plant protection product;

(e) the rate of application used per hectare;

- (f) the size of the treated area in hectares;*
- (g) the designation and precise location of the treated area;*
- (h) the crop type treated;*
- (i) the name of the professional user.*

Or. en

Amendment 2033

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjärd

Proposal for a regulation

Article 16 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

In order to ensure a uniform structure of the entries to be made by professional users in the electronic register of uses of plant protection products the Commission may, by means of implementing acts, establish a standard template for entries listed under Article 16 paragraph 1, subparagraph 1a.

Or. en

Amendment 2034

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjärd

Proposal for a regulation

Article 16 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 c (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

The register or registers referred to in Chapter IV paragraph 1 shall be made in writing within 7 days after the application, and shall be entered in the online register not later than December 31 of the year of application.

Amendment 2035

Sylvia Limmer

Proposal for a regulation

Article 16 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 2 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

Das elektronische Register bzw. die elektronischen Register für den integrierten Pflanzenschutz und die Verwendung von Pflanzenschutzmitteln ***enthält/enthalten alle folgenden*** Informationen ***für einen Zeitraum von mindestens drei Jahren nach dem Datum der Erfassung:***

Amendment

Das elektronische Register bzw. die elektronischen Register für den integrierten Pflanzenschutz und die Verwendung von Pflanzenschutzmitteln ***enthalten alle*** Informationen ***gemäß der Artikel 13 und 14:***

Amendment 2036

Martin Hojsík, Michal Wiezik, María Soraya Rodríguez Ramos, Róza Thun und Hohenstein, Frédérique Ries

Proposal for a regulation

Article 16 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 2 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

The electronic integrated pest management and plant protection product use register or registers shall contain all of the following information for a period of at least **3** years from date of entry:

Amendment

The electronic integrated pest management and plant protection product use register or registers shall contain all of the following ***up-do-date*** information for a period of at least **10** years from date of entry:

Amendment 2037

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Pietro Fiocchi, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjård

Proposal for a regulation

Article 16 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 2 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

The electronic ***integrated pest management and*** plant protection product use register or registers shall contain all of the following information for a period of at least 3 years ***from date of*** entry:

The electronic plant protection product use register or registers shall contain all of the following information for a period of at least 3 years ***within 18 months of the*** entry ***into force of this regulation*** :

Or. en

Amendment 2038

Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan, Günther Sidl

Proposal for a regulation

Article 16 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 2 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

The electronic integrated pest management and plant protection product use register or registers shall contain all of the following information for a period of at least 3 years from date of entry:

The electronic integrated pest management and plant protection product use register or registers shall contain all of the following ***geo-coded*** information for a period of at least ***20*** years from date of entry:

Or. en

Amendment 2039

Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Lena Düpont, Jens Gieseke, Angelika Niebler, Andreas Schwab, Ralf Seekatz, David McAllister, Christine Schneider, Stefan Berger, Sabine Verheyen, Rainer Wieland, Monika Hohlmeier, Michael Gahler, Christian Doleschal, Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Alexander Bernhuber, Daniel Caspary, Herbert Dorfmann, Simone Schmiedtbauer

Proposal for a regulation

Article 16 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 2 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Das elektronische Register bzw. die elektronischen Register für ***den integrierten Pflanzenschutz und*** die Verwendung von Pflanzenschutzmitteln

Das elektronische Register bzw. die elektronischen Register für die Verwendung von Pflanzenschutzmitteln enthält/enthalten alle folgenden

enthält/enthalten alle folgenden
Informationen für einen Zeitraum von
mindestens drei Jahren nach dem Datum
der Erfassung:

Informationen für einen Zeitraum von
mindestens drei Jahren nach dem Datum
der Erfassung:

Or. de

Amendment 2040

Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław Kalinowski, Joanna Kopcińska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

Proposal for a regulation

Article 16 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 2 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

The electronic integrated pest management and plant protection product use register **or registers** shall contain all of the following information for a period of at least 3 years from date of entry:

The electronic integrated pest management and plant protection product use register shall contain all of the following information for a period of at least 3 years from date of entry:

Or. en

Amendment 2041

Sylvia Limmer

Proposal for a regulation

Article 16 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 2 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

a) alle Präventivmaßnahmen oder Interventionen und die Gründe für die jeweilige Präventivmaßnahme oder Intervention gemäß Artikel 14 Absatz 1;

entfällt

Or. de

Amendment 2042

Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Lena Düpont, Jens Gieseke, Angelika Niebler, Andreas Schwab, Ralf Seekatz, David McAllister, Christine Schneider, Stefan Berger, Sabine Verheyen, Rainer Wieland, Monika Hohlmeier, Michael Gahler, Christian Doleschal,

Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Alexander Bernhuber, Daniel Caspary, Herbert Dorfmann, Simone Schmiedtbauer

Proposal for a regulation

Article 16 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 2 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

a) **alle Präventivmaßnahmen oder Interventionen und die Gründe für die jeweilige Präventivmaßnahme oder Intervention gemäß Artikel 14 Absatz 1;** **entfällt**

Or. de

Amendment 2043

Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan

Proposal for a regulation

Article 16 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 2 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(a) any preventative measure or intervention and the reasons for that preventative measure or intervention entered in accordance with Article 14(1);

(a) any preventative measure, **or set of measures**, or intervention and the reasons for that preventative measure, **or set of measures**, or intervention entered in accordance with Article 14(1);

Or. en

Amendment 2044

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation

Article 16 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 2 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(a) any preventative measure or intervention and the reasons for that preventative measure or intervention entered in accordance with Article 14(1);

(a) any **non-chemical** preventative measure or intervention and the reasons for that preventative measure or intervention entered in accordance with Article 14(1);

Or. en

Amendment 2045

Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław Kalinowski, Joanna Kopcińska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

Proposal for a regulation

Article 16 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 2 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(a) any preventative **measure or** intervention and the reasons for that preventative **measure or** intervention entered in accordance with Article 14(1);

(a) any preventative intervention and the reasons for that preventative intervention entered in accordance with Article 14(1);

Or. en

Amendment 2046

Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Lena Düpont, Jens Gieseke, Angelika Niebler, Andreas Schwab, Ralf Seekatz, David McAllister, Christine Schneider, Stefan Berger, Sabine Verheyen, Rainer Wieland, Monika Hohlmeier, Michael Gahler, Christian Doleschal, Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Alexander Bernhuber, Daniel Caspary, Herbert Dorfmann, Simone Schmiedtbauer

Proposal for a regulation

Article 16 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 2 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

b) Name des Beraters sowie Daten und Inhalt der erhaltenen Beratung, gemäß Artikel 14 Absatz 2 erfasst;

entfällt

Or. de

Amendment 2047

Sylvia Limmer

Proposal for a regulation

Article 16 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 2 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

b) Name des Beraters sowie Daten

entfällt

**und Inhalt der erhaltenen Beratung,
gemäß Artikel 14 Absatz 2 erfasst;**

Or. de

Amendment 2048

**Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič,
Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjärd**

Proposal for a regulation

Article 16 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 2 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

**(b) the name of the advisor and dates deleted
and content of advice entered in
accordance with Article 14(2);**

Or. en

Amendment 2049

**Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław
Kalinowski, Joanna Kopcińska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska**

Proposal for a regulation

Article 16 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 2 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

**(b) the name of the advisor and dates deleted
and content of advice entered in
accordance with Article 14(2);**

Or. en

Amendment 2050

**Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Lena Düpont, Jens Gieseke, Angelika Niebler, Andreas
Schwab, Ralf Seekatz, David McAllister, Christine Schneider, Stefan Berger, Sabine
Verheyen, Rainer Wieland, Monika Hohlmeier, Michael Gahler, Christian Doleschal,
Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Alexander Bernhuber, Daniel Caspary, Herbert
Dorfmann, Simone Schmiedtbauer**

Proposal for a regulation

Article 16 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 2 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

**c) eine elektronische Aufzeichnung entfällt
gemäß Artikel 14 Absatz 3 jeder
Anwendung eines Pflanzenschutzmittels
gemäß Artikel 67 der Verordnung (EG)
Nr. 1107/2009 und einen Bericht über
jede Anwendung mit Luftfahrzeugen
gemäß Artikel 20.**

Or. de

Amendment 2051

Sylvia Limmer

Proposal for a regulation

Article 16 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 2 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

**c) eine elektronische Aufzeichnung entfällt
gemäß Artikel 14 Absatz 3 jeder
Anwendung eines Pflanzenschutzmittels
gemäß Artikel 67 der Verordnung (EG)
Nr. 1107/2009 und einen Bericht über
jede Anwendung mit Luftfahrzeugen
gemäß Artikel 20.**

Or. de

Amendment 2052

**Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič,
Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjärd**

Proposal for a regulation

Article 16 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 2 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

**(c) an electronic record of each deleted
application of a plant protection product
under Article 67 of Regulation (EC) No**

1107/2009 and a report on any aerial application carried out under Article 20, as required by Article 14(3).

Or. en

Amendment 2053

Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław Kalinowski, Joanna Kopcińska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

Proposal for a regulation

Article 16 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 2 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(c) an electronic record of each application of a plant protection product under Article 67 of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 and a report on any aerial application carried out under Article 20, as required by Article 14(3).	deleted

Or. en

Amendment 2054

Martin Hojsík, Michal Wieszik, María Soraya Rodríguez Ramos, Róza Thun und Hohenstein, Frédérique Ries

Proposal for a regulation

Article 16 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 2 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(c) an electronic record of each application of a plant protection product under Article 67 of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 and a report on any aerial application carried out under Article 20, as required by Article 14(3).	(c) an electronic record of each application of a plant protection product under Article 67 of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 and a report on any aerial application carried out under Article 20, as required by Article 14(3). <i>These records will be accompanied by information on application date, active ingredient used per operation with application rate in kg or kg/ha, co-formulants, adjuvants, safeners and synergists, area with parcel</i>

number, its size, and other relevant data.

Or. en

Amendment 2055

Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan

Proposal for a regulation

Article 16 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 2 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

(c) an electronic record of each application of a plant protection product under Article 67 of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 and a report on any aerial application carried out under Article 20, as required by Article 14(3).

Amendment

(c) an electronic record of each application of a plant protection product under Article 67 of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 and ***in accordance with Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) 2023/564^{1a}, and*** a report on any aerial application carried out under Article 20, as required by Article 14(3).

^{1a} Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) 2023/564 of 10 March 2023 as regards the content and format of the records of plant protection products kept by professional users pursuant to Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council

Or. en

Amendment 2056

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation

Article 16 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 2 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

(c) an electronic record of each application of a plant protection product under Article 67 of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 ***and a report on any aerial application carried out under Article 20,***

Amendment

(c) an electronic record of each application of a plant protection product under Article 67 of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 as required by Article 14(3).

as required by Article 14(3).

Or. en

Amendment 2057

Sylvia Limmer

Proposal for a regulation

Article 16 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

(2) Die beruflichen **Verwender können auf das/die Register gemäß Absatz 1 zugreifen, um die elektronischen Aufzeichnungen gemäß Artikel 14 einzugeben.**

Amendment

(2) Die **für das elektronische Register zuständige Behörde erstellt ein Muster-Aufzeichnungsformblatt, um den** beruflichen **Verwendern die analoge Dokumentation anstelle des** elektronischen **Registers unter Punkt (a) zu erleichtern.**

Or. de

Amendment 2058

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation

Article 16 – paragraph 2 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

2 a. The register(s) referred to in paragraph 1 shall be accessible to the public and academia so that they are able to protect their own health and derive scientific conclusions.

Or. en

Amendment 2059

Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan

Proposal for a regulation

Article 16 – paragraph 2 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

2 a. The register or registers referred to in paragraph 1 shall be made accessible to the general public by the competent authorities with correspondent environmental statistics.

Or. en

Amendment 2060

Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław Kalinowski, Joanna Kopcińska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 16 – paragraph 3**

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

3. Competent authorities referred to in paragraph 1 shall verify compliance of professional users with Article 14. **deleted**

Or. en

Amendment 2061

Sylvia Limmer

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 16 – paragraph 3**

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(3) Die zuständigen Behörden gemäß Absatz 1 überprüfen die Einhaltung des Artikels 14 durch berufliche Verwender. **entfällt**

Or. de

Amendment 2062

Christophe Hansen

Proposal for a regulation
Article 16 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

3. Competent authorities referred to in paragraph 1 shall verify compliance of professional users with Article 14.

Amendment

3. Competent authorities referred to in paragraph 1 shall verify compliance of professional users with Article 14 **paragraph 3.**

Or. en

Amendment 2063
Sylvia Limmer

Proposal for a regulation
Article 16 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

(4) Die zuständigen Behörden gemäß Absatz 1 übermitteln der Kommission einmal jährlich eine Zusammenfassung und Analyse der gemäß Artikel 14 gesammelten Informationen sowie etwaiger zusätzlicher Daten über die Verwendung von Pflanzenschutzmitteln, die gemäß Artikel 67 der Verordnung (EG) Nr. 1107/2009 erhoben wurden.

Amendment

entfällt

Or. de

Amendment 2064
Edina Tóth

Proposal for a regulation
Article 16 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

4. Competent authorities referred to in paragraph 1 shall, once a year submit to the Commission a summary and analysis of the information collected under Article 14 and of any additional

Amendment

deleted

data on use of plant protection products gathered in accordance with Article 67 of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009.

Or. en

Amendment 2065

Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław Kalinowski, Joanna Kopcińska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 16 – paragraph 4**

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

4. Competent authorities referred to in paragraph 1 shall, once a year submit to the Commission a summary and analysis of the information collected under Article 14 and of any additional data on use of plant protection products gathered in accordance with Article 67 of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009.

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 2066

Sarah Wiener, Jutta Paulus

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 16 – paragraph 4**

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

4. Competent authorities referred to in paragraph 1 shall, once a year submit to the Commission a summary and analysis of the information collected under Article 14 and of any additional data on use of plant protection products gathered in accordance with Article 67 of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009.

4. Competent authorities referred to in paragraph 1 shall, once a year submit to the Commission a summary and analysis of the information collected under Article 14 and of any additional data on use of plant protection products gathered in accordance with Article 67 of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009. ***Upon request, the European Commission shall be given access to the electronic register referred to in paragraph 1. The competent authorities***

***shall publish once per year on a website
the summary and analysis of the
information on a website to the public.***

Or. en

Justification

Completes amendment 166 of the draft report.

Amendment 2067

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 16 – paragraph 4**

Text proposed by the Commission

4. Competent authorities referred to in paragraph 1 shall, once a year submit to the Commission a summary and analysis of the information collected under Article 14 and of any additional data on use of plant protection products gathered in accordance with Article 67 of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009.

Amendment

4. Competent authorities referred to in paragraph 1 shall, once a year submit to the Commission a summary and analysis of the information collected under Article 14 and of any additional data on use of plant protection products gathered in accordance with Article 67 of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009, ***which shall also be made available to the public on a website.***

Or. en

Amendment 2068

Martin Hojsik, Michal Wiezik, María Soraya Rodríguez Ramos, Róza Thun und Hohenstein, Frédérique Ries

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 16 – paragraph 4**

Text proposed by the Commission

4. Competent authorities referred to in paragraph 1 shall, once a year submit to the Commission a summary and analysis of the information collected under Article 14 and of any additional data on use of plant protection products gathered in accordance

Amendment

4. Competent authorities referred to in paragraph 1 shall, once a year submit to the Commission a summary and analysis of the information collected under Article 14 and of any additional data on use of plant protection products gathered in accordance

with Article 67 of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009.

with Article 67 of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009. ***They shall make those reports accessible to public.***

Or. en

Amendment 2069

Martin Hojsík, Michal Wiezik, María Soraya Rodríguez Ramos, Róza Thun und Hohenstein, Frédérique Ries

Proposal for a regulation Article 16 – paragraph 5

Text proposed by the Commission

5. Competent authorities referred to in paragraph 1 shall share the data gathered under paragraph 1, points (a) and (c), of this Article with the national competent authorities in charge of the implementation of Directives 2000/60/EC **and** (EU) 2020/2184 for cross-linking that data, in anonymised form, with environmental, groundwater and water quality monitoring data, to enhance the identification, measuring and reduction of risks from the use of plant protection products.

Amendment

5. Competent authorities referred to in paragraph 1 shall **regularly** share the data gathered under paragraph 1, points (a) and (c), of this Article with the national competent authorities in charge of the implementation of Directives 2000/60/EC, (EU) 2020/2184, **Regulation xxx/xxx on nature restoration and future legislation on Soil Health** for cross-linking that data, in anonymised form, with environmental, groundwater and water quality monitoring data, to enhance the identification, measuring and reduction of risks from the use of plant protection products. ***To this same goal, they will incorporate also annual data generated in each Member State through pesticide monitoring via honey bees and LUCAS topsoil component.***

Or. en

Justification

Member States' pesticide monitoring via honeybees shall be built upon the Parliament's successful pilot project Environmental monitoring of pesticide use through honey bees (ref. PP-1-1-2018) and subsequent preparatory action Monitoring of environmental pollution using honey bees.

Amendment 2070

Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Peter Lundgren, Hermann Tertsch, Mazaly Aguilar, Evžen Tošenovský, Sergio Berlato, Jorge Buxadé Villalba, Eugen Jurzyca, Charlie Weimers, Johan Nissinen

Proposal for a regulation

Article 16 – paragraph 5

Text proposed by the Commission

5. Competent authorities referred to in paragraph 1 shall share the data gathered under paragraph 1, points (a) and (c), of this Article with the national competent authorities in charge of the implementation of Directives 2000/60/EC and (EU) 2020/2184 for cross-linking that data, in anonymised form, with environmental, groundwater and water quality monitoring data, to enhance the identification, measuring and reduction of risks from the use of plant protection products.

Amendment

5. Competent authorities referred to in paragraph 1 shall share the data gathered under paragraph 1, points (a) and (c), of this Article with the national competent authorities in charge of the implementation of Directives 2000/60/EC and (EU) 2020/2184 for cross-linking that data, in anonymised form, with environmental, groundwater and water quality monitoring data, to enhance the identification, measuring and reduction of risks from the use of plant protection products. ***Strict protection of the data and its anonymization shall be ensured.***

Or. en

Justification

Farmers help meet the basic needs of the population and the environment. They do their utmost to ensure that European citizens have enough food at affordable prices and high quality, even in times of crisis. It is not possible to expose farmers to any risk of possible data leaks and possible shaming by vested interests. Farmers must be protected, as must their data, and any shortcomings must be addressed in a targeted manner.

Amendment 2071

Ondřej Knotek, Martin Hlaváček

Proposal for a regulation

Article 16 – paragraph 5

Text proposed by the Commission

5. Competent authorities referred to in paragraph 1 shall share the data gathered under paragraph 1, points (a) and (c), of this Article with the national competent

Amendment

5. Competent authorities referred to in paragraph 1 shall share the data gathered under paragraph 1, points (a) and (c), of this Article with the national competent

authorities in charge of the implementation of Directives 2000/60/EC and (EU) 2020/2184 for cross-linking that data, in anonymised form, with environmental, groundwater and water quality monitoring data, to enhance the identification, measuring and reduction of risks from the use of plant protection products.

authorities in charge of the implementation of Directives 2000/60/EC and (EU) 2020/2184 for cross-linking that data, in anonymised form **and in a secure way**, with environmental, groundwater and water quality monitoring data, to enhance the identification, measuring and reduction of risks from the use of plant protection products.

Or. en

Amendment 2072

Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław Kalinowski, Joanna Kopcińska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

Proposal for a regulation Article 16 – paragraph 5

Text proposed by the Commission

5. Competent authorities referred to in paragraph 1 shall **share** the data gathered under paragraph 1, **points (a) and (c)**, of this Article with the national competent authorities in charge of the implementation of Directives 2000/60/EC and (EU) 2020/2184 for cross-linking that data, in anonymised form, with environmental, groundwater and water quality monitoring data, to enhance the identification, measuring and reduction of risks from the use of plant protection products.

Amendment

5. Competent authorities referred to in paragraph 1 shall **ensure access to** the data gathered under paragraph 1, **point (a)** of this Article with the national competent authorities in charge of the implementation of Directives 2000/60/EC and (EU) 2020/2184 for cross-linking that data, in anonymised form, with environmental, groundwater and water quality monitoring data, to enhance the identification, measuring and reduction of risks from the use of plant protection products.

Or. en

Amendment 2073

Sylvia Limmer

Proposal for a regulation Article 16 – paragraph 5

Text proposed by the Commission

(5) Die zuständigen Behörden gemäß

Amendment

(5) Die zuständigen Behörden gemäß

Absatz 1 tauschen die gemäß Absatz 1 **Buchstaben a und c** erhobenen Daten mit den für die Umsetzung der Richtlinien 2000/60/EG und (EU) 2020/2184 zuständigen nationalen Behörden aus, damit diese Daten in anonymisierter Form mit Daten zur Überwachung der Qualität von Umwelt, Grundwasser und Wasser verknüpft werden und so Ermittlung, Messung und Verringerung der Risiken verbessert werden, die mit der Verwendung von Pflanzenschutzmitteln verbunden sind.

Absatz 1 tauschen die gemäß Absatz 1 erhobenen Daten mit den für die Umsetzung der Richtlinien 2000/60/EG und (EU) 2020/2184 zuständigen nationalen Behörden aus, damit diese Daten in anonymisierter Form mit Daten zur Überwachung der Qualität von Umwelt, Grundwasser und Wasser verknüpft werden und so Ermittlung, Messung und Verringerung der Risiken verbessert werden, die mit der Verwendung von Pflanzenschutzmitteln verbunden sind.

Or. de

Amendment 2074

Martin Hojsik, Michal Wiezik, María Soraya Rodríguez Ramos, Róza Thun und Hohenstein, Frédérique Ries

Proposal for a regulation Article 16 – paragraph 6

Text proposed by the Commission

6. Competent authorities referred to in paragraph 1 shall ensure access to the register(s) referred to in paragraph 1 to national statistical authorities for the development, production and dissemination of official statistics.

Amendment

6. Competent authorities referred to in paragraph 1 shall ensure access to the register(s) referred to in paragraph 1 to national statistical authorities **and other relevant authorities, including those whose mandate is to protect environment and human health**, for the development, production and dissemination of official statistics **and to ensure policy consistency and transparency**.

Or. en

Amendment 2075

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation Article 16 – paragraph 6

Text proposed by the Commission

6. Competent authorities referred to in paragraph 1 shall ensure access to the register(s) referred to in paragraph 1 to national statistical authorities for the development, production and dissemination of official statistics.

Amendment

6. Competent authorities referred to in paragraph 1 shall ensure access to the register(s) referred to in paragraph 1 to national statistical authorities for the development, production and dissemination of official statistics, ***to the other competent authorities referred to in this Regulation and to local and regional governments, hospitals, drinkwater facilities and scientists.***

Or. en

Amendment 2076

Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław Kalinowski, Joanna Kopcińska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 16 – paragraph 6**

Text proposed by the Commission

6. Competent authorities referred to in paragraph 1 shall ensure access to the register(s) referred to in paragraph 1 to national statistical authorities for the development, production and dissemination of official statistics.

Amendment

6. Competent authorities referred to in paragraph 1 shall ensure access to the register referred to in paragraph 1 to national statistical authorities for the development, production and dissemination of official statistics.

Or. en

Amendment 2077

Sylvia Limmer

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 16 – paragraph 7**

Text proposed by the Commission

(7) Damit eine einheitliche Struktur der in Absatz 4 genannten Zusammenfassung und Analyse

Amendment

entfällt

gewährleistet ist, kann die Kommission im Wege von Durchführungsrechtsakten ein Standardmuster für diese Zusammenfassung und Analyse festlegen. Diese Durchführungsrechtsakte werden gemäß dem in Artikel 41 Absatz 2 genannten Prüfverfahren erlassen.

Or. de

Amendment 2078

Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław Kalinowski, Joanna Kopcińska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 16 – paragraph 7**

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

7. In order to ensure a uniform structure of the summary and analysis referred to in paragraph 4, the Commission may, by means of implementing acts, adopt a standard template for such summary and analysis. Those implementing acts shall be adopted in accordance with the examination procedure referred to in Article 41(2).

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 2079

Martin Hojsík, Michal Wieszik, María Soraya Rodríguez Ramos, Róza Thun und Hohenstein, Frédérique Ries

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 16 – paragraph 7**

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

7. In order to ensure a uniform structure of the summary and analysis referred to in paragraph 4, the Commission *may*, by means of implementing acts, adopt a standard template for such summary and

7. In order to ensure a uniform structure of the summary and analysis referred to in paragraph 4, the Commission *shall*, by means of implementing acts, adopt a standard template for such

analysis. Those implementing acts shall be adopted in accordance with the examination procedure referred to in Article 41(2).

summary and analysis. Those implementing acts shall be adopted in accordance with the examination procedure referred to in Article 41(2).

Or. en

Amendment 2080

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjärd

Proposal for a regulation

Article 16 – paragraph 7

Text proposed by the Commission

7. In order to ensure a uniform structure of the summary and analysis referred to in paragraph 4, the Commission may, ***by means of implementing acts***, adopt a standard template for such summary and analysis. ***Those implementing acts*** shall be adopted in accordance with the examination procedure referred to in Article 41(2).

Amendment

7. In order to ensure a uniform structure of the summary and analysis referred to in paragraph 4, the Commission may adopt a standard template for such summary and analysis ***and may provide this to the Member States. This template*** shall be adopted in accordance with the examination procedure referred to in Article 41(2).

Or. en

Amendment 2081

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation

Article 16 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article 16 a

Obligations of the major retailers and wholesalers related to integrated pest management

1. Undertakings which are part of ten largest retail groups and undertakings which are part of the ten largest wholesalers in a Member State involved in

the selling of fresh produce, grains and cereals, shall ensure that all the products they sell are free from measurable residues of chemical pesticides by 2030.

2. Without prejudice to the labelling and food information requirement established in Regulation (EU) 1169/2011, all fresh produce as well as the grains and cereals sold by the undertakings referred to in paragraph 1 shall be accompanied by information on the level and risk profile of the residues of all of the pesticides that are present on it.

3. The undertakings referred to in paragraph 1 shall by 2025 draw up action plans to ensure that the reduction target referred to in the first paragraph is met.

4. These undertakings shall engage with their suppliers in a meaningful way to ensure the transition to pesticide-free production is feasible. This shall at the minimum include long-term purchasing contracts with the relevant suppliers, and the commitment to increase the amount of locally produced produce sold.

5. The selling of produce for a price lower than the production price is prohibited.

6. Reports on the progress of the action plans referred to in paragraph 3 shall be published on a website by March 31 of each year from 2026 onwards. The progress reports shall include the number of residue tests that have been conducted, the names and amounts of the active substances that have been found and the trend in achieving a reduction thereof. The report shall also include the content and progress of the meaningful engagement referred to in paragraph 4.

Or. en

Amendment 2082

Martin Hojsík, Michal Wiezik, María Soraya Rodríguez Ramos, Róża Thun und

Hohenstein, Frédérique Ries

Proposal for a regulation
Article 16 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article 16 a

***European roadmap for IPM hierarchy
and reduction of chemical pesticides***

The Commission should assist the Member States and farmers to ensure that integrated pest management hierarchy is observed. To that aim, it shall by ... [6 months from the date of entry into force of this Regulation] publish European roadmap for integrated pest management hierarchy.

The European roadmap shall include measures to increase the use of biological control in Member States and a vision for a full phase out of chemical plant protection products, as appropriate, in line with the calls of the European Citizens' Initiative (ECI) "Save bees and farmers".

As part of the Roadmap referred to in this Article, the European Commission shall provide options to facilitate authorization process of biological control agents, including by considering amending the Regulation 1107/2009 or by proposing specific regulation on biological control agents. To foster competitiveness and strategic autonomy, the Roadmap shall be formulated in active cooperation with biological control producers and representatives of professional users in the Union.

Or. en

Justification

The Commission should more actively help the Member States and professional users, including farmers and farm workers, to implement the Regulation and improve access to alternatives to chemical plant protection products. A European roadmap for IPM hierarchy

and reduction of synthetic pesticides can serve this purpose, upon the call of the European citizens expressed in several ECIs. Importantly, the Roadmap should be constructed jointly with biological control producers and professional users in Europe in order to facilitate authorisation and uptake of non-chemical alternatives, fostering also competitiveness of the EU's innovative sector globally.

Amendment 2083

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjärd

Proposal for a regulation

Chapter IV a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Electronic plant protection product use register.

Or. en

Justification

When this new Chapter will be adopted, the following chapter numbers will change automatically.

Amendment 2084

Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan

Proposal for a regulation

Chapter V – title

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

**V USE, STORAGE AND DISPOSAL
OF PLANT PROTECTION PRODUCTS**

**USE, STORAGE AND DISPOSAL OF
PLANT PROTECTION PRODUCTS
AND MONITORING OF RESIDUES**

Or. en

Amendment 2085

Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław Kalinowski, Joanna Kopcińska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

Proposal for a regulation

Article 17 – paragraph 1 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

1. A plant protection product authorised for professional use may only be used by a professional user who:

Amendment

1. A plant protection product authorised for professional use may only be used by a professional user who ***has been issued with a training certificate for following courses for professional users in accordance with Article 25, or has a proof of entry in a central electronic register for following such courses in accordance with Article 25(5).***

Or. en

Amendment 2086

Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław Kalinowski, Joanna Kopcińska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

Proposal for a regulation

Article 17 – paragraph 1 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) has been issued with a training certificate for following courses for professional users in accordance with Article 25, or has a proof of entry in a central electronic register for following such courses in accordance with Article 25(5), and

Amendment

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 2087

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjård

Proposal for a regulation

Article 17 – paragraph 1 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) has been issued with a training certificate for following courses for professional users in accordance with Article 25, or has a proof of entry in a **central** electronic register for following such courses in accordance with Article 25(5), and

Amendment

(a) has been issued with a training certificate for following courses for professional users in accordance with Article 25, or has a proof of entry in a electronic register for following such courses in accordance with Article 25(5), and

Or. en

Amendment 2088

Franz Bogovič, Marlene Mortler, Ljudmila Novak, Alexander Bernhuber

Proposal for a regulation

Article 17 – paragraph 1 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) has been issued with a training certificate for following courses for professional users in accordance with Article 25, or has a proof of entry in a **central electronic** register for following such courses in accordance with Article 25(5), and

Amendment

(a) has been issued with a training certificate for following courses for professional users in accordance with Article 25, or has a proof of entry in a register for following such courses in accordance with Article 25(5), and

Or. en

Amendment 2089

Sylvia Limmer

Proposal for a regulation

Article 17 – paragraph 1 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

b) er nimmt die Dienste eines unabhängigen Beraters gemäß Artikel 26 Absatz 3 in Anspruch.

Amendment

entfällt

Or. de

Amendment 2090

Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław Kalinowski, Joanna Kopcińska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

Proposal for a regulation

Article 17 – paragraph 1 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) uses the services of an independent advisor in accordance with Article 26(3). **deleted**

Or. en

Amendment 2091

Sylvia Limmer

Proposal for a regulation

Article 17 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(2) Gefährlichere Pflanzenschutzmittel dürfen nur von beruflichen Verwendern verwendet und gekauft werden. **entfällt**

Or. de

Amendment 2092

Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław Kalinowski, Joanna Kopcińska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

Proposal for a regulation

Article 17 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

2. More hazardous plant protection products may only be used and purchased by professional users. **deleted**

Or. en

Justification

If the intention is to ban the authorisation of more hazardous plant protection products for non-professional users, it should be laid down in a direct way. It would require amendment of the regulation 1107/2009.

Amendment 2093

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation

Article 17 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. **More** hazardous **plant protection products** may only be used and purchased by professional users.

Amendment

2. ***The most*** hazardous **pesticides** ***may only be used if an up-to-date comparative assessment concluded that no other means are available, in accordance with Article 50 of Regulation (EU) 1107/2009. The most hazardous pesticides*** may only be used and purchased by professional users ***after an independent advisor has inspected the situation on the ground and prescribed the use of this specific pesticide, and it may only be applied one time per growing season, and not more than two subsequent growing seasons.***

Or. en

Amendment 2094

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjärd

Proposal for a regulation

Article 17 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. **More hazardous** plant protection products may only be used and purchased by professional users.

Amendment

2. Plant protection products, ***containing one or more active substances classified as substitution candidates according to Article 24 of Regulation (EU) 1107/2009,*** may only be used and

purchased by professional users.

Or. en

Amendment 2095

Maria Arena, Delara Burkhardt

Proposal for a regulation

Article 17 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. **More hazardous** plant protection products may only be used and purchased by professional users.

Amendment

2. **Chemical** plant protection products may only be used and purchased by professional users.

Or. en

Amendment 2096

Eric Andrieu

Proposal for a regulation

Article 17 – paragraph 2 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

2 a. The production, storage, circulation and export to third countries of plant protection products containing active substances whose use is prohibited in the European Union is prohibited.

Or. en

Amendment 2097

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjård

Proposal for a regulation

Article 17 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

3. Application equipment in professional use may only be used by professional users that hold a training certificate issued to them for following courses for professional users in accordance with Article 25 ***or have a proof of entry in a central electronic register for following such courses in accordance with Article 25(5).***

3. Application equipment in professional use may only be used by professional users that hold a training certificate issued to them for following courses for professional users in accordance with Article 25.

Or. en

Amendment 2098

Franč Bogovič, Marlene Mortler, Ljudmila Novak, Alexander Bernhuber

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 17 – paragraph 3**

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

3. Application equipment in professional use may only be used by professional users that hold a training certificate issued to them for following courses for professional users in accordance with Article 25 or have a proof of entry in a ***central electronic*** register for following such courses in accordance with Article 25(5).

3. Application equipment in professional use may only be used by professional users that hold a training certificate issued to them for following courses for professional users in accordance with Article 25 or have a proof of entry in a register for following such courses in accordance with Article 25(5).

Or. en

Amendment 2099

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 17 – paragraph 4 – subparagraph 1 – introductory part**

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Within 3 years starting from date of first purchase, and every 3 years thereafter, a

Within 3 years starting from date of first purchase, and every 3 years thereafter, a

professional user shall submit **his or her** application equipment in professional use for inspection pursuant to Article 31. Where 3 years have passed from the date of first purchase of application equipment in professional use, a professional user may only use it for the application of **plant protection products**, if that equipment **meets any of the following conditions**:

professional user shall submit **their** application equipment in professional use for inspection pursuant to Article 31. Where 3 years have passed from the date of first purchase of application equipment in professional use, a professional user may only use it for the application of **pesticides**, if that equipment **has successfully passed inspection and the results have been recorded in the electronic register of application equipment in professional use in accordance with Article 31(6)**;

Or. en

Amendment 2100 **Esther de Lange**

Proposal for a regulation

Article 17 – paragraph 4 – subparagraph 1 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

Within 3 years starting from date of first purchase, and every 3 years thereafter, a professional user shall submit his or her application equipment in professional use for inspection pursuant to Article 31. Where 3 years have passed from the date of first purchase of application equipment in professional use, a professional user may only use it for the application of plant protection products, if that equipment meets any of the following conditions:

Amendment

Within 3 years starting from date of first purchase, and **within** every 3 years thereafter, a professional user shall submit his or her application equipment in professional use for inspection pursuant to Article 31. Where 3 years have passed from the date of first purchase of application equipment in professional use, a professional user may only use it for the application of plant protection products, if that equipment meets any of the following conditions:

Or. en

Amendment 2101

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation

Article 17 – paragraph 4 – subparagraph 1 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(a) the equipment has successfully passed inspection and the results have been recorded in the electronic register of application equipment in professional use in accordance with Article 31(6); **deleted**

Or. en

Amendment 2102

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjärd

Proposal for a regulation

Article 17 – paragraph 4 – subparagraph 1 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(a) the equipment has successfully passed inspection *and the results have been recorded in the electronic register of application equipment in professional use in accordance with Article 31(6);*

(a) the equipment has successfully passed inspection;

Or. en

Amendment 2103

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation

Article 17 – paragraph 4 – subparagraph 1 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) a derogation under Article 32(1), or Article 32(3) applies to that equipment. **deleted**

Or. en

Amendment 2104

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič,

Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjärd

Proposal for a regulation

Article 17 – paragraph 4 – subparagraph 1 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) a derogation under Article 32(1), **or Article 32(3)** applies to that equipment.

(b) a derogation under Article 32(1) applies to that equipment.

Or. en

Amendment 2105

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjärd

Proposal for a regulation

Article 17 – paragraph 4 – subparagraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

At the time of submitting the equipment for inspection, the owner of the equipment or his or her representative shall provide to the competent authority or body carrying out the inspection, the information necessary for the competent authority to comply with its record-keeping obligations pursuant to Article 30(1), point (b).

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 2106

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation

Article 17 – paragraph 4 – subparagraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

At the time of submitting the equipment for inspection, the owner of the equipment or **his or her** representative shall provide to

At the time of submitting the equipment for inspection, the owner of the equipment or **their** representative shall provide to the

the competent authority or body carrying out the inspection, the information necessary for the competent authority to comply with its record-keeping obligations pursuant to Article 30(1), point (b).

competent authority or body carrying out the inspection, the information necessary for the competent authority to comply with its record-keeping obligations pursuant to Article 30(1), point (b).

Or. en

Amendment 2107

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjård, Margarita de la Pisa Carrión

Proposal for a regulation Article 17 – paragraph 5

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

5. A professional user shall inspect and operate application equipment in accordance with the manufacturer's manual of instructions.

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 2108

Franc Bogovič, Marlene Mortler, Ljudmila Novak, Alexander Bernhuber

Proposal for a regulation Article 17 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article 17 a

Use of plant protection products in the Member State

1. Where Member State concludes, based on sound scientific risk analysis, that safety precautions adopted based on the Regulation 1107/2009 and included in labels of plant protection products do not eliminate the risk posed by the use of plant protection products in specific areas or objects, it shall define such areas or

objects in national legislation and shall lay down:

(a) appropriate measures to eliminate identified risk,

(b) the rules of implementation of measures referred to in letter (a),

(c) users of plant protection products obliged to implement measures referred to in letter (a), if applicable,

(d) derogations from measures referred to in letter (a) and procedures of granting such derogations, if applicable.

2. Measures referred to in paragraph 1 letter (a) may include one or more of the following elements:

(a) the ban of the use of all of plant protection products or specified groups of plant protection products in defined areas or objects,

(b) restriction concerning the use of plant protection products in defined areas or objects, (c) obligation for professional users to apply appropriate buffer zone when using plant protection products in proximity of defined areas or objects,

(d) obligation for professional users to apply anti-drift techniques, when using plant protection products in the close vicinity of defined areas or objects,

(e) obligations for professional users to apply appropriate warning measures,

(f) closing of defined areas or objects for the period of application of plant protection products,

(g) other measures necessary to eliminate identified risk.

3. The measures referred to in paragraph 1 (a) are without prejudice to the Union and national law and powers of the competent authorities in scope of eradication and containment of quarantine pests, pests referred to in art 29 and 30 of the Regulation 2016/2031,

**vectors of above mentioned pests and
Invasive Alien Species.**

Or. en

Justification

The Commission has not assessed the total areas covered by their definition as 'sensitive areas'. In many Member States massive parts of agricultural land fall under this definition. There is no scientific justification for the total ban in these areas. There are many different reasons why these areas are being defined in accordance to different pieces of EU legislation. There are many different subjects of protection. In many cases there is no link between the strengthened protection and the use of PPPs. Therefore the most effective way to limit or ban the use of PPPs in specific areas is to leave the competences to Member States.

Amendment 2109

Sylvia Limmer

Proposal for a regulation

Article 18

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

[...]

entfällt

Or. de

Amendment 2110

Robert Roos, Rob Rooker

Proposal for a regulation

Article 18

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

[...]

Schrappen

Or. nl

Amendment 2111

Edina Tóth

Proposal for a regulation
Article 18

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

[...]

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 2112

Christine Schneider, Ralf Seekatz, Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Sven Simon, Angelika Niebler, Monika Hohlmeier, Christian Doleschal, Franc Bogovič, Marlene Mortler, Daniel Caspary, Rainer Wieland, Sabine Verheyen, Alexander Bernhuber

Proposal for a regulation
Article 18

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

[...]

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 2113

Franc Bogovič, Marlene Mortler, Ljudmila Novak, Alexander Bernhuber

Proposal for a regulation
Article 18

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

[...]

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 2114

Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław Kalinowski, Joanna Kopcińska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

Proposal for a regulation
Article 18

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

[...]

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 2115

Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Peter Lundgren, Hermann Tertsch, Mazaly Aguilar, Evžen Tošenovský, Sergio Berlato, Jorge Buxadé Villalba, Eugen Jurzyca, Pietro Fiocchi, Charlie Weimers, Johan Nissinen

Proposal for a regulation

Article 18 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

1. *The use of all plant protection products is prohibited in all sensitive areas and within 3 metres of such areas. This 3 metre buffer zone shall not be reduced by using alternative risk-mitigation techniques.*

deleted

Or. en

Justification

The risk management plans allow MS authorities to take into account local specific conditions and to tailor measures according to realistic risks and in accordance to specific environmental and human health protection goals in sensitive areas. This ensures that restrictions are proportionate and lead to realistic risk reduction while avoiding mounting pest pressure. Crop protection intervention is needed in case of severe pest outbreaks. Derogations from management plans need to be efficient and give the MS authorities the possibility to contain and eradicate outbreaks within a short period of time, avoiding further spread and extended impact. An administrative heavy procedure would be contrary to such objectives. Moreover, in line with Article 4 of this Regulation, the use of PPPs in plant breeding and seed production shall be exempt from the restrictions listed in paragraph 1.

Amendment 2116

Jan Huitema, Emma Wiesner, Nils Torvalds, Billy Kelleher, Asger Christensen

Proposal for a regulation

Article 18 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. The use of all plant protection products is prohibited in all sensitive areas **and** within 3 metres of such areas. This 3 metre buffer zone shall **not be reduced by using** alternative risk-mitigation techniques.

Amendment

1. ***In line with Article 3 (16), Member States shall define sensitive areas as part of their National Action Plans, in such a way that the general public, vulnerable groups and ecologically sensitive areas are appropriately protected. These definitions shall be assessed by the Commission before approving the relevant Member States' national action plan.***

The use of all plant protection products is prohibited in all sensitive areas ***as defined by Member States*** within 3 metres of such areas, ***with the exception of low-risk plant protection products and biocontrol products. Deviation of*** this 3 metre buffer zone shall ***be justified by the Member State in its National Action Plan, and may be justified if the risk for the sensitive area is negligible, by the use of*** alternative risk-mitigation techniques ***or implementation of the buffer zone would require a disproportionate amount of agricultural land.***

Or. en

Amendment 2117

Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan, Günther Sidl

Proposal for a regulation

Article 18 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. The use of all plant protection products is prohibited in all sensitive areas **and** within 3 metres of **such** areas. ***This 3 metre*** buffer ***zone*** shall not be reduced by using alternative risk-mitigation techniques.

Amendment

1. The use of all plant protection products is prohibited in all sensitive areas ***with the exception of products and substances approved for use in organic production under Regulation (EU) 2018/848.***

Buffer zones shall be established as follows

(a) The use of all plant protection products is prohibited within 10 metres of all sensitive areas;

(b) The use of all plant protection products is prohibited within 50 metres of sensitive areas used by vulnerable groups as defined in Article 3 (16) point b;

(c) the use of the more hazardous plant protection products is prohibited within 50 metres of all sensitive areas.

These buffer zones shall not be reduced by using alternative risk-mitigation techniques.

Or. en

Amendment 2118
Ulrike Müller, Andreas Glück

Proposal for a regulation
Article 18 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. The use of **all** plant protection products **is prohibited in all** sensitive areas **and within 3 metres of such areas. This 3 metre buffer zone shall not be reduced by using alternative risk-mitigation techniques.**

Amendment

1. **Member States shall prioritise measures in sensitive areas in the implementation of reduction obligations under Article 5. They shall ensure that risk-reduction measures are taken in sensitive areas to limit the use of plant protection products to a necessary minimum, inter alia by implementing measures to incentivise the use of low risk products and low-input farming practices, including digital and precision agriculture and resilient varieties in sensitive areas as a priority. Member States shall take measures to facilitate cooperation models for land-users and other relevant stakeholders to develop regionally adapted strategies.**

Or. en

(This amendment corresponds to amendments deleting Paragraphs 2-8 of this Article.)

Justification

A prohibition of the use of plant protection products is of ideological nature and solely hazard-based. A more nuanced approach fitting the characteristics of specific types of sensitive areas and based on the risk-profile of plant protection products would be more appropriate. Risks should be mitigated by reducing them to a tolerable level. In order to achieve that, farmers must have the means to implement adequate measures.

Amendment 2119

Pascal Canfin, Catherine Chabaud, Max Orville

Proposal for a regulation

Article 18 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. The use of all plant protection products is prohibited in all sensitive areas and within **3** metres of such areas. This **3** metre buffer zone shall not be reduced by using alternative risk-mitigation techniques.

Amendment

1. The use of all plant protection products is prohibited in all sensitive areas, ***except for products used in organic farming and biological control products,*** and within **5** metres of such areas. This **5** metre buffer zone shall not be reduced by using alternative risk-mitigation techniques.

The buffer zone is extended to 10 metres when plant protection products are used on permanent crops such as arboriculture and wine growing, and to 20 metres when plant protection products are more hazardous plant protection products as defined in Article 3(5).

Or. en

Amendment 2120

Eric Andrieu

Proposal for a regulation

Article 18 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. The use of all plant protection products is prohibited in all sensitive areas and within **3** metres of such areas. ***This 3***

Amendment

1. The use of all plant protection products is prohibited in all sensitive areas and within **10** metres of such areas,

metre buffer zone shall not be reduced by using alternative risk-mitigation techniques.

excepted natural pesticides authorized under the EU Organic Regulation 2018/848 and biological control products as defined in article 3(23). This 10 metre buffer zone shall not be reduced by using alternative risk-mitigation techniques. For sensitive areas used by vulnerable groups this buffer zone shall be 50 meters. A 50 meter buffer zone shall also be respected for the use of the more hazardous pesticides.

Or. en

Amendment 2121
Christophe Hansen

Proposal for a regulation
Article 18 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. The use of ***all*** plant protection products is ***prohibited*** in all sensitive areas and within 3 metres of such areas. This 3 metre buffer zone shall not be reduced by using alternative risk-mitigation techniques.

Amendment

1. The use of plant protection products is ***reduced*** in all sensitive areas and within 3 metres of such areas. This 3 metre buffer zone shall not be reduced by using alternative risk-mitigation techniques.

On sensitive areas as defined under Article 3(16) (a) and 3(16) (b), Member States may, where appropriate, restrict the use of plant protection products to only low-risk plant protection products as defined under Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009.

Or. en

Amendment 2122
Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjärd, Margarita de la Pisa Carrión

Proposal for a regulation
Article 18 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. The use of **all** plant protection products **is prohibited in all sensitive areas and within 3 metres of such areas. This 3 metre buffer zone shall not be reduced by using alternative risk-mitigation techniques.**

Amendment

1. **Member States shall, having due regard for the necessary hygiene and public health requirements and biodiversity, or the results of relevant risk assessments, ensure that the use of pesticides is minimised in sensitive areas. Appropriate risk management measures shall be taken and the use of low-risk plant protection products as defined in Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 and biological control measures shall be considered in the first place.**

Or. en

Amendment 2123
Peter Liese

Proposal for a regulation
Article 18 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. The use of **all** plant protection products **is prohibited in all sensitive areas and within 3 metres of such areas. This 3 metre buffer zone shall not be reduced by using alternative risk-mitigation techniques.**

Amendment

1. **Member States shall, having due regard for the necessary hygiene and public health requirements, or the results of relevant risk assessments, ensure that the use of pesticides is minimised in sensitive areas. Appropriate risk management measures shall be taken and the use of low-risk plant protection products as defined in Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 and biological control measures shall be considered in the first place.**

Or. en

Justification

Areas designated for the improvement of biodiversity provide important space for flora and fauna. However, farming in these areas has created a symbiosis with many animals and

plants that is beneficial for biodiversity. For example, in the protected area Hellwegbörde you can find many ground nesting birds. Prohibiting the use of plant protection products in this area would require farmers to switch to mechanic means of crop protection and thus destroying the originally safe space for the birds nesting on the ground. The provision of this Article would thus actually have a negative impact on biodiversity.

Amendment 2124
Frédérique Ries

Proposal for a regulation
Article 18 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. The use of all plant protection products is prohibited in all sensitive areas and within **3** metres of such areas. This **3** metre buffer zone shall not be reduced by using alternative risk-mitigation techniques.

Amendment

1. The use of all plant protection products is prohibited in all sensitive areas and within **10** metres of such areas. This **10** metre buffer zone shall not be reduced by using alternative risk-mitigation techniques. ***For sensitive areas frequented by vulnerable groups (playgrounds, schoolyards, parks etc) this buffer zone shall be 100 meters, irrespective if the pesticide is a more hazardous one or not.***

Or. en

Justification

Studies show that pesticides can drift far from the location where they were applied, up to several hundred meters. In order to protect children and those most at risk, it is necessary to increase the health protective buffer zone.

Amendment 2125
Sirpa Pietikäinen

Proposal for a regulation
Article 18 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. The use of all plant protection products is prohibited in all sensitive areas and within **3** metres of such areas. This **3** metre buffer zone shall not be reduced by

Amendment

1. The use of all plant protection products is prohibited in all sensitive areas and within **10** metres of such areas. This **10** metre buffer zone shall not be reduced by

using alternative risk-mitigation techniques.

using alternative risk-mitigation techniques. ***Adjacent to organically farmed land, this buffer zone shall be expanded to 50 metres for the use of all plant protection products not authorised in organic farming.***

Or. en

Amendment 2126
Mathilde Androuët

Proposal for a regulation
Article 18 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. ***Tous les*** produits phytopharmaceutiques ***sont interdits d'utilisation dans toutes*** les zones sensibles ***et à moins de trois mètres de ces zones. Cette*** zone tampon de ***trois mètres ne peut être réduite en ayant recours à d'autres techniques d'atténuation des risques.***

Amendment

1. ***L'utilisation des*** produits phytopharmaceutiques ***est par principe restreinte dans*** les zones sensibles ***pour préserver la biodiversité ; il revient à chaque Etat membre d'adapter la définition d'une zone sensible selon ses spécificités géographiques et climatiques. Une zone tampon de dimension variable peut être instaurée par chaque Etat membre autour de chaque zone sensible afin de tenir compte des spécificités locales.***

Or. fr

Amendment 2127
Esther de Lange

Proposal for a regulation
Article 18 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. The use of ***all*** plant protection products is prohibited in all sensitive areas and within 3 metres of such areas. ***This 3 metre buffer zone shall not be reduced by using alternative risk-mitigation***

Amendment

1. The use of plant protection products ***of groups 3 and 4 as defined in Annex I*** is prohibited in all sensitive areas and within 3 metres of such areas, ***unless the user can prove that no emissions will occur, for***

techniques.

instance through innovations or when producing agricultural and horticultural products in fully controlled systems such as greenhouses.

Or. en

Amendment 2128

Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Lena Düpont, Jens Gieseke, Angelika Niebler, Andreas Schwab, Ralf Seekatz, David McAllister, Christine Schneider, Stefan Berger, Sabine Verheyen, Rainer Wieland, Monika Hohlmeier, Michael Gahler, Christian Doleschal, Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Alexander Bernhuber, Daniel Caspary, Herbert Dorfmann, Simone Schmiedtbauer

Proposal for a regulation Article 18 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

(1) Die Verwendung jeglicher Pflanzenschutzmittel ist in **allen** empfindlichen Gebieten **und in einem Umkreis von drei Metern um diese Gebiete verboten. Diese Pufferzone von drei Metern darf nicht durch den Einsatz alternativer Risikominderungstechniken verringert werden.**

Amendment

(1) Die Verwendung jeglicher Pflanzenschutzmittel ist in empfindlichen Gebieten **unter Artikel 3 Absatz 16 Punkt a soweit wie möglich einzuschränken.**

Or. de

Justification

Der Ansatz dieses Artikels muss grundsätzlich überdacht werden, weil der Schutz von empfindlichen Gebieten oft nicht im kausalen Zusammenhang mit der Reduktion von Pflanzenschutzmitteln steht.

Amendment 2129

Martin Hojsík, Michal Wiezik, María Soraya Rodríguez Ramos, Róza Thun und Hohenstein, Frédérique Ries

Proposal for a regulation Article 18 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. The use of **all** plant protection products is prohibited in all sensitive areas and within **3** metres of such areas. This **3** metre buffer zone **shall not** be reduced by using alternative risk-mitigation techniques.

Amendment

1. The use of plant protection products is prohibited in all sensitive areas and within **10** metres of such areas. This **standard 10** metre buffer zone **may** be reduced by using alternative risk-mitigation techniques **to minimum 3 metres upon approval of competent authorities**.

Or. en

Justification

This amendment should be read together with amendment of Art. 18.3, which allows for the use of certain plant protection products. Furthermore, national competent authorities should be able to decide on the specificities and size of buffer zones, depending on the level of vulnerability and sensitivity of the specific area.

Amendment 2130
Andreas Glück

Proposal for a regulation
Article 18 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. The use of all plant protection products **is prohibited** in all sensitive areas and **within 3 metres of such** areas. **This 3 metre buffer zone shall not be reduced by using alternative risk-mitigation techniques.**

Amendment

1. The use of all plant protection products **should particularly be reduced** in all sensitive areas and **can be prohibited in sensitive** areas **where the protection objective is demonstrably compromised by the use of plant protection products.**

Or. en

Justification

An ideologically motivated ban on the use of plant protection products should not be implemented and it should be examined in each individual case to what extent a prohibition is justified.

Amendment 2131
Esther de Lange

Proposal for a regulation
Article 18 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. The use of **all** plant protection products is prohibited in all sensitive areas and within 3 metres of such areas. This 3 metre buffer zone **shall not** be reduced by using alternative risk-mitigation techniques.

Amendment

1. The use of plant protection products **of groups 3 and 4 as defined in Annex I** is prohibited in all sensitive areas and within 3 metres of such areas. This 3 metre buffer zone **can** be reduced by using alternative risk-mitigation techniques.

Or. en

Amendment 2132
Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation
Article 18 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. The use of all plant protection products is prohibited in all sensitive areas and within **3** metres of such areas. This **3** metre buffer zone shall not be reduced by using alternative risk-mitigation techniques.

Amendment

1. The use of all plant protection products is prohibited in all sensitive areas and within **100** metres of such areas. This **100** metre buffer zone shall not be reduced by using alternative risk-mitigation techniques.

Or. en

Amendment 2133
Pietro Fiocchi

Proposal for a regulation
Article 18 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. The use of all plant protection products is prohibited in all sensitive areas and within **3** metres of such areas. This **3**

Amendment

1. The use of all plant protection products is prohibited in all sensitive areas and within **2** metres of such areas. This **2**

metre buffer zone shall not be reduced by using alternative risk-mitigation techniques.

metre buffer zone shall not be reduced by using alternative risk-mitigation techniques.

Or. en

Amendment 2134

Ulrike Müller, Andreas Glück

Proposal for a regulation

Article 18 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

2. Member States may establish larger mandatory buffer zones adjacent to sensitive areas. **deleted**

Or. en

(This Amendment corresponds to an amendment on Paragraph 1 of this Article.)

Justification

A prohibition of the use of plant protection products is of ideological nature and solely hazard-based. A more nuanced approach fitting the characteristics of specific types of sensitive areas and based on the risk-profile of plant protection products would be more appropriate. Risks should be mitigated by reducing them to a tolerable level. In order to achieve that, farmers must have the means to implement adequate measures.

Amendment 2135

Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Peter Lundgren, Hermann Tertsch, Mazaly Aguilar, Evžen Tošenovský, Sergio Berlato, Jorge Buxadé Villalba, Eugen Jurzyca, Pietro Fiocchi, Charlie Weimers, Alexander Bernhuber, Johan Nissinen

Proposal for a regulation

Article 18 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

2. Member States may establish larger mandatory buffer zones adjacent to sensitive areas. **deleted**

Or. en

Justification

IDEM as justification in paragraph 1. Moreover, in line with Article 4 of the Regulation (where plant breeding and seed production are exempt), in cases such as plant breeding and healthy seed production, the use of PPPs shall be exempt from the provisions listed in paragraph 1 of article 18.

Amendment 2136

Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Lena Düpont, Jens Gieseke, Angelika Niebler, Andreas Schwab, Ralf Seekatz, David McAllister, Christine Schneider, Stefan Berger, Sabine Verheyen, Rainer Wieland, Monika Hohlmeier, Michael Gahler, Christian Doleschal, Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Alexander Bernhuber, Daniel Caspary, Herbert Dorfmann, Simone Schmiedtbauer

Proposal for a regulation Article 18 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

(2) Die Mitgliedstaaten ***können angrenzend an empfindliche Gebiete größere verpflichtende Pufferzonen einrichten.***

Amendment

(2) Die Mitgliedstaaten ***stellen sicher, dass ein kausaler Zusammenhang zwischen der Verwendung von Pflanzenschutzmitteln und dem Schutzziel des empfindlichen Gebiets besteht. Ausgenommen sind Gebiete oder Teile dieser Gebiete, deren Erhalt und das Schutzziel auf die landwirtschaftliche Nutzung angewiesen sind.***

Or. de

Amendment 2137

Martin Hojsík, Michal Wiezik, María Soraya Rodríguez Ramos, Róza Thun und Hohenstein, Frédérique Ries

Proposal for a regulation Article 18 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. Member States may establish larger mandatory buffer zones adjacent to sensitive areas.

Amendment

2. Member States may establish larger mandatory buffer zones adjacent to sensitive areas ***as a precautionary measure and shall do so if annual monitoring data confirm increased pollution within***

sensitive and buffer areas.

Or. en

Amendment 2138

Martin Häusling, Michèle Rivasi, Jutta Paulus

Proposal for a regulation

Article 18 – paragraph 2 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

2 a. By way of derogation from paragraph 1, plant protection products containing active substances authorised under Regulation 2018/848 shall be permitted in the areas defined under Article 3(16) points (c), (e) and (f) to allow for the continuation of the specific agricultural activities already taking place in those areas. This derogation shall only apply to areas that do not fall under IUCN protected area categories I-II.

Or. en

Justification

Builds upon amendment 173 of the draft report, but ensures that no plant protection products can be used in strict nature reserves, wilderness areas, and national parks.

Amendment 2139

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjärd, Margarita de la Pisa Carrión

Proposal for a regulation

Article 18 – paragraph 2 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

2 a. A Member State may not allow the use of plant protection product in a sensitive area as defined under Article 3(16)(a) and (b).

Or. en



2022/0196(COD)

5.4.2023

AMENDMENTS

2140 - 2491

Draft report
Sarah Wiener
(PE742.368v01-00)

proposal for a regulation of the European Parliament and of the Council on the sustainable use of plant protection products and amending Regulation (EU) 2021/2115

Proposal for a regulation
(COM(2022)0305 – C9-0207/2022 – 2022/0196(COD))

AM_Com_LegReport

Amendment 2140
Christophe Hansen

Proposal for a regulation
Article 18 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

- 3. By way of derogation from paragraph 1, a competent authority designated by a Member State may permit a professional user to use a plant protection product in a sensitive area for a limited period with a precisely defined start and end date that is the shortest possible but does not exceed 60 days, provided that all of the following conditions are met:**
- (a) a proven serious and exceptional risk of the spread of quarantine pests or invasive alien species exists;**
- (b) there is no technically feasible lower risk alternative control technique to contain the spread of quarantine pests or invasive alien species.**
- deleted*

Or. en

Amendment 2141
Ulrike Müller, Andreas Glück

Proposal for a regulation
Article 18 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

- 3. By way of derogation from paragraph 1, a competent authority designated by a Member State may permit a professional user to use a plant protection product in a sensitive area for a limited period with a precisely defined start and end date that is the shortest possible but does not exceed 60 days, provided that all of the following conditions are met:**
- deleted*

- (a) a proven serious and exceptional risk of the spread of quarantine pests or invasive alien species exists;**
- (b) there is no technically feasible lower risk alternative control technique to contain the spread of quarantine pests or invasive alien species.**

Or. en

(This Amendment corresponds to an amendment on Paragraph 1 of this Article.)

Justification

A prohibition of the use of plant protection products is of ideological nature and solely hazard-based. A more nuanced approach fitting the characteristics of specific types of sensitive areas and based on the risk-profile of plant protection products would be more appropriate. Risks should be mitigated by reducing them to a tolerable level. In order to achieve that, farmers must have the means to implement adequate measures.

Amendment 2142

Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Peter Lundgren, Hermann Tertsch, Mazaly Aguilar, Evžen Tošenovský, Sergio Berlato, Jorge Buxadé Villalba, Eugen Jurzyca, Pietro Fiocchi, Charlie Weimers, Alexander Bernhuber, Johan Nissinen

Proposal for a regulation

Article 18 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

3. By way of derogation from paragraph 1, a competent authority designated by a Member State may permit a professional user to use a plant protection product in a sensitive area for a limited period with a precisely defined start and end date that is the shortest possible but does not exceed 60 days, provided that all of the following conditions are met:

deleted

(a) a proven serious and exceptional risk of the spread of quarantine pests or invasive alien species exists;

(b) there is no technically feasible lower risk alternative control technique to contain the spread of quarantine pests or

invasive alien species.

Or. en

Justification

IDEM justification as in paragraph 1

Amendment 2143

Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan

Proposal for a regulation

Article 18 – paragraph 3 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

3. By way of derogation from paragraph 1, a competent authority designated by a Member State may permit a professional user to use a plant protection product in a sensitive area for a limited period with a precisely defined start and end date that is the shortest possible but does not exceed 60 days, provided that all of the following conditions are met:

Amendment

3. By way of derogation from paragraph 1, a competent authority designated by a Member State may permit a professional user to use a plant protection product ***authorized in accordance with Article 28 of Regulation (EU) 1107/2009 and at national level*** in a sensitive area for a limited period with a precisely defined start and end date that is the shortest possible but does not exceed 60 days, ***where an intervention is necessary to allow infrastructure managers to fulfil their legal safety obligations on CORINE land cover levels 1.2 and 1.3 and where non-chemical methods of vegetation control would not allow to fulfil these obligations, or*** provided that all of the following conditions are met:

Or. en

Amendment 2144

Martin Hojsík, Michal Wiezik, María Soraya Rodríguez Ramos, Róza Thun und Hohenstein, Frédérique Ries

Proposal for a regulation

Article 18 – paragraph 3 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

3. By way of derogation from paragraph 1, a competent authority designated by a Member State may permit a professional user to use a plant protection product in a sensitive area for a limited period with a precisely defined start and end date that is the shortest possible but does not exceed 60 days, provided that all of the following conditions are met:

Amendment

3. By way of derogation from paragraph 1, a competent authority designated by a Member State may permit a professional user to use a plant protection product in a sensitive area ***authorised in accordance with Regulation 2018/848 and biological control as defined in article 3(23)***, for a limited period with a precisely defined start and end date that is the shortest possible but does not exceed 60 days, provided that all of the following conditions are met:

Or. en

Amendment 2145

Andreas Glück

Proposal for a regulation

Article 18 – paragraph 3 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

3. ***By way of derogation from*** paragraph 1, a competent authority designated by a Member State may ***permit*** a professional user to use a plant protection product in a sensitive area ***for a limited period with a precisely defined start and end date that is the shortest possible but does not exceed 60 days***, provided that all of the following conditions are met:

Amendment

3. ***In accordance with*** paragraph 1, a competent authority designated by a Member State may ***decide to prohibit*** a professional user to use a plant protection product in a sensitive area, provided that all of the following conditions are met:

Or. en

Justification

The possibility for Member States to prohibit the use of plant protection products in sensitive areas, as mentioned in paragraph 1, should be based on clear criteria.

Amendment 2146

Tudor Ciuhodaru

Proposal for a regulation

Article 18 – paragraph 3 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

3. Prin derogare de la alineatul (1), o autoritate competentă desemnată de un stat membru poate permite unui utilizator profesionist să utilizeze un produs de protecție a plantelor într-o zonă sensibilă pentru o perioadă limitată, cu o dată de începere și de încheiere definită cu precizie, care este cât mai scurtă posibil, dar nu depășește niciodată 60 de zile, dacă sunt îndeplinite toate condițiile următoare:

Amendment

3. Prin derogare de la alineatul (1), o autoritate competentă desemnată de un stat membru poate permite unui utilizator profesionist să utilizeze un produs de protecție a plantelor într-o zonă sensibilă pentru o perioadă limitată, cu o dată de începere și de încheiere definită cu precizie, care este cât mai scurtă posibil, dar nu depășește niciodată 60 de zile, **și care sunt strict monitorizate și înregistrate** dacă sunt îndeplinite toate condițiile următoare:

Or. ro

Amendment 2147

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjård, Margarita de la Pisa Carrión

Proposal for a regulation

Article 18 – paragraph 3 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

3. By way of derogation from paragraph **1**, a competent authority designated by a Member State may permit a professional user to use a plant protection product in a sensitive area for a limited period with a precisely defined start and end date that is the shortest possible but does not exceed 60 days, provided that all of the following conditions are met:

Amendment

3. By way of derogation from paragraph **2a**, a competent authority designated by a Member State may permit a professional user to use a plant protection product in a sensitive area for a limited period with a precisely defined start and end date that is the shortest possible but does not exceed 60 days, provided that all of the following conditions are met:

Or. en

Amendment 2148

Dan-Ștefan Motreanu, Carmen Avram, Marian-Jean Marinescu, Daniel Buda

Proposal for a regulation

Article 18 – paragraph 3 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

3. By way of derogation from paragraph 1, a competent authority designated by a Member State may permit a professional user to use a plant protection product in a sensitive area for a limited period with a precisely defined start and end date that is the shortest possible but does not exceed **60** days, provided that all of the following conditions are met:

Amendment

3. By way of derogation from paragraph 1, a competent authority designated by a Member State may permit a professional user to use a plant protection product in a sensitive area for a limited period with a precisely defined start and end date that is the shortest possible but does not exceed **90** days, provided that all of the following conditions are met:

Or. en

Amendment 2149

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation

Article 18 – paragraph 3 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

3. By way of derogation from paragraph 1, a competent authority designated by a Member State may permit a professional user to use a plant protection product in a sensitive area for a limited period with a precisely defined start and end date that is the shortest possible but does not exceed **60** days, provided that all of the following conditions are met:

Amendment

3. By way of derogation from paragraph 1, a competent authority designated by a Member State may permit a professional user to use a plant protection product in a sensitive area for a limited period with a precisely defined start and end date that is the shortest possible but does not exceed **20** days, provided that all of the following conditions are met:

Or. en

Amendment 2150

Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Peter Lundgren, Hermann Tertsch, Mazaly Aguilar, Evžen Tošenovský, Sergio Berlato, Jorge Buxadé Villalba, Eugen Jurzyca, Pietro Fiocchi, Charlie Weimers, Alexander Bernhuber, Johan Nissinen

Proposal for a regulation

Article 18 – paragraph 3 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(a) **a proven serious and exceptional risk of the spread of quarantine pests or invasive alien species exists;**

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 2151

Andreas Glück

Proposal for a regulation

Article 18 – paragraph 3 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(a) **a** proven serious and exceptional risk of the spread of quarantine pests or invasive alien species exists;

(a) **No** proven serious and exceptional risk of the spread of quarantine pests or invasive alien species exists **which could compromise the respective protection objective;**

Or. en

Justification

In certain cases, the need for plant protection is necessary to prevent serious degradation of sensitive areas. If the use is in line with the respective protection objective, their use shall not prohibited.

Amendment 2152

Jan Huitema, Emma Wiesner, Erik Poulsen, Asger Christensen, Billy Kelleher

Proposal for a regulation

Article 18 – paragraph 3 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(a) a proven serious **and exceptional** risk of the spread of quarantine pests or invasive alien species exists;

(a) a proven serious risk of the spread of quarantine pests or invasive alien species exists;

Or. en

Amendment 2153

Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Peter Lundgren, Hermann Tertsch, Mazaly Aguilar, Evžen Tošenovský, Sergio Berlato, Jorge Buxadé Villalba, Eugen Jurzyca, Pietro Fiocchi, Charlie Weimers, Alexander Bernhuber, Johan Nissinen

Proposal for a regulation

Article 18 – paragraph 3 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) there is no technically feasible lower risk alternative control technique to contain the spread of quarantine pests or invasive alien species.

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 2154

Andreas Glück

Proposal for a regulation

Article 18 – paragraph 3 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) there *is no* technically feasible lower risk alternative control technique to contain the spread of quarantine pests or invasive alien species.

(b) there *are* technically feasible lower risk alternative control technique to contain the spread of quarantine pests or invasive alien species.

Or. en

Amendment 2155

Martin Hojsík, Michal Wiezik, María Soraya Rodríguez Ramos, Róza Thun und Hohenstein, Frédérique Ries

Proposal for a regulation

Article 18 – paragraph 3 – point b a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b a) a subsequent regular environmental monitoring of

contaminants and their impacts on human health and on the environment of the respective area can be ensured.

Or. en

Amendment 2156
Andreas Glück

Proposal for a regulation
Article 18 – paragraph 3 – point b a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b a) serious economic damages for farmers and private persons can be excluded.

Or. en

Justification

In many sensitive areas, agriculture has been practiced for a long time. Before a ban on the use of pesticides can be imposed, it must be verified that the users of these areas are not harmed.

Amendment 2157
Nathalie Colin-Oesterlé

Proposal for a regulation
Article 18 – paragraph 3 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

3 bis. Par dérogation au paragraphe 1, les gestionnaires d'infrastructures ferroviaires peuvent utiliser un produit phytopharmaceutique dans une zone sensible, lorsqu'une intervention est nécessaire pour assurer la sécurité des opérations et en l'absence de méthodes non chimiques de contrôle de la végétation permettant aux gestionnaires d'infrastructures d'assurer la sécurité de l'infrastructure et du trafic ferroviaires;

Amendment 2158

Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Lena Düpont, Jens Gieseke, Angelika Niebler, Andreas Schwab, Ralf Seekatz, David McAllister, Christine Schneider, Stefan Berger, Sabine Verheyen, Rainer Wieland, Monika Hohlmeier, Michael Gahler, Christian Doleschal, Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Alexander Bernhuber, Daniel Caspary, Herbert Dorfmann, Simone Schmiedtbauer

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 18 – paragraph 4**

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(4) Der Antrag eines beruflichen Verwenders auf Genehmigung der Verwendung eines Pflanzenschutzmittels in einem empfindlichen Gebiet enthält die für den Nachweis, dass die Bedingungen gemäß Absatz 3 erfüllt sind, erforderlichen Informationen. **entfällt**

Or. de

Amendment 2159

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjärd, Margarita de la Pisa Carrión

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 18 – paragraph 4**

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

4. An application by a professional user for a permit for the use of a plant protection product in a sensitive area shall include the information necessary to demonstrate that the conditions set out in paragraph 3 are met. **deleted**

Or. en

Amendment 2160
Ulrike Müller

Proposal for a regulation
Article 18 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

4. An application by a professional user for a permit for the use of a plant protection product in a sensitive area shall include the information necessary to demonstrate that the conditions set out in paragraph 3 are met. **deleted**

Or. en

(This Amendment corresponds to an amendment on Paragraph 1 of this Article.)

Justification

A prohibition of the use of plant protection products is of ideological nature and solely hazard-based. A more nuanced approach fitting the characteristics of specific types of sensitive areas and based on the risk-profile of plant protection products would be more appropriate. Risks should be mitigated by reducing them to a tolerable level. In order to achieve that, farmers must have the means to implement adequate measures.

Amendment 2161
Andreas Glück

Proposal for a regulation
Article 18 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

4. An application by a professional user for a permit for the use of a plant protection product in a sensitive area shall include the information necessary to demonstrate that the conditions set out in paragraph 3 are met. **deleted**

Or. en

Justification

Not needed anymore as the general ban of plant protection products in sensitive areas is

deleted.

Amendment 2162

Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Peter Lundgren, Hermann Tertsch, Mazaly Aguilar, Evžen Tošenovský, Sergio Berlato, Jorge Buxadé Villalba, Eugen Jurzyca, Pietro Fiocchi, Charlie Weimers, Alexander Bernhuber, Johan Nissinen

Proposal for a regulation

Article 18 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

4. An application by a professional user for a permit for the use of a plant protection product in a sensitive area shall include the information necessary to demonstrate that the conditions set out in paragraph 3 are met. *deleted*

Or. en

Amendment 2163

Andreas Glück

Proposal for a regulation

Article 18 – paragraph 5

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

5. The competent authority referred to in paragraph 3 shall decide on the application for a permit for the use of a plant protection product within 2 weeks of its submission. *deleted*

Or. en

Justification

Not needed anymore as the general ban of plant protection products in sensitive areas is deleted.

Amendment 2164

Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Peter Lundgren, Hermann Tertsch, Mazaly Aguilar, Evžen Tošenovský, Sergio Berlato, Jorge Buxadé Villalba, Eugen Jurzyca, Pietro Fiocchi, Charlie Weimers, Alexander Bernhuber, Johan Nissinen

Proposal for a regulation

Article 18 – paragraph 5

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

5. The competent authority referred to in paragraph 3 shall decide on the application for a permit for the use of a plant protection product within 2 weeks of its submission. **deleted**

Or. en

Justification

The two-week period for examining the application is too long. After two weeks the pests will already cause irreversible damage to the crop and the application of PPP's will not be able to mitigate it.

Amendment 2165

Ulrike Müller, Andreas Glück

Proposal for a regulation

Article 18 – paragraph 5

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

5. The competent authority referred to in paragraph 3 shall decide on the application for a permit for the use of a plant protection product within 2 weeks of its submission. **deleted**

Or. en

(This Amendment corresponds to an amendment on Paragraph 1 of this Article.)

Justification

A prohibition of the use of plant protection products is of ideological nature and solely hazard-based. A more nuanced approach fitting the characteristics of specific types of sensitive areas and based on the risk-profile of plant protection products would be more

appropriate. Risks should be mitigated by reducing them to a tolerable level. In order to achieve that, farmers must have the means to implement adequate measures.

Amendment 2166

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjärd, Margarita de la Pisa Carrión

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 18 – paragraph 5**

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

5. *The competent authority referred to in paragraph 3 shall decide on the application for a permit for the use of a plant protection product within 2 weeks of its submission.* ***deleted***

Or. en

Amendment 2167

Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Lena Düpont, Jens Gieseke, Angelika Niebler, Andreas Schwab, Ralf Seekatz, David McAllister, Christine Schneider, Stefan Berger, Sabine Verheyen, Rainer Wieland, Monika Hohlmeier, Michael Gahler, Christian Doleschal, Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Alexander Bernhuber, Daniel Caspary, Herbert Dorfmann, Simone Schmiedtbauer

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 18 – paragraph 5**

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(5) *Die zuständige Behörde gemäß Absatz 3 entscheidet innerhalb von zwei Wochen nach Antragstellung über die Genehmigung der Verwendung eines Pflanzenschutzmittels.* ***entfällt***

Or. de

Amendment 2168

Jan Huitema, Emma Wiesner, Erik Poulsen, Asger Christensen, Billy Kelleher

Proposal for a regulation
Article 18 – paragraph 5

Text proposed by the Commission

5. The competent authority referred to in paragraph 3 shall decide on the application for a permit for the use of a plant protection product within **2 weeks** of its submission.

Amendment

5. The competent authority referred to in paragraph 3 shall decide on the application for a permit for the use of a plant protection product ***in a timely manner to ensure that the risk as referred to in point (a) of paragraph 3 is avoided, and latest*** within **1 week** of its submission.

Or. en

Amendment 2169
Esther de Lange

Proposal for a regulation
Article 18 – paragraph 5

Text proposed by the Commission

5. The competent authority referred to in paragraph 3 shall decide on the application for a permit for the use of a plant protection product within **2 weeks** of its submission.

Amendment

5. The competent authority referred to in paragraph 3 shall decide on the application for a permit for the use of a plant protection product within **3 working days** of its submission.

Or. en

Amendment 2170
Dan-Ștefan Motreanu, Carmen Avram, Marian-Jean Marinescu, Daniel Buda

Proposal for a regulation
Article 18 – paragraph 5

Text proposed by the Commission

5. The competent authority referred to in paragraph 3 shall decide on the application for a permit for the use of a plant protection product within **2 weeks** of its submission.

Amendment

5. The competent authority referred to in paragraph 3 shall decide on the application for a permit for the use of a plant protection product within **3 working days** of its submission.

Amendment 2171
Silvia Sardone

Proposal for a regulation
Article 18 – paragraph 5

Text proposed by the Commission

5. L'autorità competente di cui al paragrafo 3 decide in merito alla domanda di autorizzazione all'uso di un prodotto fitosanitario entro **due settimane** dalla sua presentazione.

Amendment

5. L'autorità competente di cui al paragrafo 3 decide in merito alla domanda di autorizzazione all'uso di un prodotto fitosanitario entro **tre giorni** dalla sua presentazione.

Or. it

Amendment 2172

Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Lena Düpont, Jens Gieseke, Angelika Niebler, Andreas Schwab, Ralf Seekatz, David McAllister, Christine Schneider, Stefan Berger, Sabine Verheyen, Rainer Wieland, Monika Hohlmeier, Michael Gahler, Christian Doleschal, Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Alexander Bernhuber, Daniel Caspary, Herbert Dorfmann, Simone Schmiedtbauer

Proposal for a regulation
Article 18 – paragraph 6

Text proposed by the Commission

(6) In der Genehmigung zur Verwendung eines Pflanzenschutzmittels in einem empfindlichen Gebiet werden alle nachstehenden Elemente aufgeführt:

a) die Bedingungen für die begrenzte und kontrollierte Verwendung durch den Antragsteller;

b) die Verpflichtung zur Anbringung von Hinweisen auf die Verwendung von Pflanzenschutzmitteln innerhalb der Grenzen der zu behandelnden Fläche und die Anweisung, in welcher Form diese Hinweise konkret anzubringen sind;

Amendment

entfällt

- c) *Risikominderungsmaßnahmen;*
- d) *die Gültigkeitsdauer der Genehmigung.*

Or. de

Amendment 2173
Andreas Glück

Proposal for a regulation
Article 18 – paragraph 6

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

6. *The permit to use a plant protection product in a sensitive area shall indicate all of the following:*
- (a) *the conditions for limited and controlled use by the applicant;*
 - (b) *the obligation to display notices regarding use of plant protection products on the perimeter of the area to be treated, and any specific form such display is to take;*
 - (c) *risk mitigation measures;*
 - (d) *the duration of validity of the permit.*
- deleted*

Or. en

Justification

Not needed anymore as the general ban of plant protection products in sensitive areas is deleted.

Amendment 2174
Ulrike Müller, Andreas Glück

Proposal for a regulation
Article 18 – paragraph 6

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

- 6. *The permit to use a plant protection product in a sensitive area shall indicate all of the following:*** ***deleted***
- (a) *the conditions for limited and controlled use by the applicant;***
- (b) *the obligation to display notices regarding use of plant protection products on the perimeter of the area to be treated, and any specific form such display is to take;***
- (c) *risk mitigation measures;***
- (d) *the duration of validity of the permit.***

Or. en

(This Amendment corresponds to an amendment on Paragraph 1 of this Article.)

Justification

A prohibition of the use of plant protection products is of ideological nature and solely hazard-based. A more nuanced approach fitting the characteristics of specific types of sensitive areas and based on the risk-profile of plant protection products would be more appropriate. Risks should be mitigated by reducing them to a tolerable level. In order to achieve that, farmers must have the means to implement adequate measures.

Amendment 2175

Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Peter Lundgren, Hermann Tertsch, Mazaly Aguilar, Evžen Tošenovský, Sergio Berlato, Jorge Buxadé Villalba, Eugen Jurzyca, Pietro Fiocchi, Charlie Weimers, Alexander Bernhuber, Johan Nissinen

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 18 – paragraph 6**

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

- 6. *The permit to use a plant protection product in a sensitive area shall indicate all of the following:*** ***deleted***
- (a) *the conditions for limited and controlled use by the applicant;***

(b) the obligation to display notices regarding use of plant protection products on the perimeter of the area to be treated, and any specific form such display is to take;

(c) risk mitigation measures;

(d) the duration of validity of the permit.

Or. en

Amendment 2176

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjärd, Margarita de la Pisa Carrión

Proposal for a regulation Article 18 – paragraph 6

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

6. The permit to use a plant protection product in a sensitive area shall indicate all of the following: *deleted*

(a) the conditions for limited and controlled use by the applicant;

(b) the obligation to display notices regarding use of plant protection products on the perimeter of the area to be treated, and any specific form such display is to take;

(c) risk mitigation measures;

(d) the duration of validity of the permit.

Or. en

Amendment 2177

Jan Huitema, Emma Wiesner, Ondřej Knotek, Martin Hlaváček, Billy Kelleher, Asger Christensen

Proposal for a regulation

Article 18 – paragraph 6 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) the obligation to display notices regarding use of plant protection products on the perimeter of the area to be treated, and any specific form such display is to take;

Amendment

(b) ***if necessary for the protection of the general public and vulnerable groups,*** the obligation to display notices regarding use of plant protection products on the perimeter of the area to be treated, and any specific form such display is to take;

Or. en

Amendment 2178

Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan

Proposal for a regulation

Article 18 – paragraph 6 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

(c) risk mitigation measures;

Amendment

(c) ***specific*** risk mitigation measures;

Or. en

Amendment 2179

Tudor Ciuhodaru

Proposal for a regulation

Article 18 – paragraph 6 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

6 a. înregistrarea în registrul de utilizare a produselor de combatere a dăunătorilor

Or. ro

Amendment 2180

Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Lena Düpont, Jens Gieseke, Angelika Niebler, Andreas Schwab, Ralf Seekatz, David McAllister, Christine Schneider, Stefan Berger, Sabine

Verheyen, Rainer Wieland, Monika Hohlmeier, Michael Gahler, Christian Doleschal, Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Alexander Bernhuber, Daniel Caspary, Herbert Dorfmann, Simone Schmiedtbauer

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 18 – paragraph 7**

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(7) Ein beruflicher Verwender, dem die Genehmigung zur Verwendung eines Pflanzenschutzmittels in einem empfindlichen Gebiet erteilt wurde, bringt die entsprechenden Hinweise in der Form, die in der Genehmigung angegeben ist, an den Grenzen der zu behandelnden Fläche entlang an. **entfällt**

Or. de

Amendment 2181

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjärd, Margarita de la Pisa Carrión

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 18 – paragraph 7**

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

7. A professional user that has been granted a permit to use a plant protection product in a sensitive area shall display notices to that regard on the perimeter of the area to be treated in the form indicated in the permit. **deleted**

Or. en

**Amendment 2182
Andreas Glück**

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 18 – paragraph 7**

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

7. A professional user that has been granted a permit to use a plant protection product in a sensitive area shall display notices to that regard on the perimeter of the area to be treated in the form indicated in the permit. *deleted*

Or. en

Justification

Not needed anymore as the general ban of plant protection products in sensitive areas is deleted.

Amendment 2183

Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Peter Lundgren, Hermann Tertsch, Mazaly Aguilar, Evžen Tošenovský, Sergio Berlato, Jorge Buxadé Villalba, Eugen Jurzyca, Pietro Fiocchi, Charlie Weimers, Alexander Bernhuber, Johan Nissinen

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 18 – paragraph 7**

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

7. A professional user that has been granted a permit to use a plant protection product in a sensitive area shall display notices to that regard on the perimeter of the area to be treated in the form indicated in the permit. *deleted*

Or. en

Justification

The proposal would lead to an excessive increase in the administrative burden.

Amendment 2184

Ulrike Müller, Andreas Glück

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 18 – paragraph 7**

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

7. A professional user that has been granted a permit to use a plant protection product in a sensitive area shall display notices to that regard on the perimeter of the area to be treated in the form indicated in the permit. **deleted**

Or. en

(This Amendment corresponds to an amendment on Paragraph 1 of this Article.)

Justification

A prohibition of the use of plant protection products is of ideological nature and solely hazard-based. A more nuanced approach fitting the characteristics of specific types of sensitive areas and based on the risk-profile of plant protection products would be more appropriate. Risks should be mitigated by reducing them to a tolerable level. In order to achieve that, farmers must have the means to implement adequate measures.

Amendment 2185

Jan Huitema, Emma Wiesner, Ondřej Knotek, Martin Hlaváček, Billy Kelleher, Asger Christensen

Proposal for a regulation Article 18 – paragraph 7

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

7. A professional user that has been granted a permit to use a plant protection product in a sensitive area shall display notices to that regard on the perimeter of the area to be treated in the form indicated in the permit.

7. *If necessary for the protection of the general public and vulnerable groups,* a professional user that has been granted a permit to use a plant protection product in a sensitive area shall display notices to that regard on the perimeter of the area to be treated in the form indicated in the permit.

Or. en

Amendment 2186

Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Lena Düpont, Jens Gieseke, Angelika Niebler, Andreas Schwab, Ralf Seekatz, David McAllister, Christine Schneider, Stefan Berger, Sabine Verheyen, Rainer Wieland, Monika Hohlmeier, Michael Gahler, Christian Doleschal,

Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Alexander Bernhuber, Daniel Caspary, Herbert Dorfmann, Simone Schmiedtbauer

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 18 – paragraph 8**

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(8) Wird eine Genehmigung für die Verwendung eines Pflanzenschutzmittels in einem empfindlichen Gebiet erteilt, so macht die in Absatz 3 genannte zuständige Behörde vor dem ersten Tag ihrer Gültigkeit die folgenden Informationen öffentlich zugänglich:

entfällt

- a) den Ort der Verwendung;**
- b) die Belege für die außergewöhnlichen Umstände, die die Anwendung eines Pflanzenschutzmittels rechtfertigen;**
- c) das Anfangs- und Enddatum des Genehmigungszeitraums, der nicht länger als 60 aufeinanderfolgende Tage sein darf;**
- d) die relevanten Witterungsbedingungen, die eine sichere Anwendung ermöglichen;**
- e) den Namen des Pflanzenschutzmittels bzw. der Pflanzenschutzmittel;**
- f) das zu verwendende Anwendungsgerät und die zur Risikominderung zu ergreifenden Maßnahmen.**

Or. de

Amendment 2187

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjärd, Margarita de la Pisa Carrión

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 18 – paragraph 8**

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

- 8. Where a permit for use of a plant protection product in a sensitive area is granted, before the first day of its validity, the competent authority referred to in paragraph 3 shall make publicly available the following information:** **deleted**
- (a) the location of the use;**
 - (b) the evidence for the exceptional circumstances justifying the application of a plant protection product;**
 - (c) the start and end date of the approval period of the permit, which shall not exceed 60 consecutive days;**
 - (d) the relevant weather conditions allowing a safe application;**
 - (e) the name of the plant protection product or products;**
 - (f) the application equipment to be used and the risk mitigation measures to be taken.**

Or. en

Amendment 2188

Andreas Glück, Ondřej Knotek

Proposal for a regulation

Article 18 – paragraph 8

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

- 8. Where a permit for use of a plant protection product in a sensitive area is granted, before the first day of its validity, the competent authority referred to in paragraph 3 shall make publicly available the following information:** **deleted**
- (a) the location of the use;**
 - (b) the evidence for the exceptional circumstances justifying the application**

of a plant protection product;

(c) the start and end date of the approval period of the permit, which shall not exceed 60 consecutive days;

(d) the relevant weather conditions allowing a safe application;

(e) the name of the plant protection product or products;

(f) the application equipment to be used and the risk mitigation measures to be taken.

Or. en

Justification

Not needed anymore as the general ban of plant protection products in sensitive areas is deleted.

Amendment 2189

Ulrike Müller, Andreas Glück

Proposal for a regulation

Article 18 – paragraph 8

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

8. Where a permit for use of a plant protection product in a sensitive area is granted, before the first day of its validity, the competent authority referred to in paragraph 3 shall make publicly available the following information:

deleted

(a) the location of the use;

(b) the evidence for the exceptional circumstances justifying the application of a plant protection product;

(c) the start and end date of the approval period of the permit, which shall not exceed 60 consecutive days;

(d) the relevant weather conditions allowing a safe application;

(e) the name of the plant protection

product or products;

(f) the application equipment to be used and the risk mitigation measures to be taken.

Or. en

(This Amendment corresponds to an amendment on Paragraph 1 of this Article.)

Justification

A prohibition of the use of plant protection products is of ideological nature and solely hazard-based. A more nuanced approach fitting the characteristics of specific types of sensitive areas and based on the risk-profile of plant protection products would be more appropriate. Risks should be mitigated by reducing them to a tolerable level. In order to achieve that, farmers must have the means to implement adequate measures.

Amendment 2190

Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Peter Lundgren, Hermann Tertsch, Mazaly Aguilar, Evžen Tošenovský, Sergio Berlato, Jorge Buxadé Villalba, Eugen Jurzyca, Pietro Fiocchi, Charlie Weimers, Alexander Bernhuber, Johan Nissinen

Proposal for a regulation Article 18 – paragraph 8

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

8. Where a permit for use of a plant protection product in a sensitive area is granted, before the first day of its validity, the competent authority referred to in paragraph 3 shall make publicly available the following information: ***deleted***

- (a) the location of the use;***
- (b) the evidence for the exceptional circumstances justifying the application of a plant protection product;***
- (c) the start and end date of the approval period of the permit, which shall not exceed 60 consecutive days;***
- (d) the relevant weather conditions allowing a safe application;***
- (e) the name of the plant protection product or products;***

(f) the application equipment to be used and the risk mitigation measures to be taken.

Or. en

Justification

Farmers help meet the basic needs of the population and the environment. They do their utmost to ensure that European citizens have enough food at affordable prices and high quality, even in times of crisis. It is not possible to expose farmers to any risk of possible data leaks and possible shaming by vested interests. Farmers must be protected, as must their data, and any shortcomings must be addressed in a targeted manner.

Amendment 2191

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation

Article 18 – paragraph 8 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

(c) the start and end date of the approval period of the permit, which shall not exceed **60** consecutive days;

Amendment

(c) the start and end date of the approval period of the permit, which shall not exceed **20** consecutive days;

Or. en

Amendment 2192

Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan

Proposal for a regulation

Article 18 – paragraph 8 – point e

Text proposed by the Commission

(e) the name of the plant protection product or products;

Amendment

(e) the name of the plant protection product or products, ***the quantity or quantities of the plant protection product or products, and the number of doses to be applied;***

Or. en

Amendment 2193

Martin Hojsík, Michal Wiezik, María Soraya Rodríguez Ramos, Róza Thun und Hohenstein, Frédérique Ries

Proposal for a regulation

Article 18 – paragraph 8 – point e

Text proposed by the Commission

(e) the name of the plant protection product or products;

Amendment

(e) the name of the plant protection product or products, ***including applied quantities***;

Or. en

Amendment 2194

Martin Hojsík, Michal Wiezik, María Soraya Rodríguez Ramos, Róza Thun und Hohenstein, Frédérique Ries

Proposal for a regulation

Article 18 – paragraph 8 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

8 a. Commission and Member States shall jointly assess the implementation of this article by [..18 months after the date of entry into force of this Regulation] with a specific focus on cases where more flexible buffer zone modalities were chosen by competent authorities and subsequent environmental monitoring was triggered. If considered necessary for the protection of the environment or public health, the Commission shall be empowered to amend or supplement in accordance with Article 40 paragraphs 3, 6 and 8 of this article.

Or. en

Amendment 2195

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjärd, Margarita de la Pisa Carrión

Proposal for a regulation
Article 18 – paragraph 8 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

8 a. The measures referred to in Article 18 remain Union and national law as well as the restrictions of the competent authorities in the field of control and containment of quarantine pests, pests referred to in Articles 29 and 30 of Regulation (EU) 2016/2031, vectors of the above pests and invasive species unaffected.

Or. en

Amendment 2196
Peter Liese

Proposal for a regulation
Article 18 – paragraph 8 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

8 a. The measures referred to in Article 18 remain Union and national law as well as the restrictions of the competent authorities in the field of control and containment of quarantine pests, pests referred to in Articles 29 and 30 of Regulation (EU) 2016/2031, vectors of the above pests and invasive species unaffected.

Or. en

Justification

In order to safeguard food security in the European Union, farmers need to have the possibility to react to pests and invasive species in a flexible and time-efficient way.

Amendment 2197
Esther de Lange

Proposal for a regulation
Article 18 – paragraph 8 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

8 a. The Commission shall take into account the different characteristics of Member States and shall therefore assess the possibility of a derogation in line with the general goals set out in this Regulation to provide these Member States flexibility.

Or. en

Amendment 2198

Dan-Ştefan Motreanu, Carmen Avram, Marian-Jean Marinescu, Daniel Buda

Proposal for a regulation
Article 18 – paragraph 8 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

8 a. The competent authority shall keep an electronic record of the applications received and decisions taken, including the information referred to in paragraph 8 for a period of 5 years.

Or. en

Amendment 2199
Dolors Montserrat

Proposal for a regulation
Article 18 – paragraph 8 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

8 a. Points 1 to 8 of this Article 18 will not apply in treatments with phytosanitary products on agricultural plantations located in sensitive areas.

Amendment 2200

Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław Kalinowski, Joanna Kopcińska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

Proposal for a regulation

Article 18 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article 18 a

Use of plant protection products in sensitive areas

1. Where Member State concludes, based on sound scientific risk analysis, that safety precautions adopted based on the Regulation 1107/2009 and included in labels of plant protection products do not eliminate the risk posed by the use of plant protection products in specific areas or objects, it shall define such areas or objects in national legislation and shall lay down:

(a) appropriate measures to eliminate identified risk,

(b) the rules of implementation of measures referred to in letter (a),

(c) users of plant protection products obliged to implement measures referred to in letter (a), if applicable,

(d) derogations from measures referred to in letter (a) and procedures of granting such derogations, if applicable.

2. Measures referred to in paragraph 1 letter (a) may include one or more of the following elements:

(a) the ban of the use of all of plant protection products or specified groups of plant protection products in defined areas or objects,

(b) restriction concerning the use of plant protection products in defined areas or

objects,

(c) obligation for professional users to apply appropriate buffer zone when using plant protection products in proximity of defined areas or objects,

(d) obligation for professional users to apply anti-drift techniques, when using plant protection products in the close vicinity of defined areas or objects,

(e) obligations for professional users to apply appropriate warning measures,

(f) closing of defined areas or objects for the period of application of plant protection products,

(g) other measures necessary to eliminate identified risk.

3. The measures referred to in paragraph 1 letter (a) are without prejudice to the Union and national law and powers of the competent authorities in scope of eradication and containment of quarantine pests, pests referred to in art 29 and 30 of the Regulation 2016/2031, vectors of above mentioned pests and Invasive Alien Species.

Or. en

Justification

The EC has not assessed the total areas covered by their definition of ‘sensitive areas’. In many MS massive parts of agricultural land fall under this definition. There is no scientific justification for the total ban in these areas. There are many different reasons why these areas are being defined in accordance to different pieces of EU legislation. There are many different subjects of protection. In many cases there is no link between the strengthen protection and the use of PPPs. Therefore the most effective way to limit or ban the use of PPPs in specific areas is to leave the competences to MS.

Amendment 2201
Edina Tóth

Proposal for a regulation
Article 18 a (new)

Article 18 a

Use of plant protection products in sensitive areas

1. Where Member State concludes, based on sound scientific risk analysis, that safety precautions adopted based on the Regulation 1107/2009 and included in labels of plant protection products do not eliminate the risk posed by the use of plant protection products in specific areas or objects, it shall define such areas or objects in national legislation and shall lay down:

(a) appropriate measures to eliminate identified risk,

(b) the rules of implementation of measures referred to in letter (a),

(c) users of plant protection products obliged to implement measures referred to in letter (a), if applicable,

(d) derogations from measures referred to in letter (a) and procedures of granting such derogations, if applicable.

2. Measures referred to in paragraph 1 letter (a) may include one or more of the following elements:

(a) the ban of the use of all of plant protection products or specified groups of plant protection products in defined areas or objects,

(b) restriction concerning the use of plant protection products in defined areas or objects,

(c) obligation for professional users to apply appropriate buffer zone when using plant protection products in proximity of defined areas or objects,

(d) obligation for professional users to apply anti-drift techniques, when using plant protection products in the close vicinity of defined areas or objects,

- (e) obligations for professional users to apply appropriate warning measures,*
- (f) closing of defined areas or objects for the period of application of plant protection products,*
- (g) other measures necessary to eliminate identified risk.*

3. The measures referred to in paragraph 1 letter (a) are without prejudice to the Union and national law and powers of the competent authorities in scope of eradication and containment of quarantine pests, pests referred to in art 29 and 30 of the Regulation 2016/2031, vectors of above mentioned pests and Invasive Alien Species.

Or. en

Amendment 2202

Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Lena Düpont, Jens Gieseke, Angelika Niebler, Andreas Schwab, Ralf Seekatz, David McAllister, Christine Schneider, Stefan Berger, Sabine Verheyen, Rainer Wieland, Monika Hohlmeier, Michael Gahler, Christian Doleschal, Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Alexander Bernhuber, Daniel Caspary, Herbert Dorfmann, Simone Schmiedtbauer

Proposal for a regulation Article 19

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Artikel 19

entfällt

Maßnahmen zum Schutz der aquatischen Umwelt und des Trinkwassers

(1) Die Verwendung sämtlicher Pflanzenschutzmittel ist in allen Oberflächengewässern und in einem Umkreis von drei Metern um diese Gewässer verboten. Diese Pufferzone von drei Metern darf nicht durch den Einsatz alternativer Risikominderungstechniken verringert werden.

(2) Die Mitgliedstaaten können angrenzend an Oberflächengewässer

größere verpflichtende Pufferzonen einrichten.

(3) Bis zum [Amt für Veröffentlichungen: Bitte das Datum des Geltungsbeginns dieser Verordnung einfügen] haben die Mitgliedstaaten geeignete Maßnahmen eingeführt, um eine Verschlechterung des Zustands der Oberflächengewässer und des Grundwassers sowie der Küsten- und Meeresgewässer zu vermeiden und die Erreichung eines guten Zustands der Oberflächengewässer und des Grundwassers zu ermöglichen, um die aquatische Umwelt und die Trinkwasserreserven vor den Auswirkungen von Pflanzenschutzmitteln zu schützen und so zumindest die in den Richtlinien 2000/60/EG, 2006/118/EG, 2008/105/EG, 2008/56/EG und (EU) 2020/2184 festgelegten Ziele zu erreichen.

Or. de

Justification

Der neue Artikel 19 scheint redundant zu sein, weil diese Bestimmungen in den bestehenden Richtlinien (so wie im Punkt 3 aufgeführt) bereits geregelt sind.

Amendment 2203

Christine Schneider, Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Sven Simon, Angelika Niebler, Ralf Seekatz, Sabine Verheyen, Rainer Wieland, Daniel Caspary, Marlene Mortler, Franc Bogovič, Christian Doleschal, Monika Hohlmeier

Proposal for a regulation Article 19 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(1) Die Verwendung sämtlicher Pflanzenschutzmittel ist in allen Oberflächengewässern und in einem Umkreis von drei Metern um diese Gewässer verboten. Diese Pufferzone von drei Metern darf nicht durch den Einsatz alternativer Risikominderungstechniken	entfällt

verringert werden.

Or. de

Amendment 2204

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk

Proposal for a regulation

Article 19 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. The use of all plant protection products is prohibited on all surface waters and within 3 metres of such waters. This 3 metre buffer zone shall not be reduced by using alternative risk-mitigation techniques.

Amendment

1. The use of all plant protection products is prohibited on all surface waters and within 3 metres of such waters. This 3 metre buffer zone shall not be reduced by using alternative risk-mitigation techniques; ***Along water bodies which, according to the national water management plan, have a "moderate", "unsatisfactory" or "poor" ecological status as a result of substance pollution in accordance with the EU Water Framework Directive (Directive 2000/60/EC), the use of all plant protection products is prohibited on a width of at least 10 m to standing water bodies and at least 5 m to flowing water bodies.***

Or. en

Amendment 2205

Jan Huitema, Emma Wiesner, Ulrike Müller, Erik Poulsen, Nils Torvalds, Andreas Glück, Asger Christensen, Billy Kelleher

Proposal for a regulation

Article 19 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. The use of all plant protection products is prohibited on all surface waters and within 3 metres of such waters. This 3

Amendment

1. The use of all plant protection products is prohibited on all surface waters and within 3 metres of such waters, ***with***

metre buffer zone shall **not be reduced by using** alternative risk-mitigation techniques.

the exception of low-risk plant protection products and biocontrol products for the 3 metres buffer zone. Deviation of this 3 metre buffer zone shall be justified by the Member State in its National Action Plan, and may be justified if the risk for the sensitive area is negligible, by the use of alternative risk-mitigation techniques or implementation of the buffer zone would require a disproportionate amount of agricultural land.

Or. en

Amendment 2206

Martin Hojsík, Michal Wiezik, María Soraya Rodríguez Ramos, Róža Thun und Hohenstein, Frédérique Ries

Proposal for a regulation Article 19 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. The use of all plant protection products is prohibited on all surface waters and within **3** metres of such waters. This **3** metre buffer zone **shall not** be reduced by using alternative risk-mitigation techniques.

Amendment

1. The use of all plant protection products is prohibited on all surface waters and within **10** metres of such waters. This **standard 10** metre buffer zone **may** be reduced by using alternative risk-mitigation techniques **to minimum 3 metres upon approval of competent authorities, if subsequent regular environmental monitoring of contaminants and their impacts on drinking water and aquatic environment can be ensured.**

Or. en

Amendment 2207

Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan, Sarah Wiener, Günther Sidl

Proposal for a regulation Article 19 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. The use of all plant protection products is prohibited on all surface waters and within 3 metres of such waters. This 3 metre buffer zone shall not be reduced by using alternative risk-mitigation techniques.

Amendment

1. The use of all plant protection products is prohibited on all surface waters and within **10 metres of the average shoreline over the year** of such waters. This 10 metre buffer zone shall not be reduced by using alternative risk-mitigation techniques.

Member States shall encourage the establishment of vegetated buffer strips along water courses.

Or. en

Justification

The reference to the average shoreline over the year is necessary to ensure that the application limit is not advanced during periods of drought. Vegetated buffer strips are an effective measure to retain plant protection product inputs during rain events and consequently to protect water streams from pollution and avoid ecological impacts on aquatic life.

Amendment 2208

Ulrike Müller, Emma Wiesner, Andreas Glück

Proposal for a regulation

Article 19 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. The use of all plant protection products is prohibited on all surface waters and within 3 metres of such waters. This 3 metre buffer zone **shall not** be reduced by using alternative risk-mitigation techniques.

Amendment

1. The use of all plant protection products is prohibited on all surface waters and within 3 metres of such waters. This 3 metre buffer zone **may** be reduced by using alternative risk-mitigation techniques, **if these measures are sufficient to safeguard objectives set out in Directives 2000/60/EC, 2006/118/EC, 2008/105/EC, 2008/56/EC and (EU) 2020/2184.**

Or. en

Justification

The protection of water resources is of utmost importance and Member States must ensure

that requirements of the Water Framework Directive and associated Directives are met. If this can be verifiably achieved by applying alternative risk-mitigation techniques, it is not apparent why this should not be admissible.

Amendment 2209

Martin Häusling, Michèle Rivasi, Jutta Paulus

Proposal for a regulation

Article 19 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. The use of all plant protection products is prohibited on all surface waters and within **3** metres of such waters. ***This 3*** metre buffer zone shall not be reduced by using alternative risk-mitigation techniques.

Amendment

1. The use of all plant protection products is prohibited on all surface waters, ***including intermittent surface waters***, and within ***10*** metres of such waters. ***At least 5*** metre ***of this buffer zone shall be vegetated and the*** buffer zone shall not be reduced by using alternative risk-mitigation techniques.

Or. en

Amendment 2210

Sylvia Limmer

Proposal for a regulation

Article 19 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

(1) Die Verwendung sämtlicher Pflanzenschutzmittel ist in allen Oberflächengewässern und in einem Umkreis von drei Metern um diese Gewässer verboten. Diese Pufferzone von drei Metern darf nicht durch den Einsatz alternativer Risikominderungstechniken verringert werden.

Amendment

(1) Die Verwendung sämtlicher Pflanzenschutzmittel ist in allen ***ständig Wasser führenden*** Oberflächengewässern und in einem Umkreis von drei Metern um diese Gewässer verboten. Diese Pufferzone von drei Metern darf nicht durch den Einsatz alternativer Risikominderungstechniken verringert werden.

Or. de

Amendment 2211
Esther de Lange

Proposal for a regulation
Article 19 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. The use of all plant protection products is prohibited on all surface waters and within 3 metres of such waters. ***This 3 metre buffer zone shall not be reduced by using alternative risk-mitigation techniques.***

Amendment

1. The use of all plant protection products is prohibited on all surface waters and within 3 metres of such waters, ***unless the user can prove that no emissions will occur, for instance due to innovations or the use of greenhouses.***

Or. en

Amendment 2212
Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation
Article 19 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. The use of all plant protection products is prohibited on all surface waters and within 3 metres of such waters. This 3 metre buffer zone shall not be reduced by using alternative risk-mitigation techniques.

Amendment

1. The use of all plant protection products is prohibited on all surface waters and within 25 metres of such waters. This 25 metre buffer zone shall not be reduced by using alternative risk-mitigation techniques.

Or. en

Amendment 2213
Esther de Lange

Proposal for a regulation
Article 19 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. The use of all plant protection products is prohibited on all surface waters and within 3 metres of such waters. This 3

Amendment

1. The use of all plant protection products is prohibited on all surface waters and within 3 metres of such waters. This 3

metre buffer zone **shall not** be reduced by using alternative risk-mitigation techniques.

metre buffer zone **can** be reduced by using alternative risk-mitigation techniques.

Or. en

Amendment 2214

Christine Schneider, Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Sven Simon, Angelika Niebler, Ralf Seekatz, Sabine Verheyen, Rainer Wieland, Daniel Caspary, Marlene Mortler, Franc Bogovič, Christian Doleschal, Monika Hohlmeier

Proposal for a regulation

Article 19 – paragraph 1 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(1 a) Pflanzenschutzmittel dürfen an Gewässern, ausgenommen kleine Gewässer von wasserwirtschaftlich untergeordneter Bedeutung, innerhalb eines Abstandes von zehn Metern zum Gewässer, gemessen ab der Böschungsoberkante oder soweit keine Böschungsoberkante vorhanden ist ab der Linie des Mittelwasserstandes, nicht angewendet werden. Abweichend von Satz 1 beträgt der einzuhaltende Mindestabstand fünf Meter, wenn eine geschlossene, ganzjährig begrünte Pflanzendecke vorhanden ist. Eine Bodenbearbeitung zur Erneuerung des Pflanzenbewuchses darf einmal innerhalb von Fünfjahreszeiträumen durchgeführt werden. Der erste Fünfjahreszeitraum beginnt mit dem XXX (einzufügen). Sind mit der Zulassung des jeweiligen Pflanzenschutzmittels Anwendungsbestimmungen über größere Abstände oder über die zu verwendenden Pflanzenschutzgeräte festgelegt worden, bleibt die Pflicht zur Einhaltung dieser Anwendungsbestimmungen unberührt. Die Sätze 1 bis 4 gelten nicht, soweit ein Land Regelungen getroffen hat oder trifft, mit denen abweichende Gewässerabstände festgelegt werden.

Justification

Aus: Verordnung über Anwendungsverbote für Pflanzenschutzmittel (Pflanzenschutz-Anwendungsverordnung), §4a https://www.gesetze-im-internet.de/pflschanwv_1992/BJNR118870992.html

Amendment 2215

Dan-Ştefan Motreanu, Carmen Avram, Marian-Jean Marinescu, Daniel Buda

Proposal for a regulation

Article 19 – paragraph 1 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

1 a. Acknowledging Member States' different characteristics, Member States shall retain the possibility for adaptation to local circumstances to implement measures to adequately protect sensitive areas and report measures taken in their National Action Plans.

Amendment 2216

Christine Schneider, Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Sven Simon, Angelika Niebler, Ralf Seekatz, Sabine Verheyen, Rainer Wieland, Daniel Caspary, Marlene Mortler, Franc Bogovič, Christian Doleschal, Monika Hohlmeier

Proposal for a regulation

Article 19 – paragraph 1 b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(1 b) Die zuständige Behörde kann Ausnahmen von Absatz 1 Satz 1 und 2 zur Abwendung erheblicher landwirtschaftlicher, forstwirtschaftlicher oder sonstiger wirtschaftlicher Schäden oder zum Schutz der heimischen Tier- und Pflanzenwelt, insbesondere vor invasiven Arten, genehmigen.

Justification

Aus: Verordnung über Anwendungsverbote für Pflanzenschutzmittel (Pflanzenschutz-Anwendungsverordnung), §4a https://www.gesetze-im-internet.de/pflschanvw_1992/BJNR118870992.html

Amendment 2217

Sylvia Limmer

Proposal for a regulation

Article 19 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

**(2) Die Mitgliedstaaten können
angrenzend an Oberflächengewässer
größere verpflichtende Pufferzonen
einrichten.**

entfällt

Amendment 2218

Ulrike Müller, Emma Wiesner, Andreas Glück

Proposal for a regulation

Article 19 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

2. Member States may establish larger mandatory buffer zones adjacent to surface waters.

2. Member States may establish larger mandatory buffer zones adjacent to surface waters, ***should this be required to safeguard objectives set out in Directives 2000/60/EC, 2006/118/EC, 2008/105/EC, 2008/56/EC and (EU) 2020/2184.***

Justification

The protection of water resources is of utmost importance - however, increasing the area of buffer zones would have negative impacts on farmers incomes and therefore should only be admissible if required to achieve compliance with obligations under the Water Framework

Directive and associated Directives.

Amendment 2219

Martin Hojsik, Michal Wiezik, María Soraya Rodríguez Ramos, Róza Thun und Hohenstein, Frédérique Ries

Proposal for a regulation

Article 19 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. Member States may establish larger mandatory buffer zones adjacent to surface waters.

Amendment

2. Member States may establish larger mandatory buffer zones adjacent to surface waters ***and shall do so if monitoring data confirm increased surface waters pollution.***

Or. en

Amendment 2220

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation

Article 19 – paragraph 2 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

2 a. Member States shall adopt and enforce measures to ensure that the amount and width of waterflows and ditches is not reduced as a result of this Regulation.

Or. en

Amendment 2221

Jan Huitema, Emma Wiesner, Ulrike Müller, Erik Poulsen, Andreas Glück, Asger Christensen, Billy Kelleher

Proposal for a regulation

Article 19 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

3. By ... [OP: please insert the date of application of this Regulation], Member States shall have in place appropriate measures to avoid deterioration of surface and groundwater status as well as coastal and marine waters and allow achievement of good surface and groundwater status, to protect the aquatic environment and drinking water supplies from the impact of plant protection products to achieve, at least, the objectives set out in Directives 2000/60/EC, 2006/118/EC, 2008/105/EC, 2008/56/EC and (EU) 2020/2184.

Amendment

3. By ... [OP: please insert the date of application of this Regulation], Member States shall have in place appropriate measures to avoid deterioration **caused by plant protection products** of surface and groundwater status as well as coastal and marine waters and allow achievement of good surface and groundwater status, to protect the aquatic environment and drinking water supplies from the impact of plant protection products **with the aim** to achieve, at least, the objectives set out in Directives 2000/60/EC, 2006/118/EC, 2008/105/EC, 2008/56/EC and (EU) 2020/2184.

Or. en

Amendment 2222

Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan, Günther Sidl, Delara Burkhardt

Proposal for a regulation

Article 19 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

3. By ... [OP: please insert the date of application of this Regulation], Member States shall have in place appropriate measures to avoid deterioration of surface and groundwater status as well as coastal and marine waters and allow achievement of good surface and groundwater status, to protect the aquatic environment and drinking water supplies from the impact of plant protection products to achieve, at least, the objectives set out in Directives 2000/60/EC, 2006/118/EC, 2008/105/EC, 2008/56/EC and (EU) 2020/2184.

Amendment

3. By ... [OP: please insert the date of application of this Regulation], Member States shall have in place appropriate measures to avoid deterioration of surface and groundwater status as well as coastal and marine waters and allow achievement of good surface and groundwater status, to protect the aquatic environment and drinking water supplies from the impact of plant protection products **and their relevant and non-relevant metabolites** to achieve, at least, the objectives set out in Directives 2000/60/EC, 2006/118/EC, 2008/105/EC, 2008/56/EC and (EU) 2020/2184.

Or. en

Amendment 2223

Martin Hojsík, Michal Wiek, María Soraya Rodríguez Ramos, Róza Thun und Hohenstein, Frédérique Ries

Proposal for a regulation

Article 19 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

3. By ... [OP: please insert the date of application of this Regulation], Member States shall have in place appropriate measures to avoid deterioration of surface and groundwater status as well as coastal and marine waters and allow achievement of good surface and groundwater status, to protect the aquatic environment and drinking water supplies from the impact of plant protection products **to achieve**, at least, the objectives set out in Directives 2000/60/EC, 2006/118/EC, 2008/105/EC, 2008/56/EC and (EU) 2020/2184.

Amendment

3. By ... [OP: please insert the date of application of this Regulation], Member States shall have in place appropriate measures to avoid deterioration of surface and groundwater status as well as coastal and marine waters and allow achievement of good surface and groundwater status, to protect the aquatic environment and drinking water supplies from the impact of plant protection products **and their metabolites and chemical mixtures**, at least, the objectives set out in Directives 2000/60/EC, 2006/118/EC, 2008/105/EC, 2008/56/EC and (EU) 2020/2184.

Or. en

Amendment 2224

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation

Article 19 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

3. By ... [OP: please insert the date of application of this Regulation], Member States shall have in place appropriate measures to avoid deterioration of surface and groundwater status as well as coastal and marine waters and allow achievement of good surface and groundwater status, to protect the aquatic environment and drinking water supplies from the impact of plant protection products to achieve, at least, the objectives set out in Directives

Amendment

3. By ... [OP: please insert the date of application of this Regulation], Member States shall have in place **and enforce** appropriate **and legally binding** measures to avoid deterioration of surface and groundwater status as well as coastal and marine waters and allow achievement of good surface and groundwater status, to protect the aquatic environment and drinking water supplies from the impact of plant protection products to achieve, at

2000/60/EC, 2006/118/EC, 2008/105/EC, 2008/56/EC and (EU) 2020/2184.

least, the objectives set out in Directives 2000/60/EC, 2006/118/EC, 2008/105/EC, 2008/56/EC and (EU) 2020/2184.

Or. en

Amendment 2225

Martin Hojsík, Michal Wiezik, María Soraya Rodríguez Ramos, Róza Thun und Hohenstein, Frédérique Ries

Proposal for a regulation

Article 19 – paragraph 3 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

3 a. Commission and Member States shall jointly assess the implementation of this article by [..18 months after the date of entry into force of this Regulation] with a specific focus on cases where more flexible buffer zone modalities were chosen by competent authorities and subsequent environmental monitoring was triggered. If considered necessary for the protection of drinking water and aquatic environment, , the Commission shall be empowered to amend or supplement in accordance with Article 40 paragraphs 3, 6 and 8 of this article.

Or. en

Amendment 2226

Esther de Lange

Proposal for a regulation

Article 19 – paragraph 3 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

3 a. The Commission shall take into account the different characteristics of Member States and shall therefore assess the possibility of a derogation in line with the general goals set out in this

Regulation to provide these Member States flexibility.

Or. en

Amendment 2227

Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan, Günther Sidl

Proposal for a regulation

Article 19 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article 19 a

Extended Producer Responsibility

1. Member States shall ensure that extended producer responsibility schemes are established for all plant protection products including their metabolites, in accordance with Articles 8 and 8a of Directive 2008/98/EC.

2. Member States shall ensure that the producers of plant protection products cover the costs pursuant to the extended producer responsibility provisions in Directive 2008/98/EC and, insofar as not already included, cover the following costs:

(a) the investment and operational costs incurred by drinking water suppliers to treat raw drinking water to ensure compliance with the parametric values for plant protection products and their metabolites defined in Annex 1, Part B of Directive 2020/2184;

(b) the investment costs incurred by drinking water suppliers for other measures to ensure compliance with the parametric values for plant protection products and their metabolites defined in Annex 1, Part B of Directive 2020/2184;

3. The costs to be covered referred to in paragraph 2 shall not exceed the costs that are necessary to provide the services

referred to therein in a cost-efficient way and shall be established in a transparent way between the actors concerned.

4. Member States shall define in a clear way the roles and responsibilities of all relevant actors involved.

5. Each Member State shall allow the producers established in another Member State and placing products on its market to appoint a legal or natural person established on its territory as an authorised representative for the purposes of fulfilling the obligations of a producer related to extended producer responsibility schemes on its territory according to the provisions of this Article.

Or. en

Amendment 2228

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation

Article 19 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article 19 a

Measures to protect rural residents and bystanders

1. The use of chemical pesticides is prohibited within 50 metres, and use of the most hazardous pesticides is prohibited within 100 meters, of all publically accessible roads, bicycle path and foot paths. This 50 or 100 metre buffer zone shall not be reduced by using alternative risk-mitigation techniques.

2. The use of chemical pesticides is prohibited within 50 metres, and use of the most hazardous pesticides is prohibited within 100 meters, of all privately owned or publically accessible plots of land, including gardens. This 50 or 100 metre buffer zone shall not be

reduced by using alternative risk-mitigation techniques.

3. Prior to the use of chemical pesticides on an field or orchard which is adjacent to one or more privately owned plots of land, the professional user shall inform the neighbouring residents of their intention to use chemical pesticides. This prior notification shall be done in a mutually agreed manner, which may consist of e-mail, text message or telephone call or any other suitable way. The prior notification shall include the timeslot in the pesticide will most likely be applied, the amount and name of the pesticide which will be used, as well as its associated risks for human and animal health, and any recommendations for the neighbours to limit their exposure.

Or. en

Amendment 2229
Maria Arena

Proposal for a regulation
Article 20 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Aerial application is prohibited.

Amendment

1. Aerial application is prohibited.
This prohibition includes aerial application by certain categories of unmanned aircraft.

Or. en

Amendment 2230
Michèle Rivasi

Proposal for a regulation
Article 20 – paragraph 2

2. By way of derogation from paragraph 1, a competent authority designated by a Member State may permit aerial application by a professional user in any of the following situations: **deleted**

(a) there is no technically feasible alternative application method to the aerial application due to inaccessible terrain;

(b) the aerial application has a less negative impact on human health and the environment than any alternative application method either because the aerial application equipment can be deployed on the relevant terrain in a faster timescale than land-based equipment and avoids a situation where the number of plant pests increases due to the longer time period required for land-based deployment or because it minimizes soil erosion when adverse weather conditions make the land unsuitable for land vehicles, and all of the following conditions are met:

(i) the application equipment installed on the aircraft is registered in the electronic register of application equipment in professional use referred to in Article 33(1);

(ii) the aircraft is equipped with accessories that constitute the best available technology to accurately apply the plant protection products and to reduce spray drift;

(iii) the plant protection product is authorised for use via aerial application under Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009.

Or. en

Amendment 2231

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation
Article 20 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

2. By way of derogation from paragraph 1, a competent authority designated by a Member State may permit aerial application by a professional user in any of the following situations: **deleted**

(a) there is no technically feasible alternative application method to the aerial application due to inaccessible terrain;

(b) the aerial application has a less negative impact on human health and the environment than any alternative application method either because the aerial application equipment can be deployed on the relevant terrain in a faster timescale than land-based equipment and avoids a situation where the number of plant pests increases due to the longer time period required for land-based deployment or because it minimizes soil erosion when adverse weather conditions make the land unsuitable for land vehicles, and all of the following conditions are met:

(i) the application equipment installed on the aircraft is registered in the electronic register of application equipment in professional use referred to in Article 33(1);

(ii) the aircraft is equipped with accessories that constitute the best available technology to accurately apply the plant protection products and to reduce spray drift;

(iii) the plant protection product is authorised for use via aerial application under Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009.

Or. en

Amendment 2232

Martin Hojsík, Michal Wiezik, María Soraya Rodríguez Ramos, Frédérique Ries

Proposal for a regulation

Article 20 – paragraph 2 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

2. By way of derogation from paragraph 1, a competent authority designated by a Member State may permit aerial application by a professional user in any of the following situations:

Amendment

2. By way of derogation from paragraph 1, a competent authority designated by a Member State may permit aerial application by a professional user **for a biological control** in any of the following situations:

Or. en

Justification

Aerial application should be allowed for using biological control, in case aerial application is safer and easier for the biocontrol performance and species.

Amendment 2233

Sylvia Limmer

Proposal for a regulation

Article 20 – paragraph 2 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

a) **Aufgrund des unzugänglichen Geländes gibt es keine technisch machbare Anwendungsmethode als Alternative zur Anwendung mit Luftfahrzeugen;**

Amendment

a) **Es gibt keine praktikable Alternative zur Ausbringung aus der Luft;**

Or. de

Amendment 2234

Martin Hojsík, Michal Wiezik, María Soraya Rodríguez Ramos, Frédérique Ries

Proposal for a regulation

Article 20 – paragraph 2 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) there is no technically feasible alternative application method to the aerial application due to inaccessible terrain;

Amendment

(a) there is no **better** technically feasible alternative application method to the aerial application due to inaccessible terrain;

Or. en

Amendment 2235

Sylvia Limmer

Proposal for a regulation

Article 20 – paragraph 2 – point b – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

b) die Anwendung mit Luftfahrzeugen wirkt sich weniger negativ auf die menschliche Gesundheit **und** die Umwelt aus als eine alternative Anwendungsmethode, **entweder** weil die entsprechenden Luftfahrzeuge auf dem betreffenden Gelände in einem kürzeren zeitlichen Rahmen eingesetzt werden können als Bodengeräte und verhindern, dass die Zahl der Pflanzenschädlinge aufgrund des längeren Zeitraums, der für den Einsatz von Bodengeräten erforderlich ist, zunimmt, oder weil sie die Bodenerosion minimiert, wenn ungünstige Witterungsbedingungen das Gelände für Landfahrzeuge ungeeignet machen, und alle nachstehenden Bedingungen sind erfüllt;

Amendment

b) die Anwendung mit Luftfahrzeugen wirkt sich weniger negativ auf die menschliche Gesundheit, die Umwelt **und den Ertrag der Kultur** aus als eine alternative Anwendungsmethode, **beispielsweise, aber nicht nur** weil die entsprechenden Luftfahrzeuge auf dem betreffenden Gelände in einem kürzeren zeitlichen Rahmen eingesetzt werden können als Bodengeräte und verhindern, dass die Zahl der Pflanzenschädlinge aufgrund des längeren Zeitraums, der für den Einsatz von Bodengeräten erforderlich ist, zunimmt, oder weil sie die Bodenerosion minimiert, wenn ungünstige Witterungsbedingungen das Gelände für Landfahrzeuge ungeeignet machen, und alle nachstehenden Bedingungen sind erfüllt;

Or. de

Amendment 2236

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjård

Proposal for a regulation

Article 20 – paragraph 2 – point b – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) the aerial application has a less negative impact on human health and the environment than any alternative application method either because the aerial application equipment can be deployed on the relevant terrain in a faster timescale than land-based equipment and avoids a situation where the number of **plant pests** increases due to the longer time period required for land-based deployment or because it minimizes **soil erosion** when adverse weather conditions make the land unsuitable for land vehicles, and all of the following conditions are met:

Amendment

(b) the aerial application has a less negative impact on human health and the environment than any alternative application method either because the aerial application equipment can be deployed on the relevant terrain in a faster timescale than land-based equipment and avoids a situation where the number of **pathogens** increases due to the longer time period required for land-based deployment or because it minimizes **damages to the soil structure** when adverse weather conditions make the land unsuitable for land vehicles, and all of the following conditions are met:

Or. en

Amendment 2237

Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław Kalinowski, Joanna Kopcińska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

Proposal for a regulation

Article 20 – paragraph 2 – point b – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) the aerial application has a less negative impact on human health and the environment than any alternative application method either because the aerial application equipment can be deployed on the relevant terrain in a faster timescale than land-based equipment and avoids a situation where the number of plant pests increases due to the longer time period required for land-based deployment or because it minimizes soil erosion when adverse weather conditions make the land unsuitable for land vehicles, and all of the following conditions are met:

Amendment

(b) the aerial application has a less negative impact on human health and the environment than any alternative application method either because the aerial application equipment can be deployed on the relevant terrain in a faster timescale than land-based equipment and avoids a situation where the number of plant pests increases due to the longer time period required for land-based deployment or because it minimizes soil erosion when adverse weather conditions make the land unsuitable for land vehicles, and all of the following conditions are met **or**

Amendment 2238

Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław Kalinowski, Joanna Kopcińska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

Proposal for a regulation

Article 20 – paragraph 2 – point b – point i

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(i) the application equipment installed on the aircraft is registered in the electronic register of application equipment in professional use referred to in Article 33(1); **deleted**

Amendment 2239

Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław Kalinowski, Joanna Kopcińska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

Proposal for a regulation

Article 20 – paragraph 2 – point b – point ii

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(ii) the aircraft is equipped with accessories that constitute the best available technology to accurately apply the plant protection products and to reduce spray drift; **deleted**

Amendment 2240

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjård

Proposal for a regulation

Article 20 – paragraph 2 – point b – point ii

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(ii) the aircraft is equipped with accessories that ***constitute the best available technology to*** accurately ***apply*** the plant protection products and ***to reduce*** spray drift;

(ii) the aircraft is equipped with accessories that accurately ***applies*** the plant protection products and ***reduces*** spray drift;

Or. en

Amendment 2241

Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław Kalinowski, Joanna Kopcińska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

Proposal for a regulation

Article 20 – paragraph 2 – point b – point iii

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(iii) ***the plant protection product is authorised for use via aerial application under Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009.***

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 2242

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjärd

Proposal for a regulation

Article 20 – paragraph 2 – point b – point iii

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(iii) ***the plant protection product is authorised for use via aerial application under Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009.***

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 2243

Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław

Kalinowski, Joanna Kopcińska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

Proposal for a regulation

Article 20 – paragraph 2 – point b a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b a) the aerial application is to be carried out for the purpose of forest stands protection.

Or. en

Amendment 2244

Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław Kalinowski, Joanna Kopcińska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

Proposal for a regulation

Article 20 – paragraph 2 – point b b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b b) The competent authority may grant above mentioned permit if the following conditions are met:

(i) the application equipment installed on the aircraft is registered in the electronic register of application equipment in professional use referred to in Article 33(1);

(ii) the aircraft is equipped with accessories that constitute the best available technology to accurately apply the plant protection products and to reduce spray drift;

(iii) the plant protection product is authorised for use via aerial application under Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009.

Member States may adopt national law specifying the best available technology referred to in second sentence point (ii). Member States may adopt national law specifying additional specific conditions under which aerial spraying may be carried out.

Amendment 2245

Michèle Rivasi

Proposal for a regulation

Article 20 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

3. An application by a professional user for a permit for aerial application shall include the information necessary to demonstrate that the conditions set out in paragraph 2 are met. **deleted**

Or. en

Amendment 2246

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation

Article 20 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

3. An application by a professional user for a permit for aerial application shall include the information necessary to demonstrate that the conditions set out in paragraph 2 are met. **deleted**

Or. en

Amendment 2247

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation

Article 20 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

4. Where a permit for aerial **deleted**

application is granted, before the first possible date of aerial application, the competent authority referred to in paragraph 2 shall make public the following information:

- (a) the location and surface area of the aerial application indicated on a map;*
- (b) the validity period of the permit for aerial application, which shall be for a limited period with a precisely defined start and end date that is the shortest possible and shall not exceed 60 days;*
- (c) the relevant weather conditions allowing a safe application;*
- (d) the name of the plant protection product or products;*
- (e) the application equipment to be used and the risk mitigation measures to be taken.*

Or. en

Amendment 2248
Michèle Rivasi

Proposal for a regulation
Article 20 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

4. *Where a permit for aerial application is granted, before the first possible date of aerial application, the competent authority referred to in paragraph 2 shall make public the following information:* ***deleted***

- (a) the location and surface area of the aerial application indicated on a map;*
- (b) the validity period of the permit for aerial application, which shall be for a limited period with a precisely defined start and end date that is the shortest possible and shall not exceed 60 days;*

- (c) *the relevant weather conditions allowing a safe application;*
- (d) *the name of the plant protection product or products;*
- (e) *the application equipment to be used and the risk mitigation measures to be taken.*

Or. en

Amendment 2249
Sylvia Limmer

Proposal for a regulation
Article 20 – paragraph 4 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

b) die Gültigkeitsdauer der Genehmigung für die Anwendung mit Luftfahrzeugen, die für einen begrenzten Zeitraum gilt, der ein genau festgelegtes Anfangs- und Enddatum hat und möglichst kurz, **jedoch nicht jedoch länger als 60** Tage ist;

Amendment

b) die Gültigkeitsdauer der Genehmigung für die Anwendung mit Luftfahrzeugen, die für einen begrenzten Zeitraum gilt, der ein genau festgelegtes Anfangs- und Enddatum hat und möglichst kurz, **beispielsweise 60 Tage, allerdings im Falle einer Notwendigkeit verlängerbar** ist;

Or. de

Amendment 2250
Christophe Hansen

Proposal for a regulation
Article 20 – paragraph 4 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) the validity period of the permit for aerial application, which shall be for a limited period with a precisely defined start and end date that is the shortest possible and shall not exceed **60 days**;

Amendment

(b) the validity period of the permit for aerial application, which shall be for a limited period with a precisely defined start and end date that is the shortest possible and shall not exceed **the growing season**;

Or. en

Amendment 2251

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjärd

Proposal for a regulation

Article 20 – paragraph 4 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

**(c) the relevant weather conditions
allowing a safe application;**

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 2252

Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław Kalinowski, Joanna Kopcińska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

Proposal for a regulation

Article 20 – paragraph 4 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

**(c) the relevant weather conditions
allowing a safe application;**

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 2253

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjärd

Proposal for a regulation

Article 20 – paragraph 4 – point d

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

**(d) the name of the plant protection
product or products;**

**(d) the name of the plant protection
product or products *or the active
ingredient;***

Amendment 2254

Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław Kalinowski, Joanna Kopcińska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

Proposal for a regulation

Article 20 – paragraph 4 – point e

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(e) the application equipment to be used and the risk mitigation measures to be taken. **deleted**

Amendment 2255

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjård

Proposal for a regulation

Article 20 – paragraph 4 – point e

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(e) the application equipment to be used and the risk mitigation measures to be taken. **deleted**

Amendment 2256

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjård

Proposal for a regulation

Article 20 – paragraph 5

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

5. A professional user that has been **deleted**

granted a permit for aerial application shall at least 2 days before the date of each specific aerial application display notices to that effect on the perimeter of the area to be treated.

Or. en

Amendment 2257

Michèle Rivasi

Proposal for a regulation

Article 20 – paragraph 5

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

5. A professional user that has been granted a permit for aerial application shall at least 2 days before the date of each specific aerial application display notices to that effect on the perimeter of the area to be treated. **deleted**

Or. en

Amendment 2258

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation

Article 20 – paragraph 5

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

5. A professional user that has been granted a permit for aerial application shall at least 2 days before the date of each specific aerial application display notices to that effect on the perimeter of the area to be treated. **deleted**

Or. en

Amendment 2259
Sylvia Limmer

Proposal for a regulation
Article 20 – paragraph 5

Text proposed by the Commission

(5) Ein beruflicher Verwender, dem eine Genehmigung für die Anwendung mit Luftfahrzeugen erteilt wurde, bringt mindestens zwei Tage vor dem Datum jeder spezifischen Anwendung mit Luftfahrzeugen entsprechende Hinweise an den Grenzen der zu behandelnden Fläche entlang an.

Amendment

(5) Ein beruflicher Verwender, dem eine Genehmigung für die Anwendung mit Luftfahrzeugen erteilt wurde, bringt mindestens zwei Tage vor dem Datum jeder spezifischen Anwendung mit Luftfahrzeugen entsprechende Hinweise an den Grenzen der zu behandelnden Fläche entlang an. ***Alternativ, und wenn dies sachdienlicher ist, kann der gewerbliche Nutzer auch die lokalen Behörden informieren, die für die Verbreitung der Informationen an die betreffenden Gemeinschaften zuständig sind, und zwar mit allen geeigneten Mitteln.***

Or. de

Amendment 2260
Sylvia Limmer

Proposal for a regulation
Article 20 – paragraph 5 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(5 a) Piloten, die für die Ausbringung von Pflanzenschutzmitteln aus der Luft zuständig sind, gelten als "berufliche Verwender" im Sinne von Artikel 3.

Or. de

Amendment 2261
Michèle Rivasi

Proposal for a regulation
Article 21

Article 21

deleted

Use of plant protection products in aerial application by certain categories of unmanned aircraft

- 1. Where certain categories of unmanned aircraft fulfil the criteria set out in paragraph 2, a Member State may exempt aerial application by such unmanned aircraft from the prohibition laid down in Article 20(1) prior to any aerial application of plant protection products.***
- 2. An aerial application by an unmanned aircraft may be exempted by the Member State from the prohibition laid down in Article 20(1) where factors related to the use of the unmanned aircraft demonstrate that the risks from its use are lower than the risks arising from other aerial equipment and land-based application equipment. These factors shall include criteria relating to:***
 - (a) the technical specifications of the unmanned aircraft, including in relation to spray drift, number and size of rotors, payload, boom width and overall weight, operating height and speed;***
 - (b) the weather conditions, including wind speed;***
 - (c) the area to be sprayed, including its topography;***
 - (d) the availability of plant protection products authorized for use as ultra-low volume formulations in the relevant Member State;***
 - (e) potential use of unmanned aircraft in conjunction with real time kinematic precision farming in certain cases;***
 - (f) the level of training required for pilots operating an unmanned aircraft;***
 - (g) potential concurrent use of***

multiple unmanned aircraft in the same area.

3. The Commission is empowered to adopt delegated acts in accordance with Article 40 supplementing this Regulation to specify precise criteria in relation to the factors set out in paragraph 2 once technical progress and scientific developments allow for the development of such precise criteria.

Or. en

Amendment 2262

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Mick Wallace

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 21**

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article 21

deleted

Use of plant protection products in aerial application by certain categories of unmanned aircraft

1. Where certain categories of unmanned aircraft fulfil the criteria set out in paragraph 2, a Member State may exempt aerial application by such unmanned aircraft from the prohibition laid down in Article 20(1) prior to any aerial application of plant protection products.

2. An aerial application by an unmanned aircraft may be exempted by the Member State from the prohibition laid down in Article 20(1) where factors related to the use of the unmanned aircraft demonstrate that the risks from its use are lower than the risks arising from other aerial equipment and land-based application equipment. These factors shall include criteria relating to:

(a) the technical specifications of the unmanned aircraft, including in relation

to spray drift, number and size of rotors, payload, boom width and overall weight, operating height and speed;

(b) the weather conditions, including wind speed;

(c) the area to be sprayed, including its topography;

(d) the availability of plant protection products authorized for use as ultra-low volume formulations in the relevant Member State;

(e) potential use of unmanned aircraft in conjunction with real time kinematic precision farming in certain cases;

(f) the level of training required for pilots operating an unmanned aircraft;

(g) potential concurrent use of multiple unmanned aircraft in the same area.

3. The Commission is empowered to adopt delegated acts in accordance with Article 40 supplementing this Regulation to specify precise criteria in relation to the factors set out in paragraph 2 once technical progress and scientific developments allow for the development of such precise criteria.

Or. en

Amendment 2263
Maria Arena

Proposal for a regulation
Article 21

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article 21

deleted

Use of plant protection products in aerial application by certain categories of unmanned aircraft

- 1. Where certain categories of unmanned aircraft fulfil the criteria set out in paragraph 2, a Member State may exempt aerial application by such unmanned aircraft from the prohibition laid down in Article 20(1) prior to any aerial application of plant protection products.**
- 2. An aerial application by an unmanned aircraft may be exempted by the Member State from the prohibition laid down in Article 20(1) where factors related to the use of the unmanned aircraft demonstrate that the risks from its use are lower than the risks arising from other aerial equipment and land-based application equipment. These factors shall include criteria relating to:**
 - (a) the technical specifications of the unmanned aircraft, including in relation to spray drift, number and size of rotors, payload, boom width and overall weight, operating height and speed;**
 - (b) the weather conditions, including wind speed;**
 - (c) the area to be sprayed, including its topography;**
 - (d) the availability of plant protection products authorized for use as ultra-low volume formulations in the relevant Member State;**
 - (e) potential use of unmanned aircraft in conjunction with real time kinematic precision farming in certain cases;**
 - (f) the level of training required for pilots operating an unmanned aircraft;**
 - (g) potential concurrent use of multiple unmanned aircraft in the same area.**
- 3. The Commission is empowered to adopt delegated acts in accordance with Article 40 supplementing this Regulation to specify precise criteria in relation to the factors set out in paragraph 2 once technical progress and scientific**

developments allow for the development of such precise criteria.

Or. en

Amendment 2264
Alessandra Moretti

Proposal for a regulation
Article 21 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

1. Qualora determinate categorie di aeromobili senza equipaggio soddisfino i criteri di cui al paragrafo 2, uno Stato membro può esonerare le applicazioni aeree effettuate da tali aeromobili senza equipaggio dal divieto di cui all'articolo 20, paragrafo 1 prima di ogni applicazione aerea di prodotti fitosanitari.

soppresso

Or. it

Amendment 2265
Silvia Sardone

Proposal for a regulation
Article 21 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

1. Qualora determinate categorie di aeromobili senza equipaggio soddisfino i criteri di cui al paragrafo 2, uno Stato membro può esonerare le applicazioni aeree effettuate da tali aeromobili senza equipaggio dal divieto di cui all'articolo 20, paragrafo 1 prima di ogni applicazione aerea di prodotti fitosanitari.

1. L'applicazione aerea da parte di aeromobili senza equipaggio *non è vietata per l'applicazione mirata* di prodotti fitosanitari.

Or. it

Amendment 2266

Christine Schneider, Ralf Seekatz, Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Sven Simon, Angelika Niebler, Monika Hohlmeier, Christian Doleschal, Franc Bogovič, Marlene Mortler, Daniel Caspary, Rainer Wieland, Sabine Verheyen, Alexander Bernhuber

Proposal for a regulation

Article 21 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. **Where certain categories of unmanned aircraft fulfil the criteria set out in paragraph 2, a Member State may exempt** aerial application by **such** unmanned aircraft **from the prohibition laid down in Article 20(1) prior to any aerial** application of plant protection products.

Amendment

1. Aerial application by unmanned aircraft **will not be prohibited for targeted** application of plant protection products.

Or. en

Justification

The drone is currently seen by official advisors and researchers merely as a supplement to the large helicopter, but not as a complete alternative solution (since drones currently have nowhere near the surface impact power of the large helicopter). The status quo must be maintained - without helicopter application, numerous (ecologically valuable) steep and terraced vineyards will otherwise be abandoned.

Amendment 2267

Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław Kalinowski, Joanna Kopcińska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

Proposal for a regulation

Article 21 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Where certain categories of unmanned aircraft fulfil the criteria set out in paragraph 2, **a Member State** may exempt aerial application by such unmanned aircraft from the prohibition laid down in Article 20(1) prior to any aerial application of plant protection products.

Amendment

1. **1. By way of derogation from Article 20(1)** where certain categories of unmanned aircraft fulfil the criteria set out in paragraph 2, **the Commission** may exempt aerial application by such unmanned aircraft from the prohibition laid down in Article 20(1) prior to any aerial application of plant protection products.
Provisions of Article 20 paragraph 2 to 5

do not apply to such unmanned aircraft.

Or. en

Justification

Such exemptions should be harmonised at EU level. Also, it should be clear that provisions of Article 20(2)-(5) of the proposal do not apply to unmanned aircraft referred to in art. 21.

Amendment 2268

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjärd

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 21 – paragraph 1**

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Where certain categories of unmanned aircraft fulfil the criteria set out in paragraph 2, ***a Member State may exempt aerial application by such unmanned aircraft*** from the prohibition ***laid down*** in Article 20(1) ***prior to any aerial application of plant protection products.***

Amendment

1. Where certain categories of unmanned aircraft fulfil the criteria set out in paragraph 2, ***aircrafts pursuant to Article 2 shall be exempted*** from the prohibition in Article 20(1).

Or. en

Amendment 2269

Silvia Sardone

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 21 – paragraph 2**

Text proposed by the Commission

2. ***Lo Stato membro può esonerare l'applicazione aerea effettuata da un aeromobile senza equipaggio dal divieto di cui all'articolo 20, paragrafo 1, se i fattori legati all'uso di tale aeromobile senza equipaggio dimostrano che i rischi derivanti dal suo impiego sono inferiori ai rischi derivanti da altre attrezzature aeree***

Amendment

soppresso

e dalle attrezzature per l'applicazione da terra. Tali fattori includono criteri che riguardano:

- a) le specifiche tecniche dell'aeromobile senza equipaggio, anche in relazione alla deriva dei prodotti irrorati, al numero e alle dimensioni dei rotori, al carico utile, alla larghezza della barra e al peso totale, alla velocità e all'altezza operativa;*
- b) le condizioni meteorologiche, compresa la velocità del vento;*
- c) l'area da irrorare, inclusa la sua topografia;*
- d) la disponibilità di prodotti fitosanitari autorizzati all'uso come formulati a volume ultra basso nello Stato membro interessato;*
- e) il potenziale impiego, in taluni casi, di aeromobili senza equipaggio in combinazione con l'agricoltura di precisione cinematica in tempo reale;*
- f) il livello di formazione richiesto ai piloti degli aeromobili senza equipaggio;*
- g) il potenziale uso simultaneo di più aeromobili senza equipaggio nella stessa area.*

Or. it

Amendment 2270

Christine Schneider, Ralf Seekatz, Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Sven Simon, Angelika Niebler, Monika Hohlmeier, Christian Doleschal, Franc Bogovič, Marlene Mortler, Daniel Caspary, Rainer Wieland, Sabine Verheyen, Alexander Bernhuber

Proposal for a regulation Article 21 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

2. An aerial application by an unmanned aircraft may be exempted by the Member State from the prohibition laid down in Article 20(1) where factors **deleted**

related to the use of the unmanned aircraft demonstrate that the risks from its use are lower than the risks arising from other aerial equipment and land-based application equipment. These factors shall include criteria relating to:

- (a) the technical specifications of the unmanned aircraft, including in relation to spray drift, number and size of rotors, payload, boom width and overall weight, operating height and speed;*
- (b) the weather conditions, including wind speed;*
- (c) the area to be sprayed, including its topography;*
- (d) the availability of plant protection products authorized for use as ultra-low volume formulations in the relevant Member State;*
- (e) potential use of unmanned aircraft in conjunction with real time kinematic precision farming in certain cases;*
- (f) the level of training required for pilots operating an unmanned aircraft;*
- (g) potential concurrent use of multiple unmanned aircraft in the same area.*

Or. en

Justification

The drone is currently seen by official advisors and researchers merely as a supplement to the large helicopter, but not as a complete alternative solution (since drones currently have nowhere near the surface impact power of the large helicopter). The status quo must be maintained - without helicopter application, numerous (ecologically valuable) steep and terraced vineyards will otherwise be abandoned.

Amendment 2271

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjärd

Proposal for a regulation

Article 21 – paragraph 2 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

2. ***An aerial application by an unmanned aircraft may be exempted by the Member State from the prohibition laid down in Article 20(1) where factors related to the use of the unmanned aircraft demonstrate that the risks from its use are lower than the risks arising from other aerial equipment and land-based application equipment. These factors shall include criteria relating to:***

2. ***Member states may create a register listing makes of unmanned aerial vehicles available for the application of plant protection products up to 6 months after the entry into force of this regulation. Member States can use the following criteria for the register:***

Or. en

Amendment 2272

Sylvia Limmer

Proposal for a regulation

Article 21 – paragraph 2 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(2) Ein Mitgliedstaat kann eine Anwendung mit unbemannten Luftfahrzeugen von dem Verbot nach Artikel 20 Absatz 1 ausnehmen, wenn aufgrund von Faktoren im Zusammenhang mit dem Einsatz des unbemannten Luftfahrzeugs nachgewiesen ist, dass ***die mit seiner Verwendung verbundenen Risiken niedriger sind als die Risiken, die mit anderen Geräten in Luftfahrzeugen und mit Bodengeräten verbunden sind.*** Zu diesen Faktoren gehören Kriterien, die Folgendes betreffen:

(2) Ein Mitgliedstaat kann eine Anwendung mit unbemannten Luftfahrzeugen von dem Verbot nach Artikel 20 Absatz 1 ausnehmen, wenn aufgrund von Faktoren im Zusammenhang mit dem Einsatz des unbemannten Luftfahrzeugs nachgewiesen ist, dass ***das Gleichgewicht von Effizienz, Kosten und Risiken für eine solche Anwendung spricht.*** Zu diesen Faktoren gehören Kriterien, die Folgendes betreffen:

Or. de

Amendment 2273

Martin Hojsik, Michal Wiezik, María Soraya Rodríguez Ramos, Frédérique Ries

Proposal for a regulation

Article 21 – paragraph 2 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

2. An aerial application by an unmanned aircraft may be exempted by the Member State from the prohibition laid down in Article 20(1) where factors related to the use of the unmanned aircraft demonstrate that the risks from its use are lower than the risks arising from other aerial equipment and land-based application equipment. These factors shall include criteria relating to:

Amendment

2. An aerial application by an unmanned aircraft may be exempted by the Member State from the prohibition laid down in Article 20(1) **for the use of biological control** where factors related to the use of the unmanned aircraft demonstrate that the risks from its use are lower than the risks arising from other aerial equipment and land-based application equipment. These factors shall include criteria relating to:

Or. en

Amendment 2274
Alessandra Moretti

Proposal for a regulation
Article 21 – paragraph 2 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

2. ***Lo Stato membro può esonerare l'applicazione aerea effettuata da un aeromobile senza equipaggio dal divieto di cui all'articolo 20, paragrafo 1, se i fattori legati all'uso di tale aeromobile senza equipaggio dimostrano che i rischi derivanti dal suo impiego sono inferiori ai rischi derivanti da altre attrezzature aeree e dalle attrezzature per l'applicazione da terra. Tali fattori includono criteri che riguardano:***

Amendment

2. L'applicazione aerea **di prodotti fitosanitari da parte di determinate categorie di aeromobili** senza equipaggio **dovrà essere consentita sulla base di specifici requisiti definiti da atti delegati che la Commissione europea dovrà adottare entro 12 mesi dall'entrata in vigore del presente regolamento. Nel fissare questi requisiti la Commissione europea dovrà in particolare tenere in considerazione i seguenti** criteri :

Or. it

Amendment 2275
Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław Kalinowski, Joanna Kopcińska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

Proposal for a regulation
Article 21 – paragraph 2 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

2. An aerial application by an unmanned aircraft may be exempted by the Member State from the prohibition laid down in Article 20(1) where factors related to the use of the unmanned aircraft **demonstrate that the** risks from its use **are** lower than **the risks arising from other aerial equipment and** land-based application equipment. These factors shall include criteria relating to:

Amendment

2. An aerial application by an unmanned aircraft may be exempted by the Member State from the prohibition laid down in Article 20(1) where factors related to the use of the unmanned aircraft **are sufficient to keep** risks from its use **at the level equal or** lower than **posed by** land-based application equipment. These factors shall include criteria relating to:

Or. en

Amendment 2276

Sylvia Limmer

Proposal for a regulation

Article 21 – paragraph 2 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

a) die technischen Spezifikationen des unbemannten Luftfahrzeugs, auch hinsichtlich Sprühnebelabdrift, Anzahl und Größe der Rotoren, Nutzlast, Breite des Spritzgestänges und Gesamtgewicht, Betriebshöhe und -geschwindigkeit;

Amendment

a) die technischen Spezifikationen des unbemannten Luftfahrzeugs, auch hinsichtlich Sprühnebelabdrift, Anzahl und Größe der Rotoren, Nutzlast, Breite des Spritzgestänges und Gesamtgewicht, Betriebshöhe und -geschwindigkeit, **nter Berücksichtigung eines Mindestgewichts von 25 Kilogramm beim Start und der obligatorischen Verwendung von Antidriftdüsen;**

Or. de

Amendment 2277

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjärd

Proposal for a regulation

Article 21 – paragraph 2 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) the weather conditions, including wind speed;

(b) the weather conditions, including wind speed ***in which the unmanned aerial vehicle can be operated;***

Or. en

Amendment 2278

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjärd

Proposal for a regulation

Article 21 – paragraph 2 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(c) the area to be sprayed, including its topography;

(c) the area ***and crop*** to be sprayed, including its topography ***in which the unmanned aerial vehicle can be operated;***

Or. en

Amendment 2279

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjärd

Proposal for a regulation

Article 21 – paragraph 2 – point d

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(d) ***the availability of plant protection products authorized for use as ultra-low volume formulations in the relevant Member State;***

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 2280

Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław Kalinowski, Joanna Kopcińska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

Proposal for a regulation
Article 21 – paragraph 2 – point d

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(d) ***the availability of plant protection products authorized for use as ultra-low volume formulations in the relevant Member State;*** ***deleted***

Or. en

Amendment 2281
Ulrike Müller, Emma Wiesner, Andreas Glück

Proposal for a regulation
Article 21 – paragraph 2 – point e

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(e) potential use of unmanned aircraft in conjunction with real time kinematic precision farming ***in certain cases;*** (e) potential use of unmanned aircraft in conjunction with real time kinematic precision farming ***and associated volume saving potentials;***

Or. en

Justification

Targeted application of plant protection products by drones has a huge potential to contribute to the reduction of volume of plant protection products required to tackle pests and therefore should be particularly considered.

Amendment 2282
Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjård

Proposal for a regulation
Article 21 – paragraph 2 – point e

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(e) potential use of unmanned aircraft in conjunction with real time kinematic (e) potential use of unmanned aircraft in conjunction with real time kinematic

precision farming *in certain cases*;

precision farming;

Or. en

Amendment 2283

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjärd

Proposal for a regulation

Article 21 – paragraph 2 – point f

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(f) the level of training required for pilots operating an unmanned aircraft; **deleted**

Or. en

Amendment 2284

Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław Kalinowski, Joanna Kopcińska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

Proposal for a regulation

Article 21 – paragraph 2 – point f

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(f) the level of training required for pilots operating an unmanned aircraft; **deleted**

Or. en

Amendment 2285

Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław Kalinowski, Joanna Kopcińska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

Proposal for a regulation

Article 21 – paragraph 2 – point g

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(g) potential concurrent use of **deleted**

multiple unmanned aircraft in the same area.

Or. en

Amendment 2286

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjärd

Proposal for a regulation

Article 21 – paragraph 2 – point g

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(g) potential concurrent use of multiple unmanned aircraft in the same area.

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 2287

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjärd

Proposal for a regulation

Article 21 – paragraph 2 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

2 a. Member States have the possibility to set criteria for the use of unmanned aerial vehicles, for example:

(1) to set the level of training required for pilots operating an unmanned aircraft;

Or. en

Amendment 2288

Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Peter Lundgren, Hermann Tertsch, Mazaly Aguilar, Evžen Tošenovský, Sergio Berlato, Jorge Buxadé Villalba, Eugen Jurzyca,

Proposal for a regulation
Article 21 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

3. The Commission is empowered to adopt delegated acts in accordance with Article 40 supplementing this Regulation to specify precise criteria in relation to the factors set out in paragraph 2 once technical progress and scientific developments allow for the development of such precise criteria.

deleted

Or. en

Justification

In the name of simplification and enhancing transparency of the negotiations, it is not appropriate to defer a number of key decisions to delegated acts.

Amendment 2289
Sylvia Limmer

Proposal for a regulation
Article 21 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(3) Die Kommission ist befugt, gemäß Artikel 40 delegierte Rechtsakte zur Ergänzung dieser Verordnung zu erlassen, um genaue Kriterien in Bezug auf die in Absatz 2 genannten Faktoren festzulegen, sobald der technische Fortschritt und die wissenschaftlichen Entwicklungen die Ausarbeitung präziserer Kriterien ermöglichen.

(3) Die Kommission ist befugt, gemäß Artikel 40 delegierte Rechtsakte zur Ergänzung dieser Verordnung zu erlassen, um genaue Kriterien in Bezug auf die in Absatz 2 genannten Faktoren festzulegen, sobald der technische Fortschritt und die wissenschaftlichen Entwicklungen die Ausarbeitung präziserer Kriterien ermöglichen. ***Insbesondere wird der Kommission die Befugnis übertragen, delegierte Rechtsakte zu erlassen, um eine spezifische Risikobewertung für den Pflanzenschutz zu definieren, um unnötige Verzögerungen auf der Ebene der Mitgliedstaaten zu vermeiden, wenn es um die Genehmigung einer***

Amendment 2290

Silvia Sardone

Proposal for a regulation

Article 21 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

3. Alla Commissione è conferito il potere di adottare atti delegati conformemente all'articolo 40, **a integrazione del** presente regolamento, per specificare criteri precisi in relazione **ai fattori di cui al paragrafo 2, allorché i progressi tecnici e gli sviluppi scientifici consentano la definizione di tali criteri precisi.**

Amendment

3. Alla Commissione è conferito il potere di adottare atti delegati conformemente all'articolo 40 **al fine di integrare il** presente regolamento per specificare criteri precisi in relazione **alle qualifiche tecniche, all'uso o alla formazione.**

Amendment 2291

Christine Schneider, Ralf Seekatz, Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Sven Simon, Angelika Niebler, Monika Hohlmeier, Christian Doleschal, Franc Bogovič, Marlene Mortler, Daniel Caspary, Rainer Wieland, Sabine Verheyen, Alexander Bernhuber

Proposal for a regulation

Article 21 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

3. The Commission is empowered to adopt delegated acts in accordance with Article 40 supplementing this Regulation to specify precise criteria in relation to the **factors set out in paragraph 2 once technical progress and scientific developments allow for the development of such precise criteria.**

Amendment

3. The Commission is empowered to adopt delegated acts in accordance with Article 40 supplementing this Regulation to specify precise criteria in relation to the technical **qualifications, use or training.**

Justification

The drone is currently seen by official advisors and researchers merely as a supplement to the large helicopter, but not as a complete alternative solution (since drones currently have nowhere near the surface impact power of the large helicopter). The status quo must be maintained - without helicopter application, numerous (ecologically valuable) steep and terraced vineyards will otherwise be abandoned.

Amendment 2292

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjärd, Margarita de la Pisa Carrión

Proposal for a regulation Article 21 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

3. The Commission ***is empowered to adopt delegated acts in accordance with Article 40 supplementing*** this Regulation to specify precise criteria in relation to the factors set out in paragraph 2 once technical progress and scientific developments allow for the development of such precise criteria.

Amendment

3. The Commission ***can supplement*** this Regulation ***and inform the Member States*** to specify precise criteria in relation to the factors set out in paragraph 2 once technical progress and scientific developments allow for the development of such precise criteria.

Or. en

Amendment 2293

Sylvia Limmer

Proposal for a regulation Article 21 – paragraph 3 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(3 a) Betreiber, die mit der Anwendung bestimmter Kategorien unbemannter Luftfahrzeuge aus der Luft beauftragt sind, gelten als "gewerbliche Nutzer" im Sinne von Artikel 3.

Or. de

Amendment 2294

Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław Kalinowski, Joanna Kopcińska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

Proposal for a regulation

Article 22 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. By ... [OP: please insert the date of **application** of this Regulation], Member States shall have in place **effective measures and establish the necessary structures** to facilitate in a manner that does not endanger human health or the environment, the safe disposal of any unused plant protection products, any dilute solutions containing plant protection products and any packaging.

Amendment

1. By ... [OP: please insert the date of = **the first day of the month following 2 years after the date of entry into force** of this Regulation], Member States shall have in place **national law-regulations** to facilitate in a manner that does not endanger human health or the environment, the safe disposal of any unused plant protection products, any dilute solutions containing plant protection products and any packaging.

Or. en

Amendment 2295

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjård

Proposal for a regulation

Article 22 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. By ... [OP: please insert the date of application of this Regulation], Member States shall have in place effective measures and establish the necessary structures to facilitate in a manner that does not endanger human health or the environment, the safe disposal of any unused plant protection products, any **dilute solutions** containing plant protection products and any packaging.

Amendment

1. By ... [OP: please insert the date of application of this Regulation], Member States shall have in place effective measures and establish the necessary structures to facilitate in a manner that does not endanger human health or the environment, the safe disposal of any unused plant protection products, any **preparations** containing plant protection products and any packaging **of plant protection**.

Amendment 2296

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation

Article 22 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. By ... [OP: please insert the date of application of this Regulation], Member States shall have in place effective measures and establish the necessary structures to facilitate in a manner that does not endanger human health or the environment, the safe disposal of any unused plant protection products, any dilute solutions containing plant protection products and any packaging.

Amendment

1. By ... [OP: please insert the date of application of this Regulation], Member States shall have in place effective measures and establish the necessary structures to facilitate in a manner that does not endanger human **and animal** health or the environment, the safe disposal of any unused plant protection products, any dilute solutions containing plant protection products and any packaging.

Amendment 2297

Tudor Ciuhodaru

Proposal for a regulation

Article 22 – paragraph 2 – point d

Text proposed by the Commission

(d) eliminarea produselor de protecție a plantelor ieșit din uz, a resturilor și a ambalajelor acestora.

Amendment

(d) eliminarea produselor de protecție a plantelor ieșit din uz, a resturilor și a ambalajelor acestora ***precum și identificarea unor posibile măsuri de reciclare a acestora.***

Amendment 2298

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjård

Proposal for a regulation
Article 22 – paragraph 2 – point d

Text proposed by the Commission

(d) disposal of obsolete plant protection products **and** remnants and their packaging.

Amendment

(d) disposal of obsolete plant protection products **or** remnants **that are no longer authorized or in use** and their packaging.

Or. en

Amendment 2299
Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation
Article 22 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

3. Member States shall take all necessary measures regarding plant protection products authorised for non-professional users to prevent and, where prevention is not possible, to limit dangerous handling operations. Those measures may include measures relating to size limits for packaging or containers. Those measures may provide that non-professional users may only use low-risk plant protection products and other plant protection products that are in the form of ready to use formulations and measures for the use of safe closure or a locking device for packaging or containers.

Amendment

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 2300
Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk

Proposal for a regulation
Article 22 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

3. Member States shall take all necessary measures regarding plant protection products authorised for non-professional users to prevent and, where prevention is not possible, to limit dangerous handling operations. Those measures may include measures relating to size limits for packaging or containers. Those measures may provide that non-professional users may only use low-risk plant protection products and other plant protection products that are in the form of ready to use formulations and measures for the use of safe closure or a locking device for packaging or containers.

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 2301
Esther de Lange

Proposal for a regulation
Article 22 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

3. Member States shall take all necessary measures regarding plant protection products authorised for non-professional users to prevent and, where prevention is not possible, to limit dangerous handling operations. Those measures may include measures relating to size limits for packaging or containers. Those measures may provide that non-professional users may only use low-risk plant protection products and other plant protection products that are in the form of ready to use formulations and measures for the use of safe closure or a locking device for packaging or containers.

3. Member States shall prohibit chemical plant protection products for non-professional users, except in case of pests that may endanger agricultural and horticultural production. Member States shall take all necessary measures **to inform** non-professional users **about alternative** measures.

Amendment 2302

Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan, Delara Burkhardt

Proposal for a regulation

Article 22 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

3. Member States shall take all necessary measures regarding plant protection products authorised for non-professional users to prevent and, where prevention is not possible, to limit dangerous handling operations. Those measures may include measures relating to size limits for packaging or containers. ***Those measures may provide that non-professional users may only use low-risk plant protection products and other plant protection products that are in the form of ready to use formulations and measures for the use of safe closure or a locking device for packaging or containers.***

Amendment

3. Member States shall take all necessary measures regarding plant protection products authorised for non-professional users to prevent and, where prevention is not possible, to limit dangerous handling operations. Those measures may include measures relating to size limits for packaging or containers. Non-professional users may only use ***non-chemical*** plant protection products.

Amendment 2303

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjård

Proposal for a regulation

Article 22 – paragraph 4 – subparagraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Manufacturers, distributors and professional users shall ensure that plant protection products are stored in specific storage facilities for plant protection products that are constructed in such a way as to prevent unwanted releases.

Amendment

Manufacturers, distributors and professional users shall ensure that plant protection products are stored in specific storage facilities for plant protection products that are constructed in such a way as to prevent unwanted releases ***and are marked as storage facilities for plant***

protection products.

Or. en

Amendment 2304
Christophe Hansen

Proposal for a regulation
Article 22 – paragraph 4 – subparagraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Manufacturers, distributors and professional users shall ensure that plant protection products are stored in specific storage facilities ***for plant protection products*** that are constructed in such a way as to prevent unwanted releases.

Amendment

Plant protection products ***authorised for professional use*** are stored in specific storage facilities that are constructed in such a way as to prevent unwanted releases.

Or. en

Amendment 2305
Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk

Proposal for a regulation
Article 22 – paragraph 4 – subparagraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Manufacturers, distributors and professional users shall ensure that location, size, ***ventilation*** and construction materials of the storage facility are suitable to prevent unwanted releases and to protect human health and the environment.

Amendment

Manufacturers, distributors and professional users shall ensure that location, size and construction materials of the storage facility are suitable to prevent unwanted releases and to protect human health and the environment.

Or. en

Amendment 2306
Dan-Ștefan Motreanu, Carmen Avram, Marian-Jean Marinescu, Daniel Buda

Proposal for a regulation
Article 23 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Advice on the use of a plant protection product to a professional user may only be given by an advisor for whom a training certificate has been issued for following courses for advisors in accordance with Article 25 or who has a proof of entry in a central electronic register for following such courses in accordance with Article 25(5).

Amendment

Advice on the use of a plant protection product to a professional user may only be given by an advisor for whom a training certificate has been issued for following courses for advisors in accordance with Article 25 or who has a proof of entry in a central electronic register for following such courses in accordance with Article 25(5) ***or through Decision Support Systems that contain advice on the use of plant protection products that reproduce product label recommendations and takes into account documented IPM rules as recognised by Member States competent authorities.***

Or. en

Justification

A decision support system provides farmers with a complex assessment of relevant factors, like: pedoclimatic conditions; pest pressure; intervention thresholds; biodiversity context; socio-economic factors; environmental protection goals; protection of operators and bystanders' health; safety recommendations; weather data etc. determining the appropriate, sustainable use of crop protection means to achieve control and contain the impact of harmful organisms, pests and diseases.

Amendment 2307

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation
Article 23 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Advice on the use of a ***plant protection product*** to a professional user may only be given by an advisor for whom a training certificate has been issued for following courses for advisors in accordance with Article 25 or who has a proof of entry in a central electronic register for following

Amendment

Advice on the use of a ***pesticides*** to a professional user may only be given by an advisor ***who has no commercial or other ties to a manufacturer, retailer or distributor of pesticides nor had these ties the past five years, and*** for whom a training certificate has been issued for

such courses in accordance with Article 25(5).

following courses for advisors in accordance with Article 25 or who has a proof of entry in a central electronic register for following such courses in accordance with Article 25(5).

Or. en

Amendment 2308

Sylvia Limmer

Proposal for a regulation

Article 23 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Die Beratung eines beruflichen Verwenders über die **Verwendung eines Pflanzenschutzmittels darf nur durch einen Berater erfolgen, dem ein Schulungsnachweis über die Teilnahme an Kursen für Berater gemäß Artikel 25 ausgestellt wurde oder der nachweisen kann, dass seine Teilnahme an solchen Kursen gemäß Artikel 25 Absatz 5 in einem zentralen elektronischen Register erfasst ist.**

Amendment

Die **verpflichtende** Beratung eines beruflichen Verwenders über die **Anwendung von Pflanzenschutzmittel erfolgt über die dafür zuständige Behörde. Über die erfolgreiche Teilnahme ist ein Schulungsnachweis auszustellen und in einem elektronischen Register des jeweiligen Mitgliedsstaates zu erfassen.**

Or. de

Amendment 2309

Maria Arena, Delara Burkhardt

Proposal for a regulation

Article 23 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Advice on the use of a plant protection product to a professional user may only be given by an advisor for whom a training certificate has been issued for following courses for advisors in accordance with Article 25 or who has a proof of entry in a central electronic register for following

Amendment

Advice on the use of a plant protection product to a professional user may only be given by an **independent** advisor **in accordance with Article 26 (2)** for whom a training certificate has been issued for following courses for advisors in accordance with Article 25 or who has a

such courses in accordance with Article 25(5).

proof of entry in a central electronic register for following such courses in accordance with Article 25(5).

Or. en

Amendment 2310

Martin Hojsík, Michal Wiezik, María Soraya Rodríguez Ramos, Róza Thun und Hohenstein, Frédérique Ries

Proposal for a regulation Article 23 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Advice on the use of a plant protection product to a professional user may only be given by an advisor for whom a training certificate has been issued for following courses for advisors in accordance with Article 25 or who has a proof of entry in a central electronic register for following such courses in accordance with Article 25(5).

Amendment

Advice on the use of a plant protection product to a professional user may only be given by an ***impartial and independent*** advisor for whom a training certificate has been issued for following courses for advisors in accordance with Article 25 or who has a proof of entry in a central electronic register for following such courses in accordance with Article 25(5).

Or. en

Amendment 2311

Stanislav Polčák

Proposal for a regulation Article 23 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Poradenství týkající se používání ***přípravku*** na ochranu rostlin může profesionálnímu uživateli poskytovat pouze poradce, kterému bylo vydáno osvědčení o odborné přípravě na základě absolvování kurzů pro poradce v souladu s článkem 25 nebo který má doklad o záznamu v centrálním elektronickém registru o absolvování těchto kurzů v

Amendment

Poradenství týkající se používání ***přípravků*** na ochranu rostlin může profesionálnímu uživateli poskytovat pouze poradce, kterému bylo vydáno osvědčení o odborné přípravě na základě absolvování kurzů pro poradce v souladu s článkem 25 nebo který má doklad o záznamu v centrálním elektronickém registru o absolvování těchto kurzů v

souladu s čl. 25 odst. 5.

souladu s čl. 25 odst. 5.

Or. cs

Amendment 2312

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjärd

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 23 – paragraph 1**

Text proposed by the Commission

Advice on the use of a plant protection product to a professional user may only be given by an advisor for whom a training certificate has been issued for following courses for advisors in accordance with Article 25 or who has a proof of entry **in a central electronic register** for following such courses in accordance with Article 25(5).

Amendment

Advice on the use of a plant protection product to a professional user may only be given by an advisor for whom a training certificate has been issued for following courses for advisors in accordance with Article 25 or who has a proof of entry for following such courses in accordance with Article 25(5).

Or. en

Amendment 2313

Franc Bogovič, Marlene Mortler, Ljudmila Novak, Alexander Bernhuber

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 23 – paragraph 1**

Text proposed by the Commission

Advice on the use of a plant protection product to a professional user may only be given by an advisor for whom a training certificate has been issued for following courses for advisors in accordance with Article 25 or who has a proof of entry in a **central electronic** register for following such courses in accordance with Article 25(5).

Amendment

Advice on the use of a plant protection product to a professional user may only be given by an advisor for whom a training certificate has been issued for following courses for advisors in accordance with Article 25 or who has a proof of entry in a register for following such courses in accordance with Article 25(5).

Or. en

Amendment 2314

Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław Kalinowski, Joanna Kopcińska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

Proposal for a regulation

Article 23 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Representatives of the plant protection products producers or distributors, providing information for professional users on the use of plant protection products shall possess valid certificate or a proof of entry in a central electronic register confirming accomplishment of the training course for advisors.

Or. en

Justification

The requirements for an advisor (training) should be met by anyone who advises on IPM or the use of plant protection products. In many Member States compulsory training also applies to those who conduct marketing activities. This should be maintained. These persons should have knowledge of current regulations and awareness of the risks associated with the use of plant protection products.

Amendment 2315

Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan, Günther Sidl, Delara Burkhardt

Proposal for a regulation

Article 23 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article 23 a

Occupational Health and Safety

Obligations arising from this Regulation for the use, storage and disposal of plant protection products shall apply without prejudice to the minimum health and safety requirements at the workplace, as laid down by, inter alia, Council Directive

**89/391/EEC, Council Directive
89/656/EEC , Council Directive 98/24/EC
, Directive 2004/37/EC of the European
Parliament and of the Council and
Directive 2009/104/EC of the European
Parliament and of the Council.**

Or. en

Amendment 2316

Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan, Günther Sidl, Delara Burkhardt

Proposal for a regulation

Article 23 b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article 23 b

***Monitoring of plant protection product
residues***

***1. Member States shall put in place
national measures and arrangements for
carrying out appropriate health
surveillance of farmers and farm workers
using chemical plant protection products,
assessing whether:***

***(a) exposure to chemical plant protection
product residues is within the limits
established by the European Food Safety
Authority in relation to non-dietary
exposures and the potential impact on
human health (i.e. development of
diseases), in line with Article 10 of
Directive 98/24/EC and the provisions of
Directive 89/391/EEC;***

***(b) Examining the efficacy of mitigation
measures and protection equipment.***

***2. Member States shall put in place
measures for carrying out comprehensive
environmental monitoring of plant
protection product residues in water
resources, groundwater, soil, air/dust and
biota among others, assessing, inter alia,
whether:***

(a) plant protection product residues exceed the predicted environmental concentrations or those that have the potential to cause harm to human health or that of other species, via environmental indicators and methods such as farmland birds index, pollinators index, Insignia-bee, LUCAS of Soil Biodiversity and Pesticides;

(b) mitigation measures are properly implemented.

3. If necessary, Member States shall propose readjustments to ensure that the use of plant protection products complies with the provisions of Article 4 of Regulation (EU) 1107/2009.

Or. en

Amendment 2317
Michèle Rivasi, Jutta Paulus

Proposal for a regulation
Chapter VI – title

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

VI SALE OF PLANT PROTECTION PRODUCTS

SALE OF PLANT PROTECTION PRODUCTS, **TRADE AND COOPERATION WITH THIRD COUNTRIES**

Or. en

Amendment 2318
Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation
Article 24 – title

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Requirements for the sale ***of plant protection products***

Requirements for the sale ***and prohibition of marketing and advertisement of***

pesticides

Or. en

Amendment 2319

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation

Article 24 – paragraph -1 (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

-1. All forms of advertising for chemical pesticides are prohibited.

Or. en

Amendment 2320

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation

Article 24 – paragraph -1 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

-1 a. Sponsorship of events, activities or programmes by undertakings whose principal activity is the manufacture or sale of chemical pesticides is prohibited.

Or. en

Amendment 2321

Sylvia Limmer

Proposal for a regulation

Article 24 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(1) Ein Vertreiber verkauft ein Pflanzenschutzmittel, das für die berufliche Verwendung zugelassen ist, nur dann an

(1) Ein Vertreiber verkauft ein Pflanzenschutzmittel, das für die berufliche Verwendung zugelassen ist, nur dann an

einen Käufer oder dessen Vertreter, wenn der Vertreiber sich zum Zeitpunkt des Kaufs vergewissert hat, dass es sich beim Käufer oder dessen Vertreter um einen beruflichen Verwender handelt **und er im Besitz eines Schulungsnachweises über die Teilnahme an Kursen für berufliche Verwender gemäß Artikel 25 ist oder nachweisen kann, dass seine Teilnahme an solchen Kursen gemäß Artikel 25 Absatz 5 in einem zentralen elektronischen Register erfasst ist.**

einen Käufer oder dessen Vertreter, wenn der Vertreiber sich zum Zeitpunkt des Kaufs vergewissert hat, dass es sich beim Käufer oder dessen Vertreter um einen beruflichen Verwender handelt.

Or. de

Amendment 2322
Mathilde Androuët

Proposal for a regulation
Article 24 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Un distributeur ne vend un produit phytopharmaceutique autorisé pour un usage professionnel à un acheteur ou à son représentant que lorsqu'il s'est assuré, au moment de la vente, que l'acheteur ou son représentant est un utilisateur professionnel et qu'il ***est titulaire d'un certificat de formation délivré à l'issue de cours destinés aux utilisateurs professionnels, conformément à l'article 25, ou qu'il détient une preuve d'inscription au registre électronique central pour avoir suivi de tels cours, conformément à l'article 25, paragraphe 5.***

Amendment

1. Un distributeur ne vend un produit phytopharmaceutique autorisé pour un usage professionnel à un acheteur ou à son représentant que lorsqu'il s'est assuré, au moment de la vente, que l'acheteur ou son représentant est un utilisateur professionnel et qu'il ***a été pleinement averti des risques relatifs à son utilisation.***

Or. fr

Amendment 2323
Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław Kalinowski, Joanna Kopcińska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

Proposal for a regulation
Article 24 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. A distributor shall only sell a plant protection product authorised for professional use to a purchaser **or his or her representative** when that distributor has checked, at the time of purchase, that the purchaser **or representative is a professional user and** holds a training certificate for following courses for professional users issued in accordance with Article 25 or has a proof of entry in a central electronic register for following such courses in accordance with Article 25(5).

Amendment

1. A distributor shall only sell a plant protection product authorised for professional use to a purchaser when that distributor has checked, at the time of purchase, that the purchaser holds a training certificate for following courses for professional users issued in accordance with Article 25 or has a proof of entry in a central electronic register for following such courses in accordance with Article 25(5).

In case when purchaser does not meet requirements referred to in first sentence, a distributor shall refuse to sell plant protection product.

The distributor has the right to check the purchaser's identity document.

Or. en

Justification

Distributor is not able to check, whether purchaser is a professional user. Also, one person can be a user, a distributor and an adviser at the same time. Therefore, a hierarchy of trainings should be defined, to avoid situation when such a person should accomplish all kinds of trainings.

Amendment 2324

Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Lena Düpont, Jens Gieseke, Angelika Niebler, Andreas Schwab, Ralf Seekatz, David McAllister, Christine Schneider, Stefan Berger, Sabine Verheyen, Rainer Wieland, Monika Hohlmeier, Michael Gahler, Christian Doleschal, Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Alexander Bernhuber, Daniel Caspary, Herbert Dorfmann, Simone Schmiedtbauer

Proposal for a regulation
Article 24 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

(1) Ein Vertreiber verkauft ein Pflanzenschutzmittel, das für die berufliche Verwendung zugelassen ist, nur dann an einen Käufer oder dessen Vertreter, wenn der Vertreiber sich zum Zeitpunkt des Kaufs vergewissert hat, dass es sich beim Käufer oder dessen Vertreter um einen beruflichen Verwender handelt und er im Besitz eines Schulungsnachweises über die Teilnahme an Kursen für berufliche Verwender gemäß Artikel 25 ist **oder nachweisen kann, dass seine Teilnahme an solchen Kursen gemäß Artikel 25 Absatz 5 in einem zentralen elektronischen Register erfasst ist.**

Amendment

(1) Ein Vertreiber verkauft ein Pflanzenschutzmittel, das für die berufliche Verwendung zugelassen ist, nur dann an einen Käufer oder dessen Vertreter, wenn der Vertreiber sich zum Zeitpunkt des Kaufs vergewissert hat, dass es sich beim Käufer oder dessen Vertreter um einen beruflichen Verwender handelt und er im Besitz eines Schulungsnachweises über die Teilnahme an Kursen für berufliche Verwender gemäß Artikel 25 ist.

Or. de

Amendment 2325

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjård

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 24 – paragraph 1**

Text proposed by the Commission

1. A distributor shall only sell a plant protection product authorised for professional use to a purchaser or his or her representative when that distributor has checked, at the time of purchase, that the purchaser or representative is a professional user and holds a training certificate for following courses for professional users issued in accordance with Article 25 or has a proof of entry in a **central** electronic register for following such courses in accordance with Article 25(5).

Amendment

1. A distributor shall only sell a plant protection product authorised for professional use to a purchaser or his or her representative when that distributor has checked, at the time of purchase, that the purchaser or representative is a professional user and holds a training certificate for following courses for professional users issued in accordance with Article 25 or has a proof of entry in a electronic register for following such courses in accordance with Article 25(5).

Or. en

Amendment 2326

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation

Article 24 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. A distributor shall only sell a **plant protection product** authorised for professional use to a purchaser or **his or her** representative when that distributor has checked, at the time of purchase, that the purchaser or representative is a professional user and holds a training certificate for following courses for professional users issued in accordance with Article 25 or has a proof of entry in a central electronic register for following such courses in accordance with Article 25(5).

Amendment

1. A distributor shall only sell a **pesticides** authorised for professional use to a purchaser or **their** representative when that distributor has checked, at the time of purchase, that the purchaser or representative is a professional user and holds a training certificate for following courses for professional users issued in accordance with Article 25 or has a proof of entry in a central electronic register for following such courses in accordance with Article 25(5).

Or. en

Amendment 2327

Christophe Hansen

Proposal for a regulation

Article 24 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. A distributor shall only sell a plant protection product authorised for professional use to a purchaser or his or her representative when that distributor has checked, at the time of purchase, that the purchaser or representative **is a professional user and** holds a training certificate for following courses for professional users issued in accordance with Article 25 or has a proof of entry in a central electronic register for following such courses in accordance with Article 25(5).

Amendment

1. A distributor shall only sell a plant protection product authorised for professional use to a purchaser or his or her representative when that distributor has checked, at the time of purchase, that the purchaser or representative holds a training certificate for following courses for professional users **or advisors** issued in accordance with Article 25 or has a proof of entry in a central electronic register for following such courses in accordance with Article 25(5).

Amendment 2328

Franc Bogovič, Marlene Mortler, Ljudmila Novak, Alexander Bernhuber

Proposal for a regulation

Article 24 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. A distributor shall only sell a plant protection product authorised for professional use to a purchaser or his or her representative when that distributor has checked, at the time of purchase, that the purchaser or representative is a professional user and holds a training certificate for following courses for professional users issued in accordance with Article 25 or has a proof of entry in a **central electronic** register for following such courses in accordance with Article 25(5).

Amendment

1. A distributor shall only sell a plant protection product authorised for professional use to a purchaser or his or her representative when that distributor has checked, at the time of purchase, that the purchaser or representative is a professional user and holds a training certificate for following courses for professional users issued in accordance with Article 25 or has a proof of entry in a register for following such courses in accordance with Article 25(5).

Amendment 2329

Sylvia Limmer

Proposal for a regulation

Article 24 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

(2) **Handelt es sich bei dem Käufer um eine juristische Person, darf ein Vertreiber ein Pflanzenschutzmittel, das für die berufliche Verwendung zugelassen ist, nur dann an einen Vertreter des Käufers des Pflanzenschutzmittels verkaufen, wenn der Vertreiber sich zum Zeitpunkt des Kaufs vergewissert hat, dass der Vertreter im Besitz eines Schulungsnachweises über die Teilnahme an Kursen für berufliche Verwender**

Amendment

entfällt

gemäß Artikel 25 ist oder nachweisen kann, dass seine Teilnahme an solchen Kursen gemäß Artikel 25 Absatz 5 in einem zentralen elektronischen Register erfasst ist.

Or. de

Amendment 2330

Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Lena Düpont, Jens Gieseke, Angelika Niebler, Andreas Schwab, Ralf Seekatz, David McAllister, Christine Schneider, Stefan Berger, Sabine Verheyen, Rainer Wieland, Monika Hohlmeier, Michael Gahler, Christian Doleschal, Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Alexander Bernhuber, Daniel Caspary, Herbert Dorfmann, Simone Schmiedtbauer

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 24 – paragraph 2**

Text proposed by the Commission

(2) Handelt es sich bei dem Käufer um eine juristische Person, darf ein Vertreiber ein Pflanzenschutzmittel, das für die berufliche Verwendung zugelassen ist, nur dann an einen Vertreter des Käufers des Pflanzenschutzmittels verkaufen, wenn der Vertreiber sich zum Zeitpunkt des Kaufs vergewissert hat, dass der Vertreter im Besitz eines Schulungsnachweises über die Teilnahme an Kursen für berufliche Verwender gemäß Artikel 25 ist ***oder nachweisen kann, dass seine Teilnahme an solchen Kursen gemäß Artikel 25 Absatz 5 in einem zentralen elektronischen Register erfasst ist.***

Amendment

(2) Handelt es sich bei dem Käufer um eine juristische Person, darf ein Vertreiber ein Pflanzenschutzmittel, das für die berufliche Verwendung zugelassen ist, nur dann an einen Vertreter des Käufers des Pflanzenschutzmittels verkaufen, wenn der Vertreiber sich zum Zeitpunkt des Kaufs vergewissert hat, dass der Vertreter im Besitz eines Schulungsnachweises über die Teilnahme an Kursen für berufliche Verwender gemäß Artikel 25 ist.

Or. de

**Amendment 2331
Christophe Hansen**

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 24 – paragraph 2**

Text proposed by the Commission

2. Where a purchaser is a legal person, a distributor may sell a plant protection product authorised for professional use to a representative of the purchaser of the plant protection product when that distributor has checked, at the time of purchase, that the representative is the holder of a training certificate for following courses for professional users issued in accordance with Article 25 or has a proof of entry in a central electronic register for following such courses in accordance with Article 25(5).

Amendment

2. Where a purchaser is a legal person, a distributor may sell a plant protection product authorised for professional use to a representative of the purchaser of the plant protection product when that distributor has checked, at the time of purchase, that the representative is the holder of a training certificate for following courses for professional users **or advisors** issued in accordance with Article 25 or has a proof of entry in a central electronic register for following such courses in accordance with Article 25(5).

Or. en

Amendment 2332
Mathilde Androuët

Proposal for a regulation
Article 24 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. Si l'acheteur est une personne morale, le distributeur peut vendre un produit phytopharmaceutique autorisé pour un usage professionnel au représentant de l'acheteur du produit phytopharmaceutique lorsqu'il s'est assuré, au moment de la vente, que le représentant ***est titulaire*** d'un certificat de formation ***délivré à l'issue de cours destinés aux utilisateurs professionnels, conformément à l'article 25, ou qu'il détient une preuve d'inscription au registre électronique central pour avoir suivi de tels cours, conformément à l'article 25, paragraphe 5.***

Amendment

2. Si l'acheteur est une personne morale, le distributeur peut vendre un produit phytopharmaceutique autorisé pour un usage professionnel au représentant de l'acheteur du produit phytopharmaceutique lorsqu'il s'est assuré, au moment de la vente, que le représentant ***a été pleinement averti des risques liés à son utilisation lors de la remise du produit, soit par la signature d'une notice détaillant ces risques pour la santé et les précautions de manipulation, soit par la délivrance*** d'un certificat de formation.

Or. fr

Amendment 2333

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjård

Proposal for a regulation

Article 24 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. Where a purchaser is a legal person, a distributor may sell a plant protection product authorised for professional use to a representative of the purchaser of the plant protection product when that distributor has checked, at the time of purchase, that the representative is the holder of a training certificate for following courses for professional users issued in accordance with Article 25 or has a proof of entry in **a central** electronic register for following such courses in accordance with Article 25(5).

Amendment

2. Where a purchaser is a legal person, a distributor may sell a plant protection product authorised for professional use to a representative of the purchaser of the plant protection product when that distributor has checked, at the time of purchase, that the representative is the holder of a training certificate for following courses for professional users issued in accordance with Article 25 or has a proof of entry in **an** electronic register for following such courses in accordance with Article 25(5).

Or. en

Amendment 2334

Franc Bogovič, Marlene Mortler, Ljudmila Novak, Alexander Bernhuber

Proposal for a regulation

Article 24 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. Where a purchaser is a legal person, a distributor may sell a plant protection product authorised for professional use to a representative of the purchaser of the plant protection product when that distributor has checked, at the time of purchase, that the representative is the holder of a training certificate for following courses for professional users issued in accordance with Article 25 or has a proof of entry in a **central electronic** register for following such courses in accordance with Article

Amendment

2. Where a purchaser is a legal person, a distributor may sell a plant protection product authorised for professional use to a representative of the purchaser of the plant protection product when that distributor has checked, at the time of purchase, that the representative is the holder of a training certificate for following courses for professional users issued in accordance with Article 25 or has a proof of entry in a register for following such courses in accordance with Article 25(5).

Amendment 2335

Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Lena Düpont, Jens Gieseke, Angelika Niebler, Andreas Schwab, Ralf Seekatz, David McAllister, Christine Schneider, Stefan Berger, Sabine Verheyen, Rainer Wieland, Monika Hohlmeier, Michael Gahler, Christian Doleschal, Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Alexander Bernhuber, Daniel Caspary, Herbert Dorfmann, Simone Schmiedtbauer

Proposal for a regulation

Article 24 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(3) Ein Vertreiber weist den Käufer eines Pflanzenschutzmittels an, vor dessen Verwendung das Etikett zu lesen und es entsprechend den Anweisungen auf dem Etikett zu verwenden, und informiert den Käufer über die Website gemäß Artikel 27. **entfällt**

Amendment 2336

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjärd

Proposal for a regulation

Article 24 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

3. A distributor *shall direct a purchaser of a plant protection product to read its label prior to use and to use the product in accordance with the instructions on the label and* shall inform the purchaser of the website referred to in Article 27.

3. A distributor shall inform the purchaser of the website referred to in Article 27.

Amendment 2337

Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław Kalinowski, Joanna Kopcińska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

Proposal for a regulation

Article 24 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

3. A distributor shall **direct** a purchaser of a plant protection product **to** read its label prior to use and to use the product in accordance with the instructions on the label and shall inform the purchaser of the website referred to in Article 27.

Amendment

3. A distributor shall **inform** a purchaser of a plant protection product **being a non professional user that he or she should** read its label prior to use and to use the product in accordance with the instructions on the label and shall inform the purchaser of the website referred to in Article 27.

Or. en

Amendment 2338

Ulrike Müller, Jan Huitema, Emma Wiesner, Andreas Glück

Proposal for a regulation

Article 24 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

3. A distributor shall direct a purchaser of a plant protection product to read its label prior to use and to use the product in accordance with the instructions on the label and shall inform the purchaser of the website referred to in Article 27.

Amendment

3. A distributor shall direct a **non-professional** purchaser of a plant protection product to read its label prior to use and to use the product in accordance with the instructions on the label and shall inform the purchaser of the website referred to in Article 27.

Or. en

Justification

Professional users trained in accordance with Article 25 are sufficiently aware of appropriate choice and use of plant protection products.

Amendment 2339
Stanislav Polčák

Proposal for a regulation
Article 24 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

3. Distributor **nařídí kupujícímu** přípravku na ochranu rostlin, **aby** si před použitím **přečetl** etiketu přípravku a **aby** přípravek **používal** v souladu s pokyny na etiketě, a informuje kupujícího o internetových stránkách podle článku 27.

Amendment

3. Distributor **upozorní kupujícího** přípravku na ochranu rostlin **na nutnost přečíst** si před použitím etiketu přípravku a **používat** přípravek v souladu s pokyny na etiketě a informuje kupujícího o internetových stránkách podle článku 27.

Or. cs

Amendment 2340
Maria Arena, Günther Sidl

Proposal for a regulation
Article 24 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

4. A distributor shall provide general information to non-professional users on the risks to human health and the environment of the use of plant protection products, **including the information on hazards, exposure, proper storage, handling, application and safe disposal in accordance with Directive 2008/98/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council⁸⁶**, and shall recommend alternative **low-risk plant protection products and ways in which risks can be mitigated when using** plant protection products.

Amendment

4. A distributor **shall sell only non-chemical plant protection products to non-professional users. Distributors** shall provide general information to non-professional users on the risks to human health and the environment of the use of plant protection products and shall recommend alternative **to chemical** plant protection products.

⁸⁶ Directive 2008/98/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 19 November 2008 on waste and repealing certain Directives (OJ L 312 22.11.2008, p. 3).

⁸⁶ Directive 2008/98/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 19 November 2008 on waste and repealing certain Directives (OJ L 312 22.11.2008, p. 3).

Amendment 2341

Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław Kalinowski, Joanna Kopcińska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

Proposal for a regulation

Article 24 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

4. A distributor shall provide general information to non-professional users on the risks to human health and the environment of the use of plant protection products, including the information on hazards, exposure, proper storage, handling, application and safe disposal in accordance with Directive 2008/98/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council⁸⁶, **and shall recommend alternative low-risk plant protection products and ways in which risks can be mitigated when using plant protection products.**

⁸⁶ Directive 2008/98/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 19 November 2008 on waste and repealing certain Directives (OJ L 312 22.11.2008, p. 3).

Amendment

4. A distributor shall provide general information to non-professional users on the risks to human health and the environment of the use of plant protection products, including the information on hazards, exposure, proper storage, handling, application and safe disposal in accordance with Directive 2008/98/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council⁸⁶.

⁸⁶ Directive 2008/98/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 19 November 2008 on waste and repealing certain Directives (OJ L 312 22.11.2008, p. 3).

Amendment 2342

Martin Hojsik, Michal Wieszik, María Soraya Rodríguez Ramos, Róza Thun und Hohenstein, Frédérique Ries

Proposal for a regulation

Article 24 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

4. A distributor shall provide general

Amendment

4. A distributor shall provide general

information to non-professional users on the risks to human health and the environment of the use of plant protection products, including the information on hazards, exposure, proper storage, handling, application and safe disposal in accordance with Directive 2008/98/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council⁸⁶, and shall recommend alternative low-risk plant protection products and ways in which risks can be mitigated when using plant protection products.

⁸⁶ Directive 2008/98/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 19 November 2008 on waste and repealing certain Directives (OJ L 312 22.11.2008, p. 3).

information to non-professional users on the risks to human health and the environment, **including impact on non-target organisms such as pollinators**, of the use of plant protection products, including the information on hazards, exposure, proper storage, handling, application and safe disposal in accordance with Directive 2008/98/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council⁸⁶, and shall recommend alternative low-risk plant protection products, **giving priority to biological control agents**, and ways in which risks can be mitigated when using plant protection products.

⁸⁶ Directive 2008/98/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 19 November 2008 on waste and repealing certain Directives (OJ L 312 22.11.2008, p. 3).

Or. en

Amendment 2343

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation

Article 24 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

4. A distributor shall provide general information to non-professional users on the risks to human health and the environment of the use of **plant protection products**, including the information on hazards, exposure, proper storage, handling, application and safe disposal in accordance with Directive 2008/98/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council⁸⁶, and shall recommend **alternative low-risk plant protection products and** ways in which risks can be mitigated when using **plant protection**

Amendment

4. A distributor **shall not sell chemical pesticides to non-professional users and** shall provide general information to non-professional users on the risks to human health and the environment of the use of **non-chemical pesticides**, including the information on hazards, exposure, proper storage, handling, application and safe disposal in accordance with Directive 2008/98/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council⁸⁶, and shall recommend ways in which risks can be mitigated when using

products.

⁸⁶ Directive 2008/98/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 19 November 2008 on waste and repealing certain Directives (OJ L 312 22.11.2008, p. 3).

non-chemical pesticides.

⁸⁶ Directive 2008/98/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 19 November 2008 on waste and repealing certain Directives (OJ L 312 22.11.2008, p. 3).

Or. en

Amendment 2344

Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Lena Düpont, Jens Gieseke, Angelika Niebler, Andreas Schwab, Ralf Seekatz, David McAllister, Christine Schneider, Stefan Berger, Sabine Verheyen, Rainer Wieland, Monika Hohlmeier, Michael Gahler, Christian Doleschal, Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Alexander Bernhuber, Daniel Caspary, Herbert Dorfmann, Simone Schmiedtbauer

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 24 – paragraph 5**

Text proposed by the Commission

(5) Jeder Vertreiber stellt sicher, dass er zum Zeitpunkt des Verkaufs über ausreichend Personal verfügt, das im Besitz eines gemäß Artikel 25 ausgestellten Schulungsnachweises für die Teilnahme an Kursen für Vertreiber ist ***oder nachweisen kann, dass seine Teilnahme an solchen Kursen gemäß Artikel 25 Absatz 5 in einem zentralen elektronischen Register erfasst ist, um den Käufern von Pflanzenschutzmitteln zum Zeitpunkt des Verkaufs angemessen Auskunft über ihre Anwendung, die damit verbundenen Gesundheits- und Umweltrisiken und die entsprechenden Sicherheitsanweisungen für die Beherrschung dieser Risiken zu geben.***

Amendment

(5) Jeder Vertreiber stellt sicher, dass er zum Zeitpunkt des Verkaufs über ausreichend Personal verfügt, das im Besitz eines gemäß Artikel 25 ausgestellten Schulungsnachweises für die Teilnahme an Kursen für Vertreiber ist ***und über die notwendigen Kenntnisse für die mit der Lagerung und dem Umschlag verbundenen Gesundheits- und Umweltrisiken und die entsprechenden Sicherheitsanweisungen für die Beherrschung dieser Risiken verfügt.***

Or. de

Amendment 2345

Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław

Proposal for a regulation
Article 24 – paragraph 5

Text proposed by the Commission

5. Each distributor shall ensure that it has sufficient staff that hold a training certificate for following courses for distributors issued in accordance with Article 25 or has a proof of entry in a central electronic register for following such courses in accordance with Article 25(5) available at the time of sale to provide adequate responses to purchasers of plant protection products at the moment of sale on their use, related health and environmental risks and the appropriate safety instructions to manage those risks.

Amendment

5. Each distributor shall ensure that it has sufficient staff that hold a training certificate for following courses for distributors issued in accordance with Article 25 or has a proof of entry in a central electronic register for following such courses in accordance with Article 25(5) available at the time of sale to provide adequate responses to **requests of** purchasers of plant protection products at the moment of sale on their use, related health and environmental risks and the appropriate safety instructions to manage those risks.

Or. en

Amendment 2346

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjärd

Proposal for a regulation
Article 24 – paragraph 5

Text proposed by the Commission

5. Each distributor shall ensure that it has **sufficient** staff that hold a training certificate for following courses for distributors issued in accordance with Article 25 or has a proof of entry in a **central** electronic register for following such courses in accordance with Article 25(5) available at the time of sale to provide adequate responses to purchasers of plant protection products at the moment of sale on their use, related health and environmental risks and the appropriate

Amendment

5. Each distributor shall ensure that it has staff that hold a training certificate for following courses for distributors issued in accordance with Article 25 or has a proof of entry in a electronic register for following such courses in accordance with Article 25(5) available at the time of sale to provide adequate responses to purchasers of plant protection products at the moment of sale on their use, related health and environmental risks and the appropriate safety instructions to manage those risks **if**

safety instructions to manage those risks.

questioned.

Or. en

Amendment 2347

Franz Bogovič, Marlene Mortler, Ljudmila Novak, Alexander Bernhuber

Proposal for a regulation

Article 24 – paragraph 5

Text proposed by the Commission

5. Each distributor shall ensure that it has sufficient staff that hold a training certificate for following courses for distributors issued in accordance with Article 25 or has a proof of entry in a **central electronic** register for following such courses in accordance with Article 25(5) available at the time of sale to provide adequate responses to purchasers of plant protection products at the moment of sale on their use, related health and environmental risks and the appropriate safety instructions to manage those risks.

Amendment

5. Each distributor shall ensure that it has sufficient staff that hold a training certificate for following courses for distributors issued in accordance with Article 25 or has a proof of entry in a register for following such courses in accordance with Article 25(5) available at the time of sale to provide adequate responses to purchasers of plant protection products at the moment of sale on their use, related health and environmental risks and the appropriate safety instructions to manage those risks.

Or. en

Amendment 2348

Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Lena Düpont, Jens Gieseke, Angelika Niebler, Andreas Schwab, Ralf Seekatz, David McAllister, Christine Schneider, Stefan Berger, Sabine Verheyen, Rainer Wieland, Monika Hohlmeier, Michael Gahler, Christian Doleschal, Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Alexander Bernhuber, Daniel Caspary, Herbert Dorfmann, Simone Schmiedtbauer

Proposal for a regulation

Article 24 – paragraph 6

Text proposed by the Commission

(6) Der in Absatz 5 genannte Vertreiber unterrichtet den Käufer eines Pflanzenschutzmittels über weniger gefährliche Bekämpfungsverfahren, bevor dieser ein Pflanzenschutzmittel

Amendment

entfällt

kauft, mit dem ein höheres Risiko für die menschliche Gesundheit und die Umwelt verbunden ist.

Or. de

Amendment 2349

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjärd

Proposal for a regulation

Article 24 – paragraph 6

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

6. *The distributor referred to in paragraph 5 shall inform the purchaser of a plant protection product about less hazardous control techniques before the purchaser buys a plant protection product with a higher risk for human health and the environment.* ***deleted***

Or. en

Amendment 2350

Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław Kalinowski, Joanna Kopcińska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

Proposal for a regulation

Article 24 – paragraph 6

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

6. *The distributor referred to in paragraph 5 shall inform the purchaser of a plant protection product about less hazardous control techniques before the purchaser buys a plant protection product with a higher risk for human health and the environment.* ***deleted***

Or. en

Amendment 2351

Martin Hojsík, Michal Wiezik, María Soraya Rodríguez Ramos, Róza Thun und Hohenstein, Frédérique Ries

Proposal for a regulation

Article 24 – paragraph 6

Text proposed by the Commission

6. The distributor referred to in paragraph 5 shall inform the purchaser of a plant protection product about less hazardous control techniques before the purchaser buys a plant protection product with a higher risk for human health and the environment.

Amendment

6. The distributor referred to in paragraph 5 shall, **as priority**, inform the purchaser of a plant protection product about less hazardous control techniques before the purchaser buys a plant protection product with a higher risk for human health and the environment, **including for non-target organisms such as pollinators**.

Or. en

Amendment 2352

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation

Article 24 – paragraph 6

Text proposed by the Commission

6. The distributor referred to in paragraph 5 shall inform the purchaser of a plant protection product about less hazardous control techniques before the purchaser buys a **plant protection product** with a higher risk for human health and the environment.

Amendment

6. The distributor referred to in paragraph 5 shall inform the purchaser of a plant protection product about **prevention strategies and non-chemical and** less hazardous control techniques before the purchaser buys a **pesticide** with a higher risk for human **and animal** health and the environment.

Or. en

Amendment 2353

Ulrike Müller, Jan Huitema, Emma Wiesner, Andreas Glück

Proposal for a regulation
Article 24 – paragraph 6

Text proposed by the Commission

6. The distributor referred to in paragraph 5 shall inform the purchaser of a plant protection product about less hazardous control techniques before the purchaser buys a plant protection product with a higher risk for human health and the environment.

Amendment

6. The distributor referred to in paragraph 5 shall inform the **non-professional** purchaser of a plant protection product about less hazardous control techniques before the purchaser buys a plant protection product with a higher risk for human health and the environment.

Or. en

Justification

Professional users trained in accordance with Article 25 are sufficiently aware of appropriate choice and use of plant protection products.

Amendment 2354

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjård

Proposal for a regulation
Article 24 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article 24 a

Sale of plant protection products for private use

1. Member States shall take all necessary measures in relation to plant protection products authorized for non-professional users to prevent or, where that is not possible, to limit hazardous handling.

2. The Member States shall take the following measures, specifically for plant protection products for non-professional users that are to be classified as low-risk plant protection products:

(a) Limiting the size of containers or

packaging

(b) Measures that non-professional users may only use low-risk plant protection products and other plant protection products only in the form of ready-to-use formulations

(c) Measures are taken to use a safety seal or a locking device for packaging or containers, which is intended in particular to protect children.

(d) Distribution of low-risk crop protection products only in usual amounts for normal domestic use.

(e) Storage of low-risk crop protection products for non-professional users behind lockable sales displays, provided these are open to the public.

(f) Targeted notification of the sales staff to possible alternatives and dangers in the case of low-risk crop protection products

3. Low-risk plant protection products for private use may only be received on-site from distributors and by trained specialist staff and may not be sent to consumers.

Or. en

Amendment 2355
Michèle Rivasi, Jutta Paulus

Proposal for a regulation
Article 24 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article 24 a

Import of agricultural and agri-food products from third countries

1. The import of agricultural and agri-food products from third countries is prohibited if they have been treated or produced with substances that do not meet the approval criteria set out in points 3.6.2

to 3.6.5, 3.7 and 3.8.2 of Annex II of Regulation 1107/2009.

2. The production, storage and export from the European Union of plant protection products not approved by Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 or not complying with the identification and traceability requirements imposed by this same regulation shall be prohibited.

Or. en

Amendment 2356
Michèle Rivasi, Jutta Paulus

Proposal for a regulation
Article 24 b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article 24 b

International cooperation on information on the use of pesticides

1. The Commission shall start a dialogue with third countries to set up partnerships and cooperation mechanisms to strengthen the collection and transparency of data on the use of plant protection products in third countries and to allow the exchange of information between those countries on the one hand, and the Commission and the Member States on the other.

2. These partnerships and cooperation mechanisms may include structured dialogues, support programs and measures, and administrative procedures. They shall aim to promote the reduction in the use of plant protection products in third countries and a transition towards non-chemical alternatives to pesticides and integrated pest management practices.

3. The Commission encourages third countries to set up public databases

containing precise information on the use of plant protection products.

4. The Commission shall encourage third countries to require producers, suppliers, distributors, importers and exporters to keep registers of the plant protection products they produce, import, export, store or place on the market for at least five years. In addition, third countries shall be encouraged to require professional users of plant protection products, for at least three years, keep records of the plant protection products they use, containing the name of the plant protection product, the time and the dose of application, the area and the crop where the plant protection product was used. The information contained in these registers shall be available to be communicated on request to the competent authorities of third countries as well as to interested third parties, such as the drinking water industry, retailers or residents.

Or. en

Amendment 2357

Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław Kalinowski, Joanna Kopcińska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

Proposal for a regulation

Article 25 – paragraph 1 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

1. A competent authority designated in accordance with paragraph 2 shall appoint one or more bodies to provide ***the following*** training:

Amendment

1. A competent authority designated in accordance with paragraph 2 shall appoint one or more bodies to provide ***initial and follow up*** training to ***professional users, distributors and advisors, taking into account the subjects listed in Annex III and relevance of this subjects for particular groups of participants. Certificates issued before the entry into force of this Regulation shall***

remain valid for the time they have been issued.

Member states may adopt national regulations concerning:

a) requirements for bodies conducting trainings, concerning qualifications of lecturers and necessary equipment that should be used during trainings,

b) detailed programs of particular types of training, including different programs for different types of users of plant protection products

c) examination procedure for training participants.

Or. en

Amendment 2358

Sylvia Limmer

Proposal for a regulation

Article 25 – paragraph 1 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

(1) Eine gemäß Absatz 2 benannte zuständige Behörde **ernennt eine oder mehrere Stellen, die** folgende Schulungen **durchführen:**

Amendment

(1) Eine gemäß Absatz 2 benannte zuständige Behörde **führt** folgende Schulungen **durch:**

Or. de

Amendment 2359

Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław Kalinowski, Joanna Kopcińska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

Proposal for a regulation

Article 25 – paragraph 1 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) initial and follow up training to professional users and distributors on the

Amendment

deleted

subjects listed in Annex III;

Or. en

Amendment 2360

Martin Hojsik, Michal Wiezik, María Soraya Rodríguez Ramos, Róza Thun und Hohenstein, Frédérique Ries

Proposal for a regulation

Article 25 – paragraph 1 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) initial and follow up training to professional users and distributors on the subjects listed in Annex III;

Amendment

(a) initial and follow up training to professional users and distributors on the subjects listed in Annex III, ***and on impact of plant protection products on non-target organisms which provide key ecosystem services, such as pollinators;***

Or. en

Amendment 2361

Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan

Proposal for a regulation

Article 25 – paragraph 1 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) initial and follow up training to professional users and distributors on the subjects listed in Annex III;

Amendment

(a) initial and follow up training to professional users and distributors on the subjects listed in Annex III ***with particular emphasis on the application of integrated pest management;***

Or. en

Amendment 2362

Christophe Hansen

Proposal for a regulation

Article 25 – paragraph 1 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(a) initial and follow up training to professional users and distributors on the subjects listed in Annex III;

(a) initial and follow up training to professional users, **advisors** and distributors on the subjects listed in Annex III;

Or. en

Amendment 2363

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjård

Proposal for a regulation

Article 25 – paragraph 1 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(a) **initial and follow up** training to professional users and distributors on the subjects listed in Annex III;

(a) Training to professional users and distributors on the subjects listed in Annex III;

Or. en

Amendment 2364

Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław Kalinowski, Joanna Kopcińska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

Proposal for a regulation

Article 25 – paragraph 1 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) **practical training for professional users on the use of application equipment in professional use;**

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 2365

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič,

Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis,
Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjård

Proposal for a regulation

Article 25 – paragraph 1 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) practical training for professional users on the use of application equipment in professional use;

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 2366

Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan

Proposal for a regulation

Article 25 – paragraph 1 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) practical training for professional users on the use of application equipment in professional use;

(b) practical training for professional users on the use of application equipment in professional use *with particular emphasis on health and safety aspects*;

Or. en

Amendment 2367

Sylvia Limmer

Proposal for a regulation

Article 25 – paragraph 1 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

c) umfassende Schulung von Beratern über die in Anhang III aufgeführten Inhalte mit besonderem Schwerpunkt auf der Anwendung des integrierten Pflanzenschutzes.

entfällt

Or. de

Amendment 2368

Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław Kalinowski, Joanna Kopcińska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

Proposal for a regulation

Article 25 – paragraph 1 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(c) *extensive training for advisors on the subjects listed in Annex III with particular emphasis on the application of integrated pest management.* **deleted**

Or. en

Amendment 2369

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjård

Proposal for a regulation

Article 25 – paragraph 1 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(c) **extensive** training for advisors on the subjects listed in Annex III with particular emphasis on the application of integrated pest management.

(c) Training for advisors on the subjects listed in Annex III with particular emphasis on the application of integrated pest management.

Or. en

Amendment 2370

Pascal Canfin, Martin Hojsík, Catherine Chabaud, Max Orville

Proposal for a regulation

Article 25 – paragraph 1 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

1 a. Certification schemes shall be delivered only if their associated trainings

include proper agronomics training allowing professional users to be able to assess the possibility to use the IPM hierarchy defined in Article 13 everytime it is feasible.

Or. en

Amendment 2371

Tudor Ciuhodaru

Proposal for a regulation

Article 25 – paragraph 1 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

1 a. *formare periodică în strânsă corelare cu apariția de noi produse fitosanitare pe piață și, mai ales, comercializarea de produse ce provin din afare UE și care riscă să nu respecte cerințele de protecție europene în domeniu.*

Or. ro

Amendment 2372

Martin Hojsík, Michal Wiek, María Soraya Rodríguez Ramos, Róza Thun und Hohenstein, Frédérique Ries

Proposal for a regulation

Article 25 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

2. Each Member State shall designate a competent authority or authorities responsible for the implementation of the system for the training and certification of all training referred to in paragraph 1 and for issuing and renewing training certificates, updating the central electronic register, providing proof of entry in the central electronic register and overseeing that the tasks referred to in paragraph 1 are

2. Each Member State shall designate a competent authority or authorities responsible for the implementation of the system for the training and certification of all training referred to in paragraph 1 and for issuing and renewing training certificates, updating the central electronic register, providing proof of entry in the central electronic register and overseeing that the tasks referred to in paragraph 1 are

carried out by the body that provided the training.

carried out by the body that provided the training. ***Member States shall ensure that staffing levels are sufficient to support application of this Regulation. All personnel of the competent authorities responsible for the implementation of this Regulation shall be free from any conflict of interests.***

Or. en

Amendment 2373

Sarah Wiener

Proposal for a regulation

Article 25 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. Each Member State shall designate a competent authority or authorities responsible for the implementation of the system for the training and certification of all training referred to in paragraph 1 and for issuing and renewing training certificates, updating the central electronic register, providing proof of entry in the central electronic register and overseeing that the tasks referred to in paragraph 1 are carried out by the body that provided the training.

Amendment

2. Each Member State shall designate a competent authority or authorities responsible for the implementation of the system for the training and certification of all training referred to in paragraph 1 and for issuing and renewing training certificates, updating the central electronic register, providing proof of entry in the central electronic register and overseeing that the tasks referred to in paragraph 1 are carried out by the body that provided the training. ***The training content shall be co-developed by national authorities that are responsible for the environmental risk assessment of pesticides.***

Or. en

Amendment 2374

Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan, Delara Burkhardt

Proposal for a regulation

Article 25 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. Each Member State shall designate a competent authority or authorities responsible for the implementation of the system for the training and certification of all training referred to in paragraph 1 and for issuing and renewing training certificates, updating the central electronic register, providing proof of entry in the central electronic register and overseeing that the tasks referred to in paragraph 1 are carried out by the body that provided the training.

Amendment

2. Each Member State shall designate a competent ***and independent*** authority or authorities responsible for the implementation of the system for the training and certification of all training referred to in paragraph 1 and for issuing and renewing training certificates, updating the central electronic register, providing proof of entry in the central electronic register and overseeing that the tasks referred to in paragraph 1 are carried out by the body that provided the training.
Competent authority in each Member State shall be composed of independent experts, free from any conflict of interests.

Or. en

Amendment 2375

Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Lena Düpont, Jens Gieseke, Angelika Niebler, Andreas Schwab, Ralf Seekatz, David McAllister, Christine Schneider, Stefan Berger, Sabine Verheyen, Rainer Wieland, Monika Hohlmeier, Michael Gahler, Christian Doleschal, Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Alexander Bernhuber, Daniel Caspary, Herbert Dorfmann, Simone Schmiedtbauer

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 25 – paragraph 2**

Text proposed by the Commission

(2) Jeder Mitgliedstaat benennt eine oder mehrere zuständige Behörden, die für die Umsetzung des Systems für die Schulungen und die Bescheinigung aller in Absatz 1 genannten Schulungen, für die Ausstellung und Erneuerung von Schulungsnachweisen, ***die Aktualisierung des zentralen elektronischen Registers, für den Nachweis der Erfassung im zentralen elektronischen Register*** sowie für die Überwachung der Ausführung der Aufgaben gemäß Absatz 1 durch die schulende Stelle verantwortlich sind.

Amendment

(2) Jeder Mitgliedstaat benennt eine oder mehrere zuständige Behörden, die für die Umsetzung des Systems für die Schulungen und die Bescheinigung aller in Absatz 1 genannten Schulungen, für die Ausstellung und Erneuerung von Schulungsnachweisen sowie für die Überwachung der Ausführung der Aufgaben gemäß Absatz 1 durch die schulende Stelle verantwortlich sind.

Amendment 2376

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjärd

Proposal for a regulation

Article 25 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. Each Member State shall designate a competent authority or authorities responsible for the implementation of the system for the training and certification of all training referred to in paragraph 1 and for issuing and renewing training certificates, **updating the central electronic register**, providing proof **of entry in the central electronic register** and overseeing that the tasks referred to in paragraph 1 are carried out by the body that provided the training.

Amendment

2. Each Member State shall designate a competent authority or authorities responsible for the implementation of the system for the training and certification of all training referred to in paragraph 1 and for issuing and renewing training certificates, providing proof and overseeing that the tasks referred to in paragraph 1 are carried out by the body that provided the training.

Amendment 2377

Sirpa Pietikäinen

Proposal for a regulation

Article 25 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. Each Member State shall designate a competent authority or authorities responsible for the implementation of the system for the training and certification of all training referred to in paragraph 1 and for issuing and renewing training certificates, updating the central electronic register, providing proof of entry in the central electronic register and overseeing that the tasks referred to in paragraph 1 are

Amendment

2. Each Member State shall designate a competent authority or authorities **of public health and environment** responsible for the implementation of the system for the training and certification of all training referred to in paragraph 1 and for issuing and renewing training certificates, updating the central electronic register, providing proof of entry in the central electronic register and overseeing

carried out by the body that provided the training.

that the tasks referred to in paragraph 1 are carried out by the body that provided the training.

Or. en

Amendment 2378

Franc Bogovič, Marlene Mortler, Ljudmila Novak, Alexander Bernhuber

Proposal for a regulation

Article 25 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. Each Member State shall designate a competent authority or authorities responsible for the implementation of the system for the training and certification of all training referred to in paragraph 1 and for issuing and renewing training certificates, updating the **central electronic** register, providing proof of entry in the **central electronic** register and overseeing that the tasks referred to in paragraph 1 are carried out by the body that provided the training.

Amendment

2. Each Member State shall designate a competent authority or authorities responsible for the implementation of the system for the training and certification of all training referred to in paragraph 1 and for issuing and renewing training certificates, updating the register, providing proof of entry in the register and overseeing that the tasks referred to in paragraph 1 are carried out by the body that provided the training.

Or. en

Amendment 2379

Sylvia Limmer

Proposal for a regulation

Article 25 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

(2) Jeder Mitgliedstaat benennt eine oder mehrere zuständige Behörden, die für die Umsetzung **des Systems für die** Schulungen und die **Bescheinigung** aller in Absatz 1 genannten Schulungen, für die Ausstellung und Erneuerung von Schulungsnachweisen, die Aktualisierung des **zentralen** elektronischen Registers, für

Amendment

(2) Jeder Mitgliedstaat benennt eine oder mehrere zuständige Behörden, die für die Umsetzung **und Durchführung der** Schulungen und die **Bescheinigungen** aller in Absatz 1 genannten Schulungen, für die Ausstellung und Erneuerung von Schulungsnachweisen, die Aktualisierung des elektronischen Registers, für den

den Nachweis der Erfassung im zentralen elektronischen Register sowie für die Überwachung der Ausführung der Aufgaben gemäß Absatz 1 **durch die schulende Stelle** verantwortlich sind.

Nachweis der Erfassung im zentralen elektronischen Register sowie für die Überwachung der Ausführung der Aufgaben gemäß Absatz 1 verantwortlich sind.

Or. de

Amendment 2380
Mathilde Androuët

Proposal for a regulation
Article 25 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

3. Les formations visées au paragraphe 1 pourraient s'inscrire dans des actions de formation menées par les États membres conformément à l'article 78 du règlement (UE) 2021/2115.

Amendment

3. Les formations visées au paragraphe 1 pourraient s'inscrire dans des actions de formation menées par les États membres conformément à l'article 78 du règlement (UE) 2021/2115. **Les Etats membres devraient proposer des formations à distance à partir de supports digitaux adaptés et des formations présentielles pour faciliter la participation des publics concernés.**

Or. fr

Amendment 2381
Franco Bogovič, Marlene Mortler, Ljudmila Novak, Alexander Bernhuber

Proposal for a regulation
Article 25 – paragraph 4 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

4. A training certificate or an entry in a **central electronic** register shall contain the following information:

Amendment

4. A training certificate or an entry in a register shall contain the following information:

Or. en

Amendment 2382

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjärd

Proposal for a regulation

Article 25 – paragraph 4 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

4. A training certificate or an entry in a **central electronic** register shall contain the following information:

Amendment

4. A training certificate or an entry in a register shall contain the following information:

Or. en

Amendment 2383

Sylvia Limmer

Proposal for a regulation

Article 25 – paragraph 4 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

a) den Namen des beruflichen Verwenders, des Vertreibers **oder Beraters**, der geschult wurde;

Amendment

a) den Namen des beruflichen Verwenders **oder** des Vertreibers, der geschult wurde;

Or. de

Amendment 2384

Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Lena Düpont, Jens Gieseke, Angelika Niebler, Andreas Schwab, Ralf Seekatz, David McAllister, Christine Schneider, Stefan Berger, Sabine Verheyen, Rainer Wieland, Monika Hohlmeier, Michael Gahler, Christian Doleschal, Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Alexander Bernhuber, Daniel Caspary, Herbert Dorfmann, Simone Schmiedtbauer

Proposal for a regulation

Article 25 – paragraph 4 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

b) **den Arbeitgeber des beruflichen Verwenders, Vertreibers oder Beraters, der geschult wurde, sofern es sich bei**

Amendment

entfällt

diesem Arbeitgeber um eine juristische Person oder eine natürliche Person in beruflicher Eigenschaft handelt;

Or. de

Amendment 2385

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjärd

Proposal for a regulation

Article 25 – paragraph 4 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) the employer of the professional user, distributor or advisor to whom the training was provided, where that employer is a legal person or a natural person in its professional capacity; ***deleted***

Or. en

Amendment 2386

Ulrike Müller, Emma Wiesner, Andreas Glück

Proposal for a regulation

Article 25 – paragraph 4 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) the employer of the professional user, distributor or advisor to whom the training was provided, where that employer is a legal person or a natural person in its professional capacity; ***deleted***

Or. en

Justification

It is not apparent why the employer of a professional user would be relevant information in a training certificate.

Amendment 2387

Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław Kalinowski, Joanna Kopcińska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

Proposal for a regulation

Article 25 – paragraph 4 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) the employer of the professional user, distributor or advisor to whom the training was provided, where that employer is a legal person or a natural person in its professional capacity;

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 2388

Sylvia Limmer

Proposal for a regulation

Article 25 – paragraph 4 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

b) den Arbeitgeber des beruflichen Verwenders, Vertreibers *oder Beraters*, der geschult wurde, sofern es sich bei diesem Arbeitgeber um eine juristische Person oder eine natürliche Person in beruflicher Eigenschaft handelt;

b) den Arbeitgeber des beruflichen Verwenders *oder* Vertreibers, der geschult wurde, sofern es sich bei diesem Arbeitgeber um eine juristische Person oder eine natürliche Person in beruflicher Eigenschaft handelt;

Or. de

Amendment 2389

Sylvia Limmer

Proposal for a regulation

Article 25 – paragraph 4 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

c) die Art der durchgeführten

c) die Art der durchgeführten

Schulung, wenn ein Mitgliedstaat unterschiedliche Arten von Schulungen für verschiedene Kategorien von beruflichen Verwendern, **von** Vertreibern **oder Beratern** verlangt;

Schulung, wenn ein Mitgliedstaat unterschiedliche Arten von Schulungen für verschiedene Kategorien von beruflichen Verwendern **oder** Vertreibern verlangt;

Or. de

Amendment 2390

Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław Kalinowski, Joanna Kopcińska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

Proposal for a regulation

Article 25 – paragraph 4 – point d

Text proposed by the Commission

(d) the date **on which sufficient knowledge** of the **relevant subjects listed in Annex III was demonstrated**;

Amendment

(d) the date **of issuance** of the **training certificate or entry in the central electronic register**;

Or. en

Amendment 2391

Sylvia Limmer

Proposal for a regulation

Article 25 – paragraph 4 – point e

Text proposed by the Commission

e) die Bezeichnung der **Stelle**, die die Schulung durchgeführt hat;

Amendment

e) die Bezeichnung der **Behörde**, die die Schulung durchgeführt hat;

Or. de

Amendment 2392

Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław Kalinowski, Joanna Kopcińska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

Proposal for a regulation

Article 25 – paragraph 4 – point f

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(f) *the number of hours of training;* *deleted*

Or. en

Amendment 2393

Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Lena Düpont, Jens Gieseke, Angelika Niebler, Andreas Schwab, Ralf Seekatz, David McAllister, Christine Schneider, Stefan Berger, Sabine Verheyen, Rainer Wieland, Monika Hohlmeier, Michael Gahler, Christian Doleschal, Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Alexander Bernhuber, Daniel Caspary, Herbert Dorfmann, Simone Schmiedtbauer

Proposal for a regulation

Article 25 – paragraph 4 – point f

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

f) *die Anzahl der Schulungsstunden;* *entfällt*

Or. de

Amendment 2394

Sylvia Limmer

Proposal for a regulation

Article 25 – paragraph 4 – point g

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

**g) die Gültigkeitsdauer des
Schulungsnachweises oder der Eintragung
in das **zentrale** elektronische Register.**

**g) die Gültigkeitsdauer des
Schulungsnachweises oder der Eintragung
in das elektronische Register.**

Or. de

Amendment 2395

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjärd

Proposal for a regulation

Article 25 – paragraph 4 – point g

Text proposed by the Commission

(g) the validity period of the training certificate or entry in ***the central electronic*** register.

Amendment

(g) the validity period of the training certificate or entry in ***a*** register.

Or. en

Amendment 2396

Franč Bogovič, Marlene Mortler, Ljudmila Novak, Alexander Bernhuber

Proposal for a regulation

Article 25 – paragraph 4 – point g

Text proposed by the Commission

(g) the validity period of the training certificate or entry in the ***central electronic*** register.

Amendment

(g) the validity period of the training certificate or entry in the register.

Or. en

Amendment 2397

Pietro Fiocchi

Proposal for a regulation

Article 25 – paragraph 4 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

4 bis. Gli Stati membri mettono in atto misure per promuovere ed incentivare la partecipazione ai programmi di formazione e certificazione, in particolare da parte delle microimprese e delle piccole e medie imprese (PMI).

Or. it

Amendment 2398

Maria Angela Danzi

on behalf of the Committee on the Environment, Public Health and Food Safety

Proposal for a regulation

Article 25 – paragraph 4 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

4 bis. Gli Stati membri mettono in atto misure per promuovere ed incentivare la partecipazione ai programmi di formazione e certificazione, in particolare da parte delle microimprese e delle piccole e medie imprese (PMI).

Or. it

Amendment 2399

Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław Kalinowski, Joanna Kopcińska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

Proposal for a regulation

Article 25 – paragraph 5

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

5. A competent authority designated in accordance with paragraph 2 shall provide electronic proof of entry in a central electronic register to a professional user, distributor or advisor at the time the entry is made. Such electronic proof shall include a record of the period of validity of the entry in the central electronic register.

5. 5. A competent authority designated in accordance with paragraph 2 **or body referred to in paragraph 1** shall provide electronic proof of entry in a central electronic register to a professional user, distributor or advisor at the time the entry is made. Such electronic proof shall include a record of the period of validity of the entry in the central electronic register.

Or. en

Justification

Where the delegated body is to provide training, this body shall provide electronic proof of entry in a central electronic register.

Amendment 2400

Franz Bogovič, Marlene Mortler, Ljudmila Novak, Alexander Bernhuber

Proposal for a regulation

Article 25 – paragraph 5

Text proposed by the Commission

5. A competent authority designated in accordance with paragraph 2 shall provide **electronic** proof of entry in a **central electronic** register to a professional user, distributor or advisor at the time the entry is made. Such **electronic** proof shall include a record of the period of validity of the entry in the **central electronic** register.

Amendment

5. A competent authority designated in accordance with paragraph 2 shall provide **a** proof of entry in a register to a professional user, distributor or advisor at the time the entry is made. Such proof shall include a record of the period of validity of the entry in the register.

Or. en

Amendment 2401

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franz Bogovič, Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjård

Proposal for a regulation

Article 25 – paragraph 5

Text proposed by the Commission

5. A competent authority designated in accordance with paragraph 2 shall provide **electronic** proof of entry in a **central electronic** register to a professional user, distributor or advisor at the time the entry is made. Such **electronic** proof shall include a record of the period of validity of the entry in the **central** electronic register.

Amendment

5. A competent authority designated in accordance with paragraph 2 shall provide proof of entry in a register to a professional user, distributor or advisor at the time the entry is made. Such proof shall include a record of the period of validity of the entry in the electronic register.

Or. en

Amendment 2402

Christophe Hansen

Proposal for a regulation

Article 25 – paragraph 5

Text proposed by the Commission

5. A competent authority designated in accordance with paragraph 2 shall provide electronic proof of entry in a central electronic register to a professional user, distributor or advisor at the time the entry is made. Such electronic proof shall include a record of the period of validity of the entry in the central electronic register.

Amendment

5. A competent authority designated in accordance with paragraph 2 shall provide, **upon request**, electronic proof of entry in a central electronic register to a professional user, distributor or advisor at the time the entry is made. Such electronic proof shall include a record of the period of validity of the entry in the central electronic register.

Or. en

Amendment 2403
Sylvia Limmer

Proposal for a regulation
Article 25 – paragraph 5

Text proposed by the Commission

(5) Eine gemäß Absatz 2 benannte zuständige Behörde stellt einem beruflichen Verwender, einem Vertreter **oder Berater** zum Zeitpunkt der Eintragung in ein **zentrales** elektronisches Register einen elektronischen Nachweis der Eintragung zur Verfügung. Dieser elektronische Nachweis enthält einen Vermerk über die Gültigkeitsdauer der Eintragung im **zentralen** elektronischen Register.

Amendment

(5) Eine gemäß Absatz 2 benannte zuständige Behörde stellt einem beruflichen Verwender **oder** einem Vertreter zum Zeitpunkt der Eintragung in ein elektronisches Register einen elektronischen Nachweis der Eintragung zur Verfügung. Dieser elektronische Nachweis enthält einen Vermerk über die Gültigkeitsdauer der Eintragung im elektronischen Register.

Or. de

Amendment 2404
Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjård

Proposal for a regulation
Article 25 – paragraph 6

Text proposed by the Commission

6. A training certificate or an entry in **a central** electronic register shall be valid for **10** years in the case of **a** distributor or professional user and for 5 years in the case of an advisor.

Amendment

6. A training certificate or an entry in **an** electronic register shall be valid for **6** years in the case of **an independent** distributor or professional user and for 5 years in the case of an advisor. **Trainings may at last partially also be delivered in a virtual format, where appropriate and feasible.**

Or. en

Amendment 2405
Sylvia Limmer

Proposal for a regulation
Article 25 – paragraph 6

Text proposed by the Commission

(6) Ein Schulungsnachweis oder eine Eintragung in ein **zentrales** elektronisches Register sind im Falle eines Vertreibers oder beruflichen Verwenders zehn Jahre lang **und im Falle eines Beraters fünf Jahre lang** gültig.

Amendment

(6) Ein Schulungsnachweis oder eine Eintragung in ein elektronisches Register sind im Falle eines Vertreibers oder beruflichen Verwenders zehn Jahre lang gültig.

Or. de

Amendment 2406
Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation
Article 25 – paragraph 6

Text proposed by the Commission

6. A training certificate or an entry in a central electronic register shall be valid for **10** years in the case of a distributor or professional user and for 5 years in the case of an advisor.

Amendment

6. A training certificate or an entry in a central electronic register shall be valid for **5** years in the case of a distributor or professional user and for **2,5** years in the case of an advisor.

Amendment 2407

Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław Kalinowski, Joanna Kopcińska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

Proposal for a regulation

Article 25 – paragraph 6

Text proposed by the Commission

6. A training certificate or an entry in a central electronic register shall be valid for **10** years in the case of a distributor **or** professional user and **for 5 years in the case of** an advisor.

Amendment

6. A training certificate or an entry in a central electronic register shall be valid for **5** years in the case of a distributor, professional user and an advisor.

Amendment 2408

Franč Bogovič, Marlene Mortler, Ljudmila Novak, Alexander Bernhuber

Proposal for a regulation

Article 25 – paragraph 6

Text proposed by the Commission

6. A training certificate or an entry in a **central electronic** register shall be valid for 10 years in the case of a distributor or professional user and for 5 years in the case of an advisor.

Amendment

6. A training certificate or an entry in a register shall be valid for 10 years in the case of a distributor or professional user and for 5 years in the case of an advisor.

Amendment 2409

Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław Kalinowski, Joanna Kopcińska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

Proposal for a regulation

Article 25 – paragraph 7

Text proposed by the Commission

7. Subject to paragraph 6, a training certificate or an entry in a central electronic register shall only be made or renewed if the **holder of the certificate or the person whose name has been entered in the central electronic register** demonstrates satisfactory completion of an initial **and** follow up training **or extensive training referred to in paragraph 1, point (a) or (c)**.

Amendment

7. Subject to paragraph 6, a training certificate or an entry in a central electronic register shall only be made or renewed if the **professional user, distributor or advisor** demonstrates satisfactory completion of an initial **or** follow up training **and pass an exam on the knowledge covered by the** training.

Or. en

Amendment 2410

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjård

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 25 – paragraph 7**

Text proposed by the Commission

7. Subject to paragraph 6, a training certificate or an entry in a **central electronic** register shall only be made or renewed if the holder of the certificate or the person whose name has been entered in the **central** electronic register demonstrates satisfactory completion of an initial and follow up training **or extensive training** referred to in paragraph 1, point (a) or (c).

Amendment

7. Subject to paragraph 6, a training certificate or an entry in a register shall only be made or renewed if the holder of the certificate or the person whose name has been entered in the electronic register demonstrates satisfactory completion of an initial and follow up training referred to in paragraph 1, point (a) or (c).

Or. en

Amendment 2411

Franc Bogovič, Marlene Mortler, Ljudmila Novak, Alexander Bernhuber

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 25 – paragraph 7**

Text proposed by the Commission

7. Subject to paragraph 6, a training certificate or an entry in a **central electronic** register shall only be made or renewed if the holder of the certificate or the person whose name has been entered in the **central electronic** register demonstrates satisfactory completion of an initial and follow up training or extensive training referred to in paragraph 1, point (a) or (c).

Amendment

7. Subject to paragraph 6, a training certificate or an entry in a register shall only be made or renewed if the holder of the certificate or the person whose name has been entered in the register demonstrates satisfactory completion of an initial and follow up training or extensive training referred to in paragraph 1, point (a) or (c).

Or. en

Amendment 2412
Sylvia Limmer

Proposal for a regulation
Article 25 – paragraph 7

Text proposed by the Commission

(7) Vorbehaltlich des Absatzes 6 wird ein Schulungsnachweis nur ausgestellt oder eine Eintragung in ein **zentrales** elektronisches Register nur vorgenommen oder erneuert, wenn der Inhaber des Nachweises oder die Person, deren Name in das **zentrale** elektronische Register eingetragen wurde, nachweist, dass eine Erst- und Folgeschulung bzw. eine umfassende Schulung gemäß Absatz 1 Buchstabe a oder c zufriedenstellend abgeschlossen wurde.

Amendment

(7) Vorbehaltlich des Absatzes 6 wird ein Schulungsnachweis nur ausgestellt oder eine Eintragung in ein elektronisches Register nur vorgenommen oder erneuert, wenn der Inhaber des Nachweises oder die Person, deren Name in das elektronische Register eingetragen wurde, nachweist, dass eine Erst- und Folgeschulung bzw. eine umfassende Schulung gemäß Absatz 1 Buchstabe a oder c zufriedenstellend abgeschlossen wurde.

Or. de

Amendment 2413
Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław Kalinowski, Joanna Kopcińska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

Proposal for a regulation
Article 25 – paragraph 8

Text proposed by the Commission

8. Notwithstanding paragraph 6, a training certificate may be issued to a person who can demonstrate **prior training through formal qualifications that demonstrate a more extensive knowledge of the subjects listed in Annex III than would be received in the training referred to in paragraph 1.**

Amendment

8. Notwithstanding paragraph 6, a training certificate may be issued to a person who can demonstrate **knowledge equal to** knowledge **covered by** the training. **If such knowledge has been obtain during education process, it should be proved by a secondary school or an university.**

Or. en

Amendment 2414

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjård

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 25 – paragraph 9**

Text proposed by the Commission

9. A competent authority designated in accordance with paragraph 2 or an appointed body referred to in paragraph 1 shall withdraw a training certificate if it was incorrectly issued or renewed or shall correct an entry in **the central electronic** register if it was incorrectly introduced.

Amendment

9. A competent authority designated in accordance with paragraph 2 or an appointed body referred to in paragraph 1 shall withdraw a training certificate if it was incorrectly issued or renewed or shall correct an entry in **a** register if it was incorrectly introduced.

Or. en

Amendment 2415

Franc Bogovič, Marlene Mortler, Ljudmila Novak, Alexander Bernhuber

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 25 – paragraph 9**

Text proposed by the Commission

9. A competent authority designated in accordance with paragraph 2 or an appointed body referred to in paragraph 1

Amendment

9. A competent authority designated in accordance with paragraph 2 or an appointed body referred to in paragraph 1

shall withdraw a training certificate if it was incorrectly issued or renewed or shall correct an entry in the **central electronic** register if it was incorrectly introduced.

shall withdraw a training certificate if it was incorrectly issued or renewed or shall correct an entry in the register if it was incorrectly introduced.

Or. en

Amendment 2416

Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław Kalinowski, Joanna Kopcińska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

Proposal for a regulation

Article 25 – paragraph 9 – subparagraph 1a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

A competent authority designated in accordance with paragraph 2 shall withdraw a training certificate in case of serious violation of the law concerning providing an advice, sales, storage or use of plant protection product by a certificate holder. .

Or. en

Amendment 2417

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjård

Proposal for a regulation

Article 25 – paragraph 10

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

10. The Commission is empowered to adopt delegated acts in accordance with Article 40 amending Annex III in order to take into account technical progress and scientific developments.

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 2418

Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan, Delara Burkhardt

Proposal for a regulation

Article 25 – paragraph 10 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

10 a. Member States shall ensure that employers provide training to workers using plant protection products and acting as professional users.

Or. en

Amendment 2419

Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan, Günther Sidl, Delara Burkhardt

Proposal for a regulation

Article 25 – paragraph 10 b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

10 b. Training provided by employers to workers shall be free of cost and shall count and be remunerated as working time and, where possible, shall take place during working hours in accordance with Directive 2019/1152^{1a}.

^{1a} Directive (EU) 2019/1152 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 20 June 2019 on transparent and predictable working conditions in the European Union (OJ L 186, 11.7.2019, p. 105)

Or. en

Amendment 2420

Sylvia Limmer

Proposal for a regulation

Article 26

Artikel 26

entfällt

System der unabhängigen Beratung

- (1) Jeder Mitgliedstaat benennt eine zuständige Behörde für die Einrichtung, Beaufsichtigung und Überwachung des Funktionierens eines Systems unabhängiger Berater für berufliche Verwender. Dieses System kann sich der unparteiischen landwirtschaftlichen Betriebsberater gemäß Artikel 15 der Verordnung (EU) 2021/2115 bedienen, die regelmäßig geschult werden müssen und gemäß Artikel 78 der genannten Verordnung finanziert werden können.**
- (2) Die zuständige Behörde gemäß Absatz 1 stellt sicher, dass sich kein Berater, der in dem dort genannten System registriert ist (im Folgenden „unabhängiger Berater“), in einem Interessenkonflikt befindet und sich insbesondere nicht in einer Situation befindet, die seine Fähigkeit zur unparteiischen Wahrnehmung seiner beruflichen Aufgaben direkt oder indirekt beeinträchtigen könnte.**
- (3) Jeder berufliche Verwender holt mindestens einmal jährlich bei einem unabhängigen Berater die strategische Beratung gemäß Absatz 4 ein.**
- (4) Ein in Absatz 3 genannter Berater bietet eine strategische Beratung zu folgenden Inhalten an:**
- a) Anwendung einschlägiger Bekämpfungsverfahren zur Verhütung des Auftretens von Schadorganismen;**
 - b) Durchführung des integrierten Pflanzenschutzes;**
 - c) Techniken der Präzisionslandwirtschaft, einschließlich des Einsatzes von Satellitendaten und -diensten;**

- d) **Verwendung nichtchemischer Methoden;**
- e) **falls chemische Pflanzenschutzmittel erforderlich sind, Maßnahmen zur wirksamen Minimierung der Risiken für die menschliche Gesundheit und die Umwelt, insbesondere für die biologische Vielfalt, einschließlich der Bestäuber, die mit einer solchen Verwendung verbunden sind, einschließlich Maßnahmen und Techniken zur Risikominderung.**

Or. de

Amendment 2421
Christophe Hansen

Proposal for a regulation
Article 26

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article 26

deleted

Independent advisory system

1. Each Member State shall designate a competent authority to establish, oversee and monitor the operation of a system of independent advisors for professional users. That system may make use of the impartial farm advisors referred to in Article 15 of Regulation (EU) No 2021/2115, who must be regularly trained and can be funded under Article 78 of the same regulation.

2. The competent authority referred to in paragraph 1 shall ensure that any advisor registered in the system referred to in that paragraph ('independent advisor') is free from any conflict of interest and, in particular, is not in a situation which, directly or indirectly, could affect their ability to carry out their professional duties in an impartial manner.

3. *Each professional user shall consult an independent advisor at least once a year for the purposes of receiving the strategic advice referred to in paragraph 4.*

4. *An advisor referred to in paragraph 3 shall provide strategic advice on the following subjects:*

- (a) application of relevant control techniques to prevent harmful organisms;*
- (b) implementation of integrated pest management;*
- (c) precision farming techniques, including use of space data and services;*
- (d) use of non-chemical methods;*
- (e) where chemical plant protection products are necessary, measures to effectively minimise risks to human health and the environment, in particular to biodiversity, including pollinators, from such use, including risk mitigation measures and techniques.*

Or. en

Amendment 2422

Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Lena Düpont, Jens Gieseke, Angelika Niebler, Andreas Schwab, Ralf Seekatz, David McAllister, Christine Schneider, Stefan Berger, Sabine Verheyen, Rainer Wieland, Monika Hohlmeier, Michael Gahler, Christian Doleschal, Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Alexander Bernhuber, Daniel Caspary, Herbert Dorfmann, Simone Schmiedtbauer

Proposal for a regulation Article 26 – title

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

System der **unabhängigen** Beratung

System der **fachlichen** Beratung

Or. de

Amendment 2423

Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Lena Düpont, Jens Gieseke, Angelika Niebler, Andreas Schwab, Ralf Seekatz, David McAllister, Christine Schneider, Stefan Berger, Sabine Verheyen, Rainer Wieland, Monika Hohlmeier, Michael Gahler, Christian Doleschal, Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Alexander Bernhuber, Daniel Caspary, Herbert Dorfmann, Simone Schmiedtbauer

Proposal for a regulation

Article 26 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

(1) Jeder Mitgliedstaat benennt eine zuständige Behörde für die Einrichtung, Beaufsichtigung und Überwachung des Funktionierens eines Systems **unabhängiger** Berater für berufliche Verwender. Dieses System **kann sich der unparteiischen landwirtschaftlichen Betriebsberater gemäß Artikel 15 der Verordnung (EU) 2021/2115 bedienen, die regelmäßig geschult werden müssen und gemäß Artikel 78 der genannten Verordnung finanziert werden können.**

Amendment

(1) Jeder Mitgliedstaat benennt eine zuständige Behörde für die Einrichtung, Beaufsichtigung und Überwachung des Funktionierens eines Systems **fachlicher** Berater für berufliche Verwender. Dieses System **besteht aus nach Artikel 25 ausgebildeten Beratern.**

Or. de

Amendment 2424

Sirpa Pietikäinen

Proposal for a regulation

Article 26 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Each Member State shall designate a competent authority to establish, oversee and monitor the operation of a system of independent advisors for professional users. That system may make use of the impartial farm advisors referred to in Article 15 of Regulation (EU) No 2021/2115, who must be regularly trained and can be funded under Article 78 of the same regulation.

Amendment

1. Each Member State shall designate a competent authority **of public health and the environment** to establish, oversee and monitor the operation of a system of independent advisors for professional users. That system may make use of the impartial farm advisors referred to in Article 15 of Regulation (EU) No 2021/2115, who must be regularly trained and can be funded under Article 78 of the same regulation. **Advisors shall not have any commercial or private interests in the**

Amendment 2425

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjärd

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 26 – paragraph 1**

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Each Member State shall designate a competent authority to establish, oversee and monitor the operation of a system of independent advisors for professional users. That system may make use of the impartial farm advisors referred to in Article 15 of Regulation (EU) No 2021/2115, who must be regularly trained and can be funded under Article 78 of the same regulation.

Amendment

1. ***Within 6 months after entering into force***, each Member State shall designate a competent authority to establish, oversee and monitor the operation of a system of independent advisors for professional users. That system may make use of the impartial farm advisors referred to in Article 15 of Regulation (EU) No 2021/2115, who must be regularly trained and can be funded under Article 78 of the same regulation.

Amendment 2426

Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Lena Düpont, Jens Gieseke, Angelika Niebler, Andreas Schwab, Ralf Seekatz, David McAllister, Christine Schneider, Stefan Berger, Sabine Verheyen, Rainer Wieland, Monika Hohlmeier, Michael Gahler, Christian Doleschal, Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Alexander Bernhuber, Daniel Caspary, Herbert Dorfmann, Simone Schmiedtbauer

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 26 – paragraph 2**

Text proposed by the Commission

(2) Die zuständige Behörde gemäß Absatz 1 stellt sicher, dass sich kein Berater, der in dem dort genannten System registriert ist (im Folgenden „unabhängiger Berater“), in einem

Amendment

entfällt

Interessenkonflikt befindet und sich insbesondere nicht in einer Situation befindet, die seine Fähigkeit zur unparteiischen Wahrnehmung seiner beruflichen Aufgaben direkt oder indirekt beeinträchtigen könnte.

Or. de

Amendment 2427

Dan-Ştefan Motreanu, Carmen Avram, Marian-Jean Marinescu, Daniel Buda

Proposal for a regulation Article 26 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. The competent authority referred to in paragraph 1 shall ensure that any advisor registered in the system referred to in that paragraph ('independent advisor') ***is free from any conflict of interest and, in particular, is not in a situation*** which, directly or indirectly, could affect their ability to carry out their professional duties in an impartial manner.

Amendment

2. ***In the interest of transparency***, the competent authority referred to in paragraph 1 shall ensure that any advisor registered in the system referred to in that paragraph ('independent advisor') ***declare any links to commercial interests*** which, directly or indirectly, could affect their ability to carry out their professional duties in an impartial manner. ***The advisor shall be a trained agronomist and have the appropriate skills to promote and advise farmers on the use of conventional crop protection, biopesticides as well digital and precision technologies.***

Or. en

Amendment 2428

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation Article 26 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. The competent authority referred to in paragraph 1 shall ensure that any advisor registered in the system referred to in that

Amendment

2. The competent authority referred to in paragraph 1 shall ensure that any advisor registered in the system referred to in that

paragraph ('independent advisor') is free from any conflict of interest and, in particular, is not in a situation which, directly or indirectly, could affect their ability to carry out their professional duties in an impartial manner.

paragraph ('independent advisor') is free from any conflict of interest and, in particular, is not in a situation which, directly or indirectly, could affect their ability to carry out their professional duties in an impartial manner. ***This shall include the requirement that the advisor has not have ties of whatever sort to an undertaking involved in the manufacturing, distribution or sale of pesticides in the five preceding years.***

Or. en

Amendment 2429

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjärd

Proposal for a regulation Article 26 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. The competent authority ***referred to*** in paragraph 1 ***shall ensure that any advisor registered in the system referred to in that paragraph*** ('independent advisor') is free from any conflict of interest and, in particular, is not in a situation which, directly or indirectly, could affect their ability to carry out their professional duties in an impartial manner.

Amendment

2. ***The independent advisor must be able to demonstrate, if requested by*** the competent authority ***in accordance with*** paragraph 1, ***that the*** independent advisor is free from any conflict of interest and, in particular, is not in a situation which, directly or indirectly, could affect their ability to carry out their professional duties in an impartial manner.

Or. en

Amendment 2430

Esther de Lange

Proposal for a regulation Article 26 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. The competent authority referred to

Amendment

2. The competent authority referred to

in paragraph 1 shall ensure that any advisor registered in the system referred to in that paragraph ('independent advisor') is free from any conflict of interest and, in particular, is not in a situation which, ***directly or indirectly***, could affect their ability to carry out their professional duties in an impartial manner.

in paragraph 1 shall ensure that any advisor registered in the system referred to in that paragraph ('independent advisor') is free from any conflict of interest and, in particular, is not in a situation which could affect their ability to carry out their professional duties in an impartial manner.

Or. en

Amendment 2431

Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław Kalinowski, Joanna Kopcińska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

Proposal for a regulation Article 26 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

3. Each professional user shall consult an independent advisor at least once a year for the purposes of receiving the strategic advice referred to in paragraph 4. ***deleted***

Or. en

Amendment 2432

Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Peter Lundgren, Hermann Tertsch, Mazaly Aguilar, Evžen Tošenovský, Sergio Berlato, Jorge Buxadé Villalba, Eugen Jurzyca, Pietro Fiocchi, Charlie Weimers, Alexander Bernhuber, Johan Nissinen

Proposal for a regulation Article 26 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

3. Each professional user shall consult an independent advisor at least once a year for the purposes of receiving the strategic advice referred to in paragraph 4. ***deleted***

Or. en

Justification

This is non-sense. The professional user might have much higher education/experience, etc in the given field than 'an independent advisor'.

Amendment 2433

Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Lena Düpont, Jens Gieseke, Angelika Niebler, Andreas Schwab, Ralf Seekatz, David McAllister, Christine Schneider, Stefan Berger, Sabine Verheyen, Rainer Wieland, Monika Hohlmeier, Michael Gahler, Christian Doleschal, Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Alexander Bernhuber, Daniel Caspary, Herbert Dorfmann, Simone Schmiedtbauer

Proposal for a regulation

Article 26 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(3) Jeder berufliche Verwender holt mindestens einmal jährlich bei einem unabhängigen Berater die strategische Beratung gemäß Absatz 4 ein.

entfällt

Or. de

Amendment 2434

Jan Huitema, Emma Wiesner, Ulrike Müller, Erik Poulsen, Andreas Glück, Asger Christensen, Billy Kelleher

Proposal for a regulation

Article 26 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

3. Each professional user shall consult an independent advisor at least once a year for the purposes of receiving the strategic advice referred to in paragraph 4.

3. Each professional user shall consult an independent advisor at least once a year for the purposes of receiving the strategic advice referred to in paragraph 4. ***Where the circumstances of the plant protection measures taken by the professional user have not changed significantly, a shortened version of the strategic advice may be given, and the user does not have to fulfill all the requirements of paragraph 4.***

The strategic advice shall be designed in such a way that it will not cause disproportionate administrative burden or cost to professional users.

Member States may, as part of their National Action Plan, define criteria under which professional users do not have to comply with article 3 and 4 of this paragraph. Such criteria shall ensure that only professional users are excluded for which the benefits of the strategic advice will be negligible.

Or. en

Amendment 2435

Esther de Lange

Proposal for a regulation

Article 26 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

3. Each professional user shall consult an independent advisor at least once a year for the purposes of receiving the strategic advice referred to in paragraph 4.

Amendment

3. Each professional user shall consult an independent advisor at least once a year for the purposes of receiving the strategic advice referred to in paragraph 4. ***Such consulting shall be voluntary for productions and types of holdings listed by the Member State as having low plant protection product use or risk.***

Or. en

Amendment 2436

Silvia Sardone

Proposal for a regulation

Article 26 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

3. ***Ciascun utilizzatore professionale si rivolge a un consulente indipendente***

Amendment

3. ***Ogni utente che non si avvale già di strumenti digitali per il monitoraggio***

almeno una volta *l'anno allo scopo di ricevere i pareri strategici* di cui al paragrafo 4.

dell'utilizzo dei prodotti di difesa oggetto di un servizio di consulenza aziendale riconosciuto, si avvale di una consulenza strategica almeno una volta *all'anno* di cui al paragrafo 4.

Or. it

Amendment 2437

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation

Article 26 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

3. Each professional user shall consult an independent advisor at least once a year for the purposes of receiving the strategic advice referred to in paragraph 4.

Amendment

3. Each professional user shall consult an independent advisor at least once a year for the purposes of receiving the strategic advice referred to in paragraph 4 **on each relevant crop**.

Or. en

Amendment 2438

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjård, Margarita de la Pisa Carrión

Proposal for a regulation

Article 26 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

3. Each professional user shall consult an independent advisor **at least once a year** for the purposes of receiving the strategic advice referred to in paragraph 4.

Amendment

3. Each professional user shall consult an independent advisor **every 6 years** for the purposes of receiving the strategic advice referred to in paragraph 4.

Or. en

Amendment 2439

Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław

Proposal for a regulation
Article 26 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

4. *An advisor referred to in paragraph 3 shall provide strategic advice on the following subjects:* **deleted**

(a) *application of relevant control techniques to prevent harmful organisms;*

(b) *implementation of integrated pest management;*

(c) *precision farming techniques, including use of space data and services;*

(d) *use of non-chemical methods;*

(e) *where chemical plant protection products are necessary, measures to effectively minimise risks to human health and the environment, in particular to biodiversity, including pollinators, from such use, including risk mitigation measures and techniques.*

Or. en

Justification

Consistent with the Ams to Art. 26(3).

Amendment 2440

Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Lena Düpont, Jens Gieseke, Angelika Niebler, Andreas Schwab, Ralf Seekatz, David McAllister, Christine Schneider, Stefan Berger, Sabine Verheyen, Rainer Wieland, Monika Hohlmeier, Michael Gahler, Christian Doleschal, Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Alexander Bernhuber, Daniel Caspary, Herbert Dorfmann, Simone Schmiedtbauer

Proposal for a regulation
Article 26 – paragraph 4 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(4) Ein **in Absatz 3 genannter** Berater bietet eine strategische Beratung zu

(4) Ein **fachlicher** Berater bietet eine strategische Beratung zu folgenden

folgenden Inhalten an:

Inhalten an:

Or. de

Amendment 2441

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation

Article 26 – paragraph 4 – point -a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(-a) agro-ecological and organic strategies and principles to agriculture, including preventive measures and techniques for harmful organisms;

Or. en

Amendment 2442

Jan Huitema, Emma Wiesner, Ulrike Müller, Erik Poulsen, Nils Torvalds, Andreas Glück, Asger Christensen, Billy Kelleher

Proposal for a regulation

Article 26 – paragraph 4 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(a) application of relevant control techniques to prevent harmful organisms;

(a) application of relevant control techniques to prevent harmful organisms **and diseases;**

Or. en

Amendment 2443

Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan

Proposal for a regulation

Article 26 – paragraph 4 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) implementation of integrated pest

(b) implementation of integrated pest

management;

management, ***including the use of non-chemical methods and low input farming systems***;

Or. en

Amendment 2444
Martin Häusling

Proposal for a regulation
Article 26 – paragraph 4 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) implementation of integrated pest management;

(b) implementation of integrated pest management ***and low input farming systems***;

Or. en

Amendment 2445
Ulrike Müller, Jan Huitema, Emma Wiesner, Andreas Glück

Proposal for a regulation
Article 26 – paragraph 4 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(c) precision farming techniques, including use of space data and services;

(c) ***digital and*** precision farming ***tools and*** techniques, including use of ***data-based decision-support systems and*** space data and services;

Or. en

Justification

Digital tools have a huge potential to improve agronomic practices and should be an explicit part of strategic advice to farmers.

Amendment 2446
Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Peter Lundgren, Hermann Tertsch, Mazaly Aguilar, Evžen Tošenovský, Sergio Berlato, Jorge Buxadé Villalba, Eugen Jurzyca,

Pietro Fiocchi, Charlie Weimers, Johan Nissinen

Proposal for a regulation

Article 26 – paragraph 4 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(c) precision farming techniques,
including use of space data and services;

(c) precision farming techniques,
including use of ***seed treatments***, space
data and services;

Or. en

Amendment 2447

Dan-Ștefan Motreanu, Carmen Avram, Marian-Jean Marinescu, Daniel Buda

Proposal for a regulation

Article 26 – paragraph 4 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(c) precision farming techniques,
including use of space data and services;

(c) precision farming techniques,
including use of ***seed treatment***, space data
and services;

Or. en

Amendment 2448

**Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Waldemar
Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjård, Margarita de la
Pisa Carrión**

Proposal for a regulation

Article 26 – paragraph 4 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(c) precision farming techniques,
including use of space data and services;

(c) precision farming techniques;

Or. en

Amendment 2449

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation

Article 26 – paragraph 4 – point e

Text proposed by the Commission

(e) where chemical plant protection products are necessary, measures to effectively minimise risks to human health and the environment, in particular to biodiversity, including pollinators, **from such use**, including risk mitigation measures and techniques.

Amendment

(e) where chemical plant protection products are **deemed** necessary **as last resort**, measures **to apply it to only the target organism and** to effectively minimise risks **from such use** to human **and animal** health and the environment, in particular to **bystanders and rural residents**, biodiversity, including pollinators **and other beneficial and non-target organisms**, including risk **and emission** mitigation measures and techniques.

Or. en

Amendment 2450

Martin Hojsík, Michal Wiezik, María Soraya Rodríguez Ramos, Róza Thun und Hohenstein, Frédérique Ries

Proposal for a regulation

Article 26 – paragraph 4 – point e

Text proposed by the Commission

(e) where chemical plant protection products are necessary, measures to effectively minimise risks to human health and the environment, in particular to biodiversity, including pollinators, from such use, including risk mitigation measures and techniques.

Amendment

(e) where chemical plant protection products are necessary, measures to effectively **prevent and** minimise risks to human health and the environment, in particular to biodiversity, including **managed and wild** pollinators, **pest control organisms, and soil biodiversity**, from such use, including risk mitigation measures and techniques.

Or. en

Amendment 2451

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjård

Proposal for a regulation

Article 26 – paragraph 4 – point e

Text proposed by the Commission

(e) ***where chemical plant protection products are necessary***, measures to effectively minimise risks to human health and the environment, in particular to biodiversity, including pollinators, from such use, including risk mitigation measures and techniques.

Amendment

(e) Measures to effectively minimise risks to human health and the environment, in particular to biodiversity, including pollinators, from such use, including risk mitigation measures and techniques.

Or. en

Amendment 2452

Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan

Proposal for a regulation

Article 26 – paragraph 4 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

4 a. Publicly funded independent advisory systems should not provide advice on or promote private patented technology.

Or. en

Amendment 2453

Tudor Ciuhodaru

Proposal for a regulation

Article 26 – paragraph 4 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

4 a. apariția și comercializarea de noi produse fitosanitare de combatere a

Amendment 2454

Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan

Proposal for a regulation

Article 27 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Each Member State shall designate a competent authority to provide information to **the** public, in particular through awareness-raising programmes, in relation to the risks associated with the use of plant protection products.

Amendment

1. Each Member State shall designate a competent authority **for public health and the environment** to provide **comprehensive** information to **workers and employers acting as professional users, local communities and the general** public, in particular through **information and** awareness-raising programmes **and campaigns**, in relation to the **health and environmental** risks associated with the use of **and exposure to** plant protection products. **The campaigns and programmes should be linked to EU and national cancer prevention and treatment programmes and, in general, health programmes.**

Amendment 2455

Robert Roos, Rob Rooker

Proposal for a regulation

Article 27 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Elke lidstaat wijst een bevoegde instantie aan om informatie aan burgers te verstrekken, in het bijzonder door middel van bewustmakingsprogramma's, over de risico's die verband houden met het

Amendment

1. Elke lidstaat wijst een bevoegde instantie aan om informatie aan burgers te verstrekken, in het bijzonder door middel van bewustmakingsprogramma's, over de risico's die verband houden met het gebruik van gewasbeschermingsmiddelen,

gebruik van gewasbeschermingsmiddelen.

tegelijktijd moet de bevoegde instantie ook informatie geven over de noodzaak van het gebruik van gewasbeschermingsmiddelen. De bevoegde instantie verstrekt genuanceerde en gebalanceerde informatie.

Or. nl

Amendment 2456

Martin Hojsik, Michal Wiezik, María Soraya Rodríguez Ramos, Róza Thun und Hohenstein, Frédérique Ries

Proposal for a regulation Article 27 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Each Member State shall designate a competent authority to provide information to the public, in particular through awareness-raising programmes, in relation to the risks associated with the use of plant protection products.

Amendment

1. Each Member State shall designate a competent authority to provide information to the public, in particular through ***regular and seasonal*** awareness-raising programmes ***and campaigns***, in relation to the risks associated with the use of plant protection products ***and the impact thereof on human health and environment, including non-target organisms which provide key ecosystem services, such as pollinators.***

Or. en

Amendment 2457

Sarah Wiener, Jutta Paulus

Proposal for a regulation Article 27 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Each Member State shall designate a competent authority to provide information to the public, in particular through awareness-raising programmes, in relation to the risks associated with the use

Amendment

1. Each Member State shall designate a competent authority to provide information to the public, in particular through awareness-raising programmes, in relation to the risks associated with the use

of plant protection products.

of plant protection products. ***The information content is co-developed by national authorities that are responsible for the environmental risk assessment of pesticides.***

Or. en

Amendment 2458

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjärd

Proposal for a regulation Article 27 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Each Member State shall designate a competent authority to provide information to the public, in particular through awareness-raising programmes, in relation to the risks associated with the use of plant protection products.

Amendment

1. Each Member State shall designate a competent authority to provide information to the public, in particular through awareness-raising programmes ***on the occurrence of harmful organisms and the associated risks for food quality and*** in relation to the risks associated with the use of plant protection products.

Or. en

Amendment 2459

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation Article 27 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Each Member State shall designate a competent authority to provide information to the public, in particular through awareness-raising programmes, in relation to the risks associated with the use of ***plant protection products***.

Amendment

1. Each Member State shall designate a competent authority to provide ***independent and up-to-date*** information to the public, in particular through awareness-raising programmes, in relation to the risks associated with the use of ***and exposure to pesticides and their residues***.

Amendment 2460

Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław Kalinowski, Joanna Kopcińska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

Proposal for a regulation

Article 27 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Each Member State shall designate a competent authority to provide information to the public, in ***particular through awareness-raising programmes, in*** relation to ***the risks associated with*** the use of plant protection products.

Amendment

1. Each Member State shall designate a competent authority to provide information to the public, in relation to the use of plant protection products.

Amendment 2461

Ulrike Müller, Jan Huitema, Emma Wiesner, Andreas Glück

Proposal for a regulation

Article 27 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Each Member State shall designate a competent authority to provide information to the public, in particular through awareness-raising programmes, in relation to the risks associated with the use of plant protection products.

Amendment

1. Each Member State shall designate a competent authority to provide information to the public, in particular through awareness-raising programmes, in relation to the ***benefits and*** risks associated with the use of plant protection products.

Justification

Plant protection products are used in agriculture to protect crops from pests, contributing to higher and stable yields and consequently to food security, as well as to tackle threats to human and animal health, such as mycotoxins. Singling out risks associated with plant protection products is ideologically driven, information to the public should be balanced and address benefits and risks alike.

Amendment 2462
Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan

Proposal for a regulation
Article 27 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. The competent authority referred to in paragraph 1 shall establish a website or websites dedicated to providing information on risks associated with the use of plant protection products. That information may be provided directly or by providing links to relevant websites of other national or international bodies.

Amendment

2. The competent authority referred to in paragraph 1 shall establish a website or websites dedicated to providing information on risks associated with the use of **and exposure to** plant protection products **and information and awareness-raising programmes, and campaigns referred to in paragraph 1.** That information may be provided directly or by providing links to relevant websites of other national or international bodies.

Or. en

Amendment 2463
Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjärd

Proposal for a regulation
Article 27 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. The competent authority referred to in paragraph 1 shall establish a website or websites dedicated to providing information on risks associated with the use of plant protection products. That information may be provided directly or by providing links to relevant websites of other national or international bodies.

Amendment

2. The competent authority referred to in paragraph 1 shall establish a website or websites dedicated to providing information **on the appearance of harmful organisms and** on risks associated with the use of plant protection products. That information may be provided directly or by providing links to relevant websites of other national or international bodies.

Or. en

Amendment 2464

Ulrike Müller, Jan Huitema, Emma Wiesner, Andreas Glück

Proposal for a regulation

Article 27 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. The competent authority referred to in paragraph 1 shall establish a website or websites dedicated to providing information on risks associated with the use of plant protection products. That information may be provided directly or by providing links to relevant websites of other national or international bodies.

Amendment

2. The competent authority referred to in paragraph 1 shall establish a website or websites dedicated to providing information on **benefits and** risks associated with the use of plant protection products. That information may be provided directly or by providing links to relevant websites of other national or international bodies.

Or. en

Justification

Plant protection products are used in agriculture to protect crops from pests, contributing to higher and stable yields and consequently to food security, as well as to tackle threats to human and animal health, such as mycotoxins. Singling out risks associated with plant protection products is ideologically driven, information to the public should be balanced and address benefits and risks alike.

Amendment 2465

Tudor Ciuhodaru

Proposal for a regulation

Article 27 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. Autoritatea competentă menționată la alineatul (1) creează unul sau mai multe site-uri web dedicate furnizării de informații privind riscurile asociate utilizării produselor de protecție a plantelor. Aceste informații pot fi furnizate direct sau prin furnizarea de linkuri către site-urile web relevante ale altor organisme naționale sau internaționale.

Amendment

2. Autoritatea competentă menționată la alineatul (1) creează unul sau mai multe site-uri web dedicate furnizării de informații **periodice** privind riscurile asociate utilizării produselor de protecție a plantelor. Aceste informații pot fi furnizate direct sau prin furnizarea de linkuri către site-urile web relevante ale altor organisme naționale sau internaționale.

Or. ro

Amendment 2466

Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław Kalinowski, Joanna Kopcińska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

Proposal for a regulation

Article 27 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. The competent authority referred to in paragraph 1 shall establish a website or websites dedicated to providing information on ***risks associated with the use of*** plant protection products. That information may be provided directly or by providing links to relevant websites of other national or international bodies.

Amendment

2. The competent authority referred to in paragraph 1 shall establish a website or websites dedicated to providing information on plant protection products. That information may be provided directly or by providing links to relevant websites of other national or international bodies.

Or. en

Amendment 2467

Ulrike Müller, Jan Huitema, Emma Wiesner, Andreas Glück

Proposal for a regulation

Article 27 – paragraph 3 – point -a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(-a) agronomic and where applicable health benefits of the use of plant protection products;

Or. en

Justification

Plant protection products are used in agriculture to protect crops from pests, contributing to higher and stable yields and consequently to food security, as well as to tackle threats to human and animal health, such as mycotoxins. Singling out risks associated with plant protection products is ideologically driven, information to the public should be balanced and address benefits and risks alike.

Amendment 2468

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjärd

Proposal for a regulation

Article 27 – paragraph 3 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) the **potential** risks to human health and the environment **through** acute or chronic effects **relating** to the use of plant protection products;

Amendment

(a) the **risk assessments that plant protection products must undergo before authorization and in the event of an extension or re-authorisation, and the scientific arguments for the safe use of plant protection products and the** risks to human health and the environment, **with** acute or chronic effects **which may be due** to the use of plant protection products;

Or. en

Amendment 2469

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation

Article 27 – paragraph 3 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) the potential risks to human health and the environment through acute or chronic effects relating to the use of **plant protection products**;

Amendment

(a) the potential risks to human **and animal** health and the environment through acute or chronic effects relating to the use of **pesticides as well as the cumulative and synergistic effects of combinations of pesticides and of other toxins**;

Or. en

Amendment 2470

Martin Hojsík, Michal Wiezik, María Soraya Rodríguez Ramos, Róza Thun und Hohenstein, Frédérique Ries

Proposal for a regulation

Article 27 – paragraph 3 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) the potential risks to human health and the environment through acute or chronic effects relating to the use of plant protection products;

Amendment

(a) the potential risks to human health and the environment through acute or chronic effects relating to the use of plant protection products, **including on non-target species, such as pollinators, farm animals and companion animals;**

Or. en

Justification

Incidents of poisoning of farm and companion animals by agrochemical substances, including pesticides and insecticides, have been reported. E.g. Belgian Poison Centre: <http://hdl.handle.net/1854/LU-1039067>

Amendment 2471

Sylvia Limmer

Proposal for a regulation

Article 27 – paragraph 3 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

a) die Risiken für die menschliche Gesundheit und die Umwelt, die mit akuten oder chronischen Wirkungen aufgrund einer Verwendung von Pflanzenschutzmitteln verbunden sein können;

Amendment

a) die Risiken für die menschliche Gesundheit und die Umwelt, die mit akuten oder chronischen Wirkungen aufgrund einer Verwendung von Pflanzenschutzmitteln verbunden sein können **und die Gründe, warum solche Pflanzenschutzmittel notwendig sind;**

Or. de

Amendment 2472

Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan, Delara Burkhardt

Proposal for a regulation

Article 27 – paragraph 3 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) the **potential** risks to human health

Amendment

(a) the risks to human health and the

and the environment ***through acute or chronic effects*** relating to the use of plant protection products;

environment relating to the use of ***and exposure to*** plant protection products;

Or. en

Amendment 2473

Martin Hojsík, Michal Wiezik, María Soraya Rodríguez Ramos, Róza Thun und Hohenstein, Frédérique Ries

Proposal for a regulation

Article 27 – paragraph 3 – point a a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(a a) the environmental footprint of the production of synthetic pesticides, specifically in the context of Union's climate goals and strategic autonomy;

Or. en

Justification

The synthetic pesticides are manufactured from intermediates derived from fossil fuels, involving series of energy-intensive chemical processes (e.g. heating, stirring, distilling, filtering, drying,...). As their inputs are, similarly to synthetic fertilizers, heavily dependent on imports from third countries, there is a need to provide information on their environmental footprint in view of EU climate goals as well as EU's strategic autonomy.

Amendment 2474

Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan

Proposal for a regulation

Article 27 – paragraph 3 – point a a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(a a) the risks for occupational health and safety linked to the use of and exposure to plant protection products;

Or. en

Amendment 2475

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjärd

Proposal for a regulation

Article 27 – paragraph 3 – point a a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(a a) The occurrence and spread of the most important pests and their impact on plants and crops.

Or. en

Amendment 2476

Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław Kalinowski, Joanna Kopcińska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

Proposal for a regulation

Article 27 – paragraph 3 – point a a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(a a) the reason why plant protection products are used and their role in agriculture;

Or. en

Amendment 2477

Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan

Proposal for a regulation

Article 27 – paragraph 3 – point a b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(a b) procedures to report occupational diseases linked to the use of plant protection products;

Or. en

Amendment 2478

Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław Kalinowski, Joanna Kopcińska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

Proposal for a regulation

Article 27 – paragraph 3 – point a b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(a b) risk posed by pests, in particular quarantine pests and invasive alien species;

Or. en

Amendment 2479

Martin Hojsík, Michal Wiezik, María Soraya Rodríguez Ramos, Róza Thun und Hohenstein, Frédérique Ries

Proposal for a regulation

Article 27 – paragraph 3 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) the manner in which the potential risks referred to in point (a) can be mitigated;

(b) the manner in which the potential risks referred to in point (a) can be **prevented, respecting the integrated pest management hierarchy, and** mitigated;

Or. en

Amendment 2480

Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan

Proposal for a regulation

Article 27 – paragraph 3 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) the manner in which the **potential** risks referred to in point (a) can be mitigated;

(b) the manner in which the risks referred to in point (a) **and (b)** can be mitigated;

Amendment 2481

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation

Article 27 – paragraph 3 – point b a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b a) the results of the monitoring programmes on the maximum residue limits on fruits and vegetables, specifying per chain of retailer and restaurants where the most contaminated produce were found;

Or. en

Amendment 2482

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation

Article 27 – paragraph 3 – point b b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b b) the results of the monitoring programmes on the presence of pesticides in the environment and of the bio-monitoring programmes;

Or. en

Amendment 2483

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation

Article 27 – paragraph 3 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(c) alternatives to chemical plant

(c) agro-ecological and organic

protection products;

prevention strategies and principles and sustainable alternatives to chemical plant protection products;

Or. en

Amendment 2484

Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan

Proposal for a regulation

Article 27 – paragraph 3 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

(c) alternatives to chemical plant protection products;

Amendment

(c) alternatives to chemical plant protection products, ***including on agroecological, organic and integrated pest management practices;***

Or. en

Amendment 2485

Sylvia Limmer

Proposal for a regulation

Article 27 – paragraph 3 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

c) ***die*** Alternativen zu ***chemischen*** Pflanzenschutzmitteln;

Amendment

c) Alternativen zu Pflanzenschutzmitteln ***und die unterschiedlichen Erträge der einzelnen Lösungen;***

Or. de

Amendment 2486

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation

Article 27 – paragraph 3 – point c a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(c a) information for rural residents and other people who are likely to be exposed to chemical pesticides on the hazards and risks of this exposure and who to contact in case they have concerns, including but not limited to the competent authority as designated in Accordance with article 28;

Or. en

Amendment 2487

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation

Article 27 – paragraph 3 – point c b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(c b) Information on the possibility to submit substantiated concerns if a probable infringement of the requirement of this Regulation is suspected, accompanied by the contact details of the competent authority;

Or. en

Amendment 2488

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation

Article 27 – paragraph 3 – point d

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(d) the procedure for approval of active substances and authorisation of plant protection products;

(d) the procedure for approval of active substances and authorisation of plant protection products *as well as the limitations that exist in the risk assessments and monitoring;*

Or. en

Amendment 2489

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjärd

Proposal for a regulation

Article 27 – paragraph 3 – point e

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(e) permits granted under Article 18 or Article 20;

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 2490

Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan

Proposal for a regulation

Article 27 – paragraph 3 – point f

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(f) a link to the *website* referred to in Article 7;

(f) a link to the *websites* referred to in Article 7 *and Article 8*;

Or. en

Amendment 2491

Robert Roos, Rob Rooken

Proposal for a regulation

Article 27 – paragraph 3 – point f a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

f bis) De noodzaak om gewasbeschermingsmiddelen te gebruiken.

Or. nl



2022/0196(COD)

5.4.2023

AMENDMENTS

2492 - 2742

Draft report
Sarah Wiener
(PE742.368v01-00)

Proposal for a regulation of the European Parliament and of the Council on the sustainable use of plant protection products and amending Regulation (EU) 2021/2115

Proposal for a regulation
(COM(2022)0305 – C9-0207/2022 – 2022/0196(COD))

AM_Com_LegReport

Amendment 2492

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation

Article 27 – paragraph 3 – point f a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(f a) a link to the register referred to in Article 16;

Or. en

Amendment 2493

Robert Roos, Rob Rooker

Proposal for a regulation

Article 27 – paragraph 3 – point f b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

f ter) De standaarden waaraan Europese landbouwers aan moeten voldoen, vergeleken met standaarden waar landbouwers aan moeten voldoen met landen waarmee de Europese Unie een vrijhandelsverdrag heeft.

Or. nl

Amendment 2494

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjård

Proposal for a regulation

Article 27 – paragraph 3 – point g

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(g) the rights of third parties to request access to information on the use of plant protection products by addressing the relevant competent authority in accordance with Article 67(1) of

deleted

Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009.

Or. en

Amendment 2495

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation

Article 27 – paragraph 3 – point g

Text proposed by the Commission

(g) the rights of third parties to request access to information on the use of ***plant protection products*** by addressing the relevant competent authority in accordance with Article 67(1) of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009.

Amendment

(g) the rights of third parties to request access to information ***and justice*** on the use of ***pesticides*** by addressing the relevant competent authority in accordance with Article 67(1) of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009.

Or. en

Amendment 2496

Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan

Proposal for a regulation

Article 28 – title

Text proposed by the Commission

Information on acute and chronic poisoning

Amendment

Information on acute and chronic poisoning, ***occupational and non-occupational diseases***

Or. en

Amendment 2497

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjärd

Proposal for a regulation

Article 28 – title

Text proposed by the Commission

Information on acute and chronic poisoning

Amendment

Information on acute and chronic poisoning ***and occupational diseases***

Or. en

Amendment 2498

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation

Article 28 – title

Text proposed by the Commission

Information on acute and chronic poisoning

Amendment

Information on acute and chronic poisoning ***and repeated exposure***

Or. en

Amendment 2499

Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław Kalinowski, Joanna Kopcińska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

Proposal for a regulation

Article 28 – title

Text proposed by the Commission

Information on acute ***and chronic*** poisoning

Amendment

Information on acute poisoning

Or. en

Justification

It is not possible to connect health problems with long-lasting exposure to ppps based on administrative sources. Also, information concerning the use of ppp could be not available to a physician.

Amendment 2500

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič,

Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjärd

Proposal for a regulation

Article 28 – paragraph 1 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

1. *Each Member State shall designate a competent authority to maintain or put in place systems for gathering and keeping the following information on acute and chronic poisoning incidents arising from exposure of persons to plant protection products:*

Amendment

1. *The European Commission is developing, maintaining and setting up a system within the framework of the European Occupational Diseases Statistics (EODS) to collect the following information on acute and chronic cases of poisoning and occupational diseases as a result of exposure of persons to plant protection products within 2 years of the entry into force of this regulation includes the following points:*

Or. en

Amendment 2501

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation

Article 28 – paragraph 1 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Each Member State shall designate a competent authority to maintain or put in place systems for gathering and keeping the following information on acute and chronic poisoning incidents arising from exposure of persons to **plant protection products**:

Amendment

1. Each Member State shall designate a competent authority to maintain or put in place systems for gathering and keeping the following information on acute and chronic poisoning incidents **and repeated exposure which lead to illness or other negative health effects** arising from exposure of persons to **pesticides**:

Or. en

Amendment 2502

Martin Hojsik, Michal Wiezik, María Soraya Rodríguez Ramos, Róza Thun und Hohenstein, Frédérique Ries

Proposal for a regulation

Article 28 – paragraph 1 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Each Member State shall designate a competent authority to maintain or put in place systems for gathering and keeping the following information on acute and chronic poisoning incidents arising from exposure of persons to plant protection products:

Amendment

1. Each Member State shall designate a competent authority to maintain or put in place systems for gathering and keeping the following information on acute and chronic poisoning incidents arising from exposure of persons **and animals, including farm and companion animals,** to plant protection products:

Or. en

Justification

Information on intoxication of farm and companion animals by agrochemicals should be as well carefully monitored and reported in order to reduce the risk, primarily of acute poisoning, in long term. Such proceeding may entitle active cooperation with appointed national poison centres: <https://poisoncentres.echa.europa.eu/appointed-bodies>. Member States can build upon past and existing monitoring initiatives, e.g. Belgium: <http://hdl.handle.net/1854/LU-1039067> With regards to honeybee colonies, both acute and chronic poisoning cases should be thoroughly registered.

Amendment 2503

Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan

Proposal for a regulation

Article 28 – paragraph 1 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Each Member State shall designate a competent authority to maintain or put in place systems for gathering and keeping the following information on acute and chronic poisoning incidents arising from exposure of persons to plant protection products:

Amendment

1. Each Member State shall designate a competent **health** authority to maintain or put in place systems for gathering and keeping the following information on acute and chronic poisoning incidents arising from exposure of persons to plant protection products:

Or. en

Amendment 2504

Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław Kalinowski, Joanna Kopcińska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

Proposal for a regulation

Article 28 – paragraph 1 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Each Member State shall designate a competent authority to maintain or put in place systems for gathering and keeping the following information on acute **and chronic** poisoning incidents arising from exposure of persons to plant protection products:

Amendment

1. Each Member State shall designate a competent authority to maintain or put in place systems for gathering and keeping the following information on acute poisoning incidents arising from exposure of persons to plant protection products:

Or. en

Amendment 2505

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation

Article 28 – paragraph 1 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) the name and authorisation number of the plant protection product and the active substances involved in the acute or chronic poisoning incident;

Amendment

(a) the name and authorisation number of the plant protection product and the active substances involved in the acute or chronic poisoning incident, **or in case this is not known, the name and/or address of the professional user and/or fields involved in the repeated exposure;**

Or. en

Amendment 2506

Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław Kalinowski, Joanna Kopcińska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

Proposal for a regulation

Article 28 – paragraph 1 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(a) the name and authorisation number of the plant protection product and the active substances involved in the acute **or chronic** poisoning incident;

(a) the name and authorisation number of the plant protection product and the active substances involved in the acute poisoning incident;

Or. en

Amendment 2507

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation

Article 28 – paragraph 1 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) the number of individuals poisoned;

(b) the number of individuals poisoned **or repeatedly exposed**;

Or. en

Amendment 2508

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation

Article 28 – paragraph 1 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(c) the symptoms of poisoning;

(c) the symptoms of poisoning **or of the repeated exposure**;

Or. en

Amendment 2509

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation

Article 28 – paragraph 1 – point e – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(e) whether a confirmed acute or chronic poisoning incident resulted from:

(e) whether a confirmed acute or chronic poisoning incident ***or repeated exposure*** resulted from:

Or. en

Amendment 2510

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation

Article 28 – paragraph 1 – point e – point i a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(i a) violation of the buffer zones and prior notification obligations as laid down in Article 18a;

Or. en

Amendment 2511

Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan

Proposal for a regulation

Article 28 – paragraph 1 – point e a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(e a) violations of occupational health and safety obligations;

Or. en

Amendment 2512

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjärd

Proposal for a regulation

Article 28 – paragraph 1 – point e a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(e a) Medical certificat on the case of poisoning

Or. en

Amendment 2513

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjärd

Proposal for a regulation

Article 28 – paragraph 2 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

2. By 31 **August** every year, **each Member State shall submit to** the Commission a report containing the following information:

2. By 31 **December** every year, the Commission **shall publish** a report containing the following information:

Or. en

Amendment 2514

Martin Hojsík, Michal Wiezik, María Soraya Rodríguez Ramos, Róza Thun und Hohenstein, Frédérique Ries

Proposal for a regulation

Article 28 – paragraph 2 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(a) the number of acute and chronic poisoning incidents arising from exposure of persons to plant protection products during the preceding calendar year;

(a) the number of acute and chronic poisoning incidents arising from exposure of persons **and animals, including farm and companion animals**, to plant protection products during the preceding calendar year;

Or. en

Justification

Information on intoxication of farm and companion animals, including honeybee colonies, by agrochemicals should be as well carefully monitored and reported in order to minimize the risk of poisoning in long term in all Member States.

Amendment 2515

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation

Article 28 – paragraph 2 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) the number of acute and chronic poisoning incidents arising from exposure of persons to ***plant protection products*** during the preceding calendar year;

Amendment

(a) the number of acute and chronic poisoning incidents ***or reported health effects*** arising from exposure of persons to ***pesticides*** during the preceding calendar year;

Or. en

Amendment 2516

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjärd

Proposal for a regulation

Article 28 – paragraph 2 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) ***the information referred to in paragraph 1 as regards each poisoning incident.***

Amendment

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 2517

Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan

Proposal for a regulation

Article 28 – paragraph 2 – point b a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b a) the number of occupational diseases arising from the use of and exposure to a plant protection product identified during the preceding year.

Or. en

Amendment 2518

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjärd

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 28 – paragraph 3**

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

3. The Commission ***shall adopt implementing acts to establish the format for the submission of the information and data referred to in paragraph 2 of this Article. Those implementing acts shall be adopted in accordance with the examination procedure referred to in Article 41(2).***

3. The Commission ***is obligated to guarantee that sensitive data is collected with due regard to data protection and only entered into the system with explicit consent from the data subject.***

Or. en

Amendment 2519

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjärd

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 28 – paragraph 3 a (new)**

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

3 a. The Member States may refer to the system for recording acute and chronic poisoning and occupational diseases on a publicly accessible website.

Amendment 2520

Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan, Günther Sidl

Proposal for a regulation

Article 28 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article 28 a

Occupational diseases resulting from the use of and exposure to plant protection products

- 1. Each Member States shall designate a public competent authority for health responsible to establish a system for the reporting, recognition and fair compensation of diseases resulting from the use of and/or the exposure to plant protection products.***
- 2. Member States shall ensure that the designated authorities have sufficient staff and resources to perform their tasks properly, including carrying out health surveillance and effective inspections.***
- 3. Member States shall ensure the monitoring, compliance and enforcement of national mandatory occupational exposure limits (OELs) set for the use of and/or the exposure to active substance(s) in plant protection products, their metabolites and co-formulants.***
- 4. Member States shall ensure that each professional user, including workers, have access to official documentation reporting the type of plant protection product used during his/her work activity, the health and environmental risks related to its use as well as the period of exposure.***
- 5. Employers shall provide to all workers employed in their business activity the official documentation referred to in***

paragraph 4.

6. Member States shall ensure the enforcement of the obligation referred to in paragraph 5 and shall apply effective, dissuasive and proportionate sanctions in case of infringement of such requirement.

7. Member States shall facilitate the reporting of diseases linked to plant protection products use and exposure by setting accessible and effective reporting mechanisms.

8. Member States are required to ensure recognition of such diseases as well as fair compensation.

Or. en

Amendment 2521

Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan, Günther Sidl

Proposal for a regulation

Article 28 b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article 28 b

Advertising of plant protection products

1. For the purposes of this Article, ‘advertising of plant protection products’ shall include any form of door-to-door information, canvassing activity or inducement designed to promote the supply, sale or use of plant protection products; it shall include in particular:

(a) the advertising of plant protection products to the general public;

(b) advertising of plant protection products to persons qualified to retail, use plant protection products, or provide advice in accordance with Article 26;

(c) visits by producers of plant protection product to persons qualified to retail, use plant protection products, or provide

advice in accordance with Article 26;

(d) the supply of samples;

(e) the provision of inducements to retail, use, or provide advice on plant protection products by the gift, offer or promise of any benefit or bonus, whether in money or in kind;

(f) sponsorship of promotional meetings attended by persons qualified to retail, use plant protection products, or provide advice in accordance with Article 26;

(g) sponsorship of scientific congresses attended by persons qualified to retail, use plant protection products, or provide advice in accordance with Article 26, and in particular payment of their travelling and accommodation expenses in connection therewith.

2. Advertising of chemical plant protection products to the general public is prohibited.

3. Member States shall prohibit or restrict the advertising of plant protection products consisting of practices listed in paragraph 1, points (b) to (g).

4. The provisions of this Article shall apply without prejudice to labelling and other advertising requirements under Regulation (EU) No 1107/2009.

Or. en

Amendment 2522

Sylvia Limmer

Proposal for a regulation

Article 29

Text proposed by the Commission

Artikel 29

***Elektronisches Register der
Anwendungsgeräte für berufliche***

Amendment

entfällt

Verwendung

(1) Bis zum... [Amt für Veröffentlichungen: Bitte Datum einfügen = erster Tag des Monats nach dem neunten Monat nach dem Datum des Inkrafttretens dieser Verordnung] erfasst der Eigentümer eines Anwendungsgeräts für berufliche Verwendung mithilfe des Formulars in Anhang V die Tatsache, dass er Eigentümer des Anwendungsgeräts ist, im elektronischen Register der Anwendungsgeräte für berufliche Verwendung gemäß Artikel 33, es sei denn, der Mitgliedstaat, in dem der Eigentümer das Gerät nutzt, hat dieses Gerät gemäß Artikel 32 Absatz 3 von der Inspektion ausgenommen.

(2) Wird ein Anwendungsgerät für berufliche Verwendung verkauft, so erfassen der Verkäufer und der Käufer binnen 30 Tagen nach dem Verkauf die Tatsache des Verkaufs mithilfe des Formulars in Anhang V im elektronischen Register der Anwendungsgeräte für berufliche Verwendung gemäß Artikel 33, es sei denn, das Anwendungsgerät für berufliche Verwendung wurde in dem/den betreffenden Mitgliedstaat(en) gemäß Artikel 32 Absatz 3 von der Inspektion ausgenommen. Eine ähnliche Verpflichtung zur Erfassung einer Eigentumsübertragung im elektronischen Register gilt für jeden anderen Eigentumswechsel von Anwendungsgeräten für berufliche Verwendung, die in dem/den betreffenden Mitgliedstaat(en) nicht gemäß Artikel 32 Absatz 3 von der Inspektion ausgenommen wurden.

(3) Wird ein Anwendungsgerät für berufliche Verwendung außer Betrieb genommen und ist es danach nicht mehr zur erneuten Verwendung bestimmt, so erfasst der Eigentümer binnen 30 Tagen nach der Außerbetriebnahme mithilfe des Formulars in Anhang V die Tatsache,

dass das Gerät außer Betrieb genommen wurde, im elektronischen Register der Anwendungsgeräte für berufliche Verwendung gemäß Artikel 33.

(4) Wird ein Anwendungsgerät für berufliche Verwendung wieder in Betrieb genommen, so erfasst der Eigentümer binnen 30 Tagen nach der Wiederinbetriebnahme mithilfe des Formulars in Anhang V diese Tatsache im elektronischen Register der Anwendungsgeräte für berufliche Verwendung gemäß Artikel 33.

(5) Die Kommission ist befugt, gemäß Artikel 40 delegierte Rechtsakte zur Änderung des Anhangs V zwecks Berücksichtigung technischer und wissenschaftlicher Fortschritte zu erlassen.

Or. de

Amendment 2523

Christine Schneider, Ralf Seekatz, Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Sven Simon, Angelika Niebler, Monika Hohlmeier, Christian Doleschal, Franc Bogovič, Marlene Mortler, Daniel Caspary, Rainer Wieland, Sabine Verheyen, Alexander Bernhuber

Proposal for a regulation Article 29

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article 29

deleted

Electronic register of application equipment in professional use

1. By ... [OP please insert the date = first day of the month following 9 months after the date of entry into force of this Regulation], an owner of application equipment in professional use shall enter the fact that he or she is the owner of the application equipment in the electronic register of application equipment in professional use referred to in Article 33, using the form set out in Annex V, unless

the Member State in which the owner uses the equipment has exempted that equipment from inspection in accordance with Article 32(3).

2. If application equipment in professional use is sold, the seller and the buyer shall enter the fact of the sale, within 30 days after the sale, in the electronic register of application equipment in professional use referred to in Article 33, using the form set out in Annex V, unless the application equipment in professional use has been exempted from inspection in the relevant Member State(s) in accordance with Article 32(3). A similar obligation to enter a transfer of ownership in the electronic register applies in the case of any other changes of ownership of application equipment in professional use that has not been exempted from inspection in the relevant Member State(s) in accordance with Article 32(3).

3. If application equipment in professional use is withdrawn from use and is not intended to be used again, its owner shall, within 30 days after the withdrawal from use, enter the fact that the equipment has been withdrawn from use in the electronic register of application equipment in professional use referred to in Article 33, using the form set out in Annex V.

4. If application equipment in professional use is returned to use, its owner shall, within 30 days after the return to use, enter that fact in the electronic register of application equipment in professional use referred to in Article 33 using the form set out in Annex V.

5. The Commission is empowered to adopt delegated acts in accordance with Article 40 amending Annex V in order to take into account technical progress and scientific developments.

Amendment 2524

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjärd

Proposal for a regulation

Article 29 – title

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Electronic register of application
equipment in professional use

Register of application equipment in
professional use

Or. en

Amendment 2525

Franz Bogovič, Marlene Mortler, Ljudmila Novak, Alexander Bernhuber

Proposal for a regulation

Article 29 – title

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Electronic register of application
equipment in professional use

Register of application equipment in
professional use

Or. en

Amendment 2526

Jan Huitema, Emma Wiesner, Ulrike Müller, Erik Poulsen, Nils Torvalds, Andreas Glück, Asger Christensen, Billy Kelleher

Proposal for a regulation

Article 29 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

1. By ... [OP please insert the date = first day of the month following 9 months after the date of entry into force of this Regulation], an owner of application

1. By ... [OP please insert the date = first day of the month following 9 months after the date of entry into force of this Regulation], an owner of application

equipment in professional use shall enter the fact that he or she is the owner of the application equipment in the electronic register of application equipment in professional use referred to in Article 33, using the form set out in Annex V, unless the Member State in which the owner uses the equipment has exempted that equipment from inspection in accordance with Article 32(3).

equipment in professional use shall enter the fact that he or she is the owner of the application equipment in the electronic register of application equipment in professional use referred to in Article 33, using the form set out in Annex V, unless the Member State in which the owner uses the equipment has exempted that equipment from inspection in accordance with Article 32(3). ***Member States shall ensure that the registration procedure will not result in disproportionate administrative burdens or costs for professional users.***

Or. en

Amendment 2527

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation Article 29 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. By ... [OP please insert the date = first day of the month following 9 months after the date of entry into force of this Regulation], an owner of application equipment in professional use shall enter the fact that ***he or she is*** the owner of the application equipment in the electronic register of application equipment in professional use referred to in Article 33, using the form set out in Annex V, ***unless the Member State in which the owner uses the equipment has exempted that equipment from inspection in accordance with Article 32(3).***

Amendment

1. By ... [OP please insert the date = first day of the month following 9 months after the date of entry into force of this Regulation], an owner of application equipment in professional use shall enter the fact that ***they are*** the owner of the application equipment in the electronic register of application equipment in professional use referred to in Article 33, using the form set out in Annex V.

Or. en

Amendment 2528

Martin Hojsík, Michal Wiezik, María Soraya Rodríguez Ramos, Róza Thun und Hohenstein, Frédérique Ries

Proposal for a regulation
Article 29 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. By ... [OP please insert the date = first day of the month following 9 months after the date of entry into force of this Regulation], an owner of application equipment in professional use shall enter the fact that he or she is the owner of the application equipment in the electronic register of application equipment in professional use referred to in Article 33, using the form set out in Annex V, ***unless the Member State in which the owner uses the equipment has exempted that equipment from inspection in accordance with Article 32(3).***

Amendment

1. By ... [OP please insert the date = first day of the month following 9 months after the date of entry into force of this Regulation], an owner of application equipment in professional use shall enter the fact that he or she is the owner of the application equipment in the electronic register of application equipment in professional use referred to in Article 33, using the form set out in Annex V.

Or. en

Amendment 2529

Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław Kalinowski, Joanna Kopcińska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

Proposal for a regulation
Article 29 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. By ... [OP please insert the date = first day of the month following **9 months** after the date of entry into force of this Regulation], an owner of application equipment in professional use shall enter the fact that he or she is the owner of the application equipment in the electronic register of application equipment in professional use referred to in Article 33, using the form set out in Annex V, unless the Member State in which the owner uses the equipment has exempted that equipment from inspection in accordance with Article 32(3).

Amendment

1. By ... [OP please insert the date = first day of the month following **5 years** after the date of entry into force of this Regulation], an owner of application equipment in professional use shall enter the fact that he or she is the owner of the application equipment in the electronic register of application equipment in professional use referred to in Article 33, using the form set out in Annex V, unless the Member State in which the owner uses the equipment has exempted that equipment from inspection in accordance with Article 32(3).

Justification

The proposed deadline – 9 months – is completely unrealistic. Provisions should take into account the time needed for the administration to adopt the national law designating the Competent Authority and set up the IT system, as well as the time needed for ppp users to record the possession of the PAE (plant protection products application equipment).

Amendment 2530

Franz Bogovič, Marlene Mortler, Ljudmila Novak, Alexander Bernhuber

Proposal for a regulation**Article 29 – paragraph 1***Text proposed by the Commission*

1. By ... [OP please insert the date = first day of the month following 9 months after the date of entry into force of this Regulation], an owner of application equipment in professional use shall enter the fact that he or she is the owner of the application equipment in the **electronic** register of application equipment in professional use referred to in Article 33, using the form set out in Annex V, unless the Member State in which the owner uses the equipment has exempted that equipment from inspection in accordance with Article 32(3).

Amendment

1. By ... [OP please insert the date = first day of the month following 9 months after the date of entry into force of this Regulation], an owner of application equipment in professional use shall enter the fact that he or she is the owner of the application equipment in the register of application equipment in professional use referred to in Article 33, using the form set out in Annex V, unless the Member State in which the owner uses the equipment has exempted that equipment from inspection in accordance with Article 32(3).

Amendment 2531

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franz Bogovič, Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjård

Proposal for a regulation**Article 29 – paragraph 1***Text proposed by the Commission*

1. By ... [OP please insert the date =

Amendment

1. By ... [OP please insert the date =

first day of the month following 9 months after the date of entry into force of this Regulation], an owner of application equipment in professional use shall enter the fact that he or she is the owner of the application equipment in the **electronic** register of application equipment in professional use referred to in Article 33, using the form set out in Annex V, unless the Member State in which the owner uses the equipment has exempted that equipment from inspection in accordance with Article 32(3).

first day of the month following 9 months after the date of entry into force of this Regulation], an owner of application equipment in professional use shall enter the fact that he or she is the owner of the application equipment in the register of application equipment in professional use referred to in Article 33, using the form set out in Annex V, unless the Member State in which the owner uses the equipment has exempted that equipment from inspection in accordance with Article 32(3).

Or. en

Amendment 2532

Martin Hojsík, Michal Wiezik, María Soraya Rodríguez Ramos, Róza Thun und Hohenstein, Frédérique Ries

Proposal for a regulation Article 29 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. If application equipment in professional use is sold, the seller and the buyer shall enter the fact of the sale, within 30 days after the sale, in the electronic register of application equipment in professional use referred to in Article 33, using the form set out in Annex V, ***unless the application equipment in professional use has been exempted from inspection in the relevant Member State(s) in accordance with Article 32(3).*** A similar obligation to enter a transfer of ownership in the electronic register applies in the case of any other changes of ownership of application equipment in professional use ***that has not been exempted from inspection in the relevant Member State(s) in accordance with Article 32(3).***

Amendment

2. If application equipment in professional use is sold, the seller and the buyer shall enter the fact of the sale, within 30 days after the sale, in the electronic register of application equipment in professional use referred to in Article 33, using the form set out in Annex V. A similar obligation to enter a transfer of ownership in the electronic register applies in the case of any other changes of ownership of application equipment in professional use.

Or. en

Amendment 2533

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjärd

Proposal for a regulation

Article 29 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. If application equipment in professional use is sold, the seller and the buyer shall enter the fact of the sale, within **30** days after the sale, in the **electronic** register of application equipment in professional use referred to in Article 33, using the form set out in Annex V, unless the application equipment in professional use has been exempted from inspection in the relevant Member State(s) in accordance with Article 32(3). A similar obligation to enter a transfer of ownership in the **electronic** register applies in the case of any other changes of ownership of application equipment in professional use that has not been exempted from inspection in the relevant Member State(s) in accordance with Article 32(3).

Amendment

2. If application equipment in professional use is sold, the seller and the buyer shall enter the fact of the sale, within **60** days after the sale, in the register of application equipment in professional use referred to in Article 33, using the form set out in Annex V, unless the application equipment in professional use has been exempted from inspection in the relevant Member State(s) in accordance with Article 32(3). A similar obligation to enter a transfer of ownership in the register applies in the case of any other changes of ownership of application equipment in professional use that has not been exempted from inspection in the relevant Member State(s) in accordance with Article 32(3). ***An exception to the recording of application devices in the register within 60 days applies in the context of a family farm takeover and must be made no later than the next due inspection for plant protection devices.***

Or. en

Amendment 2534

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation

Article 29 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. If application equipment in professional use is sold, the seller and the buyer shall enter the fact of the sale, within

Amendment

2. If application equipment in professional use is sold, the seller and the buyer shall enter the fact of the sale, within

30 days after the sale, in the electronic register of application equipment in professional use referred to in Article 33, using the form set out in Annex V, unless the application equipment in professional use has been exempted from inspection in the relevant Member State(s) in accordance with Article 32(3). A similar obligation to enter a transfer of ownership in the electronic register applies in the case of any other changes of ownership of application equipment in professional use ***that has not been exempted from inspection in the relevant Member State(s) in accordance with Article 32(3).***

30 days after the sale, in the electronic register of application equipment in professional use referred to in Article 33, using the form set out in Annex V, unless the application equipment in professional use has been exempted from inspection in the relevant Member State(s) in accordance with Article 32(3). A similar obligation to enter a transfer of ownership in the electronic register applies in the case of any other changes of ownership of application equipment in professional use.

Or. en

Amendment 2535

Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław Kalinowski, Joanna Kopcińska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

Proposal for a regulation Article 29 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. If application equipment in professional use is sold, ***the seller and*** the buyer shall enter the fact of the sale, within 30 days after the sale, in the electronic register of application equipment in professional use referred to in Article 33, using the form set out in Annex V, unless the application equipment in professional use has been exempted from inspection in the relevant Member State(s) in accordance with Article 32(3). A similar obligation to enter a transfer of ownership in the electronic register applies in the case of any other changes of ownership of application equipment in professional use that has not been exempted from inspection in the relevant Member State(s) in accordance with Article 32(3).

Amendment

2. If application equipment in professional use is sold, the buyer shall enter the fact of the sale, within 30 days after the sale, in the electronic register of application equipment in professional use referred to in Article 33, using the form set out in Annex V, unless the application equipment in professional use has been exempted from inspection in the relevant Member State(s) in accordance with Article 32(3). A similar obligation to enter a transfer of ownership in the electronic register applies in the case of any other changes of ownership of application equipment in professional use that has not been exempted from inspection in the relevant Member State(s) in accordance with Article 32(3).

Justification

There is no justification for duplicating the requirement to inform about the change of PAE ownership. It should be enough to provide such information by one person only.

Amendment 2536

Franc Bogovič, Marlene Mortler, Ljudmila Novak

Proposal for a regulation**Article 29 – paragraph 2***Text proposed by the Commission*

2. If application equipment in professional use is sold, the seller and the buyer shall enter the fact of the sale, within 30 days after the sale, in the **electronic** register of application equipment in professional use referred to in Article 33, using the form set out in Annex V, unless the application equipment in professional use has been exempted from inspection in the relevant Member State(s) in accordance with Article 32(3). A similar obligation to enter a transfer of ownership in the **electronic** register applies in the case of any other changes of ownership of application equipment in professional use that has not been exempted from inspection in the relevant Member State(s) in accordance with Article 32(3).

Amendment

2. If application equipment in professional use is sold, the seller and the buyer shall enter the fact of the sale, within 30 days after the sale, in the register of application equipment in professional use referred to in Article 33, using the form set out in Annex V, unless the application equipment in professional use has been exempted from inspection in the relevant Member State(s) in accordance with Article 32(3). A similar obligation to enter a transfer of ownership in the register applies in the case of any other changes of ownership of application equipment in professional use that has not been exempted from inspection in the relevant Member State(s) in accordance with Article 32(3).

Amendment 2537

Dolors Montserrat, Juan Ignacio Zoido Álvarez

Proposal for a regulation**Article 29 – paragraph 2 a (new)***Text proposed by the Commission**Amendment*

2 a. Notwithstanding what is

established in this Article, the competent authorities of the Member States shall make available the necessary tools to professional users who do not have the capacity, access or availability to use electronic media to ensure that they can properly access and submit the information required.

Or. en

Amendment 2538

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjärd

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 29 – paragraph 3**

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

3. If application equipment in professional use is withdrawn from use and is not intended to be used again, its owner shall, within 30 days after the withdrawal from use, enter the fact that the equipment has been withdrawn from use in the electronic register of application equipment in professional use referred to in Article 33, using the form set out in Annex V.

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 2539

Franc Bogovič, Marlene Mortler, Ljudmila Novak

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 29 – paragraph 3**

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

3. If application equipment in professional use is withdrawn from use and is not intended to be used again, its owner

3. If application equipment in professional use is withdrawn from use and is not intended to be used again, its owner

shall, within 30 days after the withdrawal from use, enter the fact that the equipment has been withdrawn from use in the **electronic** register of application equipment in professional use referred to in Article 33, using the form set out in Annex V.

shall, within 30 days after the withdrawal from use, enter the fact that the equipment has been withdrawn from use in the register of application equipment in professional use referred to in Article 33, using the form set out in Annex V.

Or. en

Amendment 2540

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjärd

Proposal for a regulation Article 29 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

4. If application equipment in professional use is returned to use, its owner shall, within 30 days after the return to use, enter that fact in the electronic register of application equipment in professional use referred to in Article 33 using the form set out in Annex V.

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 2541

Franc Bogovič, Marlene Mortler, Ljudmila Novak, Alexander Bernhuber

Proposal for a regulation Article 29 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

4. If application equipment in professional use is returned to use, its owner shall, within 30 days after the return to use, enter that fact in the electronic register of application equipment in professional use referred to

deleted

in Article 33 using the form set out in Annex V.

Or. en

Amendment 2542

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 29 – paragraph 5**

Text proposed by the Commission

5. The Commission is empowered to adopt ***delegated*** acts in accordance with Article 40 amending Annex V in order to take into account technical progress and scientific developments.

Amendment

5. The Commission is empowered to adopt ***implementing*** acts in accordance with Article 40 amending Annex V in order to take into account technical progress and scientific developments.

Or. en

Amendment 2543

Sylvia Limmer

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 30 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point a**

Text proposed by the Commission

a) *Einrichtung und Pflege eines zentralen elektronischen Registers zur Aufzeichnung von Informationen über alle Anwendungsgeräte für berufliche Verwendung in dem Mitgliedstaat;*

Amendment

entfällt

Or. de

Amendment 2544

Franc Bogovič, Marlene Mortler, Ljudmila Novak

Proposal for a regulation

Article 30 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(a) establish and maintain a **central electronic** register to record information on all application equipment in professional use in the Member State;

(a) establish and maintain a register to record information on all application equipment in professional use in the Member State;

Or. en

Amendment 2545

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjärd

Proposal for a regulation

Article 30 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(a) establish and maintain a **central electronic** register to record information on all application equipment in professional use in the Member State;

(a) establish and maintain a register to record information on all application equipment in professional use in the Member State;

Or. en

Amendment 2546

Sylvia Limmer

Proposal for a regulation

Article 30 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

b) Nutzung des zentralen elektronischen Registers zur Entgegennahme und Verarbeitung von Einträgen Dritter in Bezug auf Eigentum, Eigentumsübertragung, Verkauf, Außerbetriebnahme und Wiederinbetriebnahme von Anwendungsgeräten für berufliche

entfällt

Verwendung;

Or. de

Amendment 2547
Christophe Hansen

Proposal for a regulation
Article 30 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) use the central electronic register to receive and process third party entries regarding ownership, transfer of ownership, sale, withdrawal from use and return to use of application equipment in professional use; **deleted**

Or. en

Amendment 2548
Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Peter Lundgren, Hermann Tertsch, Mazaly Aguilar, Evžen Tošenovský, Sergio Berlato, Jorge Buxadé Villalba, Eugen Jurzyca, Pietro Fiocchi, Charlie Weimers, Johan Nissinen

Proposal for a regulation
Article 30 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) use the central electronic register to receive and process third party entries regarding ownership, transfer of ownership, sale, withdrawal from use and return to use of application equipment in professional use; **(b) use the central electronic register to receive and process third party entries regarding ownership, transfer of ownership, sale, withdrawal from use and return to use of application equipment in professional use, *for recording and tracking purposes*;**

Or. en

Amendment 2549
Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič,

Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjärd,
Margarita de la Pisa Carrión

Proposal for a regulation

Article 30 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) use the **central electronic** register to receive and process third party entries regarding ownership, transfer of ownership, sale, **withdrawal from use and return to** use of application equipment in professional use;

(b) use the register to receive and process third party entries regarding ownership, transfer of ownership, sale, use of application equipment in professional use;

Or. en

Amendment 2550

Franč Bogovič, Marlene Mortler, Ljudmila Novak

Proposal for a regulation

Article 30 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) use the **central electronic** register to receive and process third party entries regarding ownership, transfer of ownership, sale, withdrawal from use and return to use of application equipment in professional use;

(b) use the register to receive and process third party entries regarding ownership, transfer of ownership, sale, withdrawal from use and return to use of application equipment in professional use;

Or. en

Amendment 2551

Christophe Hansen

Proposal for a regulation

Article 30 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(c) **inspect, or oversee the inspection of, application equipment in accordance with Article 31(1), (2), (3) and (6);**

deleted

Amendment 2552

Sylvia Limmer

Proposal for a regulation

Article 30 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

c) Inspektion oder Beaufsichtigung der Inspektion der Anwendungsgeräte gemäß Artikel 31 Absätze 1, 2, **3 und 6**;

c) Inspektion oder Beaufsichtigung der Inspektion der Anwendungsgeräte gemäß Artikel 31 Absätze 1, 2 **und 3**;

Amendment 2553

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation

Article 30 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(c) inspect, **or oversee the inspection of**, application equipment in accordance with Article 31(1), (2), (3) and (6);

(c) **regularly** inspect application equipment in accordance with Article 31(1), (2), (3) and (6);

Amendment 2554

Christophe Hansen

Proposal for a regulation

Article 30 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point d

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(d) **issue, or oversee the issuing of, certificates of inspection in accordance with Article 31(7).**

deleted

Amendment 2555
Sylvia Limmer

Proposal for a regulation
Article 30 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point d

Text proposed by the Commission

d) Ausstellung von
Inspektionsbescheinigungen gemäß Artikel
31 Absatz 7 oder Beaufsichtigung von
deren Ausstellung.

Amendment

d) Ausstellung von
Inspektionsbescheinigungen gemäß Artikel
31 Absatz 7 oder Beaufsichtigung von
deren Ausstellung. **Die
Inspektionsbescheinigung muss so
beschaffen sein, dass sie gut sichtbar und
fest mit dem Anwendungsgerät verbunden
ist.**

Or. de

Amendment 2556
Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation
Article 30 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point d

Text proposed by the Commission

(d) issue, **or oversee the issuing of**,
certificates of inspection in accordance
with Article 31(7).

Amendment

(d) issue certificates of inspection in
accordance with Article 31(7).

Or. en

Amendment 2557
Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation
Article 30 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point d a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

**(d a) use the central electronic register
to correlate measurements on**

waterquality to use of pesticides;

Or. en

Amendment 2558

Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Peter Lundgren, Hermann Tertsch, Mazaly Aguilar, Evžen Tošenovský, Sergio Berlato, Jorge Buxadé Villalba, Eugen Jurzyca, Pietro Fiocchi, Charlie Weimers, Alexander Bernhuber, Johan Nissinen

Proposal for a regulation

Article 30 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Where the designated competent authority does not carry out the inspection of application equipment in professional use, it shall designate one or more bodies to carry out such inspections.

Amendment

Where the designated competent authority does not carry out the inspection of application equipment in professional use, it shall designate one or more bodies to carry out such inspections, ***or develop a certification system to which inspection services must comply.***

Or. en

Justification

The purpose of data recording should be clearly defined for purposes of data protection and prevent misuses. Regarding inspections, enabling certified third parties to deliver inspections to the conditions would enable fast and smooth implementation.

Amendment 2559

Martin Hojsík, Michal Wiezik, María Soraya Rodríguez Ramos, Róza Thun und Hohenstein, Frédérique Ries

Proposal for a regulation

Article 30 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Where the designated competent authority does not carry out the inspection of application equipment in professional use, it shall designate one or more bodies to carry out such inspections.

Amendment

Where the designated competent authority does not carry out the inspection of application equipment in professional use, it shall designate one or more bodies to carry out such inspections ***with due respect to impartiality and independence.***

Amendment 2560
Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan

Proposal for a regulation
Article 30 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Where the designated competent authority does not carry out the inspection of application equipment in professional use, it shall designate one or more bodies to carry out such inspections.

Amendment

Where the designated competent authority does not carry out the inspection of application equipment in professional use, it shall designate one or more **independent** bodies to carry out such inspections.

Amendment 2561
Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation
Article 30 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Where the designated competent authority does not carry out the inspection of application equipment in professional use, it shall designate one or more bodies to carry out such inspections.

Amendment

Where the designated competent authority does not carry out the inspection of application equipment in professional use, it shall designate one or more **public** bodies to carry out such inspections.

Amendment 2562
Sylvia Limmer

Proposal for a regulation
Article 30 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

(2) Jeder Mitgliedstaat führt amtliche

Amendment

(2) Jeder Mitgliedstaat führt amtliche

Kontrollen durch, um zu überprüfen, ob die Unternehmer die Bestimmungen dieser Verordnung über Anwendungsgeräte befolgen. ***Die Mitgliedstaaten ergreifen angemessene Folgemaßnahmen, um alle spezifischen oder systemischen Mängel zu beheben, die bei den Kontrollen durch die Experten der Kommission gemäß den Absätzen 3 und 4 festgestellt worden sind. Sie leisten die notwendige Unterstützung, damit gewährleistet ist, dass die Experten der Kommission zu allen Räumlichkeiten, zum gesamten Gelände, zu allen Waren sowie zu allen Informationen — einschließlich Datenverarbeitungssystemen — Zugang erhalten, die für die Wahrnehmung ihrer Aufgaben relevant sind.***

Kontrollen durch, um zu überprüfen, ob die Unternehmer die Bestimmungen dieser Verordnung über Anwendungsgeräte befolgen.

Or. de

Amendment 2563

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjärd

Proposal for a regulation Article 30 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. Each Member State shall carry out official controls to verify compliance by operators with the provisions of this Regulation relating to application equipment. ***Member States shall take appropriate follow-up measures to remedy any specific or systemic shortcomings identified through controls performed by the Commission experts in accordance with paragraphs 3 and 4. They shall give the necessary assistance to ensure that the Commission experts have access to all premises or parts of premises, and goods, and to information, including computer systems, relevant for the execution of their***

Amendment

2. Each Member State shall carry out official controls to verify compliance by operators with the provisions of this Regulation relating to application equipment.

duties.

Or. en

Amendment 2564
Mathilde Androuët

Proposal for a regulation
Article 30 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. Chaque État membre effectue des contrôles officiels pour vérifier si les opérateurs observent les dispositions du présent règlement relatives au matériel d'application. Les États membres prennent des mesures de suivi appropriées pour remédier à toute insuffisance spécifique ou systémique constatée par les experts de la Commission lors des contrôles effectués conformément aux paragraphes 3 et 4. ***Ils fournissent l'assistance nécessaire pour que les experts de la Commission aient accès à tous les locaux ou parties de locaux et aux biens ainsi qu'aux informations utiles à l'accomplissement de leur mission, y compris les systèmes informatiques.***

Amendment

2. Chaque État membre effectue des contrôles officiels pour vérifier si les opérateurs observent les dispositions du présent règlement relatives au matériel d'application. Les États membres prennent des mesures de suivi appropriées pour remédier à toute insuffisance spécifique ou systémique constatée par les experts de la Commission lors des contrôles effectués conformément aux paragraphes 3 et 4.

Or. fr

Amendment 2565
Christophe Hansen

Proposal for a regulation
Article 30 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. Each Member State shall carry out official controls to verify compliance by operators with the provisions of this Regulation relating to application equipment. Member States shall take

Amendment

2. Each Member State shall carry out ***risk-based*** official controls to verify compliance by operators with the provisions of this Regulation relating to application equipment. Member States

appropriate follow-up measures to remedy any specific or systemic shortcomings identified through controls performed by the Commission experts in accordance with paragraphs 3 and 4. They shall give the necessary assistance to ensure that the Commission experts have access to all premises or parts of premises, and goods, and to information, including computer systems, relevant for the execution of their duties.

shall take appropriate follow-up measures to remedy any specific or systemic shortcomings identified through controls performed by the Commission experts in accordance with paragraphs 3 and 4. They shall give the necessary assistance to ensure that the Commission experts have access to all premises or parts of premises, and goods, and to information, including computer systems, relevant for the execution of their duties.

Or. en

Amendment 2566

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation

Article 30 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. Each Member State shall carry out official controls to verify compliance by operators with the provisions of this Regulation relating to application equipment. Member States shall take appropriate follow-up measures to remedy any specific or systemic shortcomings identified through controls performed by the Commission experts in accordance with paragraphs 3 and 4. They shall give the necessary assistance to ensure that the Commission experts have access to all premises or parts of premises, and goods, and to information, including computer systems, relevant for the execution of their duties.

Amendment

2. Each Member State shall carry out **regular** official controls to verify compliance by operators with the provisions of this Regulation relating to application equipment. Member States shall take appropriate follow-up measures to remedy any specific or systemic shortcomings identified through controls performed by the Commission experts in accordance with paragraphs 3 and 4. They shall give the necessary assistance to ensure that the Commission experts have access to all premises or parts of premises, and goods, and to information, including computer systems, relevant for the execution of their duties.

Or. en

Amendment 2567

Mathilde Androuët

Proposal for a regulation
Article 30 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

3. Les experts de la Commission effectuent des contrôles, y compris des audits, dans chaque État membre pour vérifier l'application des règles relatives au matériel d'application prévues par le présent règlement. Les experts peuvent enquêter et recueillir des informations sur les contrôles officiels et les pratiques visant à faire appliquer les dispositions dans le domaine du matériel d'application. **supprimé**

Or. fr

Amendment 2568
Sylvia Limmer

Proposal for a regulation
Article 30 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(3) Die Kommissionsexperten führen in jedem Mitgliedstaat Kontrollen, einschließlich Audits, durch, um die Anwendung der Vorschriften für Anwendungsgeräte gemäß dieser Verordnung zu überprüfen. Die Experten können Untersuchungen über amtliche Kontrollen und Durchsetzungspraktiken im Bereich der Anwendungsgeräte durchführen und Informationen dazu erheben. **entfällt**

Or. de

Amendment 2569
Franco Bogović, Marlene Mortler, Ljudmila Novak, Alexander Bernhuber

Proposal for a regulation
Article 30 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

3. Commission experts shall perform controls, including audits, in each Member State to verify the application of the rules relating to application equipment laid down in this Regulation. The experts may investigate and collect information on official controls and enforcement practices in the area of application equipment. **deleted**

Or. en

Amendment 2570

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjärd

Proposal for a regulation
Article 30 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

3. Commission experts shall perform controls, including audits, in each Member State to verify the application of the rules relating to application equipment laid down in this Regulation. The experts may investigate and collect information on official controls and enforcement practices in the area of application equipment. **deleted**

Or. en

Amendment 2571
Sylvia Limmer

Proposal for a regulation
Article 30 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(4) Die Kommission: **entfällt**

a) erstellt einen Entwurf des Berichts über die Ergebnisse und die Empfehlungen in Bezug auf die Behebung der Mängel, die von ihren Experten während dieser Kontrollen festgestellt wurden;

b) übermittelt dem Mitgliedstaat, in dem diese Kontrollen durchgeführt wurden, eine Kopie des Berichtsentwurfs gemäß Buchstabe a zur Stellungnahme;

c) berücksichtigt die in Buchstabe b genannte Stellungnahme des Mitgliedstaats bei der Erstellung des endgültigen Berichts über die Ergebnisse der Kontrollen, die ihre Experten gemäß diesem Artikel in dem Mitgliedstaat durchgeführt haben;

d) macht den endgültigen Bericht gemäß Buchstabe c und die Stellungnahmen der Mitgliedstaaten gemäß Buchstabe b öffentlich zugänglich.

Or. de

Amendment 2572
Mathilde Androuët

Proposal for a regulation
Article 30 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

4. La Commission: **supprimé**

a) élabore un projet de rapport sur les constatations et sur les recommandations destinées à remédier aux insuffisances constatées par ses experts lors de ces contrôles;

b) envoie une copie du projet de rapport visé au point a) à l'État membre

dans lequel ces contrôles ont été effectués afin qu'il puisse le commenter;

c) tient compte des commentaires de l'État membre visés au point b) lorsqu'elle élabore le rapport final sur les constatations faites lors des contrôles effectués par ses experts dans l'État membre conformément au présent article;

d) met le rapport final visé au point c) et les commentaires des États membres visés au point b) à la disposition du public.

Or. fr

Amendment 2573

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjärd

Proposal for a regulation Article 30 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

4. The Commission shall: *deleted*

(a) prepare a draft report on the findings and on recommendations addressing the shortcomings identified by its experts during these controls;

(b) send to the Member State where those controls have been performed a copy of the draft report referred to in point (a) for its comments;

(c) take the comments of the Member State referred to in point (b) into account in preparing the final report on the findings of the controls performed by its experts in the Member States as provided for in this Article;

(d) make publicly available the final report referred to in point (c) and the comments of the Member States referred to in point (b).

Amendment 2574

Franc Bogovič, Marlene Mortler, Ljudmila Novak, Alexander Bernhuber

Proposal for a regulation

Article 30 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

4. The Commission shall: **deleted**

(a) prepare a draft report on the findings and on recommendations addressing the shortcomings identified by its experts during these controls;

(b) send to the Member State where those controls have been performed a copy of the draft report referred to in point (a) for its comments;

(c) take the comments of the Member State referred to in point (b) into account in preparing the final report on the findings of the controls performed by its experts in the Member States as provided for in this Article;

(d) make publicly available the final report referred to in point (c) and the comments of the Member States referred to in point (b).

Amendment 2575

Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Peter Lundgren, Hermann Tertsch, Mazaly Aguilar, Evžen Tošenovský, Sergio Berlato, Jorge Buxadé Villalba, Eugen Jurzyca, Charlie Weimers, Alexander Bernhuber, Johan Nissinen

Proposal for a regulation

Article 31 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

1. The competent authority referred to

1. The competent authority referred to

in Article 30 or a body designated by it shall inspect application equipment in professional use every three years, starting from the date of first purchase. The competent authority shall ensure that there is sufficient staff, equipment and other resources necessary for the inspection of all application equipment due for inspection, within the three year cycle.

in Article 30 or a body designated by it shall inspect application equipment in professional use every three years, starting from the date of first purchase. The competent authority shall ensure that there is sufficient staff, equipment and other resources necessary for the inspection of all application equipment due for inspection, within the three year cycle. ***The competent authority may develop a certification system enabling a fast implementation of inspections by service organisations compliant with the certification.***

Or. en

Justification

Enabling certified third parties to deliver inspections to the conditions could enable fast and smooth implementation.

Amendment 2576

Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław Kalinowski, Joanna Kopcińska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

Proposal for a regulation Article 31 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. The competent authority referred to in Article 30 or a body designated by it ***shall inspect application equipment in professional use*** every three years, starting from the date of first purchase. ***The competent authority shall ensure that there is sufficient staff, equipment and other resources necessary for the inspection of all application equipment due for inspection, within the three year cycle.***

Amendment

1. The competent authority referred to in Article 30 or a body designated by it every three years, starting from the date of first purchase. ***Inspections of application equipment in professional use carried out prior to the entry into force of this regulation remain valid.***

Or. en

Justification

It should be the obligation of PAE user to confirm technical condition of PAE by the inspection. If the inspections are carried out by the delegated body, it is not a role of the Competent Authority to ensure that delegated body possess enough staff, equipment, etc. The competent authority should control if delegated body is prepared to conduct inspections. Also, the inspections carried out before entry into force of the draft regulation should remain valid.

Amendment 2577

Martin Hojsik, Michal Wiezik, María Soraya Rodríguez Ramos, Róza Thun und Hohenstein, Frédérique Ries

Proposal for a regulation

Article 31 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. The competent authority referred to in Article 30 or a body designated by it shall inspect application equipment in professional use every three years, starting from the date of first purchase. The competent authority shall ensure that there is sufficient staff, equipment and other resources necessary for the inspection of all application equipment due for inspection, within the three year cycle.

Amendment

1. The competent authority referred to in Article 30 or a body designated by it shall inspect application equipment in professional use every three years, starting from the date of first purchase. The competent authority shall ensure that there is sufficient **impartial and independent** staff, equipment and other resources necessary for the inspection of all application equipment due for inspection, within the three year cycle.

Or. en

Amendment 2578

Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan

Proposal for a regulation

Article 31 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. The competent authority referred to in Article 30 or **a** body designated by it shall inspect application equipment in professional use every three years, starting from the date of first purchase. The competent authority shall ensure that there

Amendment

1. The competent authority referred to in Article 30 or **an independent** body designated by it shall inspect application equipment in professional use every three years, starting from the date of first purchase. The competent authority shall

is sufficient staff, equipment and other resources necessary for the inspection of all application equipment due for inspection, within the three year cycle.

ensure that there is sufficient staff, equipment and other resources necessary for the inspection of all application equipment due for inspection, within the three year cycle.

Or. en

Amendment 2579

Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław Kalinowski, Joanna Kopcińska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

Proposal for a regulation Article 31 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

3. The inspection shall be carried out at a location where the risk of pollution and water contamination can be avoided. The influence of external conditions on the reproducibility of the results of the inspection, such as effects of wind and rain, shall be minimised by the authority or body carrying out the inspection.

Amendment

3. The inspection shall be carried out at a location where the risk of pollution and water contamination can be avoided **or with the use of efficient measures eliminating risk of such pollution or contamination**. The influence of external conditions on the reproducibility of the results of the inspection, such as effects of wind and rain, shall be minimised by the authority or body carrying out the inspection.

Or. en

Amendment 2580

Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław Kalinowski, Joanna Kopcińska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

Proposal for a regulation Article 31 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

4. All equipment necessary for an inspection and used by the inspector for testing the application equipment shall be accurate, in good condition and checked and, where necessary, calibrated at regular

Amendment

4. All equipment necessary for an inspection and used by the inspector for testing the application equipment shall be accurate, in good condition and checked and, where necessary, calibrated at regular

intervals.

intervals. *The person carrying out the inspection should be properly trained.*

Member States may adopt national law specifying the more detailed requirements for equipment to be used during inspections of the application equipment in professional use.

Member States may adopt national law specifying detailed requirements for the training of persons conducting inspections of the application equipment in professional use.

Or. en

Amendment 2581

Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław Kalinowski, Joanna Kopcińska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

Proposal for a regulation Article 31 – paragraph 5

Text proposed by the Commission

5. The owner of the application equipment in professional use shall ensure that the application equipment is clean and safe before the inspection starts.

Amendment

5. The owner of the application equipment in professional use shall ensure that the application equipment is clean and safe before the inspection starts. ***In case the application equipment in professional use is not clean or safe the competent authority or body delegated by it refuse to conduct the inspection.***

Or. en

Amendment 2582 Sylvia Limmer

Proposal for a regulation Article 31 – paragraph 6

Text proposed by the Commission

(6) Die Ergebnisse jeder Inspektion,

Amendment

entfällt

bei der ein Anwendungsgerät für berufliche Verwendung den Anforderungen genügt hat, werden von der zuständigen Behörde gemäß Artikel 30 in dem zentralen elektronischen Register der Anwendungsgeräte für berufliche Verwendung gemäß Artikel 33 aufgezeichnet.

Or. de

Amendment 2583

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjård

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 31 – paragraph 6**

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

6. The results of each inspection for which application equipment in professional use passes the test shall be recorded by the competent authority referred to in Article 30 in the central electronic register of application equipment in professional use referred to in Article 33. **deleted**

Or. en

Amendment 2584

Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław Kalinowski, Joanna Kopcińska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 31 – paragraph 6**

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

6. The results of each inspection for which application equipment in professional use passes the test shall be recorded by the competent authority

6. The results of each inspection shall be recorded by the competent authority referred to in Article 30 in the central electronic register of application equipment

referred to in Article 30 in the central electronic register of application equipment in professional use referred to in Article 33.

in professional use referred to in Article 33.

Or. en

Amendment 2585

Franč Bogovič, Marlene Mortler, Ljudmila Novak

Proposal for a regulation

Article 31 – paragraph 6

Text proposed by the Commission

6. The results of each inspection for which application equipment in professional use passes the test shall be recorded by the competent authority referred to in Article 30 in the **central electronic** register of application equipment in professional use referred to in Article 33.

Amendment

6. The results of each inspection for which application equipment in professional use passes the test shall be recorded by the competent authority referred to in Article 30 in the register of application equipment in professional use referred to in Article 33.

Or. en

Amendment 2586

Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław Kalinowski, Joanna Kopcińska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

Proposal for a regulation

Article 31 – paragraph 7 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) issued by the competent authority referred to in Article 30 to the owner of application equipment in professional use where that equipment complies with the requirements listed in Annex IV; and

Amendment

(a) issued by the competent authority referred to in Article 30 **or body delegated by it** to the owner of application equipment in professional use where that equipment complies with the requirements listed in Annex IV and

Or. en

Amendment 2587

Sylvia Limmer

Proposal for a regulation

Article 31 – paragraph 7 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

b) *sie wird von dieser zuständigen Behörde im zentralen elektronischen Register der zur beruflichen Verwendung bestimmten Anwendungsgeräte gemäß Artikel 33 verzeichnet.*

Amendment

b) *Eine Plakette muss gut sichtbar und fest verbunden mit dem Anwendungsgerät verbunden, angebracht werden. Sie enthält mindestens das Datum der erfolgreichen Prüfung, das Datum der nächsten Prüfung, Namen und Anschrift des Eigentümers, nach welcher Verordnung geprüft wurde sowie eine Kennzeichnung, aus der die Stelle hervorgeht, die die Prüfung durchgeführt hat.*

Or. de

Amendment 2588

Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław Kalinowski, Joanna Kopcińska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

Proposal for a regulation

Article 31 – paragraph 7 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) recorded by that competent authority in the central electronic register of application equipment in professional use referred to in Article 33.

Amendment

(b) recorded by that competent authority **or body delegated by it** in the central electronic register of application equipment in professional use referred to in Article 33.

Or. en

Amendment 2589

Franc Bogovič, Marlene Mortler, Ljudmila Novak, Alexander Bernhuber

Proposal for a regulation

Article 31 – paragraph 7 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) recorded by that competent authority in the **central electronic** register of application equipment in professional use referred to in Article 33.

Amendment

(b) recorded by that competent authority in the register of application equipment in professional use referred to in Article 33.

Or. en

Amendment 2590

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjård

Proposal for a regulation

Article 31 – paragraph 7 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) recorded by that competent authority in the **central electronic** register of application equipment in professional use referred to in Article 33.

Amendment

(b) recorded by that competent authority in the register of application equipment in professional use referred to in Article 33.

Or. en

Amendment 2591

Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan

Proposal for a regulation

Article 31 – paragraph 8

Text proposed by the Commission

8. A record as referred to in paragraph 6 shall be valid for three years ***unless the Member State provides for a different inspection interval pursuant to Article 32.***

Amendment

8. A record as referred to in paragraph 6 shall be valid for three years.

Or. en

Amendment 2592

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation

Article 31 – paragraph 8

Text proposed by the Commission

8. A record as referred to in paragraph 6 shall be valid for three years ***unless the Member State provides for a different inspection interval pursuant to Article 32.***

Amendment

8. A record as referred to in paragraph 6 shall be valid for three years.

Or. en

Amendment 2593

Sylvia Limmer

Proposal for a regulation

Article 31 – paragraph 10

Text proposed by the Commission

(10) Die Kommission ist befugt, gemäß Artikel 40 delegierte Rechtsakte zur Änderung dieses Artikels und des Anhangs IV zwecks Berücksichtigung technischer und wissenschaftlicher Fortschritte zu erlassen.

Amendment

entfällt

Or. de

Amendment 2594

Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Peter Lundgren, Hermann Tertsch, Mazaly Aguilar, Evžen Tošenovský, Sergio Berlato, Jorge Buxadé Villalba, Eugen Jurzyca, Pietro Fiocchi, Charlie Weimers, Alexander Bernhuber, Johan Nissinen

Proposal for a regulation

Article 31 – paragraph 10

Text proposed by the Commission

10. The Commission is empowered to adopt delegated acts in accordance with Article 40 amending this Article and

Amendment

deleted

Annex IV in order to take into account technical progress and scientific developments.

Or. en

Justification

In the name of simplification and enhancing transparency of the negotiations, it is not appropriate to defer a number of key decisions to delegated acts.

Amendment 2595

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Margarita de la Pisa Carrión

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 31 – paragraph 10**

Text proposed by the Commission

10. The Commission is empowered to adopt ***delegated*** acts in accordance with Article 40 amending this Article and Annex IV in order to take into account technical progress and scientific developments.

Amendment

10. The Commission is empowered to adopt ***implementing*** acts in accordance with Article 40 amending this Article and Annex IV in order to take into account technical progress and scientific developments.

Or. en

Amendment 2596

Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław Kalinowski, Joanna Kopcińska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 31 – paragraph 10**

Text proposed by the Commission

10. The Commission is empowered to adopt delegated acts in accordance with Article 40 amending ***this Article and*** Annex IV in order to take into account technical progress and scientific developments.

Amendment

10. The Commission is empowered to adopt delegated acts in accordance with Article 40 amending Annex IV in order to take into account technical progress and scientific developments.

Amendment 2597
Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan

Proposal for a regulation
Article 31 – paragraph 10

Text proposed by the Commission

10. The Commission is empowered to adopt delegated acts in accordance with Article 40 amending **this Article and** Annex IV in order to take into account technical progress and scientific developments.

Amendment

10. The Commission is empowered to adopt delegated acts in accordance with Article 40 amending Annex IV in order to take into account technical progress and scientific developments.

Amendment 2598
Maria Arena, Günther Sidl, Delara Burkhardt

Proposal for a regulation
Article 32

Text proposed by the Commission

Article 32

Member State derogations regarding inspection of application equipment in professional use

1.

A Member State may, after carrying out the risk assessment referred to in paragraph 2, lay down less stringent inspection requirements and provide for different inspection intervals than those set out in Article 31 to application equipment in professional use which represents a very low scale of use estimated by way of the risk assessment referred to in paragraph 2 and which is listed in the national action plan referred

Amendment

deleted

to in Article 8.

This paragraph shall not apply to the following application equipment in professional use:

- (a) spraying equipment mounted on trains or aircraft;*
- (b) horizontal boom sprayers which are larger than 3 m, including sprayers that are mounted on sowing equipment which is larger than 3 m wide;*
- (c) vertical sprayer or orchard blast sprayer.*

2. Before laying down less stringent inspection requirements and different inspection intervals as referred to in paragraph 1, a Member State shall carry out a risk assessment on their potential impacts on human health and the environment. The competent authority referred to in Article 30 shall maintain a copy of the risk assessment for control by the Commission.

3. A Member State may exempt from inspection referred to in Article 31 handheld application equipment or knapsack sprayers, in professional use, based on a risk assessment on their potential impact on human health and the environment, which shall include an estimation of the scale of use. The competent authority referred to in Article 30 shall maintain a copy of the risk assessment for control by the Commission.

4. Application equipment in professional use that has been exempted from inspection in accordance with paragraph 3 shall not be subject to the requirement to make an entry in the electronic register referred to in Article 29 or the registration requirements referred to in Article 33.

Or. en

Amendment 2599

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation

Article 32

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article 32

deleted

Member State derogations regarding inspection of application equipment in professional use

1.

A Member State may, after carrying out the risk assessment referred to in paragraph 2, lay down less stringent inspection requirements and provide for different inspection intervals than those set out in Article 31 to application equipment in professional use which represents a very low scale of use estimated by way of the risk assessment referred to in paragraph 2 and which is listed in the national action plan referred to in Article 8.

This paragraph shall not apply to the following application equipment in professional use:

- (a) spraying equipment mounted on trains or aircraft;***
- (b) horizontal boom sprayers which are larger than 3 m, including sprayers that are mounted on sowing equipment which is larger than 3 m wide;***
- (c) vertical sprayer or orchard blast sprayer.***

2. Before laying down less stringent inspection requirements and different inspection intervals as referred to in paragraph 1, a Member State shall carry out a risk assessment on their potential impacts on human health and the environment. The competent authority

referred to in Article 30 shall maintain a copy of the risk assessment for control by the Commission.

3. A Member State may exempt from inspection referred to in Article 31 handheld application equipment or knapsack sprayers, in professional use, based on a risk assessment on their potential impact on human health and the environment, which shall include an estimation of the scale of use. The competent authority referred to in Article 30 shall maintain a copy of the risk assessment for control by the Commission.

4. Application equipment in professional use that has been exempted from inspection in accordance with paragraph 3 shall not be subject to the requirement to make an entry in the electronic register referred to in Article 29 or the registration requirements referred to in Article 33.

Or. en

Amendment 2600

Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław Kalinowski, Joanna Kopcińska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

Proposal for a regulation

Article 32 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

A Member State may, after carrying out the risk assessment referred to in paragraph 2, lay down less stringent inspection requirements and provide for different inspection intervals than those set out in Article 31 to application equipment in professional use which represents a very low scale of use estimated by way of the risk assessment referred to in paragraph 2 **and which is listed in the national action**

Amendment

A Member State may, after carrying out the risk assessment referred to in paragraph 2, lay down less stringent inspection requirements and provide for different inspection intervals than those set out in Article 31 to application equipment in professional use which represents a very low scale of use estimated by way of the risk assessment referred to in paragraph 2.

plan referred to in Article 8.

Or. en

Amendment 2601

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjärd

Proposal for a regulation

Article 32 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 2 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(a) spraying equipment mounted on trains or aircraft;

(a) spraying equipment mounted on trains or aircraft ***according to Article 20;***

Or. en

Amendment 2602

Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław Kalinowski, Joanna Kopcińska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

Proposal for a regulation

Article 32 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 2 – point c – point i (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

i) The risk assessment conducted before entry into force of this regulation can be used for the purpose of this paragraph.

Or. en

Amendment 2603

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjärd

Proposal for a regulation

Article 32 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. Before laying down less stringent inspection requirements and different inspection intervals as referred to in paragraph 1, a Member State shall carry out a risk assessment on their potential impacts on human health and the environment. ***The competent authority referred to in Article 30 shall maintain a copy of the risk assessment for control by the Commission.***

Amendment

2. Before laying down less stringent inspection requirements and different inspection intervals as referred to in paragraph 1, a Member State shall carry out a risk assessment on their potential impacts on human health and the environment.

Or. en

Amendment 2604

Sylvia Limmer

Proposal for a regulation

Article 32 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

(2) Bevor ein Mitgliedstaat gemäß Absatz 1 weniger strenge Inspektionsanforderungen und andere Inspektionsintervalle festlegt, nimmt er eine Risikobewertung der möglichen Folgen für die menschliche Gesundheit und die Umwelt vor. ***Die zuständige Behörde gemäß Artikel 30 hält eine Kopie der Risikobewertung für die Kontrolle durch die Kommission bereit.***

Amendment

(2) Bevor ein Mitgliedstaat gemäß Absatz 1 weniger strenge Inspektionsanforderungen und andere Inspektionsintervalle festlegt, nimmt er eine Risikobewertung der möglichen Folgen für die menschliche Gesundheit und die Umwelt vor.

Or. de

Amendment 2605

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjård

Proposal for a regulation

Article 32 – paragraph 2 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

2 a. Hand-held application devices or knapsack sprayers with a volume of up to 100 liters are generally excluded from Chapter VIII (application equipment).

Or. en

Amendment 2606

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjård

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 32 – paragraph 3**

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

3. A Member State may exempt from inspection referred to in Article 31 handheld application equipment or knapsack sprayers, in professional use, based on a risk assessment on their potential impact on human health and the environment, which shall include an estimation of the scale of use. The competent authority referred to in Article 30 shall maintain a copy of the risk assessment for control by the Commission.

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 2607

Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław Kalinowski, Joanna Kopcińska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 32 – paragraph 3**

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

3. A Member State may exempt from

3. A Member State may exempt from

inspection referred to in Article 31 handheld application equipment or knapsack sprayers, in professional use, based on a risk assessment on their potential impact on human health and the environment, which shall include an estimation of the scale of use. The competent authority referred to in Article 30 shall maintain a copy of the risk assessment for control by the Commission.

inspection referred to in Article 31 handheld application equipment or knapsack sprayers, in professional use, based on a risk assessment on their potential impact on human health and the environment, which shall include an estimation of the scale of use. The competent authority referred to in Article 30 shall maintain a copy of the risk assessment for control by the Commission.
The risk assessment conducted before entry into force of this regulation can be used for the purpose of this paragraph.

Or. en

Amendment 2608
Sylvia Limmer

Proposal for a regulation
Article 32 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

(3) Ein Mitgliedstaat kann auf der Grundlage einer Risikobewertung der möglichen Folgen für die menschliche Gesundheit und die Umwelt, die auch eine Schätzung des Umfangs ihres Einsatzes umfasst, handgeführte Anwendungsgeräte oder Rückenspritzen für berufliche Verwendung von der Inspektion gemäß Artikel 31 ausnehmen. ***Die zuständige Behörde gemäß Artikel 30 hält eine Kopie der Risikobewertung für die Kontrolle durch die Kommission bereit.***

Amendment

(3) Ein Mitgliedstaat kann auf der Grundlage einer Risikobewertung der möglichen Folgen für die menschliche Gesundheit und die Umwelt, die auch eine Schätzung des Umfangs ihres Einsatzes umfasst, handgeführte Anwendungsgeräte oder Rückenspritzen für berufliche Verwendung von der Inspektion gemäß Artikel 31 ausnehmen.

Or. de

Amendment 2609
Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjärd

Proposal for a regulation
Article 32 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

4. Application equipment in professional use that has been exempted from inspection in accordance with paragraph 3 shall not be subject to the requirement to make an entry in the electronic register referred to in Article 29 or the registration requirements referred to in Article 33.

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 2610
Sylvia Limmer

Proposal for a regulation
Article 32 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(4) Anwendungsgeräte für berufliche Verwendung, die gemäß Absatz 3 von der Inspektion ausgenommen wurden, unterliegen weder der Anforderung einer Eintragung in das elektronische Register gemäß Artikel 29 noch den Registrierungsanforderungen gemäß Artikel 33.

entfällt

Or. de

Amendment 2611
Franc Bogovič, Marlene Mortler, Ljudmila Novak

Proposal for a regulation
Article 32 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

4. Application equipment in professional use that has been exempted

4. Application equipment in professional use that has been exempted

from inspection in accordance with paragraph 3 shall not be subject to the requirement to make an entry in the **electronic** register referred to in Article 29 or the registration requirements referred to in Article 33.

from inspection in accordance with paragraph 3 shall not be subject to the requirement to make an entry in the register referred to in Article 29 or the registration requirements referred to in Article 33.

Or. en

Amendment 2612
Sylvia Limmer

Proposal for a regulation
Article 33

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Artikel 33

entfällt

**Elektronisches Register der
Anwendungsgeräte für berufliche
Verwendung**

**(1) Jede von einem Mitgliedstaat
gemäß Artikel 30 benannte zuständige
Behörde erstellt und führt ein zentrales
elektronisches Register, um Folgendes
aufzuzeichnen:**

**a) von Dritten gemäß Artikel 20
Absatz 2 Buchstabe b Ziffer i und Artikel
29 eingegebene Informationen;**

**b) Aufzeichnungen über
Inspektionen und Bescheinigungen
gemäß Artikel 31 Absatz 6 und Absatz 7
Buchstabe b;**

**c) sonstige Informationen gemäß
Absatz 2 über Anwendungsgeräte für
berufliche Verwendung in ihrem
Mitgliedstaat, die nicht gemäß Artikel 32
Absatz 3 von der Inspektion
ausgenommen wurden.**

**(2) Die zuständigen Behörden gemäß
Artikel 30 zeichnen zum Zeitpunkt der
Inspektion folgende Informationen auf:**

a) die Bezeichnung der Stelle, die die

Inspektionen durchgeführt hat;

- b) die individuelle Kennung des Anwendungsgeräts, sofern verfügbar;*
- c) das Herstellungsdatum, sofern verfügbar;*
- d) den Namen und die Anschrift des derzeitigen Eigentümers;*
- e) im Falle einer Eigentumsübertragung das Datum jeder Übertragung sowie den Namen und die Anschrift der früheren Eigentümer in den letzten fünf Jahren;*
- f) die Größe des Tanks;*
- g) gegebenenfalls die Breite des horizontalen Spritz- oder Sprühgestänges;*
- h) die Düsentypen, die sich zum Zeitpunkt der Inspektion an dem Anwendungsgerät befinden;*
- i) im Falle von Spritz- oder Sprühgestänge, ob am Anwendungsgerät eine Abschnitts- und/oder Düsensteuerung durch Geolokalisierungstechnologie vorhanden ist oder nicht;*
- j) bei Geräten, die älter als drei Jahre sind, das Datum jeder gemäß Artikel 31 durchgeführten Inspektion;*
- k) bei jeder gemäß Artikel 31 durchgeführten Inspektion der Anwendungsgeräte, ob diese den Anforderungen genügt haben oder nicht;*
- l) die Gründe, warum sie bei der Inspektion gegebenenfalls den Anforderungen nicht genügt haben.*

(3) Sind Anwendungsgeräte nicht mit einer individuellen Kennung gemäß Absatz 2 Buchstabe b versehen, so wird von den zuständigen Behörden gemäß Artikel 30 eine individuelle Kennung zugewiesen.

Or. de

Amendment 2613

Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Lena Düpont, Jens Gieseke, Angelika Niebler, Andreas Schwab, Ralf Seekatz, David McAllister, Christine Schneider, Stefan Berger, Sabine Verheyen, Rainer Wieland, Monika Hohlmeier, Michael Gahler, Christian Doleschal, Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Alexander Bernhuber, Daniel Caspary, Herbert Dorfmann, Simone Schmiedtbauer

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 33**

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Artikel 33

entfällt

***Elektronisches Register der
Anwendungsgeräte für berufliche
Verwendung***

***(1) Jede von einem Mitgliedstaat
gemäß Artikel 30 benannte zuständige
Behörde erstellt und führt ein zentrales
elektronisches Register, um Folgendes
aufzuzeichnen:***

***a) von Dritten gemäß Artikel 20
Absatz 2 Buchstabe b Ziffer i und Artikel
29 eingegebene Informationen;***

***b) Aufzeichnungen über
Inspektionen und Bescheinigungen
gemäß Artikel 31 Absatz 6 und Absatz 7
Buchstabe b;***

***c) sonstige Informationen gemäß
Absatz 2 über Anwendungsgeräte für
berufliche Verwendung in ihrem
Mitgliedstaat, die nicht gemäß Artikel 32
Absatz 3 von der Inspektion
ausgenommen wurden.***

***(2) Die zuständigen Behörden gemäß
Artikel 30 zeichnen zum Zeitpunkt der
Inspektion folgende Informationen auf:***

***a) die Bezeichnung der Stelle, die die
Inspektionen durchgeführt hat;***

***b) die individuelle Kennung des
Anwendungsgeräts, sofern verfügbar;***

***c) das Herstellungsdatum, sofern
verfügbar;***

- d) *den Namen und die Anschrift des derzeitigen Eigentümers;*
 - e) *im Falle einer Eigentumsübertragung das Datum jeder Übertragung sowie den Namen und die Anschrift der früheren Eigentümer in den letzten fünf Jahren;*
 - f) *die Größe des Tanks;*
 - g) *gegebenenfalls die Breite des horizontalen Spritz- oder Sprühgestänges;*
 - h) *die Düsentypen, die sich zum Zeitpunkt der Inspektion an dem Anwendungsgerät befinden;*
 - i) *im Falle von Spritz- oder Sprühgestänge, ob am Anwendungsgerät eine Abschnitts- und/oder Düsensteuerung durch Geolokalisierungstechnologie vorhanden ist oder nicht;*
 - j) *bei Geräten, die älter als drei Jahre sind, das Datum jeder gemäß Artikel 31 durchgeführten Inspektion;*
 - k) *bei jeder gemäß Artikel 31 durchgeführten Inspektion der Anwendungsgeräte, ob diese den Anforderungen genügt haben oder nicht;*
 - l) *die Gründe, warum sie bei der Inspektion gegebenenfalls den Anforderungen nicht genügt haben.*
- (3) *Sind Anwendungsgeräte nicht mit einer individuellen Kennung gemäß Absatz 2 Buchstabe b versehen, so wird von den zuständigen Behörden gemäß Artikel 30 eine individuelle Kennung zugewiesen.*

Or. de

Amendment 2614

Christine Schneider, Ralf Seekatz, Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Sven Simon, Angelika Niebler, Monika Hohlmeier, Christian Doleschal, Franc Bogovič, Marlene Mortler, Daniel Caspary, Rainer Wieland, Sabine Verheyen, Alexander Bernhuber

Proposal for a regulation
Article 33

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article 33

deleted

***Electronic register of application
equipment in professional use***

1. Each competent authority designated by a Member State pursuant to Article 30 shall establish and maintain a central electronic register to record:

(a) information entered by third parties pursuant to Article 20(2), point (b)(i), and Article 29;

(b) records of inspections and certificates as set out in Article 31(6) and (7)(b);

(c) other information as set out in paragraph 2 on application equipment in professional use in its Member State that has not been exempted from inspection under Article 32(3).

2. The competent authorities referred to in Article 30 shall, at the time of inspection, record the following information:

(a) the name of the body carrying out the inspections;

(b) the unique ID of the application equipment, if available;

(c) the date of manufacture, if available;

(d) the name and address of the current owner;

(e) where there has been a transfer of ownership, the date of each transfer and the name and address of previous owners within the last five years;

(f) the tank size;

(g) the width of the horizontal spray boom, if applicable;

- (h) the nozzle type(s) present on the application equipment at the time of inspection;**
- (i) in the case of boom sprayers, whether section and/or nozzle control through geospatial localisation technology is present or absent on the application equipment;**
- (j) for equipment older than three years, the date of each inspection carried out in accordance with Article 31;**
- (k) whether the application equipment passed or failed each inspection carried out under Article 31;**
- (l) the reasons for any failed inspection.**

3. Where application equipment does not bear a unique ID as referred to in paragraph 2, point (b), the competent authorities referred to in Article 30 shall supply a unique ID.

Or. en

Amendment 2615

Franč Bogovič, Marlene Mortler, Ljudmila Novak, Alexander Bernhuber

Proposal for a regulation Article 33

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article 33

deleted

Electronic register of application equipment in professional use

1. Each competent authority designated by a Member State pursuant to Article 30 shall establish and maintain a central electronic register to record:

- (a) information entered by third parties pursuant to Article 20(2), point (b)(i), and Article 29;**

(b) records of inspections and certificates as set out in Article 31(6) and (7)(b);

(c) other information as set out in paragraph 2 on application equipment in professional use in its Member State that has not been exempted from inspection under Article 32(3).

2. The competent authorities referred to in Article 30 shall, at the time of inspection, record the following information:

(a) the name of the body carrying out the inspections;

(b) the unique ID of the application equipment, if available;

(c) the date of manufacture, if available;

(d) the name and address of the current owner;

(e) where there has been a transfer of ownership, the date of each transfer and the name and address of previous owners within the last five years;

(f) the tank size;

(g) the width of the horizontal spray boom, if applicable;

(h) the nozzle type(s) present on the application equipment at the time of inspection;

(i) in the case of boom sprayers, whether section and/or nozzle control through geospatial localisation technology is present or absent on the application equipment;

(j) for equipment older than three years, the date of each inspection carried out in accordance with Article 31;

(k) whether the application equipment passed or failed each inspection carried out under Article 31;

(l) the reasons for any failed

inspection.

3. Where application equipment does not bear a unique ID as referred to in paragraph 2, point (b), the competent authorities referred to in Article 30 shall supply a unique ID.

Or. en

Amendment 2616

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjård

Proposal for a regulation Article 33 – title

Text proposed by the Commission

Electronic register of application equipment in professional use

Amendment

Register of application equipment in professional use

Or. en

Amendment 2617

Ulrike Müller, Emma Wiesner, Andreas Glück

Proposal for a regulation Article 33 – paragraph 1 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Each competent authority designated by a Member State pursuant to Article 30 shall establish and maintain a central electronic register to record:

Amendment

1. Each competent authority designated by a Member State pursuant to Article 30 shall establish and maintain a central ***non-public*** electronic register to record:

Or. en

Justification

Information on ownership of application equipment must not be public to ensure adequate data and privacy protection.

Amendment 2618

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjärd

Proposal for a regulation

Article 33 – paragraph 1 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Each competent authority designated by a Member State pursuant to Article 30 shall establish and maintain a **central electronic** register to record:

Amendment

1. Each competent authority designated by a Member State pursuant to Article 30 shall establish and maintain a register to record:

Or. en

Amendment 2619

Franc Bogovič, Marlene Mortler, Ljudmila Novak

Proposal for a regulation

Article 33 – paragraph 1 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Each competent authority designated by a Member State pursuant to Article 30 shall establish and maintain a **central electronic** register to record:

Amendment

1. Each competent authority designated by a Member State pursuant to Article 30 shall establish and maintain a register to record:

Or. en

Amendment 2620

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjärd

Proposal for a regulation

Article 33 – paragraph 1 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) records of inspections and

Amendment

(b) records of inspections and

certificates as set out in Article **31(6) and**
(7)(b);

certificates as set out in Article **31 (7)(b);**

Or. en

Amendment 2621

Maria Arena, Delara Burkhardt

Proposal for a regulation

Article 33 – paragraph 1 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

(c) other information as set out in paragraph 2 on application equipment in professional use in its Member State ***that has not been exempted from inspection under Article 32(3).***

Amendment

(c) other information as set out in paragraph 2 on application equipment in professional use in its Member State.

Or. en

Amendment 2622

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation

Article 33 – paragraph 1 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

(c) other information as set out in paragraph 2 on application equipment in professional use in its Member State ***that has not been exempted from inspection under Article 32(3).***

Amendment

(c) other information as set out in paragraph 2 on application equipment in professional use in its Member State.

Or. en

Amendment 2623

Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław Kalinowski, Joanna Kopcińska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

Proposal for a regulation

Article 33 – paragraph 2 – point e

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(e) *where there has been a transfer of ownership, the date of each transfer and the name and address of previous owners within the last five years;* **deleted**

Or. en

Amendment 2624

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjård

Proposal for a regulation

Article 33 – paragraph 2 – point e

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(e) *where there has been a transfer of ownership, the date of **each** transfer **and the name and address of previous owners within the last five years;***

(e) *where there has been a transfer of ownership, the date of **the** transfer;*

Or. en

Amendment 2625

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjård

Proposal for a regulation

Article 33 – paragraph 2 – point f

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(f) *the tank **size**;*

(f) ***Volume of the tank in liters for containers for application of plant protection products in liquid form;***

Or. en

Amendment 2626

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjärd

Proposal for a regulation

Article 33 – paragraph 2 – point g

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(g) the width of the horizontal spray boom, if applicable;

(g) the **work** width of the horizontal spray boom, if applicable;

Or. en

Amendment 2627

Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław Kalinowski, Joanna Kopcińska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

Proposal for a regulation

Article 33 – paragraph 2 – point h

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(h) the nozzle type(s) present on the application equipment at the time of inspection;

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 2628

Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Peter Lundgren, Hermann Tertsch, Mazaly Aguilar, Evžen Tošenovský, Sergio Berlato, Jorge Buxadé Villalba, Eugen Jurzyca, Charlie Weimers, Alexander Bernhuber, Johan Nissinen

Proposal for a regulation

Article 33 – paragraph 2 – point h

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(h) the nozzle type(s) present on the application equipment at the time of inspection;

(h) the nozzle type(s) present on the application equipment at the time of inspection, **as well as any type of drift reduction equipment;**

Amendment 2629

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjärd

Proposal for a regulation

Article 33 – paragraph 2 – point i

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(i) in the case of boom sprayers, whether section and/or nozzle control ***through geospatial localisation technology*** is present or absent on the application equipment;

(i) in the case of boom sprayers, whether section and/or nozzle control is present or absent on the application equipment;

Or. en

Amendment 2630

Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław Kalinowski, Joanna Kopcińska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

Proposal for a regulation

Article 33 – paragraph 2 – point j

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(j) ***for equipment older than three years, the date of each inspection carried out in accordance with Article 31;***

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 2631

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjärd

Proposal for a regulation

Article 33 – paragraph 2 – point j

Text proposed by the Commission

(j) for equipment older than three years, the date of ***each*** inspection carried out in accordance with Article 31;

Amendment

(j) for equipment older than three years, the date of ***the last*** inspection carried out in accordance with Article 31;

Or. en

Amendment 2632

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjärd

Proposal for a regulation

Article 33 – paragraph 2 – point k

Text proposed by the Commission

(k) whether the application equipment passed or failed each inspection carried out under Article 31;

Amendment

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 2633

Sarah Wiener, Jutta Paulus

Proposal for a regulation

Article 33 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article 33 a

Monitoring of pesticide residues

1. By ... [OP: please insert the date – 18 months after the date of entry into force of this Regulation] Member States shall put in place routine measures for carrying out specific, representative monitoring programmes of residues of active substances and their metabolites in water resources, groundwater, soil, air/dust/precipitation, biota and humans

to assess whether

(a) the goals of this Regulation with regard to the reduction of use and risk of chemical plant protection products are met;

(b) pesticide findings comply with the predicted exposure from environmental and human risk assessments according to Regulation 1107/2009;

(c) a reduction of pesticide exposure can be linked to effect indicators for the environment and human health^{1a};

(d) the prevention of deterioration of the status of all bodies of surface and groundwater according to Directive 2000/60/EC is achieved;

(e) mitigation measures are effective and properly implemented.

2. Existing monitoring programmes and indicators shall be evaluated and adapted if necessary, and new programmes shall be tailored as appropriate to assure that suitable monitoring data is generated. If necessary, Member States shall implement additional measures to ensure that the use of plant protection products complies with the provisions of Articles 4 and 29 of Commission Regulation 1107/2009.

3. The results of the monitoring programmes shall be submitted to the Commission and published on the website referred to in Article 8. The Commission shall examine the results and consider whether regulatory changes are necessary.

^{1a} *Effect indicators shall include existing resources and tools such as farmland birds index, pollinators index, butterfly index, Insignia-bee, EPT index, SPEAR index, LUCAS monitoring of Soil Biodiversity, the TAT (Total Applied Toxicity indicator) for different groups of organisms, as well as the risk assessment as well as the risk assessment carried out*

by EFSA and indicators related to the soil microbiome.

Or. en

Justification

Complements amendment 240 of the draft report by setting a timeline, and adding an additional indicator to the footnote.

Amendment 2634

Dan-Ștefan Motreanu, Carmen Avram, Marian-Jean Marinescu, Daniel Buda

Proposal for a regulation

Article 34 – title

Text proposed by the Commission

Methodology for calculating progress towards achieving the two ***national and two*** Union ***2030*** reduction targets

Amendment

Methodology for calculating progress towards achieving the two Union ***2035*** reduction targets ***and the two national 2035 reduction contributions***

Or. en

Amendment 2635

Esther de Lange

Proposal for a regulation

Article 34 – title

Text proposed by the Commission

Methodology for calculating progress towards achieving the two national and two Union ***2030*** reduction targets

Amendment

Methodology for calculating progress towards achieving the two national and two Union ***2035*** reduction targets

Or. en

Amendment 2636

Peter Liese

Proposal for a regulation
Article 34 – title

Text proposed by the Commission

Methodology for calculating progress towards achieving the two national and two Union **2030** reduction targets

Amendment

Methodology for calculating progress towards achieving the two national and two Union reduction targets

Or. en

Amendment 2637

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjård

Proposal for a regulation
Article 34 – title

Text proposed by the Commission

Methodology for calculating progress towards achieving the **two national and two** Union **2030** reduction targets

Amendment

Methodology for calculating progress towards achieving the Union **wide 2035** reduction targets

Or. en

Amendment 2638

Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Lena Düpont, Peter Jahr, Jens Gieseke, Angelika Niebler, Andreas Schwab, Ralf Seekatz, David McAllister, Christine Schneider, Stefan Berger, Sabine Verheyen, Rainer Wieland, Monika Hohlmeier, Michael Gahler, Christian Doleschal, Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Alexander Bernhuber, Daniel Caspary, Herbert Dorfmann, Simone Schmiedtbauer

Proposal for a regulation
Article 34 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

(1) Die Methodik zur Berechnung der Fortschritte bis zum Jahr **2030** einschließlich auf dem Weg zur Erreichung der beiden Reduktionsziele der Union bis **2030** und der beiden nationalen **Reduktionsziele** bis einschließlich **2030** ist

Amendment

(1) Die Methodik zur Berechnung der Fortschritte bis zum Jahr ... **[Amt für Veröffentlichungen: bitte das Datum einfügen – 10 Jahre nach dem Geltungsbeginn dieser Verordnung]**, einschließlich auf dem Weg zur Erreichung

in Anhang I festgelegt. Diese Methodik beruht auf statistischen Daten, die gemäß der Verordnung (EG) Nr. 1185/2009 erhoben werden.

der beiden Reduktionsziele der Union bis ... **[Amt für Veröffentlichungen: bitte das Datum einfügen – 10 Jahre nach dem Geltungsbeginn dieser Verordnung]**, und der beiden nationalen **Korridorziele** bis einschließlich ... **[Amt für Veröffentlichungen: bitte das Datum einfügen – 10 Jahre nach dem Geltungsbeginn dieser Verordnung]**, ist in Anhang I festgelegt. Diese Methodik beruht auf statistischen Daten, die gemäß der Verordnung (EG) Nr. 1185/2009 erhoben werden.

Or. de

Justification

Ein längerer Zeitraum ist für die vorgesehene Reduktion von Pflanzenschutzmitteln nötig, um Planungssicherheit zu gewährleisten.

Amendment 2639

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation

Article 34 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. The methodology for calculating progress towards achieving the two Union 2030 reduction targets and the two national 2030 reduction targets until and including 2030 is laid down in Annex I. This methodology shall be based on statistical data collected in accordance with Regulation (EC) No 1185/2009.

Amendment

1. The methodology for calculating progress towards achieving the two Union 2030 reduction targets and the two national 2030 reduction targets until and including 2030 is laid down in Annex I. This methodology shall be based on statistical data collected in accordance with Regulation (EC) No 1185/2009 **and shall take into account the relative toxicity of the pesticide, the area on which it is used and the maximum application rate given in the legal requirements of the approval of the pesticide.**

Or. en

Amendment 2640
Sirpa Pietikäinen

Proposal for a regulation
Article 34 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. The methodology for calculating progress towards achieving the two Union 2030 reduction targets and the two national 2030 reduction targets until and including 2030 is laid down in Annex I. This methodology shall be based on statistical data collected in accordance with Regulation (EC) No 1185/2009.

Amendment

1. The methodology for calculating progress towards achieving the two Union 2030 reduction targets and the two national 2030 reduction targets until and including 2030 is laid down in Annex I. This methodology shall be based on statistical data collected in accordance with Regulation (EC) No 1185/2009 **and the mean application rates of chemical active substances based on their representative uses, which have been evaluated under Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009. .**

Or. en

Justification

If adopted, Annex I needs to be adapted accordingly.

Amendment 2641

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjärd, Margarita de la Pisa Carrión

Proposal for a regulation
Article 34 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. The methodology for calculating progress towards achieving the **two** Union **2030** reduction targets **and the two national 2030 reduction targets until and including 2030** is laid down in Annex I. This methodology shall be based on statistical data collected in accordance with Regulation (EC) No 1185/2009.

Amendment

1. The methodology for calculating progress towards achieving the Union **wide 2035** reduction targets is laid down in Annex I. This methodology shall be based on statistical data collected in accordance with Regulation (EC) No 1185/2009.

Or. en

Amendment 2642
Peter Liese

Proposal for a regulation
Article 34 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. The methodology for calculating progress towards achieving the two Union **2030** reduction targets and the two national **2030** reduction targets until **and including 2030** is laid down in Annex I. This methodology shall be based on statistical data collected in accordance with Regulation (EC) No 1185/2009.

Amendment

1. The methodology for calculating progress towards achieving the two Union reduction targets and the two national reduction targets until **10 years after the entry into force of this Regulation** is laid down in Annex I. This methodology shall be based on statistical data collected in accordance with Regulation (EC) No 1185/2009.

Or. en

Amendment 2643
Peter Liese

Proposal for a regulation
Article 34 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. The methodology for calculating progress towards achieving the two Union **2030** reduction targets and the two national **2030** reduction targets until **and including 2030** is laid down in Annex I. This methodology shall be based on statistical data collected in accordance with Regulation (EC) No 1185/2009.

Amendment

1. The methodology for calculating progress towards achieving the two Union reduction targets and the two national reduction targets until **10 years after the entry into force of this Regulation** is laid down in Annex I. This methodology shall be based on statistical data collected in accordance with Regulation (EC) No 1185/2009.

Or. en

Amendment 2644
Dan-Ștefan Motreanu, Carmen Avram, Marian-Jean Marinescu, Daniel Buda

Proposal for a regulation
Article 34 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. The methodology for calculating progress towards achieving the two Union **2030** reduction targets and the two national **2030** reduction **targets** until and including **2030** is laid down in Annex I. This methodology shall be based on statistical data collected in accordance with Regulation (EC) No 1185/2009.

Amendment

1. The methodology for calculating progress towards achieving the two Union **2035** reduction targets and the two national **2035** reduction **contributions** until and including **2035** is laid down in Annex I. This methodology shall be based on statistical data collected in accordance with Regulation (EC) No 1185/2009.

Or. en

Amendment 2645

Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław Kalinowski, Joanna Kopcińska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

Proposal for a regulation
Article 34 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. The methodology for calculating progress towards achieving the two Union **2030 reduction targets and the two national** 2030 reduction targets until and including 2030 is laid down in Annex I. This methodology shall be based on statistical data collected in accordance with Regulation (EC) No 1185/2009.

Amendment

1. The methodology for calculating progress towards achieving the two Union 2030 reduction targets until and including 2030 is laid down in Annex I. This methodology shall be based on statistical data collected in accordance with Regulation (EC) No 1185/2009.

Or. en

Justification

Compatible with the Ams. to Art. 5

Amendment 2646

Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Peter Lundgren, Hermann Tertsch, Mazaly Aguilar, Evžen Tošenovský, Sergio Berlato, Jorge Buxadé Villalba, Eugen Jurzyca, Charlie Weimers, Alexander Bernhuber, Johan Nissinen

Proposal for a regulation
Article 34 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. The methodology for calculating progress towards achieving the two Union 2030 reduction **targets** and the two national 2030 reduction targets until and including 2030 is laid down in Annex I. This methodology shall be based on statistical data collected in accordance with Regulation (EC) No 1185/2009.

Amendment

1. The methodology for calculating progress towards achieving the two Union 2030 reduction **contributions** and the two national 2030 reduction targets until and including 2030 is laid down in Annex I. This methodology shall be based on statistical data collected in accordance with Regulation (EC) No 1185/2009.

Or. en

Amendment 2647
Esther de Lange

Proposal for a regulation
Article 34 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. The methodology for calculating progress towards achieving the two Union **2030** reduction targets and the two national **2030** reduction targets until and including **2030** is laid down in Annex I. This methodology shall be based on statistical data collected in accordance with Regulation (EC) No 1185/2009.

Amendment

1. The methodology for calculating progress towards achieving the two Union **2035** reduction targets and the two national **2035** reduction targets until and including **2035** is laid down in Annex I. This methodology shall be based on statistical data collected in accordance with Regulation (EC) No 1185/2009.

Or. en

Amendment 2648

Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Lena Düpont, Peter Jahr, Jens Gieseke, Angelika Niebler, Andreas Schwab, Ralf Seekatz, David McAllister, Christine Schneider, Stefan Berger, Sabine Verheyen, Rainer Wieland, Monika Hohlmeier, Michael Gahler, Christian Doleschal, Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Daniel Caspary, Herbert Dorfmann

Proposal for a regulation
Article 34 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

(2) Die Kommission berechnet jährlich bis zum Jahr **2030** einschließlich anhand der in Anhang I dargelegten Methodik die Ergebnisse der Fortschritte auf dem Weg zur **Erreichung der beiden Reduktionsziele** der Union und der beiden nationalen **Reduktionsziele** bis einschließlich **2030** und veröffentlicht diese Ergebnisse auf der Website gemäß Artikel 7.

Amendment

(2) Die Kommission berechnet jährlich bis zum Jahr ... **[Amt für Veröffentlichungen: bitte das Datum einfügen – 10 Jahre nach dem Geltungsbeginn dieser Verordnung]**, einschließlich anhand der in Anhang I dargelegten Methodik die Ergebnisse der Fortschritte auf dem Weg zur **Reduktion** der Union und der beiden nationalen **Korridorziele** bis einschließlich ... **[Amt für Veröffentlichungen: bitte das Datum einfügen – 10 Jahre nach dem Geltungsbeginn dieser Verordnung]**, und veröffentlicht diese Ergebnisse auf der Website gemäß Artikel 7.

Or. de

Justification

Ein längerer Zeitraum ist für die vorgesehene Reduktion von Pflanzenschutzmitteln nötig, um Planungssicherheit zu gewährleisten.

Amendment 2649

Dan-Ștefan Motreanu, Carmen Avram, Marian-Jean Marinescu, Daniel Buda

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 34 – paragraph 2**

Text proposed by the Commission

2. Using the methodology set out in Annex I, the Commission shall calculate the results of progress towards achieving the two Union and two national **2030** reduction **targets** annually until and including **2030** and publish those results on the website referred to in Article 7.

Amendment

2. Using the methodology set out in Annex I, the Commission shall calculate the results of progress towards achieving the two Union **reduction targets** and two national **2035** reduction **contributions** annually until and including **2035** and publish those results on the website referred to in Article 7.

Or. en

Amendment 2650

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Pietro Fiocchi, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjärd

Proposal for a regulation

Article 34 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. Using the methodology set out in Annex I, the Commission shall calculate the results of progress towards achieving the **two Union and two national 2030 reduction targets annually** until and including **2030** and publish those results on the website referred to in Article 7.

Amendment

2. Using the methodology set out in Annex I, the Commission shall calculate the results of progress towards achieving the Union **wide** until and including **2035** and publish those results on the website referred to in Article 7.

Or. en

Amendment 2651

Esther de Lange

Proposal for a regulation

Article 34 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. Using the methodology set out in Annex I, the Commission shall calculate the results of progress towards achieving the two Union and two national **2030** reduction targets annually until and including **2030** and publish those results on the website referred to in Article 7.

Amendment

2. Using the methodology set out in Annex I, the Commission shall calculate the results of progress towards achieving the two Union and two national **2035** reduction targets annually until and including **2035** and publish those results on the website referred to in Article 7.

Or. en

Amendment 2652

Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Peter Lundgren, Hermann Tertsch, Mazaly Aguilar, Evžen Tošenovský, Sergio Berlato, Jorge Buxadé Villalba, Eugen Jurzyca, Charlie Weimers, Alexander Bernhuber, Johan Nissinen

Proposal for a regulation

Article 34 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. Using the methodology set out in Annex I, the Commission shall calculate the results of progress towards achieving the two Union and two national 2030 **reduction targets** annually until and including 2030 and publish those results on the website referred to in Article 7.

Amendment

2. Using the methodology set out in Annex I, the Commission shall calculate the results of progress towards achieving the two Union and two national 2030 **contributions** annually until and including 2030 and publish those results on the website referred to in Article 7.

Or. en

Amendment 2653

Peter Liese

Proposal for a regulation

Article 34 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. Using the methodology set out in Annex I, the Commission shall calculate the results of progress towards achieving the two Union and two national **2030** reduction targets annually until and including **2030** and publish those results on the website referred to in Article 7.

Amendment

2. Using the methodology set out in Annex I, the Commission shall calculate the results of progress towards achieving the two Union and two national reduction targets annually until and including and publish those results on the website referred to in Article 7.

Or. en

Amendment 2654

Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław Kalinowski, Joanna Kopcińska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

Proposal for a regulation

Article 34 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. Using the methodology set out in Annex I, the Commission shall calculate the results of progress towards achieving the two Union **and two national** 2030 reduction targets annually until and

Amendment

2. Using the methodology set out in Annex I, the Commission shall calculate the results of progress towards achieving the two Union 2030 reduction targets annually until and including 2030 and

including 2030 and publish those results on the website referred to in Article 7.

publish those results on the website referred to in Article 7.

Or. en

Justification

Compatible with the Ams. to Art. 5

Amendment 2655

Jan Huitema, Emma Wiesner, Erik Poulsen, Billy Kelleher, Asger Christensen

Proposal for a regulation

Article 35 – title

Text proposed by the Commission

Methodology for calculating harmonised risk indicators 1, 2 **and 2a**

Amendment

Methodology for calculating harmonised risk indicators 1, 2, **2a, and new indicators for assessment of the environmental impact of plant protection measures.**

Or. en

Amendment 2656

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation

Article 35 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. The methodology for calculating progress in relation to harmonised risk indicators 1, 2 and 2a, at both Union and Member State level, is laid down in Annex VI. This methodology shall be based on statistical data collected in accordance with Regulation (EC) No 1185/2009.

Amendment

1. The methodology for calculating progress in relation to harmonised risk indicators 1, 2 and 2a, at both Union and Member State level, is laid down in Annex VI. This methodology shall be based on statistical data collected in accordance with Regulation (EC) No 1185/2009 **and shall take into account the relative toxicity of the pesticide, the area on which it is used and the maximum application rate given in the legal requirements of the approval of the pesticide.**

Amendment 2657

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjärd

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 35 – paragraph 1**

Text proposed by the Commission

1. The methodology for calculating progress in relation to harmonised risk indicators 1, 2 and 2a, at **both** Union **and Member State** level, is laid down in Annex VI. This methodology shall be based on statistical data collected in accordance with Regulation (EC) No 1185/2009.

Amendment

1. The methodology for calculating progress in relation to harmonised risk indicators 1, 2 and 2a, at Union level, is laid down in Annex VI. This methodology shall be based on statistical data collected in accordance with Regulation (EC) No 1185/2009.

Amendment 2658

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjärd

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 35 – paragraph 3**

Text proposed by the Commission

3. *Using the methodology set out in Annex VI, each Member State shall calculate the results of harmonised risk indicators 1, 2 and 2a on an annual basis at national level.*

Amendment

deleted

Amendment 2659

Jan Huitema, Emma Wiesner, Erik Poulsen, Nils Torvalds, Billy Kelleher, Asger Christensen

Proposal for a regulation
Article 35 – paragraph 3 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

3 a. The Commission shall by 2027, publish a report evaluating the indicators developed by Member States under article 4a for the environmental impact of plant protection measures. This report shall, on the basis of the indicators developed by Member States, evaluate the feasibility of developing a harmonised Union indicator for the environmental impact of plant protection measures.

Or. en

Amendment 2660

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjärd

Proposal for a regulation
Article 35 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

4. The Commission is empowered to adopt delegated acts in accordance with Article 40 amending this Article and Annex VI in order to take into account technical progress, including progress in the availability of statistical data, and scientific and agronomic developments. Such delegated acts may modify the existing harmonised risk indicators or provide for new harmonised risk indicators, which may take into account Member States' progress towards achieving the target of having 25% of their utilised agricultural area devoted to organic farming by 2030 as referred to in Article 8(1), point (d).

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 2661

Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Peter Lundgren, Hermann Tertsch, Mazaly Aguilar, Evžen Tošenovský, Sergio Berlato, Jorge Buxadé Villalba, Eugen Jurzyca, Pietro Fiocchi, Charlie Weimers, Alexander Bernhuber, Johan Nissinen

Proposal for a regulation

Article 35 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

4. The Commission is empowered to adopt delegated acts in accordance with Article 40 amending this Article and Annex VI in order to take into account technical progress, including progress in the availability of statistical data, and scientific and agronomic developments. Such delegated acts may modify the existing harmonised risk indicators or provide for new harmonised risk indicators, which may take into account Member States' progress towards achieving the target of having 25% of their utilised agricultural area devoted to organic farming by 2030 as referred to in Article 8(1), point (d). **deleted**

Or. en

Justification

In the name of simplification and enhancing transparency of the negotiations, it is not appropriate to defer a number of key decisions to delegated acts.

Amendment 2662

Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Lena Düpont, Jens Gieseke, Angelika Niebler, Andreas Schwab, Ralf Seekatz, David McAllister, Christine Schneider, Stefan Berger, Sabine Verheyen, Rainer Wieland, Monika Hohlmeier, Michael Gahler, Christian Doleschal, Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Daniel Caspary, Herbert Dorfmann

Proposal for a regulation

Article 35 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(4) Die Kommission ist befugt, gemäß Artikel 40 delegierte Rechtsakte zur

(4) Die Kommission ist befugt, gemäß Artikel 40 delegierte Rechtsakte zur

Änderung dieses Artikels und des Anhangs VI zwecks Berücksichtigung technischer Fortschritte, auch bei der Verfügbarkeit statistischer Daten, und wissenschaftlicher sowie agronomischer Entwicklungen zu erlassen. ***Mit solchen delegierten Rechtsakten können die bestehenden harmonisierten Risikoindikatoren geändert oder neue harmonisierte Risikoindikatoren vorgesehen werden, die den Fortschritten der Mitgliedstaaten bei der Erreichung des in Artikel 8 Absatz 1 Buchstabe d genannten Ziels, dass bis 2030 25 % ihrer landwirtschaftlich genutzten Fläche für ökologischen/biologischen Landbau genutzt werden, Rechnung tragen können.***

Änderung dieses Artikels und des Anhangs VI zwecks Berücksichtigung technischer Fortschritte, auch bei der Verfügbarkeit statistischer Daten, und wissenschaftlicher sowie agronomischer Entwicklungen zu erlassen.

Or. de

Amendment 2663

Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław Kalinowski, Joanna Kopcińska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

Proposal for a regulation Article 35 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

4. The Commission is empowered to adopt delegated acts in accordance with Article 40 amending this Article and Annex VI in order to take into account technical progress, including progress in the availability of statistical data, and scientific and agronomic developments. ***Such delegated acts may modify the existing harmonised risk indicators or provide for new harmonised risk indicators, which may take into account Member States' progress towards achieving the target of having 25% of their utilised agricultural area devoted to organic farming by 2030 as referred to in Article 8(1), point (d).***

Amendment

4. The Commission is empowered to adopt delegated acts in accordance with Article 40 amending this Article and Annex VI in order to take into account technical progress, including progress in the availability of statistical data, and scientific and agronomic developments.

Amendment 2664
Sarah Wiener

Proposal for a regulation
Article 35 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

4. The Commission **is empowered to** adopt delegated acts in accordance with Article 40 amending this Article and Annex VI in order to **take into account technical progress, including progress in the availability of statistical data, and scientific and agronomic developments.** **Such delegated acts may** modify the existing harmonised risk indicators or provide for new harmonised risk indicators, which **may** take into account Member States' progress towards achieving the target of having 25% of their utilised agricultural area devoted to organic farming by 2030 as referred to in Article 8(1), point (d).

Amendment

4. **Taking into account progress in the availability of statistical data,** the Commission **shall, by 31. December 2027,** adopt delegated acts in accordance with Article 40 amending this Article and Annex VI in order to modify the existing harmonised risk indicators or provide for new harmonised risk indicators, which **build upon real use data of plant protection products and take into account technical progress, scientific and agronomic developments. Those delegated acts shall take into account the results of the evaluation referred to in paragraph 5, and ensure that selected non-chemical substances are included in the calculation. The delegated acts may also** take into account Member States' progress towards achieving the target of having 25% of their utilised agricultural area devoted to organic farming by 2030 as referred to in Article 8(1), point (d).

Or. en

Justification

This amendment completes amendment 243 of the draft report.

Amendment 2665
Ulrike Müller, Emma Wiesner, Andreas Glück

Proposal for a regulation
Article 35 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

4. The Commission is empowered to adopt delegated acts in accordance with Article 40 amending this Article and Annex VI in order to take into account technical progress, including progress in the availability of statistical data, and scientific and agronomic developments. Such delegated acts may modify the existing harmonised risk indicators or provide for new harmonised risk indicators, ***which may take into account Member States' progress towards achieving the target of having 25% of their utilised agricultural area devoted to organic farming by 2030 as referred to in Article 8(1), point (d).***

4. The Commission is empowered to adopt delegated acts in accordance with Article 40 amending this Article and Annex VI in order to take into account technical progress, including progress in the availability of statistical data, and scientific and agronomic developments. Such delegated acts may modify the existing harmonised risk indicators or provide for new harmonised risk indicators.

Or. en

Justification

Organic farming is one approach to low-input farming, but should not be considered a silver bullet for the achievement of the goals of this Regulation. It is not apparent how a focus on organic farming over other practices that contribute to volume- and risk-reduction helps to validate the quality of national action plans. Further, the target of achieving 25% organic farming is an indicative target not set in legislation. Therefore, Member States should not be legally obliged to link national action plans to the target.

Amendment 2666

Jan Huitema, Emma Wiesner, Billy Kelleher, Asger Christensen

Proposal for a regulation

Article 35 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

4. The Commission is empowered to adopt delegated acts in accordance with Article 40 amending this Article and Annex VI in order to take into account technical progress, including progress in the availability of statistical data, and scientific and agronomic developments. Such delegated acts may modify the

4. The Commission is empowered to adopt delegated acts in accordance with Article 40 amending this Article and Annex VI in order to take into account technical progress, including progress in the availability of statistical data, and scientific and agronomic developments. Such delegated acts may modify the

existing harmonised risk indicators **or** provide for new harmonised risk indicators, **which may take into account Member States' progress towards achieving the target of having 25% of their utilised agricultural area devoted to organic farming by 2030 as referred to in Article 8(1), point (d).**

existing harmonised risk indicators, provide for new harmonised risk indicators, **or provide new indicators for the integral environmental impact of plant protection measures following the report as mentioned in paragraph 3a of this Article.**

Or. en

Amendment 2667
Sarah Wiener, Jutta Paulus

Proposal for a regulation
Article 35 – paragraph 5

Text proposed by the Commission

5. By... [OP please insert the date = first day of the month following 12 months after the date of entry into force of this Regulation], the Commission shall complete an evaluation of harmonised risk indicators 1, 2 and 2a. This evaluation shall be based on scientific research from the Joint Research Centre and extensive consultation of stakeholders, including Member States, scientific experts and civil society organisations. The evaluation shall include the methodologies to be used in formulating new and modifying existing harmonised risk indicators in accordance with paragraph 4.

Amendment

5. By... [OP please insert the date = first day of the month following 12 months after the date of entry into force of this Regulation], the Commission shall complete an evaluation of harmonised risk indicators 1, 2 and 2a. This evaluation shall be based on scientific research from the Joint Research Centre and extensive consultation of stakeholders, including Member States, scientific experts and civil society organisations. The evaluation shall, **inter alia, explore options to further differentiate the active substances falling in group 2 based on their risk profile and to include selected non-chemical substances in the categories of active substances as referred to in Annex I and Annex VI and** include the methodologies to be used in formulating new and modifying existing harmonised risk indicators in accordance with paragraph 4. **To that purpose, the European Commission shall include the information whether an active substances is classified as non-chemical plant protection product in the European Pesticides database.**

Justification

Correction: AM 244 of the draft report referred to the wrong annex. The last sentence was added in order to be able to differentiate in what risk categories different non-chemical plant protection products fall (list is under consideration by the EC).

Amendment 2668

Jan Huitema, Emma Wiesner, Nils Torvalds, Billy Kelleher, Asger Christensen

Proposal for a regulation

Article 35 – paragraph 5

Text proposed by the Commission

5. By... [OP please insert the date = first day of the month following 12 months after the date of entry into force of this Regulation], the Commission shall complete an evaluation of harmonised risk indicators 1, 2 and 2a. This evaluation shall be based on scientific research from the Joint Research Centre and extensive consultation of stakeholders, including Member States, scientific experts and civil society organisations. The evaluation shall include the methodologies to be used in formulating new and modifying existing harmonised risk indicators in accordance with paragraph 4.

Amendment

5. By... [OP please insert the date = first day of the month following 12 months after the date of entry into force of this Regulation], the Commission shall complete an evaluation of harmonised risk indicators 1, 2 and 2a. This evaluation shall be based on scientific research from the Joint Research Centre and extensive consultation of stakeholders, including Member States, scientific experts and civil society organisations. The evaluation shall ***in particular focus on the suitability of the harmonised risk indicators to assess the overall environmental impact of plant protection measures, taking into account the impact of possible crop failures due to reduction in the use of plant protection products. The evaluation shall*** include the methodologies to be used in formulating new and modifying existing harmonised risk indicators in accordance with paragraph 4.

Amendment 2669

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation
Article 35 – paragraph 5

Text proposed by the Commission

5. By... [OP please insert the date = first day of the month following **12** months after the date of entry into force of this Regulation], the Commission shall complete an evaluation of harmonised risk indicators 1, 2 and 2a. This evaluation shall be based on scientific research from the Joint Research Centre and extensive consultation of stakeholders, including Member States, scientific experts and civil society organisations. The evaluation shall include the methodologies to be used in formulating new and modifying existing harmonised risk indicators in accordance with paragraph 4.

Amendment

5. By... [OP please insert the date = first day of the month following **6** months after the date of entry into force of this Regulation], the Commission shall complete an evaluation of harmonised risk indicators 1, 2 and 2a. This evaluation shall be based on scientific research from the Joint Research Centre and extensive consultation of stakeholders, including Member States, **independent** scientific experts and civil society organisations. **The Commission shall publish all input received on a public website, including a justification of its use thereof.** The evaluation shall include the methodologies to be used in formulating new and modifying existing harmonised risk indicators in accordance with paragraph 4.

Or. en

Amendment 2670

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjård

Proposal for a regulation
Article 35 – paragraph 5

Text proposed by the Commission

5. By... [OP please insert the date = first day of the month following 12 months after the date of entry into force of this Regulation], the Commission shall complete an evaluation of harmonised risk indicators 1, 2 and 2a. This evaluation shall be based on scientific research from the Joint Research Centre and extensive consultation of stakeholders, including Member States, scientific experts and civil society organisations. The evaluation shall

Amendment

5. By... [OP please insert the date = first day of the month following 12 months after the date of entry into force of this Regulation], the Commission shall complete an evaluation of harmonised risk indicators 1, 2 and 2a. This evaluation shall be based on scientific research from the Joint Research Centre and extensive consultation of stakeholders, including Member States, scientific experts and civil society organisations. The evaluation shall

include the methodologies **to** be used in formulating new and modifying existing harmonised risk indicators in accordance with paragraph 4.

include the methodologies **may** be used in formulating new and modifying existing harmonised risk indicators in accordance with paragraph 4.

Or. en

Amendment 2671

Jan Huitema, Emma Wiesner, Billy Kelleher, Asger Christensen

Proposal for a regulation

Article 35 – paragraph 6

Text proposed by the Commission

6. Taking into account the results of the evaluation provided for in paragraph 5 and no later than 18 months after the publication of the statistics on the use of plant protection products in agriculture for the first reference period as referred to in Article 9 of Regulation xxx/xxx [reference to adopted act to be inserted], the Commission shall, if it considers it appropriate, establish new harmonised risk indicators **or** modify the existing ones on the basis of statistical data related to the use of plant protection products in accordance with paragraph 4 of this Article.

Amendment

6. Taking into account the results of the evaluation provided for in paragraph 5 and no later than 18 months after the publication of the statistics on the use of plant protection products in agriculture for the first reference period as referred to in Article 9 of Regulation xxx/xxx [reference to adopted act to be inserted], the Commission shall, if it considers it appropriate, establish new harmonised risk indicators, modify the existing ones on the basis of statistical data related to the use of plant protection products, **or establish new indicators for the environmental impact of plant protection measures** in accordance with paragraph 4 of this Article.

Or. en

Amendment 2672

Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan

Proposal for a regulation

Article 35 – paragraph 6

Text proposed by the Commission

6. Taking into account the results of the evaluation provided for in paragraph 5 and no later than 18 months after the

Amendment

6. Taking into account the results of the evaluation provided for in paragraph 5 and no later than 18 months after the

publication of the statistics on the use of plant protection products in agriculture for the first reference period as referred to in Article 9 of Regulation xxx/xxx [*reference to adopted act to be inserted*], the Commission shall, *if it considers it appropriate*, establish new harmonised risk indicators or modify the existing ones on the basis of statistical data related to the use of plant protection products in accordance with paragraph 4 of this Article.

publication of the statistics on the use of plant protection products in agriculture for the first reference period as referred to in Article 9 of Regulation **(EU) 2022/2379**, the Commission shall establish new harmonised risk indicators or modify the existing ones on the basis of statistical data related to the use of plant protection products in accordance with paragraph 4 of this Article.

Or. en

Amendment 2673

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation Article 35 – paragraph 6

Text proposed by the Commission

6. Taking into account the results of the evaluation provided for in paragraph 5 and no later than **18** months after the publication of the statistics on the use of plant protection products in agriculture for the first reference period as referred to in Article 9 of Regulation xxx/xxx [*reference to adopted act to be inserted*], the Commission shall, if it considers it appropriate, establish new harmonised risk indicators or modify the existing ones on the basis of statistical data related to the use of plant protection products in accordance with paragraph 4 of this Article.

Amendment

6. Taking into account the results of the evaluation provided for in paragraph 5 and no later than **12** months after the publication of the statistics on the use of plant protection products in agriculture for the first reference period as referred to in Article 9 of Regulation xxx/xxx [*reference to adopted act to be inserted*], the Commission shall, if it considers it appropriate, establish new harmonised risk indicators or modify the existing ones on the basis of statistical data related to the use of plant protection products in accordance with paragraph 4 of this Article.

Or. en

Amendment 2674

Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław Kalinowski, Joanna Kopcińska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

Proposal for a regulation
Article 36

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article 36

deleted

***Member State evaluation of progress
calculations and harmonised risk
indicators***

- 1. Each Member State shall evaluate the results of each calculation of (a) progress towards achieving each of the two national 2030 reduction targets as referred to in Article 34 and (b) harmonised risk indicators at Member State level, as referred to in Article 35, each time the calculations are performed.***
- 2. Evaluations of the harmonised risk indicators at Member State level referred to in Article 35 shall:***
 - (a) identify five active substances influencing the result most significantly;***
 - (b) specify the crops or situations and the target pests on which the active substances referred to in point (a) are used;***
 - (c) specify available non-chemical methods to combat those pests;***
 - (d) summarise the actions taken to reduce the use and risk of the active substances referred to in point (a) and any barriers to the adoption of alternative pest controls.***
- 3. Member States shall communicate the results of the calculations of harmonised risk indicators at Member State level, as specified in Annex VI, and the associated evaluations carried out pursuant to this Article to the Commission and to the other Member States and shall publish this information and other national indicators or quantifiable objectives referred to in paragraph 4 on the websites referred to in Article 27(2).***

4. Further to harmonised risk indicators specified in Annex VI and the data specified in Annex II, Member States may additionally continue to use existing, or to develop additional, national indicators or quantifiable objectives, and other data collected at a national or regional level, including future data on the use of plant protection products, that relates to the indicators and targets referred to in paragraphs 1 and 2.

Or. en

Justification

Deletion compatible with the Ams to Art. 5

Amendment 2675

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjärd

Proposal for a regulation

Article 36

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article 36

deleted

Member State evaluation of progress calculations and harmonised risk indicators

1. Each Member State shall evaluate the results of each calculation of (a) progress towards achieving each of the two national 2030 reduction targets as referred to in Article 34 and (b) harmonised risk indicators at Member State level, as referred to in Article 35, each time the calculations are performed.

2. Evaluations of the harmonised risk indicators at Member State level referred to in Article 35 shall:

(a) identify five active substances influencing the result most significantly;

- (b) specify the crops or situations and the target pests on which the active substances referred to in point (a) are used;*
- (c) specify available non-chemical methods to combat those pests;*
- (d) summarise the actions taken to reduce the use and risk of the active substances referred to in point (a) and any barriers to the adoption of alternative pest controls.*

3. Member States shall communicate the results of the calculations of harmonised risk indicators at Member State level, as specified in Annex VI, and the associated evaluations carried out pursuant to this Article to the Commission and to the other Member States and shall publish this information and other national indicators or quantifiable objectives referred to in paragraph 4 on the websites referred to in Article 27(2).

4. Further to harmonised risk indicators specified in Annex VI and the data specified in Annex II, Member States may additionally continue to use existing, or to develop additional, national indicators or quantifiable objectives, and other data collected at a national or regional level, including future data on the use of plant protection products, that relates to the indicators and targets referred to in paragraphs 1 and 2.

Or. en

Amendment 2676

Marlene Mortler, Norbert Lins, Lena Düpont, Peter Jahr, Jens Gieseke, Angelika Niebler, Andreas Schwab, Ralf Seekatz, David McAllister, Christine Schneider, Stefan Berger, Sabine Verheyen, Rainer Wieland, Monika Hohlmeier, Michael Gahler, Christian Doleschal, Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Daniel Caspary, Herbert Dorfmann

Proposal for a regulation Article 36 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

(1) Jedes Mal, wenn die Berechnungen durchgeführt werden, bewertet jeder Mitgliedstaat die Ergebnisse jeder Berechnung a) der Fortschritte auf dem Weg zur Erreichung der beiden nationalen **Reduktionsziele bis 2030** gemäß Artikel 34 und b) der harmonisierten Risikoindikatoren auf Ebene der Mitgliedstaaten gemäß Artikel 35.

Amendment

(1) Jedes Mal, wenn die Berechnungen durchgeführt werden, bewertet jeder Mitgliedstaat die Ergebnisse jeder Berechnung a) der Fortschritte auf dem Weg zur Erreichung der beiden nationalen **Korridorziele bis ... [Amt für Veröffentlichungen: bitte das Datum einfügen – 10 Jahre nach dem Geltungsbeginn dieser Verordnung],** gemäß Artikel 34 und b) der harmonisierten Risikoindikatoren auf Ebene der Mitgliedstaaten gemäß Artikel 35.

Or. de

Justification

Ein längerer Zeitraum ist für die vorgesehene Reduktion von Pflanzenschutzmitteln nötig, um Planungssicherheit zu gewährleisten.

Amendment 2677

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Mick Wallace

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 36 – paragraph 1**

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Each Member State shall evaluate the results of each calculation of (a) progress towards achieving each of the two national 2030 reduction targets as referred to in Article 34 **and (b)** harmonised risk indicators at Member State level, as referred to in Article 35, each time the calculations are performed.

Amendment

1. Each Member State shall evaluate the results of each calculation of (a) progress towards achieving each of the two national 2030 reduction targets as referred to in Article 34, **(b) progress towards achieving the national 2035 phase-out target and (c)** harmonised risk indicators at Member State level, as referred to in Article 35, each time the calculations are performed.

Or. en

Amendment 2678

Dan-Ștefan Motreanu, Carmen Avram, Marian-Jean Marinescu, Daniel Buda

Proposal for a regulation

Article 36 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Each Member State shall evaluate the results of each calculation of (a) progress towards achieving each of the two national **2030** reduction **targets** as referred to in Article 34 and (b) harmonised risk indicators at Member State level, as referred to in Article 35, each time the calculations are performed.

Amendment

1. Each Member State shall evaluate the results of each calculation of (a) progress towards achieving each of the two national **2035** reduction **contributions** as referred to in Article 34 and (b) harmonised risk indicators at Member State level, as referred to in Article 35, each time the calculations are performed.

Or. en

Amendment 2679

Esther de Lange

Proposal for a regulation

Article 36 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Each Member State shall evaluate the results of each calculation of (a) progress towards achieving each of the two national **2030** reduction targets as referred to in Article 34 and (b) harmonised risk indicators at Member State level, as referred to in Article 35, each time the calculations are performed.

Amendment

1. Each Member State shall evaluate the results of each calculation of (a) progress towards achieving each of the two national **2035** reduction targets as referred to in Article 34 and (b) harmonised risk indicators at Member State level, as referred to in Article 35, each time the calculations are performed.

Or. en

Amendment 2680

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjård

Proposal for a regulation

Article 36 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Each Member State shall evaluate the results of each calculation of (a) progress towards achieving each of the **two national 2030** reduction targets as referred to in Article 34 and (b) harmonised risk indicators at Member State level, as referred to in Article 35, each time the calculations are performed.

Amendment

1. Each Member State shall evaluate the results of each calculation of (a) progress towards achieving each of the **Union wide 2035** reduction targets as referred to in Article 34 and (b) harmonised risk indicators at Member State level, as referred to in Article 35, each time the calculations are performed.

Or. en

Amendment 2681
Peter Liese

Proposal for a regulation
Article 36 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Each Member State shall evaluate the results of each calculation of (a) progress towards achieving each of the two national **2030** reduction targets as referred to in Article 34 and (b) harmonised risk indicators at Member State level, as referred to in Article 35, each time the calculations are performed.

Amendment

1. Each Member State shall evaluate the results of each calculation of (a) progress towards achieving each of the two national reduction targets as referred to in Article 34 and (b) harmonised risk indicators at Member State level, as referred to in Article 35, each time the calculations are performed.

Or. en

Amendment 2682
Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation
Article 36 – paragraph 2 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) identify **five** active substances influencing the result most significantly;

Amendment

(a) identify **fifteen** active substances influencing the result most significantly;

Or. en

Amendment 2683
Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan

Proposal for a regulation
Article 36 – paragraph 2 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) identify **five** active substances influencing the result most significantly;

Amendment

(a) identify **ten** active substances influencing the result most significantly;

Or. en

Amendment 2684
Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation
Article 36 – paragraph 2 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) specify the crops or situations and the target pests on which the active substances referred to in point (a) are used;

Amendment

(b) specify the crops or situations and the target pests on which the active substances referred to in point (a) are used, **as well as the total surface area of land where the substances are used;**

Or. en

Amendment 2685
Mathilde Androuët

Proposal for a regulation
Article 36 – paragraph 2 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

c) précisent quelles méthodes non chimiques sont disponibles pour lutter contre ces ennemis des cultures;

Amendment

c) précisent quelles méthodes non chimiques sont disponibles pour lutter contre ces ennemis des cultures **dont l'efficacité technique et économique a été établie.**

Amendment 2686

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation

Article 36 – paragraph 2 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

(c) specify available non-chemical methods to combat those pests;

Amendment

(c) specify available non-chemical methods to **prevent and** combat those pests;

Or. en

Amendment 2687

Alessandra Moretti

Proposal for a regulation

Article 36 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article 36 bis

Disposizioni per creare un nuovo toolbox di prodotti fitosanitari a basso rischio e fondi necessari

La Commissione europea, entro 6 mesi dalla pubblicazione del presente Regolamento, attraverso atti delegati, dovrà presentare una proposta su metodologie e processi atti ad accelerare l'autorizzazione di prodotti per la protezione delle piante che consentano di avviare una transizione verso la sostituzione delle sostanze attive più pericolose.

In particolare, la Commissione europea, alla luce del principio di precauzione, dovrà adottare un criterio di sostituzione che permetta di bilanciare l'uscita di prodotti fitosanitari con l'adozione di

nuove sostanze attive.

La Commissione dovrà inoltre proporre, nuovi criteri armonizzati per l'utilizzo delle migliori tecniche disponibili.

Per facilitare la creazione di un nuovo toolbox di prodotti fitosanitari a basso rischio, la Commissione dovrà inoltre proporre agli Stati membri, la creazione di un fondo comune UE per finanziare e ad accelerare la ricerca nel campo delle alternative ai prodotti fitosanitari chimici e più pericolosi.

Or. it

Amendment 2688

Maria Arena

Proposal for a regulation

Chapter IX a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Chapter IXa

Trade and cooperation with third countries

Article 36a

Import of agricultural and agri-food products from third countries

1. The Commission shall introduce mirror measures in EU rules on imports of agricultural and agri-food products from third countries in order to ensure the same protection for consumers regardless of the origin of the products and, on the other hand, limit economic distortions for European farmers.

2. The production, storage, and export from the European Union of active substances and plant protection products not approved under Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 is prohibited.

Article 36b

International cooperation on information on the use of plant protection products

1. The Commission shall start a dialogue with third countries to set up partnerships and cooperation mechanisms to strengthen the collection and transparency of data on the use of plant protection products in third countries and to allow the exchange of information between those countries on the one hand, and the Commission and the Member States on the other hand.

2. These partnerships and cooperation mechanisms may include structured dialogues, support programs and measures, and administrative procedures, including through the external policy instruments of the Union. They shall aim to promote the reduction in the use of plant protection products in third countries, support third countries in complying with Maximum levels of pesticide residues as defined by Regulation (EC) No 396/2005; and promote a transition towards non-chemical alternatives to plant protection products and integrated pest management practices.

Or. en

Amendment 2689

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation

Article -37 (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article -37

Natural or legal persons' substantiated concerns

1. Natural or legal persons shall be entitled to submit substantiated concerns to competent authorities when they deem,

based on objective circumstances, that one or more operators or traders are failing to comply with the provisions of this Regulation.

2. Competent authorities shall, without undue delay, diligently and impartially assess the substantiated concerns and take the necessary steps, including checks and hearings of operators and traders, with a view to detecting potential breaches of the provisions of this Regulation and, where appropriate, interim measures under Article 21 to prevent the placing making available on and export from the Union market of relevant commodities and products under investigation.

3. The competent authority shall, as soon as possible and at least within 45 days of receiving the concern, and in accordance with the relevant provisions of national law, inform the natural or legal persons referred to in paragraph 1, which submitted observations to the authority, of its decision to accede to or refuse the request for action and shall provide the reasons for it.

Or. en

Amendment 2690

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation

Article -37 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article -37 a

Access to justice

1. Any natural or legal person having sufficient interest, including but not limited to those having submitted substantiated concern in accordance with Article -37 or who have participated in the public consultation in accordance to

Article 8.2, shall have access to a court or other independent and impartial public body competent to review the procedural and substantive legality of the decisions, plans, permits, acts or failure to act of the competent authority under this Regulation. Any non-governmental organisation promoting environmental protection and the protection of human health, and meeting any requirements under national law shall be deemed to have sufficient interest.

2. Access to a court or other independent and impartial public body pursuant to paragraph 1 shall be fair, equitable, timely and not prohibitively expensive and provide adequate and effective remedies, including injunctive relief where appropriate. Member States shall ensure that practical information is made available to the public on access to administrative and judicial review procedures.

Or. en

Amendment 2691

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation

Article -37 b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article -37 b

Compensation

1. Member States shall ensure that, where damage to human health has occurred as a result of a violation of the provisions of this Regulation, the individuals affected have the right to claim and obtain compensation for that damage from the relevant natural or legal persons and, where appropriate, from the relevant competent authorities responsible for the

violation.

2. Member States shall ensure that, as part of the public concerned, non-governmental organisations promoting the protection of human health or the environment and meeting any requirements under national law are allowed to represent the individuals affected and bring collective actions for compensation. Member States shall ensure that a claim for a violation leading to a damage cannot be pursued twice, by the individuals affected and by the non-governmental organisations referred to in this paragraph.

Or. en

Justification

This new article is based upon the Proposal for a Directive concerning urban wastewater (recast), COM(2022)541 final

Amendment 2692

Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław Kalinowski, Joanna Kopcińska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 37 – paragraph 1**

Text proposed by the Commission

By ... [OP: please insert the date = the first day of the month following **six months** after the date of entry into force of this Regulation], each Member State shall inform the Commission of the competent authorities designated in accordance with this Regulation.

Amendment

By ... [OP: please insert the date = the first day of the month following **two years** after the date of entry into force of this Regulation], each Member State shall inform the Commission of the competent authorities designated in accordance with this Regulation.

Or. en

Amendment 2693

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation
Article 38 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Member States shall lay down the rules on penalties applicable to infringements of this Regulation and shall take the measures necessary to ensure that they are implemented. The penalties provided for shall be effective, proportionate and dissuasive. Member States shall without delay notify the Commission of those rules and of those measures and shall notify it, without delay, of any subsequent amendment affecting them.

Amendment

Member States shall lay down the rules on ***administrative as well as criminal*** penalties applicable to infringements of this Regulation and shall take the measures necessary to ensure that they are implemented. The penalties provided for shall be effective, proportionate and dissuasive ***and shall include as a minimum fines that are proportionate to the gravity and duration of the damage caused and sufficient to fulfil their punitive and deterrent function, bans on pursuit of activities which have resulted in committing the offence as well as reimbursement of costs incurred by third parties who have investigated, reported or sued the offender.*** Member States shall without delay notify the Commission of those rules and of those measures and shall notify it, without delay, of any subsequent amendment affecting them.

Or. en

Amendment 2694

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjård

Proposal for a regulation
Article 38 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Member States ***shall*** lay down the rules on penalties applicable to infringements of this Regulation and shall take the measures necessary to ensure that they are implemented. The penalties provided for shall be effective, proportionate and dissuasive. ***Member States shall without delay notify the Commission of those***

Amendment

Member States ***may*** lay down the rules on penalties applicable to infringements of this Regulation and shall take the measures necessary to ensure that they are implemented. The penalties provided for shall be effective, proportionate and dissuasive.

rules and of those measures and shall notify it, without delay, of any subsequent amendment affecting them.

Or. en

Amendment 2695

Alexander Bernhuber, Simone Schmiedtbauer, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jessica Polfjärd

Proposal for a regulation

Article 39

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article 39

deleted

Fees and charges

Member States may recover the costs related to carrying out their obligations under this Regulation by means of fees or charges.

Or. en

Amendment 2696

Franc Bogovič, Marlene Mortler, Ljudmila Novak, Alexander Bernhuber

Proposal for a regulation

Article 39 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Member States may recover the costs related to carrying out their obligations under this Regulation by means of fees or charges.

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 2697

Martin Hojsík, Michal Wiezik, María Soraya Rodríguez Ramos, Róza Thun und Hohenstein, Frédérique Ries

Proposal for a regulation
Article 39 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Member States may recover the costs related to carrying out their obligations under this Regulation by means of fees or charges.

Amendment

Member States may recover the costs related to carrying out their obligations **and to support uptake of integrated pest management hierarchy** under this Regulation by means of fees, **taxation of the chemical plant protection products, levies** or charges, **taking into consideration the different risks related to the products.**

Or. en

Amendment 2698
Sirpa Pietikäinen

Proposal for a regulation
Article 39 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Member States may recover the costs related to carrying out their obligations under this Regulation by means of fees or charges.

Amendment

Member States may recover the costs related to carrying out their obligations under this Regulation by means of fees or charges. **In order to refinance the costs, Member States shall examine the viability of introducing a risk-based levy on plant protection products.**

Or. en

Amendment 2699
Jan Huitema, Emma Wiesner, Ulrike Müller, Erik Poulsen, Nils Torvalds, Andreas Glück, Asger Christensen, Billy Kelleher

Proposal for a regulation
Article 39 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Member States may recover the costs related to carrying out their obligations under this Regulation by means of fees or charges.

Member States may recover the costs related to carrying out their obligations under this Regulation by means of fees or charges. ***Member States shall ensure that funds received from these fees or charges are reserved for the competent authority.***

Or. en

Amendment 2700

Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Peter Lundgren, Hermann Tertsch, Mazaly Aguilar, Evžen Tošenovský, Sergio Berlato, Jorge Buxadé Villalba, Pietro Fiocchi, Charlie Weimers, Alexander Bernhuber, Johan Nissinen

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 39 – paragraph 1**

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Member States may recover the costs related to carrying out their obligations under this Regulation by means of fees or charges.

Member States may recover the costs related to carrying out their obligations under this Regulation by means of fees or charges. ***No additional levy or tax on plant protection products shall be imposed.***

Or. en

Justification

At a time when farmers are having to deal with the consequences of the Russian war in Ukraine, the high costs of inputs, energy and fertilisers, it is not possible to increase already high production costs by introducing either levy or tax on plant protection products. We do not agree with the possibility of Member States to recover the costs associated with the implementation of their obligations arising from the draft regulation through fees or payments. As a result of external factors (COVID-19, war in Ukraine, advancing climate change) that farmers have faced and are facing and that significantly affect the availability of certain inputs (e. g. fertilizers, energy), there has been an excessive increase in production costs in agriculture, and this will probably not change in the near future. We cannot agree to a further indirect increase in the costs of farmers in the form of a "refund" of the costs associated with the implementation of the draft regulation.

Amendment 2701
Stanislav Polčák

Proposal for a regulation
Article 39 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Členské státy mohou **vymáhat** úhradu nákladů spojených s plněním svých závazků podle tohoto nařízení prostřednictvím poplatků či plateb.

Amendment

Členské státy mohou **zajišťovat** úhradu **svých** nákladů spojených s plněním svých závazků podle tohoto nařízení prostřednictvím poplatků či plateb.

Or. cs

Amendment 2702
Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation
Article 39 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Member States **may** recover the costs related to carrying out their obligations under this Regulation by means of fees or charges.

Amendment

Member States **shall** recover the costs related to carrying out their obligations under this Regulation by means of fees or charges.

Or. en

Amendment 2703
Martin Hojsík, Michal Wieszik, María Soraya Rodríguez Ramos, Róza Thun und Hohenstein, Frédérique Ries

Proposal for a regulation
Article 39 – paragraph 1 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

By 6 months after entry into force of this Regulation, the Commission shall submit a report to the Parliament and Council assessing:

- the different existing regimes of levies on pesticides in the Member States,

including taxation and EPR schemes;

- the possibility to establish an European Union wide regulatory framework for levies on pesticides, based on their environmental hazards and best practices in the Member States.

Furthermore, with the view of supporting farmers in their transition, financing monitoring and other activities required for correct implementation of this Regulation, the Commission shall include assessment of the following two options:

- the impact and possible modalities of introduction of a uniform financial mechanism on Member States level, which would foresee financial retour of the levies exclusively on national level;

- the impact and possible modalities of introduction of a pesticide-levy as a new own resource into the Union's Multiannual Financial Framework.

The Commission report may be accompanied by legislative proposals where appropriate. The report shall be made public.

Or. en

Justification

While some Member States have implemented pesticide-related levies, others have not considered such fees yet. The Commission should facilitate better application of the polluters pay principle, and make an overview of established practices and their efficacy. It should assess the options of proposing a financial mechanism working exclusively on Member States level, subject to its national fiscal rules, and of a possible EU pesticide-levy which could, on the other side, become a new own resource to the EU budget.

Amendment 2704
Biljana Borzan

Proposal for a regulation
Article 39 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article 39 a

Pesticide tax

A EU-wide pesticides tax will be introduced to start applying the polluter pays principle. By ... [OJ: please insert the date – 6 months after the date of entry into force of this Regulation] the Commission shall present a report analysing different options of introducing risk-based pesticide taxes or levies in all Member States or at Union level, and accompany it with a legislative proposal by ... [OJ: please insert the date – 18 months after the date of entry into force of this Regulation].

Or. en

Amendment 2705

Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan

Proposal for a regulation

Article 40 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. The power to adopt delegated acts referred to in Articles 10(6), **13(9), 21(3)**, 25(10), 29(5), 31(10) and 35(4) shall be conferred on the Commission for an indeterminate period.

Amendment

2. The power to adopt delegated acts referred to in Articles 10(6), 25(10), 29(5), 31(10) and 35(4) shall be conferred on the Commission for an indeterminate period.

Or. en

Amendment 2706

Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan

Proposal for a regulation

Article 40 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

3. The delegation of power referred to in Articles 10(6), **13(9), 21(3)**, 25(10), 29(5), 31(10) and 35(4) may be revoked at any time by the European Parliament or by the Council. A decision to revoke shall put an end to the delegation of the power specified in that decision. It shall take effect the day following the publication of the decision in the Official Journal of the European Union or at a later date specified therein. It shall not affect the validity of any delegated acts already in force.

Amendment

3. The delegation of power referred to in Articles 10(6), 25(10), 29(5), 31(10) and 35(4) may be revoked at any time by the European Parliament or by the Council. A decision to revoke shall put an end to the delegation of the power specified in that decision. It shall take effect the day following the publication of the decision in the Official Journal of the European Union or at a later date specified therein. It shall not affect the validity of any delegated acts already in force.

Or. en

Amendment 2707

Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan, Delara Burkhardt

Proposal for a regulation

Article 40 – paragraph 6

Text proposed by the Commission

6. A delegated act adopted pursuant to Articles 10(6), **13(9), 21(3)**, 25(10), 29(5), 31(10) and 35(4) shall enter into force only if no objection has been expressed either by the European Parliament or the Council within a period of two months of notification of that act to the European Parliament and the Council or if, before the expiry of that period, the European Parliament and the Council have both informed the Commission that they will not object. That period shall be extended by two months at the initiative of the European Parliament or of the Council.

Amendment

6. A delegated act adopted pursuant to Articles 10(6), 25(10), 29(5), 31(10) and 35(4) shall enter into force only if no objection has been expressed either by the European Parliament or the Council within a period of two months of notification of that act to the European Parliament and the Council or if, before the expiry of that period, the European Parliament and the Council have both informed the Commission that they will not object. That period shall be extended by two months at the initiative of the European Parliament or of the Council.

Or. en

Amendment 2708

Esther de Lange

Proposal for a regulation
Chapter XII – title

Text proposed by the Commission

XII TRANSITIONAL AND FINAL
PROVISIONS

Amendment

XII **IMPACT ASSESSMENT**,
TRANSITIONAL AND FINAL
PROVISIONS

Or. en

Amendment 2709

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation
Article -42 (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article -42

Enabling alternatives to pesticides

No later than... [OP: please insert the date = one year after the date of entry into force of this Regulation], the Commission shall present an impact assessment accompanied, where appropriate, by a legislative proposal concerning the placing on the market of non-chemical plant protection products, aimed to supplement Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 and to facilitate the rapid availability of non-chemical alternatives for plant protection including biological control. The assessment shall include, inter alia, the differences between chemical and non-chemical products in terms of data requirement. The legislative proposal shall include measures to ensure sufficient resources at Union and Member State level to significantly speed up the authorisation and approval periods of biological control.

Or. en

Amendment 2710
Esther de Lange

Proposal for a regulation
Article -42 (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article -42

Impact Assessment

1. The Commission shall carry out an impact assessment by 2028, assessing whether:

(a) sufficient new breeding techniques that make crops more resilient and thus less dependent on chemical plant protection products have been approved in the EU;

(b) sufficient low risk or alternative non-chemical plant protection products are available;

(c) the increase in the 2035 reduction target from 40% to 50% can be realised without jeopardising food security and affordability in Europe.

2. In case of a positive assessment of all the criteria in paragraphe 1, the Commission may propose to increase the 2035 reduction target to 50%.

Or. en

Amendment 2711
Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan

Proposal for a regulation
Article 42 – title

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Commission evaluation

Review and post-2030 strategy

Amendment 2712
Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan

Proposal for a regulation
Article 42 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

By ... [OP: please insert the date = four years after the date of application of this Regulation], the Commission shall carry out an evaluation of this Regulation based on the following:

Amendment

By ... [OP: please insert the date = four years after the date of application of this Regulation], the Commission shall carry out an evaluation of ***the effectiveness of*** this Regulation ***in reaching its objectives*** based on the following:

Amendment 2713
Robert Roos, Rob Rooker

Proposal for a regulation
Article 42 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

Uiterlijk op ... [PB: gelieve de datum in te voegen: ***vier*** jaar na de datum van toepassing van deze verordening] evalueert de Commissie deze verordening op basis van:

Amendment

Uiterlijk op ... [PB: gelieve de datum in te voegen: ***twee*** jaar na de datum van toepassing van deze verordening], ***en iedere twee jaar daarna*** evalueert de Commissie deze verordening op basis van:

Amendment 2714
Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation
Article 42 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

By ... [OP: please insert the date = **four** years after the date of application of this Regulation], the Commission shall carry out an evaluation of this Regulation based on the following:

Amendment

By ... [OP: please insert the date = **three** years after the date of application of this Regulation], the Commission shall carry out an evaluation of this Regulation based on the following:

Or. en

Amendment 2715
Sarah Wiener

Proposal for a regulation
Article 42 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

By ... [OP: please insert the date = **four** years after the date of application of this Regulation], the Commission shall carry out an evaluation of this Regulation based on the following:

Amendment

By ... [OP: please insert the date = **three** years after the date of application of this Regulation], the Commission shall carry out an evaluation of this Regulation based on the following:

Or. en

Amendment 2716
Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation
Article 42 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point c a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(c a) the number of comparative assessments which are performed according to article 50 of regulation (EU) 1107/2009 and the authorisation and uses of the most hazardous pesticides without any proper comparative assessment;

Or. en

Amendment 2717
Sarah Wiener

Proposal for a regulation
Article 42 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point c a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(c a) the reporting and monitoring with regard to occupational diseases in accordance with Article 28a;

Or. en

Amendment 2718
Sarah Wiener

Proposal for a regulation
Article 42 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point c b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(c b) the monitoring of pesticide residues in accordance with Article 33a;

Or. en

Amendment 2719
Robert Roos, Rob Rooker

Proposal for a regulation
Article 42 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point d a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

d bis) de effecten van deze verordening op de betaalbaarheid van gezond voedsel in de Europese Unie, waarbij de totale kosten van levensonderhoud in aanmerking worden genomen. In het bijzonder wordt aandacht gegeven aan de positie van huishoudens die een minimaal- of middeninkomen verdienen.

Or. nl

Amendment 2720

Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan, Günther Sidl

Proposal for a regulation

Article 42 – paragraph 1 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

1 a. The Commission shall, additionally, assess the following issues:

(a) whether advances in scientific data concerning uses and risks associated with spraying plant protection products using unmanned aircrafts allow to conclude whether this method may result in negative environmental and health impacts or whether the potential benefits of aerial spraying by unmanned aircraft warrants a review of this Regulation to allow for their use under certain specifications;

(b) whether the report from the Commission to the European Parliament and the Council on the sustainable use of biocides pursuant to Article 18 of Regulation (EU) No 528/2012 and subsequent actions as set out in the conclusions of this report are fit for purpose to ensure a sustainable use of biocidal products and subsequently whether the scope of this Regulation should be extended to biocidal products;

c. whether reduction of the use of plant protection products and full implementation of integrated pest management should become a specific objective of the post-2027 Common Agricultural Policy by evaluating, inter alia, whether Common Agricultural Policy payments should be linked to evidence on the implementation of integrated pest management and a reduction in the use of plant protection products in accordance with this Regulation.

Amendment 2721
Sarah Wiener

Proposal for a regulation
Article 42 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. The Commission shall present a report on the main findings to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee, and the Committee of the Regions.

Amendment

2. The Commission shall present a report on the main findings to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee, and the Committee of the Regions. ***The report shall give an indication whether the targets are likely to be reached, whether they might need to be adapted, and whether the establishment of targets beyond 2030 will be necessary.***

Amendment 2722
Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan

Proposal for a regulation
Article 42 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. The Commission shall present a report on the main findings to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee, and the Committee of the Regions.

Amendment

2. The Commission shall present a report on the main findings ***of the review under paragraph 1 and 1a***, to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee, and the Committee of the Regions.

Amendment 2723
Robert Roos, Rob Rooken

Proposal for a regulation
Article 42 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. De Commissie legt het Europees Parlement, de Raad, het Europees Economisch en Sociaal Comité en het Comité van de Regio's **een verslag over de belangrijkste** bevindingen voor.

Amendment

2. De Commissie legt het Europees Parlement, de Raad, het Europees Economisch en Sociaal Comité en het Comité van de Regio's **de** bevindingen **in een rapport** voor.

Or. nl

Amendment 2724
Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan, Günther Sidl

Proposal for a regulation
Article 42 – paragraph 2 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

2 a. Based on the findings of the report referred to in paragraph 2 and the updated and new harmonised risk indicators referred to in Article 35(6), the Commission shall develop a strategy for the sustainable use of plant protection products after 2030 taking into account the latest scientific developments and statistics on the use of plant protection products in agriculture. It may, as appropriate, submit a legislative proposal to the European Parliament and to the Council in this regard.

Or. en

Amendment 2725
Robert Roos, Rob Rooken

Proposal for a regulation
Article 42 – paragraph 2 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

2 bis. Wanneer de Commissie in haar verslag concludeert dat er een negatief effect optreedt op de betaalbaarheid van gezonde voeding, dan neemt zij in haar verslag maatregelen op om die negatieve effecten te keren, deze maatregelen kunnen, wanneer passend, maatregelen inhouden om deze verordening, of andere relevantie Unie-wetgeving aan te passen.

Or. nl

Amendment 2726

Eric Andrieu

Proposal for a regulation

Article 42 – paragraph 2 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

2 a. By 2028, the Commission shall present a proposal for a legislative framework for biological control products including a simplified system of placing on the market.

Or. en

Amendment 2727

Sarah Wiener, Michèle Rivasi, Claude Gruffat

Proposal for a regulation

Article 42 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article 42 a

Access to justice

1. Members of the public, who have a sufficient interest or who claim a violation of a right, shall have access to a review

procedure before a court of law, or an independent and impartial body established by law, to challenge the substantive or procedural legality of the national action plans and any failures to act of the competent authorities, regardless of the role members of the public have played during the process for preparing and establishing the national action plan.

2. Member States shall determine in national law what constitutes a sufficient interest and violation of a right, consistently with the objective of providing the public with wide access to justice. For the purposes of paragraph 1, any non-governmental organization promoting environmental protection shall be presumed to have rights capable of being violated and their interest shall be deemed sufficient.

3. Review procedures referred to in paragraph 1 shall be fair, equitable, timely and free of charge or not prohibitively expensive, and shall provide adequate and effective remedies, including injunctive relief where necessary.

4. Member States shall distribute publicly practical information on access to the administrative and judicial review procedures referred to in this Article.

Or. en

Amendment 2728
Robert Roos, Rob Rooker

Proposal for a regulation
Article 42 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article 42 bis

Raadsverzoek tot intrekking van deze

verordening

Op basis van het in artikel 22 bedoelde rapport, of op grond van belangrijke veranderingen in de ecologische, economische of sociale situatie in de Unie kan de Raad, met gewone meerderheid van stemmen, de Commissie verzoeken om aan het Europees Parlement een wetgevingsvoorstel tot wijziging of intrekking van deze verordening bij de Raad indienen. Indien de Commissie een dergelijk wetgevingsvoorstel niet, of niet tijdig, indient, stelt zij de Raad en het Parlement hiervan in kennis.

Or. nl

Amendment 2729

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation

Article 43 – paragraph 1 – point 1

Regulation (EU) 2021/2115

Article 31 – paragraph 5

Text proposed by the Commission

By way of derogation from points (a) and (b) of the first subparagraph of this paragraph, where in accordance with Regulation (EU) .../... of the European Parliament and of the Council^{*89} requirements are imposed on farmers, support may be granted to comply with those requirements for a maximum period ending on the later one of the two dates – ... [OP: insert the date = 5 years from the date of entry into force of this Regulation] or 5 years from the date on which they become mandatory for the holding.

Amendment

By way of derogation from points (a) and (b) of the first subparagraph of this paragraph, where in accordance with Regulation (EU) .../... of the European Parliament and of the Council^{*89} requirements are imposed on farmers, support may be granted to comply with those requirements for a maximum period ending on the later one of the two dates – ... [OP: insert the date = 5 years from the date of entry into force of this Regulation] or 5 years from the date on which they become mandatory for the holding.
Support may only be granted, if the requirements go beyond the relevant statutory management requirements and GAEC standards established under Chapter I and contribute to a significant reduction of pesticides on the holding.

For the calculation of the payments, the approach in paragraph 7 point b of this article shall be applied.'

⁸⁹ +OJ: Please insert in the text the number of the Regulation contained in document ... and insert the number, date and the OJ reference of that Regulation in the footnote.

⁸⁹ +OJ: Please insert in the text the number of the Regulation contained in document ... and insert the number, date and the OJ reference of that Regulation in the footnote.

Or. en

Amendment 2730

Pascal Canfin, Martin Hojsík, Catherine Chabaud

Proposal for a regulation

Article 43 a (new)Regulation (EU) 1107/2009

Article 9 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article 43 a

***Amendments to Regulation (EC) No
1107/2009***

***In Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009, the
following Article 9a is inserted:***

“Article 9a

***Horizontal authorization for biological
control product***

***The Commission shall permit the
extension of the use of biological control
product as defined in Article 3(23) of
Regulation 2022/196 on a single type of
crop to all other crops without the
addition of upfront efficacy data.***

Or. en

Amendment 2731

Pascal Canfin, Martin Hojsík, Catherine Chabaud

Proposal for a regulation

Article 43 b (new) Regulation (EU) 1107/2009

Article 9 b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article 43 b

**Amendments to Regulation (EU)
1107/2009**

***In Regulation (EC) 1107/2009, the
following Article 9b is inserted:***

"Article 9b (new)

Priority for biological control product

***Member States shall apply a priority lane
for biological control products as defined
in Article 3(23) of Regulation 2022/196 in
the application procedure for the approval
of an active substance in accordance with
Article 7(2) of Regulation (EC) No
1107/2009."***

Or. en

Amendment 2732

Pascal Canfin, Martin Hojsík, Catherine Chabaud, Max Orville

Proposal for a regulation

Article 43 c (new) Regulation (EU) 1107/2009

Article 30

Present text

Amendment

Article 43 c

**Amendments to Regulation (EU)
1107/2009**

***Article 30 of Regulation (EC) 1107/2009
is replaced by the following:***

"Article 30

***Provisional authorisation at Member
State level***

1. By way of derogation from Article
29(1)(a), Member States may authorise for

1. By way of derogation from Article
29(1)(a), Member States may authorise for

a provisional period not exceeding 3 years, the placing on the market of plant protection products containing **an** active substance not yet approved, provided that:

(a) the decision on approval could not be finalised within a period of 30 months from the date of admissibility of the application, extended by any additional period set in accordance with Article 9(2), Article 11(3) or Article 12(2) or (3); and

(b) pursuant to Article 9 the dossier on the active substance is admissible in relation to the proposed uses; and

(c) the Member State concludes that the active substance can satisfy the requirements of Article 4(2) and (3) and that the plant protection product may be expected to satisfy the requirements of Article 29(1)(b) to (h); and

(d) maximum residue levels have been established in accordance with Regulation (EC) No 396/2005.

2. In ***such cases*** the Member State shall immediately inform the other Member States and the Commission of its assessment of the dossier and of the terms of the authorisation, ***giving*** at least the information provided for in Article 57(1).

3. ***The provisions laid down in paragraphs 1 and 2 shall apply until 14 June 2016. If necessary, that time limit may be extended in accordance with the regulatory procedure with scrutiny referred to in Article 79(4).***

a provisional period not exceeding 3 years, the placing on the market of plant protection products containing **a biological** active substance ***not yet approved***, provided that:

(a) these are biological active substances according to the definition in Article 3(23);

(b) the dossier on the biological active substance is admissible in relation to the proposed uses pursuant to Article 9; and

(c) the Member State concludes that the biological active substance can satisfy the criteria provided for in Article 4(2) and (3) and that the plant protection product may be expected to satisfy the requirements in Article 29(1)(b) to (h).

2. In ***case of a derogation as referred to in paragraph 1***, the Member State shall immediately inform the other Member States and the Commission of its assessment of the dossier and of the terms of the authorisation, ***including*** at least the information provided for in Article 57(1).

3. ***If the Commission decides not to approve the new biological active substance, the Member State which granted the provisional authorisation, or the Commission, shall withdraw that authorisation.***

3a. Where a decision on the approval of the new biological active substance has not been adopted by the Commission when the period of three years expires, the Member States which granted the provisional authorisation, or the Commission, may extend the provisional authorisation for a period not exceeding one year, provided that there are good reasons to believe that the biological

active substance will satisfy the criteria provided for in Article 4(2) and (3). Member States which extend the provisional authorisation shall inform the other Member States and the Commission of such action.”

Or. en

Amendment 2733

Jan Huitema, Emma Wiesner, Erik Poulsen, Susana Solís Pérez, Asger Christensen, Billy Kelleher

Proposal for a regulation

Article 43 a (new) Regulation (EU) 1107/2009

Article 30 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article 43 a

**Amendments to Regulation (EU)
1107/2009**

In Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009, the following Article 30a is inserted:

'Article 30a

***Provisional authorisation for biological
control plant protection products***

1. By way of derogation from Article 29(1)(a), Member States may authorise for a provisional period not exceeding 5 years, the placing on the market of biological control plant protection products containing an active substance not yet approved, provided that:

(a) pursuant to Article 9 the dossier on the active substance is admissible in relation to the proposed uses; and

(b) the Member State concludes that the active substance can satisfy the requirements of Article 4(2) and (3) and that the plant protection product may be expected to satisfy the requirements of Article 29(1)(b) to (h); and

2. In such cases the Member State shall immediately inform the other Member States and the Commission of its assessment of the dossier and of the terms of the authorisation, giving at least the information provided for in Article 57(1).

3. If the authorisation granted under paragraph 1 for the provisional period of 5 years expires, Member States may extend this provisional authorisation provided that it can be justified that the substance can satisfy the requirements of Article 4(2) and (3).

Or. en

Justification

Biological control agents face lengthy and costly authorisation procedures. Recent attempts to improve the authorisation process have had only minor effects to improve this. Therefore, provisional authorisation for these products should be possible, as has been the case for other products under article 30 of regulation 1107/2009.

Amendment 2734
Pascal Canfin

Proposal for a regulation
Article 43 d (new)
Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009
Article 50 – paragraph 1

Present text

Amendment

Article 43 d

Amendments to Regulation (EU)
1107/2009

In Article 50 of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009, paragraph 1 is replaced by the following:

1. A comparative assessment shall be performed by Member States when evaluating an application for authorisation for a plant protection product ***containing an active substance approved as a***

1. A comparative assessment shall be performed by Member States when evaluating an application for authorisation for a plant protection product. Member States shall not authorise or shall restrict

candidate for substitution. Member States shall not authorise or shall restrict the use of a plant protection product ***containing a candidate for substitution*** for use on a particular crop where the comparative assessment weighing up the risks and benefits, as set out in Annex IV, demonstrates that:

the use of a plant protection product for use on a particular crop where the comparative assessment weighing up the risks and benefits, as set out in Annex IV, demonstrates that:

Or. en

Amendment 2735

Jan Huitema, Emma Wiesner, Erik Poulsen, Susana Solís Pérez, Asger Christensen, Billy Kelleher

Proposal for a regulation

Article 43 b (new)

Regulation (EU) 1107/2009

Article 74

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article 43 b

Amendments to Regulation (EU) 1107/2009

Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 is amended as follows:

(1) In Article 74, the following paragraphs are added:

'3. Member States shall ensure that funds received from the fees or charges referred to in paragraph 1 are reserved for the competent authority as designated under article 75.

4. Member States shall ensure that sufficient funding is ring-fenced for the competent authority as designated under article 75 to prevent delays in authorisation procedures.'

Or. en

Amendment 2736
Michèle Rivasi

Proposal for a regulation
Article 43 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article 43 a

Amendments to Regulation EU 649/2012

Regulation EU 649/2012 is amended in a way that all banned active substances listed in Annex I are added to Annex V.

Or. en

Justification

This implies a total ban on use, production and storage of these chemicals and active substances at EU level.

Amendment 2737

Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław Kalinowski, Joanna Kopcińska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

Proposal for a regulation
Article 45 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

It shall apply from ... [OP: please insert the date = the first day of the month following ... months after the date of entry into force of this Regulation].

It shall apply from ... [OP: please insert the date = the first day of the month following **24** months after the date of entry into force of this Regulation].

Or. en

Amendment 2738
Edina Tóth

Proposal for a regulation
Article 45 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

It shall apply from ... [OP: please insert the date = the first day of the month following ... **months** after the date of entry into force of this Regulation].

Amendment

It shall apply from ... [OP: please insert the date = the first day of the month following **two years** after the date of entry into force of this Regulation].

Or. en

Amendment 2739

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 45 – paragraph 2**

Text proposed by the Commission

It shall apply from ... [OP: please insert the date = the first day of the month following ... months after the date of entry into force of this Regulation].

Amendment

It shall apply from ... [OP: please insert the date = the first day of the month following **2 months** after the date of entry into force of this Regulation].

Or. en

Amendment 2740

Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 45 – paragraph 3**

Text proposed by the Commission

However, Article 21 shall apply from [OP: please insert the date = 3 years after the date of entry into force of this Regulation].

Amendment

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 2741

Silvia Sardone

Proposal for a regulation
Article 45 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

***However, Article 21 shall apply from [OP: deleted
please insert the date = 3 years after the
date of entry into force of this
Regulation].***

Or. en

Justification

One of the tools to decrease pesticide use is precision farming, and the use of drones for spraying can greatly decrease the amount of substances used. The technology is already ready, so it is believed that the entry into force of Article 21 regulating the use of plant protection products in aerial application by certain categories of unmanned aircraft should come into force at the same time as the whole regulation and not 3 years later.

Amendment 2742
Robert Roos, Rob Rooken

Proposal for a regulation
Article 45 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article 45 bis

Automatische buitenwerkingtreding

- 1. Deze verordening treedt van rechtswege buiten werking op 31 december 2027.***
- 2. Aan de Commissie wordt de bevoegdheid gedelegeerd om uiterlijk op 31 oktober 2027, en daarna om de vijf jaar, een gedelegeerde handeling vast te stellen om de buitenwerkingstelling met vijf jaar uit te stellen.***

Or. nl



2022/0196(COD)

5.4.2023

AMENDMENTS

2743 - 2959

Draft report
Sarah Wiener
(PE742.368v01-00)

Proposal for a regulation of the European Parliament and of the Council on the sustainable use of plant protection products and amending Regulation (EU) 2021/2115

Proposal for a regulation
(COM(2022)0305 – C9-0207/2022 – 2022/0196(COD))

AM_Com_LegReport

Amendment 2743

Dan-Ştefan Motreanu, Carmen Avram, Marian-Jean Marinescu, Daniel Buda

Proposal for a regulation

Annex I – subheading 1

Text proposed by the Commission

METHODOLOGY FOR CALCULATING
PROGRESS TOWARDS ACHIEVING
THE TWO UNION **AND TWO**
NATIONAL 2030 REDUCTION
TARGETS

Amendment

METHODOLOGY FOR CALCULATING
PROGRESS TOWARDS ACHIEVING
THE TWO UNION **2035** REDUCTION
TARGETS **AND TWO NATIONAL 2035**
REDUCTION CONTRIBUTIONS

Or. en

Amendment 2744

Peter Liese

Proposal for a regulation

Annex I – subheading 1

Text proposed by the Commission

METHODOLOGY FOR CALCULATING
PROGRESS TOWARDS ACHIEVING
THE TWO UNION AND TWO
NATIONAL **2030** REDUCTION
TARGETS

Amendment

METHODOLOGY FOR CALCULATING
PROGRESS TOWARDS ACHIEVING
THE TWO UNION AND TWO
NATIONAL REDUCTION TARGETS

Or. en

Amendment 2745

Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław Kalinowski, Joanna Kopcińska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

Proposal for a regulation

Annex I – subheading 1

Text proposed by the Commission

METHODOLOGY FOR CALCULATING
PROGRESS TOWARDS ACHIEVING
THE TWO UNION **AND TWO**
NATIONAL 2030 REDUCTION

Amendment

METHODOLOGY FOR CALCULATING
PROGRESS TOWARDS ACHIEVING
THE TWO UNION 2030 REDUCTION
TARGETS

TARGETS

Or. en

Amendment 2746

Alexander Bernhuber, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová

Proposal for a regulation

Annex I – subheading 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

METHODOLOGY FOR CALCULATING
PROGRESS TOWARDS ACHIEVING
THE TWO UNION **AND TWO**
NATIONAL 2030 REDUCTION
TARGETS

METHODOLOGY FOR CALCULATING
PROGRESS TOWARDS ACHIEVING
THE TWO UNION **2035** REDUCTION
TARGETS

Or. en

Amendment 2747

Alexander Bernhuber, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk

Proposal for a regulation

Annex I – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

[...]

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 2748

Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław Kalinowski, Joanna Kopcińska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

Proposal for a regulation

Annex I – paragraph 1 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

This Regulation is the instrument used to achieve the pesticide reduction targets contained in the Farm to Fork Strategy by requiring each Member State to contribute to achieving by 2030 a 50 % Union-wide reduction of both the use and risk of chemical plant protection products ('Union 2030 reduction target 1') and the use of more hazardous plant protection products ('Union 2030 reduction target 2'). ***This Regulation also regulates the contribution of each Member State to these Union targets. Each Member State contribution, set in the form of a national target, to Union 2030 reduction target 1 is referred to as a 'national 2030 reduction target 1', while a Member State contribution to Union 2030 reduction target 2 is referred to as a 'national 2030 reduction target 2'. The methodology for calculating progress towards achieving these targets is set out below:***

Amendment

This Regulation is the instrument used to achieve the pesticide reduction targets contained in the Farm to Fork Strategy by requiring each Member State to contribute to achieving by 2030 a 50 % Union-wide reduction of both the use and risk of chemical plant protection products ('Union 2030 reduction target 1') and the use of more hazardous plant protection products ('Union 2030 reduction target 2').

Or. en

Amendment 2749

Edina Tóth

Proposal for a regulation

Annex I – paragraph 1 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

This Regulation is the instrument used to achieve the pesticide reduction targets contained in the Farm to Fork Strategy by requiring each Member State to contribute to achieving by 2030 a 50 % Union-wide reduction of both the use and risk of chemical plant protection products ('Union 2030 reduction target 1') and the use of more hazardous plant protection products ('Union 2030 reduction target 2'). ***This Regulation also regulates the contribution***

Amendment

This Regulation is the instrument used to achieve the pesticide reduction targets contained in the Farm to Fork Strategy by requiring each Member State to contribute to achieving by 2030 a 50 % Union-wide reduction of both the use and risk of chemical plant protection products ('Union 2030 reduction target 1') and the use of more hazardous plant protection products ('Union 2030 reduction target 2'). The methodology for calculating progress

of each Member State to these Union targets. Each Member State contribution, set in the form of a national target, to Union 2030 reduction target 1 is referred to as a ‘national 2030 reduction target 1’, while a Member State contribution to Union 2030 reduction target 2 is referred to as a ‘national 2030 reduction target 2’.

The methodology for calculating progress towards achieving these targets is set out below:

towards achieving these targets is set out below:

Or. en

Amendment 2750

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation

Annex I – paragraph 1 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

This Regulation is the instrument used to achieve the pesticide reduction targets contained in the Farm to Fork Strategy by requiring each Member State to contribute to achieving by 2030 a **50 %** Union-wide reduction of both the use and risk of chemical plant protection products (‘Union 2030 reduction target 1’) and the use of more hazardous plant protection products (‘Union 2030 reduction target 2’). This Regulation also regulates the contribution of each Member State to these Union targets. Each Member State contribution, set in the form of a national target, to Union 2030 reduction target 1 is referred to as a ‘national 2030 reduction target 1’, while a Member State contribution to Union 2030 reduction target 2 is referred to as a ‘national 2030 reduction target 2’. The methodology for calculating progress towards achieving these targets is set out below:

Amendment

This Regulation is the instrument used to achieve the pesticide reduction targets contained in the Farm to Fork Strategy by requiring each Member State to contribute to achieving by 2030 a **80 %** Union-wide reduction of both the use and risk of chemical plant protection products (‘Union 2030 reduction target 1’) and **100% reduction of** the use of more hazardous plant protection products (‘Union 2030 reduction target 2’), **and the full phase-out of the use of chemical pesticides by 2035 (‘Union 2035 phase-out target’)**. This Regulation also regulates the contribution of each Member State to these Union targets. Each Member State contribution, set in the form of a national target, to Union 2030 reduction target 1 is referred to as a ‘national 2030 reduction target 1’, while a Member State contribution to Union 2030 reduction target 2 is referred to as a ‘national 2030 reduction target 2’ **and a Member State contribution to the Union 2035 phase-out target is referred to as a ‘national 2035 phase-out target’**. The

methodology for calculating progress towards achieving these targets is set out below:

Or. en

Amendment 2751

Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan

Proposal for a regulation

Annex I – paragraph 1 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

This Regulation is the instrument used to achieve the pesticide reduction targets contained in the Farm to Fork Strategy by requiring each Member State to contribute to achieving by 2030 **a** 50 % Union-wide reduction of **both** the use and risk of chemical plant protection products ('Union 2030 reduction target 1') and the use of more hazardous plant protection products ('Union 2030 reduction target 2'). This Regulation also regulates the contribution of each Member State to these Union targets. Each Member State contribution, set in the form of a national target, to Union 2030 reduction target 1 is referred to as a 'national 2030 reduction target 1', while a Member State contribution to Union 2030 reduction target 2 is referred to as a 'national 2030 reduction target 2'. The methodology for calculating progress towards achieving these targets is set out below:

Amendment

This Regulation is the instrument used to achieve the pesticide reduction targets contained in the Farm to Fork Strategy by requiring each Member State to contribute to achieving by 2030 **an at least** 50 % Union-wide reduction of the use and risk of chemical plant protection products ('Union 2030 reduction target 1') and **a 80% Union-wide reduction of** the use of more hazardous plant protection products ('Union 2030 reduction target 2'). This Regulation also regulates the contribution of each Member State to these Union targets. Each Member State contribution, set in the form of a national target, to Union 2030 reduction target 1 is referred to as a 'national 2030 reduction target 1', while a Member State contribution to Union 2030 reduction target 2 is referred to as a 'national 2030 reduction target 2'. The methodology for calculating progress towards achieving these targets is set out below:

Or. en

Amendment 2752

Peter Liese

Proposal for a regulation

Annex I – paragraph 1 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

This Regulation is the instrument used to achieve the pesticide reduction **targets** contained in the Farm to Fork Strategy by requiring each Member State to contribute to achieving **by 2030** a 50 % Union-wide reduction of both the use and risk of chemical plant protection products ('Union **2030** reduction target 1') and the use of more hazardous plant protection products ('Union **2030** reduction target 2'). This Regulation also regulates the contribution of each Member State to these Union targets. Each Member State contribution, set in the form of a national target, to Union **2030** reduction target 1 is referred to as a 'national **2030** reduction target 1', while a Member State contribution to Union **2030** reduction target 2 is referred to as a 'national **2030** reduction target 2'. The methodology for calculating progress towards achieving these targets is set out below:

Amendment

This Regulation is the instrument used to achieve the pesticide reduction **strategy** contained in the Farm to Fork Strategy by requiring each Member State to contribute to achieving a 50 % Union-wide reduction of both the use and risk of chemical plant protection products ('Union reduction target 1') and the use of more hazardous plant protection products ('Union reduction target 2') **until 10 years after the entry into force of this Regulation**. This Regulation also regulates the contribution of each Member State to these Union targets. Each Member State contribution, set in the form of a national target, to Union reduction target 1 is referred to as a 'national reduction target 1', while a Member State contribution to Union reduction target 2 is referred to as a 'national reduction target 2'. The methodology for calculating progress towards achieving these targets is set out below:

Or. en

Amendment 2753

Ondřej Knotek, Martin Hlaváček

Proposal for a regulation

Annex I – paragraph 1 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

This Regulation is the instrument used to achieve the pesticide reduction targets contained in the Farm to Fork Strategy by requiring each Member State to contribute to achieving by 2030 a **50** % Union-wide reduction of both the use and risk of chemical plant protection products ('Union 2030 reduction target 1') and the use of more hazardous plant protection products ('Union 2030 reduction target 2'). This

Amendment

This Regulation is the instrument used to achieve the pesticide reduction targets contained in the Farm to Fork Strategy by requiring each Member State to contribute to achieving by 2030 a **30** % Union-wide reduction of both the use and risk of chemical plant protection products ('Union 2030 reduction target 1') and the use of more hazardous plant protection products ('Union 2030 reduction target 2'). This

Regulation also regulates the contribution of each Member State to these Union targets. Each Member State contribution, set in the form of a national target, to Union 2030 reduction target 1 is referred to as a ‘national 2030 reduction target 1’, while a Member State contribution to Union 2030 reduction target 2 is referred to as a ‘national 2030 reduction target 2’. The methodology for calculating progress towards achieving these targets is set out below:

Regulation also regulates the contribution of each Member State to these Union targets. Each Member State contribution, set in the form of a national target, to Union 2030 reduction target 1 is referred to as a ‘national 2030 reduction target 1’, while a Member State contribution to Union 2030 reduction target 2 is referred to as a ‘national 2030 reduction target 2’. The methodology for calculating progress towards achieving these targets is set out below:

Or. en

Amendment 2754
Esther de Lange

Proposal for a regulation
Annex I – paragraph 1 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

This Regulation is the instrument used to achieve the pesticide reduction targets contained in the Farm to Fork Strategy by requiring each Member State to contribute to achieving by 2030 a **50** % Union-wide reduction of both the use and risk of chemical plant protection products (‘Union **2030** reduction target 1’) and the use of more hazardous plant protection products (‘Union **2030** reduction target 2’). This Regulation also regulates the contribution of each Member State to these Union targets. Each Member State contribution, set in the form of a national target, to Union **2030** reduction target 1 is referred to as a ‘national 2030 reduction target 1’, while a Member State contribution to Union **2030** reduction target 2 is referred to as a ‘national **2030** reduction target 2’. The methodology for calculating progress towards achieving these targets is set out below:

Amendment

This Regulation is the instrument used to achieve the pesticide reduction targets contained in the Farm to Fork Strategy by requiring each Member State to contribute to achieving by 2030 a **40** % Union-wide reduction of both the use and risk of chemical plant protection products (‘Union **2035** reduction target 1’) and the use of more hazardous plant protection products (‘Union **2035** reduction target 2’). This Regulation also regulates the contribution of each Member State to these Union targets. Each Member State contribution, set in the form of a national target, to Union **2035** reduction target 1 is referred to as a ‘national 2030 reduction target 1’, while a Member State contribution to Union **2035** reduction target 2 is referred to as a ‘national **2035** reduction target 2’. The methodology for calculating progress towards achieving these targets is set out below:

Amendment 2755**Dan-Ştefan Motreanu, Carmen Avram, Marian-Jean Marinescu, Daniel Buda****Proposal for a regulation****Annex I – paragraph 1 – introductory part***Text proposed by the Commission*

This Regulation is the instrument used to achieve the pesticide reduction targets ***contained in the Farm to Fork Strategy*** by requiring each Member State to contribute to ***achieving by 2030*** a 50 % Union-wide reduction of both the use and risk of chemical plant protection products ('Union **2030** reduction target 1') and the use of more hazardous plant protection products ('Union **2030** reduction target 2'). This Regulation also regulates the contribution of each Member State to these Union targets. Each Member State contribution, set in the form of a national ***target***, to Union **2030** reduction target 1 is referred to as a 'national **2030** reduction ***target*** 1', while a Member State contribution to Union **2030** reduction target 2 is referred to as a 'national **2030** reduction ***target*** 2'. The methodology for calculating progress towards achieving these targets is set out below:

Amendment

This Regulation is the instrument used to achieve the pesticide reduction targets by requiring each Member State to contribute to ***approaching by 2035*** a 50 % Union-wide reduction of both the use and risk of chemical plant protection products ('Union **2035** reduction target 1') and the use of more hazardous plant protection products ('Union **2035** reduction target 2'). This Regulation also regulates the contribution of each Member State to these Union targets. Each Member State contribution, set in the form of a national ***contribution***, to Union **2035** reduction target 1 is referred to as a 'national **2035** reduction ***contribution*** 1', while a Member State contribution to Union **2035** reduction target 2 is referred to as a 'national **2035** reduction ***contribution*** 2'. The methodology for calculating progress towards achieving these targets ***and contributions*** is set out below:

Amendment 2756**Alexandr Vondra, Veronika Vrecionová, Peter Lundgren, Hermann Tertsch, Mazaly Aguilar, Evžen Tošenovský, Sergio Berlato, Jorge Buxadé Villalba, Charlie Weimers, Johan Nissinen****Proposal for a regulation****Annex I – paragraph 1 – introductory part**

Text proposed by the Commission

This Regulation is the instrument used to achieve the pesticide reduction targets contained in the Farm to Fork Strategy by requiring each Member State to contribute to achieving by 2030 a **50 %** Union-wide reduction of both the use and risk of chemical plant protection products ('Union 2030 reduction target 1') and the use of more hazardous plant protection products ('Union 2030 reduction target 2'). This Regulation also regulates the contribution of each Member State to these Union targets. Each Member State contribution, set in the form of a national target, to Union 2030 reduction target 1 is referred to as a 'national 2030 reduction target 1', while a Member State contribution to Union 2030 reduction target 2 is referred to as a 'national 2030 reduction target 2'. The methodology for calculating progress towards achieving these targets is set out below:

Amendment

This Regulation is the instrument used to achieve the pesticide reduction targets contained in the Farm to Fork Strategy by requiring each Member State to contribute to achieving by 2030 a Union-wide reduction of both the use and risk of chemical plant protection products ('Union 2030 reduction target 1') and the use of more hazardous plant protection products ('Union 2030 reduction target 2'). This Regulation also regulates the contribution of each Member State to these Union targets. Each Member State contribution, set in the form of a national target, to Union 2030 reduction target 1 is referred to as a 'national 2030 reduction target 1', while a Member State contribution to Union 2030 reduction target 2 is referred to as a 'national 2030 reduction target 2'. The methodology for calculating progress towards achieving these targets is set out below:

Or. en

Justification

There are not enough alternative plant protection products on the market, the approval process is too long and the available alternatives are not effective enough. In many cases, the alternatives available so far are not nearly as effective, leading to situations where, instead of a one-off application of a few micrograms of an active substance that is effective against only one pest species, alternative active substances must be applied at doses more than 100 times higher, which must be applied repeatedly, and which kill all insects present in the fields at the time of spraying, rather than targeting only the problematic pest directly. Such practices also have a detrimental effect on biodiversity conservation. The aim of the new rules should not be a headlong reduction as much as possible, but a realistic adjustment of the rules to take account of the complexity of the situation, to maintain the viability of farmers, to ensure food security and to strengthen sustainable agricultural production in the EU. We therefore believe that a gradual 10% reduction in pesticides over the next seven years, in countries that used less active substances per hectare than the EU average by 2020 (Farm to Fork publication), followed by an assessment of the impacts in terms of all three pillars of sustainability, is a more sustainable and safer way forward, both for farmers and production, and for biodiversity. Any reduction targets higher than that should be justified not only by unquestionable scientific data, but also complemented by a comprehensive assessment of the impacts on biodiversity, the environment, economic viability, functionality and efficiency, etc. There are also large differences between Member States in the volumes of active substances

used per hectare, with some countries using on average less than 0.5 kilograms of active substance per hectare, while others use up to

Amendment 2757

Alexander Bernhuber, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk

Proposal for a regulation

Annex I – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

[...]

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 2758

Dan-Ștefan Motreanu, Carmen Avram, Marian-Jean Marinescu, Daniel Buda

Proposal for a regulation

Annex I – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – subheading 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

National **2030** reduction **target** 1:
methodology for estimating progress
towards the reduction in use and risk of
chemical plant protection products

National **2035** reduction **contribution** 1:
methodology for estimating progress
towards the reduction in use and risk of
chemical plant protection products

Or. en

Amendment 2759

Esther de Lange

Proposal for a regulation

Annex I – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – subheading 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

National **2030** reduction target 1:
methodology for estimating progress
towards the reduction in use and risk of
chemical plant protection products

National **2035** reduction target 1:
methodology for estimating progress
towards the reduction in use and risk of
chemical plant protection products

Amendment 2760

Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław Kalinowski, Joanna Kopcińska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

Proposal for a regulation

Annex I – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – subheading 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

National 2030 reduction target 1:
methodology for estimating progress
towards the reduction in use and risk of
chemical plant protection products

Methodology for estimating progress
towards the reduction in use and risk of
chemical plant protection products

Or. en

Amendment 2761

Peter Liese

Proposal for a regulation

Annex I – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – subheading 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

National **2030** reduction target 1:
methodology for estimating progress
towards the reduction in use and risk of
chemical plant protection products

National reduction target 1: methodology
for estimating progress towards the
reduction in use and risk of chemical plant
protection products

Or. en

Amendment 2762

Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław Kalinowski, Joanna Kopcińska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

Proposal for a regulation

Annex I – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

1. The methodology shall be based on

1. The methodology shall be based on

statistics on the quantities of chemical active substances placed on the market in plant protection products under Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009, provided to the Commission (Eurostat) under Annex I to Regulation (EC) No 1185/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council⁹¹.

statistics on the quantities of chemical active substances placed on the market in plant protection products under Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009, provided to the Commission (Eurostat) under Annex I to Regulation (EC) No 1185/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council ***and the Utilized Agricultural Area (UAA) under Regulation (EC) 2018/1091 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 18 July 2018 on integrated farm statistics and repealing Regulations (EC) No 1166/2008 and (EU) No 1337/2011, Regulation (EC) No 1185/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 25 November 2009 concerning statistics on pesticides (OJ L 324, 10.12.2009, p. 1).***

⁹¹ Regulation (EC) No 1185/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 25 November 2009 concerning statistics on pesticides (OJ L 324, 10.12.2009, p. 1).

⁹¹ Regulation (EC) No 1185/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 25 November 2009 concerning statistics on pesticides (OJ L 324, 10.12.2009, p. 1).

Or. en

Amendment 2763

Pascal Canfin, Martin Hojsík, Max Orville

Proposal for a regulation

Annex I – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. The methodology shall be based on statistics on the quantities of chemical active substances placed on the market in plant protection products under Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009, provided to the Commission (Eurostat) under Annex I to Regulation (EC) No 1185/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council⁹¹.

Amendment

1. The methodology shall be based on statistics on the quantities of chemical active substances placed on the market in plant protection products under Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009, provided to the Commission (Eurostat) under Annex I to Regulation (EC) No 1185/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council⁹¹, ***in combination with a reference hectare application rates calculated by Member States for each active substance on the basis of the maximum dose per hectare in each application, that is set by member***

states for plant protection products placed on the market containing the respective active substances, in accordance with Article 31 (3) of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009.

⁹¹ Regulation (EC) No 1185/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 25 November 2009 concerning statistics on pesticides (OJ L 324, 10.12.2009, p. 1).

⁹¹ Regulation (EC) No 1185/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 25 November 2009 concerning statistics on pesticides (OJ L 324, 10.12.2009, p. 1).

Or. en

Amendment 2764

Günther Sidl

Proposal for a regulation

Annex I – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. The methodology shall be based on statistics on the quantities of chemical active substances placed on the market in plant protection products under Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009, provided to the Commission (Eurostat) under Annex I to Regulation (EC) No 1185/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council⁹¹.

⁹¹ Regulation (EC) No 1185/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 25 November 2009 concerning statistics on pesticides (OJ L 324, 10.12.2009, p. 1).

Amendment

1. The methodology shall be based on statistics on the quantities of chemical active substances placed on the market in plant protection products under Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009, provided to the Commission (Eurostat) under Annex I to Regulation (EC) No 1185/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council⁹¹ ***and on reference hectare application rates calculated by Member States for each active substance on the basis of the maximum dose per hectare in each application, that is set by member states for plant protection products placed on the market containing the respective active substances, in accordance with Article 31 (3) of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009.***

⁹¹ Regulation (EC) No 1185/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 25 November 2009 concerning statistics on pesticides (OJ L 324, 10.12.2009, p. 1).

Amendment 2765

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation

Annex I – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. The methodology shall be based on statistics on the quantities of chemical active substances placed on the market in plant protection products under Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009, provided to the Commission (Eurostat) under Annex I to Regulation (EC) No 1185/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council⁹¹.

⁹¹ Regulation (EC) No 1185/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 25 November 2009 concerning statistics on pesticides (OJ L 324, 10.12.2009, p. 1).

Amendment

1. The methodology shall be based on statistics on the quantities of chemical active substances placed on the market in plant protection products under Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009, provided to the Commission (Eurostat) under Annex I to Regulation (EC) No 1185/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council⁹¹ **and shall take into account the relative toxicity of the pesticide, the area on which it is used and the maximum application rate given in the legal requirements of the approval of the pesticide.**

⁹¹ Regulation (EC) No 1185/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 25 November 2009 concerning statistics on pesticides (OJ L 324, 10.12.2009, p. 1).

Amendment 2766

Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan, Delara Burkhardt

Proposal for a regulation

Annex I – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. The methodology shall be based on statistics on the quantities of chemical active substances placed on the market in plant protection products under Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009, provided to the

Amendment

1. The methodology shall be based on statistics on the quantities of chemical active substances placed on the market in plant protection products under Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009, provided to the

Commission (Eurostat) under Annex I to Regulation (EC) No 1185/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council⁹¹.

Commission (Eurostat) under Annex I to Regulation (EC) No 1185/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council⁹¹
in combination with the mean application rates of chemical active substances based on their representative uses, which have been evaluated under Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009.

⁹¹ Regulation (EC) No 1185/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 25 November 2009 concerning statistics on pesticides (OJ L 324, 10.12.2009, p. 1).

⁹¹ Regulation (EC) No 1185/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 25 November 2009 concerning statistics on pesticides (OJ L 324, 10.12.2009, p. 1).

Or. en

Amendment 2767

Dan-Ştefan Motreanu, Carmen Avram, Marian-Jean Marinescu, Daniel Buda

Proposal for a regulation

Annex I – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point 2 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

2. The following general rules shall apply for the calculation of progress towards achieving reduction **target** 1:

Amendment

2. The following general rules shall apply for the calculation of progress towards achieving reduction **contribution** 1:

Or. en

Amendment 2768

Günther Sidl

Proposal for a regulation

Annex I – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point 2 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) progress shall be calculated on the basis of the categorisation of chemical active substances into the 4 groups set out in the Table in this Annex;

Amendment

(a) progress shall be calculated on the basis of the categorisation of chemical active substances into the 4 groups set out in the Table in this Annex **and the corresponding weighting factors;**

Amendment 2769

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation

Annex I – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point 2 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(a) progress shall be calculated on the basis of the categorisation of chemical active substances into the **4** groups set out in the Table in this Annex;

(a) progress shall be calculated on the basis of the categorisation of chemical active substances into the **5** groups set out in the Table in this Annex;

Or. en

Amendment 2770

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation

Annex I – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point 2 – point e

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(e) the chemical active substances in group 4 shall be those not approved under Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009, and therefore not listed in the Annex to Implementing Regulation (EU) No 540/2011;

(e) the chemical active substances in group 4 shall be those not approved under Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009, and therefore not listed in the Annex to Implementing Regulation (EU) No 540/2011. ***These shall not include active substances which are expected to be approved as biological control as defined in this Regulation. This group will only apply until 31 January 2023, to ensure that the reference years in the past can still be calculated in a harmonised manner;***

Or. en

Amendment 2771

Pascal Canfin, Martin Hojsík, Max Orville

Proposal for a regulation

Annex I – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point 2 – point e

Text proposed by the Commission

(e) the chemical active substances in group 4 shall be those not approved under Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009, and therefore not listed in the Annex to Implementing Regulation (EU) No 540/2011;

Amendment

(e) the chemical active substances in group 4 shall be those not approved under Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009, and therefore not listed in the Annex to Implementing Regulation (EU) No 540/2011. ***Active substances in group 4 are assigned the weighting factor corresponding to their group assignment before the loss of approval.***

Or. en

Amendment 2772

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation

Annex I – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point 2 – point e a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(e a) the chemical active substances in group 5 shall be those not approved under Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009, and therefore not listed in the Annex to Implementing Regulation (EU) No 540/2011. These shall not include active substances which are expected to be approved as biological control as defined in this Regulation. This group will only apply from 1 February 2023, to take into account that the Court ruling in Case C-162/21 clarified that no derogations may be given for the use of pesticides which have been banned, or explicitly not authorised, for use in the EU. Chemical active substances, for which a derogation is given after February 2023 therefore cannot be judged with one risk factor but shall be attributed the weighting factor they are estimated to receive after an

application request has been granted;

Or. en

Amendment 2773
Günther Sidl

Proposal for a regulation
Annex I – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point 2 – point f a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(f a) Reference hectare application rates for a given chemical active substance shall be calculated as follows:

i) For plants or plant products for which plant protection products containing the active substance concerned are approved, the maximum doses per hectare of the active substance shall be calculated from the maximum doses per hectare of the corresponding plant protection products that have been established for each application in accordance with Article 31(3) of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009.

ii) For plants or plant products for which more than one plant protection product is approved, and for which therefore different maximum doses per hectares are calculated, the highest calculated value shall be used as the maximum dose per hectare of the active substance.

iii) The reference hectare application rate of an active substance shall be calculated as the weighted arithmetic mean of the maximum doses per hectare of the active substance for all plants and plant products for which plant protection products containing the active substance are authorised, with weighting factors corresponding to the number of plant protection products authorised for the plants or plant products concerned.

Or. en

Amendment 2774
Günther Sidl

Proposal for a regulation

Annex I – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point 2 – point f b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(f b) Chemical active substances that are not contained in plant protection products applied to agricultural production areas are not covered by the methodology described here.

Or. en

Amendment 2775

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation

Annex I – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point 3 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Progress towards achieving reduction target 1 shall be calculated by multiplying the annual quantities of active substances in plant protection products placed on the market for each group in the Table in this Annex by the relevant hazard weighting set out in row (iii), followed by the aggregation of the results of these calculations.

Progress towards achieving reduction target 1 shall be calculated by multiplying the annual quantities of active substances in plant protection products placed on the market for each group in the Table in this Annex by the relevant hazard weighting set out in row (iii), ***and shall take into account the area on which it is used and the maximum application rate given in the legal requirements of the approval of the pesticide.*** followed by the aggregation of the results of these calculations.

Or. en

Amendment 2776

Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan

Proposal for a regulation

Annex I – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point 3 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Progress towards achieving reduction target 1 shall be calculated by ***multiplying*** the annual quantities of active substances in plant protection products placed on the market for each group in the Table in this Annex by the relevant hazard weighting set out in row (iii), followed by the aggregation of the results of these calculations.

Amendment

Progress towards achieving reduction target 1 shall be calculated by ***dividing*** the annual quantities of active substances in plant protection products placed on the market ***by the mean application rate per hectare of its representative uses and multiplying the results*** for each group in the Table in this Annex by the relevant hazard weighting set out in row (iii), followed by the aggregation of the results of these calculations.

Or. en

Amendment 2777

Günther Sidl

Proposal for a regulation

Annex I – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point 3 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Progress towards achieving reduction target 1 shall be calculated by ***multiplying*** the annual quantities of active substances in plant protection products placed on the market for each group in the Table in this Annex by the relevant hazard weighting set out in row (iii), followed by the aggregation of the results of these calculations.

Amendment

Progress towards achieving reduction target 1 shall be calculated by ***dividing*** the annual quantities of active substances in plant protection products placed on the market for each group in the Table in this Annex by the relevant ***reference hectare application rate and multiplying by the relevant*** hazard weighting set out in row (iii), followed by the aggregation of the results of these calculations.

Or. en

Amendment 2778

Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław Kalinowski, Joanna Kopcińska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

Proposal for a regulation

Annex I – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point 3 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Progress towards achieving reduction target 1 shall be calculated by multiplying the annual quantities of active substances in plant protection products placed on the market for each group in the Table in this Annex by the relevant hazard weighting set out in row (iii), followed by the aggregation of the results of these calculations.

Amendment

Progress towards achieving reduction target 1 shall be calculated by multiplying the annual quantities of active substances in plant protection products placed on the market for each group in the Table in this Annex by the relevant hazard weighting set out in row (iii), followed by the aggregation of the results of these calculations **and divided by the utilized agricultural area (UAA) (iv.)**.

Or. en

Amendment 2779

Dan-Ştefan Motreanu, Carmen Avram, Marian-Jean Marinescu, Daniel Buda

Proposal for a regulation

Annex I – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point 3 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

Categorisation of active substances and hazard weightings for the purpose of calculating progress towards national **2030** reduction **target** 1

Amendment

Categorisation of active substances and hazard weightings for the purpose of calculating progress towards national **2035** reduction **contribution** 1

Or. en

Amendment 2780

Esther de Lange

Proposal for a regulation

Annex I – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point 3 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

Categorisation of active substances and hazard weightings for the purpose of calculating progress towards national **2030** reduction target 1

Amendment

Categorisation of active substances and hazard weightings for the purpose of calculating progress towards national **2035** reduction target 1

Amendment 2781**Peter Liese****Proposal for a regulation****Annex I – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point 3 – paragraph 3***Text proposed by the Commission*

Categorisation of active substances and hazard weightings for the purpose of calculating progress towards national **2030** reduction target 1

Amendment

Categorisation of active substances and hazard weightings for the purpose of calculating progress towards national reduction target 1

Amendment 2782**Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace****Proposal for a regulation****Annex I – Section 1 – Table 1 – Row 2***Text proposed by the Commission*

(i)	Low-risk chemical active substances which are approved or deemed to be approved under Article 22 of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009, and which are listed in Part D of the Annex to Implementing Regulation (EU) No 540/2011	Chemical active substances approved or deemed to be approved under Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009, and not falling in other categories, and which are listed in Parts A and B of the Annex to Implementing Regulation (EU) No 540/2011	Chemical active substances that are approved as candidates for substitution in accordance with Article 24 of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 and listed in Part E of the Annex to Implementing Regulation (EU) No 540/2011, or that are listed in the Annex to Implementing Regulation (EU) 2015/408.	Chemical active substances which are not approved under Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009, and therefore which are not listed in the Annex to Implementing Regulation (EU) No 540/2011

Amendment

(i)	Low-risk chemical active substances which are approved or deemed to be	Chemical active substances approved or deemed to be approved under	Chemical active substances that are approved as candidates for substitution in	UNTIL 31 JANUARY 2023: Chemical active substances which are not approved	FROM 1 FEBRUARY 2023: Chemical active substances which

	approved under Article 22 of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009, and which are listed in Part D of the Annex to Implementing Regulation (EU) No 540/2011	Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009, and not falling in other categories, and which are listed in Parts A and B of the Annex to Implementing Regulation (EU) No 540/2011	accordance with Article 24 of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 and listed in Part E of the Annex to Implementing Regulation (EU) No 540/2011, or that are listed in the Annex to Implementing Regulation (EU) 2015/408.	under Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009, and therefore which are not listed in the Annex to Implementing Regulation (EU) No 540/2011. <i>These shall not include active substances which are expected to be approved as biological control as defined in this Regulation.</i>	are not approved under Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009, and therefore which are not listed in the Annex to Implementing Regulation (EU) No 540/2011. <i>These shall not include active substances which are expected to be approved as biological control as defined in this Regulation. In accordance with the ruling of 19 January 2023 of the Court of Justice in case (Case C-162/21), these shall not include active substances which are prohibited or explicitly non-approved for use in the EU.</i>

Or. en

Amendment 2783

Maria Arena

Proposal for a regulation

Annex I – Section 1 – Table 1 – Row 3

Text proposed by the Commission

(ii)	Hazard Weightings applicable to quantities of chemical active substances placed on the market in products authorised under Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009

Amendment

(ii)	Hazard Weightings applicable to quantities of chemical active substances placed on the market in products authorised under Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 <i>standardized by the mean application rate per hectare of its representative uses evaluated in the approval procedure under Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009</i>

Or. en

Amendment 2784
Günther Sidl

Proposal for a regulation
Annex I – Section 1 – Table 1 – Row 3

Text proposed by the Commission

(ii)	Hazard Weightings applicable to quantities of chemical active substances placed on the market in products authorised under Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009

Amendment

(ii)	Hazard Weightings applicable to quantities of chemical active substances placed on the market in products authorised under Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 <i>standardized by the mean application rate per hectare of its representative uses evaluated in the approval procedure under Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009</i>

Or. en

Amendment 2785
Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation
Annex I – Section 1 – Table 1 – Row 3

Text proposed by the Commission

(ii)	Hazard Weightings applicable to quantities of chemical active substances placed on the market in products authorised under Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009

Amendment

(ii)	Hazard Weightings applicable to quantities of chemical active substances placed on the market in products authorised under Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009, <i>standardised on the basis of the area they are used on and the maximum application rates given in the legal requirements of their approval</i>

Or. en

Amendment 2786
Pascal Canfin, Martin Hojsík

Proposal for a regulation

Annex I – Section 1 – Table 1 – Row 4*Text proposed by the Commission*

(iii)	1	8	16	64

Amendment

(iii)	1	8	16	1, 8 or 16

Or. en

Amendment 2787**Ulrike Müller****Proposal for a regulation****Annex I – Section 1 – Table 1 – Row 4***Text proposed by the Commission*

(iii)	1	8	16	64

Amendment

(iii)	1	8	16	128

Or. en

Justification

The primary objective of this Regulation should be to reduce risks associated with plant protection products. In general, active substances not or no longer approved can be assumed to be associated with relatively highest risks. In the past, the use of emergency authorisations under Art. 53 of Regulation 1107/2009 has been increasingly used and has been subject to controversies. The reduction of risks associated with the use of plant protection products should therefore tackle the use of active substances not or no longer approved with priority, as this can be expected to make a significant contribution to reduction targets. In order to disincentivise the use of emergency authorisations, it therefore is appropriate to increase the weighing factor of category 4 substances.

Amendment 2788**Sarah Wiener****Proposal for a regulation****Annex I – Section 1 – Table 1 – Row 4***Text proposed by the Commission*

(iii)	1	8	16	64

Amendment

(iii)	1	8	16	*
<i>*(the weighing factor associated with the last group assignment before loss of approval)</i>				

Justification

Correction of AM 257 of the draft report

Or. en

Amendment 2789

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation

Annex I – Section 1 – Table 1 – Row 4*Text proposed by the Commission*

(iii)	1	8	16	64

Amendment

(iii)	1	8	16	64	*
<i>* the weighing factor they are estimated to receive after an application request has been granted</i>					

Or. en

Amendment 2790

Günther Sidl

Proposal for a regulation

Annex I – Section 1 – Table 1 – Row 4

Text proposed by the Commission

(iii)	1	8	16	64

Amendment

(iii)	1	8	16	*
<i>*the weighing factor associated with the last group assignment before loss of approval</i>				

Or. en

Amendment 2791

Maria Arena

Proposal for a regulation**Annex I – Section 1 – Table 1 – Row 4***Text proposed by the Commission*

(iii)	1	8	16	64

Amendment

(iii)	1	8	16	*
<i>*the weighing factor associated with the last group assignment before loss of approval</i>				

Or. en

Amendment 2792**Eric Andrieu****Proposal for a regulation****Annex I – Section 1 – Table 1 – Row 4***Text proposed by the Commission*

(iii)	1	8	16	64

Amendment

(iii)	1	8	16	*
<i>*the weighing factor associated with the substance before the end of the approval</i>				

Justification

With a weighting factor at 64, the indicator will change every time a substance is no more approved, leading the indicator to be re-based ex post every time a substance is no more approved. That way the trajectory of the indicator would become unreadable

Or. en

Amendment 2793**Jan Huitema, Emma Wiesner, Erik Poulsen, Billy Kelleher, Asger Christensen****Proposal for a regulation****Annex I – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point 4***Text proposed by the Commission*

4. The baseline for reduction target 1 shall be set at 100, and is equal to the average result of the above calculation for the period 2015-**2017**.

Amendment

4. The baseline for reduction target 1 shall be set at 100, and is equal to the average result of the above calculation for the period **2013**-2015.

Amendment 2794

**Alexander Bernhuber, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Waldemar Tomaszewski,
Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk**

Proposal for a regulation

Annex I – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point 4

Text proposed by the Commission

4. The baseline for reduction target 1 shall be set at 100, and is equal to the average result of the above calculation for the period **2015-2017**.

Amendment

4. The baseline for reduction target 1 shall be set at 100, and is equal to the average result of the above calculation for the period **2011-2018**.

Or. en

Amendment 2795

Peter Liese

Proposal for a regulation

Annex I – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point 4

Text proposed by the Commission

4. The baseline for reduction target 1 shall be set at 100, and is equal to the average result of the above calculation for the period 2015-**2017**.

Amendment

4. The baseline for reduction target 1 shall be set at 100, and is equal to the average result of the above calculation for the period **2013-2015**.

Or. en

Amendment 2796

Esther de Lange

Proposal for a regulation

Annex I – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point 4

Text proposed by the Commission

4. The baseline for reduction target 1 shall be set at 100, and is equal to the

Amendment

4. The baseline for reduction target 1 shall be set at 100, and is equal to the

average result of the above calculation for the period **2015-2017**.

average result of the above calculation for the period **2010-2020**.

Or. en

Amendment 2797

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation

Annex I – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point 4

Text proposed by the Commission

4. The baseline for reduction target 1 shall be set at 100, and is equal to the average result of the above calculation for the period **2015-2017**.

Amendment

4. The baseline for reduction target 1 shall be set at 100, and is equal to the average result of the above calculation for the period **2017-2019**.

Or. en

Amendment 2798

Sarah Wiener

Proposal for a regulation

Annex I – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point 4

Text proposed by the Commission

4. The baseline for reduction target 1 shall be set at 100, and is equal to the average result of the above calculation for the period **2015-2017**.

Amendment

4. The baseline for reduction target 1 shall be set at 100, and is equal to the average result of the above calculation for the period **2018-2020**.

Or. en

Justification

Necessary in order to ensure consistency with the amendments of the draft report.

Amendment 2799

Alexander Bernhuber, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk

Proposal for a regulation

Annex I – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point 6

Text proposed by the Commission

6. The Commission shall calculate the progress towards achieving reduction target 1 in accordance with Article 34(2) of this Regulation for each calendar year **and at the latest 20 months after the end of the year for which progress towards the reduction target 1 is being calculated.**

Amendment

6. The Commission shall calculate the progress towards achieving reduction target 1 in accordance with Article 34(2) of this Regulation for each calendar year.

Or. en

Amendment 2800

Günther Sidl

Proposal for a regulation

Annex I – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point 6

Text proposed by the Commission

6. The **Commission** shall calculate the progress towards achieving reduction target 1 in accordance with Article 34(2) of this Regulation for each calendar year and at the latest 20 months after the end of the year for which progress towards the reduction target 1 is being calculated.

Amendment

6. The **Member States** shall calculate the progress towards achieving reduction target 1 in accordance with Article 34(2) of this Regulation for each calendar year and at the latest 20 months after the end of the year for which progress towards the reduction target 1 is being calculated.

Or. en

Amendment 2801

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation

Annex I – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point 6

Text proposed by the Commission

6. The Commission shall calculate the progress towards achieving reduction target 1 in accordance with Article 34(2) of this Regulation for each calendar year and

Amendment

6. The Commission shall calculate the progress towards achieving reduction target 1 in accordance with Article 34(2) of this Regulation for each calendar year and

at the latest **20** months after the end of the year for which progress towards the reduction target 1 is being calculated.

at the latest **10** months after the end of the year for which progress towards the reduction target 1 is being calculated.

Or. en

Amendment 2802
Günther Sidl

Proposal for a regulation
Annex I – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point 6 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

6 a. Member states shall report the trends in progress towards achieving their 2030 reduction targets to the Commission on an annual basis.

Or. en

Amendment 2803
Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław Kalinowski, Joanna Kopcińska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

Proposal for a regulation
Annex I – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

SECTION 2

deleted

National reduction reduction target 2: methodology for estimating progress towards reduction in the use the more hazardous plant protection products

1. The methodology shall be based on statistics on the quantities of active substances placed on the market in plant protection products under Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009, provided to the Commission under Annex I to Regulation (EC) No 1185/2009.

2. Progress towards achieving target 2 shall be calculated by adding together

the annual quantities of chemical active substances contained in more hazardous plant protection products placed on the market each year.

3. *The baseline for reduction target 2 shall be set at 100, and is equal to the average result of the above calculation for the period 2015-2017.*

4. *Progress towards achieving reduction target 2 shall be expressed by reference to the baseline.*

5. *The Commission shall calculate progress towards achieving reduction target 2 in accordance with Article 34(2) of this Regulation for each calendar year and at the latest 20 months after the end of the year for which progress towards reduction target 2 is being calculated.*

Or. en

Amendment 2804

Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan, Delara Burkhardt

Proposal for a regulation

Annex I – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 2 – point 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. The methodology shall be based on statistics on the quantities of active substances placed on the market in plant protection products under Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009, provided to the Commission under Annex I to Regulation (EC) No 1185/2009.

Amendment

1. The methodology shall be based on statistics on the quantities of active substances placed on the market in plant protection products under Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009, provided to the Commission under Annex I to Regulation (EC) No 1185/2009 ***in combination with the mean application rates of chemical active substances based on their representative uses, which have been evaluated under Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009.***

Or. en

Amendment 2805
Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan

Proposal for a regulation
Annex I – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 2 – point 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. Progress towards achieving target 2 shall be calculated by **adding** together the annual quantities of chemical active substances contained in more hazardous plant protection products placed on the market each year.

Amendment

2. Progress towards achieving target 2 shall be calculated by **dividing** together the annual quantities of chemical active substances contained in more hazardous plant protection products placed on the market each year **by the mean application rate per hectare of its representative uses, followed by the sum of the results of these calculations.**

Or. en

Amendment 2806
Alexander Bernhuber, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk

Proposal for a regulation
Annex I – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 2 – point 3

Text proposed by the Commission

3. The baseline for reduction target 2 shall be set at 100, and is equal to the average result of the above calculation for the period **2015-2017**.

Amendment

3. The baseline for reduction target 2 shall be set at 100, and is equal to the average result of the above calculation for the period **2011-2018**.

Or. en

Amendment 2807
Esther de Lange

Proposal for a regulation
Annex I – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 2 – point 3

Text proposed by the Commission

3. The baseline for reduction target 2

Amendment

3. The baseline for reduction target 2

shall be set at 100, and is equal to the average result of the above calculation for the period **2015-2017**.

shall be set at 100, and is equal to the average result of the above calculation for the period **2010-2020**.

Or. en

Amendment 2808

Jan Huitema, Emma Wiesner, Erik Poulsen, Billy Kelleher, Asger Christensen

Proposal for a regulation

Annex I – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 2 – point 3

Text proposed by the Commission

3. The baseline for reduction target 2 shall be set at 100, and is equal to the average result of the above calculation for the period 2015-**2017**.

Amendment

3. The baseline for reduction target 2 shall be set at 100, and is equal to the average result of the above calculation for the period **2013-2015**.

Or. en

Amendment 2809

Peter Liese

Proposal for a regulation

Annex I – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 2 – point 3

Text proposed by the Commission

3. The baseline for reduction target 2 shall be set at 100, and is equal to the average result of the above calculation for the period 2015-**2017**.

Amendment

3. The baseline for reduction target 2 shall be set at 100, and is equal to the average result of the above calculation for the period **2013-2015**.

Or. en

Amendment 2810

Alexander Bernhuber, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk

Proposal for a regulation

Annex I – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 2 – point 5

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

5. The Commission shall calculate progress towards achieving reduction target 2 in accordance with Article 34(2) of this Regulation for each calendar year **and at the latest 20 months after the end of the year for which progress towards reduction target 2 is being calculated.**

5. The Commission shall calculate progress towards achieving reduction target 2 in accordance with Article 34(2) of this Regulation for each calendar year.

Or. en

Amendment 2811

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation

Annex I – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 2 – point 5

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

5. The Commission shall calculate progress towards achieving reduction target 2 in accordance with Article 34(2) of this Regulation for each calendar year and at the latest **20** months after the end of the year for which progress towards reduction target 2 is being calculated.

5. The Commission shall calculate progress towards achieving reduction target 2 in accordance with Article 34(2) of this Regulation for each calendar year and at the latest **10** months after the end of the year for which progress towards reduction target 2 is being calculated.

Or. en

Amendment 2812

Dan-Ștefan Motreanu, Carmen Avram, Marian-Jean Marinescu, Daniel Buda

Proposal for a regulation

Annex I – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 3 – subheading 1 – point 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

1. The methodology for calculating trends towards the two Union **2030** reduction targets shall be the same as the methodology for calculating trends at national level as set out in Sections 1 and 2.

1. The methodology for calculating trends towards the two Union **2035** reduction targets shall be the same as the methodology for calculating trends at national level as set out in Sections 1 and 2.

Amendment 2813
Esther de Lange

Proposal for a regulation
Annex I – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 3 – subheading 1 – point 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. The methodology for calculating trends towards the two Union **2030** reduction targets shall be the same as the methodology for calculating trends at national level as set out in Sections 1 and 2.

Amendment

1. The methodology for calculating trends towards the two Union **2035** reduction targets shall be the same as the methodology for calculating trends at national level as set out in Sections 1 and 2.

Or. en

Amendment 2814
Peter Liese

Proposal for a regulation
Annex I – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 3 – subheading 1 – point 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. The methodology for calculating trends towards the two Union **2030** reduction targets shall be the same as the methodology for calculating trends at national level as set out in Sections 1 and 2.

Amendment

1. The methodology for calculating trends towards the two Union reduction targets shall be the same as the methodology for calculating trends at national level as set out in Sections 1 and 2.

Or. en

Amendment 2815
Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan, Delara Burkhardt

Proposal for a regulation
Annex I – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 3 – subheading 1 – point 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. The trend at national level shall be calculated using national statistics on the quantities of chemical active substances as defined in point 3 of Article 3 of this Regulation placed on the market in plant protection products under Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009, provided to the Commission under Annex I (Statistics on the placing on the market of pesticides) to Regulation (EC) No 1185/2009.

Amendment

2. The trend at national level shall be calculated using national statistics on the quantities of chemical active substances as defined in point 3 of Article 3 of this Regulation placed on the market in plant protection products under Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009, provided to the Commission under Annex I (Statistics on the placing on the market of pesticides) to Regulation (EC) No 1185/2009 ***in combination with the mean application rates of chemical active substances based on their representative uses, which have been evaluated under Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009.***

Or. en

Amendment 2816

Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan

Proposal for a regulation

Annex I – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 3 – subheading 1 – point 3

Text proposed by the Commission

3. The trend at Union level shall be calculated using Union statistics on the quantities of chemical active substances as defined in point 3 of Article 3 of this Regulation placed on the market in plant protection products under Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009, provided to the Commission under Annex I (Statistics on the placing on the market of pesticides) to Regulation (EC) No 1185/2009.

Amendment

3. The trend at Union level shall be calculated using Union statistics on the quantities of chemical active substances as defined in point 3 of Article 3 of this Regulation placed on the market in plant protection products under Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009, provided to the Commission under Annex I (Statistics on the placing on the market of pesticides) to Regulation (EC) No 1185/2009 ***in combination with the mean application rates of chemical active substances based on their representative uses, which have been evaluated under Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009.***

Or. en

Amendment 2817

**Alexander Bernhuber, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Waldemar Tomaszewski,
Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk**

Proposal for a regulation

Annex II – subheading 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

DATA TO BE PROVIDED IN ANNUAL
PROGRESS AND IMPLEMENTATION
REPORTS BY 31 **AUGUST** OF EACH
CALENDAR YEAR

DATA TO BE PROVIDED IN ANNUAL
PROGRESS AND IMPLEMENTATION
REPORTS BY 31 **DECEMBER** OF
EACH CALENDAR YEAR

Or. en

Amendment 2818

**Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław
Kalinowski, Joanna Kopcińska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska**

Proposal for a regulation

Annex II – Part 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

1 **1. the trends in a Member
State's progress towards achieving the two
national 2030 reduction targets referred
to in Article 10(2), point (a);**

deleted

**2. all other national indicative targets
indicated in Article 9(2), point (a), Article
9(3), point (a) and Article 9(4).**

Or. en

Amendment 2819

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation

Annex II – Part 1 – point 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. the trends in a Member State's progress towards achieving the two national 2030 reduction targets referred to in Article 10(2), point (a);

Amendment

1. the trends in a Member State's progress towards achieving the two national 2030 reduction targets **and the national 2035 phase-out target** referred to in Article 10(2), point (a);

Or. en

Amendment 2820

Dan-Ştefan Motreanu, Carmen Avram, Marian-Jean Marinescu, Daniel Buda

Proposal for a regulation

Annex II – Part 1 – point 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. the trends in a Member State's progress towards achieving the two national **2030** reduction **targets** referred to in Article 10(2), point (a);

Amendment

1. the trends in a Member State's progress towards achieving the two national **2035** reduction **contributions** referred to in Article 10(2), point (a);

Or. en

Amendment 2821

Esther de Lange

Proposal for a regulation

Annex II – Part 1 – point 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. the trends in a Member State's progress towards achieving the two national **2030** reduction targets referred to in Article 10(2), point (a);

Amendment

1. the trends in a Member State's progress towards achieving the two national **2035** reduction targets referred to in Article 10(2), point (a);

Or. en

Amendment 2822

Peter Liese

Proposal for a regulation
Annex II – Part 1 – point 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. the trends in a Member State's progress towards achieving the two national **2030** reduction targets referred to in Article 10(2), point (a);

Amendment

1. the trends in a Member State's progress towards achieving the two national reduction targets referred to in Article 10(2), point (a);

Or. en

Amendment 2823

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation
Annex II – Part 1 – point 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. all other national **indicative** targets indicated in Article 9(2), point (a), Article 9(3), point (a) and Article 9(4).

Amendment

2. all other national targets indicated in Article 9(2), point (a), Article 9(3), point (a) and Article 9(4).

Or. en

Amendment 2824

Alexander Bernhuber, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk

Proposal for a regulation
Annex II – Part 2 – paragraph 1 – point 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. **the percentage of professional users controlled for integrated pest management implementation;**

Amendment

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 2825

Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław Kalinowski, Joanna Kopcińska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

Proposal for a regulation

Annex II – Part 2 – paragraph 1 – point 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. the **percentage** of professional users controlled for integrated pest management implementation;

Amendment

1. the **number** of professional users controlled for integrated pest management implementation;

Or. en

Amendment 2826

Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław Kalinowski, Joanna Kopcińska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

Proposal for a regulation

Annex II – Part 2 – paragraph 1 – point 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. the **percentage** of professional users failing to comply with the obligation to keep electronic records on integrated pest management implementation;

Amendment

2. the **number** of professional users failing to comply with the obligation to keep electronic records on integrated pest management implementation;

Or. en

Amendment 2827

Alexander Bernhuber, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk

Proposal for a regulation

Annex II – Part 2 – paragraph 1 – point 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. the percentage of professional users **failing** to comply with the obligation to keep electronic records on integrated pest management implementation;

Amendment

2. the percentage of professional users to comply with the obligation to keep electronic records on integrated pest management implementation;

Amendment 2828

**Alexander Bernhuber, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Waldemar Tomaszewski,
Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk**

Proposal for a regulation

Annex II – Part 2 – paragraph 1 – point 3

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

3. *the percentage of professional users that failed to comply with the obligation to keep pesticide use data electronically;* **deleted**

Or. en

Amendment 2829

Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław Kalinowski, Joanna Kopcińska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

Proposal for a regulation

Annex II – Part 2 – paragraph 1 – point 3

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

3. the **percentage** of professional users that failed to comply with the obligation to keep pesticide use data electronically;

3. the **number** of professional users that failed to comply with the obligation to keep pesticide use data electronically;

Or. en

Amendment 2830

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation

Annex II – Part 2 – paragraph 1 – point 3 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

3 a. the penalties given in these cases

of non-compliance;

Or. en

Amendment 2831

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation

Annex II – Part 2 – paragraph 1 – point 4

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

4. *the number of aerial application permits, the validity period of the permit, as well as the size and the location of the areas concerned, and reasons for the permit granted;* ***deleted***

Or. en

Amendment 2832

Alexander Bernhuber, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk

Proposal for a regulation

Annex II – Part 2 – paragraph 1 – point 6

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

6. *the number of permits for use of plant protection products in sensitive areas;* ***deleted***

Or. en

Amendment 2833

Alexander Bernhuber, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk

Proposal for a regulation

Annex II – Part 2 – paragraph 1 – point 7

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

7. ***the percentage of utilised agricultural area and other areas covered by permits for use of plant protection products in sensitive areas;*** ***deleted***

Or. en

Amendment 2834

Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław Kalinowski, Joanna Kopcińska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

Proposal for a regulation

Annex II – Part 2 – paragraph 1 – point 8

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

8. ***the estimated quantities of illegal plant protection products used and the quantities of illegal plant protection products detected;*** 8. the quantities of illegal plant protection products detected;

Or. en

Amendment 2835

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation

Annex II – Part 2 – paragraph 1 – point 9

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

9. ***whether Member States have applied derogations allowing for*** ***deleted***
(a) different inspection requirements to application equipment in professional use that represents a very low scale of use, or
(b) exemptions from inspection for handheld application equipment or knapsack sprayers, in professional use.

Amendment 2836

**Alexander Bernhuber, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Waldemar Tomaszewski,
Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk**

Proposal for a regulation

Annex II – Part 2 – paragraph 1 – point 9 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) exemptions from inspection for handheld application equipment or knapsack sprayers, in professional use. **deleted**

Amendment 2837

Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław Kalinowski, Joanna Kopcińska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

Proposal for a regulation

Annex II – Part 2 – paragraph 2 – point 10

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

10. the **percentage** of professional users, advisors and distributors trained in the subjects listed in Annex III and holding a training certificate in accordance with Article 25 or who has a proof of entry in a central electronic register in accordance with Article 25(5), broken down by professional users, advisors and distributors;

10. the **number** of professional users, advisors and distributors trained in the subjects listed in Annex III and holding a training certificate in accordance with Article 25 or who has a proof of entry in a central electronic register in accordance with Article 25(5), broken down by professional users, advisors and distributors;

Amendment 2838

Franc Bogovič, Marlene Mortler, Ljudmila Novak

Proposal for a regulation

Annex II – Part 2 – paragraph 2 – point 10

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

10. the percentage of professional users, advisors and distributors trained in the subjects listed in Annex III and holding a training certificate in accordance with Article 25 or who has a proof of entry in a **central electronic** register in accordance with Article 25(5), broken down by professional users, advisors and distributors;

10. the percentage of professional users, advisors and distributors trained in the subjects listed in Annex III and holding a training certificate in accordance with Article 25 or who has a proof of entry in a register in accordance with Article 25(5), broken down by professional users, advisors and distributors;

Or. en

Amendment 2839

Alexander Bernhuber, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk

Proposal for a regulation

Annex II – Part 2 – paragraph 2 – point 11

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

11. the percentage of professional users that failed to comply with the obligation to use independent advisory services at least once a year.

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 2840

Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław Kalinowski, Joanna Kopcińska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

Proposal for a regulation

Annex II – Part 2 – paragraph 2 – point 11

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

11. the percentage of professional users that failed to comply with the obligation to use independent advisory services at least once a year.

deleted

Amendment 2841

**Alexander Bernhuber, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Waldemar Tomaszewski,
Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk**

Proposal for a regulation

Annex II – Part 2 – paragraph 3 – point 12

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

12. the *estimated percentage of application equipment in professional use registered on the electronic register of application equipment in professional use*; *deleted*

Amendment 2842

**Alexander Bernhuber, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Waldemar Tomaszewski,
Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk**

Proposal for a regulation

Annex II – Part 2 – paragraph 3 – point 13

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

13. the **percentage** of registered application equipment in professional use **and due** for inspection that has been inspected;

13. the **number** of registered application equipment in professional use for inspection that has been inspected;

Amendment 2843

**Alexander Bernhuber, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Waldemar Tomaszewski,
Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk**

Proposal for a regulation

Annex II – Part 2 – paragraph 3 – point 14

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

14. the percentage, at time of inspection, of application equipment in professional use fitted with risk mitigation devices.

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 2844

Alexander Bernhuber, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk

Proposal for a regulation

Annex II – Part 2 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Member State further measures to implement integrated pest management:

deleted

15. the percentage of utilised agricultural area in each Member State that is covered by crop-specific rules that have been made legally binding under national legislation.

Or. en

Amendment 2845

Eric Andrieu

Proposal for a regulation

Annex II – Part 2 – paragraph 4 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Member State further measures to implement integrated pest management:

Member State further measures to implement integrated pest management **and biological control products :**

Or. en

Amendment 2846
Inese Vaidere

Proposal for a regulation
Annex II – Part 2 – paragraph 4 – point 15

Text proposed by the Commission

15. the percentage of utilised agricultural area in each Member State that is covered by crop-specific **rules** that have been made legally binding under national legislation.

Amendment

15. the percentage of utilised agricultural area in each Member State that is covered by crop-specific **guidelines** that have been made legally binding under national legislation.

Or. en

Amendment 2847
Franč Bogovič, Marlene Mortler, Ljudmila Novak, Alexander Bernhuber

Proposal for a regulation
Annex II – Part 2 – paragraph 4 – point 15

Text proposed by the Commission

15. the percentage of utilised agricultural area in each Member State that is covered by crop-specific **rules that have been made legally binding under national legislation.**

Amendment

15. the percentage of utilised agricultural area in each Member State that is covered by crop-specific **guidelines in its National action plan.**

Or. en

Amendment 2848
Eric Andrieu

Proposal for a regulation
Annex II – Part 2 – paragraph 4 – point 15 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

15 a. The number of biological control PPPs authorised;

Or. en

Amendment 2849
Eric Andrieu

Proposal for a regulation
Annex II – Part 2 – paragraph 4 – point 15 b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

15 b. The percentage of label uses covered by biological control PPPs;

Or. en

Amendment 2850
Eric Andrieu

Proposal for a regulation
Annex II – Part 2 – paragraph 4 – point 15 c (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

15 c. The number of macro-organisms authorized;

Or. en

Amendment 2851
Eric Andrieu

Proposal for a regulation
Annex II – Part 2 – paragraph 4 – point 15 d (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

15 d. The percentage of biocontrol market value within the overall PPPs market value ;

Or. en

Amendment 2852
Eric Andrieu

Proposal for a regulation
Annex II – Part 2 – paragraph 4 – point 15 e (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

15 e. Percentage of the area (in hectares) treated with biological control products.

Or. en

Amendment 2853
Alexander Bernhuber, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk

Proposal for a regulation
Annex III

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

[...]

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 2854
Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław Kalinowski, Joanna Kopcińska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

Proposal for a regulation
Annex III – point 1 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Regulation (EU) No 528/2012 of the European Parliament and of the Council⁹⁵

deleted

⁹⁵ ***Regulation (EU) No 528/2012 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 22 May 2012 concerning the making available on the market and use of***

biocidal products (OJ L 167, 27.6.2012, p. 1).

Or. en

Amendment 2855

Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław Kalinowski, Joanna Kopcińska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

Proposal for a regulation

Annex III – point 1 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

**Regulation (EC) No 1185/2009 of the
European Parliament and of the
Council⁹⁶** **deleted**

⁹⁶ **Regulation (EC) No 1185/2009 of the
European Parliament and of the Council
of 25 November 2009 concerning statistics
on pesticides (OJ L 324, 10.12.2009, p. 1).**

Or. en

Amendment 2856

Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław Kalinowski, Joanna Kopcińska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

Proposal for a regulation

Annex III – point 1 – paragraph 5

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

**Regulation EC No 1272/2008 of the
European Parliament and of the
Council⁹⁷** **deleted**

⁹⁷ **Regulation (EC) No 1272/2008 of the
European Parliament and of the Council
of 16 December 2008 on classification,
labelling and packaging of substances
and mixtures, amending and repealing**

**Directives 67/548/EEC and 1999/45/EC,
and amending Regulation (EC) No
1907/2006 (OJ L 353, 31.12.2008, p. 1).**

Or. en

Amendment 2857

Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław Kalinowski, Joanna Kopcińska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

Proposal for a regulation

Annex III – point 1 – paragraph 7

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

**Regulation (EU) 2021/2115 of the
European Parliament and of the
Council⁹⁹**

deleted

⁹⁹ **Regulation (EU) 2021/2115 of the
European Parliament and of the Council
of 2 December 2021 establishing rules on
support for strategic plans to be drawn up
by Member States under the common
agricultural policy (CAP Strategic Plans)
and financed by the European
Agricultural Guarantee Fund (EAGF)
and by the European Agricultural Fund
for Rural Development (EAFRD) and
repealing Regulations (EU) No 1305/2013
and (EU) No 1307/2013 OJ L 435,
6.12.2021, p. 1).**

Or. en

Amendment 2858

Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław Kalinowski, Joanna Kopcińska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

Proposal for a regulation

Annex III – point 1 – paragraph 8

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Directive 2006/42/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council¹⁰⁰

deleted

¹⁰⁰ ***Directive 2006/42/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 17 May 2006 on machinery, and amending Directive 95/16/EC (OJ L 157, 9.6.2006, p. 24).***

Or. en

Amendment 2859

Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław Kalinowski, Joanna Kopcińska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

Proposal for a regulation

Annex III – point 1 – paragraph 9

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Directive 2009/127/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council¹⁰¹

deleted

¹⁰¹ ***Directive 2009/127/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 21 October 2009 amending Directive 2006/42/EC with regard to machinery for pesticide application (OJ L 310, 25.11.2009, p. 29).***

Or. en

Amendment 2860

Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław Kalinowski, Joanna Kopcińska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

Proposal for a regulation

Annex III – point 1 – paragraph 10

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Directive 2000/60/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council¹⁰²

deleted

¹⁰² Directive 2000/60/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 23 October 2000 establishing a framework for Community action in the field of water policy (OJ L 327, 22.12.2000, p. 1).

Or. en

Amendment 2861

Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław Kalinowski, Joanna Kopcińska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

Proposal for a regulation

Annex III – point 1 – paragraph 11

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Council Directive 89/391/EEC¹⁰³

deleted

¹⁰³ Council Directive 89/391/EEC of 12 June 1989 on the introduction of measures to encourage improvements in the safety and health of workers at work (OJ L 183, 29.6.1989, p. 1).

Or. en

Amendment 2862

Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław Kalinowski, Joanna Kopcińska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

Proposal for a regulation

Annex III – point 1 – paragraph 12

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Council Directive 89/656/EEC¹⁰⁴

deleted

¹⁰⁴ **Council Directive 89/656/EEC of 30 November 1989 on the minimum health and safety requirements for the use by workers of personal protective equipment at the workplace (third individual directive within the meaning of Article 16 (1) of Directive 89/391/EEC) (OJ L 393, 30.12.1989, p. 18).**

Or. en

Amendment 2863

Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław Kalinowski, Joanna Kopcińska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

Proposal for a regulation

Annex III – point 1 – paragraph 14

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Directive 2004/37/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council¹⁰⁶ **deleted**

¹⁰⁶ **Directive 2004/37/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 29 April 2004 on the protection of workers from the risks related to their exposure to carcinogens or mutagens at work (OJ L 158, 30.4.2004, p. 50).**

Or. en

Amendment 2864

Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław Kalinowski, Joanna Kopcińska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

Proposal for a regulation

Annex III – point 1 – paragraph 15

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Directive 2009/104/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council¹⁰⁷ **deleted**

Parliament and of the Council¹⁰⁷

¹⁰⁷ Directive 2009/104/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 16 September 2009 concerning the minimum safety and health requirements for the use of work equipment by workers at work (second individual Directive within the meaning of Article 16(1) of Directive 89/391/EEC) (OJ L 260, 3.10.2009, p. 5).

Or. en

Amendment 2865

Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław Kalinowski, Joanna Kopcińska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

Proposal for a regulation

Annex III – point 1 – paragraph 16

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Regulation (EC) No 1907/2006 of the European Parliament and of the Council¹⁰⁸

deleted

¹⁰⁸ Regulation (EC) No 1907/2006 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 18 December 2006 concerning the Registration, Evaluation, Authorisation and Restriction of Chemicals (REACH), establishing a European Chemicals Agency, amending Directive 1999/45/EC and repealing Council Regulation (EEC) No 793/93 and Commission Regulation (EC) No 1488/94 as well as Council Directive 76/769/EEC and Commission Directives 91/155/EEC, 93/67/EEC, 93/105/EC and 2000/21/EC (OJ L 396, 30.12.2006, p. 1).

Or. en

Amendment 2866

Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław Kalinowski, Joanna Kopcińska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

Proposal for a regulation

Annex III – point 1 – paragraph 17

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Directive 2008/68/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council¹⁰⁹

deleted

¹⁰⁹ **Directive 2008/68/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 24 September 2008 on the inland transport of dangerous goods (OJ L 260, 30.9.2008, p. 13).**

Or. en

Amendment 2867

Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław Kalinowski, Joanna Kopcińska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

Proposal for a regulation

Annex III – point 3 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

3. The hazards of and risks associated with plant protection products, and how to identify and control them, including the following subjects:

3. The hazards of and risks associated with ***improper use of*** plant protection products, and how to identify and control them, including the following subjects:

Or. en

Amendment 2868

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation

Annex III – point 3 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(a) risks to human health;

(a) risks to human ***and animal*** health;

Or. en

Amendment 2869

Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław Kalinowski, Joanna Kopcińska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

Proposal for a regulation

Annex III – point 3 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(a) risks to human health;

(a) ***potential*** risks to human health;

Or. en

Amendment 2870

Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław Kalinowski, Joanna Kopcińska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

Proposal for a regulation

Annex III – point 3 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) symptoms of plant protection product poisoning and appropriate ***first aid measures in case of such poisoning***;

(b) symptoms of plant protection product poisoning and appropriate;

Or. en

Amendment 2871

Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław Kalinowski, Joanna Kopcińska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

Proposal for a regulation

Annex III – point 3 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

(c) risks to non-target plants and insects, wildlife, biodiversity and the environment in general.

Amendment

(c) **potential** risks to non-target plants and insects, wildlife, biodiversity and the environment in general.

Or. en

Amendment 2872

Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław Kalinowski, Joanna Kopcińska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

Proposal for a regulation

Annex III – point 4

Text proposed by the Commission

4. Integrated pest management strategies and techniques, integrated crop management strategies and techniques, **organic farming principles**, biological pest control methods, harmful organism control methods, the obligation to apply integrated pest management **as set out in Articles 12 and 13 of this Regulation, and the obligation to enter records in the electronic integrated pest management and plant protection product use register, as set out in Article 14 of this Regulation.**

Amendment

4. **When relevant:** integrated pest management strategies and techniques, integrated crop management strategies and techniques, biological pest control methods, harmful organism control methods, the obligation to apply integrated pest management.

Or. en

Amendment 2873

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation

Annex III – point 4

Text proposed by the Commission

4. Integrated pest management strategies and techniques, integrated crop management strategies and techniques, organic farming principles, biological pest

Amendment

4. Integrated pest management strategies and techniques, integrated crop management strategies and techniques, **agro-ecological and** organic farming

control methods, harmful organism control methods, the obligation to apply integrated pest management as set out in Articles 12 and 13 of this Regulation, and the obligation to enter records in the electronic integrated pest management and plant protection product use register, as set out in Article 14 of this Regulation.

principles, biological pest control methods, harmful organism control methods, the obligation to apply integrated pest management as set out in Articles 12 and 13 of this Regulation, and the obligation to enter records in the electronic integrated pest management and plant protection product use register, as set out in Article 14 of this Regulation.

Or. en

Amendment 2874

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation Annex III – point 5

Text proposed by the Commission

5. When **plant protection products** are needed, how to choose the **plant protection products** with the least side effects on human health, non-target organisms and the environment among all authorised products for a given pest problem, in a given situation.

Amendment

5. When **pesticides are temporarily deemed to be** needed, how to choose the **pesticides** with the least side effects on human **and animal** health, **beneficial and** non-target organisms and the environment among all authorised products for a given pest problem, in a given situation.

Or. en

Amendment 2875

Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław Kalinowski, Joanna Kopcińska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

Proposal for a regulation Annex III – point 5

Text proposed by the Commission

5. When plant protection products are needed, how to choose the plant protection products **with the least side** effects on human health, non-target organisms and the environment among all authorised products for a given pest problem, in a

Amendment

5. When plant protection products are needed, how to choose the plant protection products **taking into consideration possible** effects on human health, non-target organisms and the environment among all authorised products for a given

given situation.

pest problem, in a given situation.

Or. en

Amendment 2876

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation

Annex III – point 6 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

6. Measures to minimise risks to humans, non-target organisms and the environment, including:

6. Measures to minimise risks to humans **and animals, beneficial and** non-target organisms and the environment, including:

Or. en

Amendment 2877

Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław Kalinowski, Joanna Kopcińska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

Proposal for a regulation

Annex III – point 6 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

6. Measures to minimise risks to humans, non-target organisms and the environment, including:

6. Measures to minimise **potential** risks to humans, non-target organisms and the environment, including:

Or. en

Amendment 2878

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation

Annex III – point 6 – point c a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(c a) the recommended way to minimise

exposure to rural residents, bystanders and people and animals passing by, as well as how to communicate with rural neighbours about the use of chemical pesticides in their vicinity, giving them prior notification and ensuring information transfer about the potential risks of their exposure;

Or. en

Amendment 2879

Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław Kalinowski, Joanna Kopcińska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

Proposal for a regulation Annex III – point 7

Text proposed by the Commission

7. Procedures for preparing application equipment for operation, including its calibration, with minimum risks to the user, other persons, non-target animal and plant species, biodiversity and the environment, including water resources.

Amendment

7. Procedures for preparing application equipment for operation, including its calibration, with minimum ***potential*** risks to the user, other persons, non-target animal and plant species, biodiversity and the environment, including water resources.

Or. en

Amendment 2880

Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław Kalinowski, Joanna Kopcińska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

Proposal for a regulation Annex III – point 8

Text proposed by the Commission

8. Practical training on the use of application equipment and its maintenance, and on risk mitigation measures including specific spraying techniques, use of new technology including precision farming techniques, as well as the technical check

Amendment

8. Practical training on the use of application equipment and its maintenance, and on risk mitigation measures including specific spraying techniques, use of new technology including precision farming techniques, as well as the technical check

of sprayers in use and ways to improve spray quality. In this subject special attention shall be paid to the drift-reduction nozzles and the recommendations made by the manufacturers concerning optimal conditions of their use. Specific risks linked to use of handheld application equipment or knapsack sprayers and the relevant risk management measures. Practical training shall also cover the specific risks linked to the sowing of seeds treated with plant protection products.

of sprayers in use and ways to improve spray quality. In this subject special attention shall be paid to the drift-reduction nozzles and the recommendations made by the manufacturers concerning optimal conditions of their use. Specific **potential** risks linked to use of handheld application equipment or knapsack sprayers and the relevant risk management measures. Practical training shall also cover the specific risks linked to the sowing of seeds treated with plant protection products.

Or. en

Amendment 2881

Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław Kalinowski, Joanna Kopcińska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

Proposal for a regulation Annex III – point 11

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

11. Facilities providing health monitoring and access to health care to which information on acute and chronic poisoning incidents can be reported..

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 2882

Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław Kalinowski, Joanna Kopcińska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

Proposal for a regulation Annex III – point 13

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

13. How to minimise or eliminate applications of certain plant protection products classified as “harmful to aquatic life with long lasting effects”, “very toxic to aquatic life with long lasting effects” or

deleted

“toxic to aquatic life with long lasting effects” pursuant to Regulation (EC) 1272/2008 on or along roads, railway lines, very permeable surfaces or other infrastructure close to surface water or groundwater or on sealed surfaces with a high risk of run-off into surface water or sewage systems.

Or. en

Amendment 2883

Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław Kalinowski, Joanna Kopcińska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

Proposal for a regulation

Annex III – point 14 – point a – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

the use of plant protection products in accordance with the restrictions indicated on the label in accordance with Article 31, point (4)(a) of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009, while giving preference to plant protection products that are not classified as “(very) persistent”, “(very) bioaccumulative”,

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 2884

Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław Kalinowski, Joanna Kopcińska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

Proposal for a regulation

Annex III – point 14 – point a – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

“very toxic to aquatic life with long lasting effects”, “toxic to aquatic life with long lasting effects” or “harmful to aquatic life with long lasting effects” pursuant to Regulation (EC) No

deleted

1272/2008¹¹⁰ or containing priority substances included in the list adopted by the Commission in accordance with Article 16 of Directive 2000/60/EC implemented via Directives 2008/105/EC and 2013/39/EU, or pesticides having been identified as river basin specific pollutants under Annex V, point 1.2.6 of Directive 2000/60/EC, in particular those affecting water used for the abstraction of drinking water in accordance with Article 7 of Directive 2000/60/EC and Directive (EU) 2020/2184;

¹¹⁰ **Regulation (EC) No 1272/2008 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 16 December 2008 on classification, labelling and packaging of substances and mixtures, amending and repealing Directives 67/548/EEC and 1999/45/EC, and amending Regulation (EC) No 1907/2006 (OJ L 353, 31.12.2008, p. 1).**

Or. en

Amendment 2885

Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław Kalinowski, Joanna Kopcińska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

Proposal for a regulation

Annex III – point 14 – point d

Text proposed by the Commission

(d) use of other mitigation measures which minimise the risk of off-site pollution caused by spray drift, drain-flow and run-off, including in particular mandatory buffer zones adjacent to surface waters courses and groundwater and aquifers;

Amendment

(d) use of other mitigation measures which minimise the **potential** risk of off-site pollution caused by spray drift, drain-flow and run-off, including in particular mandatory buffer zones adjacent to surface waters courses and groundwater and aquifers;

Or. en

Amendment 2886

Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław Kalinowski, Joanna Kopcińska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

Proposal for a regulation

Annex III – point 14 – point e

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(e) how to comply with restrictions set out in Regulation (EC) 1107/2009 for minimising or substituting uses of the plant protection products classified as “harmful to aquatic life with long lasting effects”, “very toxic to aquatic life with long lasting effects” or “toxic to aquatic life with long lasting effects” pursuant to Regulation (EC) No 1272/2008, on or along roads, railway lines, very permeable surfaces or other infrastructure close to surface water or groundwater or on sealed surfaces with a high risk of run-off into surface water or sewage systems.

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 2887

Alexander Bernhuber, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk

Proposal for a regulation

Annex III a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Training subjects referred to in Article 25.

1. All relevant legislation regarding pesticides and their use.

2. The existence and risks of illegal (counterfeit) plant protection products, and the methods to identify such products.

3. The hazards and risks associated with pesticides, and how to identify and control them, in particular:

(a) risks to humans (operators, residents,

bystanders, people entering treated areas and those handling or eating treated items) and how factors such as smoking exacerbate these risks;

(b)symptoms of pesticide poisoning and first aid measures;

(c)risks to non-target plants, beneficial insects, wildlife, biodiversity and the environment in general.

4.Notions on integrated pest management strategies and techniques, integrated crop management strategies and techniques, organic farming principles, biological pest control methods, information on the general principles and crop or sector-specific guidelines for integrated pest management.

5.Initiation to comparative assessment at user level to help professional users make the most appropriate choices on pesticides with the least side effects on human health, non-target organisms and the environment among all authorised products for a given pest problem, in a given situation.

6.Measures to minimise risks to humans, non-target organisms and the environment: safe working practices for storing, handling and mixing pesticides, and disposing of empty packaging, other contaminated materials and surplus pesticides (including tank mixes), whether in concentrate or dilute form; recommended way to control operator exposure (personal protection equipment).

7.Risk-based approaches which take into account the local water extraction variables such as climate, soil and crop types, and relieves.

8.Procedures for preparing pesticide application equipment for work, including its calibration, and for its operation with minimum risks to the user, other humans, non-target animal and plant species, biodiversity and the environment,

including water resources.

9. Use of pesticide application equipment and its maintenance, and specific spraying techniques (e.g. low-volume spraying and low-drift nozzles), as well as the objectives of the technical check of sprayers in use and ways to improve spray quality. Specific risks linked to use of handheld pesticide application equipment or knapsack sprayers and the relevant risk management measures.

10. Emergency action to protect human health, the environment including water resources in case of accidental spillage and contamination and extreme weather events that would result in pesticide leaching risks.

11. Special care in sensitive areas.

12. Health monitoring and access facilities to report on any incidents or suspected incidents.

13. Record keeping of any use of pesticides, in accordance with the relevant legislation.

Or. en

Amendment 2888

Alexander Bernhuber, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk

Proposal for a regulation

Annex IV

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

[...]

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 2889

Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław Kalinowski, Joanna Kopcińska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

Proposal for a regulation
Annex IV – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

The application equipment in professional use shall function reliably ***and be used only in accordance with its manual of operation for its intended purpose ensuring that plant protection products can be accurately applied in line with good agricultural practice (GAP) as defined in Article 3(2), point (a), of Regulation (EC) 396/2005 of the European Parliament and the Council¹¹¹*** .

¹¹¹ Regulation (EC) No 396/2005 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 23 February 2005 on maximum residue levels of pesticides in or on food and feed of plant and animal origin and amending Council Directive 91/414/EEC (OJ L 70, 16.3.2005, p. 1).

Amendment

The application equipment in professional use shall function reliably.

¹¹¹ Regulation (EC) No 396/2005 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 23 February 2005 on maximum residue levels of pesticides in or on food and feed of plant and animal origin and amending Council Directive 91/414/EEC (OJ L 70, 16.3.2005, p. 1).

Or. en

Amendment 2890

Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław Kalinowski, Joanna Kopcińska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

Proposal for a regulation
Annex IV – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

The equipment shall be in such a condition to allow it to be filled and emptied safely, easily and completely and to prevent any leakage of either spray solution or concentrated product. It shall permit easy and thorough cleaning. It shall also allow for safe operation, and be capable of being immediately stopped from the position of the operator. ***It shall be simple to perform any necessary adjustments. Such***

Amendment

The equipment shall be in such a condition to allow it to be filled and emptied safely, easily and completely and to prevent any leakage of either spray solution or concentrated product. It shall permit easy and thorough cleaning. It shall also allow for safe operation, and be capable of being immediately stopped from the position of the operator.

adjustments shall be accurate and capable of being reproduced.

Or. en

Amendment 2891

Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław Kalinowski, Joanna Kopcińska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

Proposal for a regulation

Annex IV – paragraph 4 – Part 4 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Agitation or mixing devices shall ensure a proper recirculation ***in order to achieve an even concentration of the whole volume*** of the liquid spray mixture in the tank.

Amendment

Agitation or mixing devices shall ensure a proper recirculation of the liquid spray mixture in the tank.

Or. en

Amendment 2892

Sylvia Limmer

Proposal for a regulation

Annex IV – paragraph 4 – Part 5 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Die Spritztanks und -behälter (einschließlich Füllstandsanzeige, Einfüllvorrichtungen, Filter, Entleerungs- und Ausspülsysteme und Mischvorrichtungen) müssen so funktionieren, dass unbeabsichtigtes Verschütten, ungleichmäßige Konzentrationsverteilungen, eine Exposition des Bedieners und Restmengen weitestgehend vermieden werden.

Amendment

entfällt

Or. de

Amendment 2893

Anna Zalewska, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Krzysztof Jurgiel, Jarosław Kalinowski, Joanna Kopcińska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

Proposal for a regulation

Annex IV – paragraph 4 – Part 6 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

All devices for measuring, switching on and off and adjusting pressure or flow rate shall be properly calibrated and work correctly. ***The controls to be operated during the application operation shall be operable from the operator's position, the necessary instruments to control the operation shall be present and accurate and the instrument displays shall be readable from the operator's position.*** For equipment to apply liquid products, pressure adjustment devices shall maintain a constant working pressure at constant revolutions of the pump, in order to ensure that a stable volume application rate is applied. Additional equipment to dose or inject plant protection products shall function accurately and correctly.

Amendment

All devices for measuring, switching on and off and adjusting pressure or flow rate shall be properly calibrated and work correctly. For equipment to apply liquid products, pressure adjustment devices shall maintain a constant working pressure at constant revolutions of the pump, in order to ensure that a stable volume application rate is applied. Additional equipment to dose or inject plant protection products shall function accurately and correctly.

Or. en

Amendment 2894

Alexander Bernhuber, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk

Proposal for a regulation

Annex IV a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Health and safety and environmental requirements relating to the inspection of pesticide application equipment

The inspection of pesticide application equipment shall cover all aspects important to achieve a high level of safety and protection of human health and the

environment. Full effectiveness of the application operation should be ensured by proper performance of devices and functions of the equipment to guarantee the following objectives are met.

The pesticide application equipment must function reliably and be used properly for its intended purpose ensuring that pesticides can be accurately dosed and distributed. The equipment must be in such a condition as to be filled and emptied safely, easily and completely and prevent leakage of pesticides. It must permit easy and thorough cleaning. It must also ensure safe operations, and be controlled and capable of being immediately stopped from the operator's seat. Where necessary, adjustments must be simple, accurate and capable of being reproduced.

Particular attention should be paid to:

1. Power transmission parts:

The power take-off driveshaft guard and the guard of the power input connection shall be fitted and in good condition and the protective devices and any moving or rotating power transmission parts shall not be affected in their function so as to ensure protection of the operator.

2. Pump:

The pump capacity shall be suited to the needs of the equipment and the pump must function properly in order to ensure a stable and reliable application rate. There shall be no leakages from the pump.

3. Agitation:

Agitation devices must ensure a proper recirculation in order to achieve an even concentration of the whole volume of the liquid spray mixture in the tank.

4. Spray liquid tank:

Spray tanks including indicator of tank content, filling devices, strainers and

filters, emptying and rinsing systems, and mixing devices shall operate in such a way as to minimise accidental spillage, uneven concentration distribution, operator exposure and residual content.

5. Measuring systems, control and regulation systems: All devices for measuring, switching on and off and adjusting pressure and/or flow rate shall be properly calibrated and work correctly and there shall be no leakages. Control of pressure and operation of pressure adjustment devices shall be easily possible during application. Pressure adjustment devices shall maintain a constant working pressure at constant revolutions of the pump, in order to ensure that a stable volume application rate is applied.

6. Pipes and hoses: Pipes and hoses shall be in proper condition to avoid disturbance of liquid flow or accidental spillage in case of failure. There shall be no leakages from pipes or hoses when run with the maximum obtainable pressure for the system.

7. Filtering: In order to avoid turbulence and heterogeneity in spray patterns, filters shall be in good condition and the mesh size of the filters shall correspond to the size of nozzles fitted on the sprayer. Where applicable the filter blockage indication system shall operate correctly.

8. Spray boom (for equipment spraying pesticides by means of a horizontally positioned boom, located close to the crop or the material to be treated).

The spray boom must be in good condition and stable in all directions. The fixation and adjustment systems and the devices for damping unintended movements and slope compensation must work correctly.

9. Nozzles: Nozzles must work properly to control dripping when spraying stops. To ensure homogeneity of the spray pattern, the flow rate of each individual nozzle

shall not deviate significantly from the data of the flow rate tables provided by the manufacturer.

10. Distribution: The transverse and vertical (in case of applications in vertical crops) distribution of the spray mixture in the target area must be even, where relevant.

11. Blower (for equipment distributing pesticides by air assistance):

The blower must be in good condition and must ensure a stable and reliable air stream.

Or. en

Amendment 2895

Eric Andrieu

Proposal for a regulation

Annex VI – Section 2 – point 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. This indicator shall be based on statistics on the quantities of active substances placed on the market in plant protection products under Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009, provided to the Commission (Eurostat) under Annex I of Regulation (EC) No 1185/2009. Those data are categorised into 4 groups.

Amendment

1. This indicator shall be based on statistics on the quantities of active substances placed on the market in plant protection products under Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 ***in combination with the mean application rates of chemical active substances based on their representative uses "1a" which have been evaluated under Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009***, provided to the Commission (Eurostat) under Annex I of Regulation (EC) No 1185/2009. Those data are categorised into 4 groups.

Or. en

Amendment 2896

Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan

Proposal for a regulation
Annex VI – Section 2 – point 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. This indicator shall be based on statistics on the quantities of active substances placed on the market in plant protection products under Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009, provided to the Commission (Eurostat) under Annex I of Regulation (EC) No 1185/2009. Those data are categorised into 4 groups.

Amendment

1. This indicator shall be based on statistics on the quantities of active substances placed on the market in plant protection products under Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 ***in combination with the mean application rates of chemical active substances based on their representative uses, which have been evaluated under Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009***, provided to the Commission (Eurostat) under Annex I of Regulation (EC) No 1185/2009. Those data are categorised into 4 groups.

Or. en

Amendment 2897

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation
Annex VI – Section 2 – point 2 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) harmonised risk indicator 1 shall be calculated on the basis of the categorisation of all active substances into the **4** groups set out in Table 1;

Amendment

(a) harmonised risk indicator 1 shall be calculated on the basis of the categorisation of all active substances into the **5** groups set out in Table 1;

Or. en

Amendment 2898

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation
Annex VI – Section 2 – point 2 – point e

Text proposed by the Commission

(e) the active substances in group 4 shall be those not approved under

Amendment

(e) the active substances in group 4 shall be those not approved under

Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009, and therefore not listed in the Annex to Implementing Regulation (EU) No 540/2011;

Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009, and therefore not listed in the Annex to Implementing Regulation (EU) No 540/2011. ***These shall not include active substances which are expected to be approved as biological control as defined in this Regulation. This group will only apply until 31 January 2023, to ensure that the reference years in the past can still be calculated in a harmonised manner;***

Or. en

Amendment 2899

Pascal Canfin, Martin Hojsík, Catherine Chabaud, Max Orville

Proposal for a regulation

Annex VI – Section 2 – point 2 – point e

Text proposed by the Commission

(e) the active substances in group 4 shall be those not approved under Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009, and therefore not listed in the Annex to Implementing Regulation (EU) No 540/2011;

Amendment

(e) the active substances in group 4 shall be those not approved under Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009, and therefore not listed in the Annex to Implementing Regulation (EU) No 540/2011. ***Active substances in group 4 are assigned the weighting factor corresponding to their group assignment before the loss of approval.***

Or. en

Amendment 2900

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation

Annex VI – Section 2 – point 2 – point e a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(e a) the chemical active substances in group 5 shall be those not approved under Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009, and

therefore not listed in the Annex to Implementing Regulation (EU) No 540/2011. These shall not include active substances which are expected to be approved as biological control as defined in this Regulation. This group will only apply from 1 February 2023, to take into account that the Court ruling in Case C-162/21 clarified that no derogations may be given for the use of pesticides which have been banned, or explicitly not authorised, for use in the EU. Chemical active substances, for which a derogation is given after after February 2023 therefore cannot be judged with one risk factor but shall be attributed the weighting factor they are estimated to receive after an application request has been granted;

Or. en

Amendment 2901

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation

Annex VI – Section 2 – point 3 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Harmonised risk indicator 1 shall be calculated by multiplying the annual quantities of active substances in plant protection products placed on the market for each group in Table 1 by the relevant hazard weighting set out in row (iii), followed by the aggregation of the results of these calculations.

Amendment

Harmonised risk indicator 1 shall be calculated by multiplying the annual quantities of active substances in plant protection products placed on the market for each group in Table 1 by the relevant hazard weighting set out in row (iii) **and shall take into account the area on which it is used and the maximum application rate given in the legal requirements of the approval of the pesticide**, followed by the aggregation of the results of these calculations.

Or. en

Amendment 2902

Eric Andrieu

Proposal for a regulation

Annex VI – Section 2 – point 3 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Harmonised risk indicator 1 shall be calculated by **multiplying** the annual quantities of active substances in plant protection products placed on the market for each group in Table 1 by the relevant hazard weighting set out in row (iii), followed by the aggregation of the results of these calculations.

Amendment

Harmonised risk indicator 1 shall be calculated by **dividing** the annual quantities of active substances in plant protection products placed on the market **by the mean application rate per hectare of its representative uses "1a" and multiplying the results** for each group in Table 1 by the relevant hazard weighting set out in row (iii), followed by the aggregation of the results of these calculations.

Or. en

Amendment 2903

Maria Arena, Biljana Borzan

Proposal for a regulation

Annex VI – Section 2 – point 3 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Harmonised risk indicator 1 shall be calculated by **multiplying** the annual quantities of active substances in plant protection products placed on the market for each group in Table 1 by the relevant hazard weighting set out in row (iii), followed by the aggregation of the results of these calculations.

Amendment

Harmonised risk indicator 1 shall be calculated by **dividing** the annual quantities of active substances in plant protection products placed on the market **by the mean application rate per hectare of its representative uses and multiplying the results** for each group in Table 1 by the relevant hazard weighting set out in row (iii), followed by the aggregation of the results of these calculations.

Or. en

Amendment 2904

Inese Vaidere

Proposal for a regulation

Annex VI – Section 2 – point 3 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Harmonised risk indicator 1 shall be calculated by multiplying the annual quantities of active substances in plant protection products placed on the market for each group in Table 1 by the relevant hazard weighting set out in row (iii), followed by the aggregation of the results of these calculations.

Amendment

Harmonised risk indicator 1 shall be calculated by multiplying the annual quantities of active substances in plant protection products placed on the market for each group in Table 1 by the relevant hazard weighting set out in row (iii), followed by the aggregation of the results of these calculations **and divided by the utilised agricultural area (UAA) (iv).**

Or. en

Justification

Sales intensity (sales per ha of utilised agricultural area) currently can be considered the most objective measure of the use and risk of plant protection products. It characterises the current performance of Member States in comparison to the EU average intensity. In contrast, if the original text as proposed by the Commission was maintained, the performance measurement would be based on the absolute sales volume of plant protection products, not taking into account area size changes in the agricultural area in Member States, thus neglecting the actual amount of active substances sold and used per hectare. Member States with low sales and consumption intensity but increasing agricultural areas would be put into unjustified disadvantage.

Amendment 2905

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation

Annex VI – Section 2 – Table 1 – Row 2 *Text proposed by the Commission*

(i)	Low-risk active substances which are approved or deemed to be approved under Article 22 of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009, and which are listed in Part D of the Annex to Implementing Regulation (EU) No 540/2011	Active substances approved or deemed to be approved under Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009, and not falling in other categories, and which are listed in Parts A and B of the Annex to Implementing Regulation (EU) No 540/2011	Active substances that are approved as candidates for substitution in accordance with Article 24 of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 and listed in Part E of the Annex to Implementing Regulation (EU) No 540/2011, or that are listed in the Annex to	Active substances which are not approved under Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009, and therefore which are not listed in the Annex to Implementing Regulation (EU) No 540/2011

			Implementing Regulation (EU) 2015/408.	

Amendment

(i)	Low-risk chemical active substances which are approved or deemed to be approved under Article 22 of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009, and which are listed in Part D of the Annex to Implementing Regulation (EU) No 540/2011	Chemical active substances approved or deemed to be approved under Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009, and not falling in other categories, and which are listed in Parts A and B of the Annex to Implementing Regulation (EU) No 540/2011	Chemical active substances that are approved as candidates for substitution in accordance with Article 24 of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 and listed in Part E of the Annex to Implementing Regulation (EU) No 540/2011, or that are listed in the Annex to Implementing Regulation (EU) 2015/408.	UNTIL 31 JANUARY 2023: Chemical active substances which are not approved under Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009, and therefore which are not listed in the Annex to Implementing Regulation (EU) No 540/2011. <i>These shall not include active substances which are expected to be approved as biological control as defined in this Regulation.</i>	FROM 1 FEBRUARY 2023: Chemical active substances which are not approved under Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009, and therefore which are not listed in the Annex to Implementing Regulation (EU) No 540/2011. <i>These shall not include active substances which are expected to be approved as biological control as defined in this Regulation. In accordance with the ruling of 19 January 2023 of the Court of Justice in case (Case C-162/21), these shall not include active substances which are prohibited or explicitly non-approved for use in the EU.</i>

Or. en

Amendment 2906
Günther Sidl

Proposal for a regulation
Annex VI – Section 2 – Table 1 – Row 3*Text proposed by the Commission*

(ii)	Hazard weightings applicable to quantities of active substances placed on the market in products authorised under Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009

Amendment

(ii)	Hazard weightings applicable to quantities of active substances placed on the market in products authorised under Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 <i>standardized by the mean application rate per hectare of its representative uses evaluated in the approval procedure under Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009</i>

Or. en

Amendment 2907
Maria Arena

Proposal for a regulation

Annex VI – Section 2 – Table 1 – Row 3*Text proposed by the Commission*

(ii)	Hazard weightings applicable to quantities of active substances placed on the market in products authorised under Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009

Amendment

(ii)	Hazard weightings applicable to quantities of active substances placed on the market in products authorised under Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 <i>standardized by the mean application rate per hectare of its representative uses evaluated in the approval procedure under Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009</i>

Or. en

Amendment 2908
Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation

Annex VI – Section 2 – Table 1 – Row 3*Text proposed by the Commission*

(ii)	Hazard weightings applicable to quantities of active substances placed on the market in products authorised under Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009

Amendment

(ii)	Hazard Weightings applicable to quantities of chemical active substances placed on the market in products authorised under Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009, <i>standardised on the basis of the area they are used on and the maximum application rates given in the legal requirements of their approval</i>

Or. en

Amendment 2909
Sarah Wiener

Proposal for a regulation**Annex VI – Section 2 – Table 1 – Row 4***Text proposed by the Commission*

(iii)	1	8	16	64

Amendment

(iii)	1	8	16	*
*(the weighing factor associated with the last group assignment before loss of approval)				

*Justification**Correction of AM 265 of the draft report*

en

Amendment 2910**Pascal Canfin, Martin Hojsík****Proposal for a regulation****Annex VI – Section 2 – Table 1 – Row 4***Text proposed by the Commission*

(iii)	1	8	16	64

Amendment

(iii)	1	8	16	1, 8 or 16

Or. en

Amendment 2911**Ulrike Müller****Proposal for a regulation****Annex VI – Section 2 – Table 1 – Row 4***Text proposed by the Commission*

(iii)	1	8	16	64

Amendment

(iii)	1	8	16	128

Or. en

Justification

The primary objective of this Regulation should be to reduce risks associated with plant protection products. In general, active substances not or no longer approved can be assumed to be associated with relatively highest risks. In the past, the use of emergency authorisations

under Art. 53 of Regulation 1107/2009 has been increasingly used and has been subject to controversies. The reduction of risks associated with the use of plant protection products should therefore tackle the use of active substances not or no longer approved with priority, as this can be expected to make a significant contribution to reduction targets. In order to disincentivise the use of emergency authorisations, it therefore is appropriate to increase the weighing factor of category 4 substances.

Amendment 2912
Günther Sidl

Proposal for a regulation

Annex VI – Section 2 – Table 1 – Row 4 *Text proposed by the Commission*

(iii)	1	8	16	64

Amendment

(iii)	1	8	16	*
<i>*the weighing factor associated with the last group assignment before loss of approval</i>				

Or. en

Amendment 2913
Maria Arena

Proposal for a regulation

Annex VI – Section 2 – Table 1 – Row 4 *Text proposed by the Commission*

(iii)	1	8	16	64

Amendment

(iii)	1	8	16	*
<i>*the weighing factor associated with the last group assignment before loss of approval</i>				

Or. en

Amendment 2914
Eric Andrieu

Proposal for a regulation

Annex VI – Section 2 – Table 1 – Row 4 *Text proposed by the Commission*

(iii)	1	8	16	64

Amendment

(iii)	1	8	16	*
<i>*the weighing factor associated with the substance before the end of the approval</i>				

Justification

With a weighting factor at 64, the indicator will change every time a substance is no more approved, leading the indicator to be re-based ex post every time a substance is no more approved. That way the trajectory of the indicator would become unreadable

Or. en

Amendment 2915

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation

Annex VI – Section 2 – Table 1 – Row 4*Text proposed by the Commission*

(iii)	1	8	16	64

Amendment

(iii)	1	8	16	64	*
<i>* the weighing factor they are estimated to receive after an application request has been granted</i>					

Or. en

Amendment 2916

Inese Vaidere

Proposal for a regulation

Annex I – Section 2 – Table 1 – Row 4a (new)*Text proposed by the Commission*

Amendment

(iii a)	UAA (Utilised agricultural area)

Justification

Sales intensity (sales per ha of utilised agricultural area) currently can be considered the most objective measure of the use and risk of plant protection products. It characterises the current performance of Member States in comparison to the EU average intensity. In contrast, if the original text as proposed by the Commission was maintained, the performance measurement would be based on the absolute sales volume of plant protection products, not taking into account area size changes in the agricultural area in Member States, thus neglecting the actual amount of active substances sold and used per hectare. Member States with low sales and consumption intensity but increasing agricultural areas would be put into

unjustified disadvantage.

Or. en

Amendment 2917

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation

Annex VI – Section 2 – point 4

Text proposed by the Commission

4. The baseline for harmonised risk indicator 1 shall be set at 100, and is equal to the average result of the above calculation for the period **2011**-2013.

Amendment

4. The baseline for harmonised risk indicator 1 shall be set at 100, and is equal to the average result of the above calculation for the period 2013-**2015**.

Or. en

Amendment 2918

Alexander Bernhuber, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk

Proposal for a regulation

Annex VI – Section 2 – point 6

Text proposed by the Commission

6. The Commission shall calculate and publish the results of harmonised risk indicator 1 at Union level in accordance with Article 35(2) of this Regulation for each calendar year **and at the latest 20 months after the end of the year for which harmonised risk indicator 1 is being calculated.**

Amendment

6. The Commission shall calculate and publish the results of harmonised risk indicator 1 at Union level in accordance with Article 35(2) of this Regulation for each calendar year.

Or. en

Amendment 2919

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation
Annex VI – Section 2 – point 6

Text proposed by the Commission

6. The Commission shall calculate and publish the results of harmonised risk indicator 1 at Union level in accordance with Article 35(2) of this Regulation for each calendar year and at the latest **20** months after the end of the year for which harmonised risk indicator 1 is being calculated.

Amendment

6. The Commission shall calculate and publish the results of harmonised risk indicator 1 at Union level in accordance with Article 35(2) of this Regulation for each calendar year and at the latest **10** months after the end of the year for which harmonised risk indicator 1 is being calculated.

Or. en

Amendment 2920

Alexander Bernhuber, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk

Proposal for a regulation
Annex VI – Section 2 – point 7

Text proposed by the Commission

7. The Member States shall calculate and publish the results of harmonised risk indicator 1 at national level in accordance with Article 35(3) of this Regulation for each calendar year **and at the latest 20 months after the end of the year for which harmonised risk indicator 1 is being calculated.**

Amendment

7. The Member States shall calculate and publish the results of harmonised risk indicator 1 at national level in accordance with Article 35(3) of this Regulation for each calendar year.

Or. en

Amendment 2921

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation
Annex VI – Section 2 – point 7

Text proposed by the Commission

7. The Member States shall calculate

Amendment

7. The Member States shall calculate

and publish the results of harmonised risk indicator 1 at national level in accordance with Article 35(3) of this Regulation for each calendar year and at the latest **20** months after the end of the year for which harmonised risk indicator 1 is being calculated.

and publish the results of harmonised risk indicator 1 at national level in accordance with Article 35(3) of this Regulation for each calendar year and at the latest **10** months after the end of the year for which harmonised risk indicator 1 is being calculated.

Or. en

Amendment 2922
Sarah Wiener, Jutta Paulus

Proposal for a regulation
Annex VI – Section 2 – point 7 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

7 a. With effect from 1 January 2028, the methodology of harmonised risk indicator 1 shall be replaced by a methodology of harmonised risk indicator which builds upon real use data as referred to in Article 35 (4).

Or. en

Amendment 2923
Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation
Annex VI – Section 3 – point 2 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(a) harmonised risk indicator 2 shall be based on the number of authorisations granted under Article 53 of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009, and it shall be calculated on the basis of the categorisation of active substances into the **4** groups set out in Table 2 of this Section;

(a) harmonised risk indicator 2 shall be based on the number of authorisations granted under Article 53 of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009, and it shall be calculated on the basis of the categorisation of active substances into the **5** groups set out in Table 2 of this Section;

Or. en

Amendment 2924

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation

Annex VI – Section 3 – point 2 – point e

Text proposed by the Commission

(e) the active substances in group 4 shall be those not approved under Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009, and therefore not listed in the Annex to Implementing Regulation (EU) No 540/2011;

Amendment

(e) the active substances in group 4 shall be those not approved under Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009, and therefore not listed in the Annex to Implementing Regulation (EU) No 540/2011. ***These shall not include active substances which are expected to be approved as biological control as defined in this Regulation. This group will only apply until 31 January 2023, to ensure that the reference years in the past can still be calculated in a harmonised manner;***

Or. en

Amendment 2925

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation

Annex VI – Section 3 – point 2 – point e a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(e a) the chemical active substances in group 5 shall be those not approved under Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009, and therefore not listed in the Annex to Implementing Regulation (EU) No 540/2011. These shall not include active substances which are expected to be approved as biological control as defined in this Regulation. This group will only apply from 1 February 2023, to take into account that the Court ruling in Case C-162/21 clarified that no derogations may be given for the use of pesticides which

have been banned, or explicitly not authorised, for use in the EU. Chemical active substances, for which a derogation is given after after February 2023 therefore cannot be judged with one risk factor but shall be attributed the weighting factor they are estimated to receive after an application request has been granted;

Or. en

Amendment 2926

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation

Annex VI – Section 3 – point 3 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Harmonised risk indicator 2 shall be calculated by multiplying the number of authorisations granted for plant protection products under Article 53 of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 for each group in Table 2 by the relevant hazard weighting set out in row (iii), followed by the aggregation of the results of these calculations.

Amendment

Harmonised risk indicator 2 shall be calculated by multiplying the number of authorisations granted for plant protection products under Article 53 of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 for each group in Table 2 by the relevant hazard weighting set out in row (iii), **and shall take into account the area on which it is used and the maximum application rate given in the legal requirements of the approval of the pesticide**, followed by the aggregation of the results of these calculations.

Or. en

Amendment 2927

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation

Annex VI – Section 3 – Table 2 – Row 2

(i)	Low-risk active substances which are approved or deemed to be approved under Article 22 of	Active substances approved or deemed to be approved under Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009, and not	Active substances that are approved as candidates for substitution in accordance with Article 24 of Regulation (EC) No	Active substances which are not approved under

	Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009, and which are listed in Part D of the Annex to Implementing Regulation (EU) No 540/2011	falling in other categories, and which are listed in Parts A and B of the Annex to Implementing Regulation (EU) No 540/2011	1107/2009 and listed in Part E of the Annex to Implementing Regulation (EU) No 540/2011, or that are listed in the Annex to Implementing Regulation (EU) 2015/408.	Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009, and therefore which are not listed in the Annex to Implementing Regulation (EU) No 540/2011

Amendment

(i)	Low-risk chemical active substances which are approved or deemed to be approved under Article 22 of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009, and which are listed in Part D of the Annex to Implementing Regulation (EU) No 540/2011	Chemical active substances approved or deemed to be approved under Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009, and not falling in other categories, and which are listed in Parts A and B of the Annex to Implementing Regulation (EU) No 540/2011	Chemical active substances that are approved as candidates for substitution in accordance with Article 24 of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 and listed in Part E of the Annex to Implementing Regulation (EU) No 540/2011, or that are listed in the Annex to Implementing Regulation (EU) 2015/408.	UNTIL 31 JANUARY 2023: Chemical active substances which are not approved under Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009, and therefore which are not listed in the Annex to Implementing Regulation (EU) No 540/2011. <i>These shall not include active substances which are expected to be approved as biological control as defined in this Regulation.</i>	FROM 1 FEBRUARY 2023: Chemical active substances which are not approved under Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009, and therefore which are not listed in the Annex to Implementing Regulation (EU) No 540/2011. <i>These shall not include active substances which are expected to be approved as biological control as defined in this Regulation.</i> <i>In accordance with the ruling of 19 January 2023 of the Court of Justice in case (Case C-162/21), these shall not include active substances which are prohibited or explicitly non-approved for use in the EU.</i>

Or. en

Amendment 2928
Günther Sidl

Proposal for a regulation

Annex VI – Section 3 – Table 2 – Row 3*Text proposed by the Commission*

(ii)	Hazard weightings applicable to quantities of active substances placed on the market in products authorised under Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009

Amendment

(ii)	Hazard weightings applicable to quantities of active substances placed on the market in products authorised under Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 <i>standardized by the mean application rate per hectare of its representative uses evaluated in the approval procedure under Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009</i>

Or. en

Amendment 2929

Maria Arena

Proposal for a regulation

Annex VI – Section 3 – Table 2 – Row 3*Text proposed by the Commission*

(ii)	Hazard weightings applicable to quantities of active substances placed on the market in products authorised under Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009

Amendment

(ii)	Hazard weightings applicable to quantities of active substances placed on the market in products authorised under Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 <i>standardized by the mean application rate per hectare of its representative uses evaluated in the approval procedure under Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009</i>

Or. en

Amendment 2930

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation

Annex VI – Section 3 – Table 2 – Row 3*Text proposed by the Commission*

(ii)	Hazard weightings applicable to quantities of active substances placed on the market in products authorised under Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009

Amendment

(ii)	Hazard Weightings applicable to quantities of chemical active substances placed on the market in products authorised under Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009, <i>standardised on the basis of the area they are used on and the maximum application rates given in the legal requirements of their</i>

	<i>approval</i>

Or. en

Amendment 2931

Sarah Wiener

Proposal for a regulation

Annex VI – Section 3 – Table 2 – Row 4*Text proposed by the Commission*

(iii)	1	8	16	64

Amendment

(iii)	1	8	16	*
<i>*(the weighing factor associated with the last group assignment before loss of approval)</i>				

Justification

Correction of AM 267 of the draft report

en

Amendment 2932

Pascal Canfin, Martin Hojsik

Proposal for a regulation

Annex VI – Section 3 – Table 2 – Row 4*Text proposed by the Commission*

(iii)	1	8	16	64

Amendment

(iii)	1	8	16	1, 8 or 16

Or. en

Amendment 2933

Ulrike Müller

Proposal for a regulation

Annex VI – Section 3 – Table 2 – Row 4*Text proposed by the Commission*

(iii)	1	8	16	64

Amendment

(iii)	1	8	16	128

Justification

The primary objective of this Regulation should be to reduce risks associated with plant protection products. In general, active substances not or no longer approved can be assumed to be associated with relatively highest risks. In the past, the use of emergency authorisations under Art. 53 of Regulation 1107/2009 has been increasingly used and has been subject to controversies. The reduction of risks associated with the use of plant protection products should therefore tackle the use of active substances not or no longer approved with priority, as this can be expected to make a significant contribution to reduction targets. In order to disincentivise the use of emergency authorisations, it therefore is appropriate to increase the weighing factor of category 4 substances.

Amendment 2934 Günther Sidl

Proposal for a regulation

Annex VI – Section 3 – Table 2 – Row 4 *Text proposed by the Commission*

(iii)	1	8	16	64

Amendment

(iii)	1	8	16	*
<i>*the weighing factor associated with the last group assignment before loss of approval</i>				

Amendment 2935 Maria Arena

Proposal for a regulation

Annex VI – Section 3 – Table 2 – Row 4 *Text proposed by the Commission*

(iii)	1	8	16	64

Amendment

(iii)	1	8	16	*
<i>*the weighing factor associated with the last group assignment before loss of approval</i>				

Amendment 2936**Eric Andrieu****Proposal for a regulation****Annex VI – Section 3 – Table 2 – Row 4***Text proposed by the Commission*

(iii)	1	8	16	64

Amendment

(iii)	1	8	16	*
<i>*the weighing factor associated with the substance before the end of the approval</i>				

Justification

With a weighting factor at 64, the indicator will change every time a substance is no more approved, leading the indicator to be re-based ex post every time a substance is no more approved. That way the trajectory of the indicator would become unreadable

Or. en

Amendment 2937**Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace****Proposal for a regulation****Annex VI – Section 3 – Table 2 – Row 4***Text proposed by the Commission*

(iii)	1	8	16	64

Amendment

(iii)	1	8	16	64	*
<i>* the weighing factor they are estimated to receive after an application request has been granted</i>					

Or. en

Amendment 2938**Alexander Bernhuber, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk****Proposal for a regulation****Annex VI – Section 3 – point 6***Text proposed by the Commission*

6. The Commission shall calculate and publish the results of harmonised risk

Amendment

6. The Commission shall calculate and publish the results of harmonised risk

indicator 2 at Union level in accordance with Article 35(2) of this Regulation for each calendar year ***and at the latest 20 months after the end of the year for which harmonised risk indicator 2 is being calculated.***

indicator 2 at Union level in accordance with Article 35(2) of this Regulation for each calendar year.

Or. en

Amendment 2939

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation

Annex VI – Section 3 – point 6

Text proposed by the Commission

6. The Commission shall calculate and publish the results of harmonised risk indicator 2 at Union level in accordance with Article 35(2) of this Regulation for each calendar year and at the latest **20** months after the end of the year for which harmonised risk indicator 2 is being calculated.

Amendment

6. The Commission shall calculate and publish the results of harmonised risk indicator 2 at Union level in accordance with Article 35(2) of this Regulation for each calendar year and at the latest **10** months after the end of the year for which harmonised risk indicator 2 is being calculated.

Or. en

Amendment 2940

Alexander Bernhuber, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk

Proposal for a regulation

Annex VI – Section 3 – point 7

Text proposed by the Commission

7. The Member States shall calculate and publish the results of harmonised risk indicator 2 at national level in accordance with Article 35(3) of this Regulation for each calendar year ***and at the latest 20 months after the end of the year for which harmonised risk indicator 2 is being***

Amendment

7. The Member States shall calculate and publish the results of harmonised risk indicator 2 at national level in accordance with Article 35(3) of this Regulation for each calendar year.

calculated.

Or. en

Amendment 2941

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation

Annex VI – Section 3 – point 7

Text proposed by the Commission

7. The Member States shall calculate and publish the results of harmonised risk indicator 2 at national level in accordance with Article 35(3) of this Regulation for each calendar year and at the latest **20** months after the end of the year for which harmonised risk indicator 2 is being calculated.

Amendment

7. The Member States shall calculate and publish the results of harmonised risk indicator 2 at national level in accordance with Article 35(3) of this Regulation for each calendar year and at the latest **10** months after the end of the year for which harmonised risk indicator 2 is being calculated.

Or. en

Amendment 2942

Jan Huitema, Emma Wiesner, Erik Poulsen, Billy Kelleher, Asger Christensen

Proposal for a regulation

Annex VI – Section 3 – point 8

Text proposed by the Commission

8. With effect from 1 January 2027, the methodology of harmonised risk indicator 2 **shall** be replaced by the methodology of harmonised risk indicator 2a referred to in section 4 of this Annex.

Amendment

8. With effect from 1 January 2027, ***the Commission is empowered to adopt delegated acts in accordance with Article 40 to enable that*** the methodology of harmonised risk indicator 2 ***can*** be replaced by the methodology of harmonised risk indicator 2a referred to in section 4 of this Annex.

Or. en

Justification

Member States and the European Parliament should have the possibility to object to the new

methodology of harmonised risk indicator 2a if affects the ambition levels of the targets.

Amendment 2943

**Alexander Bernhuber, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Waldemar Tomaszewski,
Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk**

Proposal for a regulation

Annex VI – Section 3 – point 8

Text proposed by the Commission

8. With effect from 1 January 2027, the methodology of harmonised risk indicator 2 shall be replaced by the methodology of harmonised risk indicator 2a referred to in section 4 of this Annex.

Amendment

8. With effect from 1 January 2027, the methodology of harmonised risk indicator 2 shall be **eventually** replaced by the methodology of harmonised risk indicator 2a referred to in section 4 of this Annex.

Or. en

Amendment 2944

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation

Annex VI – Section 4 – point 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. This indicator shall be based on the number of authorisations granted for plant protection products under Article 53 of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009, and the extent of the areas treated under these authorisations, as communicated to the Commission in accordance with Article 53(1) of that Regulation.

Amendment

1. This indicator shall be based on the number of authorisations granted for plant protection products under Article 53 of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009, and the extent of the areas treated under these authorisations **and the maximum application rate given in the legal requirements of the approval of the pesticide**, as communicated to the Commission in accordance with Article 53(1) of that Regulation.

Or. en

Amendment 2945

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation

Annex VI – Section 4 – point 2 – point f

Text proposed by the Commission

(f) the active substances in group 4 shall be those not approved under Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009, and therefore not listed in the Annex to Implementing Regulation (EU) No 540/2011;

Amendment

(f) the active substances in group 4 shall be those not approved under Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009, and therefore not listed in the Annex to Implementing Regulation (EU) No 540/2011. ***These shall not include active substances which are expected to be approved as biological control as defined in this Regulation;***

Or. en

Amendment 2946

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation

Annex VI – Section 4 – point 3 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Harmonised risk indicator 2a shall be calculated by multiplying the number of authorisations granted for plant protection products under Article 53 of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 for each group in Table 3 by the relevant hazard weighting set out in row (iii), and by the areas treated under these authorisations, followed by the aggregation of the results of these calculations.

Amendment

Harmonised risk indicator 2a shall be calculated by multiplying the number of authorisations granted for plant protection products under Article 53 of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 for each group in Table 3 by the relevant hazard weighting set out in row (iii), and by the areas treated under these authorisations ***and the maximum application rate given in the legal requirements of the approval of the pesticide***, followed by the aggregation of the results of these calculations.

Or. en

Amendment 2947

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation
Annex VI – Section 4 – Table 3 – Row 2

Text proposed by the Commission

(i)	Low-risk active substances which are approved or deemed to be approved under Article 22 of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009, and which are listed in Part D of the Annex to Implementing Regulation (EU) No 540/2011	Active substances approved or deemed to be approved under Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009, and not falling in other categories, and which are listed in Parts A and B of the Annex to Implementing Regulation (EU) No 540/2011	Active substances that are approved as candidates for substitution in accordance with Article 24 of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 and listed in Part E of the Annex to Implementing Regulation (EU) No 540/2011, or that are listed in the Annex to Implementing Regulation (EU) 2015/408.	Active substances which are not approved under Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009, and therefore which are not listed in the Annex to Implementing Regulation (EU) No 540/2011

Amendment

(i)	Low-risk chemical active substances which are approved or deemed to be approved under Article 22 of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009, and which are listed in Part D of the Annex to Implementing Regulation (EU) No 540/2011	Chemical active substances approved or deemed to be approved under Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009, and not falling in other categories, and which are listed in Parts A and B of the Annex to Implementing Regulation (EU) No 540/2011	Chemical active substances that are approved as candidates for substitution in accordance with Article 24 of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 and listed in Part E of the Annex to Implementing Regulation (EU) No 540/2011, or that are listed in the Annex to Implementing Regulation (EU) 2015/408.	UNTIL 31 JANUARY 2023: Chemical active substances which are not approved under Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009, and therefore which are not listed in the Annex to Implementing Regulation (EU) No 540/2011. <i>These shall not include active substances which are expected to be approved as biological control as defined in this Regulation.</i>	FROM 1 FEBRUARY 2023: Chemical active substances which are not approved under Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009, and therefore which are not listed in the Annex to Implementing Regulation (EU) No 540/2011. <i>These shall not include active substances which are expected to be approved as biological control as defined in this Regulation.</i> <i>In accordance with the ruling of 19 January 2023 of the Court of Justice in case (Case C-162/21), these shall not</i>

					<i>include active substances which are prohibited or explicitly non-approved for use in the EU.</i>

Or. en

Amendment 2948
Günther Sidl

Proposal for a regulation

Annex VI – Section 4 – Table 3 – Row 3*Text proposed by the Commission*

(ii)	Hazard weightings applicable to quantities of active substances placed on the market in products authorised under Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009

Amendment

(ii)	Hazard weightings applicable to quantities of active substances placed on the market in products authorised under Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 <i>standardized by the mean application rate per hectare of its representative uses evaluated in the approval procedure under Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009</i>

Or. en

Amendment 2949
Maria Arena

Proposal for a regulation

Annex VI – Section 4 – Table 3 – Row 3*Text proposed by the Commission*

(ii)	Hazard weightings applicable to quantities of active substances placed on the market in products authorised under Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009

Amendment

(ii)	Hazard weightings applicable to quantities of active substances placed on the market in products authorised under Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 <i>standardized by the mean application rate per hectare of its representative uses evaluated in the approval procedure under Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009</i>

Or. en

Amendment 2950
Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation

Annex VI – Section 4 – Table 3 – Row 3*Text proposed by the Commission*

(ii)	Hazard weightings applicable to quantities of active substances placed on the market in products authorised under Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009

Amendment

(ii)	Hazard Weightings applicable to quantities of chemical active substances placed on the market in products authorised under Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009, <i>standardised on the basis of the area they are used on and the maximum application rates given in the legal requirements of their approval</i>

Or. en

Amendment 2951

Sarah Wiener

Proposal for a regulation

Annex VI – Section 4 – Table 3 – Row 4*Text proposed by the Commission*

(iii)	1	8	16	64

Amendment

(iii)	1	8	16	*
<i>*(the weighing factor associated with the last group assignment before loss of approval)</i>				

Justification

Correction of AM 269 of the draft report

en

Amendment 2952

Ulrike Müller

Proposal for a regulation

Annex VI – Section 4 – Table 3 – Row 4*Text proposed by the Commission*

(iii)	1	8	16	64

Amendment

(iii)	1	8	16	128

Or. en

Justification

The primary objective of this Regulation should be to reduce risks associated with plant protection products. In general, active substances not or no longer approved can be assumed to be associated with relatively highest risks. In the past, the use of emergency authorisations under Art. 53 of Regulation 1107/2009 has been increasingly used and has been subject to controversies. The reduction of risks associated with the use of plant protection products should therefore tackle the use of active substances not or no longer approved with priority, as this can be expected to make a significant contribution to reduction targets. In order to disincentivise the use of emergency authorisations, it therefore is appropriate to increase the weighing factor of category 4 substances.

Amendment 2953

Pascal Canfin, Martin Hojsík

Proposal for a regulation

Annex VI – Section 4 – Table 3 – Row 4 *Text proposed by the Commission*

(iii)	1	8	16	64

Amendment

(iii)	1	8	16	1, 8 or 16

Or. en

Amendment 2954

Maria Arena

Proposal for a regulation

Annex VI – Section 4 – Table 3 – Row 4 *Text proposed by the Commission*

(iii)	1	8	16	64

Amendment

(iii)	1	8	16	*
<i>*the weighing factor associated with the last group assignment before loss of approval</i>				

Or. en

Amendment 2955

Eric Andrieu

Proposal for a regulation

Annex VI – Section 4 – Table 3 – Row 4*Text proposed by the Commission*

(iii)	1	8	16	64

Amendment

(iii)	1	8	16	*
<i>*the weighing factor associated with the substance before the end of the approval</i>				

Justification

With a weighting factor at 64, the indicator will change every time a substance is no more approved, leading the indicator to be re-based ex post every time a substance is no more approved. That way the trajectory of the indicator would become unreadable

Or. en

Amendment 2956

Günther Sidl

Proposal for a regulation

Annex VI – Section 4 – Table 3 – Row 4*Text proposed by the Commission*

(iii)	1	8	16	64

Amendment

(iii)	1	8	16	*
<i>*the weighing factor associated with the last group assignment before loss of approval I</i>				

Or. en

Amendment 2957

Anja Hazekamp, Nikolaj Villumsen, Marisa Matias, Petros Kokkalis, Mick Wallace

Proposal for a regulation

Annex VI – Section 4 – Table 3 – Row 4*Text proposed by the Commission*

(iii)	1	8	16	64

Amendment

(iii)	1	8	16	*
<i>* the weighing factor they are estimated to receive after an application request has been granted</i>				

Or. en

Amendment 2958

Alexander Bernhuber, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk

Proposal for a regulation

Annex VI – Section 4 – point 6

Text proposed by the Commission

6. The Commission shall calculate and publish the results of harmonised risk indicator 2a at Union level in accordance with Article 35(2) of this Regulation. This shall be done for the first time in 2027 using data from calendar years 2022 to 2025, and subsequently for each calendar year, ***at the latest 20 months after the end of the year for which harmonised risk indicator 2a is being calculated.***

Amendment

6. The Commission shall calculate and publish the results of harmonised risk indicator 2a at Union level in accordance with Article 35(2) of this Regulation. This shall be done for the first time in 2027 using data from calendar years 2022 to 2025, and subsequently for each calendar year.

Or. en

Amendment 2959

Alexander Bernhuber, Christine Schneider, Franc Bogovič, Waldemar Tomaszewski, Liudas Mažylis, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk

Proposal for a regulation

Annex VI – Section 4 – point 7

Text proposed by the Commission

7. The Member States shall calculate and publish the results of harmonised risk indicator 2a at national level in accordance with Article 35(3) of this Regulation. This shall be done for the first time in 2027 using data from calendar years 2022 to 2025, and subsequently for each calendar year, ***at the latest 20 months after the end of the year for which harmonised risk indicator 2a is being calculated.***

Amendment

7. The Member States shall calculate and publish the results of harmonised risk indicator 2a at national level in accordance with Article 35(3) of this Regulation. This shall be done for the first time in 2027 using data from calendar years 2022 to 2025, and subsequently for each calendar year.

Or. en